

Generation of nanoflares in the Crab pulsar

G. Machabeli

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, 3 ave. Cholokashvili, Tbilisi 0162,
Georgia

I. Malov

Pushchino Radioastronomical Observatory, 142290 Moscow Region, Pushchino, Russia

and

G. Gogoberidze

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, 3 ave. Cholokashvili, Tbilisi 0162,
Georgia

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

We study dynamics of drift waves in the pair plasma of pulsar magnetosphere. It is shown that nonlinear of the drift waves with plasma particles leads to the formation of small scale structures. We show that cyclotron instability developed within these nonlinear structures can be responsible for the formation of nanoshots discovered in the radio emission of the Crab pulsar.

Subject headings: Stars: Pulsars, Rotation.

1. Introduction

The Crab nebula is a distinctive object in our galaxy. One of its the puzzling feature is related to the emission of the pulsar PSR 0531+21 in the crab nebula. Namely, it is long known (Manchester & Teylor 1977) that radio, optical, X and γ -ray emission of this pulsar comes from the same region in the magnetosphere which should be located close to the light cylinder (hypothetical surface where rotational speed of magnetic field lines becomes equal to the speed of light). Explanation of this fact is one of the challenges of any model of the pulsar radio emission. There exist several models of pulsar radio emission (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975; Melrose 1978; Baker et al. 1988; Kazbegi et al. 1991; Weatherall 1997) but up to the date non of them can entirely explain all the observations.

One possible mechanism of the pulsar radio emission is similar to the mechanism responsible to the solar radio bursts. Two stream instability between primary electron beam and pair plasma of the pulsar magnetosphere causes generation of strong Langmuir turbulence (Weatherall 1997). This turbulence cannot escape the plasma directly. The modulational instability in strong Langmuir turbulence generates solitons and nonlinear wave-wave coupling within the solitons generates bursts of electromagnetic radiation which can escape the plasma.

The electron maser emission mechanism also implies that the beam of plasma generates Langmuir turbulence. When the turbulence becomes strong, both the driving beam and turbulent fluctuations will become spatially inhomogeneous (Baker et al. 1988). As a result, the collective motion of charge bunches interacting with electrostatic fluctuations in the turbulence results in coherent, forward-beamed radiation.

Another possible mechanism of the radio emission is related to the development of cyclotron instability (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Lyutikov et al. 1999). The cyclotron instability develops when the condition of anomalous Doppler effect resonance is fulfilled. This

instability generates electromagnetic waves which directly escape the plasma without need of the mode conversion.

Another possible mechanisms include radiation caused by particle acceleration in the oscillations electric field parallel to the magnetic field of a pulsar (Melrose 1978), curvature emission by coherent bunches (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975) and curvature maser emission (Luo & Melrose 1992).

It is not an easy task to compare predictions of the different models to observations. The main reason is that all the models deal with microscopic plasma processes in the magnetosphere whereas most of the existing data is linked to the large scale processes. Recently, very high time resolution observations allowed Eilek & Hankins (2016) to compare data of the nanoshots observed in the main pulse and low-frequency inter-pulse of the pulsar PSR 0531+21 with predictions of the different models of the pulsar radio emission. The authors concluded that none of the existing models can explain three main characteristics of their observations: spectrum, timescale of the phenomenon and observed significant level of circular polarization. Regarding the model based on the development of the cyclotron instability Eilek & Hankins (2016) concluded that this model naturally explains observed significant level of circular polarization (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Gogoberidze & Machabeli 2005), but on the other hand, because the generation mechanism is linear, in the basic version of the model there is no explanation for the existence of the phenomenon with characteristic time scale of nano-seconds.

In the presented paper we study development of the cyclotron instability in the pair plasma of the pulsar magnetosphere and will show that interplay of the instability development with the drift waves can lead to the formation of nanoshots. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we study generation the electromagnetic waves in the pair plasma of the pulsar magnetosphere by means of cyclotron instability. The origin of

the drift waves in the magnetosphere is studied in section 3. Mechanism of the nanoshot formation is presented in Section 4. Conclusions are given in Section 5.

2. Development of the cyclotron instability

As in the standard model of pulsar magnetosphere we assume that the relativistic electron-positron plasma is moving along the magnetic field lines. In the case $n^{-1/3} < \lambda$, where n is plasma density and λ is the wavelength of a wave, it is necessary to take into consideration the effect of wave interference (i.e., collective behavior of the particles). Blandford (1975) showed that Cherenkov radiation in the pair plasma is suppressed. But later it was shown (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Lyutikov et al. 1999) that generation of the electromagnetic waves is possible at modified Cherenkov resonance (so-called Cherenkov-drift resonance) if drift motion of the particles caused by the curvature of the magnetic field is taken in to account. The condition of the Cherenkov-drift resonance is

$$(\omega - k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel} - k_{\perp}u_{\perp}) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here v_{\parallel} is the parallel component of the particle velocity, $u_{\perp} = \gamma v_{\parallel}^2 / \omega_B R_c$ is the electron drift speed caused by curvature of the field line, R_c is the curvature radius of the field line, $\omega_B = eB_0/mc$ is the cyclotron frequency, e is an elementary charge, m is the electron mass, c is the speed of light, B_0 is the magnetic field of the pulsar, ω is the wave frequency, k_{\parallel} and k_{\perp} are components of the wave vector parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field, respectively.

The particles drift in the direction perpendicular to the curved magnetic field lines. The drift of particles cause brake of the axial symmetry. Mathematically this means that in the dispersion relation of electromagnetic waves in addition to the perpendicular component of the electric field E_{\perp} there also appear terms proportional to the parallel component E_{\parallel} .

The later terms contain resonances given by equation (1). Gedalin et al. (2002) considered generation of radio waves in the approximation of infinite magnetic field $B_0 \rightarrow \infty$. In this research drift motion was ignored but inclined propagation (with respect to the magnetic field) was assumed and this also caused the brake of the axial symmetry.

The particle distribution function of the electron-positron plasma in the pulsar magnetosphere describes the plasma moving from the surface of the star to the light cylinder and consists of three components (Goldreich & Julian 1969; Sturrock 1971; Tadamaru 1973). The first one is the primary beam of electrons with Goldreich-Julian density $n_b = n_{GJ} = 7 \times 10^{-2} B_\star / P$, where B_\star is the magnetic field on the surface of the pulsar and P is the spin period. Typical Lorentz factor of the primary beam is $\gamma_b \sim 10^6 - 10^7$; 2. So-called tail particles (Tadamaru 1973) with $n_t \sim 10^{13} - 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and typical Lorentz factor $\gamma_t \sim 10^3 - 10^5$; 3. The bulk pair plasma. The density of the bulk plasma strongly depends on the character of the pulsar magnetic field. If the magnetic field of the pulsar is dipolar, then the density $n_p \approx \gamma_b n_b / \gamma_p \sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $\gamma_p \sim 10^2$ is Lorentz factor of the bulk pair plasma. On the other hand, for quadrupolar magnetic field (Machabeli & Usov 1989) we have $\gamma_p \sim 3 - 10$ and $n_p \sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The entire particle distribution function is one dimensional. This kind of distribution function is unstable with respect to the cyclotron instability (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Lyutikov et al. 1999) when the condition for the anomalous Doppler resonance is fulfilled

$$\omega - k_\parallel v_\parallel - k_\perp u_\perp + \frac{s\omega_B}{\gamma_{res}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here $s = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3 \dots$ is the number of the cyclotron frequency harmonics and γ_{res} is the Lorentz factor of the resonant particles.

Effective generation of the radio waves takes place in the vicinity of the light cylinder. The generated waves propagate along the tangent of the field line. Therefore an observer should detect the emission when the line of sight is parallel to the field lines.

For the analysis of the resonant condition (2) we need to know main characteristics of the eigenmodes of the electron-positron plasma. There exist three electromagnetic eigenmodes of the pair plasma which propagate along the field lines and can escape from the magnetosphere. One of the eigenmodes, so-called *O*-mode is purely transverse. Its electric field E_O is perpendicular to the plane formed by the wave vector and the background magnetic field. Two other eigenmodes (so-called *A* and *X*-modes) are mixed longitudinal-transverse waves. Their electric field is placed in the plane formed by the wave vector and the background magnetic field. Simple dispersion relations for the different modes can be found for the waves propagating almost parallel to the field lines. In this case in the laboratory frame the dispersion relations of *O*, *A* and *X*-modes are, respectively

$$\omega_X = kc(1 - \delta), \quad \text{where} \quad \delta = \frac{\omega_p^2}{4\gamma_p^3\omega_B^2} \quad (3)$$

$$\omega_A = k_{\parallel}c \left(1 - \delta - \frac{k_{\perp}^2 c^2}{4\gamma_p \omega_p^2} \right), \quad (4)$$

$$\omega_O^2 = \omega_p^2 \gamma_p^{-3} + k_{\parallel}^2 c^2. \quad (5)$$

In equation (3) $\omega_p^2 = 8\pi e^2 n_p / m$ is the plasma frequency.

The standard model of pulsar magnetosphere implies that electrostatic field is generated at the polar caps of the pulsar. This electric field pulls out electrons from the surface of the star. In the strong magnetic field of the pulsar (at the surface of the pulsar PSR 0531+21 the magnetic field $B_{\star} \approx 7.6 \cdot 10^{12}$ G), transverse component of momentum of primary electrons is emitted by the synchrotron radiation for the characteristic timescale 10^{-15} s and the electrons reach Landau's zeroth level. Later the primary beam generate electron-positron plasma through avalanche process (Sturrock 1971). Thus, the particle distribution function in the magnetosphere is one dimensional. This kind of distribution function is unstable with respect to the cyclotron instability and the generation of radio waves can take place when the resonant condition (2) is fulfilled. During the quasi-linear

stage of the instability the radio waves react back on the particle distribution function and this causes diffusion of particles both along and perpendicular to the field lines. This circumstance leads to the saturation of the instability. On the other hand, particles gain transverse components of momentum and due to the synchrotron radiation generate electromagnetic waves in the X and γ bands. This scenario explains how radio and high frequency waves can be generated at the same location, thus explaining coincidence of the peaks of pulses in different frequency bands.

The frequency of the generated radio waves can be found from equation (2) using equation (3) and the expansion

$$v_{\parallel} \approx c \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_{res}^2} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad k \approx k_{\parallel} \left(1 + \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{2k_{\parallel}^2} \right). \quad (6)$$

In this case

$$\omega \approx k_{\parallel} c = \frac{s\omega_B}{\gamma_{res}\delta} = 4\gamma_p^3 \frac{s\omega_B^3}{\gamma_{res}\omega_p^2}. \quad (7)$$

Characteristic frequency of the high frequency synchrotron radiation is

$$\omega \approx \omega_B \gamma_{res}^2. \quad (8)$$

The last two equations give relation between generated radio and high frequency waves.

According to equation (7) for $\gamma_p \approx 3$ the radio waves with frequencies about several GHz can be excited by resonant particles with the Lorentz factors $\gamma_{res} \sim 10^7$. Using these parameters for the quadrupole magnetic field (Machabeli & Usov 1989) we have $\omega_p^2 \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{28} (R_{\star}/r)^4 \text{ (rad/s)}^2$ and $\omega_B \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^{20} (R_{\star}/r)^4 \text{ rad/s}$. Using equation (7) and assuming that the generation of radio waves take place at $r \sim 10^8 \text{ cm}$ we obtain for the frequency of the radio waves

$$\omega \approx 4 \cdot 10^9 \text{ rad/s}. \quad (9)$$

For $s = 1$ the radio emission corresponds to the frequencies of the order of 1 GHz. Waves with frequencies $\sim 0.1 \text{ GHz}$ are generated by resonant particles with $\gamma_{res} \sim 10^8$. There are

very few particles with such a high Lorentz factor and therefore one can expect that at these frequencies intensity of radiation should be significantly reduced.

Because the particles are moving along the field lines to the observer, angular distribution of the radiation reaching the observer is strongly anisotropic (Schwinger et al. 1976; Landau & Lifshitz 1971) and is mainly concentrated within the angle

$$\alpha \approx \frac{1}{\gamma_{res}} \quad (10)$$

around the field line.

3. Generation of the drift waves

In the previous section we considered the waves propagating almost parallel to the magnetic field. Here we consider possibility of *A*-wave generation with wave vectors almost perpendicular to the magnetic field (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Machabeli et al. 2005; Gogoberidze et al. 2005; Malov & Machabeli 2009). Plasma particles moving along the field lines are subject to the drift motion due to the curvature of the field lines. The drift speed is

$$u_{\perp} = \frac{\gamma v_{\parallel}^2}{\omega_B R_c}. \quad (11)$$

The particles are drifting in the direction perpendicular to the plane containing the curved field lines. It is convenient to study interaction of the drift wave with plasma particles in the local cylindrical frame of reference (x, r, ϕ) . x -axis is directed perpendicular to the plane containing the curved magnetic field line. \mathbf{r} -direction is perpendicular both to the x -axis and the field line. The center of the system is placed at the center of curvature of the field line and consequently the angle ϕ determines location of the particle along the magnetic field line.

Kazbegi et al. (1991b) studied generation of almost transverse ($k_x/k_\phi \gg 1$) drift waves at the modified Cherenkov resonance (1). For the frequency of the generated wave equation (1) yields

$$\omega \approx k_x v_x + k_\phi u_\phi, \quad (12)$$

whereas for the maximum growth rate we have (Kazbegi et al. 1991b; Gogoberidze et al. 2005)

$$\Gamma \approx \left(\frac{n_b \gamma_p^3}{n_p \gamma_b} \right)^{1/2} k_x u_x. \quad (13)$$

The growth rate (13) has maximum value for the waves with wave vectors $k_x^2 \ll 3\omega_p^2/2\gamma_p^3 c^2$. For parameters of the crab pulsar this condition gives $k_x \ll 0.5 \text{cm}^{-1}$ and determines wave vector of the excited waves. Using the condition $n_p \gamma_p \lesssim n_b \gamma_b$ for typical value of the growth rate for the crab pulsar equation (13) gives $\Gamma \sim 10 \text{sec}^{-1}$.

The generated drift waves are propagating almost perpendicular to the magnetic field. They circulate around the magnetic field lines, slowly approaching the surface of the light cylinder following spiral path. Therefore these waves stay quite a long time in the magnetosphere and can effectively participate in various dynamical processes. The time of propagation of the drift waves to the light cylinder is k_x/k_ϕ times greater compared to the escaping time of particles from the magnetosphere. Let us estimate the amplitude of generated drift waves. The energy source of the instability is kinetic energy of the particles drifting in the inhomogeneous magnetic field. Therefore the maximum amplitude B_r of the drift waves can be estimated comparing energy of the drifting particles and energy of the waves. This condition yields

$$m u_x^2 n_b \gamma_b \tau_e \frac{k_x}{k_\phi} \sim \frac{B_r^2}{8\pi}. \quad (14)$$

Here τ_e is escaping time of the particle from the magnetosphere. This equation shows that for $k_x/k_\phi \sim 10^9$ energy density of the generated magnetic field at light cylinder can become comparable to the energy of pulsars magnetic field.

Drift waves can be responsible for the observed so-called zebra structures in the pulsar radio emission (Eilek & Hankins 2016). Indeed, existence of the drift waves makes magnetic field structure locally inhomogeneous and therefore in different places condition for Anomalous Doppler effect (2) can be fulfilled for different harmonics $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ (Zheleznyakov et al. 2012). The growth rate of electromagnetic waves is different for different harmonics and consequently the electromagnetic waves would have different amplitudes.

4. Nonlinear processes and formation of nanoshots

Let us consider the drift wave propagating almost perpendicular to the magnetic field, satisfying condition $k_x u_x \gg k_\phi v_\phi$. For such waves we have $\omega \approx k_x u_x$. From Maxwell equation we have $B_r = E_\phi(k_x c/\omega) \sim E_\phi(c/u_x)$, where \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} are perturbations of the magnetic and electric fields, respectively. From this equation it follows that $B_r \gg E_\phi$. Curvature of the field line $\rho_c = 1/R_c$ in the Cartesian frame of reference is defined by the equation $(1 + dy^2/dx^2)^{-3/2}(d^2y/dx^2)dy/dx = B_y/B_x$, and using equation $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) = 0$, in the cylindrical frame we have

$$\rho_c = \frac{1}{rB} \left[B_\phi - \frac{B_\phi^2}{B^2} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi} \right], \quad (15)$$

where $B = (B_\phi^2 + B_r^2)^{1/2} \approx B_\phi(1 + B_r^2/2B_\phi^2)$. In the azimuthal direction we have $B_r \sim \exp(ik_\phi r) = \exp(iJ\phi)$, where $J \equiv k_\phi r/\phi$. For $J \gg 1$ equation (15) yields

$$\rho_c = \frac{1}{R_B} \left(1 - J \frac{B_r}{B_\phi} \right). \quad (16)$$

For high harmonics ($J \gg 1$) the drift wave can significantly change the curvature of the magnetic field. Let us consider how this change will influence resonance conditions (1) and (2). Using expansion $v_\phi \approx c(1 - 1/2\gamma^2 - u_\perp^2/2c^2)$ and dispersion relation for O -mode, $\omega_O = kc(1 - \delta)$, equations (2) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{k_x}{k_\phi} - \frac{u_\perp}{c} \left(1 - J \frac{B_r}{B_\phi} \right) \right] + \frac{k_r^2}{4k_\phi^2} - \delta = -s \frac{\omega_B}{\gamma_r k_\phi c}. \quad (17)$$

This equation describes both the anomalous Doppler resonances ($s = +1, +2\dots$) and the Cherenkov resonance ($s = 0$). Due to the presence of the drift waves neither the magnetic field nor its curvature are constant. Therefore, the resonant condition (17) can be fulfilled only for special areas, thus forming 'emitting spots' in the magnetosphere. In the areas where the drift wave causes increase of the magnetic field curvature there is increase of the magnetic field as well. Because the relativistic particles flow along the field lines, increase of the field causes increase of particle concentrations and as a result enhancement of the radiation intensity. Characteristics of the 'emitting spot' strongly depends on the phase of the drift wave as well as its longitude and latitude (Machabeli et al. 2005).

In the weak turbulence approximation nonlinear dynamics of the drift waves in the pulsar magnetosphere can include 3 and 4-wave resonant interaction and nonlinear interaction of waves with plasma particles (Gogoberidze et al. 2005). A three-wave interaction involves quadratically nonlinear current which is an odd function of the sign of the charge, so that electrons and positrons contribute with opposite sign, making this effect relatively weak in a pulsar pair plasma (Luo & Melrose 1994). Four-wave interaction, which involve the cubic nonlinearity, is intrinsically small, and nonlinear interaction of waves with plasma particles appears the strongest nonlinear process involving the drift waves (Gogoberidze et al. 2005). This interaction includes both scattering of waves by plasma particles and merging of waves. In the former case the wavelength increases and this process is limited by the dimensions of the magnetosphere, whereas in the case of the wave merging the wavelength decreases.

Study of the nonlinear interaction of waves with plasma particles in the electron-ion plasma involves consideration of a test particle (Sagdeev & Galeev 1969) which causes polarization of nearby media (forming so-called shielding cloud). In contrast, in the pair plasma polarization contributions of electrons and positrons cancel out (Machabeli 1983)

and therefore effects related to the polarization are absent. Conservation of energy and momentum for the the nonlinear interaction of waves with plasma particles leads to the following conditions

$$\omega \pm \omega' = (k_\phi \pm k'_\phi)v_\parallel. \quad (18)$$

Here primed quantities belong to the wave after the nonlinear interaction. The minus sign in equation (18) corresponds to the nonlinear scattering of the waves and the plus sign describes the merging of the waves. The nonlinear scattering of the drift waves has been intensively studied before (Kazbegi et al. 1991; Machabeli et al. 2005; Gogoberidze et al. 2005). This process transfers the wave energy to the particles and therefore energy cascades to the lower frequencies, i.e., larger scales. On the contrary, the merging of the waves increase the wave frequency and transfers the energy to smaller scales. Time scales of these processes are of the same order of magnitude (Sagdeev & Galeev 1969) and as shown before (Machabeli et al. 2005; Gogoberidze et al. 2005) the energy can be effectively transferred to different scales before the drift waves leave the magnetosphere. Therefore, merging of the waves leads to the formation of small scale drift wave structures. Intensity of the magnetic field as well as plasma density in these structures is enhanced within these structures and therefore we have small scale intensively emitting spots.

If the size of the emitting spot is negligible (compared to the size of the magnetosphere) then duration of its emission received by an observer is (see equation (10))

$$\tau \approx \frac{1}{\Omega\gamma_{res}}. \quad (19)$$

Noting that for the crab pulsar $\Omega \approx 200$ and for the particles of primary beam $\gamma \sim 10^6 - 10^7$ minimal duration of the signal associated with a small emitting spot is $\tau \sim 10^{-9}$ s. As we see, characteristic timescale corresponds to the observed timescale of the nanoshots.

We suggest that due to the nonlinear evolution of the drift waves at the same time many emitting spots are formed in the pulsar magnetosphere, but only few of them are

crossing observer’s line of sight.

5. Conclusions

In the presented paper we studied influence of the drift waves on the formation of radio emission in the pair plasma of the pulsar magnetosphere. It was shown that nonlinear evolution of the drift waves are dominated by the nonlinear interaction of waves with plasma particles which include both induced scattering and merging of the waves. The latter process transfers the energy to the smaller scales and leads to the formation of small scale drift wave structures where magnetic field and plasma density are enhanced. These structures form intensively emitting spots. Duration of the signal associated with a spot is of the order of nano-seconds and consequently the drift wave structures can be responsible for the recently discovered nanoshots in the radio emission of the Crab pulsar. Our model implies that at the same time many emitting spots are formed but only few of them cross the observer’s line of sight.

Because we suggest that different nanoshots are related to the different emitting spots, our model predicts that there should be no correlation between various characteristics (such as circular polarization, etc.) of different nanoshots.

This work has been supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grants FR/51/6-300/14 and FR/516/6-300/14.

REFERENCES

- Baker, D. N., Borovsky, J. E., Benford, G. & Eilek, J. A. 1988, *Astrophys. J.*, 326, 110
- Blandford, R. D. 1975 *MNRAS*, 170, 551
- Eilek, J. A. & Hankins, T. H. 2016, *J. Plasma Phys.*, 82, 635820302
- Sagdeev, R. Z. & Galeev, A. A. 1969 *Nonlinear Plasma Theory*, Benjamin, New York.
- Gedalin, M., Gruman, E. & Melrose, D. B. 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88, 121101
- Gogoberidze, G. & Machabeli, G. 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, 1363
- Gogoberidze, G., Machabeli, G., Melrose, D. B. & Luo, Q. 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, 669
- Goldreich, P. & Julian, W. 1969, *ApJ*, 157, 869
- Kazbegi, A. Z., Machabeli, G. Z. & Melikidze, G. I. 1991, *MNRAS*, 253, 377
- Kazbegi, A. Z., Machabeli, G. Z. & Melikidze, G. I. 1991, *Austr. J. Phys.*, 44, 573
- Landau, L. D. & Lifshitz, E. M. 1971, *The Classical Theory of Fields*. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Luo, Q. & Melrose, D. B. 1992, *MNRAS*, 258, 616
- Luo, Q. & Melrose, D. B. 1994, *Solar Physics*, 154, 187
- Lyutikov, M., Blandford, R. D. & Machabeli, G. 1999, *MNRAS*, 305, 338
- Malov, I. F. & Machabeli, G. Z. 2009, *Anomalous Pulsars*. Nauka, Moscow.
- Machabeli, G. 2005, *Soviet Journal of Plasma Physics*, 9, 678
- Machabeli G.Z., Usov V.V., 1989, *Soviet Astronomy Letters*, 15, 393

- Machabeli, G., Khechinashvili, D., Melikidze, G. & Shapakidze, D. 2005, *MNRAS*, 327, 984
- Manchester, R. N. & Taylor, J. H. 1977, *Pulsars*, Freeman and company, New York.
- Melrose, D. B. 1978, *ApJ*, 225, 557
- Ruderman, M. A. & Sutherland, P. G. 1975, *ApJ*, 196, 51
- Schwinger, J., Tsai, W-Y. & Erber, T. 1976, *Annals of Physics*, 96, 303
- Sturrock, P.A. 1971, *ApJ*, 164, 529
- Tademaru, E. 1973, *ApJ*, 183, 625
- Weatherall, J. C. 1997, *ApJ*, 483, 402
- Zheleznyakov, V. V., Zaitsev, V. V. & Zlotnik, E. Ya. 2012, *Ast. Lett.*, 9, 589