## Ghosts of Jupiter's past: is 2017 $UV_{43}$ a relative of comet Shoemaker-Levy 9?

Carlos de la Fuente Marcos<sup>1</sup> and Raúl de la Fuente Marcos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Complutense de Madrid Ciudad Universitaria, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

Keywords: minor planets, asteroids: individual (2017 UV<sub>43</sub>) — comets: individual (Shoemaker-Levy 9)

Corresponding author: Carlos de la Fuente Marcos nbplanet@ucm.es




Figure 1. Evolution of the Keplerian jovicentric energy of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> (top panel). Satellite captures happen when the relative binding energy becomes negative. The unit of energy is such that the unit of mass is  $1 M_{\odot}$ , the unit of distance is 1 au and the unit of time is one sidereal year divided by  $2\pi$ . The path followed by 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> (that moves clockwise) in a frame of reference centered at the Sun (in yellow) and rotating with Jupiter (in brown, its orbit in black), projected on to the ecliptic plane, during the time interval (-200, 0) yr is showed in pink (bottom panel), with the interval displayed in the top panel plotted in red.

The first collision of two Solar System bodies ever to be observed was that of the fragments of comet D/1993 F2 (Shoemaker-Levy 9) with Jupiter from July 16 through July 22, 1994 (see e.g. Sekanina et al. 1994, 1998). Backward integrations showed that Shoemaker-Levy 9 (Shoemaker et al. 1993) may have become an eccentric Jovian satellite in

1929±9, having been torn into at least 21 major pieces during a very close encounter with Jupiter in July 1992 (see e.g. Sekanina et al. 1994, 1998; Benner & McKinnon 1995).

Here, we present numerical evidence suggesting that 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> might be related to Shoemaker-Levy 9. With our exploratory results we intend to encourage a search for precovery images of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> and perhaps even follow-up observations that may help in improving its poorly determined orbit so its true dynamical nature is understood. The current orbit determination of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> (epoch JD 2458000.5, 4-September-2017, instant zero of time) is based on 15 observations for a data-arc span of 10 d and has semi-major axis,  $a=6.63\pm0.14$  au, eccentricity,  $e=0.21\pm0.07$ , inclination,  $i=5^{\circ}20\pm0^{\circ}04$ , longitude of the ascending node,  $\Omega=321^{\circ}0\pm1^{\circ}0$ , and argument of perihelion,  $\omega=181^{\circ}\pm13^{\circ}$ ; with an absolute magnitude of  $14.0\pm0.4$  it may be 3–9 km wide.<sup>1</sup> The average values and standard deviations of the orbital elements of the 21 fragments of Shoemaker-Levy 9 with orbit determinations are:  $a=6.81\pm0.04$  au,  $e=0.210\pm0.004$ ,  $i=5^{\circ}.87\pm0^{\circ}.08$ ,  $\Omega=220^{\circ}.8\pm0^{\circ}.2$ , and  $\omega=354^{\circ}.98\pm0^{\circ}.05$ . Their estimated sizes range from ~0.1 to ~4 km (Sekanina 1995; Zamarashkina & Medvedev 2004).

The present-day orbit of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> resembles —*a*, *e* and *i*— the average one of the fragments of Shoemaker-Levy 9, but it is unclear whether such similarity can be extended to the backward dynamical evolution. We have used the orbit determination of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> to perform a preliminary study of its short-term evolution (for technical details, see de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 2012). The results of our limited analysis based on the rather uncertain orbital solution strongly suggest that its dynamical evolution becomes difficult to reconstruct beyond a few decades into the past. Figure 1, top panel, shows that the binding energy relative to Jupiter of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> became negative during two recent flybys (nearly 75.3 and 59.3 yr ago, i.e. in 1942.38 and 1958.38) for about one sidereal year. The flybys took place after the capture of Shoemaker-Levy 9 by Jupiter. The path followed by 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> during the relevant time interval is displayed in Figure 1, bottom panel. Although both the timing and the dynamics may be consistent by chance, 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> might be a lost fragment of Shoemaker-Levy 9. We have gathered the available astrometry of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> and Shoemaker-Levy 9, but we have been unable to find a satisfactory orbit determination capable of fitting both data sets. Therefore, we must assume that, if 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> is genetically related to the fragments of Shoemaker-Levy 9, their orbital connection was severed long before 1992.

Asteroid 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> may be as large as the largest observed fragments of Shoemaker-Levy 9. If there is a physical connection between them, comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 as first observed in 1993 might not have been primordial in the sense that the breakup experienced in 1992 might not have been its first. Conversely, assuming no physical connection between Shoemaker-Levy 9 and 2017 UV<sub>43</sub>, the orbital similarity may signal the existence of an active delivery route placing minor bodies into Shoemaker-Levy 9-like orbits. Follow-up observations of 2017 UV<sub>43</sub> during the next year will not be difficult. It will reach its next opposition late in November 2018 at V=21.3 mag. This will be the best opportunity to acquire spectroscopy that may help in understanding its origin.

We thank S. J. Aarseth for providing the code used in this research and A. I. Gómez de Castro of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM) for providing access to computing facilities. This work was partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under grant ESP2014-54243-R. Part of the calculations and the data analysis were completed on the EOLO cluster of the UCM. EOLO is funded by the MECD and MICINN. This is a contribution to the CEI Moncloa. In preparation of this Note, we made use of the NASA Astrophysics Data System and the MPC data server.

## REFERENCES

Benner, L. A. M., & McKinnon, W. B. 1995, Icarus, 118,	Sekanina, Z., Chodas, P. W., & Yeomans, D. K. 1994,
155	A&A, 289, 607
	Sekanina, Z., Chodas, P. W., & Yeomans, D. K. 1998,
de la Fuente Marcos, C., & de la Fuente Marcos, R. 2012,	Planet. Space Sci., 46, 21
	Shoemaker, C. S., Shoemaker, E. M., Levy, D. H., et al.
MNRAS, 427, 728	1993, IAUC, 5725, 1
	Zamarashkina, M. D., & Medvedev, Y. D. 2004, Solar
Sekanina, Z. 1995, A&A, 304, 296	System Research, 38, 219