

MATRIX PRODUCT SOLUTIONS TO THE G_2 REFLECTION EQUATION

ATSUO KUNIBA

Abstract

We study the G_2 reflection equation for the three particles in $1 + 1$ dimension that undergo a special scattering/reflections described by the Pappus theorem. It is a sixth order equation and serves as a natural G_2 analogue of the Yang-Baxter and the reflection equations corresponding to the cubic and the quartic Coxeter relations of type A and BC , respectively. We construct matrix product solutions to the G_2 reflection equation by exploiting a connection to the representation theory of the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(G_2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter and the reflection equations

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(\alpha_1)R_{13}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{23}(\alpha_2) &= R_{23}(\alpha_2)R_{13}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{12}(\alpha_1), \\ R_{12}(\alpha_1)K_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{21}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)K_1(\alpha_2) &= K_1(\alpha_2)R_{12}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)K_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{21}(\alpha_1) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

are the fundamental structure in quantum integrable systems in the bulk [1] and at the boundary [4, 22, 12]. They are the Yang-Baxterizations (spectral parameter dependent versions) of the cubic and the quartic Coxeter relations for the simple reflections s_1, s_2 of the root systems of A_2 and B_2/C_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} s_1s_2s_1 &= s_2s_1s_2, & \Delta_+ &= \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2\}, \\ s_1s_2s_1s_2 &= s_2s_1s_2s_1, & \Delta_+ &= \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \alpha_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here α_1, α_2 are the simple roots and Δ_+ denotes the set of positive roots which formally correspond to the spectral parameters. They are so ordered that the k th one from the left is $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ with $i_k = 1$ (k : odd) and $i_k = 2$ (k : even). For simplicity we assume $R \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V})$ and $K \in \text{End} \mathbf{V}$ for some vector space \mathbf{V} .

It is natural and by now classic to extend the ‘factorization’ condition like (1) to more general root systems [4]. In this paper we study the G_2 case of the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(\alpha_1)G_{132}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{23}(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)G_{213}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)R_{31}(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)G_{321}(\alpha_2) \\ = G_{231}(\alpha_2)R_{13}(\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)G_{123}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)R_{32}(2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)G_{312}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)R_{21}(\alpha_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where R is a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation by itself and $G \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V})$ is the characteristic operator in the G_2 theory. It is a Yang-Baxterization of the G_2 Coxeter relation $s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2 = s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1$ with the spectral parameters corresponding to the positive roots. See (12)–(13).

Although the equation (2) was not written down explicitly in [4], it was explained to the author by Cherednik [6] that the G_2 factorization condition is depicted by a three particle scattering diagram corresponding to (2) and it is related to the geometry of the Desargues-Pappus theorem¹. The equation (2) for generic symbols R and G without assuming a tensor structure on their representation space (i.e. without indices) has appeared as a defining relation of the *root algebra* of type G_2 [5, Sec.2]. In this paper we call (2) *the G_2 reflection equation* for simplicity.

The purpose of this paper is to construct families of solutions to the G_2 reflection equation with $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ for any positive integer n . Our approach is based on the 3 dimensional (3D) integrability developed in [2, 17, 13, 14] for the Yang-Baxter equation and in [16] for the reflection equation. The most essential idea of it is to embark on a *quantization* or a *3D version* of the G_2 reflection equation. We introduce the *quantized G_2 reflection equation*

$$(L_{12}J_{132}L_{23}J_{213}L_{31}J_{321}) \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ (J_{231}L_{13}J_{123}L_{32}J_{312}L_{21}), \quad (3)$$

which is a G_2 reflection equation (without spectral parameters) up to conjugation by a certain operator \mathcal{F} acting on an auxiliary q -boson Fock space. Our finding (Theorem 4.1) is that with a suitable choice of the quantized scattering amplitude L and J , (3) coincides exactly with the intertwining relation [15, eq.(28)] of the $A_q(G_2)$

¹ This is partly described in [4, p982] and will be detailed in Section 2.2.

modules labeled by the longest element of the Weyl group [23]. The \mathcal{F} corresponds to the intertwiner. Here $A_q(\mathfrak{g})$, for a finite dimensional classical simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in general, denotes a Hopf subalgebra of the dual $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ called *quantized coordinate ring*. It has been studied from a variety of aspects. See [7, 19, 23, 18, 11, 20, 8, 15, 21, 24] for example.

In short, we obtain a solution to the quantized G_2 reflection equation (3). It offers a bonus; the equation/solution can be concatenated along the q -boson Fock space for arbitrary n times. The piled n layers of the $1 + 1$ dimensional scattering diagrams can be viewed as a 3D lattice system in which adjacent layers may be interchanged locally according to (3) without changing the total statistical weight, a feature roughly referred to as 3D integrability. Anyway, to the n -concatenation of the quantized G_2 reflection equation, one can insert the spectral parameters and evaluate the intertwiner \mathcal{F} away appropriately. It brings us back to the original G_2 reflection equation, thereby producing a solution to it for each n . Actually there are two such recipes called trace reduction and boundary vector reduction. They lead to the solutions $(R^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{tr}}(z))$ and $(R^{\text{bv}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z))^2$, respectively. By the construction they possess the matrix product structure containing n -product of L 's or J 's³

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{tr}}(z) &= \varrho^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}(z^{\mathbf{h}} L \cdots L), & G^{\text{tr}}(z) &= \kappa^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}(z^{\mathbf{h}} J \cdots J), \\ R^{\text{bv}}(z) &= \varrho^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \xi | z^{\mathbf{h}} L \cdots L | \xi \rangle, & G^{\text{bv}}(z) &= \kappa^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \xi | z^{\mathbf{h}} J \cdots J | \xi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the trace and the sandwich $\langle \xi | (\cdots) | \xi \rangle$ are taken over a q -boson Fock space. The detail will be explained in later sections. The solutions are trigonometric in the spectral parameter⁴. In fact $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ turn out to be the quantum R matrices [7, 9] for the antisymmetric tensor representations of $U_p(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ and the spin representation of $U_p(D_{n+1}^{(2)})$ with $p^2 = -q^{-3}$. This part of the results is contained in the earlier works [2, 17].

This paper may be viewed as a continuation of [2, 17] and [16] where analogous results were obtained for the Yang-Baxter and the reflection equations, respectively. To explore applications of the G_2 reflection equation is a future problem. For instance to architect commuting transfer matrices based on the G_2 reflection is an interesting issue.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we explain the interpretation of the G_2 reflection equation in terms of a special three particle scattering following [4, 6]. The characteristic feature is the operator G which encodes the simultaneous reflection of one of the particles at the boundary and scattering of the other two. The world-lines of these particles form a configuration matching the classical Pappus theorem.

In Section 3 we formulate the quantized G_2 reflection equation by promoting R and G in (2) to the q -boson valued L and J . The L matrix (19) appeared first in [2]. The q -boson valued amplitude J (24)–(31) has been designed deliberately to validate Theorem 4.1. It does *not* split into the product of operators representing the single particle reflection and the two particle scattering. See (34).

In Section 4 after recalling basic facts on the representation theory of $A_q(G_2)$ [23], we state our key observation in Theorem 4.1. It identifies the quantized G_2 reflection equation with the intertwining relation between certain $A_q(G_2)$ modules.

In Section 5 we review the reduction of the tetrahedron equation (cf. [25]) to the Yang-Baxter equation following [2, 17, 16]. This construction has been illustrated in many literatures recently, e.g. [13, 14], so we keep the description brief. A slightly more detailed exposition is available in [16, App.B].

In Section 6 we explain that the analogous reduction works perfectly also for the quantized G_2 reflection equation. They lead to two families of solutions $(R^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{tr}}(z))$ and $(R^{\text{bv}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z))$ to the G_2 reflection equation (2), where the latter is yet based on the conjectural relation (77). The role of the intertwiner \mathcal{F} is curious. Although it is complicated and no closed formula is known, it does not give rise to a difficulty since the reduction procedure just eliminates it. Nevertheless \mathcal{F} essentially controls the construction behind the scene in that it specifies precisely how the L and J are to be combined, how the spectral parameters should be arranged and what kind of boundary vectors are acceptable. These are essential legacy of \mathcal{F} .

Section 7 is a summary. Appendix A describes the precise correspondence between the quantized G_2 reflection equation and the intertwining relation (44) of the $A_q(G_2)$ modules. Appendix B contains explicit forms of $(R^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{tr}}(z))$ and $(R^{\text{bv}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z))$ for small n .

² This latter solution assumes the relation (77) yet to be proved.

³ $\varrho^{\text{tr}}(z), \varrho^{\text{bv}}(z), \kappa^{\text{tr}}(z), \kappa^{\text{bv}}(z)$ are scalars given in (68) and (87).

⁴ “Trigonometric” means rational in z in (4) which corresponds to the exponential of the spectral parameters in (2).

Throughout the paper we assume that q is generic and use the following notation:

$$(z; q)_m = \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - zq^{k-1}), \quad (q)_m = (q; q)_m,$$

$$\theta(\text{true}) = 1, \quad \theta(\text{false}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{j}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

2. G_2 REFLECTION EQUATION FOR THREE PARTICLE SCATTERING

2.1. **The G_2 reflection equation.** Let \mathbf{V} be a vector space and consider the operators

$$R(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}), \quad G(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) \tag{5}$$

depending on the spectral parameter z . We assume that $R(z)$ satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}(x)R_{13}(xy)R_{23}(y) = R_{23}(y)R_{13}(xy)R_{12}(x) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}). \tag{6}$$

By the G_2 reflection equation we mean the following in $\text{End}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V})$:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}(x)G_{132}(xy)R_{23}(x^2y^3)G_{213}(xy^2)R_{31}(xy^3)G_{321}(y) \\ &= G_{231}(y)R_{13}(xy^3)G_{123}(xy^2)R_{32}(x^2y^3)G_{312}(xy)R_{21}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

To explain the notation, write temporarily as $R(z) = \sum r_l^{(1)} \otimes r_l^{(2)}$ and $G(z) = \sum g_l^{(1)} \otimes g_l^{(2)} \otimes g_l^{(3)}$ with some sums over l^5 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(z) &= \sum r_l^{(1)} \otimes r_l^{(2)} \otimes 1, & R_{13}(z) &= \sum r_l^{(1)} \otimes 1 \otimes r_l^{(2)}, & R_{23}(z) &= \sum 1 \otimes r_l^{(1)} \otimes r_l^{(2)}, \\ R_{21}(z) &= \sum r_l^{(2)} \otimes r_l^{(1)} \otimes 1, & R_{31}(z) &= \sum r_l^{(2)} \otimes 1 \otimes r_l^{(1)}, & R_{32}(z) &= \sum 1 \otimes r_l^{(2)} \otimes r_l^{(1)}, \\ G_{ijk}(z) &= \sum g_l^{(i)} \otimes g_l^{(j)} \otimes g_l^{(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2.2. **Scattering diagram; Pappus configuration.** Let us describe the special three particle scattering related to the G_2 reflection equation. This is due to [4, 6]. Consider the three particles 1,2,3 coming from A_1, A_2, A_3 and being reflected by the boundary at O_1, O_2, O_3 , respectively. See Figure 1. The bottom horizontal line is the boundary which may also be viewed as the time axis. The vertical direction corresponds to the 1D space. Each line carries \mathbf{V} which specifies an internal degrees of the freedom of a particle. So a three particle state at a time is described by an element in $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$.




FIGURE 1. Scattering diagram for the RHS of (7).

One can arrange the three particle world-lines so that the two particle scattering P_1, P_2, P_3 happen exactly at the same instant as the boundary reflection O_1, O_2, O_3 of the other particle, respectively. This is nontrivial. For instance, suppose there were only particles 2 and 3. They already determine the reflecting points O_2, O_3 and the intersection P_1 (and Q_1) and its projection O_1 onto the boundary. Let P_2, P_3 be the points on the world-lines of particle 3 and 2 whose projection are O_2 and O_3 , respectively. In order to be able to draw the

⁵ Although these expansions do not specify $r_l^{(a)}, g_l^{(a)}$ uniquely, it suffices to make (8) unambiguous.

world-line for the last particle 1, the three points P_2, P_3 and O_1 must be collinear. This is guaranteed by a special case of the Pappus theorem from the 4th century.

One can state it more symmetrically just by starting from P_1, P_2 and their projection O_1, O_2 onto the boundary. Let P'_1, P'_2 be the mirror image of P_1, P_2 with respect to the boundary. Then the three intersections $\overline{P_1O_2} \cap \overline{O_1P_2}, \overline{P_1P'_2} \cap \overline{P'_1P_2}$ and $\overline{O_1P'_2} \cap \overline{P'_1O_2}$ are collinear; in fact they are P_3, O_3 and the mirror image of P_3 .

Let us call the so arranged scattering diagram a *Pappus configuration*. The reflection at O_i with the simultaneous two particle scattering at P_i will be referred to as a *special three particle event* ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Up to a translation in the horizontal direction, a Pappus configuration is parameterized by three real numbers. For instance one can specify it by the length of the segment $\overline{O_1O_2}$ and the (dual) reflection angles $\angle P_3O_2O_3$ and $\angle P_3O_1O_3$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \angle P_3O_2O_3, & w &= \angle P_2O_3O_2, & v &= \angle P_3O_1O_3, \\ \theta_1 &= \angle A_2Q_3A_1, & \theta_2 &= \angle A_3P_2A_1, & \theta_3 &= \angle A_3Q_1O_2, \\ \theta_4 &= \angle A_1P_3O_2, & \theta_5 &= \angle A_1Q_2O_3, & \theta_6 &= \angle O_2P_1O_3. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then it is elementary to see

$$\begin{aligned} \tan w &= \tan u + \tan v, \\ \theta_1 &= u - v, & \theta_2 &= w - v, & \theta_3 &= u + w, & \theta_4 &= u + v, & \theta_5 &= v + w, & \theta_6 &= w - u. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We formally consider the infinitesimal angles hence replace (10) by $w = u + v$. In such a treatment, a Pappus configuration is labeled only by the two angles u and v . By a further substitution $u = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $v = \alpha_2$, (11) becomes

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \theta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \theta_3 = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \quad \theta_4 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \quad \theta_5 = \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \quad \theta_6 = \alpha_2. \quad (12)$$

Regard the symbols α_1, α_2 formally as the simple roots of G_2 . They are transformed by the simple reflections s_1, s_2 of the Weyl group as

$$s_1(\alpha_1) = -\alpha_1, \quad s_1(\alpha_2) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad s_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \quad s_2(\alpha_2) = -\alpha_2.$$

Thus we find

$$\theta_k = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}), \quad (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5, i_6) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2), \quad (13)$$

and $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_6\}$ yields the set of the positive roots of G_2 .

The RHS of the G_2 reflection equation (7) is obtained by attaching $R(e^{\theta_k})$ to the two particle scattering at Q_i and $G(e^{\theta_k})$ to the special three particle event at P_iO_i if it is the k th event starting from the left in Figure 1. Setting $e^u = x$ and $e^v = y$, the assignment reads

$$\begin{aligned} R_{21}(x) &: \text{two particle scattering at } Q_3, \\ G_{312}(xy) &: \text{special three particle event at } P_2O_2, \\ R_{32}(x^2y^3) &: \text{two particle scattering at } Q_1, \\ G_{123}(xy^2) &: \text{special three particle event at } P_3O_3, \\ R_{13}(xy^3) &: \text{two particle scattering at } Q_2, \\ G_{231}(y) &: \text{special three particle event at } P_1O_1. \end{aligned}$$

The indices for each operator correspond to the ordering of the relevant particles before the process. For instance just before the special three particle event at P_2O_2 , the incoming particles are 3,1,2 from the top to the bottom, which is encoded in $G_{312}(xy)$. The LHS of the G_2 reflection equation (7) represents the Pappus configuration in which the time ordering of the processes are reversed. See Figure 2.

3. QUANTIZED G_2 REFLECTION EQUATION

3.1. q -bosons. Let $F_q = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{C}|m\rangle$ and $F_q^* = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbb{C}\langle m|$ be the Fock space and its dual equipped with the inner product $\langle m|m'\rangle = (q^2)_m \delta_{m,m'}$. We define the q -boson operators $\mathbf{a}^+, \mathbf{a}^-, \mathbf{k}$ on them by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^+|m\rangle &= |m+1\rangle, & \mathbf{a}^-|m\rangle &= (1 - q^{2m})|m-1\rangle, & \mathbf{k}|m\rangle &= q^{m+\frac{1}{2}}|m\rangle, \\ \langle m|\mathbf{a}^- &= \langle m+1|, & \langle m|\mathbf{a}^+ &= \langle m-1|(1 - q^{2m}), & \langle m|\mathbf{k} &= \langle m|q^{m+\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$




FIGURE 2. Scattering diagram for the LHS of (7).

They satisfy $(\langle m|X|m'\rangle) = \langle m|(X|m'\rangle)$. Let $F_{q^3}, F_{q^3}^*$ and $\mathbf{A}^+, \mathbf{A}^-, \mathbf{K}$ denote the same objects with q replaced by q^3 . Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^+|m\rangle &= |m+1\rangle, & \mathbf{A}^-|m\rangle &= (1-q^{6m})|m-1\rangle, & \mathbf{K}|m\rangle &= q^{3m+\frac{3}{2}}|m\rangle, \\ \langle m|\mathbf{A}^- &= \langle m+1|, & \langle m|\mathbf{A}^+ &= \langle m-1|(1-q^{6m}), & \langle m|\mathbf{K} &= \langle m|q^{3m+\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The inner product in F_{q^3} is given by $\langle m|m'\rangle = (q^6)_m \delta_{m,m'}$ differing from the F_q case. However we write the base vectors as $\langle m|, |m\rangle$ either for $F_{q^3}^*, F_{q^3}$ or F_q^*, F_q since their distinction will always be evident from the context. Note the q -boson commutation relations

$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^\pm = q^{\pm 1} \mathbf{a}^\pm \mathbf{k}, \quad \mathbf{a}^\pm \mathbf{a}^\mp = 1 - q^{\mp 1} \mathbf{k}^2, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{A}^\pm = q^{\pm 3} \mathbf{A}^\pm \mathbf{K}, \quad \mathbf{A}^\pm \mathbf{A}^\mp = 1 - q^{\mp 3} \mathbf{K}^2. \quad (16)$$

We will also use the number operator \mathbf{h} defined by

$$\mathbf{h}|m\rangle = m|m\rangle, \quad \langle m|\mathbf{h} = \langle m|m \quad (17)$$


either for F_q or F_{q^3} . One may regard $\mathbf{k} = q^{\mathbf{h}+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathbf{K} = q^{3\mathbf{h}+\frac{3}{2}}$. The extra $1/2$ in the spectrum of $\log_q \mathbf{k}$ is the *zero point energy*, which simplifies many forthcoming formulas.

3.2. q -boson valued L matrix. Set $V = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. This should not be confused with \mathbf{V} in (5). In fact they will be related as $\mathbf{V} = V^{\otimes n}$ later. (See around (53).) We introduce the q -boson valued L matrix by

$$L(v_\alpha \otimes v_\beta \otimes |m\rangle) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \{0,1\}} v_\gamma \otimes v_\delta \otimes L_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} |m\rangle, \quad (18)$$

$$L = \left(L_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{A}^- & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}^+ & -\mathbf{K} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}(V \otimes V \otimes F_{q^3}). \quad (19)$$

We attach a diagram to each component $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \in \text{End}(F_{q^3})$ as follows⁶:



⁶ The vertices here should be distinguished from those in Figure 1 and 2 since V and \mathbf{V} are different.

The other configurations are to be understood as zero. So L may be regarded as defining a q -boson valued six vertex model in which the latter relation of (16) plays the role of “free-fermion” condition. See eq. (10.16.5) $|_{d=0}$ in [1]. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle) &= v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle, & L(v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m\rangle) &= v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m\rangle, \\ L(v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m\rangle) &= v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes \mathbf{K}|m\rangle + v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+|m\rangle \\ &= q^{3m+\frac{3}{2}}v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m\rangle + v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m+1\rangle, \\ L(v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle) &= v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes \mathbf{A}^-|m\rangle - v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes \mathbf{K}|m\rangle \\ &= (1 - q^{6m})v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m-1\rangle - q^{3m+\frac{3}{2}}v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note the obvious properties

$$L_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = 0 \quad \text{unless } \alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{h}L_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta} = L_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta}(\mathbf{h} + \beta - \delta), \quad (21)$$

which will be referred to as *weight conservation*. Up to conventional difference, the L matrix (18) appeared in [2]. See also [17, 16].

3.3. q -boson valued J matrix. Besides the L , we need another q -boson valued matrix J which encodes a characteristic feature of the G_2 scattering. It is defined by

$$J(v_\alpha \otimes v_\beta \otimes v_\gamma \otimes |m\rangle) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu \in \{0,1\}} v_\lambda \otimes v_\mu \otimes v_\nu \otimes J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}|m\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$J = \left(J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \right) \in \text{End}(V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes F_q). \quad (23)$$

Each component $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \in \text{End}(F_q)$ is depicted by a 90°-degrees rotated special three particle event⁷

$$J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} = \begin{array}{c} \mu \quad \lambda \quad \nu \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ \alpha \quad \beta \quad \gamma \end{array} \quad (24)$$

We choose the operator $J_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} \in \text{End}(F_q)$ concretely as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{array}{c} a \quad a \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ a \quad a \quad 0 \\ \mathbf{a}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} a \quad a \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ a \quad a \quad 0 \\ \mathbf{k} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} a \quad a \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ a \quad a \quad 1 \\ -\mathbf{k} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} a \quad a \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ a \quad a \quad 1 \\ \mathbf{a}^- \end{array} & (a = 0, 1) \end{array} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ u_1 \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \mathbf{k}^2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ r^{-1} u_1 u_3 \mathbf{s} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ u_3 \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \end{array} & (26) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ -u_2 \mathbf{a}^+ \mathbf{k} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ r^{-1} u_2 u_4 \mathbf{s} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \mathbf{k}^2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \nearrow \quad \nearrow \quad \rightarrow \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ -u_4 \mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{k} \end{array} & (27) \end{array}$$

⁷Note however again that the lines here carry V whereas those in Figure 1 and 2 do \mathbf{V} . The boundary line is omitted here.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \\
 (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 & u_4 \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ & -u_3 \mathbf{a}^+ \mathbf{k} & r^{-1} u_3 u_4 \mathbf{s}
 \end{array} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \end{array} \\
 r^{-1} u_1 u_2 \mathbf{s} & u_2 \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- & -u_1 \mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{k} & (\mathbf{a}^-)^2
 \end{array} \tag{29}$$

Here u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 are parameters satisfying

$$u_1 u_2 + u_3 u_4 = r := q + q^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

The operator $\mathbf{s} \in \text{End}(F_q)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{a}^+ - q^{-1} \mathbf{k}^2 = 1 - r \mathbf{k}^2. \tag{31}$$

All the $J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$'s not contained in the above list is zero. The weight conservation properties analogous to (20) and (21) hold:

$$J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = 0 \text{ unless } \alpha + \beta = \lambda + \mu, \tag{32}$$

$$\mathbf{h} J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} (\mathbf{h} + 1 + \beta - \gamma - \mu - \nu). \tag{33}$$

As an illustration we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J(v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle) \\
 &= v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes J_{100}^{100} |m\rangle + v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes J_{100}^{101} |m\rangle \\
 &+ v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes J_{100}^{010} |m\rangle + v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes J_{100}^{011} |m\rangle \\
 &= -u_2 q^{m+\frac{1}{2}} v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m+1\rangle + r^{-1} u_2 u_4 (1 - r q^{2m+1}) v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m\rangle \\
 &+ r^{-1} u_1 u_2 (1 - r q^{2m+1}) v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes |m\rangle + u_2 q^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - q^{2m}) v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes |m-1\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The three particle diagram reduces to a direct product of two particle scattering and one particle boundary reflection if the dotted line were absent. Although it is *not* the case, the operator J almost splits into such a product as

$$J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = d \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, \mu} K_{\gamma}^{\nu} + c \theta(\alpha + \gamma = \mu + \nu = 1) \text{id} \tag{34}$$

for some constants c, d . Here \mathcal{L} denotes (19) $_{\mathbf{A}^{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}^{\pm}, \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}}$ and K_{γ}^{ν} are the q -boson valued K matrix introduced in [16, eq.(9)].

3.4. Quantized G_2 reflection equation. Given L and J in Section 3.2 and 3.3, consider the G_2 reflection equation (7) $_{R \rightarrow L, G \rightarrow J}$ that holds up to conjugation by an element $\mathcal{F} \in \text{End}(F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q)$:

$$(L_{12} J_{132} L_{23} J_{213} L_{31} J_{321}) \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ (J_{231} L_{13} J_{123} L_{32} J_{312} L_{21}). \tag{35}$$

This is an equality of linear operators on $\overset{1}{V} \otimes \overset{2}{V} \otimes \overset{3}{V} \otimes \overset{4}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{5}{F_q} \otimes \overset{6}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{7}{F_q} \otimes \overset{8}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{9}{F_q}$, where the superscripts are just temporal labels for explanation. If they are all exhibited (35) reads as

$$L_{124} J_{1325} L_{236} J_{2137} L_{318} J_{3219} \mathcal{F}_{456789} = \mathcal{F}_{456789} J_{2319} L_{138} J_{1237} L_{326} J_{3125} L_{214}. \tag{36}$$


We fix the normalization of \mathcal{F} by

$$\mathcal{F}(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \tag{37}$$

To explain the notation in (35) and (36), write L (18) and J (22) as $L = \sum \mathcal{L}_l^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(3)}$ and $J = \sum \mathcal{J}_l^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(3)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(4)}$ similarly to (8), where \sum means \sum_l . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij4} &= \sum \mathcal{L}_l^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(j)} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(3)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \quad ((i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 1)), \\ L_{ij6} &= \sum 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(i-1)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(j-1)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(3)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \quad ((i, j) = (2, 3), (3, 2)), \\ L_{ij8} &= \sum \mathcal{L}_l^{(i')} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(j')} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_l^{(3)} \otimes 1 \quad ((i, j) = (1, 3), (3, 1), 1' = 1, 3' = 2), \\ J_{ijk5} &= \sum \mathcal{J}_l^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(j)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(k)} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(4)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \quad (\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}), \\ J_{ijk7} &= \sum \mathcal{J}_l^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(j)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(k)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(4)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \quad (\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}), \\ J_{ijk9} &= \sum \mathcal{J}_l^{(i)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(j)} \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(k)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}_l^{(4)} \quad (\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}). \end{aligned}$$

Practically, one can realize these operators from (18) and (22) by putting $L_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}$ and $J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ at appropriate tensor components with a suitable permutations of the indices α, β, \dots . The equation (35) or equivalently (36) is a q -boson valued G_2 reflection equation without a spectral parameter up to conjugation. We call them the *quantized G_2 reflection equation* in analogy with the quantized reflection equation proposed in [16] for C_2 . It is depicted as follows.




Here the indices 1,2,3 label the reflecting lines while 4,5,6,7,8,9 are attached to the scattering/reflection events. The latter group of indices are associated with the Fock spaces, and the q -bosons are acting on them in the direction perpendicular to this planar diagram. If one introduces such q -boson arrows going from the back to the front of the diagram, the operator \mathcal{F}_{456789} in the LHS (resp. RHS) corresponds to a vertex where the six arrows going toward (resp. coming from) 4,5,6,7,8,9 intersect. In Section 6.1 we will take the concatenation of (35) for n times. It corresponds to a 3D diagram involving the n layers of the Pappus configurations depicted in the above.









The component of (36) corresponding to the transition $v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k \mapsto v_a \otimes v_b \otimes v_c$ in $\overset{1}{V} \otimes \overset{2}{V} \otimes \overset{3}{V}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum L_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{a, b} \otimes J_{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_2}^{\alpha_1, c, \alpha_2} \otimes L_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2, \beta_3} \otimes J_{\lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2}^{\gamma_1, \beta_1, \gamma_2} \otimes L_{\lambda_3, \lambda_1}^{\mu_2, \mu_1} \otimes J_{k, j, i}^{\lambda_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_1} \right) \mathcal{F} \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(\sum L_{j, i}^{\alpha_2, \alpha_1} \otimes J_{k, \alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\beta_3, \beta_1, \beta_2} \otimes L_{\beta_3, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2, \gamma_1} \otimes J_{\beta_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2} \otimes L_{\mu_1, \mu_2}^{\lambda_1, \lambda_3} \otimes J_{\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_1}^{b, c, a} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

with the sums taken over $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. The summands correspond to various diagrams with the external edges specified as



Let us illustrate the case $(a, b, c, i, j, k) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$. The relevant diagrams are given as follows:



The top left diagram yields the LHS while the other ones lead to the RHS. We have also shown the corresponding q -boson valued amplitude. As the result the quantized G_2 reflection equation (35) in this case

becomes the following equation in $\text{End}(F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^-) \mathcal{F} = & \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & + r\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}^+ \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^-), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where we have combined the terms with coefficients $u_1 u_2$ and $u_3 u_4$ together by means of (30).

The quantized G_2 reflection equation (35) is the set of $2^6 = 64$ equations like (39) corresponding to the choice of $a, b, c, i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$ in (38). In the next section they will be identified with the intertwining relation of certain $A_q(G_2)$ modules.

4. $A_q(G_2)$ AND ITS INTERTWINER

4.1. Intertwining relation of $A_q(G_2)$ modules. The quantized coordinate ring $A_q(G_2)$ is a Hopf algebra which can be realized by 49 generators $(t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 7}$ obeying the so-called RTT type quadratic relations and some additional ones. They are available in [20], which was adopted in [15, Sec.3.3.3] in the form directly relevant to this paper. Their concrete form is not necessary here. What we need is the two fundamental representations $\pi_i : A_q(G_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(F_{q_i})$ associated with the simple reflections s_i ($i = 1, 2$), where $q_1 = q, q_2 = q^3$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_1(t_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 7} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}^- & \mathbf{k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{a}^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 & r\mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}^- & \mathbf{k}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{a}^- \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{s} & \mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}^+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{k}^2 & -r\mathbf{k}\mathbf{a}^+ & (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{a}^- & \mathbf{k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{a}^+ \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\pi_2(t_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 7} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}^- & \mathbf{K} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{A}^+ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}^- & \mathbf{K} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{A}^+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Here r and \mathbf{s} are defined in (30) and (31). These expressions are obtained from [15, eq.(27)]⁸ by setting $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha_2 = q^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

The coproduct $\Delta^{(k)} : A_q(G_2) \rightarrow A_q(G_2)^{\otimes k}$ takes the simple form $\Delta^{(k)}(t_{i,j}) = \sum_{1 \leq l_2, \dots, l_k \leq 7} t_{i,l_2} \otimes t_{l_2,l_3} \otimes \dots \otimes t_{l_{k-1},j}$. For $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2\}$, one can construct a tensor product representation by

$$\pi_{i_1, \dots, i_k} := (\pi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{i_k}) \circ \Delta^{(k)} : A_q(G_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(F_{q_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{q_{i_k}}).$$

According to the general theory [23], π_{i_1, \dots, i_k} is irreducible if and only if $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression of an element of the Weyl group of G_2 . Moreover π_{i_1, \dots, i_k} and π_{j_1, \dots, j_k} are equivalent if $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k} = s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_k}$. We are concerned with the two reduced expressions of the longest element $s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2$, the associated representations π_{212121} and π_{121212} and their isomorphism $\pi_{212121} \simeq \pi_{121212}$.

Let Φ^\vee be the intertwiner. Namely it is the map $F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \rightarrow F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q$ characterized by $\pi_{212121} \Phi^\vee = \Phi^\vee \pi_{121212}$ up to normalization. Set $\Phi = \Phi^\vee \circ P$ where P is a linear map reversing the order of the six-fold tensor product as $P(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_6) = x_6 \otimes x_5 \otimes \dots \otimes x_1$. Thus there exists the unique Φ such that

$$\Phi \in \text{End}(F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q), \quad (41)$$

$$\pi_{212121}(g) \Phi = \Phi \pi'_{121212}(g) \quad \forall g \in A_q(G_2) \quad (\pi'_{121212} := P\pi_{121212}P), \quad (42)$$

$$\Phi(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \quad (43)$$

⁸ Note that unlike (14) in this paper, the operator \mathbf{k} in [15, eq.(17)] does *not* contain the zero point energy.

The condition (43) fixes the normalization. It suffices to impose the equation (42) for the 49 generators $g = t_{i,j}$. By using the explicit form of the coproduct $\Delta^{(6)}$, they are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum \pi_2(t_{i,l_2}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{l_2,l_3}) \otimes \pi_2(t_{l_3,l_4}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{l_4,l_5}) \otimes \pi_2(t_{l_5,l_6}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{l_6,j}) \right) \Phi \\ & = \Phi \left(\sum \pi_2(t_{l_6,j}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{l_5,l_6}) \otimes \pi_2(t_{l_4,l_5}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{l_3,l_4}) \otimes \pi_2(t_{l_2,l_3}) \otimes \pi_1(t_{i,l_2}) \right) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 7), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where the sums are taken over $1 \leq l_2, \dots, l_6 \leq 7$. In this way the intertwining relation (42) boils down to the 49 equations (44). Although the lists of $\pi_1(t_{i,j}), \pi_2(t_{i,j})$ in (40) are pretty sparse, some equations become lengthy including typically 16 terms on one side or both. We do not display them all here but present a few examples.

$g = t_{1,1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- - 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} - 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & \quad - 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- + 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}) \Phi \\ & = \Phi(1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- - 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} - 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & \quad - 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- + 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

$g = t_{1,5}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \\ & \quad + r1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ + 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2) \Phi \\ & = \Phi(\mathbf{A}^- \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + r\mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & \quad + \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^- - \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

$g = t_{1,6}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^-) \Phi \\ & = \Phi(\mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + r\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \\ & \quad + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{a}^-), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$g = t_{2,6}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- + \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- + r\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \\ & \quad + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- - \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k}) \Phi \\ & = \Phi(\mathbf{A}^+ \otimes \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ + \mathbf{K} \otimes (\mathbf{a}^+)^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ + r\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}^- \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \\ & \quad + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{A}^+ \otimes (\mathbf{a}^-)^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ + \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{A}^- \otimes \mathbf{a}^+ - \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

$g = t_{1,7}, t_{7,1}$:

$$[\Phi, 1 \otimes \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}^2 \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{k}] = 0.$$

4.2. Solution to the quantized G_2 reflection equation. Notice that (45) coincides with (39) under the identification $\mathcal{F} = \Phi$. In fact under this correspondence one can directly check that the 49 intertwining relations (44) and the 64 quantized G_2 reflection equations (38) are *equivalent*. We list the correspondence of the indices (i, j) in (44) and (a, b, c, i, j, k) in (38) in Appendix A. Since (43) and (37) impose the same normalization on Φ and \mathcal{F} , we conclude $\mathcal{F} = \Phi$. Let us summarize this result in

Theorem 4.1. *Under the normalization (37), the quantized G_2 reflection equation (35) with L, J given in Section 3.2 and 3.3 has the unique solution $\mathcal{F} = \Phi$ in terms of the intertwiner Φ of the $A_q(G_2)$ module characterized by (42) and (43).*

Henceforth we shall identify \mathcal{F} and Φ and write \mathcal{F} to also mean the intertwiner Φ . Let us quote some basic properties of \mathcal{F} from [15, Sec.4.4]. Set

$$\mathcal{F}(|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \otimes |l\rangle \otimes |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle) = \sum_{a,b,c,d,e,f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{F}_{ijklmna}^{abcdef} |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \otimes |c\rangle \otimes |d\rangle \otimes |e\rangle \otimes |f\rangle.$$

Then the following properties are valid:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef} \in \mathbb{Z}[q], \quad \mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef} = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a+b+2c+d+e \\ b+3c+2d+3e+f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i+j+2k+l+m \\ j+3k+2l+3m+n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef} = \frac{(q^6)_i (q^2)_j (q^6)_k (q^2)_l (q^6)_m (q^2)_n}{(q^6)_a (q^2)_b (q^6)_c (q^2)_d (q^6)_e (q^2)_f} \mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef}. \quad (47)$$

Due to the latter property of (46), \mathcal{F} is an infinite direct sum of finite dimensional matrices. In terms of \mathbf{h}_i acting as \mathbf{h} (17) on the i th component from the left, it may be rephrased as the commutativity

$$[\mathcal{F}, x^{\mathbf{h}_1} (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_2} (x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}_3} (xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}_4} (xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}_5} y^{\mathbf{h}_6}] = 0, \quad (48)$$

where x and y are free parameters. We let \mathcal{F} also act on $\langle \omega | \in F_{q^3}^* \otimes F_q^* \otimes F_{q^3}^* \otimes F_q^* \otimes F_{q^3}^* \otimes F_q^*$ by $(\langle \omega | \mathcal{F} | \omega' \rangle) = \langle \omega | (\mathcal{F} | \omega' \rangle)$ for any $|\omega'\rangle \in F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_q$.

It is possible to make a tedious computer program to calculate $\mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef}$ for any given indices by using (44). However unlike the $A_q(A_2)$ and $A_q(C_2)$ cases, an explicit general formula is yet to be constructed. At $q = 0$ $\mathcal{F}_{ijklmn}^{abcdef}$ is known to become 0 or 1, which can be determined by the ultradiscretization (tropical form) of [3, Th.3.1(c)].

Example 4.2. The following is the list of all the nonzero $\mathcal{F}_{100102}^{abcdef}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{000200} &= -q^3(1-q^4)(1-q^6)(1-q^2-q^6), & \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{001001} &= -q^2(1-q^4)^2(1-q^6)(1+q^4), \\ \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{010010} &= (1-q^4)(1-q^6)(1-q^2+q^{10}), & \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{200004} &= q^{11}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{020002} &= q^3(1-q^6)(1-q^4-q^6-2q^8-q^{10}-q^{12}), & \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{100011} &= q^2(1-q^4)(1-q^6+q^{14}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{100102} &= q^4(1+q^2-2q^6-q^8-q^{10}+q^{14}+q^{16}+q^{18}), & \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{110003} &= q^6(1+q^2)(1-q^8-q^{12}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{100102}^{010101} &= q(1+q^2)(1-q^6)(1-q^2-q^4-q^6+q^{10}+q^{12}+q^{14}). \end{aligned}$$

5. MATRIX PRODUCT SOLUTIONS TO YANG-BAXTER EQUATION FROM L

5.1. Tetrahedron equation. The q -boson valued L matrix (19) is known to satisfy a version the tetrahedron equation [2]

$$L_{124}L_{135}L_{236}\mathcal{R}_{456} = \mathcal{R}_{456}L_{236}L_{135}L_{124} \in \text{End}(\overset{1}{V} \otimes \overset{2}{V} \otimes \overset{3}{V} \otimes \overset{4}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{5}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{6}{F_{q^3}}). \quad (49)$$

This is a Yang-Baxter equation up to conjugation by $\mathcal{R} \in \text{End}(F_{q^3} \otimes F_{q^3} \otimes F_{q^3})$. Such an \mathcal{R} is unique up to overall normalization and is known to satisfy the tetrahedron equation $\mathcal{R}_{124}\mathcal{R}_{135}\mathcal{R}_{236}\mathcal{R}_{456} = \mathcal{R}_{456}\mathcal{R}_{236}\mathcal{R}_{135}\mathcal{R}_{124}$ among themselves. See [10] for the approach from the representation theory of the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(A_2)$, [2] for a quantum geometry argument and [16, Sec.3.1] for a brief guide to the background.

We let \mathcal{R} also act on $(F_{q^3}^*)^{\otimes 3}$ by $(\langle \langle a | \otimes \langle b | \otimes \langle c | \mathcal{R} | \langle i | \otimes \langle j | \otimes \langle k | \rangle) = \langle \langle a | \otimes \langle b | \otimes \langle c | \mathcal{R} | \langle i | \otimes \langle j | \otimes \langle k | \rangle)$. In this paper we will only need the following properties

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}, \quad [\mathcal{R}_{123}, x^{\mathbf{h}_1+\mathbf{h}_2} y^{\mathbf{h}_2+\mathbf{h}_3}] = 0, \quad (50)$$

$$\langle \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \chi | \mathcal{R} = \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \chi |, \quad \mathcal{R}(|\chi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle) = |\chi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle, \quad (51)$$

$$\langle \chi | = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\langle m |}{(q^3)_m} \in F_{q^3}^*, \quad |\chi\rangle = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{|m\rangle}{(q^3)_m} \in F_{q^3}, \quad (52)$$

where x, y are free parameters. The relation (51) was proved in [17, Pr.4.1]⁹.

5.2. Concatenation of the tetrahedron equation. Consider n copies of (49) in which the spaces labeled with 1, 2, 3 are replaced by $1_i, 2_i, 3_i$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$(L_{1_1 2_1 4} L_{1_1 3_1 5} L_{2_1 3_1 6}) \mathcal{R}_{456} = \mathcal{R}_{456} (L_{2_1 3_1 6} L_{1_1 3_1 5} L_{1_1 2_1 4}).$$

Sending \mathcal{R}_{456} to the left by repeatedly applying this relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{1_1 2_1 4} L_{1_1 3_1 5} L_{2_1 3_1 6}) \cdots (L_{1_n 2_n 4} L_{1_n 3_n 5} L_{2_n 3_n 6}) \mathcal{R}_{456} \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{456} (L_{2_1 3_1 6} L_{1_1 3_1 5} L_{1_1 2_1 4}) \cdots (L_{2_n 3_n 6} L_{1_n 3_n 5} L_{1_n 2_n 4}). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

⁹ χ is just a symbol and not a nonnegative integer. A similar caution applies also to ξ in (76).

Set $\mathbf{V} = V^{\otimes n} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ in general and $\mathbf{V} = \overset{k}{V} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{k_n}{V}$ when the label is present. The equality (53) holds in $\text{End}(\overset{1}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{3}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{4}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{5}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{6}{F_{q^3}})$. It is possible to rearrange it without changing the order of any two operators sharing common labels as

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}})(L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}})(L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}}) \mathcal{R}_{456} \\ & = \mathcal{R}_{456} (L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}})(L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}})(L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}}). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Write the right relation in (50) as $\mathcal{R}_{456}^{-1} x^{\mathbf{h}_4} (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} y^{\mathbf{h}_6} = x^{\mathbf{h}_4} (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} y^{\mathbf{h}_6} \mathcal{R}_{456}^{-1}$. Multiplying this to (54) from the left we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_{456}^{-1} (x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}}) ((xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}}) (y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}}) \mathcal{R}_{456} \\ & = (y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}}) ((xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}}) (x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}}). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

5.3. Reduction to Yang-Baxter equation. The trace of (55) over $\overset{4}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{5}{F_{q^3}} \otimes \overset{6}{F_{q^3}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_4(x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}}) \text{Tr}_5((xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}}) \text{Tr}_6(y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}}) \\ & = \text{Tr}_6(y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}}) \text{Tr}_5((xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}}) \text{Tr}_4(x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}}). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Alternatively one may sandwich (55) between the bra vector $(\langle \overset{4}{\chi} | \otimes \langle \overset{5}{\chi} | \otimes \langle \overset{6}{\chi} |$ and the ket vector $| \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{5}{\chi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle$. From $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}$ (50) and (51), the result becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \overset{4}{\chi} | x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}} | \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{5}{\chi} | (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}} | \overset{5}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{6}{\chi} | y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}} | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle \\ & = \langle \overset{6}{\chi} | y^{\mathbf{h}_6} L_{2_1 3_{16}} \cdots L_{2_n 3_{n6}} | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{5}{\chi} | (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} L_{1_1 3_{15}} \cdots L_{1_n 3_{n5}} | \overset{5}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{4}{\chi} | x^{\mathbf{h}_4} L_{1_1 2_{14}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{n4}} | \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Set

$$R_{1,2}^{\text{tr}}(z) = \varrho^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}_a(z^{\mathbf{h}_a} L_{1_1 2_{1a}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{na}}) \in \text{End}(\overset{1}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbf{V}}), \quad (58)$$

$$R_{1,2}^{\text{bv}}(z) = \varrho^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \overset{a}{\chi} | z^{\mathbf{h}_a} L_{1_1 2_{1a}} \cdots L_{1_n 2_{na}} | \overset{a}{\chi} \rangle \in \text{End}(\overset{1}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbf{V}}), \quad (59)$$

where a is a dummy label for the auxiliary Fock space $\overset{a}{F_{q^3}}$. The normalization factors $\varrho^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $\varrho^{\text{bv}}(z)$ will be specified in (68). Now (56) and (57) are both stated as the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(x) R_{13}(xy) R_{23}(y) = R_{23}(y) R_{13}(xy) R_{12}(x) \quad (60)$$

with $R(z) = R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$. We call the above procedure to get the solutions $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ of the Yang-Baxter equation from the tetrahedron equation (49) the *trace reduction* and the *boundary vector reduction*, respectively. The vectors (52) are referred to as *boundary vectors*.

The trace reduction is due to [2] and the boundary vector reduction in this paper is a special case of more general ones in [17]. The solutions $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ have been identified with the quantum R matrices for the antisymmetric tensor representations of $U_p(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ and the spin representation of $U_p(D_{n+1}^{(2)})$ with $p^2 = -q^{-3}$. A concise summary of these results can be found in [16, App.B]¹⁰.

5.4. Basic properties of $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$. We write the base vectors of $\mathbf{V} = V^{\otimes n}$ as $|\alpha\rangle = v_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\alpha_n}$ in terms of an array $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$. We warn that $|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbf{V}$ should not be confused with the base $|m\rangle$ of a Fock space containing a single nonnegative integer. Set

$$R(z)(|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \{0, 1\}^n} R(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} |\gamma\rangle \otimes |\delta\rangle \quad (R = R^{\text{tr}}, R^{\text{bv}}).$$

Then (58) and (59) imply the matrix product formulas as

$$R^{\text{tr}}(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \varrho^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}(z^{\mathbf{h}} L_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1, \delta_1} \cdots L_{\alpha_n, \beta_n}^{\gamma_n, \delta_n}), \quad (61)$$

$$R^{\text{bv}}(z)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \varrho^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \chi | z^{\mathbf{h}} L_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1, \delta_1} \cdots L_{\alpha_n, \beta_n}^{\gamma_n, \delta_n} | \chi \rangle, \quad (62)$$

¹⁰ $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ corresponds to $S^{1,1}(z)$ in [16]. Since L in [16] is q^2 -boson valued, the formulas in [16, App.B] fit this paper if q there is replaced by $q^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

where $L_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta}$ is given by (19) and $\text{Tr}(\cdots)$ and $\langle \chi | (\cdots) | \chi \rangle$ are taken over F_{q^3} . They are evaluated by using the commutation relations (16), the formula (86) $_{|q \rightarrow q^3}$ and

$$\text{Tr}(z^{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{K}^r (\mathbf{A}^+)^s (\mathbf{A}^-)^{s'}) = \delta_{s,s'} \frac{q^{\frac{3r}{2}} (q^6; q^6)_s}{(zq^{3r}; q^6)_{s+1}}. \quad (63)$$

For $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ set

$$|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \quad \mathbf{V}_k = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \{0,1\}^n, |\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=k} \mathbb{C}|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\rangle. \quad (64)$$

By the definition the direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_0 \oplus \mathbf{V}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{V}_n$ holds. From (20), (21) and (52) one can show

$$R(z)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\delta}} = 0 \quad \text{unless } \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \quad (R = R^{\text{tr}}, R^{\text{bv}}), \quad (65)$$

$$R^{\text{tr}}(z)_{\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\delta}} = 0 \quad \text{unless } |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = |\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\boldsymbol{\beta}| = |\boldsymbol{\delta}|. \quad (66)$$

The property (66) implies the decomposition

$$R^{\text{tr}}(z) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq l, m \leq n} R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z), \quad R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z) \in \text{End}(\mathbf{V}_l \otimes \mathbf{V}_m). \quad (67)$$

The Yang-Baxter equation (60) with $R(z) = R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ is valid for each subspace $\mathbf{V}_k \otimes \mathbf{V}_l \otimes \mathbf{V}_m$ of $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$. The scalar prefactor in (58) for the summand $R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ in (67) may be taken as $\varrho_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ depending on l and m . We choose it and the one in (59) as

$$\varrho_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z) = q^{-\frac{3}{2}|l-m|} (1 - zq^{3|l-m|}), \quad \varrho^{\text{bv}}(z) = \frac{(z; q^3)_{\infty}}{(-zq^3; q^3)_{\infty}}. \quad (68)$$

They make all the matrix elements of $R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ rational in z and $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For example we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z)(|\mathbf{e}_{[1,l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1,m]}\rangle) &= (-1)^{\max(l-m,0)} |\mathbf{e}_{[1,l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1,m]}\rangle, \\ R^{\text{bv}}(z)(|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle) &= |\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{[1,k]} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_k$ and $|\mathbf{0}\rangle = |0, 0, \dots, 0\rangle$.

6. REDUCTION OF QUANTIZED G_2 REFLECTION EQUATION

Starting from the quantized G_2 reflection equation (36), one can perform two kinds of reductions similar to Section 5 to construct solutions to the G_2 reflection equation (7) in the matrix product form. This is the main result of the paper which we are going to present in this section.

6.1. Concatenation of quantized G_2 reflection equation. Consider n copies of (36) in which the spaces labeled with 1, 2, 3 are replaced by $1_i, 2_i, 3_i$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$(L_{1_i 2_i 4} J_{1_i 3_i 2_i 5} L_{2_i 3_i 6} J_{2_i 1_i 3_i 7} L_{3_i 1_i 8} J_{3_i 2_i 1_i 9}) \mathcal{F}_{456789} = \mathcal{F}_{456789} (J_{2_i 3_i 1_i 9} L_{1_i 3_i 8} J_{1_i 2_i 3_i 7} L_{3_i 2_i 6} J_{3_i 1_i 2_i 5} L_{2_i 1_i 4}). \quad (70)$$

Using (70) successively, one can bring \mathcal{F}_{456789} to the left to derive

$$\begin{aligned} &(L_{1_1 2_1 4} J_{1_1 3_1 2_1 5} L_{2_1 3_1 6} J_{2_1 1_1 3_1 7} L_{3_1 1_1 8} J_{3_1 2_1 1_1 9}) \cdots (L_{1_n 2_n 4} J_{1_n 3_n 2_n 5} L_{2_n 3_n 6} J_{2_n 1_n 3_n 7} L_{3_n 1_n 8} J_{3_n 2_n 1_n 9}) \mathcal{F}_{456789} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{456789} (J_{2_1 3_1 1_1 9} L_{1_1 3_1 8} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 7} L_{3_1 2_1 6} J_{3_1 1_1 2_1 5} L_{2_1 1_1 4}) \cdots (J_{2_n 3_n 1_n 9} L_{1_n 3_n 8} J_{1_n 2_n 3_n 7} L_{3_n 2_n 6} J_{3_n 1_n 2_n 5} L_{2_n 1_n 4}). \end{aligned}$$

This can be rearranged without changing the order of operators sharing common labels as

$$\begin{aligned} &(L_{1_1 2_1 4} \cdots L_{1_n 2_n 4}) (J_{1_1 3_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{1_n 3_n 2_n 5}) (L_{2_1 3_1 6} \cdots L_{2_n 3_n 6}) \\ &\quad \times (J_{2_1 1_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{2_n 1_n 3_n 7}) (L_{3_1 1_1 8} \cdots L_{3_n 1_n 8}) (J_{3_1 2_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{3_n 2_n 1_n 9}) \mathcal{F}_{456789} \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{456789} (J_{2_1 3_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{2_n 3_n 1_n 9}) (L_{1_1 3_1 8} \cdots L_{1_n 3_n 8}) (J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n 7}) \\ &\quad \times (L_{3_1 2_1 6} \cdots L_{3_n 2_n 6}) (J_{3_1 1_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{3_n 1_n 2_n 5}) (L_{2_1 1_1 4} \cdots L_{2_n 1_n 4}). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Write (48) as

$$\mathcal{F}_{456789}^{-1} x^{\mathbf{h}_4} (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} (x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}_6} (xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}_7} (xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}_8} y^{\mathbf{h}_9} = y^{\mathbf{h}_9} (xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}_8} (xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}_7} (x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}_6} (xy)^{\mathbf{h}_5} x^{\mathbf{h}_4} \mathcal{F}_{456789}^{-1}$$

and multiply it to (71) from the left. The result reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_{456789}^{-1} (x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{1_1 2_1 4} \cdots L_{1_n 2_n 4}) ((xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{1_1 3_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{1_n 3_n 2_n 5}) ((x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{2_1 3_1 6} \cdots L_{2_n 3_n 6}) \\ & \quad \times ((xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{2_1 1_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{2_n 1_n 3_n 7}) ((xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{3_1 1_1 8} \cdots L_{3_n 1_n 8}) (y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{3_1 2_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{3_n 2_n 1_n 9}) \mathcal{F}_{456789} \\ & = (y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{2_1 3_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{2_n 3_n 1_n 9}) ((xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{1_1 3_1 8} \cdots L_{1_n 3_n 8}) ((xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n 7}) \\ & \quad \times ((x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{3_1 2_1 6} \cdots L_{3_n 2_n 6}) ((xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{3_1 1_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{3_n 1_n 2_n 5}) (x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{2_1 1_1 4} \cdots L_{2_n 1_n 4}). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

6.2. Trace reduction. Taking the trace of (72) over $\overset{4}{F}_q \otimes \overset{5}{F}_q \otimes \overset{6}{F}_q \otimes \overset{7}{F}_q \otimes \overset{8}{F}_q \otimes \overset{9}{F}_q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_4(x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{1_1 2_1 4} \cdots L_{1_n 2_n 4}) \text{Tr}_5((xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{1_1 3_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{1_n 3_n 2_n 5}) \text{Tr}_6((x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{2_1 3_1 6} \cdots L_{2_n 3_n 6}) \\ & \quad \times \text{Tr}_7((xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{2_1 1_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{2_n 1_n 3_n 7}) \text{Tr}_8((xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{3_1 1_1 8} \cdots L_{3_n 1_n 8}) \text{Tr}_9(y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{3_1 2_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{3_n 2_n 1_n 9}) \\ & = \text{Tr}_9(y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{2_1 3_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{2_n 3_n 1_n 9}) \text{Tr}_8((xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{1_1 3_1 8} \cdots L_{1_n 3_n 8}) \text{Tr}_7((xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n 7}) \\ & \quad \times \text{Tr}_6((x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{3_1 2_1 6} \cdots L_{3_n 2_n 6}) \text{Tr}_5((xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{3_1 1_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{3_n 1_n 2_n 5}) \text{Tr}_4(x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{2_1 1_1 4} \cdots L_{2_n 1_n 4}). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Here $\text{Tr}_4(\cdots)$, $\text{Tr}_6(\cdots)$, $\text{Tr}_8(\cdots)$ are identified with $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ in (58). The other factors emerging from J have the form

$$G_{123}^{\text{tr}}(z) = \kappa^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}_a(z^{\mathbf{h}^a} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 a} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n a}) \in \text{End}(\overset{1}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{3}{\mathbf{V}}), \quad (74)$$

where $\overset{k}{\mathbf{V}} = \overset{k_1}{V} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{k_n}{V} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ as before. The trace is taken over $\overset{a}{F}_q$ and evaluated by means of (15) and (63)| $_{q \rightarrow q^{1/3}}$. The scalar $\kappa^{\text{tr}}(z)$ will be specified in (87). Now the relation (73) is rephrased as

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}^{\text{tr}}(x) G_{132}^{\text{tr}}(xy) R_{23}^{\text{tr}}(x^2 y^3) G_{213}^{\text{tr}}(xy^2) R_{31}^{\text{tr}}(xy^3) G_{321}^{\text{tr}}(y) \\ & = G_{231}^{\text{tr}}(y) R_{13}^{\text{tr}}(xy^3) G_{123}^{\text{tr}}(xy^2) R_{32}^{\text{tr}}(x^2 y^3) G_{312}^{\text{tr}}(xy) R_{21}^{\text{tr}}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Thus the pair $(R^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{tr}}(z))$ yields a solution to the G_2 reflection equation (7).

6.3. Boundary vector reduction. Set

$$\langle \xi | = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\langle m |}{(q)_m} \in F_q^*, \quad | \xi \rangle = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{| m \rangle}{(q)_m} \in F_q, \quad (76)$$

which are formally the boundary vectors (52) with q^3 replaced by q . Supported by computer experiments we conjecture¹¹

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi |) \mathcal{F} = \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi | \otimes \langle \chi | \otimes \langle \xi |, \\ & \mathcal{F}(| \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle \otimes | \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle \otimes | \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle) = | \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle \otimes | \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle \otimes | \chi \rangle \otimes | \xi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where $\langle \chi |$ and $| \chi \rangle$ are defined in (52). Sandwich the relation (72) between the bra vector $\langle \overset{4}{\chi} | \otimes \langle \overset{5}{\xi} | \otimes \langle \overset{6}{\chi} | \otimes \langle \overset{7}{\xi} | \otimes \langle \overset{8}{\chi} | \otimes \langle \overset{9}{\xi} |$ and the ket vector $| \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{5}{\xi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{7}{\xi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{8}{\chi} \rangle \otimes | \overset{9}{\xi} \rangle$. Thanks to (77) and the left relation in (47), the result becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \overset{4}{\chi} | x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{1_1 2_1 4} \cdots L_{1_n 2_n 4} | \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{5}{\xi} | (xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{1_1 3_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{1_n 3_n 2_n 5} | \overset{5}{\xi} \rangle \langle \overset{6}{\chi} | (x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{2_1 3_1 6} \cdots L_{2_n 3_n 6} | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle \\ & \quad \times \langle \overset{7}{\xi} | (xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{2_1 1_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{2_n 1_n 3_n 7} | \overset{7}{\xi} \rangle \langle \overset{8}{\chi} | (xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{3_1 1_1 8} \cdots L_{3_n 1_n 8} | \overset{8}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{9}{\xi} | y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{3_1 2_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{3_n 2_n 1_n 9} | \overset{9}{\xi} \rangle \\ & = \langle \overset{9}{\xi} | y^{\mathbf{h}^9} J_{2_1 3_1 1_1 9} \cdots J_{2_n 3_n 1_n 9} | \overset{9}{\xi} \rangle \langle \overset{8}{\chi} | (xy^3)^{\mathbf{h}^8} L_{1_1 3_1 8} \cdots L_{1_n 3_n 8} | \overset{8}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{7}{\xi} | (xy^2)^{\mathbf{h}^7} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 7} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n 7} | \overset{7}{\xi} \rangle \\ & \quad \times \langle \overset{6}{\chi} | (x^2 y^3)^{\mathbf{h}^6} L_{3_1 2_1 6} \cdots L_{3_n 2_n 6} | \overset{6}{\chi} \rangle \langle \overset{5}{\xi} | (xy)^{\mathbf{h}^5} J_{3_1 1_1 2_1 5} \cdots J_{3_n 1_n 2_n 5} | \overset{5}{\xi} \rangle \langle \overset{4}{\chi} | x^{\mathbf{h}^4} L_{2_1 1_1 4} \cdots L_{2_n 1_n 4} | \overset{4}{\chi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

The factors $\langle \chi | (\cdots) | \chi \rangle$ involving L are identified with $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ in (59). The other factors emerging from J have the form

$$G_{123}^{\text{bv}}(z) = \kappa^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \xi | z^{\mathbf{h}^a} J_{1_1 2_1 3_1 a} \cdots J_{1_n 2_n 3_n a} | \xi \rangle \in \text{End}(\overset{1}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{2}{\mathbf{V}} \otimes \overset{3}{\mathbf{V}}), \quad (79)$$

where the scalar $\kappa^{\text{bv}}(z)$ will be specified in (87). In terms of (79) and (62), the relation (78) is stated as

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}^{\text{bv}}(x) G_{132}^{\text{bv}}(xy) R_{23}^{\text{bv}}(x^2 y^3) G_{213}^{\text{bv}}(xy^2) R_{31}^{\text{bv}}(xy^3) G_{321}^{\text{bv}}(y) \\ & = G_{231}^{\text{bv}}(y) R_{13}^{\text{bv}}(xy^3) G_{123}^{\text{bv}}(xy^2) R_{32}^{\text{bv}}(x^2 y^3) G_{312}^{\text{bv}}(xy) R_{21}^{\text{bv}}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

¹¹The two relations in (77) are actually equivalent due to the right property in (47).

Thus the pair $(R^{\text{bv}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z))$ provides another solution to the G_2 reflection equation (7).

6.4. Basic properties of $G^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $G^{\text{bv}}(z)$. The construction (74) and (79) imply the matrix product formula for each element as

$$G(z)(|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle \otimes |\gamma\rangle) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \{0,1\}^n} G(z)_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} |\lambda\rangle \otimes |\mu\rangle \otimes |\nu\rangle \quad (G = G^{\text{tr}}, G^{\text{bv}}), \quad (81)$$

$$G^{\text{tr}}(z)_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = \kappa^{\text{tr}}(z) \text{Tr}(z^{\mathbf{h}} J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n}^{\lambda_n, \mu_n, \nu_n}), \quad (82)$$

$$G^{\text{bv}}(z)_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = \kappa^{\text{bv}}(z) \langle \xi | z^{\mathbf{h}} J_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1}^{\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n}^{\lambda_n, \mu_n, \nu_n} | \xi \rangle \quad (83)$$

in terms of $J_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ specified in (24)–(31). From (32) and (33) one can show

$$G^{\text{tr}}(z)_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} = 0 \quad \text{unless } \alpha + \beta = \lambda + \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ and } n + |\beta| - |\gamma| = |\mu| + |\nu| \quad (84)$$

or equivalently the direct sum decomposition:

$$G^{\text{tr}}(z) = \bigoplus_{l, m, k} G^{\text{tr}}(z)_{l, m, k}, \quad G^{\text{tr}}(z)_{l, m, k} : \mathbf{V}_l \otimes \mathbf{V}_m \otimes \mathbf{V}_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k'} \mathbf{V}_{l+k+k'-n} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{m-k-k'+n} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{k'}, \quad (85)$$

where the sums extend over $l, m, k, k' \in [0, n]$ such that the indices $l+k+k'-n$ and $m-k-k'+n$ also belong to $[0, n]$.

The trace (82) is evaluated by means of (63) with q^3 replaced by q . The quantity $\langle \xi | (\cdots) | \xi \rangle$ in (83) is calculated from (15) and

$$\langle \xi | z^{\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{a}^\pm)^j \mathbf{k}^m | \xi \rangle = q^{\frac{m}{2}} (-q; q)_j \frac{(-q^{j+m+1} z; q)_\infty}{(q^m z; q)_\infty} \times \begin{cases} z^j, \\ q^{mj}, \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

which is easily derived by only using the elementary identity $\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(w; q)_j}{(q; q)_j} z^j = \frac{(wz; q)_\infty}{(z; q)_\infty}$. We choose the normalization factors in (82) and (83) as

$$\kappa^{\text{tr}}(z) = 1, \quad \kappa^{\text{bv}}(z) = \frac{(z; q)_\infty}{(-qz; q)_\infty}. \quad (87)$$

Then all the matrix elements (82) and (83) become rational in z and $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For instance we have

$$G^{\text{tr}}(z)(|\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle) = \frac{(q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{m-l+n}}{1 - zq^{m-l+n}} |\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle + \cdots \quad (l \leq m), \quad (88)$$

$$G^{\text{tr}}(z)(|\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle) = \frac{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{l-m+n}}{1 - zq^{l-m+n}} |\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + \cdots \quad (l \geq m), \quad (89)$$

$$G^{\text{bv}}(z)(|\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle) = q^{\frac{m-l+n}{2}} \frac{(z; q)_{m-l+n}}{(-qz; q)_{m-l+n}} |\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle + \cdots \quad (l \leq m), \quad (90)$$

$$G^{\text{bv}}(z)(|\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle) = (-q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{l-m+n} \frac{(z; q)_{l-m+n}}{(-qz; q)_{l-m+n}} |\mathbf{e}_{[1, l]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{e}_{[1, m]}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + \cdots \quad (l \geq m), \quad (91)$$

where the symbol $\mathbf{e}_{[1, k]}$ was defined after (69) and $|\mathbf{1}\rangle = |\mathbf{e}_{[1, n]}\rangle = |1, 1, \dots, 1\rangle$.

Remark 6.1. Using (67) and (85) it is easy to see that the both sides of (75) applied to $\mathbf{V}_s \otimes \mathbf{V}_t \otimes \mathbf{V}_u$ generate the space $\bigoplus_{t'} \mathbf{V}_{t-s+t'} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{t'} \otimes \mathbf{V}_{2n-u-t-t'}$. There are three $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$'s on each side of (75). One can check that changing their normalization as $R_{l, m}^{\text{tr}}(z) \rightarrow \phi_{l, m}(z) R_{l, m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ depending on l, m in (67) keeps (75) valid for any function $\phi_{l, m}(z)$ of the form $\tilde{\phi}_{l-m}(z)$. In fact the both sides acquire the common overall factor $\tilde{\phi}_{s+u-n}(xy^3) \tilde{\phi}_{t+u-n}(x^2y^3) \tilde{\phi}_{t-s}(x)$ under the change. Our $\varrho_{l, m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ (68) is of this form, hence (75) remains valid despite the ‘‘mixing’’ of weights (or indices) in (85).

7. SUMMARY

We have studied the G_2 reflection equation (7) which is a natural G_2 analogue of the Yang-Baxter and the reflection equations corresponding to the A_2 and B_2/C_2 Coxeter relations, respectively. It describes the three particle scattering/reflections whose world-lines form a Pappus configuration. We introduced the quantized G_2 reflection equation (35). It is a q -boson valued G_2 reflection equation that holds up to conjugation. We gave a solution to it in Theorem 4.1 by exploiting a connection to the quantized coordinate ring $A_q(G_2)$ [15]. From the concatenation of the solution we have constructed matrix product solutions to the original G_2 reflection equation $(R^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{tr}}(z))$ in (75) and $(R^{\text{bv}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z))$ in (80), where the latter assumes the conjecture (77).

The R and G matrices are linear operators on $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ with $\mathbf{V} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ and trigonometric in the spectral parameter. The special three particle event characteristic to the G_2 theory is encoded in $G^{\text{tr}}(z), G^{\text{bv}}(z)$ whereas the companion R matrices $R^{\text{tr}}(z), R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ for the two particle scattering are the known ones for the antisymmetric tensor representations of $U_p(A_{n-1}^{(1)})$ and the spin representations of $U_p(D_{n+1}^{(2)})$ with $p^2 = -q^{-3}$.

APPENDIX A. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN (38) AND (44)

We indicate the equivalence of (38) with $(a, b, c, i, j, k) \in \{0, 1\}^6$ and (44) with $(i, j) \rightarrow (i', j') \in \{1, \dots, 7\}^2$ as $(abcijk; i'j')$. In this notation the example treated in (39) and (45) is (111100; 16). There is no need to take linear combinations etc of the equations and the equivalence literally means the same equation up to an overall constant.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (000000; 77), \quad (000001; 74), \quad (000010; 75), \quad (000011; 72), \quad (000100; 76), \quad (000101; 73), \\
& (000110; 74), \quad (000111; 71), \quad (001000; 47), \quad (001001; 44), \quad (001010; 45), \quad (001011; 42), \\
& (001100; 46), \quad (001101; 43), \quad (001110; 44), \quad (001111; 41), \quad (010000; 57), \quad (010001; 54), \\
& (010010; 55), \quad (010011; 52), \quad (010100; 56), \quad (010101; 53), \quad (010110; 54), \quad (010111; 51), \\
& (011000; 27), \quad (011001; 24), \quad (011010; 25), \quad (011011; 22), \quad (011100; 26), \quad (011101; 23), \\
& (011110; 24), \quad (011111; 21), \quad (100000; 67), \quad (100001; 64), \quad (100010; 65), \quad (100011; 62), \\
& (100100; 66), \quad (100101; 63), \quad (100110; 64), \quad (100111; 61), \quad (101000; 37), \quad (101001; 34), \\
& (101010; 35), \quad (101011; 32), \quad (101100; 36), \quad (101101; 33), \quad (101110; 34), \quad (101111; 31), \\
& (110000; 47), \quad (110001; 44), \quad (110010; 45), \quad (110011; 42), \quad (110100; 46), \quad (110101; 43), \\
& (110110; 44), \quad (110111; 41), \quad (111000; 17), \quad (111001; 14), \quad (111010; 15), \quad (111011; 12), \\
& (111100; 16), \quad (111101; 13), \quad (111110; 14), \quad (111111; 11).
\end{aligned}$$

Note for instance that (000001; 74) and (000110; 74) imply that not all of the quantized reflection equations (38) are independent.

APPENDIX B. EXAMPLES

Recall the notation $|\alpha\rangle \in \mathbf{V} = V^{\otimes n} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ declared in the beginning of Section 5.4. We write $|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle \in \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ and $|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle \otimes |\gamma\rangle \in \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ etc as $|\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \beta_1 \dots \beta_n\rangle$ and $|\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \beta_1 \dots \beta_n, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n\rangle$, respectively.

B.1. $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $G^{\text{tr}}(z)$ with $n = 1$. $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ is given by $|i, j\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{i(1-j)}|i, j\rangle$ ($i, j = 0, 1$), which is almost the identity. On the other hand $G^{\text{tr}}(z)$ is nontrivial even for $n = 1$. Its action on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes 3} = V^{\otimes 3}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
|0, 0, 0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}|0, 0, 1\rangle}{1 - qz}, \quad |0, 0, 1\rangle \mapsto -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}|0, 0, 0\rangle}{1 - qz}, \quad |0, 1, 0\rangle \mapsto \frac{q|0, 1, 1\rangle}{1 - q^2z}, \\
|0, 1, 1\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{u_1u_3(q^2 - z)|0, 1, 0\rangle}{r(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)} - \frac{u_3u_4(q^2 - z)|1, 0, 1\rangle}{r(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)}, \\
|1, 0, 0\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{u_1u_2(q^2 - z)|0, 1, 0\rangle}{r(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)} - \frac{u_2u_4(q^2 - z)|1, 0, 1\rangle}{r(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)}, \\
|1, 0, 1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q|1, 0, 0\rangle}{1 - q^2z}, \quad |1, 1, 0\rangle \mapsto \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}|1, 1, 1\rangle}{1 - qz}, \quad |1, 1, 1\rangle \mapsto -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}|1, 1, 0\rangle}{1 - qz},
\end{aligned}$$

where r, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 are to obey (30). The two kinds of the denominators $1 - qz$ and $1 - q^2z$ originate in $J_{0,0,0}^{0,0,1} = \mathbf{k}$ and $J_{0,1,0}^{0,1,1} = \mathbf{k}^2$.

B.2. $R^{\text{tr}}(z)$ and $G^{\text{tr}}(z)$ with $n = 2$. $R_{l,m}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ ($0 \leq l, m \leq 2$) is the identity except $R_{1,0}^{\text{tr}}(z) = -\text{id}$, $R_{2,1}^{\text{tr}}(z) = -\text{id}$ and $R_{1,1}^{\text{tr}}(z)$. The last one $R_{1,1}^{\text{tr}}(z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& |ij, ij\rangle \mapsto |ij, ij\rangle \quad (i = 1 - j = 0, 1), \\
|01, 10\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{q^3(1 - z)|01, 10\rangle}{1 - q^6z} + \frac{(1 - q^6)z|10, 01\rangle}{1 - q^6z}, \\
|10, 01\rangle &\mapsto \frac{(1 - q^6)|01, 10\rangle}{1 - q^6z} - \frac{q^3(1 - z)|10, 01\rangle}{1 - q^6z},
\end{aligned}$$

which is a six-vertex. As for $G^{\text{tr}}(z)$, it is too lengthy to present all the data. So we give just a few examples.

$$\begin{aligned}
|00, 00, 00\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q|00, 00, 11\rangle}{1 - q^2 z}, & |00, 00, 01\rangle &\mapsto \frac{(1 - q^2)z|00, 00, 01\rangle}{(1 - z)(1 - q^2 z)} - \frac{q|00, 00, 10\rangle}{1 - q^2 z}, \\
|00, 10, 11\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}u_1u_3(q - z)|00, 10, 00\rangle}{r(1 - qz)(1 - q^3 z)} - \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - q^2)u_3z|10, 00, 01\rangle}{(1 - qz)(1 - q^3 z)} + \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}u_3u_4(q - z)|10, 00, 10\rangle}{r(1 - qz)(1 - q^3 z)}, \\
|10, 01, 01\rangle &\mapsto \frac{u_1^2u_2u_3(q^4 + z - 2q^2z - 2q^4z + q^6z + q^2z^2)|00, 11, 00\rangle}{r^2(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)} \\
&+ \frac{u_1u_2u_3u_4(q^4 + z - 2q^2z - 2q^4z + q^6z + q^2z^2)|01, 10, 01\rangle}{r^2(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)} \\
&- \frac{q(1 - q^2)u_2u_3|01, 10, 10\rangle}{(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)} - \frac{q(1 - q^2)u_2u_3z|10, 01, 01\rangle}{(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)} \\
&+ \frac{u_1u_2u_3u_4(q^4 + z - 2q^2z - 2q^4z + q^6z + q^2z^2)|10, 01, 10\rangle}{r^2(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)} \\
&+ \frac{u_2u_3u_4^2(q^4 + z - 2q^2z - 2q^4z + q^6z + q^2z^2)|11, 00, 11\rangle}{r^2(1 - z)(1 - q^2z)(1 - q^4z)}.
\end{aligned}$$

B.3. $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ and $G^{\text{bv}}(z)$ with $n = 1$. $R^{\text{bv}}(z)$ reduces to another six-vertex model:

$$\begin{aligned}
|i, i\rangle &\mapsto |i, i\rangle \quad (i = 0, 1), \\
|0, 1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 - z)|0, 1\rangle}{1 + q^3 z} + \frac{(1 + q^3)z|1, 0\rangle}{1 + q^3 z}, & |1, 0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{(1 + q^3)|0, 1\rangle}{1 + q^3 z} - \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 - z)|1, 0\rangle}{1 + q^3 z}.
\end{aligned}$$

$G^{\text{bv}}(z)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
|0, 0, 0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{(1 + q)z|0, 0, 0\rangle}{1 + qz} + \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - z)|0, 0, 1\rangle}{1 + qz}, & |0, 0, 1\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - z)|0, 0, 0\rangle}{1 + qz} + \frac{(1 + q)|0, 0, 1\rangle}{1 + qz}, \\
|0, 1, 1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + q)u_1(1 - z)z|0, 1, 0\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} + \frac{q(1 - z)(1 - qz)|0, 1, 1\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} \\
&+ \frac{(1 + q)(1 + q^2)z^2|1, 0, 0\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} + \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + q)u_4(1 - z)z|1, 0, 1\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)}, \\
|0, 1, 1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{u_3(-q^2 + z + 2qz + 2q^2z + q^3z - qz^2)(u_1|0, 1, 0\rangle + u_4|1, 0, 1\rangle)}{r(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} \\
&+ \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + q)u_3(1 - z)(|0, 1, 1\rangle - z|1, 0, 0\rangle)}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)}, \\
|1, 0, 0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{u_2(-q^2 + z + 2qz + 2q^2z + q^3z - qz^2)(u_1|0, 1, 0\rangle + u_4|1, 0, 1\rangle)}{r(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} \\
&+ \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + q)u_2(1 - z)(|0, 1, 1\rangle - z|1, 0, 0\rangle)}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)}, \\
|1, 0, 1\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + q)u_1(1 - z)|0, 1, 0\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} + \frac{(1 + q)(1 + q^2)|0, 1, 1\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} \\
&+ \frac{q(1 - z)(1 - qz)|1, 0, 0\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)} - \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}(1 + q)u_4(1 - z)|1, 0, 1\rangle}{(1 + qz)(1 + q^2z)}, \\
|1, 1, 0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{(1 + q)z|1, 1, 0\rangle}{1 + qz} + \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - z)|1, 1, 1\rangle}{1 + qz}, & |1, 1, 1\rangle &\mapsto -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - z)|1, 1, 0\rangle}{1 + qz} + \frac{(1 + q)|1, 1, 1\rangle}{1 + qz}.
\end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Ivan Cherednik, Yasushi Komori, Masato Okado and Yasuhiko Yamada for communications and comments. This work is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 18H01141 from JSPS.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Dover (2007).
- [2] V. V. Bazhanov and S. M. Sergeev, *Zamolodchikov's tetrahedron equation and hidden structure of quantum groups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **39** 3295–3310 (2006).
- [3] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, *Total positivity in Schubert varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** 128–166 (1997).
- [4] I. V. Cherednik, *Factorizing particles on a half-line and root systems*, Theor. Math. Phys. **61** 35–44 (1984).
- [5] I. V. Cherednik, *Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and affine root systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **150** 109–136 (1992).
- [6] I. V. Cherednik, Private communication at The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (1996).
- [7] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vols. **1, 2** (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 798–820 (1987).
- [8] C. Geiss, B. Leclerc and J. Schröer, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. **19** 337–397 (2013).
- [9] M. Jimbo, *A q-difference analogue of U(g) and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** 63–69 (1985).
- [10] M. M. Kapranov and V. A. Voevodsky, *2-Categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equations*, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **56** 177–259 (1994).
- [11] M. Kashiwara, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** 455–485 (1993).
- [12] P. P. Kulish, *Yang-Baxter equation and reflection equations in integrable models*, in Low-dimensional models in statistical physics and quantum field theory Lect. Note. Phys. **469** 125–144 (1996).
- [13] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and S. Sergeev, *Tetrahedron Equation and Quantum R Matrices for modular double of $U_q(D_{n+1}^{(2)})$, $U_q(A_{2n}^{(2)})$ and $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$* , Lett. Math. Phys. **105** 447–461 (2015).
- [14] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and S. Sergeev, *Tetrahedron equation and generalized quantum groups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **48** (2015) 304001 (38pp).
- [15] A. Kuniba, M. Okado and Y. Yamada, *A common structure in PBW bases of the nilpotent subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and quantized algebra of functions*, SIGMA **9** 029 (23pp) (2013).
- [16] A. Kuniba and V. Pasquier, *Matrix product solutions to the reflection equation from three dimensional integrability*, arXiv:1802.09164.
- [17] A. Kuniba and S. Sergeev, *Tetrahedron equation and quantum R matrices for spin representations of $B_n^{(1)}$, $D_n^{(1)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **324** 695–713 (2013).
- [18] M. Noumi, H. Yamada and K. Mimachi, *Finite dimensional representations of the quantum group $GL_q(n; \mathbb{C})$ and the zonal spherical functions on $U_q(n-1) \setminus U_q(n)$* , Japan. J. Math. **19** 31–80 (1992).
- [19] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** 193–225 (1990).
- [20] N. Sasaki, *Quantization of Lie group and algebra of G_2 type in the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan approach*, J. Math. Phys. **36** 4476–4488 (1995).
- [21] Y. Saito, *Quantized coordinate rings, PBW-type bases and q-boson algebras*, J. Algebra **453** 456–491 (2016).
- [22] E. K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21** 2375–2389 (1988).
- [23] Y. S. Soibelman, *Selected topics in quantum groups*, Int. J. Modern Phys. A7 Suppl. **1B** 859–887 (1992).
- [24] T. Tanisaki, *Modules over quantized coordinate algebras and PBW-bases*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **69** 1105–1156 (2017).
- [25] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Tetrahedra equations and integrable systems in three-dimensional space*, Soviet Phys. JETP **79** 641–664 (1980).

E-mail address: atsuo.s.kuniba@gmail.com

INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KOMABA, TOKYO 153-8902, JAPAN