

Weak limit theorem for a one-dimensional split-step quantum walk

Toru Fuda,^{*} Daiju Funakawa,[†] Akito Suzuki[‡]

Abstract

This paper proves a weak limit theorem for a one-dimensional split-step quantum walk and investigates the limit density function. In the density function, the difference between two Konno's functions appears.

1 Introduction

A large amount of work has been devoted to the study of discrete-time quantum walks, which are viewed as quantum counterparts of random walks (see [1, 18, 39] and references therein). One of the most interesting topics in quantum walks is a weak limit theorem, which was first proved by Konno [16, 17] for a homogeneous quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} and which was extended to more general situations by many authors [11, 14, 21, 23, 24, 32, 35] (see also [37]). The weak limit theorem has also been proved for quantum walks on the half line [25], trees [3], joined half lines [4], higher-dimensional lattices [8, 27, 36, 40], crystal lattices [12], and several graphs [13, 29]. A random environment case and temporally inhomogeneous case were studied in [19, 26, 28]. Recently, the weak limit theorem for a nonlinear quantum walk was established in [30, 31].

A spatially inhomogeneous discrete-time quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} is described by a unitary evolution operator

$$\tilde{U} = \tilde{S}C \quad (1.1)$$

of a product of a shift operator \tilde{S} and a coin operator C on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Here the shift operator \tilde{S} is defined as $\tilde{S} = L \oplus L^*$, where \mathcal{H} is identified with $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and L is the left-shift on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The coin operator C depends on a position $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and it is defined as the multiplication operator by a family of unitary matrices $\{C(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset U(2)$. In particular, in the case where $C(x) = C'$ ($x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) and $C(x) = C(0)$ ($x = 0$) with $C', C(0) \in U(2)$, the quantum walk is called a one-defect model, for which the weak limit theorem was proved in [5, 6, 20]. In [38], the weak limit theorem was extended to the short-range case, where $C_0 := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} C(x)$ and

$$\|C(x) - C_0\| = O(|x|^{-1-\epsilon}). \quad (1.2)$$

It is clear that (1.2) covers the homogeneous case with $C(x) \equiv C_0$. In the case where $C(x) = C_+$ ($x > 0$) and $C(x) = C_-$ ($x < 0$) with $C_+, C_- \in U(2)$, the quantum walk is called a two-phase

^{*}Department of Mathematics and Science, School of Science and Engineering, Kokushikan University, 4-28-1, Setagaya, Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo 154-8515, Japan,

E-mail: fudat@kokushikan.ac.jp

[†]Department of Electronics and Information Engineering, Hokkai-Gakuen University, Sapporo 062-8605 Japan,

E-mail: funakawa@hgu.jp

[‡]Division of Mathematics and Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University, Nagano 380-8553, Japan, E-mail: akito@shinshu-u.ac.jp

model, for which the weak limit theorem was proved in [7]. An anisotropic quantum walk was introduced in [33], where $C(x)$ is assumed to satisfy

$$\|C(x) - C_{\pm}\| = O(|x|^{-1-\epsilon}) \quad \text{for } \pm x > 0.$$

This condition covers the two phase model and allows us to prove the weak limit theorem [34].

In this paper, we consider a split-step quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} introduced in previous papers [9, 10], whose evolution operator is given by $U = SC$. The shift operator S of the split-step quantum walk is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} p & qL \\ \bar{q}L^* & -p \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $p^2 + |q|^2 = 1$. We suppose that $C(x)$ satisfies (1.2), prove the weak limit theorem, and calculate the limit density function. The difference between Konno's functions [16] appears in the limit density function. As put into evidence in [38, 34], Konno's function always appears in the limit density function for the one-dimensional quantum walk with the evolution $\tilde{U} = \tilde{S}C$. However, to the authors' best Knowledge, this is the first work where the difference of Konno's functions appears.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we compare the split-step quantum walk with the other models. In Section 3, we present the weak limit theorem for the split-step quantum walk. We give the proof in the final section.

2 Split-step quantum walk and the other walks

As pointed out in [10], the split-step quantum walk unifies the spatially inhomogeneous quantum walk described by (1.1) and Kitagawa's quantum walk [15]. Indeed, if we take $p = 0$, then $S = \tilde{S}\sigma_1$ and hence U becomes the evolution of a spatially inhomogeneous quantum walk (1.1) with a different coin operator. If we take $p = \sin(\theta/2)$ and $q = \cos(\theta/2)$, then $S = \sigma_1 S_- R(\theta) S_+$, where $S_+ = L^* \oplus 1$, $S_- = 1 \oplus L$, and $R(\theta)$ is a rotation matrix. Taking $C(x) = R(\theta')\sigma_1$, we get

$$U = \sigma_1 U_{\text{ss}}(\theta', \theta)\sigma_1,$$

where $U_{\text{ss}}(\theta', \theta) = S_- R(\theta) S_+ R(\theta')$ is the evolution of Kitagawa's split-step quantum walk [15]. Thus the split-step quantum walk is unitarily equivalent to Kitagawa's one. For more details, the reader can consult [10, Examples 2.1–2.2].

The split-step quantum walk is viewed as a lazy quantum walk. Let $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ be the initial state and $\Psi_t = U^t \Psi_0$ the state of walker at time $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then the state evolution is given by

$$\Psi_{t+1}(x) = P(x+1)\Psi_t(x+1) + Q(x-1)\Psi_t(x-1) + R(x)\Psi_t(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with some 2×2 matrices $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$. The existence of the third term in the left-hand side indicates that the split-step quantum walk is lazy. In [14, 22], a lazy quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} is defined as three-state quantum walk on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^3)$, whereas the split-step quantum walk is defined as a two-state quantum walk on $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^2)$.

3 Weak limit theorem

In quantum walks, the position X_t of a walker at time t with an initial state $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ ($\|\Psi_0\| = 1$) is a random variable with the distribution

$$P(X_t = x) = \|\Psi_t(x)\|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\Psi_t := U^t \Psi_0$ is the state of the walker at t with the evolution $U = SC$ and the shift operator S defined in (1.3). As shown in [38, 34] for the short-range cases, X_t/t converges in law to a random variable V as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This assertion is called the weak limit theorem. To show the weak limit theorem, we suppose the following.

(A.1) There exists a matrix $C_0 \in U(2)$ such that

$$\|C(x) - C_0\| \leq \kappa|x|^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

with some $\kappa, \epsilon > 0$ independent of x .

For notational simplicity, we assume that

$$(A.2) \quad p, q > 0, \quad C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b > 0.$$

Let $U_0 = SC_0$ be an homogeneous evolution, whose coin operator is the limit of $C(x)$ at spatial infinity. The assumption (A.1) ensures that the wave operator $W = \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U^{-t} U_0^t$ exists and $W^* = \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U_0^{-t} U^t \Pi_{\text{ac}}(U)$. Here $\Pi_{\text{ac}}(U)$ is the projection onto the subspace of absolute continuity of U . Moreover, (A.1) allows us to show that U has no singular continuous spectrum (see [2, 33]). Let $\Pi_{\text{p}}(U)$ be the projection onto the direct sum of all eigenspaces of U and $f_K(v; r)$ be Konno's function defined as

$$f_K(v; r) = \frac{\sqrt{1-r^2}}{\pi(1-v^2)\sqrt{r^2-v^2}} I_{(-r,r)}(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r > 0,$$

where I_A is the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. We use F to denote the Fourier transform form, which maps \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{K} = L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}^2; dk/(2\pi))$. Let

$$\hat{U}_0(k) = \begin{pmatrix} p & qe^{ik} \\ qe^{-ik} & -p \end{pmatrix} C_0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T} \quad (3.1)$$

and use $u_j(k)$ to denote its normalized eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalues

$$\lambda_j(k) = \exp((-1)^{j+1} i \arccos \tau(k)),$$

where $\tau(k) = pa + qb \cos k$ ($k \in \mathbb{T}$). We are now in a position to state our main result.

Theorem 3.1 *Let X_t be as above. Then X_t/t converges in law to a random V , whose distribution is given by*

$$\mu_V(dv) = w_0 \delta_0(dv) + w_+(v) f_+(v) dv + w_-(v) f_-(v) dv,$$

where $w_0 = \|\Pi_{\text{p}}(U) \Psi_0\|^2$ is a nonnegative constant and nonnegative functions $f_{\pm}(v)$ and $w_{\pm}(v)$ are given by

$$f_{\pm}(v) = \frac{|f_K(v; q) \mp f_K(v; b)|}{2} I_{(-q,q) \cap (-b,b)}(v), \quad (3.2)$$

$$w_{\pm}(v) = \begin{cases} w_1(2\pi - \arccos g_{\pm}(v)) + w_2(\arccos g_{\pm}(v)), & v \geq 0, \\ w_1(\arccos g_{\pm}(v)) + w_2(2\pi - \arccos g_{\pm}(v)), & v < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$g_{\pm}(v) = \frac{pav^2 \pm \sqrt{(q^2 - v^2)(b^2 - v^2)}}{qb(1 - v^2)} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$w_j(k) = \langle u_j(k), (FW^* \Psi_0)(k) \rangle \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (3.5)$$

This theorem says that if $b \neq q$, we have the difference between two Konno's functions $f_K(v; q)$ and $f_K(v; b)$ in the density function. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first work where such a difference appears.

4 Proof of the weak limit theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.1. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of the weak limit theorem in [38, 34]. Throughout of this section, we assume (A.1) and (A.2).

We first remark that the Fourier transform $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is unitary and satisfies

$$(F\Psi)(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-ikx} \Psi(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{T}$$

for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ with a finite support. The Fourier transform FU_0F^* of U_0 is the multiplication operator on \mathcal{K} by $\hat{U}_0(k)$ defined in (3.1). The velocity operator \hat{v}_0 [11, 38] for the homogeneous evolution U_0 is defined so that the Fourier transform $F\hat{v}_0F^*$ is given by the multiplication operator on \mathcal{K} by the 2×2 hermitian matrix

$$(F\hat{v}_0F^*)(k) = \sum_{j=1,2} v_j(k) |u_j(k)\rangle \langle u_j(k)|, \quad k \in \mathbb{T} \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$v_j(k) = \frac{(-1)^{j+1} d\tau}{\sqrt{1 - \tau^2(k)} dk}. \quad (4.2)$$

The velocity operator \hat{v} for the inhomogeneous evolution U is defined as $\hat{v} = W\hat{v}_0W^*$. We use $E_{\hat{v}}(\cdot)$ to denote the spectral measure of \hat{v} . The following proposition can be proved in a way similar to [38, Corollary 2.4].

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose (A.1) and (A.2). Let V be a random variable with the distribution*

$$\mu_V(dv) = \|\Pi_p(U)\Psi_0\|^2 \delta_0(dv) + \|E_{\hat{v}}(dv)\Pi_{ac}(U)\Psi_0\|^2.$$

Then, X_t/t converges to V in law as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

It suffices from Proposition 4.1 to calculate the density function of the density function of the continuous part $\|E_{\hat{v}}(dv)\Pi_{ac}(U)\Psi_0\|^2$. To this end, we calculate the Fourier transform of $\|E_{\hat{v}}(\cdot)\Pi_{ac}(U)\Psi_0\|^2$. Because $E_{\hat{v}}(v)\Pi_{ac}(U) = WE_{\hat{v}_0}(v)W^*$ and $W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Ran}\Pi_{ac}(U)$ is unitary, $\|E_{\hat{v}}(\cdot)\Pi_{ac}(U)\Psi_0\|^2 = \|E_{\hat{v}_0}(\cdot)W^*\Psi_0\|^2$. Combining this with (3.5) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi v} d\|E_{\hat{v}}(v)\Pi_{ac}(U)\Psi_0\|^2 &= 1 \langle W^*\Psi_0, e^{i\xi\hat{v}_0}W^*\Psi_0 \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dk}{2\pi} (e^{i\xi v_1(k)} w_1(k) + e^{-i\xi v_1(k)} w_2(k)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where we have used $v_1(k) = -v_2(k)$ in the last equation. In what follows, we make the substitutions $v = v_1(k)$ in RHS of (4.3). To do so, we calculate the inverse function of $v = v_1(k)$ and the Jacobian $\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{dk}{dv}$. By (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{dv}{dk} = \frac{ap(\tau - \frac{a}{p})(\tau - \frac{p}{a})}{(1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

For the moment, we assume $p > a$. Because $\frac{a}{p} < 1 < \frac{p}{a}$, $\tau < 1$ and $0 < \frac{aq}{bp} < 1$, the condition $\tau = \frac{a}{p}$ is equivalent to the following condition:

$$k = \arccos \frac{aq}{bp} \quad \text{or} \quad k = 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp},$$

where $0 < \arccos \frac{aq}{bp} < \pi$. By these facts, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv}{dk} < 0, & k \in [0, \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}) \cup (2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, 2\pi), \\ \frac{dv}{dk} > 0, & k \in (\arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the function $k \mapsto v$ is injective on each domain of $[0, \arccos \frac{aq}{bp})$, $[\arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, \pi)$, $[\pi, 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp})$ and $[2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, 2\pi)$. Observe that $v \left([0, \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}) \right) = v \left([\arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, \pi) \right) = [-q, 0]$ and $v \left([\pi, 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}) \right) = v \left([2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{bp}, 2\pi) \right) = [0, q]$. Because $v^2 = (\tau')^2 (1 - \tau^2)^{-1}$, we know that $\tau(k) = \frac{pa \pm \sqrt{(q^2 - v^2)(b^2 - v^2)}}{1 - v^2}$. Since $\tau(k) = pa + qb \cos k$, we obtain

$$k = \begin{cases} \arccos g_+(v), & k \in [0, \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}), \\ \arccos g_-(v), & k \in [\arccos \frac{aq}{pb}, \pi), \\ 2\pi - \arccos g_-(v), & k \in [\pi, 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}), \\ 2\pi - \arccos g_+(v), & k \in [2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}, 2\pi), \end{cases}$$

where $g_{\pm}(v)$ has been defined in (3.4). By direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dv} \arccos g_{\pm}(v) = \pm 2\pi \operatorname{sgn}(v) f_{\pm}(v),$$

where $f_{\pm}(v)$ has been defined in (3.2). Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{dk}{dv} = \begin{cases} -f_+(v), & k \in [0, \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}) \cup [2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}, 2\pi), \\ f_-(v), & k \in [\arccos \frac{aq}{pb}, 2\pi - \arccos \frac{aq}{pb}). \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

Substituting $v = v_1(k)$ with (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (4.3)} &= \sum_{\# \in \{+, -\}} \int_{-q}^0 dv f_{\#}(v) \{ e^{i\xi v} w_1(\arccos g_{\#}(v)) + e^{-i\xi v} w_2(\arccos g_{\#}(v)) \} \\ &+ \sum_{\# \in \{+, -\}} \int_0^q dv f_{\#}(v) \{ e^{i\xi v} w_1(2\pi - \arccos g_{\#}(v)) + e^{-i\xi v} w_2(2\pi - \arccos g_{\#}(v)) \} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\xi v} (f_+(v) w_+(v) + f_-(v) w_-(v)) dv, \end{aligned}$$

where w_{\pm} has been defined in (3.3). This completes the proof for the case of $p > a$. The same proof works for $p < a$. In the case of $p = a$, the proof is immediate, because $dv/dk = ap(1 - \tau)^2 / (1 + \tau)^{\frac{3}{2}} \geq 0$, i.e., $v = v_1(k)$ is monotonically increasing.

Acknowledgement This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (No. 26800054).

References

- [1] A. Ambainis, Quantum walks and their algorithmic applications, *International Journal of Quantum Information* **1**, 507 – 518, 2003 (arXiv: quant-ph/0403120v3).
- [2] J. Asch, O. Bourget, A. Joye, Spectral Stability of Unitary Network Models, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **27**, 1530004, 2015.

- [3] K. Chisaki, M. Hamada, N. Konno, E. Segawa, Limit theorems for discrete-time quantum walks on trees, *Interdiscip. Inform. Sci.* **15**, 423–429, 2009.
- [4] K. Chisaki, N. Konno, E. Segawa, Limit theorems for the discrete-time quantum walk on a graph with joined half lines, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **12**, 314–333, 2012.
- [5] S. Endo, T. Endo, N. Konno, E. Segawa, M. Takei, Weak limit theorem of a two-phase quantum walk with one defect, *Interdiscip. Inf. Sci.* **22**, 17–29, 2016.
- [6] T. Endo, N. Konno, Weak convergence of the Wojcik model, *Yokohama Math. J.* **61**, (2015), 87111.
- [7] T. Endo, N. Konno, and H. Obuse, Relation between two-phase quantum walks and the topological invariant, arXiv:1511.04230.
- [8] C. Di Franco, M. Mc Gettrick, T. Machida, Th. Busch Alternate two-dimensional quantum walk with a single-qubit coin, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 042337, 2011.
- [9] T. Fuda, D. Funakawa, A. Suzuki, Localization of a multi-dimensional quantum walk with one defect, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **16**:203, 2017.
- [10] T. Fuda, D. Funakawa, A. Suzuki, Localization for a one-dimensional split-step quantum walk with bound states robust against perturbations, preprint.
- [11] G. Grimmett, S. Janson, P. Scudo, Weak limits for quantum random walks, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 026119, 2004.
- [12] Yu. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato, E. Setawa, Spectral and asymptotic properties of Grover walks on crystal lattices, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014) 4197 – 4235.
- [13] Y. Higuchi, E. Segawa, The spreading behavior of quantum walks induced by drifted random walks on some magnifier graph, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **17**, 0399–0414, 2017.
- [14] N. Inui, N. Konno, E. Segawa, One-dimensional three-state quantum walk, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 056112, 2005.
- [15] T. Kitagawa, M. S. Rudner, E. Berg, E. Demler, Exploring topological phases with quantum walks, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 033429, 2010.
- [16] N. Konno, Quantum random walks in one dimension, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **1**, 345–354, 2002.
- [17] N. Konno, A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **57**, 1179–1195, 2005.
- [18] N. Konno, Quantum walks, *Quantum Potential Theory*, 309–452, Lecture Notes in Math., **1954**, Springer, Berlin, 2008. Springer,
- [19] N. Konno, One-dimensional discrete-time quantum walks on random environments, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **8**, 387–399, 2009.
- [20] N. Konno, T. Łuczak, E. Segawa, Limit measure of inhomogeneous discrete-time quantum walks in one dimension, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **12**, 33–53, 2013.

- [21] N. Konno, T. Machida, Limit theorems for quantum walks with memory, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **10**, 1004–1017, 2010.
- [22] D. Li, M. Mc Gettrick, W. Zhang, K. Zhang, One dimensional lazy quantum walks and occupancy rate, *Chin. Phys.* **B24**, 2015.
- [23] C. Liu, Asymptotic distributions of quantum walks on the line with two entangled coins, *Quantum. Inf. Process.* **11**, 1193–1205, 2012.
- [24] C. Liu, N. Petulante, One-dimensional quantum random walks with two entangled coins, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 032312, 2009.
- [25] C. Liu, N. Petulante, Weak limits for quantum walks on the half-line, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **11**, 1350054, 2013.
- [26] T. Machida, Limit theorems for a localization model of 2-state quantum walks, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **9**, 863–874, 2011.
- [27] T. Machida, C. M. Chandrashekar, Localization and limit laws of a three-state alternate quantum walk on a two-dimensional lattice, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 062307, 2015.
- [28] T. Machida, N. Konno, Limit theorem for a time-dependent coined quantum walk on the line, *Natural Computing. Proceedings in Information and Communications Technology*, vol 2. Springer, Tokyo
- [29] T. Machida and E. Segawa, Trapping and spreading properties of quantum walk in homological structure, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **14**, 1539–1558, 2015.
- [30] M. Maeda, H. Sasaki, E. Segawa, A. Suzuki, K. Suzuki, Scattering and inverse scattering for nonlinear quantum walks, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, to appear.
- [31] M. Maeda, H. Sasaki, E. Segawa, A. Suzuki, K. Suzuki, Weak limit theorem for a nonlinear quantum walk, arXiv:1801.06625.
- [32] T. Miyazaki, M. Katori, N. Konno, Wigner formula of rotation matrices and quantum walks, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 012332, 2007.
- [33] S. Richard, A. Suzuki, and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa, Quantum walks with an anisotropic coin I : spectral theory, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108**, 331–357, 2017.
- [34] S. Richard, A. Suzuki, and R. Tiedra de Aldecoa, Quantum walks with an anisotropic coin I I: scattering theory, arXiv:1801.02779.
- [35] E. Segawa, N. Konno, Limit theorems for quantum walks driven by many coins, *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **6**, 1231–1343, 2008.
- [36] M. Štefaniák, I. Bezděková, I. Jex, Limit density of 2d quantum walk: zeroes of the weight function, *Interdiscip. Inf. Sci.* **23**, 19–25, 2017.
- [37] T. Sunada, T. Tate, Asymptotic behavior of quantum walks on the line, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 2608–2645, 2012.
- [38] A. Suzuki, Asymptotic velocity of a position-dependent quantum walk, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **15**, 103–119, 2016.

- [39] S. E. Venegas-Andraca, Quantum walks: a comprehensive review, *Quantum Inf. Process.* **11**, 1015–1106, 2012.
- [40] K. Watabe, N. Kobayashi, M. Katori, N. Konno, Limit distributions of two-dimensional quantum walks, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 062331, 2008.