

---

# PHASE TRANSITION OF SPACETIME: PARTICLES AS BLACK HOLES IN ANTI-DE SITTER SPACE

---

A PREPRINT

**Liangsuo Shu**

School of Physics  
Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
Wuhan, China  
liangsuo\_shu@hust.edu.cn

**Kaifeng Cui**

Key Laboratory of Atom Frequency Standards  
Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics  
Chinese Academy of Sciences Wuhan, China  
cuikaifeng@wipm.ac.cn

**Xiaokang Liu**

School of Energy and Power Engineering  
Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
Wuhan, China  
xk\_liu@hust.edu.cn

**Wei Liu**

School of Energy and Power Engineering  
Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
Wuhan, China  
w\_liu@hust.edu.cn

August 27, 2019

## ABSTRACT

In this work, we re-examined the ancient complex metric in the recent quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons. Both black holes and particles can be described by the complex Kerr-Newman metric in a 6-D complex space, which appears as a 4-D spacetime for a real or imaginary observer because of the barrier of the horizon. As two kind of complex black holes, particle and black hole are complex conjugated and can convert into each other through a phase transition. From the view of an observer in 3-D real space, an elementary particle with spin appears as an imaginary black hole in an anti-de Sitter space. The self-gravitational interaction of a particle as an imaginary black hole makes it obtain its wave-like nature in 4-D spacetime.

**Keywords** Complex metric · Quantum black hole · de Broglie's internal clock · ER=EPR

## 1 Introduction

The concern over the potential link between the black hole and the particle has a long and continuous history because it may provide us useful information about the connection between general relativity and quantum mechanics. In 1935, trying to in search of a geometric model for elementary particles, Einstein and Rosen [1] provided a speculative

structure now known as the Einstein–Rosen bridge. In 1968, Carter [2] found that the Kerr–Newman solution [3] has a gyromagnetic ratio  $g=2$  like the Dirac electron. Then, the Kerr–Newman electron has received constant attention [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] and obtained supports from string theory [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. What’s more, there also have been suggestions that black holes should be treated as elementary particles [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Complex metric, provided by Newman and his co-workers in their derivation of the Kerr-Newman metric [3], has been found to be a useful mathematical tool in various problems [37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. Recently, a quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates(BEC) of gravitons [44]. In this picture, we found that complex Kerr-Newman metric has a deep physical meaning rather than just a mathematical model. In a 6-D complex space, both common black holes and elementary particles are found to be special cases of the complex Kerr-Newman black holes, which can turn into each other through a phase transition. By analysing the metric of a particle in the imaginary space, we found that the wave-like nature of a particle in 4-D spacetime is a result of the self-gravitational interaction of a particle as a black hole in the imaginary space.

## 2 Phase transition of complex black hole

### 2.1 Common black hole as a particular solution of complex black hole

The Kerr-Newman metric describes a general black hole with both charge and spin [3]. The radius of its two horizons ( $r_{\pm}$ ) are

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is its mass,  $a$  is its angular momentum per unit mass, and  $Q$  is its charge,  $c = \hbar = G = k_B = 1$  is used in this work ( $c$  will appear where the speed of light needs to be stressed). Equation (1) seems to lose its physical meaning when  $m^2 < a^2 + Q^2$ . However, if a horizon can have complex radius, the physical meaning of this equation can be further expanded. Re-writing equation (1), we can obtain

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \quad (2)$$

The real radius of the complex horizon ( $r_R$ ) is

$$r_R = m \quad (3)$$

In the 3-D real space, an elementary particle will appear as 0-D point in low energy if it can be described by equation (2) because its  $r_R$  is much smaller than Planck length and too small to be measured by current technology. This agrees with standard model. The imaginary radius of the complex horizon ( $r_I$ ) is

$$r_I = \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \quad (4)$$

With the increase of its  $m$ ,  $r_I$  of a particle reduces continuously to  $0i$  and then be realized, which means that the particle as a complex black hole changes into a common black hole. The phase transition point of the complex black hole is an extreme black hole,  $r_I = 0$ . At the same time,  $r_R$  of a particle increases continuously.  $r_R$  not only characterizes the size of the particle, but also defines the boundaries of the 3-D real space for other observers.

Therefore, in the rest frame of the particle, the increasing  $r_R$  can be understood as an expansion of the coordinate origin from a 0-D point to a 2-D spherical surface with radius of  $r_R$  (as shown in Fig.1).




Figure 1: **Phase transition of complex black hole.** After the point of phase transition,  $r_I = 0$ , the imaginary radius is realized and the particle change into a black hole. The objects in *a* are corresponding to the objects in *b*: 0-D origin point vs 2-D origin surface, 1-D time dimension vs 3-D imaginary space. The black holes is Bose-Einstein condensates of  $N$  gravitons. For any graviton, its origin is a point on the origin surface.

In this way, the inner space of a common black hole bordered by its inner and outer horizons is in fact a realized imaginary space embedded in the 3-D real space (while the space within its inner horizon is imaginary space). All the points in this realized imaginary space share the same real radius although their imaginary coordinates can be different. If we consider the rotational symmetry, these points can be considered indistinguishable points in the real space. This agrees with quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of  $N$  gravitons[44].

## 2.2 Gravitons' motions in a BEC black hole

The origin surface of a complex black holes, which is one of the most important physical contents in this work, seems counter-intuitive. In the quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of  $N$  gravitons[44], this concept is easier to understand.

According to [44], the number of gravitons of a Schwarzschild black hole in BEC with mass of  $M$  is

$$N = M^2 \quad (5)$$

The mass of every graviton is

$$m_g = 1/M \quad (6)$$

The origin surface with many points can be regarded as a collection of the origins of  $N$  gravitons (as shown in Fig.1).

The de Broglie wavelength of any graviton

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{m_g} = 2\pi M \quad (7)$$

is found to be the circumference of a circle with radius of  $r_I (= M$  for this Schwarzschild black hole with mass of  $M)$ , which implies that a graviton of the Schwarzschild black hole in BEC may be a stand wave centered on its origin on the origin surface. In fact, a graviton without rest mass moves at the speed of light. If it does a uniform circular motion with a radius of  $r_I$  around its origin, it will complete one cycle in one period.

In the 4-D spacetime, the origin of a particle's rest frame moves along the time dimension at the speed of light ( $a$  of Fig.1). After the phase transition of space, the time dimension is unfolded into the 3-D imaginary space. The moving distance of the origin in the time dimension will be a large value after a long time, which seems an impossible motion in the limited realized imaginary space. A reasonable solution is that the motion of the origin of each graviton is an uniform circular motion on the origin surface at the speed of light. The clockwise and counterclockwise rotation correspond to  $t > 0$  and  $t < 0$ , respectively. In this way, the synthetic movement of the graviton's circular motion and the motion of its origin will be a uniform circular motion on the horizon with speed of light.

In this subsection, combining complex metrics [3] and the quantum black holes as BECs of gravitons [44], we provide a possible picture of how gravitons move in a black hole. This picture is found to be an key to understand the geometric origin of the de Broglie waves (will be discussed in detail later).

### 3 Particle as imaginary black hole in AdS

#### 3.1 Singularity as the origin of time

What kind of geometry does a particle have in the hidden 3-D imaginary space? Penrose's idea about singularity [45] provides us useful clue.

According to Penrose [45], the singularity is the origin of time. In the 6-D complex space, the counterpart of the origin of time in 4-D spacetime is the origin of the 3-D imaginary space. The singularity of a common Kerr–Newman black hole appear as a ring on its equatorial plane with a radius of

$$r_{s,R} = a \tag{8}$$

Any direction of rotation is mathematically equivalent because of the rotational symmetry of the 3-D real space. Therefore, the ring singularity can be regarded as a special solution of a sphere singularity after the direction of rotary axis is locked. The singularity of a common real black hole is completely enclosed by the event horizon. From the above section, we know the space within the event horizon is in fact a imaginary space. Therefore, the origin surface should have an imaginary radius with a modulus of  $a$ . In this way, the origin surface of the particle in imaginary space has a radius of

$$r_0 = ia \tag{9}$$

and the horizon of a particle in the 3-D imaginary space has a radius of  $r_I$  (as shown in *IoF Fig.2*), which means that a particle appears as an imaginary black hole, the horizon radii of which are

$$r_{\pm} = ia \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \tag{10}$$

Equation (10) can be rearranged as

$$r_{\pm} = ia \pm \sqrt{(ia)^2 - (im)^2 - Q^2} \quad (11)$$

Comparing equations (1) and (11), we can obtain the equivalent mass, angular momentum per unit mass of the imaginary black hole of the particle as

$$\begin{cases} M_i = ia \\ a_i = im \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

### 3.2 Hawking temperature of a particle as imaginary black hole

The imaginary black hole has a Hawking temperature of

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2(r_+^2 + a_i^2)} \quad (13)$$

which has an imaginary value.

A black hole can harvest energy from its environment and lose energy through Hawking radiation. Therefore, energy balance is a necessary condition for a stable black hole. The Hawking temperature of a particle as an imaginary black hole is therefore a good mark of the energy level of its local imaginary space. According to the work of Deser and Levin [46], an inertial observer in a de Sitter ( $dS$ ) or anti-de Sitter ( $AdS$ ) spaces with cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  will measure a temperature of

$$T_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \quad (14)$$

When  $\Lambda < 0$ , this temperature will have an imaginary value. Therefore, from the view of an observer in the real space, the imaginary space of our universe is an  $AdS$  space. According to the symmetry of complex space, the time dimension of the  $AdS$  space is folded from the 3-D real space.

It should be specially stated that the imaginary values of physical concepts in the imaginary space including mass and length are only relative to the observers in the real space. From the view of any observer in the imaginary space, the imaginary black hole is just a common real one and the imaginary space is a  $dS$  space.

### 3.3 Evolution of a complex black hole

The presence of complex black holes (including common black holes and particles) makes the coordinate origin of the complex space expands from the point of  $0 + 0i$  to a complex spherical surface with a radius of

$$R_0 = m + ai \quad (15)$$

The imaginary black hole of a particle also have a ring singularity with a radius of

$$r_{s,I} = a_i = im \quad (16)$$

The modulus of  $r_{s,I}$  equals to the radius of its origin surface in the real space. The ring singularity of a common real black hole also have this characteristic. Therefore, the ring singularity of a real or imaginary black hole is in fact a section of the origin surface in its complex conjugate space after the direction of rotary axis is locked. In the complex space, singularity is covered by horizon.

The evolution of a complex black hole in complex space is shown in Fig.(2). We can found that two special cases of complex black holes, particles and common black holes, are complex conjugated.




Figure 2: **Evolution of a complex black hole.** *I*: the particle appears as a point-like particle in the real space while as an imaginary black hole in the imaginary space; *II*: the point expands while the imaginary black hole shrinks; *III*: extreme black hole and extreme imaginary black hole; *IV*: real black hole and imaginary point-like particle. Red circles or spherical surfaces represent origin surfaces, while the black circles represent inner or outer horizon.

## 4 Geometric origin of de Broglie wave

### 4.1 Wave-like nature as a result of gravitons' motion

For all elementary particles except Higgs boson in the standard model, the following equation

$$r_I = i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \approx ia \quad (17)$$

is a sufficiently accurate approximation. Therefore, the imaginary Kerr-Newman black hole of the particle is an approximate imaginary Schwarzschild black hole. According to [44], the imaginary component of the mass of every graviton of the imaginary black hole in Bose-Einstein condensate is

$$m_{g-i} = \frac{i}{|M_i|} = \frac{i}{a} \quad (18)$$

while the number of the gravitons is

$$N = |M_i|^2 = a^2 \quad (19)$$

From the view of an imaginary stationary observer in the rest frame of the particle, the motions of the gravitons of its imaginary black hole in BEC are the synthesis of the motions of their origins and their circular motions around their origins. When the 3-D imaginary space folds to the 1-D time dimension of the 4-D spacetime, these circular motions make particles obtain their wave-like nature. The real part of the complex wave function is the component in a certain direction of the 3-D imaginary space which acts as the time dimension of the 4-D spacetime, while the imaginary part is the component perpendicular to this direction. In the following, we will derive the geometric origin of the plane wave of a free Dirac fermion ( $L = 1/2$ ). The question will be discussed in the free particle's inertial coordinate frame.

For the imaginary black hole of a free Dirac fermion, we assume that two circular motions of its gravitons are in one plane (Fig.3). During a time interval of  $0.5t_0$ , the displacement of its origin ( $\Delta l_{i-0}$ , the red bold short arc in Fig.3) is

$$\Delta l_{i-0} = ic \times 0.5t_0 \quad (20)$$




Figure 3: **Geometric origin of wave nature of a Dirac fermion.** The motions of the gravitons of the imaginary black hole in Bose-Einstein condensate make the particles obtain their wave-like nature. The red, blue, and green bold short arcs represent the displacement of a graviton's origin, the displacement caused by the circular motion around its origin, and its total displacement, respectively.

During the same time, the displacement caused by the circular motion around its origin ( $\Delta l_{i-g}$ , the blue bold short arc in Fig.3) is

$$\Delta l_{i-g} = ic \times 0.5t_0 \quad (21)$$

The phase angle of the graviton,  $\theta$ , will be

$$\theta = \frac{\Delta l_{i-0}}{r_0} = \frac{\Delta l_{i-g}}{r_I} \quad (22)$$

In the free particle's inertial coordinate frame, the particle is stationary at the coordinate origin, which means that  $v = 0$ . Therefore,

$$r_0(v = 0) = r_I(v = 0) = \frac{iL}{m_0} \quad (23)$$

where  $m_0$  is the rest mass of the particle. Substituting  $L = 1/2$  and equation (23) into equation (22) yields

$$\theta = m_0 c t_0 \quad (24)$$

From the view of the observer in the 3-D imaginary space, the resultant motion of the graviton happen on the outer horizon of the imaginary black hole, a spherical surface with a radius of  $r_+ (\approx 2ia)$ . The total displacement of the graviton ( $\Delta l_i$ , the green bold short arc in Fig.3) is

$$\Delta l_i = \theta \times r_+ = ic t_0 \quad (25)$$

The uniform energy of every graviton of the imaginary black hole in BEC means that the phase difference between them remains the same. All the original positions of their origins are components of the starting point of the particle in time dimension. Therefore, the phase angle of the particle is  $\theta$  described in equations (23). The clockwise and counterclockwise rotation of the gravitons on the horizon of their imaginary black hole correspond to the two sign of the spin, respectively.

#### 4.2 Lorentz transformation as a conformal transformation

The phase angle of the wave function of a stationary particle ( $v = 0$ ) is given above. Substituting the Lorentz transformation

$$t_0 = \gamma\left(t - \frac{vx}{c^2}\right) \quad (26)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}} \quad (27)$$

into equation (24), we can get the phase angle of a free particle with speed of  $v$  as

$$\theta' = mct - px \quad (28)$$

From equation (28), we can get some interesting information about the motion of the particle as a black hole in the imaginary space. First, when  $x = 0$ ,

$$\theta' = mct = m_0ct_0 = \theta \quad (29)$$

which means that the phase angle doesn't change when the imaginary black hole shrinks (as shown in Fig.4, which gives a visual display of time dilation in special relativity). Therefore, Lorentz transformation is a conformal transformation for observers in the imaginary space.

Being an imaginary black hole as BEC of gravitons, a particle will have a internal clock as conjectured by de Broglie because of the motions of its gravitons. This internal clock is hidden in the imaginary space.




Figure 4: **de Broglie's internal clock.** When a particle gets more energy, its imaginary black hole shrinks but the phase angle does not change, which will result in time dilation if the speed of light is a constant.

Then, let us analyze the physical meaning of the term " $-px$ " in equation (28). As a matter wave, a particle can appear in different locations of the 3-D real space with a certain probabilities simultaneously. From section 2, we know that all the gravitons of a black hole in BEC share an equivalent real coordinate. If the points in the 3-D real space, at which the particles can appear at the same time, share an equivalent imaginary coordinate, the wave nature



will be a natural result. From the view of any graviton of a particle, the uniform linear motion of the particle with a speed of  $v$  in the 3-D real space appears as the motion of its imaginary black's origin with the speed of  $iv$  in the 3-D imaginary space. We assumed that the imaginary black hole is doing an uniform circular motion on an origin surface with radius of

$$r_{0-p} = \frac{i}{p} \quad (30)$$

where  $p = mv$  is the momentum of the particle (as shown in Fig.5). In this way, we can get the contribution of a particle's momentum to phase angle. The phase angle caused by the motion of the imaginary black hole on the origin surface with a speed of  $iv$  is

$$\theta_0 = \frac{ivt}{r_{0-p}} \quad (31)$$

The final net phase angle is

$$\theta' = \theta - \theta_0 = mct - mvt \quad (32)$$




Figure 5: **Contribution of momentum to phase angle.** The imaginary black hole of a particle is doing an uniform circular motion on an origin surface with radius of  $1/mv$ , which makes different points in the 3-D real space share an equivalent imaginary coordinate. In this way, a particle can appear at these points simultaneously.

### 4.3 Negative energy solutions of Dirac equation

Since its origin is on the origin surface with radius of  $r_{0-p}$ , an imaginary black hole with a negative radius of " $-r_+$ " still has physical meaning. These possible states are found to correspond to the negative solutions of Dirac equation, four solutions of which are shown in Fig.6 .

Comparing the motion of a graviton in a positive black hole in BEC ( $a$  of Fig.6) and that of a negative energy black hole in BEC ( $b$  of Fig.6), we can find that there is a central symmetry between them with respect to the center of the imaginary black hole. Similarly, there is a mirror symmetry between two spin states of the particle ( $a$  vs  $c$ ,  $b$  vs  $d$ ).

In a space where the speed of particle is smaller than that of light ( $v < c$ ), the following relationship can always be satisfied

$$r_{0-p} > |r_+| \quad (33)$$

Therefore, any relativistic wave equation that satisfies the Lorentz transformation will have negative energy solutions.




Figure 6: **Four solutions of Dirac equation.** Blue arcs indicate the displacement of the imaginary black hole as Bose Einstein condensate of gravitons, while red arcs indicate the displacement of one of its gravitons (hollow circle indicates the starting positions and a solid circle indicates the current positions).

## 5 Conclusion and Discussion

### 5.1 Conclusion

Thanks to the recent quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons [44], we are able to re-examin the "ancient" complex metric [3] in this work. The conjugate symmetry between a common black hole and elementary particle in a 6-D complex space is found. For any observer in the 3-D real (or imaginary) part of the complex space, he (or she) can't observe the imaginary (or real) space directly because of the barrier of the horizon. The three imaginary (or real) dimensions will fold into a time dimension, which makes the 6-D complex space appears as a 4-D spacetime.

An elementary particle with spin appears as an imaginary black hole with a mass of  $ia$  in an AdS space ( $a$  is the spin pure unit mass). In the quantum picture of black hole, this imaginary black hole consists of  $N = a^2$  gravitons. The motions of these component gravitons make the particle they make up obtain its wave-like nature. Therefore, the quantum properties of the particles we observed in a 4-D spacetime is a result of the gravitational effect in a 3-D imaginary space. With a time dimension folded from the 3-D real space, the 4-D imaginary spacetime is found to be a AdS space for a real observer. This agrees with the AdS/CFT correspondence proposed by Maldacena [47].

## 5.2 Discussion

This work can provide us a new perspective to understand some problems in quantum mechanics and general relativity such as black hole information paradox. The black hole information paradox shows the conflict between quantum mechanics and general relativity. An important recent development in this area is AMPS firewall [48]. In order to resolve the AMPS firewall paradox, Maldacena and Susskind [49] provided the ER=EPR hypothesis that entangled objects may be connected through the interior via a wormhole, or Einstein-Rosen bridge. In the picture provide in this work, we can found that the creation of an entangled particle pair is indeed a creation of entangled black hole as conjectured in ER=EPR.

In addition, according to Hawking's picture about black hole's Hawking radiation [50], we know that when a particle with positive energy escapes from the horizon, its antiparticle with negative energy will fall to the singularity. The singularity of the imaginary black hole is the origin of the real space. Therefore, if these associated negative particles of the Hawking radiation of the imaginary black hole cross its singularity rather than end at it, the clouds of virtual particles around a particle in the real space will have a gravitational origin.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 51736004 and No.51776079).

## References

- [1] A. Einstein and N. Rosen. The Particle Problem in the General Theory of Relativity. *Physical Review*, 48(1):73–77, 1935.
- [2] Brandon Carter. Global structure of the Kerr family of gravitational fields. *Physical Review*, 174:1559–1571, 1968.
- [3] E. T. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence. Metric of a Rotating, Charged Mass. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 6(6):918–919, 1965.
- [4] G. C. Debney, Kerr, R. P., and Schild, A. Solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations. *J.Math.Phys.*, 10:1842, 1969.
- [5] Werner Israel. Source of the Kerr Metric. *Phys. Rev. D*, 2(4):641–646, August 1970.
- [6] D D. Ivanenko and Alexander Burinskii. Gravitational strings in models for elementary particles. *Russian Physics Journal*, 18, May 1975.
- [7] A. O. Barut and A. J. Bracken. Zitterbewegung and the internal geometry of the electron. *Phys. Rev. D*, 23(10):2454–2463, May 1981.
- [8] Carlos A. López. Extended model of the electron in general relativity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 30(2):313–316, July 1984.
- [9] Carlos A. López. Internal structure of a classical spinning electron. *Gen Relat Gravit*, 24(3):285–296, March 1992.

- [10] A. Burinskii. String-Like Structures in Complex Kerr Geometry. *arXiv:gr-qc/9303003*, March 1993. arXiv: gr-qc/9303003.
- [11] Mark Israelit and Nathan Rosen. Classical models of elementary particles with spin. *Gen Relat Gravit*, 27(2):153–161, February 1995.
- [12] Felix Finster, Joel Smoller, and Shing-Tung Yau. Particlelike solutions of the Einstein-Dirac equations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 59(10):104020, April 1999.
- [13] A. Y Burinskii. Super-Kerr-Newman solution to broken  $N = 2$  supergravity. *Classical & Quantum Gravity*, 16(11):3497, 1999.
- [14] Alexander Burinskii. Gravitational strings beyond quantum theory: Electron as a closed heterotic string. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 361(1):012032, 2003.
- [15] H. I. Arcos and J. G. Pereira. Kerr–Newman Solution as a Dirac Particle. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 36(11):2441–2464, November 2004.
- [16] A. Burinskii. The Dirac-Kerr-Newman electron. *Gravit. Cosmol.*, 14(2):109, April 2008.
- [17] Alexander Burinskii. Source of the Kerr-Newman solution as a gravitating bag model: 50 years of the problem of the source of the Kerr solution. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 31(02n03):1641002, January 2016.
- [18] Alexander Burinskii. New Path to Unification of Gravity with Particle Physics. *arXiv*, 2017.
- [19] Christoph F.E. Holzhey and Frank Wilczek. Black holes as elementary particles. *Nuclear Physics B*, 380(3):447–477, August 1992.
- [20] Ashoke Sen. Rotating Charged Black Hole Solution in Heterotic String Theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(7):1006–1009, August 1992. arXiv: hep-th/9204046.
- [21] Ashoke Sen. Extremal black holes and elementary string states. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 10(28):2081–2093, September 1995.
- [22] Hitoshi Nishino. Stationary axisymmetric black holes,  $N = 2$  superstring, and self-dual gauge or gravity fields. *Physics Letters B*, 359(1-2):77–86, October 1995.
- [23] Gary Horowitz and Ashoke Sen. Rotating Black Holes which Saturate a Bogomol’nyi Bound. *Physical Review D*, 53(2):808–815, January 1996. arXiv: hep-th/9509108.
- [24] G. ’t Hooft. The black hole interpretation of string theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 335(1):138–154, April 1990.
- [25] S. W. Hawking. Gravitational Radiation from Colliding Black Holes. *Physical Review Letters*, 26(21):1344–1346, May 1971.
- [26] Leonard Susskind. Some Speculations about Black Hole Entropy in String Theory. *arXiv:hep-th/9309145*, September 1993. arXiv: hep-th/9309145.
- [27] Leonard Susskind and John Uglum. Black hole entropy in canonical quantum gravity and superstring theory. *Physical Review D*, 50(4):2700–2711, August 1994.
- [28] Jorge G. Russo and Leonard Susskind. Asymptotic level density in heterotic string theory and rotating black holes. *Nuclear Physics B*, 437(3):611–623, March 1995.

- [29] M. J. Duff, Ramzi R. Khuri, Ruben Minasian, and Joachim Rahmfeld. New Black Hole, String and Membrane Solutions of the Four-Dimensional Heterotic String. *Nuclear Physics B*, 418(1-2):195–205, April 1994. arXiv: hep-th/9311120.
- [30] M. J. Duff and J. Rahmfeld. Massive String States as Extreme Black Holes. *Physics Letters B*, 345(4):441–447, February 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9406105.
- [31] Ashoke Sen. Black Hole Solutions in Heterotic String Theory on a Torus. *Nuclear Physics B*, 440(3):421–440, May 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9411187.
- [32] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend. Unity of Superstring Dualities. *Nuclear Physics B*, 438(1-2):109–137, March 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9410167.
- [33] P. K. Townsend. The eleven-dimensional supermembrane revisited. *Physics Letters B*, 350(2):184–188, May 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9501068.
- [34] Edward Witten. String Theory Dynamics In Various Dimensions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 443(1-2):85–126, June 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9503124.
- [35] Andrew Strominger. Massless Black Holes and Conifolds in String Theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 451(1-2):96–108, September 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9504090.
- [36] Brian R. Greene, David R. Morrison, and Andrew Strominger. Black Hole Condensation and the Unification of String Vacua. *Nuclear Physics B*, 451(1-2):109–120, September 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9504145.
- [37] Ezra T. Newman. Maxwell’s equations and complex Minkowski space. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 14(1):102–103, January 1973.
- [38] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking. Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation. *Physical Review D*, 15(10):2738–2751, May 1977.
- [39] J. David Brown, Erik A. Martinez, and James W. York. Complex Kerr-Newman geometry and black-hole thermodynamics. *Physical Review Letters*, 66(18):2281–2284, May 1991.
- [40] A. Burinskii. Kerr spinning particle, strings, and superparticle models. *Physical Review D*, 57(4):2392–2396, February 1998.
- [41] Alexander Burinskii and Giulio Magli. Kerr-Schild approach to the boosted Kerr solution. *Phys. Rev. D*, 61(4):044017, January 2000.
- [42] Ezra T. Newman. Classical, geometric origin of magnetic moments, spin-angular momentum, and the Dirac gyromagnetic ratio. *Physical Review D*, 65(10), April 2002.
- [43] Alexander Burinskii. Complex Kerr geometry and nonstationary Kerr solutions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 67(12):124024, June 2003.
- [44] G Dvali and C Gomez. Black hole’s quantum N-portrait. *Fortschritte Der Physik-progress of Physics*, 61(7-8):742–767, 2013.
- [45] Roger Penrose. Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 14(3):57–59, January 1965.

- [46] S. Deser and Orit Levin. Accelerated detectors and temperature in (anti-) de Sitter spaces. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 14(9):L163–L168, September 1997.
- [47] Juan Maldacena. The Large-N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4):1113–1133, April 1999.
- [48] Ahmed Almheiri, Donald Marolf, Joseph Polchinski, and James Sully. Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls? *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(2), February 2013. arXiv: 1207.3123.
- [49] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind. Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte Der Physik*, 61(9):781–811, 2013.
- [50] S. W. Hawking. Black hole explosions? *Nature*, 248(5443):30–31, March 1974.

---

# PHASE TRANSITION OF SPACETIME: PARTICLES AS BLACK HOLES IN ANTI-DE SITTER SPACE

---

A PREPRINT

**Liangsuo Shu**  
 Department of Physics  
 Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
 Wuhan, China  
 liangsuos\_shu@hust.edu.cn

**Kaifeng Cui**  
 Key Laboratory of Atom Frequency Standards  
 Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics  
 Chinese Academy of Sciences Wuhan, China  
 cuikaifeng@wipm.ac.cn

**Xiaokang Liu**  
 School of Energy and Power Engineering  
 Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
 Wuhan, China  
 xk\_liu@hust.edu.cn

**Wei Liu**  
 School of Energy and Power Engineering  
 Huazhong University of Science & Technology  
 Wuhan, China  
 w\_liu@hust.edu.cn

August 27, 2019

## ABSTRACT

In this work, inspired by Penrose's idea about singularity, we take a fresh look at the ancient complex metric in the recent quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons. Both black holes and particles can be described by the complex Kerr-Newman metric and convert to each other through a phase transition in a 6-D complex space, which appears as a 4-D spacetime for a real or imaginary observer because of the barrier of the horizon. From the view of an observer in 3-D imaginary space, an elementary particle with spin appears as an imaginary black hole in an anti-de Sitter space. The self-gravitational interaction of the imaginary black hole makes the particle obtain its wave-like nature in 4-D spacetime.

**Keywords** Complex metric · Quantum black hole · Bose-Einstein condensate · AdS · ER=EPR

## 1 Introduction

The concern over the potential link between the black hole and the particle has a long and continuous history because it may provide us useful information about the connection between general relativity and quantum mechanics. In 1935, trying to in search of a geometric model for elementary particles, Einstein and Rosen [1] provided a speculative structure now known as the Einstein–Rosen bridge. In 1968, Carter [2] found that the Kerr–Newman solution [3] has a gyromagnetic ratio  $g=2$  like the Dirac electron. Then, the Kerr–Newman electron has received constant attention [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] and obtained supports from string theory [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. What's more, there also have been suggestions that black holes should be treated as elementary particles [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Complex metric, provided by Newman and his co-workers in their derivation of the Kerr-Newman metric [3], has been found to be a useful mathematical tool in various problems [37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. Recently, a quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons [44]. In this picture, we found that complex Kerr-Newman metric has a deep physical meaning rather than just a mathematical model. In a 6-D complex space, both common black holes and elementary particles are found to be special cases of the complex Kerr-Newman black

holes, which can turn into each other through a phase transition. By analysing the metric of a particle in the imaginary space, we obtain the geometric origin of the de Broglie waves.

## 2 Phase transition of complex black hole

The Kerr-Newman metric describes a general black hole with both charge and spin [3]. The radius of its two horizons ( $r_{\pm}$ ) are

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - Q^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  is its mass,  $a$  is its angular momentum per unit mass, and  $Q$  is its charge,  $c = \hbar = G = k_B = 1$  is used in this work ( $c$  will appear where the speed of light needs to be stressed). Equation (1) seems to lose its physical meaning when  $m^2 < a^2 + Q^2$ . However, if a horizon can have complex radius, the physical meaning of this equation can be further expanded. Re-writing equation (1), we can obtain

$$r_{\pm} = m \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \quad (2)$$

The real radius of the complex horizon ( $r_R$ ) is

$$r_R = m \quad (3)$$

In the 3-D real space, an elementary particle will appear as 0-D point in low energy if it can be described by equation (2) because its  $r_R$  is much smaller than Planck length and too small to be measured, which agrees with standard model. The imaginary radius of the complex horizon ( $r_I$ ) is

$$r_I = \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \quad (4)$$

With the increase of its  $m$ ,  $r_I$  of a particle reduces continuously to 0i and then be realized, which means that the particle as a complex black hole changes into a common black hole. The phase transition point of the complex black hole is an extreme black hole,  $r_I = 0$ . At the same time,  $r_R$  of a particle increases continuously.  $r_R$  not only characterizes the size of the particle, but also defines the boundaries of the 3-D real space for other observers. Therefore, in the rest frame of the particle, the increasing  $r_R$  can be understood as an expansion of the coordinate origin from a 0-D point to a 2-D spherical surface with radius of  $r_R$  (shown in Fig.1). In this way, the inner space of a common black hole bordered by its inner and outer horizons is in fact a realized imaginary space embedded in the 3-D real space(while the space within its inner horizon is imaginary space). All the points in this realized imaginary space share the same real radius although their imaginary coordinates can be different. If we consider the rotational symmetry, these points can be considered indistinguishable points in the real space. This agrees with quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons[44].

In the 4-D spacetime, the origin of a rest particle's rest frame moves along the time dimension at the speed of light ( $a$  of Fig.1). After the phase transition, what form does this motion of origin appear? A quantum black hole is composed of many gravitons. For each graviton, its coordinate origin is a point at the origin surface. The moving distance of the origin in the time dimension will be a large value after a long time, which seems an impossible motion in the limited realized imaginary space. A reasonable solution is that the motion of the origin of each graviton is a uniform circular motion on the origin surface at the speed of light. The clockwise and counterclockwise rotation correspond to  $t > 0$  and  $t < 0$ , respectively. Similarly, the graviton without rest mass also move at the speed of light, which should also be a uniform circular motion on its sub-horizon with a radius of  $r_I$  ( $b$  of Fig.1).

According to Dvali and Gomez's work [44], the energy of every graviton,  $m_g$ , in the BEC Schwarzschild black hole with mass of  $M$  is  $1/M$ . The de Broglie wavelength of a graviton

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{m_g} = 2\pi M \quad (5)$$

is found to be the circumference of the great circle of its sub-horizon ( $r_I = M$  for this Schwarzschild black hole with mass of  $M$ ). This means that every graviton of a BEC black hole is a standing wave on its own sub-horizon. The barycenter of the standing wave of a graviton is its origin on the origin surface.

As a product of the combination of quantum pictures of black holes and complex metrics, this idea is an important key to understand the geometric origin of the de Broglie waves.






Figure 1: **Phase transition of complex black hole.** After the point of phase transition,  $r_I = 0$ , the imaginary radius is realized and the particle change into a black hole. The objects in a are corresponding to the objects in b: 0-D origin point vs 2-D origin surface, 1-D time dimension vs 3-D imaginary space. The black holes is Bose-Einstein condensates of  $N$  gravitons. The blue circle in b is the sub-horizon of one graviton, the origin of which is a point at the origin surface.

### 3 Particle as imaginary black hole

What kind of geometry does a particle have in the hidden 3-D imaginary space? According to Penrose [45], the singularity is the origin of time. In the 6-D complex space, the counterpart of the origin of time in 4-D spacetime is the origin of the 3-D imaginary space. The singularity of a common Kerr–Newman black hole appear as a ring on its equatorial plane with a radius of  $a$ . Any direction of rotation is mathematically equivalent because of the rotational symmetry of the 3-D real space. Therefore, the ring singularity can be regarded as a special solution of a sphere singularity after the direction of the rotary axis is locked.

Inspired by this and the "key" we found in the previous section, we assumed that a particle can have many energy components as a quantum black hole and for each energy component, its origin is on a sphere surface with radius of

$$r_0 = ia \quad (6)$$

and the horizon in the 3-D imaginary space has a radius of  $r_I$  (as shown in *IofFig.2*), which means that a particle appears as an imaginary black hole with a radius of

$$r_{\pm} = ia \pm i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \quad (7)$$




Figure 2: **Evolution of a complex black hole** *I*: the particle appears as a point-like particle in the real space while as an imaginary black hole in the imaginary space; *II*: the point expands while the imaginary black hole shrinks; *III*: extreme black hole and extreme imaginary black hole; *IV*: real black hole and imaginary point-like particle.

Equation (7) can be rearranged as

$$r_{\pm} = ia \pm \sqrt{(ia)^2 - (im)^2 - Q^2} \quad (8)$$

Comparing equations (1) and (8), we can obtain the equivalent mass, angular momentum per unit mass of the imaginary black hole as

$$\begin{cases} M_i = ia \\ a_i = im \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The imaginary black hole has a Hawking temperature of

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2(r_+^2 + a_i^2)} \quad (10)$$

which has an imaginary value.

A black hole can harvest energy from its environment and lose energy through Hawking radiation. Therefore, energy balance is a necessary condition for a stable black hole. The Hawking temperature of a particle as an imaginary black hole is therefore a good mark of the energy level of its local imaginary space. According to the work of Deser and Levin [46], an inertial observer in a de Sitter (*dS*) or anti-de Sitter (*AdS*) spaces with cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  will measure a temperature of

$$T_{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \quad (11)$$

When  $\Lambda < 0$ , this temperature will have an imaginary value. Therefore, from the view of an observer in the imaginary space, our universe is an *AdS* space. According to the symmetry of complex space, the time dimension of the *AdS* space is folded from the 3-D real space.

For all elementary particles except Higgs boson in the standard model,

$$r_I = i\sqrt{a^2 + Q^2 - m^2} \approx ia \quad (12)$$

is a sufficiently accurate approximation. Therefore, the imaginary black hole of the particle is an approximate Schwarzschild black hole. In this way, in the quantum picture of black hole, the energy components of the particle is in fact gravitons in the Bose-Einstein condensate. According to [44], the imaginary component of every graviton's

mass is

$$m_{g-i} = \frac{i}{|M_i|} = \frac{i}{a} \quad (13)$$

while the number of the gravitons is

$$N = |M_i|^2 = a^2 \quad (14)$$

According to equation (5), the accurate value of  $m_{g-i}$  is  $i/r_I$ .  $m_g$  also have a real component of  $m/N$ . Therefore, the mass carried by each graviton is

$$m_g = \frac{m}{a^2} + \frac{i}{a} \quad (15)$$

From the view of graviton of complex black hole in Bose-Einstein condensate, the presence of a complex black hole makes the origin of the coordinates of the complex space expands from the point of  $0 + 0i$  to a complex spherical surface with a radius of

$$R_0 = m + ai \quad (16)$$

and only  $r_I$  is the intrinsic radius of its sub-horizon.

## 4 Geometric origin of de Broglie wave

From the view of an observer who is stationary at the origin of the coordinate in the rest frame of the particle, the motions of the gravitons of its imaginary black hole are the synthesis of the motions of their origins and their circular motions around their origins. When the 3-D imaginary space folds to the 1-D time dimension of the 4-D spacetime, these circular motions make particles obtain their wave-like nature. In the following, we will derive the plane wave of a free particle.

First we analyze the case where two circular motions of any graviton are in one plane (a of Fig.3). For any graviton of a particle as an imaginary black hole, during a time interval of  $0.5t$ , the displacement of its origin ( $\Delta l_{i-0}$ , the red bold short arc in a of Fig.3) and the displacement caused by the circular motion around its origin ( $\Delta l_{i-g}$ , the blue bold short arc in a of Fig.3) are

$$\Delta l_{i-0} = \Delta l_{i-g} = ic \times 0.5t \quad (17)$$

From the view of the observer who is stationary at the origin of the coordinate of the 3-D imaginary, the resultant motion of the graviton happen on the outer horizon of the imaginary black hole, a spherical surface with a radius of  $r_+$ ,

$$r_+ \approx 2ia \quad (18)$$

The total displacement of the graviton ( $\Delta l_i$ , the green bold short arc in a of Fig.3) is the sum of  $\Delta l_{i-0}$  and  $\Delta l_{i-g}$

$$\Delta l_i = \Delta l_{i-0} + \Delta l_{i-g} = ic \times 0.5t \times 2 = ict \quad (19)$$

If  $L = 1/2$  (fermions in the standard model), the phase angle of the graviton,  $\theta$ , will be

$$a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \quad (20a)$$

Then, we analyze the case where two circular motions of any graviton are in two mutually perpendicular planes (b of Fig.3). In this case, only the circular motion of every graviton's origin makes contribution to phase angle. If  $L = 1$  (gauge bosons in the standard model), the phase angle of the graviton,  $\theta$ , will be

$$\theta(L = 1) = \frac{\Delta l_{i-0}}{r_0} = mct \quad (20b)$$

The uniform energy of every graviton of the imaginary black hole in Bose-Einstein condensate means that the phase difference between them remains the same. All the original positions of their origins are components of the starting point in time of the particle. Therefore, the phase angle of the particle is  $\theta$  described in equations (20a) and (20b).

The phase angle of the wave function of a stationary particle ( $v = 0$ ) is given above. How about the phase angle of a particle with a no-zero speed? From the view of any graviton of a particle, the uniform linear motion of the particle

with a speed of  $v$  appears as the motion of its origin with the speed of  $iv$ . Inspired by the geometric characteristics of the Minkowski space and Einstein's idea about the freely moving along a geodesic in gravitational field, we assume this motion is also an uniform circular motion as the motion in time dimension with speed of  $c$  ( $c$  of Fig.3).

If the radius of curvature of this motion is

$$r_0 = \frac{i}{p} \quad (21)$$

where  $p = mv$  is the momentum of the particle. The phase angle caused by the speed of  $iv$  is

$$\theta_0 = \frac{ivt}{r_0} \quad (22)$$

The final net phase angle is

$$\theta' = \theta - \theta_0 = mct - mvt \quad (23)$$

The real part of the complex wave function is the component in a certain direction of the 3-D imaginary space which acts as the time dimension of the 4-D spacetime, while the imaginary part is the component perpendicular to this direction. When the direction of rotation of the gravitons turns, we can get the negative energy solutions of wave functions.

Why the uniform linear motion of a free particle with a speed of  $v + ic$  in the 4-D spacetime appears as the synthesis of uniform circular motions in the imaginary space? This imaginary black hole curves the imaginary space and makes the surrounding observers feel an equivalent central potential. The path of a inertial particle in the 4-D spacetime should be geodesics for an observer in the 3-D imaginary space. In other word, the quantum properties of the particles we observed in a 4-D spacetime is a result of the gravitational effect in a 3-D imaginary space. With a time dimension folded from the 3-D real space, the 4-D imaginary spacetime is found to be a AdS space for an inner observer. This agrees with the AdS/CFT correspondence proposed by Maldacena [47].

## 5 Conclusion and Discussion

Thanks to the recent quantum picture of black holes as Bose-Einstein condensates of gravitons, we are able to take a fresh look at the ancient complex metric in this work. The conjugate symmetry between a common black hole and elementary particle in a 6-D complex space is found. For any observer in the 3-D real (or imaginary) part of the complex space, he (or she) can't observe the imaginary (or real) space directly because of the barrier of the horizon. The three imaginary (or real) dimensions will fold into a time dimension, which makes the 6-D complex space appears as a 4-D spacetime.

An elementary particle with spin appears as an imaginary black hole with a mass of  $ia$  in an Ads space. In the quantum picture of black hole, this imaginary black hole consists of  $N = a^2$  gravitons. The motions of these component gravitons make the particle they make up obtain its wave-like nature. We found that there are two different motion patterns for these component gravitons, which correspond to the fermions ( $L = 1/2$ ) and gauge bosons ( $L = 1$ ) in the standard model, respectively. Therefore, de Broglie wave of a particle has a geometric origin and is a result of its self-gravitational interaction in the imaginary space.

This work provides us a new perspective to understand some problems in quantum mechanics and general relativity such as generations of leptons and black hole information paradox.

As a stable elementary particle, the imaginary black hole of the electron should be a good mark of the energy level of the imaginary space of our universe. If electron have a greater rest mass, its smaller imaginary black hole will have a higher temperature, which means that the original thermal equilibrium between it and the imaginary space is destroyed. Therefore, the decays of muons and tauns may be caused by the evaporation of their imaginary black holes in imaginary space.

The black hole information paradox shows the conflict between quantum mechanics and general relativity. An important recent development in this area is AMPS firewall [48]. In order to resolve the AMPS firewall paradox, Maldacena and Susskind [49] provided the ER=EPR hypothesis that entangled objects may be connected through the interior via a wormhole, or Einstein-Rosen bridge. In the picture provide in this work, we can found that the creation of an entangled particle pair is indeed a creation of entangled black hole as conjectured in ER=EPR.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 51736004 and No.51776079).

## References

- [1] A. Einstein and N. Rosen. The Particle Problem in the General Theory of Relativity. *Physical Review*, 48(1):73–77, 1935.
- [2] Brandon Carter. Global structure of the Kerr family of gravitational fields. *Physical Review*, 174:1559–1571, 1968.
- [3] E. T. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence. Metric of a Rotating, Charged Mass. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 6(6):918–919, 1965.
- [4] G. C. Debney, Kerr, R. P., and Schild, A. Solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations. *J.Math.Phys.*, 10:1842, 1969.
- [5] Werner Israel. Source of the Kerr Metric. *Phys. Rev. D*, 2(4):641–646, August 1970.
- [6] D D. Ivanenko and Alexander Burinskii. Gravitational strings in models for elementary particles. *Russian Physics Journal*, 18, May 1975.
- [7] A. O. Barut and A. J. Bracken. Zitterbewegung and the internal geometry of the electron. *Phys. Rev. D*, 23(10):2454–2463, May 1981.
- [8] Carlos A. López. Extended model of the electron in general relativity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 30(2):313–316, July 1984.
- [9] Carlos A. López. Internal structure of a classical spinning electron. *Gen Relat Gravit*, 24(3):285–296, March 1992.
- [10] A. Burinskii. String-Like Structures in Complex Kerr Geometry. *arXiv:gr-qc/9303003*, March 1993. arXiv: gr-qc/9303003.
- [11] Mark Israelit and Nathan Rosen. Classical models of elementary particles with spin. *Gen Relat Gravit*, 27(2):153–161, February 1995.
- [12] Felix Finster, Joel Smoller, and Shing-Tung Yau. Particlelike solutions of the Einstein-Dirac equations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 59(10):104020, April 1999.
- [13] A. Y Burinskii. Super-Kerr-Newman solution to broken  $N = 2$  supergravity. *Classical & Quantum Gravity*, 16(11):3497, 1999.
- [14] Alexander Burinskii. Gravitational strings beyond quantum theory: Electron as a closed heterotic string. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 361(1):012032, 2003.
- [15] H. I. Arcos and J. G. Pereira. Kerr–Newman Solution as a Dirac Particle. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 36(11):2441–2464, November 2004.
- [16] A. Burinskii. The Dirac-Kerr-Newman electron. *Gravit. Cosmol.*, 14(2):109, April 2008.
- [17] Alexander Burinskii. Source of the Kerr-Newman solution as a gravitating bag model: 50 years of the problem of the source of the Kerr solution. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 31(02n03):1641002, January 2016.
- [18] Alexander Burinskii. New Path to Unification of Gravity with Particle Physics. *arXiv*, 2017.
- [19] Christoph F.E. Holzhey and Frank Wilczek. Black holes as elementary particles. *Nuclear Physics B*, 380(3):447–477, August 1992.
- [20] Ashoke Sen. Rotating Charged Black Hole Solution in Heterotic String Theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(7):1006–1009, August 1992. arXiv: hep-th/9204046.
- [21] Ashoke Sen. Extremal black holes and elementary string states. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 10(28):2081–2093, September 1995.
- [22] Hitoshi Nishino. Stationary axisymmetric black holes,  $N = 2$  superstring, and self-dual gauge or gravity fields. *Physics Letters B*, 359(1-2):77–86, October 1995.
- [23] Gary Horowitz and Ashoke Sen. Rotating Black Holes which Saturate a Bogomol’nyi Bound. *Physical Review D*, 53(2):808–815, January 1996. arXiv: hep-th/9509108.
- [24] G. ’t Hooft. The black hole interpretation of string theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 335(1):138–154, April 1990.

- [25] S. W. Hawking. Gravitational Radiation from Colliding Black Holes. *Physical Review Letters*, 26(21):1344–1346, May 1971.
- [26] Leonard Susskind. Some Speculations about Black Hole Entropy in String Theory. *arXiv:hep-th/9309145*, September 1993. arXiv: hep-th/9309145.
- [27] Leonard Susskind and John Uglum. Black hole entropy in canonical quantum gravity and superstring theory. *Physical Review D*, 50(4):2700–2711, August 1994.
- [28] Jorge G. Russo and Leonard Susskind. Asymptotic level density in heterotic string theory and rotating black holes. *Nuclear Physics B*, 437(3):611–623, March 1995.
- [29] M. J. Duff, Ramzi R. Khuri, Ruben Minasian, and Joachim Rahmfeld. New Black Hole, String and Membrane Solutions of the Four-Dimensional Heterotic String. *Nuclear Physics B*, 418(1-2):195–205, April 1994. arXiv: hep-th/9311120.
- [30] M. J. Duff and J. Rahmfeld. Massive String States as Extreme Black Holes. *Physics Letters B*, 345(4):441–447, February 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9406105.
- [31] Ashoke Sen. Black Hole Solutions in Heterotic String Theory on a Torus. *Nuclear Physics B*, 440(3):421–440, May 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9411187.
- [32] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend. Unity of Superstring Dualities. *Nuclear Physics B*, 438(1-2):109–137, March 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9410167.
- [33] P. K. Townsend. The eleven-dimensional supermembrane revisited. *Physics Letters B*, 350(2):184–188, May 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9501068.
- [34] Edward Witten. String Theory Dynamics In Various Dimensions. *Nuclear Physics B*, 443(1-2):85–126, June 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9503124.
- [35] Andrew Strominger. Massless Black Holes and Conifolds in String Theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 451(1-2):96–108, September 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9504090.
- [36] Brian R. Greene, David R. Morrison, and Andrew Strominger. Black Hole Condensation and the Unification of String Vacua. *Nuclear Physics B*, 451(1-2):109–120, September 1995. arXiv: hep-th/9504145.
- [37] Ezra T. Newman. Maxwell’s equations and complex Minkowski space. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 14(1):102–103, January 1973.
- [38] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking. Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation. *Physical Review D*, 15(10):2738–2751, May 1977.
- [39] J. David Brown, Erik A. Martinez, and James W. York. Complex Kerr-Newman geometry and black-hole thermodynamics. *Physical Review Letters*, 66(18):2281–2284, May 1991.
- [40] A. Burinskii. Kerr spinning particle, strings, and superparticle models. *Physical Review D*, 57(4):2392–2396, February 1998.
- [41] Alexander Burinskii and Giulio Magli. Kerr-Schild approach to the boosted Kerr solution. *Phys. Rev. D*, 61(4):044017, January 2000.
- [42] Ezra T. Newman. Classical, geometric origin of magnetic moments, spin-angular momentum, and the Dirac gyromagnetic ratio. *Physical Review D*, 65(10), April 2002.
- [43] Alexander Burinskii. Complex Kerr geometry and nonstationary Kerr solutions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 67(12):124024, June 2003.
- [44] G Dvali and C Gomez. Black hole’s quantum N-portrait. *Fortschritte Der Physik-progress of Physics*, 61(7-8):742–767, 2013.
- [45] Roger Penrose. Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 14(3):57–59, January 1965.
- [46] S. Deser and Orit Levin. Accelerated detectors and temperature in (anti-) de Sitter spaces. *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 14(9):L163–L168, September 1997.
- [47] Juan Maldacena. The Large-N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4):1113–1133, April 1999.
- [48] Ahmed Almheiri, Donald Marolf, Joseph Polchinski, and James Sully. Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls? *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(2), February 2013. arXiv: 1207.3123.
- [49] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind. Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte Der Physik*, 61(9):781–811, 2013.