

# Evolution and thermodynamics of new holographic dark energy with bulk viscosity in modified $f(R, T)$ gravity

C. P. Singh<sup>1</sup> and Milan Srivastava<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Delhi Technological University,  
Bawana Road, Delhi-110 042, India.

<sup>1</sup>cpsphd@rediffmail.com

<sup>2</sup>milandtu@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, bulk viscosity is introduced in new holographic dark energy (HDE) to describe the effects of cosmic non-perfect fluid on the evolution of the universe in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity. Assuming  $f(R, T) = R + \lambda T$ , where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar,  $T$ , the trace of energy-momentum tensor and  $\lambda$  is a constant, we derive a general function of Hubble parameter with bulk viscous form to provide a procedure for the viscous new HDE model building. Especially, we assume the total bulk viscosity coefficient proportional to the velocity of the expansion of the universe in such a way that  $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H$ . We obtain the solutions of the scale factor and deceleration parameter and classify all the possible scenarios (deceleration, acceleration and their transition) with different parameter regions chosen properly for positive and negative ranges of  $\lambda$ , and  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  to analyze the evolution of the universe. It is observed that there is a transition from decelerated phase to accelerated phase at early or late time depending on the values of viscous terms. For large values of viscous terms it always accelerates through out the evolution. Furthermore, we also investigate the statefinder pair  $\{r, s\}$  and  $Om$  diagnostics for the viscous new HDE model to discriminate with other existing DE models. The model evolution behaviors are shown in the planes of  $r - s$ ,  $r - q$  and  $Om - z$ . It is found that behavior of trajectories in different planes depend on the bulk viscous coefficient. A small combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  gives the quintessence like behavior whereas large combination of these two terms give Chaplygin gas like model. However, both the model approaches to  $\Lambda$ CDM model in late time of evolution of the universe. Our viscous model is also different from other existing dark energy models. The evolution of effective equation of state parameter is -0.9745 which is very close to the observation. The entropy and generalized second law of thermodynamics are valid for this model under some constraints of bulk viscous coefficient. The analysis shows that the dark energy phenomena may be explained as the effect of bulk viscosity in the cosmic medium.

**Keywords:** Cosmology; Bulk viscosity; Dark energy; Modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Review of Modified <math>f(R, T)</math> gravity</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Field equations of bulk viscous new HDE model</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Evolution of the scale factor . . . . .	7
3.2	Behavior of the deceleration parameter . . . . .	10
3.3	Statefinder diagnostic . . . . .	13
3.4	$Om$ diagnostic . . . . .	16
3.5	Effective Equation of state parameter . . . . .	17
3.6	Entropy and second law of thermodynamics . . . . .	18
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>22</b>

## 1 Introduction

The observational studies including type Ia supernovae (SNeIa) [1,2], cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) [3] and large scale structure (LSS) [4], among others have confirmed that the present observable universe is in the phase of accelerated expansion. All these observations indicate the existence of a dark energy (DE) having negative pressure. The most important theoretical candidate for dark energy is the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  [5–7], which fits the observations well, but it suffers from severe theoretical difficulties like fine-tuning and cosmic coincidence problems. Thus, dynamical dark energy models become popular, because they may alleviate the theoretical challenges faced by the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. In cosmology, there are many phenomenological models of DE, *e.g.*, quintessence [8–13],  $k$ -essence [14], phantom energy [15–17], quintom [18, 19], exotic Chaplygin gas [20], modified gravity theories [21, 22], holographic dark energy [23], New agegraphic dark energy models [24]. Thus, dark energy has become one of the most important research areas in cosmology. However, our understanding about the nature of this energy is very modest, despite substantial progress both in theoretical and observational fields.

In recent times, the holographic dark energy (HDE) is considered as a dynamics vacuum energy. It is stimulated by the holographic principle [25, 26], which says that the number of degrees of freedom of a physical system should scale with its bounding area rather than with its volume. It was suggested by Cohen *et al.* [27] that in quantum field theory a short distance cutoff is related to a long distance cutoff due to the limit set by the formation of a black hole. They also suggested that the total energy in a region of size  $L$  should not exceed the mass of a black hole of the same size. Under this assumption, Li [23] proposed the HDE density as  $\rho_\Lambda = 3c^2 M_p^2 L^{-2}$ , where  $c^2$  is a dimensionless constant,  $M_p^{-2} = 8\pi G$  is the reduced Planck mass.

Now, the problem is how to choose an appropriate infrared cutoff for the theory. Li [23] has assumed Hubble length as the infrared cutoff ( $\rho_d \propto H^2$ ) which resolves the fine-tuning problem but yields a wrong equation of state of DE,  $\omega_d = 0$  [28, 29], which can not drive the cosmic acceleration. Later on, particle horizon as a different infrared cutoff was proposed which also gives  $\omega_d > -1/3$ . A suitable choice of infrared cutoff as a future event horizon was suggested by Li *et al.* [30], which

explains the accelerated expansion of the universe. Gao *et al.* [31] has proposed infrared cutoff by replacing the future event horizon area with the inverse of the Ricci scalar curvature. They call this model the Ricci dark energy (RDE) model. Granda and Oliveros [32] have proposed a new cutoff based on purely dimensional grounds, by adding a term involving the first derivative of the Hubble parameter. According to this new infrared cutoff, the energy density of HDE is given by

$$\rho_d = 3M_p^2(\alpha H^2 + \beta \dot{H}) \quad (1)$$

where  $H$  is the Hubble parameter and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants which must satisfy the restrictions imposed by the current observational data. This is known as the new holographic dark energy (HDE). There are some works on the new version of the holographic dark energy model [33–36]. Therefore, it will be interesting to work with this new HDE model.

It is observed that early inflation and recent expansion of the universe can be investigated through dissipative fluid. The role of the dissipative processes has been conscientiously studied [37–40] in the evolution of the universe. Viscosity is basically a measurement of a fluid’s resistance to flow and is classified into two types, namely, bulk and shear viscosity. The general theory of dissipation in relativistic imperfect fluid was put on a firm foundation by Eckart [41], and, in a somewhat different formulation by Landau and Lifshitz [42]. This is only the first order deviation from equilibrium and may have a causality problem, the full causal theory was developed by Israel and Stewart [43], and has also been studied in the evolution of the early universe. However, because of the simple form of Eckart theory, it has been widely used by several authors to characterize the bulk viscous fluid. The Eckart approach has been used in models explaining the recent acceleration of the universe with bulk viscous fluid. The idea of viscous DE models has been presented in different ways to understand the evolution of the universe. Many authors [44–55] have studied the DE phenomenon as an effect of the bulk viscosity in the cosmic medium. All these cited works are pioneer papers on bulk viscosity which show that for an appropriate viscosity coefficient, an accelerating cosmology can be achieved without the need of a cosmological constant [56]. At the late times, since we do not know the nature of the universe content very clearly, concern about the bulk viscosity is reasonable and practical. To our knowledge, such a possibility has been investigated only in the context of the primordial universe, concerning also the search of non-singular models. But many investigations show that the viscous pressure can play the role of an agent that drives the present acceleration of the universe. The motivation of the present work is to drive the present acceleration using the bulk viscous pressure in new HDE model.

The modification in the geometrical part of Einstein-Hilbert action is very attractive way to resolve many problems in cosmology. The most famous modification of general relativity is the  $f(R)$  gravity in which the Ricci scalar  $R$  is replaced by a general function  $f(R)$ . This theory is consistent with the observations [57,58]. Harko *et al.* [59] proposed a new modified theory known as  $f(R, T)$  gravity theory, where  $R$  is the Ricci Scalar and  $T$  stands for the trace of energy-momentum tensor. This modified theory presents a maximal coupling between geometry and matter. Many authors [60–64] have studied modified  $f(R, T)$  theory in different context to explain early and late time evolution of the universe. The new HDE model has not been yet discussed in detail in framework of  $f(R, T)$  theory. Therefore, our aim is to study new HDE model with bulk viscosity in  $f(R, T)$  gravity theory to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe.

HDE is a great arena for modified gravity for a few reasons. The DE models (like  $\Lambda$ CDM) are alone able to explain the acceleration of the universe in the framework of the general relativity but

it suffers some problems, like cosmological constant (the fine-tuning problem) and cosmic coincidence problems. To resolve these problems, either the left hand side of Einstein field equations, *i.e.*, geometrical part or right hand side, energy-momentum tensor has been modified.

As a non-renormalizable theory, and currently the only known non-renormalizable theory, gravity is ultraviolet sensitive. In HDE the ultraviolet cutoff of the theory depends on the infrared cutoff. The infrared cutoff is set at the cosmological scale for the concern of dark energy. As a result, the ultraviolet cutoff is much affected. As we do not have a firm general relativity equation for quantum gravity, the best we can do is to take a modified gravity theory with the presence of HDE as a candidate of the infrared gravity theory. For this reason, actually, even if a modified theory is ruled out on earth, solar system or galactic scale experiments, the modified theory may still be considered together with HDE because cosmological scale is a completely different scale.

For many modified models to work as dark energy, they still have to solve the old cosmological constant problem and coincidence problem. HDE solves the problem for this modified theories. Thus, the modified theory in HDE can focus on the naturalness from first principle, dynamics of dark energy, agreement with observations, *etc.* Observationally, HDE has two parameters, which is relatively few compared with most dark energy models (although the cosmological constant has one parameter only). Thus, modified on top of HDE has stronger predictability compared to those on top of dark energy scenarios with more parameters (or even free functions). Modified gravity theories have rich dynamics. It is thus interesting to study reconstructing those modified gravity theories using the dynamics of HDE. Different modified theories has different degree of freedoms and dynamics.

The present paper is devoted to explore the effect of bulk viscosity in new HDE model within the context of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity. We consider the viscous new HDE model with pressureless matter. The time-dependent bulk viscous coefficient  $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H$ , where  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  are constants, has been assumed to discuss the evolution. We briefly discuss how the presence of viscous fluid could produce the late time acceleration. The viscous new HDE model gives time-dependent deceleration parameter which shows phase transition. We discuss the behavior of deceleration parameter by constraining on  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  and gravity parameter  $\lambda$  which are summarize in tables. We also find the two independent geometrical diagnostics, namely statefinder pair and  $Om$  to discriminate the new HDE model with other existing DE models. The effective equation of state parameter, entropy and generalized second law of thermodynamics are also discussed.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In Section 2 the background and gravitational field equations of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity are written down. Section 3 deals with field equations for the viscous new HDE model in  $f(R, T)$  gravity theory. Further, Section 3 is divided into sub-sections 3.1 – 3.6 in which we find the solutions of the scale factor and the relevant physical and geometrical quantities like deceleration parameter, statefinder parameters,  $Om$  diagnostic, effective equation of state parameter, and entropy and generalized second law of thermodynamics, respectively, and discuss their evolutions in detail. We discuss and summarize our results in Section 4.

In the present paper, we assume  $8\pi G = 1$  and  $c = 1$ .

## 2 Review of Modified $f(R, T)$ gravity

The action of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity [59] is defined as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [f(R, T) + 2\mathcal{L}_m], \quad (2)$$

where  $f(R, T)$  is an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar  $R$  and trace  $T$  of the stress energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  of the matter,  $g$  is the determinant of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  represents the matter Lagrangian density. The stress energy tensor is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_m)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3)$$

Assuming that the Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}_m$  of matter depends only on the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  which leads to

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_m - 2\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_m}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (4)$$

Variation of the action (2) with respect to the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  gives the field equations

$$f_R(R, T)R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f(R, T)g_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)f_R(R, T) = T_{\mu\nu} - f_T(R, T)(T_{\mu\nu} + \ominus_{\mu\nu}), \quad (5)$$

where the tensor  $\ominus_{\mu\nu}$  is given by

$$\ominus_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_m - 2g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{L}_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}. \quad (6)$$

Here,  $f_R = \partial f/\partial R$ ,  $f_T = \partial f/\partial T$ ,  $\square \equiv \nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu$ ,  $\nabla_\mu$  is the covariant derivative.

Harko *et al.* [59] introduced the form of  $f(R, T) = R + f(T)$ , where  $f(T) = \lambda T$ ,  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary constant. Using this form of  $f(R, T)$ , the field equations (5) are

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \lambda(T_{\mu\nu} + \ominus_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda g_{\mu\nu} T, \quad (7)$$

According to Harko *et al.* [59] the matter Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_m$  may be chosen as  $\mathcal{L}_m = -p$ , where  $p$  is the thermodynamical pressure of matter content of the universe. Then, Eq.(6) becomes as  $\ominus_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} - p g_{\mu\nu}$ . Substituting this value into Eq.(7), we get

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + \lambda(T_{\mu\nu} + p g_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda T g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (8)$$

## 3 Field equations of bulk viscous new HDE model

We assume that the universe is filled with bulk viscous HDE fluid and dust dark matter(excluding the baryonic matter), and its geometry is given by a spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) [dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)], \quad (9)$$

where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor,  $t$  is the cosmic time and  $(r, \theta, \phi)$  are the comoving coordinates.

The stress-energy-momentum tensor in the presence of bulk viscous term is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_m + \rho_d)u_\mu u_\nu + (g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu)\tilde{p}_d, \quad (10)$$

where  $\rho_m$  and  $\rho_d$  are respectively the energy density of DM and new HDE, and  $\tilde{p}_d$  is an effective pressure composed by the pressure  $p_d$  of new HDE plus the bulk viscous pressure.

Because of the assumed isotropic and homogeneity of the model, the shear viscosity plays no role, and only the bulk viscosity  $\zeta$  has to be considered. In general, the presence of  $\zeta$  does not have any influence upon the (00)-component of the equations of motion. The only change in the formalism because of viscosity is that the thermodynamical pressure becomes replaced with the effective pressure  $\tilde{p}_d$  defined as

$$\tilde{p}_d = p_d - \zeta \nabla_\nu u^\nu, \quad (11)$$

where  $\zeta$  is a coefficient of bulk viscosity that arises in a fluid when it is out of local thermodynamic equilibrium and that induces a viscous pressure equals to  $-\zeta \nabla_\nu u^\nu$ . The form of the above equation was originally proposed by Eckart [41] in the context of relativistic dissipative process occurring in thermodynamic systems went out of local thermal equilibrium. Many authors [65–68] have used Eckart approach to explain the recent acceleration of the universe with bulk viscous fluid. In particular, it has been used to model bulk viscous dark fluids as responsible of the observed acceleration of the universe. It is assumed that the approximation of vanishing relaxation time is valid for this purpose for instance [69–71]. In a paper [69], it is mentioned that Hiscock and Salmonson [72] showed that a flat FRW model with a bulk viscous Boltzmann gas expands faster when the Eckart framework is used. These motivate us to use Eckart formalism, especially when one tries to look at the phenomenon of recent acceleration of the universe.

In  $f(R, T)$  theory when it is considered the effective pressure, the matter Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L}_m = -\tilde{p}_d$ . Therefore, Eq.(6) gives  $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{p}_d g_{\mu\nu}$ , where the trace,  $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ , has now the form of  $T = \rho_m + \rho_d - 3(p_d - \zeta \nabla_\nu u^\nu)$ .

Using above values of  $\tilde{p}_d$ ,  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $T$ , the field equations (8) for the line element (9) and energy stress tensor (10) yield

$$3H^2 = \rho_m + \rho_d + \lambda (\rho_m + \rho_d + p_d - 3\zeta H) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda T, \quad (12)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -p_d + 3\zeta H + \frac{1}{2}\lambda T. \quad (13)$$

where  $H = \dot{a}/a$  is the Hubble parameter and the bulk viscous pressure  $-\zeta \nabla_\nu u^\nu$  can be written as  $-3\zeta H$ . An over dot denotes time derivative. We assume that a relation between  $p_d$  and  $\rho_d$  is connected by an equation of state (EoS),  $p_d = \omega_d \rho_d$ , where  $\omega_d$  is the EoS parameter of new HDE. Therefore, from dynamical equations (12) and (13), a single evolution equation for  $H$  can be obtained as

$$2\dot{H} + (1 + \lambda)[\rho_m + (1 + \omega_d)\rho_d] - 3(1 + \lambda)\zeta H = 0. \quad (14)$$

Following the Granda and Oliveros [32], the energy density of new HDE can be written as

$$\rho_d = 3(\alpha H^2 + \beta \dot{H}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the dimensionless parameters, which must satisfy the restrictions imposed by the current observational data.

Using (15) into (12), the energy density  $\rho_m$  of DM can be obtained as

$$\rho_m = \frac{3}{(2+3\lambda)} \left[ (2-2\alpha-3\lambda\alpha+\lambda\alpha\omega_d)H^2 - \lambda\zeta H - \beta(2+3\lambda-\lambda\omega_d)\dot{H} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (15) and (16) into (14), we get finally the first order differential equation for the Hubble parameter as

$$\dot{H} + (1+2\lambda\alpha\omega_d + \alpha\omega_d)AH^2 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta AH = 0. \quad (17)$$

where  $A = \frac{3(1+\lambda)}{2+3\lambda+3\beta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\omega_d}$ .

On the thermodynamical grounds,  $\zeta$  is conventionally chosen to be a positive quantity and generically depends on the cosmic time  $t$ , or redshift  $z$ , or the scale factor  $a$ , or the energy density  $\rho_d$ , or a more complicated combination form. Maartens [73] assumed the bulk viscous coefficient as  $\zeta \propto \rho^n$ , where  $n$  is a constant. Li and Barrow [74] have studied a unified model for the dark sectors with a single component universe consisting of bulk viscous dark matter. They have assumed the bulk viscous coefficient as a function of energy density alone. They have observed that the model shows an early deceleration and late time acceleration. In the Refs. [49, 50, 75], the most general form of bulk viscosity has been considered with generalized equation of state. Many authors have considered various forms of bulk viscous coefficient to discuss the cosmological models. Here, we analyze the properties of viscous new HDE by considering bulk viscous coefficient as [49, 50, 69, 75]

$$\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H, \quad (18)$$

where  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  are positive constants. The motivation for choosing this bulk viscosity is that the viscosity phenomenon is associated with velocity and acceleration. We discuss the cosmological issues through a parameterized bulk viscosity that is a linear combination of two terms. The second term  $\zeta_1 H$  describes bulk viscosity proportional to the Hubble parameter  $H$ , i.e., expansion ratio of the universe. The model with first term is discussed by many authors. Thus, a linear combination of these two terms may provide better results.

Using the ansatz (18) into (17), we get the evolution equation as

$$\dot{H} + \{1 + (1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}AH^2 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 AH = 0. \quad (19)$$

In what follows we solve (19) to find the cosmological parameters, Hubble parameter, scale factor, deceleration parameter in terms of cosmic time  $t$  and  $a$  and discuss the behavior in detail.

### 3.1 Evolution of the scale factor

On solving (19), we get

$$H = \frac{e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0 t}}{c_1 + \frac{\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}}{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0} e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0 t}}, \quad (20)$$

where  $c_1$  is the constant of integration. Now, using  $H = \dot{a}/a$ , we can obtain the scale factor as

$$a = c_2 \left[ c_1 + \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0} e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0 t} \right]^{\frac{1}{A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)\}}}, \quad (21)$$

where  $c_2$  is another integration constant. Assuming  $H = H_0$  at  $t = t_0$ , where  $t_0$  is the present time when viscous new HDE starts to dominate, Eq.(20) can be written as

$$H(t) = H_0 e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)} \left[ 1 + \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0} \left( e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)} - 1 \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

If we consider  $a = a_0 = 1$  at  $t = t_0$ , then the scale factor (21) can be written as

$$a(t) = \left[ 1 + \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0} \left( e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)} - 1 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)\}}}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\lambda \neq -1/2$  and  $\zeta_0 \neq 0$ . It is observed that the scale factor is of exponential form which can explain the phase transition. We can analyze the behavior of the scale factor for all possible combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  and model parameter  $\lambda$ . Taking  $a(t) = 0$ , we obtain the cosmic time when the Big-Bang happens

$$t(\text{at Big-Bang}) = t_0 + \frac{1}{(1 + 2\lambda)A\zeta_0} \ln \left[ 1 - \frac{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0}{\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0} \right]. \quad (24)$$

In early time of evolution, the scale factor (23) can be approximated by

$$a \sim \left[ 1 + \frac{3H_0(1 + \lambda)\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}}{2 + 3\lambda + 3\beta(1 + \lambda)(1 + 2\lambda)} (t - t_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)\}}}, \quad (25)$$

which shows the decelerated expansion in early time. In late time of evolution, the scale factor behaves as

$$a(t) \sim \exp[(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t - t_0)], \quad (26)$$

which shows the de Sitter universe, *i.e.*, the universe expands with accelerated rate in the late-time of the evolution. This shows that the scale factor at the respective limits has an earlier decelerated phase followed by an accelerated phase in the later stage of the evolution.

Let us compute the second order derivatives of (23), which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} &= H_0^2 \left( e^{2(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{[(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0 - \{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0]A}{H_0} e^{-(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)} \right] \\ &\times \left[ 1 + \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0} \left( e^{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)} - 1 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)\}} - 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Equating (27) to zero to get the transition time,  $t_{trans}$  between the decelerated to the accelerated expansion epochs, which is given by

$$t_{trans} = t_0 + \frac{1}{A(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0} \ln \left\{ \frac{A[\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0 - (1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0]}{H_0} \right\}. \quad (28)$$



Using (23) into (22), the Hubble parameter in terms of the scale factor can be written as

$$H(a) = \frac{(1+2\lambda)H_0}{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]} \left[ \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} + \left\{ \frac{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} \right\} a^{-A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}} \right]. \quad (29)$$

Differentiating (29) with respect to  $a$ , we obtain


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\dot{a}}{da} = & \frac{(1+2\lambda)H_0}{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]} \left[ \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} - \left( \frac{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \left( \frac{1+3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\{(\alpha-\beta)\omega_d - \zeta_1\}}{2+3\lambda+3\beta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\omega_d} \right) a^{-A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Equating (30) to zero, the transition between the decelerated to the accelerated phase in terms of the scale factor can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} a_T = & \left[ \left\{ \frac{1+3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)[(\alpha-\beta)\omega_d - \zeta_1]}{(1+2\lambda)\{2+3\lambda+3\beta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\omega_d\}\zeta_0} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left\{ [1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and the corresponding transition redshift  $z = a^{-1} - 1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} z_T = & \left[ \left\{ \frac{1+3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)[(\alpha-\beta)\omega_d - \zeta_1]}{(1+2\lambda)\{2+3\lambda+3\beta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\omega_d\}\zeta_0} \right\} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left\{ [1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 \right\} \right]^{-\frac{1}{A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}} - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$



**Fig.1** The evolution of scale factor with respect to  $(t - t_0)$  for different combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\lambda = 0.06$ ,  $H_0 = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .

Here,  $T$  stands for transition. From (31) and (32), we observe that the transition from decelerated to accelerated epoch takes place at present time, *i.e.*, at  $a_T = 1$  or  $z_T = 0$  for  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) = \frac{\{1+3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)(\alpha-\beta)\omega_d\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$  depending on positive or negative value of  $\lambda$ . Especially, substituting the observational values of model parameters  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$  [76] along with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = 1$ , we get  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.096$  for positive value of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.218$  for negative value of  $\lambda = -0.06$ , respectively. Thus, for the present time transition from deceleration to acceleration takes place at  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.096$  for positive values of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and for negative value of  $\lambda = -0.06$ , we get  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.218$ . A plot of the evolution of the scale factor is given in Fig. 1. for different values of a combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  and positive value of  $\lambda$ . For  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) < 0.096$ , the scale factor has a deceleration phase followed by an accelerated phase in late time. For  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) = 0.096$ , the transition takes place at present time and for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.096$ , the transition from decelerated phase to accelerated phase occurs at early time. For higher combination of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ , acceleration takes place in infinite past. Similar behavior can be observed for the negative value of  $\lambda$ . Fig.1 plots the graph of the scale factor versus time for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ . A dot on each trajectory denotes the present time transition from decelerated phase to accelerated phase.

### 3.2 Behavior of the deceleration parameter

Now, the evolutionary behavior of the universe can also be discussed by the deceleration parameter, which is defined by  $q = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{a^2}$ . Using (23), the deceleration parameter can be obtained as

$$q(t) = \left\{ \frac{[\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0 - (1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0]A}{H_0} \right\} e^{-(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)} - 1. \quad (33)$$

Equation (33) shows that the deceleration parameter is time-dependent which may describe the phase transition. It can be observed that deceleration parameter must change its sign at  $t = t_0$ . The sign of  $q$  is positive for  $t < t_0$  and it is negative for  $t > t_0$ .

The deceleration parameter in terms of  $a$  can be obtained as

$$q(a) = A[\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0 - (1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0] \\ \times \left[ \frac{[1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0 \{a^{A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)]} - 1\} + [1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0} \right] - 1. \quad (34)$$

In the terms of redshift, the deceleration parameter is given by

$$q(z) = A[\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0 - (1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0] \\ \times \left[ \frac{[1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{(1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0 \{(1 + z)^{-A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)]} - 1\} + [1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0} \right] - 1. \quad (35)$$

The present value of  $q$  corresponds to  $z = 0$  or  $a = 1$  is,

$$q_0 = \frac{[\{1 + (1 + 2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0 - (1 + 2\lambda)\zeta_0]A}{H_0} - 1. \quad (36)$$

Table 1: Variation of  $q$  for  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ 

$\lambda$	Constraints on $\zeta_0$ and $\zeta_1$	$q$	Evolution of the Universe
$\lambda \geq 1.272$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$0.24 \leq \lambda < 1.272$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-0.5 < \lambda < 0.24$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	+ve to -ve Negative	Transition from dec. to acc. Accelerated expansion
$-0.69 \leq \lambda \leq -0.5$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$-1 \leq \lambda < -0.69$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-1.73 \leq \lambda < -1$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	-ve to +ve Positive	Transition from acc. to dec. Decelerated expansion
$\lambda < -1.73$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion

 Table 2: Variation of  $q$  for  $\omega_d = -1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ 

$\lambda$	Constraints on $\zeta_0$ and $\zeta_1$	$q$	Evolution of the Universe
$\lambda \geq 0.265$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$-0.032 \leq \lambda < 0.265$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-0.5 < \lambda < -0.032$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	+ve to -ve Negative	Transition from dec. to acc. Accelerated expansion
$-0.727 < \lambda \leq -0.5$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$-1 \leq \lambda \leq -0.727$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-1.46 \leq \lambda < -1$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	-ve to +ve Positive	Transition from acc. to dec. Decelerated expansion
$\lambda < -1.46$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion

This equation shows that if  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) = \frac{\{1+3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)(\alpha-\beta)\omega_d\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , the value of  $q_0 = 0$ . Thus, the transition into accelerating phase would occur at present time for this combination of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ . Especially, taking  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0.06$  in above expression, we get  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.096$ , which gives  $q_0 = 0$ .

Tables 1-3 discuss the behavior of deceleration parameter and corresponding evolution for different ranges of  $\lambda$  under constraints on  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1)$ . We consider three different values of  $\omega_d$  of three different phases, *e.g.*,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $-1$  and  $-1.1414$ , respectively. We assume the observational values of the model parameters are  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$  [76].

In table 1, we observe that for any positive value of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , the model corresponds to the decelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $\lambda \geq 1.272$ ,  $-0.69 \leq \lambda \leq -0.5$  and  $\lambda <$

Table 3: Variation of  $q$  for  $\omega_d = -1.1414$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ 

$\lambda$	Constraints on $\zeta_0$ and $\zeta_1$	$q$	Evolution of the Universe
$\lambda \geq 0.145$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$-0.072 \leq \lambda < 0.145$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-0.5 < \lambda < -0.072$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	+ve to -ve Negative	Transition from dec. to acc. Accelerated expansion
$-0.735 \leq \lambda \leq -0.5$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion
$-1 \leq \lambda < -0.735$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Negative	Accelerated expansion
$-1.42 \leq \lambda < -1$	$0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$	-ve to +ve Positive	Transition from acc. to dec. Decelerated expansion
$\lambda < -1.42$	For all $\zeta_0 > 0$ and $\zeta_1 > 0$	Positive	Decelerated expansion

$-1.73$ , and the model corresponds to the accelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $0.24 \leq \lambda < 1.272$  and  $-1 \leq \lambda < -0.69$ . For smaller values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , the universe shows the phase transition from positive to negative for  $-0.5 < \lambda < 0.24$  and shows the phase transition from negative to positive for  $-1.73 \leq \lambda < -1$ . The larger values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-0.55275(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , shows that the universe represents the accelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-0.5 < \lambda < 0.24$  and represents the decelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-1.73 \leq \lambda < -1$ .

Table 2 represents the analysis of the evolution of the universe for  $\omega_d = -1$  along with the observational values of model parameters. We observe that for any positive value of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , the model corresponds to the decelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $\lambda \geq 0.265$ ,  $-0.727 < \lambda \leq -0.5$  and  $\lambda < -1.46$  ranges of  $\lambda$ , and the model corresponds to the accelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-0.032 \leq \lambda < 0.265$  and  $-1 \leq \lambda \leq -0.727$ . For smaller values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , the universe shows the phase transition from deceleration to acceleration for  $-0.5 < \lambda < -0.032$  and shows the phase transition from acceleration to deceleration for  $-1.46 \leq \lambda < -1$ . The larger values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.1055(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , shows that the universe accelerates throughout the evolution for  $-0.5 < \lambda < -0.032$  and decelerates throughout the evolution for  $-1.46 \leq \lambda < -1$ .

In Table 3, we consider the value of  $\omega_d < -1$ , *e.g.*,  $\omega_d = -1.1414$  with the same observational values of model parameters. We observe that for any positive value of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , the model shows the decelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $\lambda \geq 0.145$ ,  $-0.735 \leq \lambda \leq -0.5$  and  $\lambda < -1.42$ , and the model corresponds to the accelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-0.072 \leq \lambda < 0.145$  and  $-1 \leq \lambda < -0.735$ . The smaller values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $0 < (\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) < \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , shows the phase transition from deceleration to acceleration for  $-0.5 < \lambda < -0.072$  and the phase transition from acceleration to deceleration occur for  $-1.42 \leq \lambda < -1$ . For the larger values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ , *i.e.*,  $(\zeta_0 + H_0\zeta_1) \geq \frac{\{1-1.26182(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)\}H_0}{3(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)}$ , the universe represents the accelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-0.5 < \lambda < -0.072$  and shows the decelerated expansion throughout the evolution for  $-1.42 \leq \lambda < -1$ .

### 3.3 Statefinder diagnostic

Sahni *et al.* [77] and Alam *et al.* [78] proposed a new geometrical diagnostic pair  $\{r, s\}$  called statefinder. The statefinder is a geometrical diagnostic in the sense that it is constructed from a space-time metric directly, and allows us to characterise the properties of DE in a model independent manner. It is dimensionless and is constructed from the scale factor  $a(t)$  and its derivatives up to the third order. The statefinder pair is defined as

$$r = \frac{\ddot{a}}{aH^3} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{r-1}{3(q-1/2)}. \quad (37)$$

This pair has a fixed point values  $\{r, s\} = \{1, 0\}$  and  $\{r, s\} = \{1, 1\}$  for  $\Lambda$ CDM and  $SCDM$  models, respectively. The statefinder method has been extensively used in the literature to distinguish among various models of dark energy and modified theories of gravity.

On substituting the required values in above, we get

$$r = 1 + \left[ \frac{3A \left\{ 1 - \frac{A[(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{3} \right\} \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1))]H_0 \right\}}{H_0 e^{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)}} \right] + \left[ \frac{A^2 \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1))]H_0 \right\}^2}{H_0^2 e^{2(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)}} \right], \quad (38)$$

and

$$s = \frac{2A \left\{ 1 - \frac{A[(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{3} \right\} \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1))]H_0 \right\}}{3H_0 e^{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)}} + \frac{2A^2 \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1))]H_0 \right\}^2}{9H_0^2 e^{2(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)}}}{\frac{2A \left\{ [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1))]H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 \right\}}{3H_0 e^{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 A(t-t_0)}}} - 1, \quad (39)$$


which are time dependent due to the presence of bulk viscosity coefficient. Equations (38) and (39) show that in the limit  $(t - t_0) \rightarrow \infty$ , the statefinder parameters  $\{r, s\} \rightarrow \{1, 0\}$ , a value corresponding to the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. Hence, the viscous new HDE model resembles the  $\Lambda$ CDM model in future. Now, we can plot the  $r - s$  trajectory in  $r - s$  plane and  $r - q$  trajectory in  $r - q$  plane to analyse viscous new HDE model in the framework of  $f(R, T)$  theory. It can be observed that the values of  $\{r, s\}$  depend on the choice of coupling parameter  $\lambda$  and the viscosity coefficients  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ . We consider the observational values of model parameters  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$  and  $H_0 = 1$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$  to plot these trajectories for positive and negative value of  $\lambda$  along with the different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ . The  $r - s$  and  $r - q$  trajectories for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  and positive value of  $\lambda$  (*e.g.*,  $\lambda = 0.06$ ) are shown in Figs. 2a and 2b, respectively. Figs. 3a and 3b show the respective  $r - s$  and  $r - q$  trajectories for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  and

negative value of  $\lambda$  (e.g.,  $\lambda = -0.06$ ). The present value of the statefinder pair are


$$r_0 = 1 + \left[ \frac{3A \left\{ 1 - \frac{A[(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{3} \right\} \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 \right\}}{H_0} \right]}{A^2 \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 \right\}^2} \right], \quad (40)$$

and

$$s_0 = \frac{2A \left\{ 1 - \frac{A[(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{3} \right\} \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 \right\}}{3H_0} + \frac{2A^2 \left\{ (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 \right\}^2}{9H_0^2}}{2A \left\{ [(1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 \right\}} - 1. \quad (41)$$



**Fig.2(a)** The  $r - s$  trajectories are plotted in  $r - s$  plane for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$  and  $\lambda = 0.06$ . The arrows represent the directions of the evolutions of statefinder diagnostic pair with time.




**Fig.2(b)** The  $r - q$  trajectories are plotted in  $r - q$  plane for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$  and  $\lambda = 0.06$ . The arrows represent the directions of the evolutions of statefinder diagnostic pair with time.

In Figs. 2a and 3a, stars represent the fixed point values of  $\Lambda$ CDM and  $SCDM$  models, dots represent the present time values of  $\{r, s\} = \{r_0, s_0\}$  and  $\{r, q\} = \{r_0, q_0\}$ , and the arrows represent the direction of the trajectories. The  $r - s$  planes in Fig. 2a and 3a are divided into two regions  $r < 1, s > 0$  and  $r > 1, s < 0$  by a vertical line passing through the point (1,0). The trajectories in the  $\{r, s\}$  planes lying in the region  $r < 1, s > 0$ , a feature similar to the quintessence model(Q-model) of DE [79]. The trajectories in the  $\{r, s\}$  planes lying in the region  $r > 1, s < 0$ , a feature


similar to the generalized Chaplygin gas (CG) model of DE [77, 78]. Here, we obtain a parabolic trajectory for both cases on  $\lambda$ . From Fig. 2a, for  $\lambda = 0.06$  we notice that the model behaves like  $Q$ -model for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$ , whereas for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.46$  the model mimics like  $CG$  model. The trajectories in both the regions converge to  $\Lambda$ CDM model in late time of evolution. In the case  $\lambda = -0.06$ , we observe from Fig. 3a that all the  $r - s$  trajectories lie in the region  $(r < 1, s > 0)$  for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$  which imply that the viscous new HDE model corresponds to  $Q$  model while the trajectories lie in  $(r > 1, s < 0)$  region for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.71$ , *i.e.*, the model behaves like  $CG$  model. In late time the viscous new HDE model approaches to  $\Lambda$ CDM. For some combinations like  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) = (0.08, 0.02)$ , the trajectory starts in the vicinity of the  $SCDM$  model and approaches to  $\Lambda$ CDM. Thus, we can conclude that for any value of  $\lambda$  (either positive or negative), our viscous new HDE model in the framework of  $f(R, T)$  theory mimics like  $Q$  and  $CG$  models for specific range of viscosity coefficients and in late time of evolution it always converges to  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

The  $r - q$  trajectories in  $r - q$  plane for positive and negative values of  $\lambda$  and for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  are shown in Figs 2b and 3b, respectively. Here, in both the figures stars represent the fixed point values  $\{r, q\} = \{1, 0.5\}$  for  $SCDM$  model and  $\{r, q\} = \{1, -1\}$  for Steady State ( $SS$ ) model. The arrows represent the direction of the trajectories and the horizontal line at  $r = 1$  represents the time evolution of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. It can be observed that  $q$  changes its sign from positive to negative with respect to time for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$  in case of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$  in case  $\lambda = -0.06$ , which show the phase transition from decelerated phase to accelerated phase. For  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.46$  when  $\lambda = 0.06$  and  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.71$  when  $\lambda = -0.06$ ,  $q$  is always negative showing behavior of phantom. In the beginning this model behaves different from  $\Lambda$ CDM model but in late time it behaves the same as  $\Lambda$ CDM which converges to  $SS$  model in late time evolution. In Fig.3b, we observe that for some small values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ , like  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) = (0.08, 0.02)$ , the  $r - q$  trajectory starts in the neighbourhood of the  $SCDM$  model. The present position of  $\{r, s\} = \{r_0, s_0\}$  is indicated by dot in the plot. This means that the present viscous new HDE model is distinguishably different from the  $\Lambda$ CDM model but in late time it converges to  $SS$  model.

The present viscous new HDE model can also be discriminated from the holographic dark energy model with event horizon as the infrared cutoff, in which the  $r - s$  evolution starts from a region  $r \sim 1, s \sim 2/3$  and ends on the  $\Lambda$ CDM point [80]. It can also be discriminated from Ricci dark energy model in which  $(r, s)$  trajectory is a vertical segment, *i.e.*,  $s$  is a constant during the evolution of the universe [81].



**Fig.3(a)** The  $r - s$  trajectories are plotted in  $r - s$  plane for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$  and  $\lambda = -0.06$ . The arrows represent the directions of the evolutions of statefinder diagnostic pair with time.



**Fig.3(b)** The  $r - q$  trajectories are plotted in  $r - q$  plane for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$  and  $\lambda = -0.06$ . The arrows represent the directions of the evolutions of statefinder diagnostic pair with time.

### 3.4 $Om$ diagnostic


Sahni *et al.* [82] introduced a new geometrical diagnostic known as  $Om$ , which is a combination of the Hubble parameter and the cosmological redshift. Like the statefinder,  $Om$  depends only upon the expansion history of the universe. But, it's dependency only on the first derivative of the scale factor imply that it is easier to construct the  $Om$  as compare to statefinder parameters. It can discriminate dynamical dark energy models from  $\Lambda$ CDM, in a robust way, even if the value of the matter density is not precisely known. Secondly, it can provide a null test of  $\Lambda$ CDM hypothesis, *i.e.*,  $Om(z) - \Omega_{0m} = 0$ , if dark energy is a cosmological constant.  $Om$  has zero, negative and positive curvatures for  $\Lambda$ CDM, quintessence and phantom models, respectively. Many authors [83–85] have studied the DE models based on  $Om(z)$  diagnostic. Following Sahni *et al.* [82],  $Om(z)$  for spatially flat universe is defined as

$$Om(z) = \frac{\frac{H^2(z)}{H_0^2} - 1}{(1+z)^3 - 1}, \quad (42)$$


where  $H_0$  is the present value of the Hubble parameter. On substituting the required value of  $H(z)$  from (29) into (42), we get the value of  $Om(z)$  as

$$Om(z) = \frac{\frac{(1+2\lambda)^2}{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)]^2} \left[ \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} + \left\{ \frac{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)]}{(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} \right\} (1+z)^{A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d-\zeta_1)]} \right]^2 - 1}{[(1+z)^3 - 1]}. \quad (43)$$





**Fig.4(a)** The  $Om - z$  trajectories are plotted in  $Om - z$  plane for different values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$  and  $\lambda = 0.06$  along with the observational value of  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .



**Fig.4(b)** The  $Om - z$  trajectories are plotted in  $Om - z$  plane for different values of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$  and  $\lambda = -0.06$  along with the observational value of  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .

In Fig. 4a, we plot the evolution of  $Om(z)$  against redshift  $z$  corresponding to different values of a combination of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0.06$ . Similarly, in Fig. 4b, we plot the evolution of  $Om(z)$  against redshift  $z$  corresponding to different values of a combination of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = 1$  and  $\lambda = -0.06$ . The trajectory in Fig.4a is divided horizontally into two regions. In lower region, it may be seen that  $Om(z)$  decreases as  $z$  decreases for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.46$ , so positive slope of  $Om(z)$  suggests phantom ( $\omega_d < -1$ ) like behavior in the presence of viscosity with positive values of  $\lambda = 0.06$ . However, in upper region,  $Om(z)$  increases as  $z$  decreases for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$ , so negative slope of  $Om(z)$  indicating quintessence like behavior in the presence of viscosity.

Similarly, the trajectory in Fig.4b is divided horizontally into two regions. In lower region, it may be seen that  $Om(z)$  decreases as  $z$  decreases for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.71$ , so positive slope of  $Om(z)$  suggests phantom ( $\omega_d < -1$ ) like behavior in the presence of viscosity with positive values of  $\lambda = -0.06$ . However, in upper region,  $Om(z)$  increases as  $z$  decreases for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$ , so negative slope of  $Om(z)$  indicating quintessence like behavior in the presence of viscosity. In the late time of evolution when  $z = -1$ , we get  $Om(z) = 1 - \frac{(1+2\lambda)^2 \zeta_0^2}{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]^2 H_0^2}$ , which is the constant value of  $Om(z)$ , *i.e.*, zero curvature. Thus, in late time the viscous new HDE corresponds to  $\Lambda$ CDM.

### 3.5 Effective Equation of state parameter


Let us discuss the bulk viscous effect on effective equation of state parameter,  $\omega_{eff}$  which is given by

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{p_d - 3\zeta H}{\rho_m + \rho_d}, \quad (44)$$


where  $p_m = 0$ . On substituting the values of  $p_d$ ,  $\zeta$ ,  $H$ ,  $\rho_m$  and  $\rho_d$  in Eq. (44), we get

$$\omega_{eff} = \frac{(2 + 3\lambda) \left[ \frac{\{(1+2\lambda)A\beta\omega_d - 1\}[(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - \{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0]}{e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)}} - H_0 \right]}{\left[ \frac{\{(1+2\lambda)A\beta\omega_d - 1\}[(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 - \{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}H_0]\lambda}{e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)}} + (2 + 3\lambda + \alpha\lambda + 2\alpha\lambda^2)H_0 \right]}. \quad (45)$$

The evolutions of  $\omega_{eff}$  versus  $t$  are shown in Figs. 5a and 5b for different pairs of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  in respect of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and  $\lambda = -0.06$ , respectively. Figure 5a shows that the trajectories of  $\omega_{eff}$  start from  $\omega_{eff} > -1$  (it may also start from matter-dominated era) for small values and  $\omega_{eff} < -1$  for large values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  in respect of  $\lambda = 0.06$ . As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\omega_{eff}$  approaches to a constant for all values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ , *i.e.*,  $\omega_{eff} \rightarrow -0.9745$ . There is no  $\omega_{eff} = -1$  crossing for small values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  but for large values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  it will cross the  $\omega_{eff} = -1$ . In Fig. 5b where we have  $\lambda = -0.06$ , we can observe the similar evolution of  $\omega_{eff}$ . However,  $\omega_{eff} \rightarrow -1.0252$  in late times, *i.e.*, it crosses  $\omega_{eff} = -1$  for small values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  but it will not cross for large values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ . Thus, the effective equation of state parameter for both models shows consistency with the observational data given in Ref. [86]. We can say that the dark energy phenomena may be obtained in the presence of viscous fluid.



**Fig.5(a)** The evolution of  $\omega_{eff}$  for different values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  in respect of  $\lambda = 0.06$ . We take  $H_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .



**Fig.5(b)** The evolution of  $\omega_{eff}$  for different values of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  in respect of  $\lambda = -0.06$ . We take  $H_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .

### 3.6 Entropy and second law of thermodynamics

The local entropy production for a fluid on a flat FRW spacetime is expressed as [87]

$$T\nabla_\nu s^\nu = \zeta(\nabla_\nu u^\nu)^2 = 9H^2\zeta \quad (46)$$

where  $T$  is the temperature,  $\nabla_\nu s^\nu$  is the rate at which entropy is being generated in unit volume, and  $\zeta$  is the total bulk viscosity.

The second law of thermodynamics can be stated as

$$T\nabla_\nu s^\nu \geq 0 \quad (47)$$

Since the Hubble parameter  $H$  is positive in an expanding universe, then  $\zeta$  has to be positive in order to preserve the validity of the second law of thermodynamics. Thus, equation (46) implies that

$$\zeta \geq 0. \quad (48)$$

Thus, for the present model the inequality (48) can be written as

$$\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H \geq 0. \quad (49)$$


Using (29), we find the expression for the total bulk viscosity  $\zeta(a)$  as

$$\zeta(a) = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 \left[ \frac{(1+2\lambda)H_0}{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]} \left( \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} + \left\{ \frac{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}{(1+2\lambda)} - \frac{\zeta_0}{H_0} \right\} + a^{-A\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}} \right) \right]. \quad (50)$$


The value of the scale factor, at which the transition of the total bulk viscosity between negative to positive values happen, is

$$a_{np} = \left[ \frac{\{1+(1+2\lambda)\alpha\omega_d\}\zeta_0}{\{(1+2\lambda)(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H_0 - \alpha\omega_d) - 1\}\zeta_1} \right]^{-\frac{1}{A[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)]}}, \quad (51)$$

where, the subscript “np” stands for “negative to positive” values. In late time of evolution, *i.e.*, at  $a \rightarrow \infty$  the total bulk viscosity is  $\zeta(a) = \frac{\{1+(1+2\lambda)\alpha\omega_d\}\zeta_0}{\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\}}$ .



**Fig.6(a)** The evolution of  $\zeta(a)$  for different combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\lambda = 0.06$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .



**Fig.6(b)** The evolution of  $\zeta(a)$  for different combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\lambda = -0.06$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .

Figures 6a and 6b represent the evolution of the total bulk viscous coefficient  $\zeta$  with respect to the scale factor for the different combinations of the  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  with positive ( $\lambda = 0.06$ ) and negative ( $\lambda = -0.06$ ) values of the coupling parameter, respectively. The figures 6a and 6b show that the total bulk viscosity is positive throughout the evolution of the universe for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$  with  $\lambda = 0.06$ , and  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$  with  $\lambda = -0.06$ , respectively. These curves have been shown above the line  $(0,0)$ . Therefore, the model does not violate the entropy law for these ranges. The figures also show that the total bulk viscous coefficient is evolving from negative to positive value for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \geq 0.46$  and  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \geq 0.71$ , respectively. Thus, the rate of entropy production is negative for large values of  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)$  in early epoch and positive in the later epoch. Hence the entropy law violates in the early epoch and obeys in the later epoch for these values of  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)$ .

In an absolute way the status of the second law of thermodynamics should be considered along with the accounting of the entropy generation from the horizon. In those circumstances, the second law becomes the generalised second law (GSL) of thermodynamics, according to which the total sum of the entropies of the fluid components of the universe plus that of the horizon entropy should never decrease [88, 89]. In the present model this means the rate of change of complete entropy

along with horizon must be greater than or equal to 0. Mathew *et al.* [89] have discussed the status of GSL for flat FRW universe with matter and cosmological vacuum. Karami *et al.* [90] have discussed the status of GSL in a flat universe with viscous dark energy and have shown that the GSL is valid in FRW universe with apparent horizon as the boundary. Many authors [91, 92] have verified the status of GSL with apparent horizon as the boundary. The status of GSL in the modified gravity theories have also been discussed by many authors [93–96] with the apparent horizon as the boundary.

Let us verify the GSL of thermodynamics for this model. As stated above we consider the apparent horizon as the boundary of the universe. Then, the GSL can be stated as

$$\frac{d}{dt}(S_{tot}) = \frac{d}{dt}(S_m + S_d + S_p + \tilde{S}_h) \geq 0, \quad (52)$$

where  $S_m$ ,  $S_d$ ,  $S_p$  and  $\tilde{S}_h$  are the entropies of the dark matter, dark energy, the entropy production and the entropy of the apparent horizon, respectively.

From the first law of thermodynamics the change of entropy of the viscous matter inside the apparent horizon can be obtained using Gibbs equation

$$T_i dS_i = d(\rho_i V) + p_i dV, \quad (53)$$

where  $T_i$  is the temperature and  $S_i = S_m + S_d$ , is the sum of the entropies of the dark matter and dark energy,  $\rho_i$  represents the sum of the densities of the dark matter and dark energy,  $V = \frac{4\pi\tilde{r}_h^3}{3}$  is the volume of the apparent horizon with  $\tilde{r}_h$  as a radius of the apparent horizon and  $p_i = \tilde{p}_d = p_d - 3\zeta H$  as the effective pressure.

In the present viscous model the above Gibbs equation modifies to [94–96]

$$T_i dS_i = d(\rho_i V) + p_i dV - T_i dS_p. \quad (54)$$

The radius  $\tilde{r}_h$  of the apparent horizon for a flat FRW universe, is defined as [96]

$$\tilde{r}_h = \frac{1}{H}. \quad (55)$$

In the  $f(R, T)$  theories the entropy associated with the apparent horizon is defined as

$$\tilde{S}_h = \frac{\tilde{A}_h}{4} \frac{f_R(R, T)}{\left(\frac{1}{8\pi} + \frac{f_T(R, T)}{8\pi}\right)} = \frac{2\pi\tilde{A}_h}{(1+\lambda)} = \frac{8\pi^2\tilde{r}_h^2}{(1+\lambda)}, \quad (56)$$

where  $\tilde{A}_h = 4\pi\tilde{r}_h^2$  is the area of the apparent horizon. In this paper, we have taken  $8\pi G = 1$ . Taking the derivative of Eq. (56) with respect to time  $t$ , we get

$$\dot{\tilde{S}}_h = \frac{16\pi^2\tilde{r}_h\dot{\tilde{r}}_h}{(1+\lambda)} = \frac{16\pi^2}{(1+\lambda)H} \left(-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right). \quad (57)$$

Now, from (54) we have

$$T_i(\dot{S}_m + \dot{S}_d + \dot{S}_p) = 4\pi\tilde{r}_h^2(\dot{\tilde{r}}_h - 1)\{\rho_m + (1 + \omega_d)\rho_d - 3\zeta_0 H - 3\zeta_1 H^2\}. \quad (58)$$

Under the thermal equilibrium conditions between the fluids and the horizon, we have  $T_i = \tilde{T}_h$ . We take the temperature  $\tilde{T}_h = \frac{H}{2\pi}$ , which is equal to the Hawking temperature of the horizon with the assumption that the fluid within the horizon is in equilibrium with the horizon, so there is no effective flow of the fluid toward the horizon [97]. Now, Eq. (58) become as

$$\dot{S}_m + \dot{S}_d + \dot{S}_p = \frac{8\pi^2}{H^3} \left( -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} - 1 \right) \{ \rho_m + (1 + \omega_d)\rho_d - 3\zeta_0 H - 3\zeta_1 H^2 \}. \quad (59)$$


Now, using the definition of deceleration parameter  $q = \left( -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} - 1 \right)$  and Eqs. (57) and (59) into (52), we get the change of the sum of all the entropies as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_{tot} &= \dot{S}_m + \dot{S}_d + \dot{S}_p + \dot{S}_h \\ &= \frac{8\pi^2 q}{H^3} \{ \rho_m + (1 + \omega_d)\rho_d - 3\zeta_0 H - 3\zeta_1 H^2 \} + \frac{16\pi^2(q+1)}{(1+\lambda)H}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$


On substituting the required values in above equation and simplifying, we get the change in total entropy as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}_{tot} &= \left[ \frac{16\pi^2 \{ [1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)] H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0 \} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\{1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)\} H_0 (e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)} - 1)}{(1+2\lambda)\zeta_0} \right\}}{H_0^2 e^{2(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{A}{(1+\lambda)} + \frac{3\{1-(1+2\lambda)A\beta\omega_d\}}{(2+3\lambda)H_0} \left\{ \frac{[1+(1+2\lambda)(\alpha\omega_d - \zeta_1)] H_0 - (1+2\lambda)\zeta_0}{e^{(1+2\lambda)A\zeta_0(t-t_0)}} A - H_0 \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

From Eq. (61), it is noticed that the rate of change of entropy can not clearly state either the change in entropy is greater than and equal to zero. We plot the evolution of  $\dot{S}$  in Figs. 7a and 7b, respectively for positive and negative values of the  $\lambda$  (*e.g.*,  $\lambda = 0.06$ ,  $\lambda = -0.06$ ) taking  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ ,  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = 1$  and  $t_0 = 1$  for different values of viscosity coefficients ( $\zeta_0, \zeta_1$ ). Figure 7a shows that the GSL is always valid for  $\zeta_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \zeta_1 \leq 0.85$  whereas it holds for  $\zeta_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \zeta_1 \leq 1$  in Fig. 7b. We also notice that in both the cases, the total entropy corresponds to zero in late time of the evolution.



**Fig.7(a)** The change in total entropy with respect to  $t$  for different combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\lambda = 0.06$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .



**Fig.7(b)** The change in total entropy with respect to  $t$  for different combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  with  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\lambda = -0.06$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8502$  and  $\beta = 0.4817$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have explored the bulk viscous new HDE model in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity with bulk viscosity of the form  $\zeta = \zeta_0 + \zeta_1 H$ . We have considered that the universe is filled with the pressureless dark matter and the new HDE proposed by Granda and Oliveros [32]. We have analyzed the different possible phases of the universe according to the dimensionless bulk viscous parameters  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$ . We have calculated the scale factor, deceleration parameter, statefinder and  $Om$  diagnostics, effective equation of state parameter, entropy and generalized second law of thermodynamics to observe the evolution of the universe. In what follows we summarize the result.

The field equations of viscous new HDE model in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity have been solved for a most general bulk viscous coefficient. The scale factor has been obtained in the form of exponential which describes the phase transition of the universe. We have analyzed the behavior of the scale factor for all possible combination of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  and model parameter  $\lambda$ . We have obtained the cosmic time when the Big Bang happens. In early time the scale factor is approximated by power-law expansion which shows that the model expands with decelerated rate in early time. In late time the scale factor behaves as a de Sitter like expansion, *i.e.*, expands with accelerated rate. This shows that the scale factor at the respective limits has an earlier decelerated phase followed by an accelerated phase in the later stage of the evolution. We have calculated the transition time between decelerated to accelerated epoch. We have expressed Hubble parameter in terms of scale factor to get the transition between decelerated to accelerated epoch in terms of scale factor  $a_T$  and redshift  $z_T$ . Thus, for the present time transition from deceleration to acceleration takes place at  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.096$  for positive values of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and for negative value of  $\lambda = -0.06$ , we get  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.218$ . A plot of the evolution of the scale factor is given in Fig. 1. for difference values of a combination of  $\zeta_0$  and  $\zeta_1$  and positive value of  $\lambda$ . For  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) < 0.096$ , the scale factor has an earlier deceleration phase followed by an acceleration phase in late time. For  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.096$ , the transition takes place in past of the universe. Similar behavior can be observed for the negative value of  $\lambda$ .

We have also studied the evolution of the deceleration parameter  $q$ . We have obtained time-dependent deceleration parameter. As  $(t - t_0) \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $q \rightarrow -1$ , which shows that the model accelerates in late time. We have observed that for  $\zeta_0 + \zeta_1 = 0.096$ , we have  $q_0 = 0$ . Therefore, the transition into acceleration phase would occur at present time. We have discussed the behavior of  $q$  and corresponding evolution for different ranges of coupling parameter  $\lambda$  and constraints on  $(\zeta_0 + H_0 \zeta_1)$ . The results are summarized in tables 1-3 for  $\omega_d = -0.5$ ,  $\omega_d = -1$  and  $\omega_d = -1.1414$ , and model parameters  $\alpha = 0.8502$ ,  $\beta = 0.4817$ . The tables show that the deceleration or acceleration or their transition depend on the values of  $\zeta_0$ ,  $\zeta_1$  and  $\lambda$ . The behavior of  $q$  has already been discussed in detail in subsection 3.2 .

We have discussed two geometrical diagnostics, namely statefinder and  $Om$  to observe the discrimination with the other existing DE models. Let us first discuss about the statefinder diagnostic for viscous new HDE model. In the late time as  $(t - t_0) \rightarrow \infty$ , the statefinder pair  $\{r, s\}$  for the viscous new HDE model tends to  $\{1, 0\}$ , a value corresponding to  $\Lambda$ CDM model. We have plotted the trajectory of statefinder pair  $\{r, s\}$  in  $r - s$  plane for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  as shown in Fig.2a and Fig. 3a for positive and negative values of coupling parameter  $\lambda$ , respectively. It has been observed that for any value of  $\lambda$  (either positive or negative), our viscous new HDE model in the framework of  $f(R, T)$  theory mimics like  $Q$  and  $CG$  models for specific range of viscosity

coefficients and in late time of evolution it always converges to  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

We have also plotted the trajectory of  $\{r, q\}$  for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  and positive and negative values of  $\lambda$  as shown in Fig. 2b and Fig. 3b. It can be observed that  $q$  changes its sign from positive to negative with respect to time for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$  in case of  $\lambda = 0.06$  and for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$  in case  $\lambda = -0.06$ , which show the phase transition from decelerated phase to accelerated phase. For  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.46$  when  $\lambda = 0.06$  and  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.71$  when  $\lambda = -0.06$ ,  $q$  is always negative showing behavior of phantom. Thus, in the beginning this model behaves different from  $\Lambda$ CDM model but in late time it behaves the same as  $\Lambda$ CDM which converges to  $SS$  model in late time evolution. The present viscous new HDE model can also be discriminated from the holographic dark energy model with event horizon as the infrared cutoff, in which the  $r - s$  evolution starts from a region  $r \sim 1$ ,  $s \sim 2/3$  and ends on the  $\Lambda$ CDM point [80]. It can also be discriminated from Ricci dark energy model in which  $r - s$  trajectory is a vertical segment, i.e.,  $s$  is a constant during the evolution of the universe [81].

The second geometrical diagnostic, namely  $Om$  has been carried out in section 3.4 . We have plotted the  $Om(z)$  versus redshift  $z$  trajectories for different combinations of  $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$  in respect of positive and negative values of  $\lambda$  as shown in Fig.4a and Fig. 4b, respectively. We have observed two types of trajectory, one has the positive curvature which suggests the phantom like behavior and second has the negative curvature which suggests the quintessence like behavior.

We have calculated the effective EoS parameter for this model and analyzed the evolution of it graphically for different suitable values of viscosity coefficients. We have observed that  $\omega_{eff} \rightarrow -0.9745$  and  $-1.0252$ , respectively as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in case positive and negative values of  $\lambda$  which is very close to  $-0.93$  predicted in the Ref. [98].

At the end, we have discussed the entropy and second law of thermodynamics for viscous new HDE model. Figures 6a and 6b plot the evolution of the total bulk viscous coefficient. It has been observed that the total bulk viscosity is positive throughout the evolution of the universe for  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.46$  with  $\lambda = 0.06$ , and  $0 < (\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) \leq 0.71$  with  $\lambda = -0.06$ , respectively. Therefore, the model does not violate the entropy law for these ranges. The figures also show that  $\zeta(a)$  is evolving from negative to positive value for  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.46$  and  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1) > 0.71$ , respectively. Thus, the rate of entropy production is negative for large values of  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)$  in early epoch and positive in the later epoch. Hence the entropy law violates in the early epoch and obeys in the later epoch for these values of  $(\zeta_0 + \zeta_1)$ . We have also studied the generalized second law of thermodynamics. It has been observed that it is always valid for  $\zeta_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \zeta_1 \leq 0.85$  when  $\lambda$  is positive whereas it holds for  $\zeta_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \zeta_1 \leq 1$  when  $\lambda$  is negative which are shown in Figs. 7a and 7b, respectively. We have also noticed that in both the cases, the total entropy corresponds to zero in late time of the evolution.

Let us Summarize the results with the outcome of this work. In cosmology, the idea of viscous dark energy models has been presented in different ways to understand the evolution of the universe. The notion of bulk viscosity has also been extensively studied in modified theories. This paper has explored the behavior of viscosity by considering dust matter and new HDE in the background of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity. The above investigations show that this model predicts an early deceleration followed by late time acceleration. We can conclude that the dark energy era may be obtained in the presence of bulk viscous fluid.

## Acknowledgements

One of the author MS would like to thank to University Grant Commission, India for providing Senior Research Fellowship (SRF) under UGC-NET scholarship.

## References

- [1] A.G. Riess *et al.*, *Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant*, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009.
- [2] S. Perlmutter *et al.*, *Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae*, *Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565.
- [3] D.N. Spergel *et al.*, *Three-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Implications for cosmology*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** (2007) 377.
- [4] M. Tegmark *et al.*, *Cosmological parameters from SDSS and WMAP*, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 103501.
- [5] T. Padmanabham, *Cosmological constant the weight of the vacuum*, *Phys. Rep.* **380** (2003) 235.
- [6] V. Sahni and A. Starobinsky, *The case for a positive cosmological  $\Lambda$ -term*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **9** (2000) 373.
- [7] P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *The cosmological constant and dark energy*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** (2003) 559.
- [8] S. Capozziello, V.F. Cardone, E. Piedipalumbo and C. Rubano, *Dark energy exponential potential models as curvature quintessence*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** (2006) 1205.
- [9] Yu. Zhang and Y.X. Gui, *Quasinormal modes of gravitational perturbation around a Schwarzschild black hole surrounded by quintessence*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** (2006) 6141.
- [10] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, and M. Yamaguchi, *Kinetically driven quintessence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 023511.
- [11] R.R. Caldwell, *A phantom menace? Cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super-negative equation of state*, *Phys. Lett. B* **545** (2002) 23.
- [12] B. Feng, X.L. Wang, X.M. Zhang, *Dark energy constraints from the cosmic age and supernova*, *Phys. Lett. B* **607** (2005) 35.
- [13] Z.K. Guo, Y.S. Piao, X.M. Zhang and Y.Z. Zhang, *Cosmological evolution of a quintom model of dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **608** (2005) 177.
- [14] R.J. Scherrer, *Purely kinetic  $k$  Essence as unified dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 011301.



- [15] R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N.N. Weinberg, *Phantom energy: dark energy with  $w < -1$  causes a cosmic doomsday*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 071301.
- [16] L.P. Chimento and R. Lazkoz, *Constructing Phantom Cosmologies from Standard Scalar Field Universes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 211301.
- [17] A. Vikman, *Can dark energy evolve to the phantom?*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 023515.
- [18] H. Wei, R.-G. Cai and D.-F. Zeng, *Hessence: a new view of quintom dark energy*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22** (2005) 3189.
- [19] G.B. Zhao, J.-Q. Xia, M. Li, B. Feng and X. Zhang, *Perturbations of the quintom models of dark energy and the effects on observations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 123515.
- [20] M.R. Setare, *Interacting holographic generalized Chaplygin gas model*, *Phys. Lett. B*, **654** (2007) 1.
- [21] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy*, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **4** (2007) 115.
- [22] T.P. Sotiriou and S. Liberati, *Metric-affine  $f(R)$  theories of gravity*, *Ann. Phys.* **322** (2007) 935.
- [23] M. Li, *A model of holographic dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **603** (2004) 1.
- [24] H. Wei and R.G. Cai, *A new model of agegraphic dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **660** (2008) 113.
- [25] G. t'Hooft, *Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity* *Conf.Proc.C* **930308** (1993) 284 [gr-qc/9310026].
- [26] R. Bousso, *The holographic principle*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74** (2002) 825.
- [27] A.G. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan and A.E. Nelson, *Effective field theory, black holes, and the cosmological constant*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 4971.
- [28] S.D.H. Hsu, *Entropy bounds and dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **594** (2004) 13.
- [29] L. Xu, W. Li and J. Lu, *Holographic dark energy in Brans-Dicke theory*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **60** (2009) 135.
- [30] M. Li, X.D. Li, S. Wang and X. Zhang, *Holographic dark energy models: a comparison from the latest observational data*, *JCAP* **06** (2009) 036.
- [31] C. Gao, F. Wu and X. Chen, *Holographic dark energy model from Ricci scalar curvature*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 043511.
- [32] L.N. Granda and A. Oliveros, *Infrared cut-off proposal for the holographic density*, *Phys. Lett. B* **669** (2008) 275.

- [33] M. Malekjani, A. Khodam-Mohammadi and N. Nazari-pooya, *Cosmological evolution and statefinder diagnostic for new holographic dark energy model in non flat universe*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **332** (2011) 515.
- [34] L.N. Granda and A. Oliveros, *New infrared cut-off for the holographic scalar fields models of dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **671** (2009) 199.
- [35] K. Karami and J. Fehri, *Holographic dark energy in a non-flat universe with Granda-Oliveros cut-off*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **49** (2010) 1118.
- [36] F. Yu, J. Zhang, J. Lu, W. Wang and Y. Gui, *A more general interacting model of holographic dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **688** (2010) 263.
- [37] J.D. Barrow, *The deflationary universe: An instability of the de Sitter universe*, *Phys. Lett. B* **180** (1986) 335.
- [38] I. Brevik and O. Gorbunova, *Dark energy and viscous cosmology*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **37** (2005) 2039.
- [39] I. Brevik and S.D. Odintsov, *Cardy-Verlinde entropy formula in viscous cosmology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 067302.
- [40] D.J. Liu and X.Z. Li, *Dynamics of quintessence with thermal interactions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **611** (2005) 8.
- [41] C. Eckart, *The Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes*, *Phys. Rev.* **58** (1940) 919.
- [42] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*. Butterworth Heinemann, Stoneham (1987).
- [43] W. Israel and J.M. Stewart, *Thermodynamics of nonstationary and transient effects in a relativistic gas*, *Phys. Lett. A* **58** (1976) 213.
- [44] Y.D. Xu, Z.G. Huang and X.H. Zhai, *Generalized Chaplygin gas model with or without viscosity in the  $w - w'$  plane*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **337** (2012) 493.
- [45] C.J. Feng and X.Z. Li, *Viscous Ricci dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **680** (2009) 355.
- [46] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Inhomogeneous equation of state of the universe: Phantom era, future singularity, and crossing the phantom barrier*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 023003.
- [47] S. Capozziello, V.F. Cardone, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Observational constraints on dark energy with generalized equations of state*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 043512.
- [48] N. Cruz, S. Lepe and F. Peña, *Dissipative generalized Chaplygin gas as phantom dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **646** (2007) 177.
- [49] X.H. Meng, J. Ren and M.G. Hu, *Friedmann cosmology with a generalized equation of state and bulk viscosity*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **47** (2007) 379.

- [50] J. Ren and X.H. Meng, *Cosmological model with viscosity media (dark fluid) described by an effective equation of state*, *Phys. Lett. B* **633** (2006) 1.
- [51] M.G. Hu and X.H. Meng, *Bulk viscous cosmology: Statefinder and entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **635** (2006) 186.
- [52] P. Kumar and C.P. Singh, *Viscous cosmology with matter creation in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **357** (2015) 120.
- [53] C.P. Singh, *Bulk viscous cosmology in early universe*, *Pramana J. Phys.* **71** (2008) 33.
- [54] C.P. Singh, S. Kumar and A. Pradhan, *Early viscous universe with variable gravitational and cosmological 'constants'*, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* **24** (2007) 455.
- [55] C.P. Singh and P. Kumar, *Friedmann model with viscous cosmology in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity theory*, *Eur. Phys. J.C* **74** (2014) 3070.
- [56] J.S. Gagnon and J. Lesgourgues, *Dark goo: bulk viscosity as an alternative to dark energy*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **09** (2011) 026.
- [57] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: From  $F(R)$  theory to Lorentz non-invariant models*, *Phys. Rep.* **505** (2011) 59.
- [58] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Dark energy cosmology: the equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **342** (2012) 155.
- [59] T. Harko, F.S.N. Lobo, S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov,  *$f(R, T)$  gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 024020.
- [60] M. Sharif, M. Zubair, *Thermodynamics in  $f(R, T)$  theory of gravity*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **03** (2012) 028.
- [61] S. Chakraborty, *An alternative  $f(R, T)$  gravity theory and the dark energy problem*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **45** (2013) 2039.
- [62] T. Harko, *Thermodynamic interpretation of the generalized gravity models with geometry-matter coupling*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 044067.
- [63] C.P. Singh and V. Singh, *Reconstruction of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity with perfect fluid cosmological models*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46** (2014) 1696.
- [64] E.H. Baffou *et al.*, *Cosmological viable  $f(R, T)$  dark energy model: dynamics and stability*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **356** (2015) 173.
- [65] G.M. Kremer and F.P. Devecchi, *Viscous cosmological models and accelerated universes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 047301.
- [66] M. Cataldo, N. Cruz and S. Lepe, *Viscous dark energy and phantom evolution*, *Phys. Lett. B* **619** (2005) 5.

- [67] J.C. Fabris, S.V.B. Goncalves and R. de S Ribeiro, *Bulk viscosity driving the acceleration of the Universe*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38** (2006) 495.
- [68] M.-G. Hu and X.-H. Meng, *Bulk viscous cosmology: Statefinder and entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **635** (2006) 186.
- [69] A. Avelino and U. Nucamendi, *Can a matter-dominated model with constant bulk viscosity drive the accelerated expansion of the universe?*, *J. Cos. Astropart. Phys.* **04** (2009) 006.
- [70] A. Avelino and U. Nucamendi, *Constraining a bulk viscous matter-dominated cosmological model using SNe Ia, CMB and LSS*, in proceeding of XI Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields, Tuxtla Gutierrez Mexico November 7-12 2007, *API Conf. Proc.* **1026** (2008) 300.
- [71] A. Avelino and U. Nucamendi, *Exploring a matter-dominated model with bulk viscosity to drive the accelerated expansion of the universe*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08** (2010) 009.
- [72] W.A. Hiscock and J. Salmonson, *Dissipative Boltzmann-Robertson-Walker cosmologies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1991) 3249.
- [73] R. Maartens, *Dissipative cosmology*, *Class. Quantum Gravity* **12** (1995) 1455.
- [74] B. Li and J.D. Barrow, *Does Bulk Viscosity Create a Viable Unified Dark Matter Model?* *Phys. Rev D* **79** (2009) 103521.
- [75] X.H. Meng and X. Dou, *Friedmann cosmology with bulk viscosity: A concrete model for dark energy*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **52** (2009) 377.
- [76] Y. Wang and L. Xu, *Current observational constraints to the holographic dark energy model with a new infrared cutoff via the Markov chain Monte Carlo method*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 083523.
- [77] V. Sahni, T.D. Saini, A.A. Starobinsky and U. Alam, *Statefinder-A new geometrical diagnostic of dark energy*, *JETP Lett.* **77** (2003) 201.
- [78] U. Alam, V. Sahni, T.D. Saini and A.A. Starobinsky, *Exploring the expanding universe and dark energy using the statefinder diagnostic*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **344** (2003) 1057.
- [79] Y.B. Wu , S. Li, M.H. Fu and J. He, *A modified Chaplygin gas model with interaction*, *Gen. Relativ. Gravity* **39** (2007) 653.
- [80] D.J. Liu and W.Z. Liu, *Statefinder diagnostic for cosmology with the abnormally weighting energy hypothesis*, *Phys. Rev.D* **77** (2008) 027301.
- [81] C.J. Feng, *Statefinder diagnosis for Ricci dark energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **670** (2008) 231.
- [82] V. Sahni, A. Shafieloo and A.A. Starobinsky, *Two new diagnostics of dark energy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 103502.
- [83] M.L. Tong and Y. Zhang, *Cosmic age, statefinder, and Om diagnostics in the decaying vacuum cosmology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 023503.

- [84] J.B. Lu and L.X. Xu, *Geometrical diagnostic for the generalised chaplygin gas model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **18** (2009) 1741.
- [85] Z.G. Huang, H.Q. Lu and K. Zhang, *Om diagnostic for dilaton dark energy*, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **331** (2011) 331.
- [86] P.A.R. Ade, N. Aghanim and M. Arnaud, *et al.*, *Planck 2015 results-XIII.cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.*, **594**, (2016) A13.
- [87] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: principles and applications of the general relativity*, Wiley, New York (1972).
- [88] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizon, thermodynamics, and particle creation*, *Phy. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2738.
- [89] T.K. Mathew, R. Aiswarya and K.S. Vidya, *Cosmological horizon entropy and generalized second law for flat Friedmann universe*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2619.
- [90] K. Karami, A. Sheykhi, N. Sahraei and S. Ghaffari, *Generalized second law of thermodynamics in modified FRW cosmology with corrective entropy- area relation*, *Europhys. Lett.* **93** (2011) 29002.
- [91] A. Sasidharan and T.K. Mathew, *Bulk viscous matter and recent acceleration of the universe*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 348.
- [92] M.R. Setare and A. Sheykhi, *Viscous dark energy and generalised second law of thermodynamics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **19** (2010) 1205.
- [93] S.-F. Wu, B. Wang, G.-H. Yang and P.-M. Zhang, *The generalised second law of thermodynamics in generalized gravity theories*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** (2008) 235018.
- [94] M. Sharif and M. Zubair, *Thermodynamic in  $f(R,T)$  theory of gravity*, *J. Cos. Astro. Phys.* **03** (2012) 028.
- [95] M. Sharif and M. Zubair, *Thermodynamic behavior of particular  $f(R,T)$  gravity model*, *J. Exper. Theor. Phys.* **117** (2013) 248.
- [96] D. Momeni, P.H.R.S. Moraes and R. Myrzakulov, *Generalized second law of thermodynamics in  $f(R,T)$  theory of gravity*, *Astro. Space Sci.* **361** (2016) 228.
- [97] T.K. Mathew, M.B. Aswathy and M. Manoj, *Cosmology and thermodynamics of FLRW universe with bulk viscous stiff fluid*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3188.
- [98] E. Komatsu *et al.*, *WMAP Collaboration, Seven-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Cosmological interpretation*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **192** (2011) 18.