

Uncertainty Principles Associated with the Offset Linear Canonical Transform*

Haiye Huo^a, Wenchang Sun^b, Li Xiao^c

^a Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Nanchang University,
Nanchang 330031, Jiangxi, China

^b School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University,
Tianjin 300071, China

^c Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tulane University,
New Orleans, LA 70118, USA

Emails: hyhuo@ncu.edu.cn; sunwch@nankai.edu.cn; lxiao1@tulane.edu

Abstract. As a time-shifted and frequency-modulated version of the linear canonical transform (LCT), the offset linear canonical transform (OLCT) provides a more general framework of most existing linear integral transforms in signal processing and optics. To study simultaneous localization of a signal and its OLCT, the classical Heisenberg's uncertainty principle has been recently generalized for the OLCT. In this paper, we complement it by presenting another two uncertainty principles, i.e., Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle, for the OLCT. Moreover, we generalize the short-time LCT to the short-time OLCT. We likewise present Lieb's uncertainty principle for the short-time OLCT and give a lower bound for its essential support.

Keywords. Offset linear canonical transform; Short-time offset linear canonical transform; Time-frequency analysis; Uncertainty principle

1 Introduction

The offset linear canonical transform (OLCT) [1, 2, 3, 4] is known as a six parameter (a, b, c, d, τ, η) class of linear integral transform, which is a time-shifted and

*This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371200, 11525104 and 11531013).

frequency-modulated version of the linear canonical transform (LCT) with four parameters (a, b, c, d) [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The two extra parameters, i.e., time shifting τ and frequency modulation η , make the OLCT more general and flexible, and thereby the OLCT can apply to most electrical and optical signal systems. It basically says that the Fourier transform (FT), the fractional Fourier transform (FrFT), the Fresnel transform (FnT), the LCT, and many other widely used linear integral transforms in signal processing and optics are all special cases of the OLCT [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Therefore, it is interesting to study the OLCT in a unified viewpoint of the above mentioned transforms. Over the past few decades, there has been a vast amount of research on extending time-frequency analysis results that pertain to the FT or the LCT to the OLCT. For example, sampling theorems, convolution and correlation theorems, eigenfunctions, energy concentration problems, generalized prolate spheroidal wave functions, and spectral analysis of the OLCT have been derived in [2, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21].

Recently, the classical Heisenberg's uncertainty principle has been generalized for the OLCT in [2]. It is stated in [2] that a nonzero function and its OLCT cannot both be sharply concentrated and the joint concentration is dependent on the OLCT parameter b . Consider that in terms of the meaning of "concentration", many different forms of uncertainty principles are possible, like Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Hardy's uncertainty principle, Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle, and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. In this paper, we derive another two common uncertainty principles for the OLCT, i.e., Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle, which are analogous to the ones for the FT in [23]. Moreover, we introduce the short-time OLCT as a generalization of the short-time LCT [31, 32]. Also, we derive Lieb's uncertainty principle for the short-time OLCT and give a lower bound for its essential support in this paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly review the OLCT and its basic properties. In section 3, Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle are extended for the OLCT. In section 4, we study the short-time OLCT and its Lieb's uncertainty principle. In section 5, we conclude the paper.

2 Offset Linear Canonical Transform

In this section, we briefly review the OLCT and some of its properties.

Definition 2.1. *The OLCT of a function $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with parameter $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \tau \\ \eta \end{matrix}$ is defined by [19, 20, 33, 34]*

$$\mathcal{O}_A f(u) = \mathcal{O}_A[f(t)](u) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) K_A(t, u) dt, & b \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{d} e^{j \frac{cd}{2}(u-\tau)^2 + ju\eta} f(d(u-\tau)), & b = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where

$$K_A(t, u) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{j2\pi b}} e^{j\frac{a}{2b}t^2 - j\frac{1}{b}t(u-\tau) - j\frac{1}{b}u(d\tau - b\eta) + j\frac{d}{2b}(u^2 + \tau^2)}, \quad (2)$$

$a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $ad - bc = 1$.

It is readily verified from Definition 2.1 that many well-known linear integral transforms are special cases of the OLCT in (1). For example, when $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,

the OLCT becomes the FT; when $A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & | & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the OLCT becomes

the FrFT; when $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & 0 \\ c & d & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the OLCT becomes the LCT.

Without loss of generality, we only consider the case of $b > 0$ throughout the paper. Many properties of the OLCT have been easily verified by using the definition (1) in [3, 16, 33]. Here, we present some important properties for later use.

1) Additivity Property: Let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & | & \tau_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 & | & \eta_1 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & | & \tau_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 & | & \eta_2 \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 & | & \tau_3 \\ c_3 & d_3 & | & \eta_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & d_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_3 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{A_2}[\mathcal{O}_{A_1}f(v)](u) = e^{j\phi} \mathcal{O}_{A_3}f(u), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\phi = -\frac{a_2c_2}{2}\tau_1^2 - b_2c_2\tau_1\eta_1 - \frac{b_2d_2}{2}\eta_1^2 - (\tau_1c_2 + \eta_1d_2)\tau_2.$$

2) Inverse OLCT: According to the above additivity property, the inverse OLCT of $\mathcal{O}_A f(u)$ with parameter $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & | & \tau \\ c & d & | & \eta \end{bmatrix}$ is given by

$$f(t) = e^{j\frac{cd}{2}\tau^2 - jad\tau\eta + j\frac{ab}{2}\eta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{O}_A f(u) K_{A^{-1}}(u, t) du, \quad (6)$$

where

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b & | & b\eta - d\tau \\ -c & a & | & c\tau - a\eta \end{bmatrix}.$$

3) Generalized Parseval Formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_A f(u) \overline{\mathcal{O}_A g(u)} du, \quad (7)$$

where $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the complex conjugate.

3 Two Uncertainty Principles for the OLCT

Uncertainty principle for the FT plays a vital role in time-frequency signal analysis. It can express limitations on simultaneous concentration of a function and its FT. There exist many different forms of uncertainty principles, like Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Hardy's uncertainty principle, Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle, and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle, in terms of the meaning of "concentration". To investigate simultaneous concentration of a function and its OLCT, it is natural to extend the above uncertainty principles for the OLCT. In [2], the classical Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for the OLCT has been proposed. In this section, therefore, we complement it by considering another two common uncertainty principles, i.e., Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle, for the OLCT.

Before presenting our results, let us define the FT of a function $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $\mathcal{F}f(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)e^{-jut} dt$ in this paper, and recall the concept of ϵ -concentrate of a function on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle [23, 35] and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle for the FT [29, 30, 23] as follows.

Definition 3.1. [23, Definition 2.3.1] *Given $\epsilon \geq 0$, a function $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is ϵ -concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, if*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega} |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_2. \quad (8)$$

Proposition 3.2. [23, Theorem 2.3.1 (Donoho-Stark)] *Suppose that a nonzero function $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is ϵ_Ω -concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and its FT $\mathcal{F}f(u)$ is ϵ_Γ -concentrate on a measurable set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$|\Omega||\Gamma| \geq 2\pi(1 - \epsilon_\Omega - \epsilon_\Gamma)^2, \quad (9)$$

where $|\Omega|$ and $|\Gamma|$ denote the measures of the sets Ω and Γ .

Proposition 3.3. [23, Theorem 2.3.3 (Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks)] *Let $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \Omega$, and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}f) \subseteq \Gamma$, where Ω and Γ are measurable sets in \mathbb{R} . If $|\Omega||\Gamma| < +\infty$, then $f(t) = 0$.*

Motivated by Propositions 3.2, 3.3, we next generalize the corresponding results for the OLCT. We first present Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for the OLCT in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that a nonzero function $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is ϵ_Ω -concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and its OLCT $\mathcal{O}_A f(u)$ is ϵ_Γ -concentrate on a measurable set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$|\Omega||\Gamma| \geq 2\pi b(1 - \epsilon_\Omega - \epsilon_\Gamma)^2. \quad (10)$$

Proof. From Definition 2.1, the OLCT with parameter A can be equivalently written as

$$\mathcal{O}_A f(u) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{jb}} e^{-j\frac{1}{b}u(d\tau - b\eta) + j\frac{d}{2b}(u^2 + \tau^2)} G(u), \quad (11)$$

where

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{j\frac{a}{2b}t^2 - j\frac{1}{b}t(u-\tau)} dt. \quad (12)$$

In this way, it is easy to see that

$$|\mathcal{O}_A f(u)| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{b}} |G(u)|. \quad (13)$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_A f(u)$ is ϵ_Γ -concentrate on a measurable set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Gamma} |\mathcal{O}_A f(u)|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon_\Gamma \|\mathcal{O}_A f\|_2. \quad (14)$$

Then, substituting (13) into (14), we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Gamma} |G(u)|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon_\Gamma \|G\|_2, \quad (15)$$

Thus, $G(ub)$ is ϵ_Γ -concentrate on a measurable set $\Gamma/b \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, it is shown in (12) that $G(ub)$ is actually the FT of $g(t) = f(t) e^{j\frac{a}{2b}t^2 + j\frac{1}{b}t\tau}$. Moreover, since $|g(t)| = |f(t)|$ and $f(t)$ is ϵ_Ω -concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega} |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|f\|_2, \quad (16)$$

we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon_\Omega \|g\|_2. \quad (17)$$

In other words, $g(t)$ is ϵ_Ω -concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. As for the function $g(t)$ and its FT $G(ub)$, we have, by Proposition 3.2,

$$|\Omega| \left| \frac{\Gamma}{b} \right| \geq 2\pi(1 - \epsilon_\Omega - \epsilon_\Gamma)^2. \quad (18)$$

Therefore,

$$|\Omega| |\Gamma| \geq 2\pi b(1 - \epsilon_\Omega - \epsilon_\Gamma)^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \Omega$, and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}_A f) \subseteq \Gamma$, where Ω and Γ are measurable sets in \mathbb{R} . Then,*

$$|\Omega| |\Gamma| \geq 2\pi b.$$

Proof. From Definition 3.1, we know that a function $f(t)$ is 0-concentrate on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\text{supp}(f) = \Omega$. Hence, by letting $\epsilon_\Omega = \epsilon_\Gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get $|\Omega||\Gamma| \geq 2\pi b$. \square

We next present Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle for the OLCT in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \Omega$, and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}_A f) \subseteq \Gamma$, where Ω and Γ are measurable sets in \mathbb{R} . If $|\Omega||\Gamma| < +\infty$, then $f(t) = 0$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, let the OLCT $\mathcal{O}_A f(u)$ be rewritten in the form of (11), where $G(u)$ is given by (12). Since $\text{supp}(\mathcal{O}_A f) \subseteq \Gamma$, we easily get $\text{supp}(G(u)) \subseteq \Gamma$. Moreover, by letting $g(t) = f(t)e^{j\frac{a}{2b}t^2 + j\frac{1}{b}t\tau}$, we have $g(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq \Omega$. This is due to the fact that $|f(t)| = |g(t)|$, $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \Omega$. Considering that $\text{supp}(G(ub)) \subseteq \Gamma/b$ and $G(ub)$ is the FT of $g(t)$, we obtain $g(t) = 0$ by Proposition 3.3. Hence, we get $f(t) = 0$. \square

One can see that Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6 for the OLCT with the specific parameter $A = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ (i.e., the FT), coincide with Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, respectively. Furthermore, Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle for the LCT can also be directly obtained by substituting $A = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \end{array} \right]$ for the OLCT in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6.

4 Lieb's Uncertainty Principle for the Short-Time OLCT

Similar to the FT or the LCT, the OLCT cannot reveal the local OLCT-frequency information due to its global kernel. In this section, therefore, we introduce the short-time OLCT, which generalizes the short-time LCT by substituting the LCT kernel with the OLCT kernel in the definition of the short-time LCT [31, 32]. We then generalize Lieb's uncertainty principle for the short-time LCT in [31] to our proposed short-time OLCT.

Definition 4.1. *Given a function $g(t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the short-time OLCT of a function $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with a window $g(t)$ is defined by*

$$V_{g,A}f(x, u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)\overline{g(t-x)}K_A(t, u)dt, \quad \text{for } x, u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (19)$$

where $K_A(t, u)$ is given by (2), $a, b, c, d, \tau, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$, and $ad - bc = 1$.

Based on Hölder's inequality, the short-time OLCT $V_{g,A}f(x, u)$ in (19) of a function $f(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with a window $g(t) \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ is well-defined for any $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$ satisfying $1/p + 1/q = 1$. On the other hand, from the definition of the short-time OLCT $V_{g,A}f$ in (19), the relation between the short-time OLCT and the FT is given by

$$V_{g,A}f(x, bu + \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{jb}} \mathcal{F}[f(\cdot) \overline{g(\cdot - x)} e^{j\frac{a}{2b}(\cdot)^2}](u) e^{j\frac{d}{2b}(bu)^2 + j(bu + \tau)\eta}, \quad (20)$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the FT operator. By (20), we have, for $f(t), g(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\|V_{g,A}f\|_2 = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \quad (21)$$

Before presenting Lieb's uncertainty principle for the short-time OLCT, we show a generalized Hausdorff-Young inequality in the following lemma, whose proof is similar to that of Hausdorff-Young inequality in [36].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{matrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{matrix}$, and $a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 > 0$. Let $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and p satisfy $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then, for all $f(t) \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{O}_{A_1}f\|_p \leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} (a_2b_1 - a_1b_2)^{1/2-1/q} \|\mathcal{O}_{A_2}f\|_q. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Let

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ c_3 & d_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \tau_3 \\ \eta_3 \end{matrix},$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 & -b_3 \\ -c_3 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_3 & -b_3 \\ -c_3 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tau_3 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

It follows from (23) that $b_3 = a_2b_1 - a_1b_2$. Let

$$H(u) = \mathcal{O}_{A_1}f(u) e^{-j\frac{d_3}{2b_3}u^2} \quad (25)$$

and its inverse FT be given by

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(u) e^{jut} du. \quad (26)$$

From (26) and Hausdorff-Young's inequality in [23, 36], we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{O}_{A_1}f\|_p = \|H\|_p \leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} \|h\|_q. \quad (27)$$

Moreover, it follows from (5), (25) and (26) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h\|_q^q &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |h(t)|^q dt \\
&= \frac{1}{b_3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| h\left(\frac{t-\tau_3}{b_3}\right) \right|^q dt \\
&= \frac{1}{b_3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H(u) e^{ju\left(\frac{t-\tau_3}{b_3}\right)} du \right|^q dt \\
&= \frac{1}{b_3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{O}_{A_1} f(u) e^{-j\frac{d_3}{2b_3}u^2 + ju\left(\frac{t-\tau_3}{b_3}\right)} du \right|^q dt \\
&= \frac{1}{b_3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{-j2\pi b_3}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{O}_{A_1} f(u) e^{-j\frac{d_3}{2b_3}u^2 + ju\left(\frac{t-\tau_3}{b_3}\right) - j\frac{a_3}{2b_3}(t-\tau_3)^2 + j\eta_3 t} du}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{-jb_3}} e^{-j\frac{a_3}{2b_3}(t-\tau_3)^2 + j\eta_3 t}} \right|^q dt \\
&= b_3^{q/2-1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\mathcal{O}_{A_3}[\mathcal{O}_{A_1} f(u)](t)|^q dt \\
&= b_3^{q/2-1} \|\mathcal{O}_{A_2} f\|_q^q. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (27) and (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{O}_{A_1} f\|_p &\leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} \|h\|_q \\
&\leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} (a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2)^{1/2-1/q} \|\mathcal{O}_{A_2} f\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

We next present Lieb's uncertainty principle for the short-time OLCT as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f(t), g(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then,*

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_{g,A} f(x, u)|^p dx du \leq \frac{2}{p} (2\pi b)^{1-p/2} \|f\|_2^p \|g\|_2^p. \tag{29}$$

Proof. Let q satisfy $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Due to $2 \leq p < \infty$, we have $1 < q \leq 2$. Since $f(t), g(t)$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain $f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and $V_{g,A} f(x, u) = \mathcal{O}_A(f(t)\overline{g(t-x)})(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by (21). Moreover, according to Fubini's Theorem, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $\mathcal{O}_A(f(t)\overline{g(t-x)})(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and thereby $f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ holds for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by the generalized Parseval Formula in (7). Therefore, we have $f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain $f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)} \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ by using interpolation theorem. Then, by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |V_{g,A} f(x, u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} &= \|\mathcal{O}_A(f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)})\|_p \\
&\leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} (a_2 b - a b_2)^{1/2-1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \|\mathcal{O}_{A_2}(f(\cdot)\overline{g(\cdot-x)})\|_q. \quad (30)$$

Specially, let

$$A_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

in (30), and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |V_{g,A}f(x,u)|^p du \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} b^{1/2-1/q} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)\overline{g(t-x)}|^q dt \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{g,A}f\|_p &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |V_{g,A}f(x,u)|^p du \right) dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left((2\pi)^{1/p-1/q} q^{1/q} p^{-1/p} \right)^{1/2} b^{1/2-1/q} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)\overline{g(t-x)}|^q dt \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{1/(2p)-1/(2q)} b^{1/2-1/q} \left(\frac{2}{p} \right)^{1/p} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where we use Young's inequality in the last step. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_{g,A}f(x,u)|^p dudx &\leq \frac{2}{p} (2\pi)^{1/2-p/(2q)} b^{p/2-p/q} \|f\|_2^p \|g\|_2^p \\ &= \frac{2}{p} (2\pi b)^{1-p/2} \|f\|_2^p \|g\|_2^p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Finally, according to the Lieb's uncertainty principle for short-time OLCT in Theorem 4.3, we derive a lower bound for the essential support of the short-time OLCT in the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $f(t), g(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|f\|_2 = \|g\|_2 = 1$. If*

$$\int \int_{\Omega} |V_{g,A}f(x,u)|^2 dx du \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad (33)$$

holds on a measurable set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\epsilon \geq 0$ and Ω is called the essential support of $V_{g,A}f(x,u)$, we have

$$|\Omega| \geq 2\pi b (1 - \epsilon)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \left(\frac{p}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \quad \text{for all } p > 2.$$

Proof. It follows from Hölder's inequality and (29) in Theorem 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \epsilon &\leq \int \int_{\Omega} |V_{g,A}f(x, u)|^2 dx du \\
&\leq \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_{g,A}f(x, u)|^p dx du \right)^{2/p} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\
&\leq (2\pi b)^{2/p-1} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{2/p} \|f\|_2^2 \|g\|_2^2 |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\
&= (2\pi b)^{2/p-1} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{2/p} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $p > 2$, we have

$$|\Omega| \geq 2\pi b(1 - \epsilon)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

which completes the proof. \square

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we first propose Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle and Amrein-Berthier-Benedicks's uncertainty principle for the OLCT, which are different from Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for the OLCT obtained in [2]. We then introduce the short-time OLCT and present its Lieb's uncertainty principle. Finally, we give a lower bound for the essential support of the short-time OLCT.

References

- [1] S. Abe, J. T. Sheridan, Optical operations on wave functions as the Abelian subgroups of the special affine Fourier transformation, *Opt lett* 19 (22) (1994) 1801–1803.
- [2] A. Stern, Sampling of compact signals in offset linear canonical transform domains, *Signal Image Video Process* 1 (4) (2007) 359–367.
- [3] Q. Xiang, K.-Y. Qin, Q.-Z. Huang, Multichannel sampling of signals band-limited in offset linear canonical transform domains, *Circuits Syst Signal Process* 32 (5) (2013) 2385–2406.
- [4] X. Zhi, D. Wei, W. Zhang, A generalized convolution theorem for the special affine Fourier transform and its application to filtering, *Optik* 127 (5) (2016) 2613–2616.
- [5] H. Huo, W. Sun, Sampling theorems and error estimates for random signals in the linear canonical transform domain, *Signal Process* 111 (2015) 31–38.

- [6] J. Shi, X. Liu, X. Sha, N. Zhang, Sampling and reconstruction of signals in function spaces associated with the linear canonical transform, *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 60 (11) (2012) 6041–6047.
- [7] A. Stern, Sampling of linear canonical transformed signals, *Signal Process* 86 (7) (2006) 1421–1425.
- [8] R. Tao, B.-Z. Li, Y. Wang, G. K. Aggrey, On sampling of band-limited signals associated with the linear canonical transform, *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 56 (11) (2008) 5454–5464.
- [9] L. Xiao, W. Sun, Sampling theorems for signals periodic in the linear canonical transform domain, *Opt Commun* 290 (2013) 14–18.
- [10] L. B. Almeida, The fractional Fourier transform and time-frequency representations, *IEEE Trans Signal Process* 42 (11) (1994) 3084–3091.
- [11] B.-Z. Li, R. Tao, Y. Wang, New sampling formulae related to linear canonical transform, *Signal Process* 87 (5) (2007) 983–990.
- [12] H. M. Ozaktas, Z. Zalevsky, M. A. Kutay, *The fractional Fourier transform*, Wiley, Chichester, 2001.
- [13] A. Stern, Why is the linear canonical transform so little known, in: *AIP Conf Proc Ser*, Vol. 860, 2006, pp. 225–234.
- [14] D. Wei, Y.-M. Li, The dual extensions of sampling and series expansion theorems for the linear canonical transform, *Optik-International Journal for Light and Electron Optics* 126 (24) (2015) 5163–5167.
- [15] Q. Xiang, K. Qin, Convolution, correlation, and sampling theorems for the offset linear canonical transform, *Signal Image Video Process* 8 (3) (2014) 433–442.
- [16] S.-C. Pei, J.-J. Ding, Eigenfunctions of the offset Fourier, fractional Fourier, and linear canonical transforms, *JOSA A* 20 (3) (2003) 522–532.
- [17] A. I. Zayed, Maximally concentrated signals in the special affine Fourier transformation domain, in: *2015 Intern Conf Sampl Theory Appl (SampTA)*, IEEE, 2015, pp. 16–20.
- [18] K. Kou, J. Morais, Y. Zhang, Generalized prolate spheroidal wave functions for offset linear canonical transform in Clifford analysis, *Math Methods Appl Sci* 36 (9) (2013) 1028–1041.
- [19] S. Xu, Y. Chai, Y. Hu, Spectral analysis of sampled band-limited signals in the offset linear canonical transform domain, *Circuits Syst Signal Process* (2015) 1–19.

- [20] S. Xu, Y. Chai, Y. Hu, C. Jiang, Y. Li, Reconstruction of digital spectrum from periodic nonuniformly sampled signals in offset linear canonical transform domain, *Opt Commun* 348 (2015) 59–65.
- [21] Q. Zhang, Zak transform and uncertainty principles associated with the linear canonical transform, *IET Signal Process* 10 (7) (2016) 791–797.
- [22] G. B. Folland, A. Sitaram, The uncertainty principle: a mathematical survey, *J Fourier Anal Appl* 3 (3) (1997) 207–238.
- [23] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [24] V. Havin, B. Jöricke, *The uncertainty principle in harmonic analysis*, Vol. 28, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [25] J. Shi, X. Liu, N. Zhang, On uncertainty principles for linear canonical transform of complex signals via operator methods, *Signal Image Video Process* 8 (1) (2014) 85–93.
- [26] M. Cowling, A. Sitaram, M. Sundari, Hardy’s uncertainty principle on semisimple groups, *Pacific J Math* 192 (2) (2000) 293–296.
- [27] E. Matusiak, M. Özaydin, T. Przebinda, The Donoho–Stark uncertainty principle for a finite Abelian group, *Acta Math Univ Comenianae* 73 (2) (2004) 155–160.
- [28] V. K. Tuan, S. Yakubovich, Donoho–Stark and Paley–Wiener theorems for the G-transform, *Adv Appl Math* 45 (1) (2010) 108–124.
- [29] W. O. Amrein, A. M. Berthier, On support properties of l^p -functions and their Fourier transforms, *J Funct Anal* 24 (3) (1977) 258–267.
- [30] M. Benedicks, On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure, *J Math Anal Appl* 106 (1) (1985) 180–183.
- [31] K.-I. Kou, R.-H. Xu, Y.-H. Zhang, Paley-wiener theorems and uncertainty principles for the windowed linear canonical transform, *Math Meth Appl Sci* 35 (17) (2012) 2122–2132.
- [32] K.-I. Kou, R.-H. Xu, Windowed linear canonical transform and its applications, *Signal Process* 92 (1) (2012) 179–188.
- [33] A. Bhandari, A. I. Zayed, Shift-Invariant and sampling spaces associated with the special affine Fourier transform, *Appl Comput Harmon Anal* (2017).
- [34] S.-C. Pei, J.-J. Ding, Eigenfunctions of Fourier and fractional Fourier transforms with complex offsets and parameters, *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst I: Regular Papers* 54 (7) (2007) 1599–1611.

- [35] D. L. Donoho, P. B. Stark, Uncertainty principles and signal recovery, *SIAM J Appl Math* 49 (3) (1989) 906–931.
- [36] X. Guanlei, W. Xiaotong, X. Xiaogang, Uncertainty inequalities for linear canonical transform, *IET Signal Process* 3 (5) (2009) 392–402.