

On causality violation in Lyra Geometry

W. D. R. Jesus^{1,*} and A. F. Santos^{1,†}

¹*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso,
78060-900, Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brazil*

Abstract

In this paper the causality issues are discussed in a non-Riemannian geometry, called Lyra geometry. It is a non-Riemannian geometry originated from Weyl geometry. In order to compare this geometry with the Riemannian geometry, the Einstein field equations are considered. It is verified that the Gödel and Gödel-type metric are consistent with this non-Riemannian geometry. A non-trivial solution for Gödel universe in the absence of matter sources is determined without analogue in general relativity. Different sources are considered and then different conditions for causal and non-causal solutions are discussed.

arXiv:1804.05727v1 [gr-qc] 16 Apr 2018

*willian.xb@gmail.com

†alesandroferreira@fisica.ufmt.br

I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity (GR) [1] is a relativistic theory of gravity that accurately explains several gravitational phenomena [2]. However, it faces some challenges of both observational and theoretical nature (see, e.g., the recent overview [3] and references therein), such as accelerated expansion of the universe and the presence of a mysterious form of matter which cannot be directly observed. In addition, there is still no complete and consistent quantum theory of gravity. From these facts emerges the possibility that GR may be modified. Several modified gravity theories have been developed, such as $F(R)$ gravity [4, 5], bumblebee model [6], Chern-Simons gravity [7], Brans-Dicke theory [8], $F(R,T)$ gravity [9], higher derivative gravity [10], hybrid metric-Palatini gravity [11], conformally coupled general relativity [12], bigravity [13], non-commutative space-times [14], de Sitter Horndeski models [15], gravity with Lorentz violation [16], orbital effects of Lorentz-violating gravitomagnetism [17], Chameleonic theories [18], generalized $f(R, \Phi, X)$ gravity [19], supergravity [20], arctan-gravity [21], $f(T)$ gravity [22, 23], among others.

In 1918, H. Weyl [24, 25] presented a unified field theory, where the aim was to unify gravitation and electromagnetism. A recent revisitation of Weyl ideas has been done [26]. In this theory a gauge function that geometrizes the electromagnetism has been introduced. Then the geometry becomes non-Riemannian. The metric compatibility conditions are changed, which means that the related connection is no longer represented by the Christoffel symbol. The major problem with this theory is that the vector length under parallel transport is not constant. This implies the theory is inconsistent, since it becomes non-integrable.

G. Lyra, in 1951, proposed a new modification of the Riemannian geometry [27]. Its modification consists in the introduction of a gauge function in the affine space. The gauge function acquires the same status of coordinate system, and together they form the so-called "reference system". Lyra theory is considered as a generalization of the Weyl geometry, but as an advantage the vector length under parallel transport remains constant. This theory has been developed by various authors. For example, Sen [28] formulated a generalization of GR in the context of Lyra geometry where the gauge function naturally gives rise a displacement vector in the field equations. Halford [29] has shown that the constant displacement vector plays the role of cosmological constants, with the advantage that the displacement vector arises naturally from the introduction of the gauge function, whereas in GR the cosmological constant is introduced in an ad hoc manner. Sen and Dunn [30] have shown that the field equations obtained in [28] can be considered as a special case of the Brans-Dicke theory [8] if the gauge function is identified as the scalar field. Beesham [31] has studied FLRW

cosmological models in Lyra manifold with time dependent displacement field. Recently, numerous studies have been done in the Lyra context, such as, an inhomogeneous Bianchi-I model in Lyra geometry has been studied [32], a thermodynamic analysis of gravitational field equations has been investigated [33], dark energy has been discussed [34], Bianchi type VI cosmological model in the presence of electromagnetic fields with variable magnetic permeability has been analyzed [35], bulk viscous with strange quark matter attached to the string cloud for higher dimensional Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe has been studied [36], static traversable wormholes in Lyra manifold have been considered [37], among others. In this paper the main objective is studying the Gödel-type solutions in Lyra geometry looking for a new interpretation for the displacement vector and also solutions that avoid the causality violation.

The Gödel solution is an exact solution of GR proposed by K. Gödel in 1949 [38]. It is the first cosmological solution with rotating matter. This solution represents a universe model that exhibit causality violation since allows the existence of Closed Time-like Curves (CTC's). There are some others models that display CTC's, such as the van Stockum space-time of a rotating dust cylinder [39], the Kerr space-time [40] and the Gott space-time of two cosmic strings [41]. A generalized version of Gödel metric has been developed which is called Gödel-type metric [42]. In this generalization the causality problem was examined with more details. Here the causality problem is investigated in Lyra geometry where new causal solutions are found.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, a brief introduction to Lyra geometry is presented. In section III, the Gödel and Gödel-type universes are introduced. In section IV, the Gödel universe in Lyra geometry is studied. In section V, the Gödel-type universe in Lyra geometry is analyzed. The cases $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$ are considered for two different energy-momentum tensors. In section VI, some concluding remarks are made.

II. LYRA GEOMETRY

Here a brief introduction to Lyra geometry [27] is presented. Lyra proposed a generalization of Riemann geometry. In this formalism the components of the displacement vector between two points $P(x^\mu)$ and $P'(x^\mu + dx^\mu)$ are given as $\xi^\mu = x^0 dx^\mu$, where x^0 is a gauge function. The coordinates system x^μ and the gauge function x^0 form the reference system, i.e, $(x^0; x^\mu)$. Note that, x^0 has nothing to do with the time component of the space-time coordinates. It is a symbol that represents the gauge function.

The connection components in Lyra geometry are obtained by transforming the general coordi-

nates of the reference system. The coordinate transformation of a multi-component tensor is given by [28]

$$\xi_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_r'}^{\rho_1' \dots \rho_s'} = \lambda^{s-r} A_{\rho_1'}^{\rho_1'} \dots A_{\rho_s'}^{\rho_s'} A_{\sigma_1'}^{\sigma_1'} \dots A_{\sigma_r'}^{\sigma_r'} \xi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_r}^{\rho_1 \dots \rho_s}, \quad (1)$$

where $A_{\rho'}^{\rho} \equiv \frac{\partial x^{\rho'}}{\partial x^{\rho}}$ and $\lambda = \frac{x^{0'}}{x^0}$. The factor λ^{s-r} arises as a consequence of the introduction of the gauge. Assuming that a vector $\xi^{\mu}(x^0; x^{\mu})$ is constant in a reference system, such that $\xi^{\mu}_{,\lambda} = 0$. Then, in another reference system $(x^{0'}; x^{\mu'})$ we get

$$\frac{1}{x^0} \xi^{\mu'}_{,\lambda'} - \Gamma^{\mu'}_{\nu' \lambda'} \xi^{\nu'} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\lambda'} \xi^{\mu'} = 0, \quad (2)$$

with

$$\Gamma^{\mu'}_{\nu' \lambda'} = -\frac{1}{x^0} A_{\nu'}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha \lambda'}^{\mu'}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\lambda'} = \frac{1}{x^0} \frac{\partial(\ln \lambda^2)}{\partial x^{\lambda'}}. \quad (3)$$

The parallel transport of a vector ξ^{μ} in Lyra geometry is given by

$$d\xi^{\mu} = -\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \xi^{\alpha} x^0 dx^{\beta}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta}, \quad (5)$$

with $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ being not symmetric, although $\bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric, which the explicit form will be determined.

The parallel transport in Lyra geometry is integrable. The length of the displacement vector is given by the invariant

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} x^0 dx^{\mu} x^0 dx^{\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \xi^{\mu} \xi^{\nu}. \quad (6)$$

Then $\delta(g_{\mu\nu} \xi^{\mu} \xi^{\nu}) = 0$, i.e., the length of a vector is conserved upon parallel transport, as in Riemannian geometry. Using this condition, we get

$$\bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{x^0} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} \phi_{\beta} + \delta^{\mu}_{\beta} \phi_{\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta} \phi^{\mu}), \quad (7)$$

that disregarding the factor $(1/x^0)$ is identical to the connection obtained by Weyl [25]. Note that, $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Christoffel symbol in Riemannian geometry.

The curvature tensor in Lyra geometry is given as

$$K^{\mu}_{\lambda\alpha\beta} = (x^0)^{-2} \left[\frac{\partial(x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\lambda\beta})}{\partial x^{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial(x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\lambda\alpha})}{\partial x^{\beta}} + x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\rho\alpha} x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\lambda\beta} - x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\rho\beta} x^0 \tilde{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\lambda\alpha} \right]. \quad (8)$$

It is obtained in the same as in general relativity. From it the Ricci tensor is

$$K_{\kappa\alpha} \equiv K^\mu{}_{\kappa\mu\alpha} = \frac{R_{\kappa\alpha}}{(x^0)^2} + \frac{1}{x^0}\phi_{\kappa;\alpha} + \frac{1}{2x^0}g_{\kappa\alpha}\phi^i{}_{;i} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\kappa\alpha}\phi^\gamma\phi_\gamma + \frac{1}{2}g_{\kappa\alpha}\phi_i^\circ\phi^i \quad (9)$$

with $R_{\kappa\alpha}$ being the Ricci tensor of Riemann geometry and $\phi_\alpha^\circ \equiv (x^0)^{-1}\frac{\partial[\ln(x^0)^2]}{\partial x^\alpha}$. The curvature scalar is

$$K \equiv g^{\kappa\alpha}K_{\kappa\alpha} = (x^0)^{-2}R + 3(x^0)^{-1}\phi^\alpha{}_{;\alpha} + \frac{3}{2}\phi_\alpha\phi^\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\phi_\alpha^\circ\phi^\alpha, \quad (10)$$

where R is the curvature scalar in the Riemannian geometry. If we choose the normal gauge, i.e., $x^0 = 1$, the curvature scalar becomes

$$K = R + 3\phi^\alpha{}_{;\alpha} + \frac{3}{2}\phi_\alpha\phi^\alpha. \quad (11)$$

The field equations are obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta \int \sqrt{-g}(K + \mathcal{L} - 2\Lambda)x^0 dx^1 \dots x^0 dx^4 = 0, \quad (12)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the matter Lagrangian density and Λ is the cosmological constant. Considering ϕ_α as an external field, the field equations are obtained varying the action with respect to $g_{\alpha\beta}$. Then the Einstein equations in Lyra geometry are

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2}\phi_\mu\phi_\nu - \frac{3}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\phi_\alpha\phi^\alpha = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}, \quad (13)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor associated with the matter distribution. Multiplying eq. (13) by $g^{\mu\nu}$, the field equations are rewritten as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Tg_{\mu\nu}) - (L_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Lg_{\mu\nu}) - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (14)$$

where $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor, $L_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2}\phi_\mu\phi_\nu - \frac{3}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\phi_\alpha\phi^\alpha, \quad (15)$$

and L is its trace, i.e., $L = g^{\mu\nu}L_{\mu\nu}$.

III. GÖDEL AND GÖDEL-TYPE UNIVERSES

The Gödel metric [38] is the first exact solution with rotating matter of Einstein field equations. This solution is stationary, spatially homogeneous and has cylindrical symmetry. The main characteristic of Gödel metric is the possibility of closed timelike curves (CTC's), that imply causality violation, i.e., in theory travel to the past is allowed. The Gödel metric is

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left[dt^2 - dx^2 \frac{1}{2}e^{2x} dy^2 - dz^2 + 2e^x dt dy \right], \quad (16)$$

where a is a positive constant. The Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar associated with this metric are

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{a^2}u_\mu u_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad R = \frac{1}{a^2}. \quad (17)$$

Considering the energy-momentum tensor as $T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu$, where ρ is the matter density and $u_\mu = (a, 0, ae^x, 0)$ is the 4-velocity, the Einstein equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (18)$$

are satisfied for the conditions

$$8\pi G\rho = \frac{1}{a^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = -\frac{1}{2a^2}. \quad (19)$$

The Gödel solution may be considered as a family member of homogeneous geometries of Gödel-type space-time [42]. In cylindrical coordinates Gödel-type metric is

$$ds^2 = [dt + H(r)d\phi]^2 - dr^2 - D^2(r)d\phi^2 - dz^2, \quad (20)$$

where the functions $H(r)$ and $D(r)$ are such that

$$\frac{H'}{D} = 2\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{D''}{D} = m^2, \quad (21)$$

where the prime means derivative with respect to r . The parameters m and ω are constants used to classify different Gödel-type geometries, such that $\omega > 0$ and $-\infty \leq m^2 \leq +\infty$. The metric, eq. (20), is rewritten as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + 2H(r)dt d\phi - dr^2 - G(r)d\phi^2 - dz^2, \quad (22)$$

where $G(r) = D^2(r) - H^2(r)$. In this form, the existence of CTC is evident and the circles defined by $t, z, r = \text{constant}$ depend on the behavior of $G(r)$. If $G(r) < 0$ for a certain range of r , the Gödel circles are CTC's. The causal characteristics of Gödel-type space-times depend on the two independent parameters m and ω . The Gödel-type metric is classified into three different classes:

i) Linear ($m = 0$) with

$$H(r) = \omega r^2 \quad \text{and} \quad D(r) = r. \quad (23)$$

The critical radius is $r_c = \frac{1}{\omega}$, such that for all $r > r_c$ there are non-causal Gödel circles.

ii) Trigonometric ($m^2 \equiv \mu^2 < 0$) with

$$H(r) = \frac{4\omega}{\mu^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\mu r}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad D(r) = \frac{1}{\mu} \sin(\mu r). \quad (24)$$

Here exist an infinite sequence, alternating between causal and non-causal regions.

iii) Hiperbolic ($m^2 > 0$) with

$$H(r) = \frac{4\omega}{m^2} \sinh^2\left(\frac{mr}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad D(r) = \frac{1}{m} \sinh(mr). \quad (25)$$

The hyperbolic class may be separated into two, depending on m^2 : (1) $0 < m^2 < 4\omega^2$, where there is one non-causal region for $r > r_c$, with the critical radius r_c given by

$$\sinh^2\left(\frac{mr_c}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{4\omega^2}{m^2} - 1\right)^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

(2) $m^2 \geq 4\omega^2$, in this case there is no breakdown of causality and, thus, no occurrence of CTCs, i.e., $r_c \rightarrow \infty$ (completely causal region). If $m^2 = 2\omega^2$, the Gödel result is recovered [38].

In order to study the field equations, for the sake of simplicity, let's use the following basis [42]

$$\theta^0 = dt + H(r)d\phi, \quad \theta^1 = dr, \quad \theta^2 = D(r)d\phi, \quad \theta^3 = dz, \quad (27)$$

where the line element takes the form

$$ds^2 = \eta_{AB}\theta^A\theta^B = (\theta^0)^2 - (\theta^1)^2 - (\theta^2)^2 - (\theta^3)^2, \quad (28)$$

with $\theta^A = e^A{}_\mu dx^\mu$ being the 1-forms and $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$ being the Minkowski metric.

In this new basis, a flat space-time, the Ricci tensor is given by

$$R_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{H'}{D}\right)^2 = 2\omega^2, \quad R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{00} - \frac{D''}{D} = 2\omega^2 - m^2, \quad (29)$$

and the scalar curvature is

$$R = 2(m^2 - \omega^2). \quad (30)$$

Then Einstein tensors become

$$G_{00} = 3\omega^2 - m^2, \quad G_{11} = G_{22} = \omega^2, \quad G_{33} = m^2 - \omega^2. \quad (31)$$

IV. GÖDEL SOLUTION IN LYRA GEOMETRY

In this section the energy-momentum tensor is considered as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu, \quad (32)$$

with $u_\mu = (a, 0, ae^x, 0)$ and its trace as $T = \rho$. In order to calculate the field equations, eq. (14), the displacement vector is chosen as

$$\phi_\alpha = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}ab, 0, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}abe^x, 0\right), \quad (33)$$

where b is a constant. Using Gödel metric, eq. (16), the field equations become

$$\frac{2}{a^2} = \kappa\rho - 2\Lambda - 4b^2, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{4}{a^2} = 3\kappa\rho - 2\Lambda - 8b^2, \quad (35)$$

$$\kappa\rho = -2\Lambda. \quad (36)$$

Rearranging equations (34) and (36) we obtain

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{1}{a^2} + 2b^2, \quad (37)$$

$$\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2a^2} - b^2. \quad (38)$$

This result modifies the standard Gödel relations, although it is an exact solution of field equations. Then CTC's exist in Lyra geometry for the displacement vector (33), i.e., there is a causality violation in this theory. An important note, for the case that $\Lambda = 0$, the solution is $b^2 = -\frac{1}{2a^2}$ and $\kappa\rho = 0$. Therefore, in Lyra geometry for an empty universe the b^2 parameter is equal to the cosmological constant in Riemann geometry. Similar interpretations involving b^2 and Λ have been presented [28, 29, 43]. Other choices for ϕ_α lead to solutions with $b^2 = 0$, i.e., there is no contribution due to Lyra geometry.

V. GÖDEL-TYPE SOLUTION IN LYRA GEOMETRY

In order to study the Gödel-type solution in Lyra geometry two cases are considered: (i) zero cosmological constant and (ii) non-zero cosmological constant.

A. $\Lambda = 0$

Here the field equations, eq. (14), in the tetrad frame become

$$R_{AB} = \kappa(T_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}T\eta_{AB}) - (L_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}L\eta_{AB}). \quad (39)$$

To solve this equation, let's consider as source of matter a perfect fluid. Its energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{AB} = (\rho + p)u_A u_B - p\eta_{AB}, \quad (40)$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the pressure and $u_A = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the 4-velocity of the fluid. The trace of eq. (40) is

$$T = \eta^{AB}T_{AB} = \rho - 3p. \quad (41)$$

Considering the displacement vector as

$$\phi_A = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}b, 0, 0, 0 \right), \quad (42)$$

the field equations become

$$2\omega^2 + 2b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p), \quad (43)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p), \quad (44)$$

$$\rho = p. \quad (45)$$

From these equations we get

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2, \quad (46)$$

$$\omega^2 + b^2 = \kappa\rho. \quad (47)$$

The condition (46) corresponds to Gödel solution that leads to causality violation. As consequence of the new geometry the critical radius is modified and becomes

$$r_c = \frac{2}{m} \sinh^{-1}(1) = 2 \sinh^{-1}(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa\rho - 2b^2}}. \quad (48)$$

In Riemann geometry, the parameters are related as $m^2 = 2\omega^2 = \kappa\rho = -2\Lambda$. Here the same condition is obtained from eq. (47) with

$$b^2 = \omega^2 = -\Lambda, \quad (49)$$

i.e., b^2 corresponds to the negative cosmological constant. Then the displacement vector has a complex value. A similar result has been found in [28].

As a second choice, let's consider

$$\phi_A = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}b \right), \quad (50)$$

which leads to the field equations

$$2\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p), \quad (51)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p), \quad (52)$$

$$2b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p). \quad (53)$$

Combining these equations we obtain

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2 - 2b^2. \quad (54)$$

Here, two possible classes of solution may be considered. The first class corresponds to the Som-Raychaudhuri space-time [44]. In this case $m^2 = 0$ and then $b^2 = \omega^2 = \text{constant}$. The second class corresponds to $m^2 > 0$. Here there are two different cases: (i) Non-causal: If $b^2 = 0$, the Gödel solution with $m^2 = 2\omega^2$ is recovered; (ii) Causal case: If $b^2 = -\omega^2$, the causal relation, $m^2 = 4\omega^2$, is obtained. Another attempt to get a causal solution is to consider $\rho = -p$, the exotic distribution of matter, that leads to the causal solution $m^2 = 4\omega^2$. This last result leads to a complex value for vorticity ω . Other choices for ϕ_A imply solutions with $b^2 = 0$.

Another way to obtain a causal solution is to consider the energy-momentum tensor as

$$T_{AB} = T_{AB}^M + T_{AB}^S, \quad (55)$$

where T_{AB}^M is the energy-momentum tensor of the perfect fluid and T_{AB}^S is the energy-momentum tensor associated to the scalar field Φ , given by

$$T_{AB}^S = \nabla_A \Phi \nabla_B \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{AB} \eta^{CD} \nabla_C \Phi \nabla_D \Phi, \quad (56)$$

with ∇_A being the covariant derivative with respect to base $\theta^A = e^A_B dx^B$. Assuming, for simplicity, that

$$\Phi(z) = ez + \text{constant}, \quad (57)$$

the energy-momentum tensor components associated with the scalar field are

$$T_{00}^S = -T_{11}^S = -T_{22}^S = T_{33}^S = \frac{e^2}{2} \quad (58)$$

and its trace is $T = \rho - 3p + e^2$. Let's analyze the cases where the ϕ_A implies non-trivial solutions, i.e., eqs. (42) and (50). For the choice (42), the field equations become

$$2\omega^2 + 2b^2 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa (\rho + 3p), \quad (59)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa (\rho - p), \quad (60)$$

$$-\kappa e^2 = \frac{1}{2} \kappa (\rho - p). \quad (61)$$

Combining these equations we get

$$m^2 - 2\omega^2 = \kappa e^2. \quad (62)$$

Due to the introduction of the scalar field, there is a freedom to choose the m^2 value. Considering that Gödel-type metric belongs to the hyperbolic class, where $m^2 > 0$. The possible solutions are:

Gödel solution and a causal solution. If $m^2 = 2\omega^2$, the Gödel solution is recovered with $e = 0$. Note that, $\kappa e^2 > 0$, then $m^2 = 4\omega^2$ is the possible value which leads to a causal solution. In this case, the scalar field e assume the value $e = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}}\omega$.

Now, for the choice (50), the field equations are

$$2\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p), \quad (63)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p), \quad (64)$$

$$2b^2 - \kappa e^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p). \quad (65)$$

From these equations we obtain

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2 - 2b^2 + \kappa e^2. \quad (66)$$

For the hyperbolic class we get a causal solution if

$$b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa e^2 - \omega^2. \quad (67)$$

Therefore, in both cases with scalar field the causal solution is possible.

B. $\Lambda \neq 0$

The field equations for choice (42) become

$$2\omega^2 + 2b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p) - \Lambda, \quad (68)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p) + \Lambda, \quad (69)$$

$$-\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p). \quad (70)$$

Combining these equations, we get

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2, \quad (71)$$

$$2\omega^2 = \kappa(\rho + p) - 2b^2. \quad (72)$$

The equation (71) leads to the Gödel solution. In addition the critical radius is modified, i.e.,

$$r_c = \frac{2}{m} \sinh^{-1}(1) = 2 \sinh^{-1}(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa(\rho + p) - 2b^2}}. \quad (73)$$

An important note, beyond which there exist non-causal Gödel circles, which depend on the density matter, pressure and the b^2 parameter, that is due to Lyra geometry. Therefore, is possible to find a completely causal Gödel solution in Lyra geometry. It occurs for $2b^2 = \kappa(\rho + p)$, since $r_c \rightarrow \infty$.

For the displacement vector given by eq. (50), the field equations are given as

$$2\omega^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p) - \Lambda, \quad (74)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p) + \Lambda, \quad (75)$$

$$2b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p) + \Lambda. \quad (76)$$

Rearranging the field equations,

$$\kappa\rho = \omega^2 - \Lambda + 3b^2, \quad (77)$$

$$\kappa p = \omega^2 + \Lambda - b^2, \quad (78)$$

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2 - 2b^2. \quad (79)$$

For the class solution with $m^2 = 0$ we get $\omega^2 = b^2$. The Gödel solution happens to $b = 0$, as expected. A causal solution, with $m^2 = 4\omega^2$, leads to a complex value for the vorticity.

In order to obtain a different causal solution the scalar field is considered as in eq. (55). Using eq. (42), the equations system is

$$2\omega^2 + 2b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + 3p) - \Lambda, \quad (80)$$

$$2\omega^2 - m^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p) + \Lambda, \quad (81)$$

$$-\kappa e^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho - p) + \Lambda. \quad (82)$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2 + \kappa e^2. \quad (83)$$

In the hyperbolic class of solution, the causal solution is obtained for $2\omega^2 = \kappa e^2$, which leads to $b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + p)$.

For the displacement vector (50), the field equations are given as

$$\kappa\rho = \omega^2 - \Lambda - \frac{3}{2}\kappa e^2 + 3b^2, \quad (84)$$

$$\kappa p = \omega^2 + \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}\kappa e^2 - b^2, \quad (85)$$

$$m^2 = 2\omega^2 + \kappa e^2 - 2b^2. \quad (86)$$

Here, a causal solution emerges only if $b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa e^2 - \omega^2$.

An important note, the universe rotation problem is one of the most intriguing in modern cosmology. There are two opposing points of view on this problem. (i) The universe as a whole is

usually considered to be non-rotational in contrast to astronomical objects, such as planets, stars, and galaxies. (ii) The possibility that the universe rotates should not be ignored since the solutions of general relativity corresponding to a rotating universe have been found directly indicating that a global rotation is physically allowed. Although it is an important problem, our results do not address a solution. However, the objective here was to study the causality issue in a solution of general relativity that describes a rotating universe within a non-Riemannian geometry.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The GR has been developed in a Riemannian geometry. However is possible study gravitational effects in others geometries. Lyra geometry is a non-Riemannian geometry that allows study Einstein equations. Although various studies and applications have been realized in Lyra geometry, there is nothing about causality violation in this context. Gödel solution is an exact solution of GR that exhibits CTC's, then it allows causality violation. In this context is interesting to study this solution in a different geometry. Our analysis is developed for Gödel metric and for Gödel-type metric for physically well-motivated matter sources presented by perfect fluid and a scalar field. Our results have shown that the field equations solution depend on the choice of the displacement vector ϕ_α . For the choice given by eq. (33) the Gödel metric is a solution of Einstein equations in the Lyra manifold. Here the usual GR solution is changed by a constant factor. In addition, for an empty universe, the b^2 parameter is equal to the cosmological constant in Riemann geometry. In order to study the Gödel-type solution different cases are considered.

1. Perfect fluid as matter source:

(i) Displacement vector given by eq. (42); For $\Lambda = 0$ the original Gödel solution is obtained from the condition $m^2 = 2\omega^2$ that naturally emerges. From this condition the b^2 parameter may be interpreted as a negative cosmological constant. For $\Lambda \neq 0$ Gödel solution is obtained again. A critical radius for causality violation is calculated and a condition for causal solution is determined.

(ii) Displacement vector given by eq. (50); With $\Lambda = 0$ two class of solution are considered. The first class corresponds to the case $m^2 = 0$ and then $b^2 = \omega^2 = constant$. The second class corresponds to $m^2 > 0$. In this case there are two different cases: (a) Non-causal: If $b^2 = 0$, Gödel solution with $m^2 = 2\omega^2$ is recovered; (b) Causal case: If $b^2 = -\omega^2$, the causal relation, $m^2 = 4\omega^2$, is obtained. For $\Lambda \neq 0$ a causal solution is obtained and it leads to a complex value for the vorticity.

2. Matter sources - Perfect fluid plus scalar field:

(i) Displacement vector given by eq. (42); $\Lambda = 0$ - In this case, the introduction of the scalar field

gives freedom to choose the m^2 value and then causal and non-causal solutions have been found. When $\Lambda \neq 0$ the causal solution is obtained for $2\omega^2 = \kappa e^2$, which leads to $b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\rho + p)$.

(ii) Displacement vector given by eq. (50); In both cases, $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$, the causal solution has been determined with a particular value for b^2 parameter.

Therefore, our results shown that in Lyra geometry is possible avoid the causality violation choosing a particular value for a parameter that comes from geometry. In addition, for a perfect fluid as matter source a causal solution is determined. This result allows an analyze that there is no analogue in GR.

Acknowledgments

This work by A. F. S. is supported by CNPq project 308611/2017-9.

-
- [1] L. Iorio, Universe **1**, 38 (2015).
 - [2] C. M. Will, Living Rev. Relativity **9**, 3 (2006).
 - [3] I. Debono and G. F. Smoot, Universe **2**, 23 (2016).
 - [4] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Relativity, **13** 3 (2010).
 - [5] I. Martino, M. Laurentis and S. Capozziello, Universe **1**, 123 (2015).
 - [6] R. Bluhm and V. A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D, **71** 065008 (2005).
 - [7] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104012 (2003).
 - [8] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev., **124**, 925 (1961).
 - [9] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020 (2011).
 - [10] S. F. Hassan, A. Schmidt-May and M. von Strauss, Universe **1**, 92 (2015).
 - [11] S. Capozziello, T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, F. S. N. Lobo and G. J. Olmo, Universe **1**, 199 (2015).
 - [12] A. Arbuzov, and B. Latosh, Universe **4**, 38 (2018).
 - [13] V. Soloviev, Universe **4**, 19 (2018).
 - [14] M. Eckstein, Universe **3**, 25 (2017).
 - [15] N. J. Nunes, P. Martín-Moruno, and F. S. N. Lobo, Universe **3**, 33 (2017).
 - [16] A. Hees, Q. G. Bailey, A. Bourgoïn, H. Pihan-Le Bars, C. Guerlin and C. Le Poncin-Lafitte, Universe **2**, 30 (2016).
 - [17] L. Iorio, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 175007 (2012).
 - [18] A. Zanzi, Universe **1**, 446 (2015).
 - [19] S. Bahamonde, C. G. Böhmer, F. S.N. Lobo and D. Sáez-Gómez, Universe **1**, 186 (2015).
 - [20] Y. Hyakutake, Universe **1**, 292 (2015).

- [21] S. I. Kruglov, *Universe* **1**, 82 (2015).
- [22] L. Iorio, N. Radicella and M. L. Ruggiero, *JCAP* **08**, 021 (2015).
- [23] L. Iorio, M. L. Ruggiero, N. Radicella and E. N. Saridakis, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **13**, 111 (2016).
- [24] H. Weyl, *Gravitation und Elektrizität*, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)*, pp. 465-480 (1918). English translation in *The Principle of Relativity*, pp. 201-216, Dover, New York (1952).
- [25] H. Weyl, *Annalen der Physik*, **364**, 101 (1919).
- [26] C. Barceló, R. Carballo-Rubio, L. J. Garay, *JCAP* **06**, 014 (2017).
- [27] G. Lyra, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **54**, 52 (1951).
- [28] D. K. Sen, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **149**, 311 (1957).
- [29] W. Halford, *Australian J. of Phys.* **23**, 863 (1970).
- [30] D. K. Sen and K. A. Dunn, *J. of Math. Phys.* **12**, 578 (1971).
- [31] A. Beesham, *Aust. J. Phys.* **41**, 833 (1988).
- [32] F. Rahaman, S. Chakraborty and J. Kr. Bera, *Astrophys. and Space Science* **281**, 595 (2002).
- [33] H. Moradpour, N. Sadeghnezhad, S. Ghaffari and A. Jahan, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2017**, 1 (2017).
- [34] K. P. Singh and M. R. Mollah, *Int. J. of Geom. Meth. in Mod. Phys.* **14**, 1750063 (2017).
- [35] M. Abdel-Megied and E. Hegazy, *Can. J. of Phys.* **94**, 992 (2016).
- [36] H. Caglar and S. Aygun, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1722**, 050001 (2016).
- [37] H. Moradpour and A. S. Jahromi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27**, 1850024 (2017).
- [38] K. Gödel, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 447 (1949).
- [39] W. J. Van Stockum, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **57**, 135 (1937).
- [40] R. P. Kerr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11**, 237 (1963).
- [41] J. R. Gott, III, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1126 (1991).
- [42] M. J. Rebouças and J. Tiomno, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 1251 (1983).
- [43] T. Singh and G. P. Singh, *Fortschritte der Physik/Progress of Physics*, **41**, 737 (1993).
- [44] M. M. Som and A. K. Raychaudhuri, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **304**, 81 (1968).