

Confidence interval for correlation estimator between latent processes

Akitoshi Kimura *

August 21, 2018

Abstract

Kimura and Yoshida [26] treated a model in which the finite variation part of a two-dimensional semimartingale is expressed by time-integration of latent processes. They proposed a correlation estimator between the latent processes and proved its consistency and asymptotic mixed normality. In this paper, we discuss the confidence interval of the correlation estimator to detect the correlation. We propose two types of estimators for asymptotic variance of the correlation estimator and prove their consistency in a high frequency setting. Our model includes doubly stochastic Poisson processes whose intensity processes are correlated Itô processes. We compare our estimators based on the simulation of the doubly stochastic Poisson processes.

1 Introduction

Integrated correlation is an important index in high frequency financial data analysis. Epps [16] pointed out that the sample correlation between the returns of two different stocks decreases as the sampling frequency of data increases. It is considered that non-synchronicity and market microstructure of trading cause this phenomenon.

Non-synchronous covariance estimation schemes have been developed: Fourier analytic approach (Malliavin and Mancino [32], Malliavin et al. [33]) and the cumulative covariance estimator (Hayashi and Yoshida [23, 21, 22], Mykland [40]).

The market microstructure is modeled as the noise added to the latent price process. This modeling was successful and denoising techniques have also been developed: sub-sampling (Zhang et al. [48], Zhang [49]), pre-averaging (Podolskij and Vetter [43], Jacod et al. [25]), and others (Zhou [50]). There are many studies that treat both non-synchronicity and market microstructure noise: Malliavin and Mancino [34], Mancino and Sanfelici [35], Park and Linton [42], Voev and Lunde [47], Griffin and Oomen [19], Christensen et al. [12, 13], Koike [27, 29, 28], Aït-Sahalia et al. [4], Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [6], Bibinger [8, 9].

The followings are proposed as the cause of market microstructure: bid-ask spread (Roll [44]), discretization error (Gottlieb and Kalay [18]), and asymmetric information (Glosten and Milgrom [17]). On the other hand, the relationship

*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan, Japan Science and Technology Agency, CREST

between the additive noise modeling and the market microstructure is not so clearly explained.

In ultra high frequency sampling, recently, the market microstructure is modeled as dynamics of the limit order book (LOB) rather than the noise. Many studies adopt approaches modeling LOB with Poisson processes (Cont et al. [15], Abergel and Jedidi [2], Muni Toke [46, 37], Smith et al. [45], Muni Toke and Yoshida [39]), Hawkes processes (Hewlett [24], Large [31], Bowsher [10], Bacry et al. [5], Abergel and Jedidi [3], Muni Toke and Pomponio [38], Muni Toke [36], Clinet and Yoshida [14], Ogihara and Yoshida [41]), and doubly stochastic Poisson processes (Abergel et al. [1], Guilbaud and Pham [20], Chertok et al. [11], Korolev et al. [30]). These approaches can also treat the non-synchronicity of trading.

In this stream, Kimura and Yoshida [26] focused on the integrated correlation between intensity processes of doubly stochastic Poisson processes. They introduced the following model to treat it in a generalized form. This paper is also based on the model.

Now, we consider a stochastic basis $\mathcal{B} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$, $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. On \mathcal{B} , let $\mathbb{X} = (X^1, X^2)$ be an \mathbb{R}^2 -valued Itô process given by

$$\mathbb{X}_t = \mathbb{X}_0 + \int_0^t \mathbb{X}_s^0 ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{X}_s^1 dw_s \quad (t \in [0, T]), \quad (1.1)$$

where w is an r -dimensional \mathcal{F} -Wiener process, \mathbb{X}_0 is an \mathbb{F}_0 -measurable random variable, \mathbb{X}^0 is a two-dimensional \mathbb{F} -adapted process, and \mathbb{X}^1 is an $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ -valued \mathbb{F} -adapted process satisfies condition [A] mentioned later. Let a_n be a positive number depending on n . On \mathcal{B} , consider a two-dimensional measurable process $\mathbb{Y}^n = (Y^{n,1}, Y^{n,2})$ having a decomposition

$$\mathbb{Y}_t^n = \mathbb{Y}_0^n + \int_0^t a_n \mathbb{X}_s ds + \mathbb{M}_t^n \quad (t \in [0, T]),$$

where \mathbb{M}^n is a two-dimensional measurable process with $\mathbb{M}_0^n = 0$ satisfies condition [B], [B'], or [B[#]] mentioned later.

In this model, \mathbb{Y} can be a counting process with intensity process $a_n \mathbb{X}$ where \mathbb{X} is \mathbb{R}_+^2 -valued, i.e. \mathbb{Y} is a two-dimensional doubly stochastic Poisson process. This counting process models the high frequency counting data of the orders or transactions in the active market, for example.

Let $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j)$ for a sampling design $\Pi = (t_j)_{j=0, \dots, b_n}$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{b_n} = T$ and $h_j = t_j - t_{j-1}$.

Kimura and Yoshida [26] introduced the estimator of the covariance $\langle X^1, X^2 \rangle$ from the sampled data of \mathbb{Y} as follows. For $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$,

$$S_n^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left(\frac{Y_{t_k}^{n,\alpha} - Y_{t_{k-1}}^{n,\alpha}}{a_n h_k} - \frac{Y_{t_{k-1}}^{n,\alpha} - Y_{t_{k-2}}^{n,\alpha}}{a_n h_{k-1}} \right) \left(\frac{Y_{t_k}^{n,\beta} - Y_{t_{k-1}}^{n,\beta}}{a_n h_k} - \frac{Y_{t_{k-1}}^{n,\beta} - Y_{t_{k-2}}^{n,\beta}}{a_n h_{k-1}} \right),$$

Here, $S_n = (S_n^{12}, S_n^{11}, S_n^{22})^*$ is the (co)variance estimator. S_n depends on the scaling parameter a_n which is not derived from the data of \mathbb{Y} . Therefore, treat the correlation estimator $C_n^{12} = S_n^{12} / \sqrt{S_n^{11} S_n^{22}}$ which does not depend on the scaling parameter a_n .

Let

$$U^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2}{3} \langle X^{\alpha,c}, X^{\beta,c} \rangle_T = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^T X_t^{\alpha 1} \cdot X_t^{\beta 1} dt \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2)$$

and $U = (U^{12}, U^{11}, U^{22})^*$, where $X^{\alpha,c}$ is the continuous part of X^α and $X_t^{\alpha 1}$ is the α -th row of \mathbb{X}_t^1 . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)} &= \int_0^T \sum_{i,j=1}^r \frac{X_{i,s}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{j,s}^{\beta_1 1} + X_{j,s}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{i,s}^{\beta_1 1}}{2} \frac{X_{i,s}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{j,s}^{\beta_2 1} + X_{j,s}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{i,s}^{\beta_2 1}}{2} ds \\ &= \int_0^T (\mathbb{X}_s^1)^{\otimes(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \cdot (\mathbb{X}_s^1)^{\otimes(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

and $\Gamma = (\gamma^{pq})_{p,q=(1,2),(1,1),(2,2)}$, where $X_{i,s}^{\alpha 1}$ is the (α, i) -element of \mathbb{X}_s^1 .

Under [A] and any one of [B], [B'], and [B[#]], Kimura and Yoshida [26] proved the asymptotic mixed normality of the covariance estimator and the correlation estimator.

$$\left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1/2} (S_n - U) \rightarrow^{d_s} \Gamma^{1/2} \zeta$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where ζ is an \mathbb{R}^3 -valued standard normal variable independent of \mathcal{F} . and d_s means \mathcal{F} -stable convergence. Let $R = U^{12}/\sqrt{U^{11}U^{22}}$ and suppose that $U^{11}U^{22} \neq 0$ a.s.

$$\left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1/2} (C_n^{12} - R) \rightarrow^{d_s} \Xi^{1/2} \zeta$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\Xi^{1/2} := \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{U^{11}U^{22}}}, \frac{-U^{12}}{2\sqrt{(U^{11})^3 U^{22}}}, \frac{-U^{12}}{2\sqrt{U^{11}(U^{22})^3}} \right) \Gamma^{1/2}.$$

$\Xi = (\Xi^{1/2})(\Xi^{1/2})^*$ is the asymptotic variance of the correlation estimator.

In this paper, we introduce two types of estimators for Γ and prove their consistency in order to obtain the consistent estimator for Ξ . Therefore, we can detect the correlation between latent processes based on hypothesis testing.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list the assumptions. In Section 3, we introduce the estimators for Γ and Ξ , and state the main result: their consistency. In Section 4, the results in Section 3 are proved. In Section 5, an example and simulation studies are given.

2 Assumptions

For simplicity, we assume $t_j = j(T/b_n)$, $h_j = T/b_n =: \delta_n$. We write $\Delta_j V = V_{t_j} - V_{t_{j-1}}$ for a process V . Consider the following conditions.

[A] Process \mathbb{X} admits the representation (1.1) for an \mathbb{R}^2 -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable \mathbb{X}_0 and coefficients \mathbb{X}^κ ($\kappa = 0, 1$) such that \mathbb{X}^0 is a càdlàg \mathbb{F} -adapted process and that \mathbb{X}^1 has a representation

$$\mathbb{X}_t^1 = \mathbb{X}_0^1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{X}_s^{10} ds + \int_0^t \sum_{\kappa'=1}^{r'} \mathbb{X}_s^{1\kappa'} d\tilde{w}_s^{\kappa'} \quad (t \in [0, T]),$$

where \mathbb{X}_0^1 is an $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ -valued \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable, $\tilde{w} = (\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^{r'})$ is an r' -dimensional \mathbb{F} -Wiener process (not necessary independent of w), and $\mathbb{X}_s^{1\kappa'}$ ($\kappa' = 0, 1, \dots, r'$) are $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ -valued cádlág \mathbb{F} -adapted processes.

[B] $\mathbb{M}^n = (M^{n,\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2}$ is a two-dimensional \mathbb{F} -local martingale with $\mathbb{M}_0^n = 0$ and such that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{5/2}/a_n = 0$.
- (ii) $\sum_{j=1}^{b_n} |\Delta_j \mathbb{M}^n|^2 = O_p(a_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\Delta \mathbb{M}^n| \leq ca_n^{1/2}$ for a constant c independent of n .
- (iii) The absolutely continuous (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure a.s.) mapping $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \langle \mathbb{M}^n, w \rangle_t \in \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ satisfies $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |d\langle \mathbb{M}^n, w \rangle_t/dt| = O_p(b_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Here, $\langle \mathbb{M}^n, w \rangle_t$ is the $2 \times r$ matrix of angle brackets $\langle M^{n,\alpha}, w^k \rangle_t$ for $\mathbb{M}^n = (M^{n,\alpha})_{\alpha=1,2}$ and $w = (w^k)_{k=1, \dots, r}$.

[B'] \mathbb{M}^n is a two-dimensional \mathbb{F} -local martingale with $\mathbb{M}_0^n = 0$, satisfies [B] (i), (iii), and

- (ii') $E[\sum_{j=1}^{b_n} |\Delta_j \mathbb{M}^n|^2] = O(a_n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

[B[#]] \mathbb{M}^n is a two-dimensional \mathbb{F} -local martingale with $\mathbb{M}_0^n = 0$, satisfies [B] (ii), (iii), and

- (i[#]) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^3/a_n = 0$.

[C] $E[\sum_{j=1}^{b_n} |\Delta_j \mathbb{M}^n|^4] = O(a_n^2 b_n^{-1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Remark 2.1. [A], [B], and [B'] are the same condition in [26]. [B[#]] is a bit stronger condition than [B[#]] in [26].

Remark 2.2. The doubly stochastic Poisson process model satisfies [C].

3 Results

We need the estimator of Γ to obtain the asymptotic variance estimator. Here, we give two types of the gamma estimator.

Before that, we introduce some notations to simplify the description. Let $A^{\otimes(i,j)}$ is an (i, j) -element of A^{\otimes} , $A^{\otimes} = A \otimes A = AA^*$, and $*$ denotes transpose. Let $x \tilde{\otimes} y = ((x_i y_j + x_j y_i)/2) \in \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$ for $x = (x_i)$, $y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, and let $x^{\tilde{\otimes}(\alpha,\beta)} = x_i^\alpha \tilde{\otimes} x_j^\beta$ for $x = (x_i^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$. We write $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i y_i$ for $x = (x_i)$, $y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, and $x \cdot y = \sum_{i,j=1}^r x_{i,j} y_{i,j}$ for $x = (x_{i,j})$, $y = (y_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^r \otimes \mathbb{R}^r$. Write

$$\tilde{V}_k := \frac{\Delta_k V}{a_n h_k} = \frac{\Delta_k V}{a_n \delta_n}.$$

for a stochastic process V . For example, we can write

$$S_n^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=2}^{b_n} (\tilde{Y}_j^n - \tilde{Y}_{j-1}^n)^{\otimes(\alpha,\beta)}, \quad \gamma^{pq} = \int_0^1 (\mathbb{X}_s^1)^{\tilde{\otimes} p} \cdot (\mathbb{X}_s^1)^{\tilde{\otimes} q} ds.$$

3.1 Γ estimator

In the similar way in Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard [7], we define

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}_{n,1}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left((\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{Y}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{Y}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (\tilde{Y}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{Y}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

By the similar idea, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}_{n,2}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\tilde{Y}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{Y}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes p} - (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (\tilde{Y}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{Y}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes q} - (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Kernel based Γ estimator

In the similar way in Hayashi and Yoshida [22], we define the kernel based estimator.

$$\partial_h \{X^\alpha, X^\beta\}_k := \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1) \vee 2}^k (\Delta_l X^\alpha \Delta_l X^\beta) h^{-1}.$$

where $h = h_n$ is a parameter satisfying $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $h_n^{-1} b_n^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, and $n(h) := \max_m \{t_m \leq h\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ \partial_h \{\tilde{Y}^{n,\alpha_1}, \tilde{Y}^{n,\alpha_2}\}_k \partial_h \{\tilde{Y}^{n,\beta_1}, \tilde{Y}^{n,\beta_2}\}_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_h \{\tilde{Y}^{n,\alpha_1}, \tilde{Y}^{n,\beta_2}\}_k \partial_h \{\tilde{Y}^{n,\beta_1}, \tilde{Y}^{n,\alpha_2}\}_k \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right).\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Ξ estimator

Here, we define

$$\hat{\Xi}_{n,*}^{1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{S_n^{11} S_n^{22}}}, \frac{-S_n^{12}}{2\sqrt{(S_n^{11})^3 S_n^{22}}}, \frac{-S_n^{12}}{2\sqrt{S_n^{11} (S_n^{22})^3}} \right) \hat{\Gamma}_{n,*}^{1/2}$$

and $\hat{\Xi}_{n,*} = (\hat{\Xi}_{n,*}^{1/2})^{\otimes 2}$, for $* = 1, 2, h$.

3.4 consistency of the estimators

Theorem 3.1. (a) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + o_p(1)$ under $[A]$ and any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\sharp]$, ($i = 1, 2$).

(b) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[A]$ and $[B^\sharp]$, ($i = 1, 2$).

(c) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[A]$, $[B]$ or $[B']$, and $[C]$ ($i=1,2$).

Theorem 3.2. (a) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + o_p(1)$ under $[A]$ and any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\#]$.

(b) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_n)$ under $[A]$ and $[B^\#]$.

(c) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_n)$ under $[A]$, $[B]$ or $[B']$, and $[C]$.

Corollary 3.3. (a) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,i} = \Xi + o_p(1)$ under $[A]$ and any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\#]$, ($i = 1, 2$).

(b) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,i} = \Xi + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[A]$ and $[B^\#]$, ($i = 1, 2$).

(c) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,i} = \Xi + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[A]$, $[B]$ or $[B']$, and $[C]$ ($i=1,2$).

Corollary 3.4. (a) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,h} = \Xi + o_p(1)$ under $[A]$ and any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\#]$.

(b) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,h} = \Xi + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_n)$ under $[A]$ and $[B^\#]$.

(c) $\hat{\Xi}_{n,h} = \Xi + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_n)$ under $[A]$, $[B]$ or $[B']$, and $[C]$.

4 Proof

Proof of Theorem 3.1

First, we approximate $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq}$ ($i = 1, 2$) by the following quantities. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,1}^{pq} = & \frac{9}{8} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left((\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{\chi}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (\tilde{\chi}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes p} (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,2}^{pq} = & \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\tilde{\chi}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes p} - (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes p} \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ (\tilde{\chi}_{k+2}^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k+1}^n)^{\otimes q} - (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes q} \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi^n = (\chi^{n,1}, \chi^{n,2})$ and $\chi_t^{n,\alpha} = \int_0^t a_n X_s^\alpha ds$.

Lemma 4.1. (a) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = G_{n,i}^{pq} + o_p(1)$ under any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\#]$, ($i=1,2$).

(b) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = G_{n,i}^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[B^\#]$, ($i=1,2$).

Proof. Write $(V^1 \otimes V^2 \otimes \dots \otimes V^n)_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = V_{i_1}^1 V_{i_2}^2 \dots V_{i_n}^n$ for vectors V^1, V^2, \dots, V^n and confirm $|V^1 \otimes V^2 \otimes \dots \otimes V^n| = |V^1| |V^2| \dots |V^n|$. Let $s_k = (\tilde{Y}_k^n - \tilde{Y}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes}$, $t_k = (\tilde{X}_k^n - \tilde{X}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes}$, $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i} = (\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2})_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2=1,2}$, and $G_{n,i} = (G_{n,i}^{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2})_{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2=1,2}$

($i = 1, 2$). It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^{-1} |\hat{\gamma}_{n,1} - G_{n,1}| \\
&= \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (s_k \otimes s_k - t_k \otimes t_k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left((s_k \otimes s_{k+2} - t_k \otimes t_{k+2}) + (s_{k+2} \otimes s_k - t_{k+2} \otimes t_k) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |s_k \otimes s_k - t_k \otimes t_k| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k \otimes s_{k+2} - t_k \otimes t_{k+2}| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} \otimes s_k - t_{k+2} \otimes t_k| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^{-1} |\hat{\gamma}_{n,2} - G_{n,2}| \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (s_{k+2} \otimes s_{k+2} - t_{k+2} \otimes t_{k+2}) - (s_{k+2} \otimes s_k - t_{k+2} \otimes t_k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (s_k \otimes s_{k+2} - t_k \otimes t_{k+2}) + (s_k \otimes s_k - t_k \otimes t_k) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} \otimes s_{k+2} - t_{k+2} \otimes t_{k+2}| + \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} \otimes s_k - t_{k+2} \otimes t_k| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k \otimes s_{k+2} - t_k \otimes t_{k+2}| + \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k \otimes s_k - t_k \otimes t_k| \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\rho = 0, 1/2$ and see the typical term.

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} \otimes s_k - t_{k+2} \otimes t_k| \\
&= b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left| (s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}) \otimes (s_k - t_k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}) \otimes t_k + t_{k+2} \otimes (s_k - t_k) \right| \\
&\leq b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left| (s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}) \otimes (s_k - t_k) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| (s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}) \otimes t_k \right| + \left| t_{k+2} \otimes (s_k - t_k) \right| \\
&= b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}| |s_k - t_k| + |s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}| |t_k| + |t_{k+2}| |s_k - t_k| \\
&\leq \left(b_n^{1/2+\rho/2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}| \right) \left(b_n^{1/2+\rho/2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k - t_k| \right) \\
&\quad + \left(b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_{k+2} - t_{k+2}| \right) \left(b_n \max_k |t_k| \right) \\
&\quad + \left(b_n \max_k |t_{k+2}| \right) \left(b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k - t_k| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Under $[B^\sharp]$, the same argument of the proof of Lemma 1 (b) in [26] under the assumption $[B]$ yields

$$b_n^{3/4} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |s_k - t_k| \rightarrow^p 0.$$

Under any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\sharp]$, it holds that

$$b_n^{1/2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |s_k - t_k| \rightarrow^p 0$$

by the proof of Lemma 1 (b) in [26]. By inequality (4) in [26], and chebychev's inequality or Markov's inequality, we have

$$b_n \max_k |t_k| = O_p(1).$$

Therefore, the typical term converges to 0 in probability. The other terms are evaluated in the same way. We obtain the conclusion. \square

Proposition 4.2. $\hat{\gamma}_{n,i}^{pq} = G_{n,i}^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[B]$ or $[B']$, and $[C]$ ($i=1,2$).

Proof. We just confirm the convergence of the easiest term.

$$\begin{aligned} & b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |s_k \otimes s_k - t_k \otimes t_k| \\ &= b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left| (s_k - t_k) \otimes (s_k - t_k) + (s_k - t_k) \otimes t_k + t_k \otimes (s_k - t_k) \right| \end{aligned}$$

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} s_k - t_k &= (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} + (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n) \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n) \\ &\quad + (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n) \otimes (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} & b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 3} = o_p(1) \\ & b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n) = o_p(1) \\ & b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} = o_p(1) \\ & b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n) \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 2} = o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

where for vector V , $V^{\otimes} = V \otimes V$, $V^{\otimes 2} = V \otimes V \otimes V$, $V^{\otimes 3} = V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V$.
By assumption [C], it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 3} \right| \right] &\leq E \left[b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n|^4 \right] \\ &\leq 16E \left[b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n|^4 \right] \\ &= O(b_n^{4+\rho} a_n^{-2}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 (b) and inequality (4) in [26] yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \right| \\ &\leq \left(b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} |\tilde{\mathbb{M}}_k^n - \tilde{\mathbb{M}}_{k-1}^n|^2 \right) \left(b_n |\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n|^2 \right) = o_p(1) O_p(1) = o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{M}_k^n - \tilde{M}_{k-1}^n) \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes \otimes} \right| \\
& \leq \left| b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{M}_k^n - \tilde{M}_{k-1}^n) \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n) \right| \left\| b_n (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \right\| \\
& = o_p(1) O_p(1) = o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

From the above inequalities, it is derived that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{M}_k^n - \tilde{M}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n) \right| \\
& \leq \left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{M}_k^n - \tilde{M}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes 3} \right|^{1/2} \\
& \quad \times \left| b_n^{1+\rho} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (\tilde{M}_k^n - \tilde{M}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \otimes (\tilde{\chi}_k^n - \tilde{\chi}_{k-1}^n)^{\otimes} \right|^{1/2} \\
& = o_p(1) o_p(1) = o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k^\alpha &= X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 1} \int_{I_{k-1}} H_k(s) dw_s + X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 1} \int_{I_k} K_k(s) dw_s \\
B_k^\alpha &= \frac{1}{h_k} \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t (X_s^{\alpha 1} - X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 1}) dw_s dt - \frac{1}{h_{k-1}} \int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t (X_s^{\alpha 1} - X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 1}) dw_s dt \\
C_k^\alpha &= \frac{1}{h_k} \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 0} ds dt - \frac{1}{h_{k-1}} \int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 0} ds dt \\
D_k^\alpha &= \frac{1}{h_k} \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t (X_s^{\alpha 0} - X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 0}) ds dt - \frac{1}{h_{k-1}} \int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t (X_s^{\alpha 0} - X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha 0}) ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 and inequality (4) in [26], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{n,1}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (A_k^{\alpha 1} A_k^{\beta 1}) (A_k^{\alpha 2} A_k^{\beta 2}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left((A_k^{\alpha 1} A_k^{\beta 1}) (A_{k+2}^{\alpha 2} A_{k+2}^{\beta 2}) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + (A_{k+2}^{\alpha 1} A_{k+2}^{\beta 1}) (A_k^{\alpha 2} A_k^{\beta 2}) \right) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) \\
& = \mathcal{R}_{n,1}^{1,pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{n,2}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (A_{k+2}^{\alpha_1} A_{k+2}^{\beta_1})(A_{k+2}^{\alpha_2} A_{k+2}^{\beta_2}) \right. \\
&\quad - (A_{k+2}^{\alpha_1} A_{k+2}^{\beta_1})(A_k^{\alpha_2} A_k^{\beta_2}) \\
&\quad - (A_k^{\alpha_1} A_k^{\beta_1})(A_{k+2}^{\alpha_2} A_{k+2}^{\beta_2}) \\
&\quad \left. + (A_k^{\alpha_1} A_k^{\beta_1})(A_k^{\alpha_2} A_k^{\beta_2}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{n,2}^{1,pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we write

$$q_k^{2,\alpha\beta} = X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1} \frac{h_{k-1}}{3} + X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1} \frac{h_k}{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
q_k^{3,\alpha\beta} &= 2(\mathbb{X}_{t_{k-2}}^1)^{\otimes(\alpha,\beta)} \cdot \left(\int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t H_k(s) dw_s \otimes H_k(t) dw_t \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^t K_k(s) dw_s \otimes K_k(t) dw_t \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{I_{k-1}} H_k(s) dw_s \otimes \int_{I_k} K_k(s) dw_s \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{n,1}^{1,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p})(q_k^{2,q} + q_k^{3,q}) \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left((q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p})(q_{k+2}^{2,q} + q_{k+2}^{3,q}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (q_{k+2}^{2,p} + q_{k+2}^{3,p})(q_k^{2,q} + q_k^{3,q}) \right) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{n,2}^{1,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (q_{k+2}^{2,p} + q_{k+2}^{3,p})(q_{k+2}^{2,p} + q_{k+2}^{3,p}) \right. \\
&\quad - (q_{k+2}^{2,p} + q_{k+2}^{3,p})(q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p}) \\
&\quad - (q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p})(q_{k+2}^{2,p} + q_{k+2}^{3,p}) \\
&\quad \left. + (q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p})(q_k^{2,p} + q_k^{3,p}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

by Itô's formula and simple calculus. We only see the typical terms. We have

$$\left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} q_k^{2,p} q_k^{2,q} = \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} q_k^{2,p} q_k^{3,q} = \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \frac{2}{3} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1}) q_k^{3,q} = O_p(b_n^{-1/2}), \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} q_{k+2}^{3,p} q_k^{3,q} = O_p(b_n^{-1/2}). \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 4 in [26] and simple calculus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} q_k^{3,p} q_k^{3,q} \\ &= \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^r (X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} + X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1}) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t H_k(s) dw_s^i H_k(t) dw_t^j \right. \\ & \quad \quad + \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^t K_k(s) dw_s^i K_k(t) dw_t^j \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. + \int_{I_{k-1}} H_k(s) dw_s^i \int_{I_k} K_k(s) dw_s^j \right) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^r (X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1} + X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{I_{k-1}} \int_{t_{k-2}}^t H_k(s) dw_s^i H_k(t) dw_t^j \right. \\ & \quad \quad + \int_{I_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^t K_k(s) dw_s^i K_k(t) dw_t^j \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. + \int_{I_{k-1}} H_k(s) dw_s^i \int_{I_k} K_k(s) dw_s^j \right) \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^r (X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} + X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1}) \right. \\ & \quad \times (X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1} + X_{j,t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} X_{i,t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \left. \right\} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_0^T \int_0^t (\tilde{H}_k(s))^2 ds (\tilde{H}_k(t))^2 dt \right. \\ & \quad \quad + \int_0^T \int_0^t (\tilde{K}_k(s))^2 ds (\tilde{K}_k(t))^2 dt \\ & \quad \quad \left. + \int_0^T (\tilde{H}_k(s))^2 ds \int_0^T (\tilde{H}_k(s))^2 ds \right\} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1}) (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}), \quad (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{H}_k(s) = 1_{I_{k-1}}(s)H_k(s)$ and $\tilde{K}_k(s) = 1_{I_k}(s)K_k(s)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{n,1}^{1,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \left\{ \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_k}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_k}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \right\} \\
&\quad + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) \\
&= \gamma^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{n,2}^{1,pq} &= \frac{9}{16} \left\{ \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_k}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_k}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left\{ (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_k}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_k}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_k}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{9} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n-2} \left\{ (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1}) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + (X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_2 1})(X_{t_{k-2}}^{\beta_1 1} \cdot X_{t_{k-2}}^{\alpha_2 1}) \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \\
&\quad + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}). \\
&= \gamma^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

The last $o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ s are derived from the proof of Lemma 3 in [26] and simple calculus. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2

In the similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.1, let

$$G_{n,h}^{pq} = \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ \partial_h \{ \tilde{\chi}^{n,\alpha_1}, \tilde{\chi}^{n,\alpha_2} \}_k \partial_h \{ \tilde{\chi}^{n,\beta_1}, \tilde{\chi}^{n,\beta_2} \}_k \right. \\ \left. + \partial_h \{ \tilde{\chi}^{n,\alpha_1}, \tilde{\chi}^{n,\beta_2} \}_k \partial_h \{ \tilde{\chi}^{n,\beta_1}, \tilde{\chi}^{n,\alpha_2} \}_k \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right).$$

Lemma 4.3. (a) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = G_{n,h}^{pq} + o_p(1)$ under any one of $[B]$, $[B']$ and $[B^\sharp]$.
(b) $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = G_{n,h}^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under $[B^\sharp]$.

Proof. We write

$$\check{s}_{k,h} := \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1) \vee 2}^k s_l h^{-1}, \quad \check{t}_{k,h} := \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1) \vee 2}^k t_l h^{-1},$$

$\hat{\gamma}_{n,h} = (\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq})_{p,q=(1,2),(2,1),(1,1),(2,2)}$, and $G_{n,h} = (G_{n,h}^{pq})_{p,q=(1,2),(2,1),(1,1),(2,2)}$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & b_n^\rho \left(\frac{9}{8} \right)^{-1} |\hat{\gamma}_{n,h} - G_{n,h}| \\ &= b_n^\rho 2 \left| \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (\check{s}_{k,h} \otimes \check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h} \otimes \check{t}_{k,h}) \right| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\ &= b_n^\rho 2 \left| \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \otimes (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \otimes \check{t}_{k,h} + \check{t}_{k,h} \otimes (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \right| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\ &\leq b_n^\rho 2 \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \left\{ |(\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \otimes (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h})| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |(\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}) \otimes \check{t}_{k,h}| + |\check{t}_{k,h} \otimes (\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h})| \right\} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\ &= b_n^\rho 2 \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}|^2 \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) + b_n^\rho 4 \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{t}_{k,h}| |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \left\{ b_n^{\rho/2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right)^{-1/2} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \right\}^2 \\ & \quad + 4 \left\{ \max_k |\check{t}_{k,h}| \right\} \left\{ b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the conclusion from the inequalities

$$\sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}| \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1) \vee 2}^k |s_l - t_l| h^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \leq \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |s_k - t_k|,$$

$$\max_k |\check{t}_{k,h}| \leq \max_k |t_k|,$$

and the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.4. $\hat{\gamma}_{n,h}^{pq} = G_{n,h}^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$ under [B] or [B'], and [C].

Proof. The conclusion is derived from the proof of Lemma 4.3, that of Proposition 4.2, and the inequality

$$b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |\check{s}_{k,h} - \check{t}_{k,h}|^2 \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) \leq b_n^\rho \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} |s_k - t_k|^2 \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right)^{-1}.$$

\square

Lemma 4.5. $b_n^\rho \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1)\vee 2}^k F_l G_l h^{-1} \rightarrow^p 0$ for all pairs of $(F_l, G_l) \in \{A_k^\alpha, B_k^\alpha, C_k^\alpha, D_k^\alpha; \alpha = 1, 2\}^2 \setminus \{(A_l^\alpha, A_l^\beta); \alpha, \beta = 1, 2\}$.

The proof of this lemma is very similar to proof of Lemma 2 in [26].

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{n,h} = b_n^\rho \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1)\vee 2}^k F_l G_l h^{-1}$. For $F_l = A_l^\alpha$ and $G_l = C_l^\beta$, $E[|\mathcal{Q}_{n,h}|^2] = O(b_n^{2\rho} n(h) h^{-2} b_n^{-3}) = O(h^{-1} b_n^{2\rho-2}) = o(1)$. For $F_l = A_l^\alpha$ and $G_l = D_l^\beta$, $E[|\mathcal{Q}_{n,h}|] = O(b_n^\rho n(h) h^{-1} b_n^{-3/2}) o(1) = o(b_n^{\rho-1/2}) = o(1)$. For $F_l = A_l^\alpha$ and $G_l = B_l^\beta$, $E[|\mathcal{Q}_{n,h}|^2] = O(b_n^{2\rho} n(h) h^{-2} b_n^{-3}) = O(h^{-1} b_n^{2\rho-2}) = o(1)$. \square

Now, let

$$Q_{k,h}^{1,\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l=(k-n(h)+1)\vee 2}^k A_l^\alpha A_l^\beta h^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 4.5 and (4) (esp. $Q_{k,h}^{1,\alpha\beta} = O_p(1)$), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,h}^{pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{k=2}^{b_n} (Q_{k,h}^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} Q_{k,h}^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + Q_{k,h}^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} Q_{k,h}^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \left(\frac{T}{b_n}\right) + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) \\ &=: \mathcal{R}_{n,h}^{1,pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

By recombination of the multiple summation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{n,h}^{1,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{b_n-n(h)} \left\{ n(h)(q_l^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_l^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad + (n(h)-1)(q_l^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_{l+1}^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_l^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_{l+1}^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + q_{l+1}^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_{l+1}^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n(h)-1} (n(h)-k)(q_l^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_{l+k}^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_l^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_{l+k}^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + q_{l+k}^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_{l+k}^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_l^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{9}{8} \sum_{m=b_n-n(h)+1}^{b_n} \left\{ \left(\sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^m q_l^{1,\alpha_1\alpha_2} \right) \left(\sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^m q_l^{1,\beta_1\beta_2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^m q_l^{1,\alpha_1\beta_2} \right) \left(\sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^m q_l^{1,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right) \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&=: \mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{1,pq} + \mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{1,pq},
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_l^{1,\alpha\beta} = A_l^\alpha A_l^\beta = q_l^{2,\alpha\beta} + q_l^{3,\alpha\beta}$.

By (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and similar evaluation, we have $\mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{1,pq} = \mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{2,pq} + O_p(b_n^{-1/2})$, where $\mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{2,pq}$ is defined in the same way of $\mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{1,pq}$ with $q_l^{2,\alpha\beta}$ instead of $q_l^{1,\alpha\beta}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{1,pq} = O_p(h_n)$.

By simple calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{n,h}^{2,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{b_n-n(h)} \sum_{k=1}^{n(h)-1} (n(h)-k) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{b_n} n(h)^2 (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^{b_n} n(h)^2 (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&=: \mathcal{P}_{n,h}^{2,pq} + \mathcal{C}_{n,h}^{2,pq} - \mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{2,pq}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 3 in [26] and simple calculus, it is derived that $\mathcal{C}_{n,h}^{2,pq} = \gamma^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2})$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{2,pq} = o_p(h_n)$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{n,h}^{2,pq} &= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{b_n-n(h)} \sum_{k=1}^{n(h)-1} (n(h)-k) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} \sum_{m=1}^k (q_{l+m}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l+m-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} \sum_{m=1}^k (q_{l+m}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+m-1}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=1}^k (q_{l+m}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+m-1}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + \sum_{m=1}^k (q_{l+m}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_{l+m-1}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{b_n-n(h)} \sum_{k=1}^{n(h)-1} \frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-k+1)}{2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&= \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{n(h)} \sum_{k=1}^{n(h)-l} \frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-k+1)}{2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l'=n(h)+1}^{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n(h)-1} \frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-k+1)}{2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_{l'-k}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} (q_{l'}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l'-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_{l'-k}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} (q_{l'}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_{l'-1}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (q_{l'}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_{l'-1}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_{l'-k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + (q_{l'}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_{l'-1}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_{l'-k}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{9}{8} \sum_{l=b_n-n(h)+1}^{b_n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{b_n-l} \frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-k+1)}{2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (q_l^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) + q_l^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} + (q_{l+k}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\alpha_1\beta_2}) q_l^{2,\beta_1\alpha_2} \right\} h_n^{-2} \left(\frac{T}{b_n} \right) \\
&=: \mathcal{H}_{n,h}^{3,pq} + \mathcal{P}_{n,h}^{3,pq} - \mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{3,pq}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{n,h}^{3,pq} = O_p(h_n)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{n,h}^{3,pq} = O_p(h_n)$ are derived from the following calculus.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-k+1)}{2} (q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - q_{l+k-1}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}) \\
&= \frac{(n(h)-k)(n(h)-(k-1))}{2} q_{l+k}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} - \frac{(n(h)-(k-1))(n(h)-(k-2))}{2} q_{l+(k-1)}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2} \\
&\quad + (n(h)-(k-1)) q_{l+(k-1)}^{2,\beta_1\beta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Table 1: MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^2$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	0.6514	0.6775	0.6931	0.6835	0.6892	0.6923	0.6908
2	0.4758	0.5838	0.6427	0.6537	0.6749	0.6845	0.6865
w	0.2102	0.2279	0.2783	0.3554	0.3980	0.4213	0.4667
m	0.6063	0.3973	0.7440	0.6470	0.7390	0.6298	0.7188
n	1.3349	0.7355	0.3196	0.8940	1.3771	0.5679	0.6630

Here, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,h}^{3,pq} = \sum_{l'=n(h)+1}^{b_n} p_{n,h,l'}^{3,pq}.$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l'=n(h)+1}^{b_n} E \left[p_{n,h,l'}^{3,pq} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{l'-3}^n \right] &= O_p(h_n), \\ \sum_{l'=n(h)+1}^{b_n} E \left[|p_{n,h,l'}^{3,pq}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{l'-3}^n \right] &= O_p(h_n^2) \end{aligned}$$

and $\{p_{n,h,l'}^{3,pq}\}_{l'=2}^{b_n}$ is $\{\mathcal{F}_{l'-2}^n\}_{l'=2}^{b_n}$ -adapted. Therefore, by Lengart's inequality and its application, it holds that $\mathcal{P}_{n,h}^{3,pq} = O_p(h_n)$.

Here, we proved that $G_{n,h}^{pq} = \gamma^{pq} + o_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(b_n^{-1/2}) + O_p(h_n)$. We reached the conclusion. \square

5 Simulation

We consider the following example. \mathbb{X} is an \mathbb{R}_+^2 -valued Itô process satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^1 &= X_0^1 + \int_0^t X_s^1 \mu_1 ds + \int_0^t X_s^1 \sigma_1 dw_s^1 \\ X_t^2 &= X_0^2 + \int_0^t X_s^2 \mu_2 ds + \int_0^t X_s^2 \rho \sigma_2 dw_s^1 + \int_0^t X_s^2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 dw_s^2, \end{aligned}$$

where w^1 and w^2 are independent Wiener processes, and $t \in [0, T]$. \mathbb{Y} is a counting process with intensity process $a_n \mathbb{X}$. In this setting, [C] is satisfied.

In various a_n, b_n settings, We examine the asymptotic variance estimators $\hat{\Xi}_{n,*}$, for $*$ = 1, 2, w, m, n, where $\hat{\Xi}_{n,w} = \hat{\Xi}_{n,h}$, $h = h_n = T b_n^{-0.25}$; $\hat{\Xi}_{n,m} = \hat{\Xi}_{n,h}$, $h = h_n = T b_n^{-0.5}$; $\hat{\Xi}_{n,n} = \hat{\Xi}_{n,h}$, $h = h_n = T b_n^{-0.75}$. To reflect the condition that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^r / a_n = 0$ ($r = 2, 2.5, 3$), we see the case that $a_n = b_n^r$ ($r = 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5$). We calculate these with 1000 paths and compare these by mean squared error (MSE).

Now, we fix the parameters $\mu_1 = 0.2, \mu_2 = 0.3, \sigma_1 = 0.2, \sigma_2 = 0.3, \rho = 0.7, X_0^1 = 1, X_0^2 = 2, T = 1$.

Table 1, 2, 3 and 4 display the MSE of the asymptotic variance estimators in the case that $a_n = b_n^r$ ($r = 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5$), respectively. Table 1 and 2 show

Table 2: MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^{2.5}$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	0.6634	0.6204	0.6516	0.6101	0.5332	0.4594	0.3712
2	0.4831	0.5289	0.5987	0.5828	0.5212	0.4540	0.3690
w	0.2066	0.2113	0.2463	0.2918	0.2918	0.2617	0.2317
m	0.5864	0.3543	0.6548	0.5325	0.5496	0.4013	0.3769
n	1.2788	0.6335	0.2680	0.7180	0.9890	0.3297	0.3145

Table 3: MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^3$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	0.5676	0.4363	0.2485	0.1052	0.0364	0.0113	0.0038
2	0.4185	0.3663	0.2259	0.0994	0.0351	0.0110	0.0037
w	0.1854	0.1289	0.0736	0.0344	0.0106	0.0025	0.0013
m	0.5149	0.2247	0.2333	0.0798	0.0348	0.0069	0.0034
n	1.1188	0.4379	0.0818	0.1119	0.0885	0.0037	0.0018

Table 4: MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^{3.5}$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	0.3284	0.1144	0.0423	0.0135	0.0058	0.0027	0.0015
2	0.2468	0.0948	0.0391	0.0128	0.0057	0.0027	0.0015
w	0.1003	0.0288	0.0132	0.0082	0.0059	0.0043	0.0027
m	0.2784	0.0471	0.0313	0.0086	0.0041	0.0017	0.0009
n	0.6123	0.1014	0.0142	0.0125	0.0147	0.0025	0.0010

Table 5: $b_n \times$ MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^2$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	10.4229	21.6803	44.3604	87.4912	176.4313	354.4660	707.3375
2	7.6130	18.6801	41.1353	83.6707	172.7787	350.4819	702.9933
w	3.3640	7.2915	17.8084	45.4855	101.8822	215.6920	477.9334
m	9.7003	12.7150	47.6132	82.8206	189.1759	322.4385	736.0519
n	21.3576	23.5368	20.4572	114.4274	352.5406	290.7497	678.8655

Table 6: $b_n \times$ MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^{2.5}$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	10.6144	19.8530	41.7007	78.0909	136.5013	235.2049	380.0606
2	7.7301	16.9243	38.3189	74.5957	133.4145	232.4373	377.8717
w	3.3050	6.7621	15.7652	37.3484	74.6952	134.0158	237.2865
m	9.3827	11.3368	41.9059	68.1650	140.6983	205.4797	385.9489
n	20.4606	20.2736	17.1543	91.9000	253.1752	168.8176	322.0486

Table 7: $b_n \times$ MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^3$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	9.0823	13.9603	15.9025	13.4609	9.3177	5.7789	3.8676
2	6.6952	11.7201	14.4583	12.7193	8.9962	5.6229	3.7991
w	2.9661	4.1263	4.7102	4.4094	2.7073	1.2673	1.3712
m	8.2390	7.1898	14.9288	10.2106	8.9112	3.5153	3.4378
n	17.9001	14.0135	5.2371	14.3203	22.6665	1.9175	1.7924

Table 8: $b_n \times$ MSE of asymptotic variance estimators; $a_n = b_n^{3.5}$

*	$b_n = 2^4$	$b_n = 2^5$	$b_n = 2^6$	$b_n = 2^7$	$b_n = 2^8$	$b_n = 2^9$	$b_n = 2^{10}$
1	5.2550	3.6621	2.7055	1.7321	1.4969	1.3790	1.4981
2	3.9496	3.0341	2.4992	1.6330	1.4694	1.3785	1.4858
w	1.6055	0.9202	0.8457	1.0472	1.4993	2.1785	2.7900
m	4.4538	1.5082	2.0064	1.0981	1.0475	0.8577	0.8826
n	9.7961	3.2457	0.9092	1.5997	3.7559	1.2657	1.0496

that the MSE of the estimators do not converge to 0. Table 3 and 4 show that they converge to 0. These support Corollary 3.3 (a) and Corollary 3.4 (a).

Table 5, 6, 7 and 8 display b_n times the MSE of the asymptotic variance estimators in the case that $a_n = b_n^r$ ($r = 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5$), respectively. Table 5 and 6 show that b_n times the MSE of the estimators do not converge to 0. Table 7 and 8 show that b_n times the MSE of $\hat{\Xi}_{n,*}$ ($* = 1, 2, m, n$) converge to 0, and b_n times the MSE of $\hat{\Xi}_{n,w}$ does not. These support Corollary 3.3 (c) and Corollary 3.4 (c).

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank his supervisor Prof. Nakahiro Yoshida who provided valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Abergel, F., Huré, C., Pham, H.: Algorithmic trading in a microstructural limit order book model. ArXiv e-prints (2017)
- [2] Abergel, F., Jedidi, A.: A mathematical approach to order book modeling. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance **16**(05), 1350,025 (2013)
- [3] Abergel, F., Jedidi, A.: Long-time behavior of a Hawkes process-based limit order book. SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics **6**(1), 1026–1043 (2015)
- [4] Aït-Sahalia, Y., Fan, J., Xiu, D.: High-frequency covariance estimates with noisy and asynchronous financial data. Journal of the American Statistical Association **105**(492), 1504–1517 (2010)

- [5] Bacry, E., Delattre, S., Hoffmann, M., Muzy, J.F.: Modelling microstructure noise with mutually exciting point processes. *Quantitative Finance* **13**(1), 65–77 (2013)
- [6] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Hansen, P.R., Lunde, A., Shephard, N.: Multivariate realised kernels: consistent positive semi-definite estimators of the covariation of equity prices with noise and non-synchronous trading. *Journal of Econometrics* **162**(2), 149–169 (2011)
- [7] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shephard, N.: Econometric analysis of realized covariation: High frequency based covariance, regression, and correlation in financial economics. *Econometrica* **72**(3), 885–925 (2004). URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3598838>
- [8] Bibinger, M.: Efficient covariance estimation for asynchronous noisy high-frequency data. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **38**(1), 23–45 (2011)
- [9] Bibinger, M.: An estimator for the quadratic covariation of asynchronously observed Itô processes with noise: asymptotic distribution theory. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **122**(6), 2411–2453 (2012)
- [10] Bowsher, C.G.: Modelling security market events in continuous time: Intensity based, multivariate point process models. *Journal of Econometrics* **141**(2), 876–912 (2007)
- [11] Chertok, A.V., Korolev, V.Y., Korchagin, A.Y.: Modeling high-frequency non-homogeneous order flows by compound Cox processes*. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **214**(1), 44–68 (2016). DOI 10.1007/s10958-016-2757-6. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-016-2757-6>
- [12] Christensen, K., Kinnebrock, S., Podolskij, M.: Pre-averaging estimators of the ex-post covariance matrix in noisy diffusion models with non-synchronous data. *Journal of Econometrics* **159**(1), 116–133 (2010)
- [13] Christensen, K., Podolskij, M., Vetter, M.: On covariation estimation for multivariate continuous Itô semimartingales with noise in non-synchronous observation schemes. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **120**, 59–84 (2013)
- [14] Clinet, S., Yoshida, N.: Statistical inference for ergodic point processes and application to limit order book. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **127**(6), 1800 – 1839 (2017). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2016.09.014>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304414916301740>
- [15] Cont, R., Stoikov, S., Talreja, R.: A stochastic model for order book dynamics. *Operations research* **58**(3), 549–563 (2010)
- [16] Epps, T.W.: Comovements in stock prices in the very short run. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **74**(366a), 291–298 (1979)
- [17] Glosten, L.R., Milgrom, P.R.: Bid, ask and transaction prices in a specialist market with heterogeneously informed traders. *Journal of Financial Economics* **14**(1), 71 – 100 (1985).

DOI [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(85\)90044-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(85)90044-3). URL
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0304405X85900443>

- [18] Gottlieb, G., Kalay, A.: Implications of the discreteness of observed stock prices. *The Journal of Finance* **40**(1), 135–153 (1985). URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2328052>
- [19] Griffin, J.E., Oomen, R.C.: Covariance measurement in the presence of non-synchronous trading and market microstructure noise. *Journal of Econometrics* **160**(1), 58–68 (2011)
- [20] Guilbaud, F., Pham, H.: Optimal High Frequency Trading with limit and market orders. ArXiv e-prints (2011)
- [21] Hayashi, T., Yoshida, N.: Asymptotic normality of a covariance estimator for nonsynchronously observed diffusion processes. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics* **60**(2), 367–406 (2008)
- [22] Hayashi, T., Yoshida, N.: Nonsynchronous covariation process and limit theorems. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **121**(10), 2416–2454 (2011)
- [23] Hayashi, T., Yoshida, N., et al.: On covariance estimation of non-synchronously observed diffusion processes. *Bernoulli* **11**(2), 359–379 (2005)
- [24] Hewlett, P.: Clustering of order arrivals, price impact and trade path optimisation. In: *Workshop on Financial Modeling with Jump processes*, Ecole Polytechnique, pp. 6–8 (2006)
- [25] Jacod, J., Li, Y., Mykland, P.A., Podolskij, M., Vetter, M.: Microstructure noise in the continuous case: the pre-averaging approach. *Stochastic processes and their applications* **119**(7), 2249–2276 (2009)
- [26] Kimura, A., Yoshida, N.: Estimation of Correlation Between Latent Processes, pp. 131–146. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2016). DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-45875-5_6. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45875-5_6
- [27] Koike, Y.: Estimation of integrated covariances in the simultaneous presence of nonsynchronicity, microstructure noise and jumps. *Econometric Theory* pp. 1–79 (2013)
- [28] Koike, Y.: An estimator for the cumulative co-volatility of asynchronously observed semimartingales with jumps. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **41**(2), 460–481 (2014)
- [29] Koike, Y.: Limit theorems for the pre-averaged Hayashi–Yoshida estimator with random sampling. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **124**(8), 2699–2753 (2014)
- [30] Korolev, V.Y., Chertok, A.V., Korchagin, A.Y., Zeifman, A.I.: Modeling high-frequency order flow imbalance by functional limit theorems for two-sided risk processes. ArXiv e-prints (2014)

- [31] Large, J.: Measuring the resiliency of an electronic limit order book. *Journal of Financial Markets* **10**(1), 1–25 (2007)
- [32] Malliavin, P., Mancino, M.E.: Fourier series method for measurement of multivariate volatilities. *Finance and Stochastics* **6**(1), 49–61 (2002)
- [33] Malliavin, P., Mancino, M.E., Recchioni, M.C.: A non-parametric calibration of the HJM geometry: an application of Itô calculus to financial statistics. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics* **2**(1), 55–77 (2007). DOI 10.1007/s11537-007-0666-7. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11537-007-0666-7>
- [34] Malliavin, P., Mancino, M.E., et al.: A Fourier transform method for non-parametric estimation of multivariate volatility. *The Annals of Statistics* **37**(4), 1983–2010 (2009)
- [35] Mancino, M.E., Sanfelici, S.: Estimating covariance via Fourier method in the presence of asynchronous trading and microstructure noise. *Journal of financial econometrics* **9**(2), 367–408 (2011)
- [36] Muni Toke, I.: “Market making” behaviour in an order book model and its impact on the bid-ask spread. *ArXiv e-prints* (2010)
- [37] Muni Toke, I.: Stationary distribution of the volume at the best quote in a Poisson order book model. *ArXiv e-prints* (2015)
- [38] Muni Toke, I., Pomponio, F.: Modelling trades-through in a limited order book using Hawkes processes. *Economics discussion paper (2011-32)* (2011)
- [39] Muni Toke, I., Yoshida, N.: Modelling intensities of order flows in a limit order book. *ArXiv e-prints* (2016)
- [40] Mykland, P.A.: A Gaussian calculus for inference from high frequency data. *Annals of finance* **8**(2-3), 235–258 (2012)
- [41] Ogihara, T., Yoshida, N.: Quasi likelihood analysis for point process regression models. *preprint* (2015)
- [42] Park, S., Linton, O.B., et al.: Estimating the quadratic covariation matrix for an asynchronously observed continuous time signal masked by additive noise (2012)
- [43] Podolskij, M., Vetter, M., Sommer, M.: Estimation of volatility functionals in the simultaneous presence of microstructure noise and jumps. Available at SSRN 950344 (2007)
- [44] Roll, R.: A simple implicit measure of the effective bid-ask spread in an efficient market. *The Journal of Finance* **39**(4), 1127–1139 (1984). URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2327617>
- [45] Smith, E., Farmer, J.D., Gillemot, L.s., Krishnamurthy, S., et al.: Statistical theory of the continuous double auction. *Quantitative finance* **3**(6), 481–514 (2003)

- [46] Toke, I.M.: The order book as a queueing system: average depth and influence of the size of limit orders. *Quantitative Finance* **15**(5), 795–808 (2015). DOI 10.1080/14697688.2014.963654. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2014.963654>
- [47] Voev, V., Lunde, A.: Integrated covariance estimation using high-frequency data in the presence of noise. *Journal of Financial Econometrics* **5**(1), 68–104 (2007)
- [48] Zhang, L., Mykland, P.A., Ait-Sahalia, Y.: A tale of two time scales. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* (2012)
- [49] Zhang, L., et al.: Efficient estimation of stochastic volatility using noisy observations: A multi-scale approach. *Bernoulli* **12**(6), 1019–1043 (2006)
- [50] Zhou, B.: High-frequency data and volatility in foreign-exchange rates. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* **14**(1), 45–52 (1996)