

The resolvent algebra of non-relativistic Bose fields: observables, dynamics and states

Dedicated to Klaus Fredenhagen on his seventieth birthday

Detlev Buchholz

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Göttingen,
37073 Göttingen, Germany

Abstract: The structure of the gauge invariant (particle number preserving) C^* -algebra generated by the resolvents of a non-relativistic Bose field is analyzed. It is shown to form a dense subalgebra of the bounded inverse limit of a directed system of approximately finite dimensional C^* -algebras. Based on this observation, it is proven that the closure of the gauge invariant algebra is stable under the dynamics induced by Hamiltonians involving pair potentials. These facts allow to proceed to a description of interacting Bosons in terms of C^* -dynamical systems. It is outlined how the present approach leads to simplifications in the construction of infinite bosonic states and sheds new light on topics in many body theory.

Key words. resolvent algebra, Bose fields, dynamics, ground states, infra-vacua, particle interpretation, KMS states

1. Introduction

We continue in this article our study of the resolvent algebras of canonical quantum systems, which provide a natural C^* -algebraic framework for the construction of non-trivial dynamics and of the corresponding states [7, 10, 11]. Moreover, they encode characteristic kinematical properties of the underlying finite or infinite quantum systems and have an intriguing algebraic structure [8]. In the present article, we supplement these results by a study of the resolvent algebra

of a scalar non-relativistic quantum field, satisfying canonical commutation relations. This framework provides an efficient alternative to the particle picture, based on position and momentum operators, which is frequently used in the analysis of bosonic many body systems. Let us briefly recall some facts supporting this view.

First, the particle picture generically loses its significance when one is dealing with infinite systems, such as in case of the thermodynamic limit of equilibrium states or in the presence of an infinity of low energy excitations (infrared clouds) in states of finite energy. Strictly speaking, even in systems with a finite particle number the particle interpretation of states acquires significance only at asymptotic times when the interaction between subsystems fades away and particle features emerge. The field theoretic formalism provides a complementary point of view. It is based on the concept of localized operations and observables and thereby tries to model what actually happens in laboratories. Moreover, it simultaneously covers finite and infinite systems and thus provides a uniform basis for their analysis and interpretation.

Second, in order that some algebra generated by field operators may be regarded as a suitable kinematical framework for the formulation of dynamics, it ought to incorporate the solutions of the Heisenberg equations for a large class of Hamiltonians of physical interest. If one considers the polynomial algebra generated by canonical field operators, one finds, however, that it is stable only under a small family of rather trivial dynamics (inducing symplectic transformations). It is less known that this is also the case for the Weyl algebra of exponentials of the fields [10, 16]. The resolvent algebra is much better behaved in this respect. As we shall show, a slight extension of its gauge invariant (particle number preserving) subalgebra is stable under the dynamics induced by a large family of Hamiltonians describing pair interactions. Thus it comprises kinematical operators which can be used at any time to describe the underlying system. In simple words: the algebra does not only contain the initial values but also the solutions of the Heisenberg equations.

Third, in the analysis of infinite systems one frequently relies on finite volume approximations (boxes). This approach requires the consideration of boundary conditions and modifications of kinematical algebras if one wants to proceed to the thermodynamic limit; it is a somewhat cumbersome procedure. In the field theoretic setting of the resolvent algebra one deals from the outset with infinite space. Trapped systems can be described nevertheless by adding to the Hamiltonians external confining potentials. The resulting dynamics still act on the extended algebra. Thus the resolvent algebra provides a convenient framework for the study of infinite systems and their approximations.

In the case considered here, the resolvent algebra is generated by the resolvents of a quantum field which is formed by linear combinations of creation and annihilation operators in s spatial dimensions. These operators satisfy standard canonical commutation relations in position space, informally given by

$$[a(\mathbf{x}), a^*(\mathbf{y})] = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) 1, \quad [a(\mathbf{x}), a(\mathbf{y})] = [a^*(\mathbf{x}), a^*(\mathbf{y})] = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

The advantage gained by using the resolvents of field operators, smoothed with test functions, rests upon the fact that, in contrast to the exponential Weyl operators, large values of the fields are suppressed from the outset. The expectation values of the corresponding resolvents simply vanish in singular states. Thereby the apparent obstructions to a C^* -algebraic treatment of interacting bosonic systems, envisaged for example in [6, Sec. 6.3] and [19], become irrelevant. The simplifications, which arise by using the resolvents, have not yet been exploited in the literature, to the best of our knowledge. Furthermore, this framework can be applied to an arbitrary number and arbitrary types of Bose fields.

As already mentioned, we will consider pair interactions of the field. The generators of the time translations thus have on their standard domains of definition the form

$$H = \int d\mathbf{x} \partial a^*(\mathbf{x}) \partial a(\mathbf{x}) + \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{y} a^*(\mathbf{x}) a^*(\mathbf{y}) V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) a(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{y}). \quad (1.1)$$

Here ∂ denotes the gradient with regard to \mathbf{x} and we assume for simplicity that the potential V is a real, continuous and symmetric function which vanishes at infinity. Singular and unbounded potentials can be treated in a similar manner, cf. [7, Sec. 6], but they are not considered here. We will make substantial use of the fact that H commutes with the particle number operator, which is given by $N = \int d\mathbf{x} a^*(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{x})$.

In the subsequent section we will explicate these structures in more precise mathematical terms. We will make use of the fact that the (abstractly defined) C^* -algebra, describing the resolvents of a canonical quantum field, smeared with test functions, is faithfully represented in any regular representation, such as the Fock representation [10, Thm. 4.10]. We will deal with the resolvent algebra in this fixed representation, denoting it by \mathfrak{A} , since we can take advantage there of the simple structure of the underlying states.

We focus in this article on the gauge invariant (particle number preserving) subalgebra $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ and determine its structure. This algebra is equipped with a C^* -norm and an increasing family of C^* -seminorms defining the norm in the limit. It will be convenient in the construction of dynamics to complete the algebra \mathfrak{A} in the topology induced by the seminorms, leading to a slight extension $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} \supset \mathfrak{A}$. The algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ is again a C^* -algebra. The two algebras coincide (as sets)

on all subspaces of Fock space with finite particle number, *i.e.* the extension becomes visible only in states describing an infinity of particles. We will show that the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, henceforth called observable algebra, has a comfortable mathematical structure: it is isomorphic to the (bounded) inverse limit of a directed system of approximately finite dimensional C*-algebras. Note that this type of algebras goes by differing names in the literature, cf. [20] and references quoted there.

These observations facilitate the construction of dynamics of the observable algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Relying on arguments given in [8, 11], we will show that the unitary time evolution operators e^{itH} , which are determined by the above Hamiltonians on Fock space, induce by their adjoint action $\alpha(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, automorphisms of the faithfully represented algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Moreover, this action is pointwise continuous in the topology given by the seminorms. This fact allows to proceed from $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ to C*-dynamical subsystems $(\mathfrak{A}_\alpha, \alpha)$ for any given dynamics α . The dynamics also preserves the asymptotic commutativity of observables at large spatial distances, thereby complying with a principle of kinematical causality. The existence of an important family of dynamics is thereby established, showing that the algebra of observables $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an acceptable kinematical algebra in the sense explained above.

Having settled the framework, we will outline some applications of the present formalism to the construction of states and their interpretation. The topics covered are ground states, approximate ground states consisting of an infinity of low energy Bosons (infra vacua) and the recovery of particle observables in the field theoretic setting at asymptotic times. We also indicate how thermal equilibrium states can be constructed in this setting by considering Gibbs-von Neumann ensembles for Hamiltonians with some additional confining potential. Turning off these external potentials, the automorphic action of the dynamics converges on the observables to its original form and, by compactness arguments, one obtains limit states on the algebra of observables, describing systems in the thermodynamic limit. The mathematical arguments entering in these constructions are based on familiar methods in the theory of operator algebras and are largely omitted.

Our article is organized as follows. In the subsequent section we recall some definitions and facts about the resolvent algebra, which are used in the present investigation. Section 3 contains the structural analysis of the algebra of gauge invariant operators generated by the resolvents of a Bose field. The automorphic action of the dynamics on the algebra of observables is established in Sec. 4. In Sec. 5 some topics in many body theory are addressed, whereby certain technical points are deferred to an appendix. The article closes with a brief summary and outlook.

2. Framework

We consider the representation of the resolvent algebra on Fock space $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$, *i.e.* the totally symmetric subspace of the unsymmetrized space $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$. In more detail, the normalized vacuum vector corresponding to $n = 0$ is denoted by Ω , the single particle space is $\mathcal{H}_1 \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ and the unsymmetrized n -particle space is the n -fold tensor product of the single particle space,

$$\mathcal{H}_n \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^s) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On \mathcal{H}_n acts the unitary representation U_n of the symmetric group Σ_n , which for $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n \in \mathcal{H}_1$ is given by

$$U_n(\pi) \Psi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_n = \Psi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_{\pi(n)}, \quad \pi \in \Sigma_n.$$

Its mean $\bar{U}_n(\Sigma_n) \doteq (1/n!) \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} U_n(\pi)$ over the group Σ_n is the orthogonal projection in \mathcal{H}_n onto the totally symmetric subspace \mathcal{F}_n . We define the n -fold symmetric tensor product of vectors $\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n \in \mathcal{H}_1$, putting

$$\Psi_1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s \Psi_n \doteq (1/n!) \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} \Psi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi_{\pi(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Similarly, we define the symmetric (symmetrized) tensor product of operators A_1, \dots, A_n , acting on $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$, by

$$A_1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s A_n \doteq (1/n!) \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} A_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{\pi(n)}.$$

The symmetric subspace $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ is stable under the action of these operators, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fields: On Fock space \mathcal{F} there act the creation and annihilation operators a^* and a , which are regularized with complex-valued test functions $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, having compact support. They satisfy on their standard domain of definition the commutation relations given by

$$[a(f), a^*(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle \mathbf{1}, \quad [a(f), a(g)] = [a^*(f), a^*(g)] = 0,$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \bar{f}(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x})$. We recall that $a^*(f)$ is complex linear in f whereas $a(f)$, being the hermitean conjugate of $a^*(f)$, is antilinear in f . The operators $a(f)$ annihilate the vacuum vector Ω and, for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the corresponding vectors in \mathcal{F}_n are created from Ω according to

$$|f_1\rangle \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s |f_n\rangle = (1/n!)^{1/2} a^*(f_1) \cdots a^*(f_n) \Omega.$$

This algebraic structure can be rephrased in terms of a single real linear, symmetric field operator ϕ given by $\phi(f) \doteq (a^*(f) + a(f))$ with $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$. It satisfies the commutation relations

$$[\phi(f), \phi(g)] = i\sigma(f, g) \mathbf{1}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s),$$

where $\sigma(f, g) \doteq i(\langle g, f \rangle - \langle f, g \rangle)$ is a non-degenerate real linear symplectic form on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$ (being regarded as a real symplectic space). One can recover the creation and annihilation operators from the field operator through the relations

$$2a^*(f) = \phi(f) - i\phi(if), \quad 2a(f) = \phi(f) + i\phi(if), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s).$$

The resolvents of the field operators,

$$R(\lambda, f) \doteq (i\lambda + \phi(f))^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s),$$

generate, by taking their sums and products and proceeding to the norm closure, the resolvent algebra \mathfrak{R} , based on the symplectic space $(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s), \sigma)$. As already mentioned, the algebra \mathfrak{R} provides a concrete and faithful representation of the abstractly defined resolvent algebra [10, Thm. 4.10]. We therefore fix it throughout the subsequent analysis.

On Fock space there acts the particle number operator N . It is the generator of the group $\Gamma \simeq U(1)$ of gauge transformations given by

$$e^{isN} \phi(f) e^{-isN} = \phi(e^{is} f), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi], f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s).$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ the C^* -subalgebra of operators, which are invariant under these gauge transformations. It contains for example the resolvents of the operators

$$(\phi(f)^2 + \phi(if)^2) = 4a^*(f)a(f) + 2\langle f, f \rangle \mathbf{1}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s),$$

which are gauge invariant elements of the resolvent algebra \mathfrak{R} . This fact can be inferred from [11, Prop. 4.1], taking into account that $\sigma(f, if) \neq 0$ if $f \neq 0$, whence $\phi(f)$ and $\phi(if)$ are canonically conjugate operators.

Position and momentum: It will be convenient in our analysis of dynamics to deal also with the quantum mechanical position and momentum operators. These operators are denoted by \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{P} and satisfy canonical commutation relations which, in an obvious notation, are

$$[\mathbf{aQ}, \mathbf{bP}] = i(\mathbf{ab}) \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^s,$$

all other commutators being 0. We make use of the Schrödinger representation of these operators,

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathbf{aQ}f)(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{ax})f(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathbf{bP}f)(\mathbf{x}) = -i(\mathbf{b}\partial)f(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ lies in their respective domains. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider pairs of these operators, $\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Q}_n, \mathbf{P}_n$, which act on the correspondingly numbered components of the unsymmetric n -fold tensor product $\mathcal{H}_n \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^s) \otimes \dots \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ and commute amongst each other. Symmetrized functions of these operators leave the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ invariant, a prominent example being the restriction of the Hamiltonian in equation (1.1) to \mathcal{F}_n ,

$$H_n \doteq H \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \sum_i \mathbf{P}_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k). \quad (2.1)$$

The sums involved here extend over $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. As explained in [10], one can also define resolvent algebras of position and momentum operators, but we make no use of this formalism here.

3. Structure of observables

In this section we will clarify the structure of the gauge invariant subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of the resolvent algebra of Bose fields. In a first step we determine the properties of special elements of this algebra.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M = \prod_{k=1}^m R(\lambda_k, f_k) \in \mathfrak{A}$ be any ordered product (monomial) of resolvents of the field, $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $f_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s) \setminus \{0\}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$. Its mean over the gauge group, $\overline{M}^F \doteq (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} dt e^{itN} M e^{-itN}$, which is defined in the strong operator topology, is an element of \mathfrak{A} . Moreover, denoting by L the complex linear span generated by (f_1, \dots, f_m) and by $\mathcal{F}(L) \subset \mathcal{F}$ the Fock space based on the subspace $L \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the mean \overline{M}^F acts on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ as a compact operator.*

Proof. Noticing that the space (L, σ) is a finite dimensional non-degenerate symplectic subspace of $(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s), \sigma)$, let $\mathfrak{A}(L) \subset \mathfrak{A}$ be the resolvent algebra generated by the resolvents $R(\lambda, h)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $h \in L$. This algebra acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and one has $e^{isN} M e^{-isN} \in \mathfrak{A}(L)$, $s \in [0, 2\pi]$. Consider now the function

$$s, t \mapsto e^{isN} M^* e^{-isN} e^{itN} M e^{-itN}, \quad s, t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Since $e^{itN} R(\lambda, h) e^{-itN} = R(\lambda, e^{it} h)$, and similarly for the adjoints, the values of this function lie in the intersection of the principal ideals in $\mathfrak{A}(L)$, which are generated by the individual gauge-transformed resolvents in the above product. According to [8, Prop. 4.4], this intersection coincides with the principal ideal generated by the reordered product

$$R(\lambda_1, e^{is} f_1)^* R(\lambda_1, e^{it} f_1) \cdots R(\lambda_m, e^{is} f_m)^* R(\lambda_m, e^{it} f_m).$$

According to [10, Thm. 5.4] the latter operator acts as a compact operator on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ if all adjacent pairs of resolvents are generated by canonically conjugate operators, *i.e.* if

$$\sigma(e^{is}f_k, e^{it}f_k) = i(e^{i(t-s)} - e^{i(s-t)})\langle f_k, f_k \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

So the above function has, for almost all $(s, t) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$, values in compact operators on \mathcal{F}_L and it is bounded. Hence the double integral (defined in the strong operator topology on \mathcal{F}_L)

$$\overline{M}^{\Gamma*} \overline{M}^{\Gamma} = \int_0^{2\pi} ds \int_0^{2\pi} dt e^{isN} M^* e^{-isN} e^{itN} M e^{-itN}$$

is a compact operator as well. Taking its square root and performing a polar decomposition, we find that $\overline{M}^{\Gamma} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}(L)$ is compact and consequently an element of the compact ideal of $\mathfrak{K}(L) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}(L)$, cf. [10, Thm. 5.4]. Since $\mathfrak{K}(L)$ is faithfully represented on $\mathcal{F}(L)$, we conclude that $\overline{M}^{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{K}(L) \subset \mathfrak{K}$. Moreover, \overline{M}^{Γ} commutes by construction with the gauge transformations which shows that $\overline{M}^{\Gamma} \in \mathfrak{A}$. \square

Every element of \mathfrak{K} and hence *a fortiori* of \mathfrak{A} can be approximated in norm by sums of monomials of resolvents and the unit operator. It therefore follows from Lemma 3.1 that the finite sums of operators of the form $\sum_i c_i \overline{M}_i^{\Gamma}$ with \overline{M}_i^{Γ} as in the lemma, including the unit operator $\mathbf{1}$, are norm dense in \mathfrak{A} . In fact, given any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sum of monomials $\sum_i c_i M_i$ such that $\|\sum_i c_i M_i - A\| < \varepsilon$. Since A is gauge invariant, we obtain, taking a mean over the gauge group, $\|\sum_i c_i \overline{M}_i^{\Gamma} - A\| < \varepsilon$, as claimed. Thus we conclude that the algebra \mathfrak{A} is generated by the unit operator and the gauge invariant operators in the minimal compact ideals of all subalgebras of $\mathfrak{K}(L) \subset \mathfrak{K}$ corresponding to the finite dimensional non-degenerate symplectic subspaces $(L, \sigma) \subset (\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s), \sigma)$.

In the next step we analyze in detail the restrictions of the algebra \mathfrak{A} to the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the algebraic point of view, these restrictions define irreducible representations of \mathfrak{A} which are disjoint for different values of n . In order to describe their structure, we introduce the following quantities: given $1 \leq m \leq n$, let \mathfrak{C}_m be the C*-algebra of compact operators on \mathcal{F}_m . This algebra coincides with the unique m -fold symmetric (symmetrized) tensor product of the algebra of compact operators on \mathcal{F}_1 ,

$$\mathfrak{C}_m = \underbrace{\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s \mathfrak{C}_1}_m.$$

For $m = 0$ we put $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}1$. We embed these algebras into the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{F}_n , putting

$$\mathfrak{C}_{m,n} \doteq \mathfrak{C}_m \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-m} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s \mathfrak{C}_1}_m \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-m}, \quad m \leq n. \quad (3.1)$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{C}_{0,n} = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}_n$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{n,n} = \mathfrak{C}_n$. The subspaces $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ are linearly independent for different $m \leq n$ and the embeddings are continuous, *i.e.*

$$\|C \otimes_s \underbrace{\mathbf{1} \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s \mathbf{1}}_{n-m}\|_n \leq \|C\|_m, \quad C \in \mathfrak{C}_m, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_n$ denotes the operator norm on \mathcal{F}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The latter assertion follows from the fact that the operators in $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$ are obtained by taking a mean over the permutation group Σ_n of the corresponding unsymmetrized tensor products on \mathcal{H}_n . With these ingredients we proceed to the following definition.

Definition: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The algebra \mathfrak{K}_n is the unital C*-algebra on \mathcal{F}_n that is generated by $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, $0 \leq m \leq n$. It coincides with the span of $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, $0 \leq m \leq n$. The canonical (positive, linear) embeddings of these algebras into each other are denoted by $\epsilon_n : \mathfrak{K}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{n+1}$. They are defined by $\epsilon_n(K_n) \doteq K_n \otimes_s \mathbf{1}$ and satisfy $\|\epsilon_n(K_n)\|_{n+1} \leq \|K_n\|_n$, $K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n$. The family $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ constitutes a directed system of C*-algebras.

Note that the algebras \mathfrak{K}_n are AF-algebras, *i.e.* approximately finite dimensional, since the algebra of compact operators is of this type. After these preparations we can establish the following fact, making use of the preceding lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{K}_n$.*

Proof. As already explained, the elements of \mathfrak{A} can be approximated in the norm topology by sums of gauge-averaged monomials \overline{M}^Γ . So it suffices to show that $\overline{M}^\Gamma \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ for any such operator.

Let $L \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ be the subspace generated by all test functions appearing in the resolvents, which are factors of the given monomial M , cf. Lemma 3.1. This space determines the resolvent algebra $\mathfrak{R}(L)$, the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and the particle number operator $N(L)$ acting on it. Since L is finite dimensional, the resolvent of $N(L)$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and hence belongs to the compact ideal of $\mathfrak{R}(L)$, cf. [10, Thm. 5.4]. As a matter of fact, since this resolvent is also gauge invariant, it belongs to $\mathfrak{A}(L)$. The same is true for its finite dimensional spectral projections $E_m(L)$, commuting with \overline{M}^Γ , which are attached to the spectral values $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We decompose \overline{M}^Γ into operators of finite rank, $\overline{M}^\Gamma = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \overline{M}^\Gamma E_m(L)$. The sum converges in norm in view of the compactness of \overline{M}^Γ on $\mathcal{F}(L)$, proved in the preceding lemma.

We can determine now the action of the operators $\overline{M}^\Gamma E_m(L)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, on \mathcal{F}_n . To this end we decompose \mathcal{F}_n into the orthogonal sum of symmetric tensor products

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{L \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s L}_k \otimes_s \underbrace{L^\perp \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s L^\perp}_{n-k},$$

where L^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of L in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Since the elements of $\mathfrak{A}(L)$ commute with the creation operators $a^*(h^\perp)$, $h^\perp \in L^\perp$, the operators in $\mathfrak{A}(L)$ act non-trivially (*i.e.* differ from a multiple of the identity) only on factors in the tensor products which are contained in L . Thus, taking also into account the action of the projections $E_m(L) \in \mathfrak{A}(L)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, on the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_k(L) \subset \mathcal{F}(L)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain for $m \leq n$

$$\overline{M}^F E_m(L) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = (\overline{M}^F E_m(L) \upharpoonright \underbrace{L \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s L}_m) \otimes_s \underbrace{L^\perp \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s L^\perp}_{n-m},$$

where $\overline{M}^F E_0(L) = \langle \Omega, \overline{M}^F \Omega \rangle E_0(L)$. If $m > n$ we have $\overline{M}^F E_m(L) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = 0$.

Now, given $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one clearly has $E_m(L)\mathcal{F}_m \subset \mathcal{F}_m(L)$. Hence $\overline{M}^F E_m(L)$ acts as a compact (even finite rank) operator C_m on \mathcal{F}_m according to Lemma 3.1. Denoting by F_L the finite dimensional projection on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ onto $L \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the preceding step therefore implies that for any $m \leq n$

$$\overline{M}^F E_m(L) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = (C_m \underbrace{F_L \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s F_L}_m) \otimes_s \underbrace{(1 - F_L) \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s (1 - F_L)}_{n-m} \in \mathfrak{K}_n.$$

Since $\overline{M}^F \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = (\sum_{m=0}^n \overline{M}^F E_m(L)) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$, this completes the proof of the statement. \square

In the next step we show that $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ actually coincides with \mathfrak{K}_n . Before we turn to the proof, let us point to the following facts: whereas the algebra \mathfrak{A} is faithfully represented on \mathcal{F} and acts irreducibly on each \mathcal{F}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, its action on these subspaces is not faithful. We denote by $\mathfrak{J}_n \subset \mathfrak{A}$ the closed two-sided ideal of operators that are annihilated on \mathcal{F}_n and show below that these ideals are nested, *i.e.* $\mathfrak{J}_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{J}_n$. Hence the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_n$ on \mathfrak{A} are increasing, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\|\cdot\| \doteq \sup_n \|\cdot\|_n$ agrees with the C^* -norm on \mathfrak{A} .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \mathfrak{K}_n$.*

Proof. Since the quotient C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}_n$ is faithfully represented on \mathcal{F}_n , it suffices for the proof of the statement to show that $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ is dense in \mathfrak{K}_n with regard to the operator norm on \mathcal{F}_n . We will accomplish this by showing that for each $0 \leq m \leq n$ the algebra $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ includes all operators in $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n} \subset \mathfrak{K}_n$ that arise from operators in \mathfrak{C}_m having finite rank. Since the embedding of \mathfrak{C}_m into $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$ is norm continuous, the statement then follows.

For $m = 0$ we have $\mathfrak{C}_{0,n} = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}_n$, so there is nothing to prove. Next, let $m = 1$ and let $\{e_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s) \simeq \mathcal{F}_1$. The algebra \mathfrak{C}_1 contains in particular the matrix units corresponding to this basis and their

linear span is norm dense in \mathfrak{C}_1 . The action of these matrix units on the vectors $\Phi_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ is given by

$$M_{ik}\Phi_1 = \langle e_k, \Phi_1 \rangle e_i, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We recall that their embeddings into $\mathfrak{C}_{1,n}$ are defined by the symmetric (symmetrized) tensor product $M_{ik} \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-1}$, $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In order to establish the existence of operators in \mathfrak{A} that induce the same action on \mathcal{F}_n for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the complex rays $L_j \doteq \mathbb{C}e_j$ and the corresponding particle number operators $N(L_j) = a^*(e_j)a(e_j)$ on the Fock spaces $\mathcal{F}(L_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. As already explained, all spectral projections of $N(L_j)$ corresponding to spectral values in the finite interval $[0, n]$ are finite dimensional and thus are elements of the compact ideal of the resolvent algebra $\mathfrak{R}(L_j)$. Denoting the sum of these projections by $E_{[0,n]}(L_j)$, we therefore have

$$X_n(L_j) \doteq n^{-1/2} E_{[0,n]}(L_j) a(e_j) \in \mathfrak{R}(L_j) \subset \mathfrak{R}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The resulting operators $W_n(i, k) \doteq X_n(L_i)^* X_n(L_k)$ are gauge invariant, hence elements of \mathfrak{A} , and a routine computation shows that

$$W_n(i, k) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = M_{ik} \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-1}, \quad i, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since all operators in \mathfrak{C}_1 of finite rank can be decomposed into finite sums of matrix units, this proves the statement for $m = 1$.

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operators $W_n(i, k)$ can now be used in order to obtain operators of finite rank in the spaces $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$ for any $m \leq n$. One proceeds from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & W_n(i_1, k_1) \cdots W_n(i_m, k_m) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \\ &= (M_{i_1 k_1} \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-1}) \cdots (M_{i_m k_m} \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the symmetrization and the relation $M_{i'k'} M_{i''k''} = \delta_{k'k''} M_{i'i''}$, the right hand side of this equality is a linear combination of operators in $\mathfrak{C}_{m',n}$ which arise from matrix units in $\mathfrak{C}_{m'}$, $1 \leq m' \leq m$. By an obvious recursive procedure one can determine certain specific linear combinations of these operators where all contributions involving products of the matrix units are eliminated and only their m -fold tensor product remains. The result is of the form

$$W_n(i_1, \dots, i_m, k_1, \dots, k_m) \doteq \sum c_{j_1, \dots, j_{m'}, l_1, \dots, l_{m'}} W_n(j_1, l_1) \cdots W_n(j_{m'}, l_{m'}),$$

where the sum extends over $j_1, \dots, j_{m'} \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, $l_1, \dots, l_{m'} \in \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ and $m' \leq m$. With properly determined coefficients, these operators satisfy

$$W_n(i_1, \dots, i_m, k_1, \dots, k_m) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = M_{i_1 k_1} \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s M_{i_m k_m} \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-m}.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ contains for any given $0 \leq m \leq n$ all operators in $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$ that arise from operators in \mathfrak{C}_m of finite rank. Recalling that these operators are norm dense in the compact operators, we conclude that $\mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ is norm dense in \mathfrak{K}_n with regard to the operator norm on \mathcal{F}_n . Bearing in mind the initial remarks, this completes the proof of the statement. \square

The preceding lemma shows that the restrictions of the elements $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ to the n -particle subspaces of Fock space correspond to elements of the directed system $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. These restrictions are representations ρ_n of the algebra \mathfrak{A} , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\rho_n(A) \doteq A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n, \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Lemma 3.3 implies that for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ one has $\rho_n(A) = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{m,n} \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ for elements $C_{m,n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, $0 \leq m \leq n$. Conversely, given any element of \mathfrak{K}_n , there is some operator $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying this equality. In order to better understand the global structure of this correspondence, we make use of the kinematical locality properties of \mathfrak{A} and clustering properties of the states in \mathcal{F} . The results will put us into the position to introduce canonical maps from \mathfrak{K}_n to \mathfrak{K}_{n-1} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So these maps go into the inverse direction of the above directed system.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider vectors in \mathcal{F}_n , where one of the single particle components undergoes large spatial translations. Picking $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, we define

$$\Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \doteq |f\rangle_1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s |f_{n-1}\rangle \otimes_s |f_n(\mathbf{x})\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_n,$$

where $f_n(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the translated function f_n , hence $|f_n(\mathbf{x})\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}} |f_n\rangle$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$. We also define

$$\Phi^{n-1} \doteq |f_1\rangle \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s |f_{n-1}\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1},$$

where we put $\Phi^0 \doteq \Omega$. Replacing the functions f_k by $g_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, we get in a similar manner vectors $\Psi^n(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\Psi^{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. With this notation, the following lemma obtains.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), A \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = n^{-1} \langle \Psi^{n-1}, A \Phi^{n-1} \rangle \langle g_n, f_n \rangle.$$

As a consequence, $\|A\|_{n-1} \leq \|A\|_n$. Recalling that $A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{m,n}$, where $C_{m,n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, and omitting from each operator $C_{m,n}$ a tensor factor 1, one obtains operators $C_{m,n-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_{m,n-1}$, $0 \leq m \leq n-1$, and

$$A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_{n-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (n-m)/n C_{m,n-1}. \quad \square$$

Remark: It follows from this lemma that every element $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ which annihilates all vectors in \mathcal{F}_n also annihilates all vectors in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} . This implies the inclusion of ideals $\mathfrak{J}_n \subset \mathfrak{J}_{n-1}$ mentioned above, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The first equality is a well known consequence of the canonical commutation relations. In the case at hand, these relations imply

$$[R(\lambda, f), a^*(f_n(\mathbf{x}))] = \langle f, f_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle R(\lambda, f)^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s).$$

Now $|f_n(\mathbf{x})\rangle = e^{i\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}}|f_n\rangle$ and $e^{i\mathbf{x}\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow 0$ weakly on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty$; hence $\langle f, f_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \rightarrow 0$. One can therefore commute in this limit the creation operator $a^*(f_n(\mathbf{x}))$, used in creating the component $|f_n(\mathbf{x})\rangle$ of $\Phi^n(\mathbf{x})$ from the vacuum, to the left of any given monomial M in the resolvents. Its adjoint then acts on the vector $\Psi^n(\mathbf{x})$. Commuting it through the corresponding creation operators to the vacuum, the statement follows in this special case since $\langle g_k, f_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \rightarrow 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $\langle g_n(\mathbf{x}), f_n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle g_n, f_n \rangle$. Since the finite sums of monomials are norm-dense in \mathfrak{A} , this result extends to all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The inequality involving the norms of A follows from this result by fixing normalized functions $g_n = f_n$. Noticing that the stated equality then holds for arbitrary linear combinations $\Phi^{n-1}, \Psi^{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ of the respective factors in the vectors $\Phi^n(\mathbf{x}), \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{F}_n$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \Psi^{n-1}, A \Phi^{n-1} \rangle| / \|\Psi^{n-1}\| \|\Phi^{n-1}\| \\ &= \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), A \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle| / \|\Psi^n(\mathbf{x})\| \|\Phi^n(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \|A\|_n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\|A\|_{n-1} \leq \|A\|_n$.

Finally, let $A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{m,n}$. Replacing A by this sum, one obtains matrix elements of the form $\langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), C_{m,n} \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$, $0 \leq m \leq n$. Since the algebra \mathfrak{C}_m is the m -fold symmetric C^* -tensor product of the algebra of compact operators \mathfrak{C}_1 on \mathcal{F}_1 , it suffices to consider operators $C_{m,n}$ which are the n -fold symmetric tensor product of m compact operators $C^{(1)}, \dots, C^{(m)}$ on \mathcal{F}_1 and $n-m$ unit operators. Now if one of the operators $C^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, acts on the component $|f_n(\mathbf{x})\rangle$ of $\Phi^n(\mathbf{x})$ it maps, being compact, these weakly convergent vectors into vectors which converge strongly to 0 for $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty$. An analogous statement holds for the adjoints of $C^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, acting on $\Psi^n(\mathbf{x})$.

In order to see which terms in the above matrix element do not vanish in the limit $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty$, we consider on \mathcal{H}_n the unsymmetrized tensor product

$$C^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes C^{(m)} \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{n-m}$$

between the symmetrized vectors. The subsequent symmetrization of this operator therefore does not change the result. Let $\pi, \pi' \in \Sigma_n$ be the permutations used in the symmetrization of $\Phi^n(\mathbf{x})$, respectively $\Psi^n(\mathbf{x})$. According to the preceding remarks, the terms surviving in the limit arise for permutations π and π' satisfying $\pi(n) = \pi'(n) \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$. If $m = n$ there are no such permutations, *i.e.* the corresponding matrix element vanishes in the limit. If $0 \leq m < n$ one obtains for each of the $n - m$ admissible values $\pi(n) = \pi'(n)$ a total of $(n - 1)!$ permutations π , respectively π' , of the remaining indices which satisfy this condition. This gives

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), C_{m,n} \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = (n - m)/n^2 \langle \Psi^{n-1}, C_{m,n-1} \Phi^{n-1} \rangle \langle g_n, f_n \rangle.$$

The formula for the restriction of A to \mathcal{F}_{n-1} now follows by taking the sum of these terms for $m = 0, \dots, n$ and comparing the resulting equality with the one obtained for A in the first step. \square

The preceding lemma establishes a relation between the representations ρ_n and ρ_{n-1} of \mathfrak{A} and hence for all representations with smaller particle number. Based on the information obtained so far, we define now inverse maps κ_n between the corresponding algebras \mathfrak{K}_n and \mathfrak{K}_{n-1} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.¹

Definition: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The (surjective) map $\kappa_n : \mathfrak{K}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{n-1}$ is defined by

$$\kappa_n \left(\sum_{m=0}^n C_{m,n} \right) \doteq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (n - m)/n C_{m,n-1},$$

where $C_{m,n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, and $C_{m,n-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_{m,n-1}$ is obtained from $C_{m,n}$ by omitting a tensor factor 1, $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$. For $n = 0$ we put $\kappa_0 = 0$. The Banach space of bounded sequences $\mathbf{K} \doteq \{K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfying the coherence condition $\kappa_n(K_n) = K_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is denoted by \mathfrak{K} . The corresponding norm is given by $\|\mathbf{K}\|_\infty \doteq \sup_n \|K_n\|_n$, $\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{K}$. By some abuse of the terminology used in [20], \mathfrak{K} is called the (bounded) inverse limit of the inverse system $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

The following picture emerges from these results. According to Lemma 3.3 one has $\rho_n(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{K}_n$. Hence, by the above definition, κ_n maps $\rho_n(\mathfrak{A})$ onto $\rho_{n-1}(\mathfrak{A})$. As a matter of fact, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that this map is a homomorphism

¹ The maps κ_n go into the opposite direction of the maps ϵ_n , defined above, but they are not their (left) inverses. This could be rectified by linear transformations on \mathfrak{K}_n .

since $\kappa_n(\rho_n(A)) = \rho_{n-1}(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus for $\rho_n(A_1), \rho_n(A_2) \in \rho_n(\mathfrak{A})$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1)) \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_2)) &= \rho_{n-1}(A_1) \rho_{n-1}(A_2) \\ &= \rho_{n-1}(A_1 A_2) = \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1 A_2)) = \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1) \rho_n(A_2)), \\ \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1))^* &= \rho_{n-1}(A_1)^* = \rho_{n-1}(A_1^*) = \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1^*)) = \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_1)^*),\end{aligned}$$

proving the statement. Lemma 3.4 also implies that $\|\kappa_n(\rho_n(A))\|_{n-1} \leq \|\rho_n(A)\|_n$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus κ_n acts continuously on \mathfrak{K}_n ,

$$\|\kappa_n(K_n)\|_{n-1} \leq \|K_n\|_n, \quad K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n.$$

It follows from these observations that the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} is a C*-algebra, where the algebraic operations are pointwise defined, *e.g.*

$$\mathbf{K}_1 \mathbf{K}_2 \doteq \{K_{1n} K_{2n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad \mathbf{K}_1^* \doteq \{K_{1n}^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad \mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

Its C*-norm is given by $\|\mathbf{K}\|_\infty = \sup_n \|K_n\|_n$, $\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{K}$. Moreover, \mathfrak{K} is faithfully represented on Fock space: let $\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_n \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Phi_n\|^2 < \infty$. The representation of \mathfrak{K} , denoted by ρ , is obtained by putting

$$\rho(\mathbf{K}) \Phi \doteq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \Phi_n, \quad \mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{K}.$$

Note that $\|\rho(\mathbf{K})\| = \sup_n \|K_n\|_n = \|\mathbf{K}\|_\infty$, so the representation is faithful.

The inverse limit \mathfrak{K} will prove to be a convenient tool in the analysis of the action of dynamics. It is therefore gratifying that the algebra \mathfrak{A} can be extended in a straightforward manner to an algebra which matches with the inverse limit: according to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, every element $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ defines a sequence $\mathbf{K}(A) = \{\rho_n(A) \in \mathfrak{K}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ which is coherent, $\kappa_n(\rho_n(A)) = \rho_{n-1}(A)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, $\mathbf{K}(A) \in \mathfrak{K}$. There is also a certain converse: given a coherent sequence $\mathbf{K} = \{K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathfrak{K}$, there exists according to Lemma 3.3 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ some operator $A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\rho_n(A_n) = K_n$. Lemma 3.4 then implies that $K_{n-1} = \kappa_n(K_n) = \kappa_n(\rho_n(A_n)) = \rho_{n-1}(A_n)$. Iterating the inverse maps, one arrives at the relations $\rho_m(A_n) = K_m$, $m = 0, \dots, n$. So the operator A_n reproduces the first $n+1$ terms of the given coherent sequence. The coherent sequence $\{\rho_n(A_n) = K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, resulting from this construction, therefore reproduces the given \mathbf{K} . Since each $\mathbf{K} \in \mathfrak{K}$ is represented by a bounded operator on \mathcal{F} , this leads us to the following simple characterization of the mentioned extension of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition: The algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, extending \mathfrak{A} , consists of the family of bounded operators on \mathcal{F} whose members A satisfy

$$A \upharpoonright \oplus_{m=0}^n \mathcal{F}_m \in \mathfrak{A} \upharpoonright \oplus_{m=0}^n \mathcal{F}_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Thus $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and \mathfrak{A} differ as sets only on states with an infinite particle number.

Remark: Simple examples of operators in $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ which are not contained in \mathfrak{A} are bounded functions of the particle number operators $N(L)$ for finite dimensional symplectic subspaces $L \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Since the spectral projections of $N(L)$ are contained in \mathfrak{A} , the restriction of any such function of $N(L)$ to $\oplus_{m=0}^n \mathcal{F}_m$ is represented by some element of \mathfrak{A} , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. But the operator itself is not contained in \mathfrak{A} if the underlying function does not tend to a constant asymptotically.

It is apparent from the preceding discussion that the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} . In particular, it is a C*-algebra with norm given by $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_n \|\rho_n(A)\|_n$, $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Even though the above alternative definition, relating $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ directly to \mathfrak{A} , is intuitively attractive, we will rely in the subsequent analysis on the more detailed information contained in \mathfrak{K} . We summarize the results obtained in this section in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *The map*

$$A \mapsto \{\rho_n(A) = A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \quad A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}},$$

establishes an isomorphism between the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} of the inverse system of approximately finite dimensional C-algebras $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.*

4. Dynamics of observables

With this information about the structure of the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, we can turn now to the discussion of dynamics. We will take advantage of the fact that the elements of the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and the Hamiltonians under consideration commute with the particle number operator. So for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can restrict these operators to the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$. We recall that $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \mathfrak{K}_n$, the algebra generated by symmetrized tensor products of compact operators and the unit operator. The restrictions of the Hamiltonian $H \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = H_n$ can be expressed in terms of position and momentum operators, cf. equation (2.1).

It will be convenient in our analysis to extend the restricted Hamiltonian H_n to the unsymmetric space \mathcal{H}_n by assigning the underlying position and momentum operators to the respective single-particle tensor factor with the same number. We also extend the symmetric algebra \mathfrak{K}_n on \mathcal{F}_n and embed it into the algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $\mathbb{I}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$, generated by all unsymmetrized compact and unit operators acting on the tensor factors of \mathcal{H}_n . In more detail, given any ordered subset $\mathbb{I}_m \doteq \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subset \mathbb{I}_n$ of $m \leq n$ elements, we consider on \mathcal{H}_n the unique C*-tensor product

$$\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m) \doteq \mathfrak{C}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{C}(i_m)$$

generated by the commuting algebras of compact operators acting on the corresponding tensor factors of \mathcal{H}_n . Here we have omitted tensor factors of 1 acting on the remaining components. The unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is then defined as the linear span of the algebras $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)$ for all $\mathbb{I}_m \subset \mathbb{I}_n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, where we put $\mathbb{I}_0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_0) \doteq \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}_n$. The symmetric algebra \mathfrak{K}_n is identified with the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ consisting of all operators which commute with the unitaries $U_n(\pi)$, $\pi \in \Sigma_n$.

Making use of arguments established in [9] for distinguishable particles oscillating about lattice points, we will show that the algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is stable under the adjoint action of the unitaries e^{itH_n} on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$, determined by the Hamiltonian H_n . This action is denoted by $\alpha_n(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH_n}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We recall here these arguments for the sake of completeness.

For potential $V = 0$ one obtains the non-interacting Hamiltonian H_{0n} . The resulting adjoint action $\alpha_n^{(0)}(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH_{0n}}$ leaves the subalgebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ invariant, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This is apparent since it does not mix tensor factors and the adjoint action of a unitary operator maps compact operators onto compact operators. Moreover, since the function $t \mapsto e^{itH_{0n}}$ is continuous in the strong operator topology, it is also clear that $t \mapsto \alpha_n^{(0)}(t)$ acts pointwise norm-continuously on $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$.

Next, we consider the Dyson operators $\Gamma_n(t) \doteq e^{itH_{0n}} e^{-itH_n}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. They define the Dyson maps $\gamma_n(t) : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ given by

$$\gamma_n(t) \doteq \text{Ad } \Gamma_n(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.1)$$

We must show that their restrictions to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ map this subalgebra onto itself. For this implies by the preceding remarks that the algebra is stable under the automorphic action $\alpha_n(t) = \alpha_n^{(0)}(t) \circ \gamma_n(-t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of the given dynamics. As a matter of fact, it suffices to establish the inclusion $\gamma_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ since this implies $\alpha_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ and one has $\alpha_n(t)^{-1} = \alpha_n(-t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We pick now any $C \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ and consider the familiar Dyson series

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_n(t)(C) \\ &= C + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} i^l \int_0^t ds_l \int_0^{s_l} ds_{l-1} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 [\dots [C, \mathbf{V}_n(s_1)] \dots, \mathbf{V}_n(s_l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here we have introduced the short hand notation $\mathbf{V}_n \doteq \sum_{j \neq k} V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k)$ and put $\mathbf{V}_n(s) \doteq \alpha_n^{(0)}(s)(\mathbf{V}_n)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The integrals are defined in the strong operator topology on \mathcal{H}_n and the sum (4.2) converges absolutely in norm, uniformly on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$, because \mathbf{V}_n is a bounded operator. Adopting arguments from [9], we obtain the following result for the derivations appearing in this expansion.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $s \mapsto \delta_n(s)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, be the function with values in the derivations on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$, given by*

$$\delta_n(s)(B) \doteq i [B, \mathbf{V}_n(s)], \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n).$$

Its primitive $t \mapsto \Delta_n(t) \doteq \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)$ is pointwise defined in the strong operator topology. It maps $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ into itself, $\Delta_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, and is pointwise norm continuous and bounded by $\|\Delta_n(t)(B)\|_n \leq 2|t| \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n \|B\|_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: The bounded operators $\int_0^t ds \mathbf{V}_n(s)$ are not contained in $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$. It is essential for this result that the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is not simple (*i.e.* has ideals). Outer bounded derivations can and do exist in such cases.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{V}_n(s)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is a finite sum of operators, it suffices to establish the statement for its summands which are given by, $j, k \in \mathbb{I}_n$ and $j \neq k$,

$$V_{jk}(s) \doteq \alpha_n^{(0)}(s)(V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k)) = V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k + 2s(\mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{P}_k)), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

These operators are elements of the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}_n(j \frown k)$, which is generated by all continuous functions, vanishing at infinity, of $(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{P}_k))$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^s$. As a matter of fact, this algebra coincides with the resolvent algebra generated by the resolvents of these linear combinations of canonically conjugate operators and it is faithfully represented on \mathcal{H}_n , cf. [10, Thm. 4.10]. The algebra is stable under the action of the automorphisms $\alpha_n^{(0)}(u)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and it contains a compact ideal $\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k) \subset \mathfrak{A}_n(j \frown k)$ which is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, cf. [10, Thm. 5.4].

The first and vital step consists of the proof that the integrals $\int_0^t ds V_{jk}(s)$, defined in the strong operator topology, are elements of the compact ideal $\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k)$. A similar result was established in [9, Lem. 2.1] for the case, where the present non-interacting Hamiltonian is replaced by the Hamiltonian of an isotropic harmonic oscillator. That Hamiltonian also induces an automorphic action of time translations on the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_n(j \frown k)$. The argument given in [9] can be applied in the present case without major modifications and is put into the appendix.

Knowing that the integrals $\int_0^t ds V_{jk}(s)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, belong to the compact ideal $\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k)$, we can proceed and apply the same arguments as in [9, Lem. 2.2]: we pick indices $\mathbb{I}_m \subset \mathbb{I}_n$ and consider the corresponding algebra $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$. Given $C \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)$, there then appear four different possibilities for the action of the derivation $\Delta_{jk}(t)$ on C , defined by the commutator with $\int_0^t ds V_{jk}(s)$.

(i) If both indices $j, k \notin \mathbb{I}_m$, then $V_{jk}(s)$ commutes with C for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and consequently $\Delta_{jk}(t)(C) = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) If both indices $j, k \in \mathbb{I}_m$, then $\mathfrak{A}_n(j \frown k)$, hence $\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k)$, lies in the multiplier algebra of the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)$. Thus $\Delta_{jk}(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) If $k \in \mathbb{I}_m$, but $j \notin \mathbb{I}_m$, then

$$\Delta_{jk}(t)(C) \in [\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k), \mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)].$$

As has been shown in [9, Lem. 2.2], these commutators are elements of the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_{m+1})$, where $\mathbb{I}_{m+1} \doteq \mathbb{I}_m \overset{\circ}{\cup} j$ and the union symbol $\overset{\circ}{\cup}$ indicates that the index j is to be inserted at its proper place within the ordered set \mathbb{I}_{m+1} . Thus $\Delta_{jk}(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_{m+1})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iv) Finally, if $j \in \mathbb{I}_m$, but $k \notin \mathbb{I}_m$, then the same argument as in the preceding step shows that $\Delta_{jk}(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_{m+1})$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where now $\mathbb{I}_{m+1} \doteq \mathbb{I}_m \overset{\circ}{\cup} k$ and k has to be inserted at its proper place.

Since the algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is equal to the linear span of the algebras $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m)$ for arbitrary ordered index sets $\mathbb{I}_m \subset \mathbb{I}_n$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, we conclude that $\Delta_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The remaining statements follow from the simple estimate $\|(\Delta_n(t_2) - \Delta_n(t_1))(B)\|_n \leq 2\|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n \|B\|_n |t_2 - t_1|$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

This lemma shows that the first nontrivial term in the expansion (4.2) is contained in $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$. We will show by induction, similarly to the argument in [9, Lem. 3.1], that all other summands in this expansion are also contained in $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$. There we rely on the following technical result.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $s \mapsto D(s) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ be norm continuous and let $s \mapsto \delta_n(s)$ be the derivations, defined in Lemma 4.1. Then $s \mapsto \delta_n(s)(D(s))$ is continuous in the strong operator (s.o.) topology, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Its primitive, defined in the s.o. topology, has values in $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $\int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s)) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, one has in the sense of norm convergence*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_n(jt/k) - \Delta_n((j-1)t/k)) (D(jt/k)) = \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s)).$$

Here $t \mapsto \Delta_n(t)$ is the primitive of $s \mapsto \delta_n(s)$, defined in Lemma 4.1, which maps $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ into itself, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $t \mapsto \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s))$ is norm continuous and bounded with bound given by $2\|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n \int_0^{|t|} ds \|D(s)\|_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: The result holds also for maps $s \mapsto \delta_n(s)$ which are linear, bounded, pointwise s.o. continuous, and have a primitive $t \mapsto \Delta_n(t)$ mapping \mathfrak{K}_n into itself.

Proof. Fixing $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we proceed to the splitting

$$\delta_n(s)(D(s)) - \delta_n(s_0)(D(s_0)) = (\delta_n(s) - \delta_n(s_0))(D(s_0)) + \delta_n(s)(D(s) - D(s_0)).$$

The first term on the right hand side vanishes in the limit $s \rightarrow s_0$ in the s.o. topology since $s \rightarrow \delta_n(s)$ is continuous in this topology, pointwise on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$. The second term vanishes since $\delta_n(s)$ is uniformly bounded on bounded subsets of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$

and $D(s) \rightarrow D(s_0)$ in the norm topology. Hence $\delta_n(s)(D(s)) \rightarrow \delta_n(s_0)(D(s_0))$ in the s.o. topology and the corresponding integrals $\int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are well defined. Let, without loss of generality, $t > 0$. By partitioning the integration interval $0 \leq s \leq t$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s)) - \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{(j-1)t/k}^{jt/k} ds \delta_n(s)(D(jt/k)) \right\|_n \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{(j-1)t/k}^{jt/k} ds \delta_n(s)(D(s) - D(jt/k)) \right\|_n \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathbf{V}_n\| \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{(j-1)t/k}^{jt/k} ds \|D(s) - D(jt/k)\|_n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Because of the continuity properties of the function $s \mapsto D(s)$, the upper bound tends to 0 in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$. By assumption, $D(jk/k) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ and, according to Lemma 4.1, $\int_{(j-1)t/k}^{jt/k} ds \delta_n(s) = (\Delta_n(jt/k) - \Delta_n((j-1)t/k))$ maps $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ into itself, $k = 1, \dots, l$. Since $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is closed in the norm topology, this implies $\int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D(s)) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The statement about the continuity and boundedness properties of the latter function follows easily from the fact that $\|\delta_n(s)(D(s))\|_n \leq 2 \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n \|D(s)\|_n$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, completing the proof. \square

We turn now to the analysis of the expansion (4.2). Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the corresponding summand has the form, $C \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$,

$$D_l(t)(C) \doteq \int_0^t ds_l \int_0^{s_l} ds_{l-1} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \delta_n(s_l) \circ \delta_n(s_{l-1}) \circ \cdots \circ \delta_n(s_1)(C),$$

where the integrals are defined in the s.o. topology. For $l = 1$ it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $D_1(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the function $t \mapsto D_1(t)(C)$ is norm continuous and bounded by $\|D_1(t)(C)\|_n \leq 2|t| \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n \|C\|_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the induction hypothesis consists of the assertion that $D_l(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \mapsto D_l(t)(C)$ is norm continuous, and $\|D_l(t)(C)\|_n \leq 2^l |t|^l / l! \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n^l \|C\|_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For the induction step from l to $l+1$ we rely on Lemma 4.2, noting that $D_{l+1}(t)(C) = \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D_l(s)(C))$, where, by the preceding induction hypothesis, $s \mapsto D_l(s)(C) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is norm continuous and bounded. According to Lemma 4.2 this implies that $D_{l+1}(t)(C) \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, $t \mapsto D_{l+1}(t)(C)$ is norm continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{l+1}(t)(C)\|_n &\leq 2 \|\mathbf{V}_n\| \int_0^{|t|} ds 2^l |s|^l / l! \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n^l \|C\|_n \\ &= 2^{l+1} |t|^{l+1} / (l+1)! \|\mathbf{V}_n\|_n^{l+1} \|C\|_n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction. In view of the absolute convergence of the Dyson series (4.2) in the norm topology, we have thus established the following fact.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Dyson maps $\gamma_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, defined in relation (4.1), map the subalgebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ onto itself, i.e. they are automorphisms of this algebra. Moreover, the function $t \mapsto \gamma_n(t) \upharpoonright \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is pointwise norm-continuous.*

It is now easy to show that the symmetric subalgebra $\mathfrak{K}_n \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ of interest here is stable under the interacting dynamics. At this point we make use of the fact that the Hamiltonians H_n , which were extended from equation (2.1) to \mathcal{H}_n , commute with the unitaries $U_n(\pi)$, $\pi \in \Sigma_n$. Hence the Dyson maps $\gamma_n(t)$ of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ commute with the operation of symmetrization, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It therefore follows from the preceding lemma that $\gamma_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}_n) = \mathfrak{K}_n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Bearing in mind the properties of the non-interacting time evolution, this implies

$$\alpha_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}_n) = \alpha_n^{(0)}(t) \circ \gamma_n(-t)(\mathfrak{K}_n) = \mathfrak{K}_n, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As has been explained, the action of $t \mapsto \alpha_n^{(0)}(t)$ on \mathfrak{K}_n is pointwise norm continuous. So we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given any dynamics, defined by a Hamiltonian H_n of the form (2.1), one has $\alpha_n(t)(\mathfrak{K}_n) = \mathfrak{K}_n$. Moreover, the function $t \mapsto \alpha_n(t)$ is pointwise norm continuous on \mathfrak{K}_n , $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Having determined the properties of the action of the dynamics $\alpha_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on the restricted algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \mathfrak{K}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we turn now to the full algebra. To this end we consider the unitary operators e^{itH} , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on Fock space \mathcal{F} , where H is of the form given in equation (1.1). Their adjoint action on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ is denoted by $\alpha(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Recalling that H commutes with the particle number operator, we have $e^{itH} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = e^{itH_n}$, where H_n is given in equation (2.1). Moreover, $\alpha(t) \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_n) = \alpha_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_n)$ is embedded into $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ by putting $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_n) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_m = 0$ for $m \neq n$.

Given $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that $\rho_n(A) = K_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$. By Proposition 4.4 we have $\alpha_n(t)(K_n) \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus the sequence $\{\alpha_n(t)(K_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ defines for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ some element of the directed system $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. It is not clear from the outset that this sequence complies with the coherence condition, i.e. defines an element of the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} . (Note that by modifying arbitrarily the Hamiltonians H_n for different $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one still obtains sequences of operators in the directed system.) In the subsequent lemma we will show, however, that the Hamiltonians defined in equation (1.1) comply with the coherence condition. The desired result about the stability of the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ under the corresponding dynamics then follows from Theorem 3.5.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be the one-parameter group of automorphisms on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, fixed by a Hamiltonian as in relation (1.1), and let $\alpha_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be its*

restriction to $\mathfrak{K}_n \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\kappa_n \circ \alpha_n(t) = \alpha_{n-1}(t) \circ \kappa_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, induces an action on the inverse system $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which preserves its inverse limit \mathfrak{K} .

Proof. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider first the restriction of the non-interacting dynamics $\alpha_n^{(0)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, to the algebra \mathfrak{K}_n . We recall that $\mathfrak{K}_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$, where $\mathfrak{C}_{m,n}$ is the symmetrized tensor product of the algebra of compact operators \mathfrak{C}_m on \mathfrak{F}_m and of $n - m$ unit operators. The algebra \mathfrak{C}_m in turn coincides with the symmetrized m -fold C^* -tensor product of the algebra \mathfrak{C}_1 of compact operators on \mathcal{F}_1 . Taking into account that the non-interacting dynamics does not mix tensor factors, this gives

$$\alpha_n^{(0)}(t) \upharpoonright (\mathfrak{C}_m \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-m}) = (\alpha_m^{(0)}(t) \upharpoonright \mathfrak{C}_m) \otimes_s \underbrace{1 \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s 1}_{n-m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n.$$

Since the adjoint action of unitary operators maps compact operators into compact operators, the statement then follows for the non-interacting dynamics from the definition of the inverse maps κ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

In view of this observation it suffices to establish the modified statement of the lemma, where the automorphisms $\alpha_n(t)$ are replaced by the Dyson maps $\gamma_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, defined in relation (4.1). As a matter of fact, it suffices to show that the derivations $\Delta_n(t) = \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)$, defined in Lemma 4.1 and underlying the Dyson series (4.2) of $\gamma_n(t)$, satisfy

$$\kappa_n \circ \Delta_n(t) = \Delta_{n-1}(t) \circ \kappa_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.4)$$

where we put $\Delta_0(t) \doteq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Before going into the proof of this relation, let us explain why it implies the result. As shown in the proof of Lemma 4.3, the l th term in the Dyson series, obtained by iteration, has the form $D_l(t) = \int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D_{l-1}(s))$, where $s \mapsto D_{l-1}(s) \in \mathfrak{K}_n$ is norm continuous, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it was shown in Lemma 4.2 that these integrals, defined in the strong operator topology, can be approximated in norm by the sums

$$\sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_n(jt/k) - \Delta_n((j-1)t/k)) (D_l(jt/k)).$$

Anticipating the asserted relation, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_n(jt/k) - \Delta_n((j-1)t/k)) (D_l(jt/k)) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_{n-1}(jt/k) - \Delta_{n-1}((j-1)t/k)) \kappa_n(D_l(jt/k)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind the norm continuity of the maps $\kappa_n : \mathfrak{K}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}_{n-1}$, one therefore arrives in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ with the help of Lemma 4.2 at the equality

$$\kappa_n(D_l(t)) = \kappa_n\left(\int_0^t ds \delta_n(s)(D_{l-1}(s))\right) = \int_0^t ds \delta_{n-1}(s)(\kappa_n(D_{l-1}(s))).$$

One can apply now the same argument to the function $s \mapsto D_{l-1}(s)$ on the right hand side of this equality. Upon iteration, one sees that the action of κ_n maps the multiple integrals, underlying the expansion of $\gamma_n(t)$ (acting on \mathfrak{K}_n) into the multiple integrals underlying the expansion of $\gamma_{n-1}(t)$ (acting on \mathfrak{K}_{n-1}). The statement then follows from the convergence of the Dyson expansions.

Let us turn now to the proof of relation (4.4). There we apply again the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.4 on which the definition of the maps κ_n was based. To this end we need to reexpress the derivations in relation (4.4) by the underlying global operator, involving creation and annihilation operators. Thus we go back from the potentials \mathbf{V}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to

$$\mathbf{V} \doteq \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{y} a^*(\mathbf{x}) a^*(\mathbf{y}) V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) a(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{y}).$$

This will enable us to make use of locality properties of the operators. In a first step, we consider two-body potentials V which are given by functions with compact support. Then there exist families of operators $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that their restrictions to \mathcal{F}_n are dense in \mathfrak{K}_n and the commutator $[\mathbf{V}, \alpha^{(0)}(s)(A)]$ can be approximated in norm by localized operators. Using the fact that

$$[\mathbf{V}(s), A] = \alpha^{(0)}(s)([\mathbf{V}, \alpha^{(0)}(-s)(A)])$$

and applying the adjoint of the leftmost automorphism $\alpha^{(0)}(s)$ to the states, the arguments given in Lemma 3.4 imply

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), [\mathbf{V}(s), A] \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = 1/n \langle \Psi^{n-1}, [\mathbf{V}(s), A] \Phi^{n-1} \rangle. \quad (4.5)$$

Here the wave functions f_n, g_n in the lemma are chosen to coincide and to be normalized. By the dominated convergence theorem, the same result is obtained for the integrated operators $\int_0^t ds \mathbf{V}(s)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Reexpressing the resulting equality by the initial quantities, we arrive at

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi^n(\mathbf{x}), \Delta_n(t)(\rho_n(A)) \Phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = 1/n \langle \Psi^{n-1}, \Delta_{n-1}(t)(\rho_{n-1}(A)) \Phi^{n-1} \rangle.$$

Since $\kappa_n \circ \rho_n = \rho_{n-1}$, this implies $\kappa_n \circ \Delta_n(t) = \Delta_{n-1}(t) \circ \kappa_n$ on a dense set of operators in \mathfrak{K}_n , whence, by the continuity properties of the maps, on all of \mathfrak{K}_n . Finally, the restrictions on the two-body potential V can be removed due to the norm continuity of the derivations $\Delta_n(t)$ with regard to changes of the potential. So the statement follows.

It remains to exhibit the required operators $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and to control the localization properties and the norm of the commutators $[\mathbf{V}, \alpha^{(0)}(s)(A)]$ on \mathcal{F}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To this end we choose operators $X_n(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, of the type used in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Given any non-zero function f , they have the form $X_n(f) \doteq E_{[0,n]}(f) a(f)$, where $E_{[0,n]}(f)$ is the spectral projection of the number operator $N(f) \doteq \|f\|_2^{-2} a^*(f)a(f)$ corresponding to the spectrum in $[0, n]$. It was shown in Lemma 3.3 that the sums of products of the gauge invariant combinations $X_n(g)^* X_n(f) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ with $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$ are dense in \mathfrak{K}_n .

What matters here is the fact that the maps $f \mapsto X_n(f) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ are continuous with regard to the norm on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, provided the functions f are staying away from 0. This is obvious for the function $f \mapsto a(f) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$, where one has

$$\|(a(f) - a(g))\|_n \leq n^{1/2} \|f - g\|_2.$$

In order to give an estimate for $\|E_{[0,n]}(f) - E_{[0,n]}(g)\|_n$, it is convenient to proceed to the resolvents of the underlying number operators. There one obtains for complex $z \notin \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(z - N(f))^{-1} - (z - N(g))^{-1}\|_n \\ & \leq 1/d_{\mathbb{N}}(z)^2 \|N(f) - N(g)\|_n \leq 2n/d_{\mathbb{N}}(z)^2 \|f/\|f\|_2 - g/\|g\|_2\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{\mathbb{N}}(z)$ is the distance between z and \mathbb{N}_0 . A similar estimate results for the difference of the projections by performing a contour integration of the resolvents. So, summing up, we arrive at

$$\|X_n(f) - X_n(g)\|_n \leq c_n (\|f\|_2/\|g\|_2 + \|g\|_2/\|f\|_2) \|f - g\|_2,$$

where c_n depends only on n . This estimate shows that one can approximate any operator $X_n(f)$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, in the given norm by operators $X_n(f_r)$, where f_r are test functions having support in a ball $\mathbb{B}_r \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ about 0 with sufficiently large radius r . We shall say that $X_n(f_r)$ is localized in \mathbb{B}_r .

This approximation procedure can also be applied to arbitrary sums and products of the gauge invariant combinations $X_n(f)^* X_n(g)$, $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. It shows that for any operator A in this family there exist members A_r which are localized in \mathbb{B}_r and $\|A - A_r\|_n \rightarrow 0$ for r tending to infinity. The action of the time translations $\alpha^{(0)}(s)$ on the operators does not affect this feature since it only induces isometries $f \mapsto f(s)$ of the functions underlying the construction of A . Therefore, there are still operators A_r , depending also on s , which are localized in \mathbb{B}_r , and $\|\alpha^{(0)}(s)(A) - A_r\|_n \rightarrow 0$ in the limit of large r . So the property of having localized approximants is stable under the non-interacting time evolution. Adopting the terminology used in relativistic quantum field theory, one may say that the operators in this family are quasi-local.

Let us consider now the commutators $[\mathbf{V}, A]$, where A belongs to the family of operators just constructed. One has $\|[\mathbf{V}, A]\|_n \leq 2n(n-1) \|V\| \|A\|_n$. Moreover, for given A and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist operators A_r which are localized in \mathbb{B}_r and $\|[\mathbf{V}, (\alpha(-s)(A) - A_r)]\|_n \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since V has compact support, the operator $[\mathbf{V}, A_r]$ commutes with all operators $a^*(h)$, where h has support in the complement of a Ball \mathbb{B}_R , containing the region $\mathbb{B}_r + \text{supp } V$.

In the computation of the matrix elements of these operators, there appear now the time translated wave functions $h(-s)$ of the given vectors. Again, there exist test functions h_r , having support in \mathbb{B}_r , and $\|h(-s) - h_r\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\|a^*(h(-s)) - a^*(h_r)\|_{n-1} = \|a(h(-s)) - a(h_r)\|_n \rightarrow 0$. Since the spatial translations commute with the free time evolution and do not affect the norm, the same relation holds for the translated wave functions $h(-s, \mathbf{x})$, $h_r(\mathbf{x})$, uniformly for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$. So one can replace the operators $a^*(f_n(-s, \mathbf{x}))$, creating the particle component of the vectors which is shifted away, by the operators $a^*(f_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}))$. The latter operator is localized in $\mathbb{B}_r + \mathbf{x}$ and therefore commutes with $[\mathbf{V}, A_r]$ for large translations \mathbf{x} .

One can now apply the arguments in Lemma 3.4 and thereby arrives at relation (4.5) for the approximating operators A_r . Since the matrix elements are uniformly bounded in s one also obtains the corresponding relation for its integrated version. Recalling that the family of operators $\rho_n(A_r)$ is dense in \mathfrak{K}_n , this proves relation (4.4) for two-body potentials V with compact support. Since $\Delta_n(t)$ is linear in V and $\|\Delta_n(t)(K_n)\|_n \leq 2n(n-1)\|V\|\|K_n\|_n$, $K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n$, this result extends to arbitrary potentials $V \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^s)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, completing the proof. \square

The preceding results clarify the physical meaning of the inverse maps κ_n . They describe the operation of removing from $\rho_n(\mathfrak{A})$ all observables which are sensitive to a particle which is located very far away and therefore does not interact anymore with the remaining particles. One thereby arrives at the observables in the representation ρ_{n-1} and the corresponding dynamics, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the mathematical side, this implies that the dynamics α is compatible with the structure of the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} . This leads us to the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\alpha \doteq \{\alpha(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, defined by a Hamiltonian of the form given in (1.1). These automorphisms map the subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ onto itself, i.e. they are automorphisms of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Moreover, they act pointwise continuously on it with regard to the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For any α there is a (in the latter topology) dense subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha \subset \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ on which α acts pointwise norm continuously with regard to the C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Thus $(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha, \alpha)$ forms a C^* -dynamical system for the given dynamics.*

Proof. It was shown in Lemma 4.5 that $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, defines a group of automorphisms of the inverse limit \mathfrak{K} of $(\mathfrak{K}_n, \kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The algebra \mathfrak{K} in turn is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ according to Theorem 3.5, proving the first part of the statement. The stated continuity properties of these automorphisms with regard to the topology induced by the seminorms then follow from Proposition 4.4. These continuity properties imply in particular that the mollified operators $\int ds f(s) \alpha(s)(A)$, defined in the strong operator topology for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, generate a unital subalgebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha \subset \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ which is dense in $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ with regard to the seminorms. For, the restricted integrals $\int ds f(s) \alpha(s)(A) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ are defined in the norm topology and form a coherent sequence, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The stronger continuity properties of the elements of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha$ with regard to the action of the automorphisms then follow from the estimate $\|\int ds f(s) \alpha(s)(A)\|_\infty \leq \int ds |f(s)| \|A\|_\infty$ and the continuity properties of the elements of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with regard to translations. \square

We conclude this section by discussing locality properties of the observables which entered already in preceding lemmas. They are a distinctive feature of field theory, having no counterpart in the particle picture. The resolvent algebra \mathfrak{R} is, by construction, the C*-inductive limit of the net of its subalgebras $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O})$ based on the open, bounded regions $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$. The algebras $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O})$ are generated by the subsets of resolvents $R(\lambda, f)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$, the space of test functions having support in \mathcal{O} . Since the algebras $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O})$ are stable under gauge transformations, we can proceed to their gauge invariant subalgebras $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$, and the algebra of all gauge invariant observables \mathfrak{A} is the C*-inductive limit of these subalgebras. It is an immediate consequence of the canonical commutation relations that elements of algebras assigned to disjoint regions in \mathbb{R}^s commute with each other, thereby implementing the principle of locality (statistical independence of spatially separated observables) at the kinematical level.

These locality properties carry over to the algebras $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$, obtained by extending $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$. Its elements consist of bounded operators A on \mathcal{F} whose restrictions to all subspaces with limited particle number coincide with elements of $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})$. Again, the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an inductive limit of its local subalgebras, but now with regard to the topology given by the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In fact, given $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, there exists a sequence of operators $\{A_n \in \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, localized in bounded regions \mathcal{O}_n , such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n - A\|_m = 0$ for any given $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This sequence is obtained by approximating $A \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$ in norm by local operators $A_n \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$, which is possible by the definition of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and the inductive structure of \mathfrak{A} . These approximations have to be progressively improved for increasing n . Taking into account that the semi-norms $\|\cdot\|_m$ are monotonically increasing with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one thereby obtains the desired approximating sequence A_n , satisfying $\|A - A_n\|_m \rightarrow 0$ in the limit of large n for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Whereas the non-relativistic dynamics does not preserve the kinematical local structure of the observables, our results imply that there remain some quasi-local properties of the time translated observables in the sense that these observables still commute at asymptotic spatial distances. We already made use of this fact in case of the non-interacting dynamics in the proof of Lemma 4.5. But it applies to the interacting case, as well. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$, be the automorphism group of spatial translations on \mathfrak{A} which acts on the generating resolvents according to $\alpha(\mathbf{x})(R(\lambda, f)) \doteq R(\lambda, f(\mathbf{x}))$, where $f(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the test function f , translated by \mathbf{x} . These automorphisms commute with the time translations $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and can be extended to the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Hence, putting $\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) \doteq \alpha(t) \circ \alpha(\mathbf{x})$ and taking into account the preceding remarks about the inductive structure of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 4.6.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $A, B \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty} \| [\alpha(t, \mathbf{x})(A), B] \|_n = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

So the time translated observables are still quasi-local in this sense. It is an interesting question whether for given interaction potential one can establish more specific bounds for these commutators, *e.g.* of Lieb-Robinson type [17], indicating limitations on the speed of propagation of causal effects.

5. States

Having settled the framework, we turn now to its applications for the construction and interpretation of states in many body theory. It is the primary purpose of this part of our article to indicate how the algebraic approach sheds new light on some topics of physical interest; functional analytic details are largely omitted.

5.1. Ground states and infra vacua. The pair potentials V considered here may lead to bound states whose energy lies below the energy of the vacuum state Ω , which is put equal to 0 by the definition of the Hamiltonians H in equation (1.1). This defect can be resolved by renormalizing the Hamiltonians. Let H be given and let $-E(n)$ be the infimum of the spectrum of $H \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n$, which exists for the class of potentials considered here, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. With the help of the particle number operator N , one then defines the renormalized Hamiltonian $H_r \doteq H + E(N)$. It is non-negative, the vacuum Ω is its ground state, and it induces the same time evolution on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ as the given Hamiltonian H since N commutes with the elements of this algebra. A particularly nice class of potentials V are those of positive type (having non-negative Fourier transforms). They can have bound

states as well. One easily verifies for these potentials that the corresponding renormalized Hamiltonians $H_r \doteq H + V(0)N$ are non-negative, where the value $V(0) > 0$ of the potential at the origin resembles a chemical potential. We will return to these potentials in our discussion of equilibrium states.

A more interesting class of states are approximate ground states, formed by an infinity of low energy Bosons, such as infra-vacua or condensates. These states cannot be described by vectors in Fock space, they lead to inequivalent representations of the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. Such states are obtained in the present setting by forming suitable sequences of vectors in Fock space which determine sequences of expectation functionals on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. The limits of these functionals yield via the GNS-construction the desired representations. In more detail, one proceeds from sequences of states with increasing particle number, retaining control of their localization properties and their total energy. For simplicity we consider two-body potentials V which are non-negative and of short range. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one defines vector states in $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ of the form

$$\Psi_{L,n} \doteq (n!)^{-1/2} f_L \otimes_s \cdots \otimes_s f_L.$$

Here $\mathbf{x} \mapsto f_L(\mathbf{x}) \doteq L^{-s/2} f(\mathbf{x}/L)$ are normalized elements of the single particle space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. In order to gain control on the total energy, one interprets the vectors $\Psi_{L,n}$ as asymptotic configurations of scattering states of particles. To this end one considers on \mathcal{F}_n the (free channel) Møller wave operators $\widehat{\Omega}_n$, which for the potentials considered here are limits of the operators $e^{itH_n} e^{-itH_{0n}}$ at asymptotic times $t \rightarrow \infty$, cf. [14]. With their help one then proceeds to the outgoing scattering states $\widehat{\Psi}_{L,n} \doteq \widehat{\Omega}_n \Psi_{L,n}$. What matters here is the fact that the isometric Møller operators intertwine the interacting and non-interacting dynamics, $H_n \widehat{\Omega}_n = \widehat{\Omega}_n H_{0n}$. Hence one obtains for the expectation value of the full energy operator the bounds

$$0 \leq \langle \widehat{\Psi}_{L,n}, H_n \widehat{\Psi}_{L,n} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{L,n}, H_{0,n} \Psi_{L,n} \rangle = nL^{-2} \int d\mathbf{x} |\partial f(\mathbf{x})|^2.$$

The functionals $\widehat{\omega}_{L,n}(\cdot) \doteq \langle \widehat{\Psi}_{L,n}, \cdot \widehat{\Psi}_{L,n} \rangle$ on the C^* -dynamical system $(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha, \alpha)$ retain finite total energy in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, $nL^{-2} = \text{const}$. Note that the integral can be made arbitrarily small for suitable choices of f , so in a sense the states may be viewed as approximate ground states. Moreover, choosing functions whose Fourier transforms have compact support, one has control on the properties of the weak- $*$ -limit points of these functionals; such limit points always exist by compactness arguments (Banach-Alaoglu theorem). Making use of the fact that one is dealing with C^* -dynamical systems, one can show that for any such function f all limit functionals lead via the GNS-construction to positive energy representations. In other words, the automorphisms inducing the

time translations are unitarily implemented in these non-Fock representations and have positive selfadjoint generators, cf. [4, Sec. II.5].

We conclude this section by noting that the states $\widehat{\omega}_{L,n}(\cdot)$ describe at asymptotic times Bose-Einstein condensates with particle density $\mathbf{x} \mapsto nL^{-s}|f(\mathbf{x}/L)|^2$. It is, however, not clear whether they have an interpretation as condensates also at finite times. In view of the interest in this phenomenon, cf. [13, 18, 23] and references quoted there, it seems worth while to explore this question in more detail also from the present algebraic point of view.

5.2. Particle observables. As we have seen, the algebra of field theoretic observables $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ does not contain operators having continuous spectrum. In particular, it does not contain particle observables such as the momentum operators. In order to uncover from the algebra such observables one has to proceed to observations at asymptotic times. For then the interaction between the fields fades away and the sub-leading particle aspects can surface. This fact was established first by Araki and Haag in relativistic quantum field theory [1, 2]. Their reasoning can be carried over to the present non-relativistic setting and we briefly outline their construction. In order to simplify the discussion, we restrict our attention again to potentials V which are non-negative and of short range. Then there are no bound states and since the theory is also asymptotically complete, cf. [14] and references quoted there, the isometric Møller operators are invertible.

Let $\widehat{\Omega}_n$ be the unitary Møller operator, mapping the states $\Psi_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ onto outgoing scattering states, denoted by $\widehat{\Psi}_n \doteq \widehat{\Omega}_n \Psi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. One has by the very definition of the Møller operators the asymptotic equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\langle \widehat{\Psi}_n, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(A) \widehat{\Psi}_n \rangle - \langle \Psi_n, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(0)}(t)(A) \Psi_n \rangle) = 0, \quad A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

Bearing in mind the structure of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n = \mathfrak{K}_n$ and the fact that the compact tensor factors appearing in these operators are mapped onto themselves by the non-interacting dynamics, one can show, similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.4, that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi_n, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(0)}(t)(A) \Psi_n \rangle = \langle \Omega, A \Omega \rangle$. Since $\widehat{\Omega}_n$ maps each \mathcal{F}_n onto itself, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, this implies that for all normalized vectors $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(A) \Phi \rangle = \langle \Omega, A \Omega \rangle, \quad A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}.$$

So the asymptotically dominant contributions to the expectation values of the observables arise from the Fock vacuum.

For the next to leading order one subtracts from the observables the asymptotically dominant contributions and proceeds to $A_0 \doteq A - \langle \Omega, A \Omega \rangle \mathbf{1}$, where one restricts attention to operators $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbf{O})$ which are localized in bounded regions $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$. The operators $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t, \mathbf{x})(A_0)$ register in expectation values in

the region $\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{x}$ at time t deviations from the Fock vacuum. Because of the spreading of wave packets, these deviations tend to 0 at asymptotic times. In order to compensate this effect one integrates the operators $\alpha(t, \mathbf{x})(A_0)$ over regions in space whose diameter increases with time. In the proof that this is meaningful one makes use of the specific structure of the operators in $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and applies arguments given in [9, Lem. 2.2], where the role of the energy operator has to be replaced by the particle number operator. One thereby finds that for any test function h and any compact region $\mathbf{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ the spatial averages of the operators $A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbf{O})$ satisfy the norm estimates

$$\left\| \int d\mathbf{x} h(\mathbf{x}) \alpha(\mathbf{x})(A_0) \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_n \right\|_n \leq n c_A \|h\|_\infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where $\|h\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm of h and the constant c_A depends only on the chosen operator A . Similarly to the leading order, this bound and the definition of the Møller operators imply that one can proceed again to an asymptotic equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\langle \widehat{\Psi}_n, \alpha(t) \left(\int d\mathbf{x} h(\mathbf{x}/t) \alpha(\mathbf{x})(A_0) \right) \widehat{\Psi}_n \rangle \right. \\ & \left. - \langle \Psi_n, \alpha^{(0)}(t) \left(\int d\mathbf{x} h(\mathbf{x}/t) \alpha(\mathbf{x})(A_0) \right) \Psi_n \rangle \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By a routine computation of the second (non-interacting) term one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Psi_n, \alpha^{(0)}(t) \left(\int d\mathbf{x} h(\mathbf{x}/t) \alpha(\mathbf{x})(A_0) \right) \Psi_n \rangle \\ & = c_s \int d\mathbf{p} h(2\mathbf{p}) \langle \mathbf{p} | A_0 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \Psi_n, \widetilde{a}^*(\mathbf{p}) \widetilde{a}(\mathbf{p}) \Psi_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here c_s is some dimension dependent constant, $\widetilde{a}^*, \widetilde{a}$ are the Fourier transforms of the creation and annihilation operators, and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{p} | A_0 | \mathbf{q} \rangle$ denotes the integral kernel of $A_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_1$ in momentum space. This kernel is a continuous function for all compactly localized operators; its restriction to the diagonal $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$ is called sensitivity function and encodes the response of the observable A_0 to single particle excitations, cf. [1, 2]. These findings can be combined into a single asymptotic formula,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int d\mathbf{x} h(\mathbf{x}/t) \alpha(t, \mathbf{x})(A_0) = c_s \int d\mathbf{p} h(2\mathbf{p}) \langle \mathbf{p} | A_0 | \mathbf{p} \rangle \widehat{a}^*(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{a}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (5.1)$$

where the limit exists on the domain of the number operator N and $\widehat{a}^*, \widehat{a}$ denote the outgoing creation and annihilation operators in momentum space. An analogous formula holds at negative asymptotic times. This formula replaces the familiar asymptotic condition for field operators in case of the observables, which do not change the particle number.

The above formula shows in particular that the particle momenta, described by the operators $\widehat{M} = \int d\mathbf{p} m(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{a}^*(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{a}(\mathbf{p})$, where the functions m result from the sensitivity and averaging functions, become meaningful observables at asymptotic times. They can be determined from the underlying algebra in a universal manner which does not depend on the dynamics. Other important particle properties, such as the collision cross sections, can likewise be determined along these lines by considering products of the above operators, cf. the discussion in [1, 2, 12].

The preceding results hold also for potentials admitting bound states. There the Fock space \mathcal{F} splits at asymptotic times into the tensor product of Fock spaces corresponding to the elementary particle and to its stable bound states. The bound states are described in the same manner as the underlying particles in spite of their possibly complex internal structure. This internal structure is encoded in their sensitivity functions $\mathbf{p} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{p}, b | A_0 | \mathbf{p}, b \rangle$, where b labels the bound states. Also in those cases, the leading asymptotic contributions to the expectation values of observables are described by the Fock vacuum. But in next to leading order one has to replace in formula (5.1) the integral on the right hand side by sums of similar terms containing the sensitivity functions and the creation and annihilation operators of the underlying particle and of all bound states in the theory.

The present results rely to some extent on well known facts about asymptotic completeness in quantum mechanics, cf. [14] and references quoted there. Within the present algebraic setting one may, possibly, give more direct proofs of relation (5.1). For, one has quite specific information about the properties of the observables, in particular about their close relation to compact operators. It may therefore be possible to establish in the present concrete setting the existence of the limit in relation (5.1) by a refinement of the Arveson spectral theory of spacetime automorphism groups [3]. What is needed is a finer characterization of the spectra of the automorphisms in analogy to the measure classes for Hilbert space operators. In order to arrive at the desired convergence one would have to exclude in the present context the analogue of “singular continuous spectrum”. For some first steps into this direction, cf. [15].

5.3. Equilibrium states. The conventional method of constructing equilibrium states in quantum field theory is based on the consideration of Gibbs-von Neumann ensembles in bounded regions (boxes). In the present algebraic framework one deals from the outset with observables in infinite space, so one has to proceed differently. One replaces the sharp boundaries of a box by external confining forces which are conveniently described by a harmonic oscillator potential. Thus

one considers Hamiltonians of the form, $L > 0$,

$$H_L \doteq \int d\mathbf{x} (\partial a^*(\mathbf{x}) \partial a(\mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x}^2/L^4) a^*(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{x})) \\ + \int d\mathbf{x} \int d\mathbf{y} a^*(\mathbf{x}) a^*(\mathbf{y}) V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) a(\mathbf{x}) a(\mathbf{y}),$$

where we assume here that the interaction potential V is of positive type. Proceeding to the renormalized Hamiltonians $H_{Lr} \doteq H_L + V(0)N$, the corresponding canonical ensembles can then be described by density matrices on Fock space \mathcal{F} . In fact, one has $H_{Lr} \geq H_{0L}$, where H_{0L} is the Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator, arising for interaction potential $V = 0$. So the partition functions exist for H_{Lr} by the Golden-Thompson inequality.

Similarly to the Hamiltonian in equation (1.1), the unitary operators e^{itH_L} induce automorphisms $\alpha_L(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH_L}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, of the algebra \mathfrak{A} , and Theorem 4.6 applies accordingly for any given L . Moreover, in the limit of large L , these automorphisms converge pointwise to the original dynamics $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in the topology on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ determined by the seminorms. Proofs of these statements are given in the appendix.

For the construction of equilibrium states in the thermodynamic limit, one picks any $L > 0$ and considers for given inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ and chemical potential $\mu \leq -V(0)$ the family of states on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$

$$\omega_{\beta, \mu, L}(\cdot) \doteq \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta(H_L - \mu N)} \cdot) / \text{Tr} e^{-\beta(H_L - \mu N)}.$$

These states satisfy the KMS-condition for the dynamics $\alpha_L(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so they describe equilibria [6]. Moreover, as L approaches infinity, they have weak*-limit points $\{\omega_{\beta, \mu}\}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. The limit states need neither be unique, as is typically the case in the presence of phase transitions. Nor need they describe pure phases, such as in the presence of spontaneous breakdown of symmetries, where mixtures of phases can appear.

It is also not clear from the outset that the limit states describe equilibria for the dynamics $\alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In case of no interaction, one can show that the limit states obtained this way agree with the familiar quasi-free KMS states obtained in the thermodynamic limit, cf. [6, Sec. 5.2.5]. A description of quasi-free states in the framework of the resolvent algebra can be found in [10, Sec. 4]. In the presence of interaction some more detailed analysis of the limit states is required, however. There one can rely again on methods developed for C*-dynamical system, such as $(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha, \alpha)$, cf. [6] and references quoted there.

The present formalism, describing observables in infinite space, provides also a basis for the discussion of the effects of perturbations of KMS states and their return to equilibrium. Moreover, it ought to cover states where the translation symmetry is spontaneously broken, such as crystals, or states in motion, such

as fluids. There exists an extensive literature on these topics and we refrain from giving references here; comprehensive lists may be found in [6, 21].

We conclude this section by noting that one can also study in the present framework the formation of Bose-Einstein condensates, trapped by a harmonic potential, cf. [13, 18, 23]. There arises the interesting question whether the condensation phenomenon disappears if the external potential is turned off, *i.e.* whether the limit states agree on $(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_\alpha, \alpha)$ with the Fock vacuum. This is likely to be the case in the Gross-Pitaevskii model because of the assumed repulsive interaction. Yet for interaction potentials of positive type, admitting bound states, some more interesting limit states may appear.

6. Summary and outlook

In the present article we have established a consistent algebraic framework for the treatment of interacting non-relativistic Bose fields. The novel feature of our approach consists of the fact that "large field problems" are avoided from the outset by dealing with the resolvents of the fields. Thus in singular states, describing accumulations of particles with infinite density, these operators simply vanish. On the mathematical side, this implies that the resolvent algebra has ideals; but this is inevitable if a kinematical algebra is to admit a sufficiently rich family of different dynamics [10, Sec. 10.18]. As a consequence of our approach, the algebra of gauge invariant observables $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ attains a mathematically convenient structure, being the inverse limit of a family of approximately finite dimensional C*-algebras. The underlying inverse maps describe the effect of the removal of a particle on the structure of the observables and their dynamics.

The dynamics considered in this article, describing attractive and repulsive interaction potentials, act by automorphisms on the algebra of observables $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ in a (with regard to the topology fixed by a natural set of seminorms) continuous and quasi-local manner. The class of admissible potentials can be extended with some additional effort to singular two-body interactions and unbounded external potentials. Moreover, for given dynamics α , one can proceed to C*-dynamical systems $(\mathfrak{A}_\alpha, \alpha)$, where \mathfrak{A}_α is a dense subalgebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ in the seminorm topology. So interacting Bosons can be described by C*-dynamical systems, contrary to obstructions conceived in the literature [6, 19].

The present results also shed light on the relation between the field theoretic setting and the quantum mechanical particle picture, which is based on position and momentum operators. Whereas the field-theoretic observable algebra is built from operators of finite rank, the particle algebra generated by position and momentum operators accomodates an abundance of operators with continuous spectrum. Thus from the present point of view it seems advantageous to first

construct the states of interest in the field theoretic setting and only then turn to their analysis and physical interpretation.

In order to illustrate this idea, we have briefly discussed some standard problems in many body theory. We have sketched how the existence of ground states, including approximate ground states (infra vacua), can be established in the present framework. Particle features are uncovered by proceeding to asymptotic times. Suitable spatial averages of localized observables converge in this limit to operators which describe the asymptotic particle momenta. In a similar manner one can also compute collision cross sections, *etc.*

Finally, we have addressed the problem of constructing thermal equilibrium states for pair potentials of positive type, which include potentials allowing for bound states. There one is profiting from the fact that the dynamics of a thermal system, which is trapped by an external harmonic potential, also acts by automorphisms on the algebra of observables. Turning off the external potential, the automorphisms converge on all observables to the dynamics of the infinite system. Moreover, thermodynamic limits of the trapped equilibrium states exist. Yet these limit states may neither be unique nor need they necessarily describe equilibria. Some more detailed analysis is required in order to clarify their specific properties in each particular case.

Another topic of interest is the extension of the present analysis to the non-gauge invariant Bose fields. It seems appropriate to proceed there to the C^* -algebra containing, besides $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, the operators $F_f \doteq a^*(f)(1 + a^*(f)a(f))^{-1/2}$, $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, and their adjoints. These operators are isometries if f is normalized. They are limits of the operators $F_{f,\kappa} \doteq a^*(f)(1 + a^*(f)a(f))^{-\kappa}$, $\kappa > 1/2$, which are contained in the resolvent algebra of fields. Gauge invariant combinations of the operators of the form $F_f F_g^*$ and $F_f^* F_g$ already appear as elements of the algebra of observables $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. We conjecture that the partially time translated operators $\alpha(t)(F_f) F_g^*$ and $F_f \alpha(t)(F_g^*)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, are also elements of $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$. The obvious relations $\alpha(t)(F_f) = (\alpha(t)(F_f) F_f^*) F_f$ and $\alpha(t)(F_f^*) = F_f^* (F_f \alpha(t)(F_f^*))$ then imply that the C^* -algebra, generated by $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ and the operators F_f , $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, is stable under the dynamics. We will return to this problem in a future publication.

Let us mention in conclusion that the present ideas can also be applied to the algebra of observables generated by Fermi fields. It was shown by Bratteli [5] that this algebra has a structure which is similar to that of bosonic systems, established here. So the present ideas, regarding the dynamics, can be carried over there. The dynamics of Fermi fields was already studied by Narnhofer and Thirring in [19]. For technical reasons, these authors restricted attention to pair potentials with an ultraviolet cutoff. It seems that one can proceed also there to the generic class of pair potentials, considered in the present investigation.

Appendix

We establish here results stated in the main text in the proof of Lemma 4.1 and in Subsection 5.3. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider on the unsymmetrized Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n the Hamiltonians

$$H_{Ln} \doteq \sum_i (\mathbf{P}_i^2 + \mathbf{Q}_i^2/L^4) + \sum_{j \neq k} V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k)$$

for $L > 0$, respectively $L = \infty$ (no external forces). These Hamiltonians augment the Hamiltonian H_n , given in equation (2.1), by an external harmonic potential, where we identify $H_{n\infty} = H_n$. It follows from standard results, cf. [22, Sec. VIII.7], that $H_{Ln} \rightarrow H_n$ in the strong resolvent sense as $L \rightarrow \infty$ and consequently $e^{itH_{Ln}} \rightarrow e^{itH_n}$ in the strong operator topology, uniformly on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The adjoint action of the unitaries $e^{itH_{Ln}}$ on the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is denoted by $\alpha_{Ln}(t) \doteq \text{Ad } e^{itH_{Ln}}$, where we identify $\alpha_{n\infty}(t) = \alpha_n(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Each group of automorphisms $\alpha_{Ln}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, leaves the algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ invariant and acts pointwise norm-continuously on it. This is apparent for the dynamics $\alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where the interaction potential is put equal to $V = 0$. In order to see that this assertion is also true in the interacting case, we proceed as in the main text and consider the automorphisms $\gamma_{Ln}(t) \doteq \alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(t) \circ \alpha_{Ln}(-t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and their expansion in terms of multiple integrals as in equation (4.2). The basic ingredients in this expansion are the derivations and their primitives, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\delta_{Ln}(s) \doteq [B, \mathbf{V}_{Ln}(s)], \quad \Delta_{Ln}(t)(B) \doteq \int_0^t ds \delta_{Ln}(s)(B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

cf. Lemma 4.1. Here $\mathbf{V}_{Ln}(s) \doteq \alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(s)(\mathbf{V}_n)$, where $\mathbf{V}_n = \sum_{j \neq k} V(\mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k)$. Making use of the notation in the proof of that lemma, we put $\mathbf{Q}_{j \frown k} \doteq \mathbf{Q}_j - \mathbf{Q}_k$, $\mathbf{P}_{j \frown k} \doteq \mathbf{P}_j - \mathbf{P}_k$. For the individual terms appearing in the time shifted sum we then obtain

$$V_{Ljk}(s) \doteq \alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(s)(V(\mathbf{Q}_{j \frown k})) = V(c_L(s) \mathbf{Q}_{j \frown k} + s_L(s) \mathbf{P}_{j \frown k}) \in \mathfrak{K}_n(j \frown k).$$

Here $s \mapsto c_L(s) \doteq \cos(2s/L^2)$, $s \mapsto s_L(s) \doteq L^2 \sin(2s/L^2)$ for finite L and $s \mapsto c_\infty(s) \doteq 1$, $s \mapsto s_\infty(s) \doteq 2s$ for $L = \infty$. The derivations $\Delta_{Ln}(t)$ in equation (A.1) are the sum of derivations $\Delta_{Ljk}(t)$, where the potential $\mathbf{V}_{Ln}(s)$ in equation (A.1) is replaced by the pair potential $V_{Ljk}(s)$.

As was explained in Lemma 4.1, an important step in the analysis of the derivations $\Delta_{Ljk}(t)$ consists of the demonstration that the underlying integrals $\int_0^t ds V_{Ljk}(s)$, defined in the strong operator topology, belong to the compact ideal $\mathfrak{C}_n(j \frown k) \subset \mathfrak{K}_n(j \frown k)$. Since $\mathfrak{K}_n(j \frown k)$ is faithfully represented on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, it suffices to show that the integrals act there as compact operators.

Since the two-body potential V is an element of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the time shifted products $V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'')$ are compact operators on the representation space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$ whenever $s', s'' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $h_L(s', s'') \doteq (s_L(s')c_L(s'') - s_L(s'')c_L(s')) \neq 0$. For then the two underlying time shifted position operators are canonically conjugate with Planck constant $h_L(s', s'') \neq 0$. Thus, apart from a set of measure zero, the function $s', s'' \mapsto V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'')$ on \mathbb{R}^2 has values in the compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$. Moreover, it is bounded in norm. So the double integral $\int_0^t ds' \int_0^t ds'' V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'') = (\int_0^t ds V_{Ljk}(s))^2$, defined in the strong operator topology, is a compact operator for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence its square root is also compact and by polar decomposition we arrive at the conclusion that $\int_0^t ds V_{Ljk}(s)$ is a compact operator for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and any choice of L .

By the arguments worked out in the proof of Lemma 4.1, one can show then that the algebra $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ is stable under the action of the derivations $\mathbf{\Delta}_{Ln}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, there exists an appropriate generalization of Lemma 4.2, cf. the remark that follows it. Thus, by the inductive construction of the Dyson series, one arrives at a generalization of Proposition 4.4. Moreover, the arguments establishing Lemma 4.5 can still be applied since the interaction potential V is not changed and vanishes asymptotically. Hence also the modified dynamics satisfies the coherence condition $\kappa_n \circ \alpha_{Ln}(t) = \alpha_{n-1L}(t) \circ \kappa_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It implies that the algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{K}}$ is stable under the action of the global dynamics $\alpha_L(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and the statements of Theorem 4.6 remain unchanged for any $L > 0$.

Next, we address the question regarding the convergence properties of the dynamics $\alpha_{Ln}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in the limit of large L . In the non-interacting case, $V = 0$, the corresponding automorphisms $\alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(t)$ leave each subalgebra $\mathfrak{C}_n(\mathbb{I}_m) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ invariant since they do not mix tensor factors, cf. the discussion before relation 4.1. It therefore follows from the convergence properties of the underlying unitary operators $e^{itH_0Ln(t)}$, mentioned above, that $\alpha_{Ln}^{(0)}(t) \rightarrow \alpha_n^{(0)}(t)$ pointwise in norm on $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$ if L tends to infinity, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In order to establish this fact also for the interacting dynamics, we need to compare the expansions (4.2) of the Dyson maps for different choices of L . This is accomplished by analyzing the norm distance between the operators $\int_0^t du V_{Ljk}(s)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for different values of L . It requires a refinement of the preceding arguments. We consider again the function

$$s', s'' \mapsto V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'') = e^{is'H_{1L}} V(\mathbf{Q}_{j\sim k}) e^{i(s''-s')H_{1L}} V(\mathbf{Q}_{j\sim k}) e^{-is''H_{1L}},$$

where we put $H_{1L} \doteq \mathbf{P}_{j\sim k}^2 + \mathbf{Q}_{j\sim k}^2/L^4$. Adopting the Dirac bra-ket notation, the kernel of the middle term of this operator is given in configuration space by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} &\rightarrow \langle \mathbf{x} | V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s''-s')H_{1L}} V(\mathbf{Q}) | \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= iN_L(s' - s'') V(\mathbf{x}) e^{i((\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2) \cos(2(s'-s'')/L^2) - 2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})/2L^2 \sin(2(s'-s'')/L^2)} V(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here $N_L(s' - s'') = (2\pi i L^2 \sin(2(s' - s'')/L^2))^{-s/2}$ and we made use of the Green's function (Mehler kernel) of the Hamiltonian H_{1L} . Choosing temporarily for the potential V some test function, the kernel (A.2) is square integrable if the pair (s', s'') lies in the region of regularity

$$\mathbf{R}_L \doteq \{(s', s'') \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2(s' - s'') \notin \pi L^2 \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Thus the function $s', s'' \mapsto V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_{1L}} V(\mathbf{Q})$ on \mathbf{R}_L has values in the Hilbert-Schmidt class. It is continuous in s', s'' with regard to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and converges in this topology to $s', s'' \mapsto V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_1} V(\mathbf{Q})$, where $H_1 = \mathbf{P}^2$, in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on any given compact subset of \mathbf{R}_L .

Since any $V \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^s)$ can be approximated in the supremum norm by test-functions, the preceding statements remain true for such potentials if one replaces the terms ‘‘Hilbert-Schmidt class’’ by ‘‘compact operators’’ and ‘‘Hilbert-Schmidt norm’’ by ‘‘operator norm’’ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^s)$, denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$. So for any potential $V \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the function

$$s', s'' \mapsto \|V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_{1L}} V(\mathbf{Q}) - V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_1} V(\mathbf{Q})\|_1 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

is bounded for $(s', s'') \in \mathbb{R}^2$, continuous on \mathbf{R}_L , and it tends to 0 in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on any given compact subset of \mathbf{R}_L . Next, since for $s' \neq s''$ the operators $V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_1} V(\mathbf{Q})$, involving the free Hamiltonian, are compact and $e^{is'H_{1L}} \rightarrow e^{is'H_1}$ in the strong operator topology for large L , the function

$$s', s'' \mapsto \|(e^{is'H_{1L}} - e^{is'H_1})V(\mathbf{Q}) e^{i(s'' - s')H_1} V(\mathbf{Q})\|_1$$

has the same properties as the function in (A.3). This applies also to the corresponding function of the adjoint operators. The preceding facts imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t ds' \int_0^t ds'' (V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'') - V_{jk}(s')V_{jk}(s'')) \right\|_1 \\ & \leq \int_0^t ds' \int_0^t ds'' \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|(V_{Ljk}(s')V_{Ljk}(s'') - V_{jk}(s')V_{jk}(s''))\|_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. As a matter of fact, this convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ since for sufficiently large L the regions \mathbf{R}_L contain the square $[0, t] \times [0, t]$, apart from its diagonal. In a similar manner one obtains.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t ds' (V_{Ljk}(s') - V_{jk}(s')) \int_0^t ds'' V_{jk}(s'') \right\|_1 = 0, \\ & \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t ds' V_{jk}(s') \int_0^t ds'' (V_{Ljk}(s'') - V_{jk}(s'')) \right\|_1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because one of the integrals appearing in these relations is a compact operator and the other one converges to 0 in the strong operator topology. Putting everything together, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t ds (V_{Ljk}(s) - V_{jk}(s)) \right\|_1^2 \\ &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t ds' \int_0^t ds'' (V_{Ljk}(s') - V_{jk}(s')) (V_{Ljk}(s'') - V_{jk}(s'')) \right\|_1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from these facts that the derivations $t \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}_{Ln}(t)$ on $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{I}_n)$, on which the Dyson series (4.2) are based, converge for large L to the original derivation $t \rightarrow \mathbf{\Delta}_n(t)$. More precisely, denoting the norm of linear maps on \mathfrak{K}_n by $n \|\cdot\|$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} n \|\mathbf{\Delta}_{Ln}(t) - \mathbf{\Delta}_n(t)\| &= n \left\| \sum_{j \neq k} \int_0^t ds [(V_{Ljk}(s) - V_{jk}(s)), \cdot] \right\| \\ &\leq 2n(n-1) \left\| \int_0^t ds (V_{Ljk}(s) - V_{jk}(s)) \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} n \|\mathbf{\Delta}_{Ln}(t) - \mathbf{\Delta}_n(t)\| = 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

With this information, we can turn now to the discussion of the Dyson cocycles. Given $K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n$, we represent $\gamma_{Ln}(t)(K_n)$ by the series (4.2) of multiple integrals. As has been explained, its inductive construction produces at $(l+1)$ st order terms of the form $t \mapsto D_{Ll+1}(t) = \int_0^t ds \delta_{Ln}(s)(D_{Ll}(s))$. Making use of this information, we will show that at each order $l \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{Ll}(t) - D_l(t)\|_n = 0$, uniformly on compact sets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the Dyson series converges absolutely in norm, uniformly for $L > 0$, this implies

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|\gamma_{Ln}(t)(K_n) - \gamma_n(t_n)(K_n)\|_n = 0, \quad K_n \in \mathfrak{K}_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The proof of convergence of the terms in the series is obtained again by iteration. For $l = 1$ one has $D_{L1}(t) = \mathbf{\Delta}_{Ln}(t)(K_n)$. Hence, by the preceding results, $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|D_{L1}(t) - D_1(t)\|_n = 0$, uniformly on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming that the corresponding statement holds at order l , we proceed to

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{Ll+1}(t) - D_{l+1}(t) \\ &= \int_0^t ds \delta_{Ln}(s)(D_{Ll}(s) - D_l(s)) + \int_0^t ds (\delta_{Ln}(s) - \delta_n(s))(D_l(s)). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is bounded by

$$\left\| \int_0^t ds \delta_{Ln}(s)(D_{Ll}(s) - D_l(s)) \right\|_n \leq |t| 2n(n-1) \|V\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|D_{Ll}(s) - D_l(s)\|_n.$$

Hence according to the induction hypothesis, it vanishes in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on compact subsets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Putting $\partial \Delta_{Ln}(t) \doteq \int_0^t ds (\delta_{Ln}(s) - \delta_n(s))$, the second integral in the above decomposition can be presented as norm limit,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k (\partial \Delta_{Ln}(jt/k) - \partial \Delta_n((j-1)t/k)) (D(jt/k)).$$

According to Lemma 4.2, cf. also the remark thereafter, this sequence converges to the integral in the norm topology of \mathfrak{K}_n for $k \rightarrow \infty$. What matters here is the fact that this convergence is uniform for $L > 0$ and t varying in compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . This follows from the bound $_n \|(\delta_{Ln}(s) - \delta_n(s))\| \leq 4n(n-1) \|V\|$ which holds uniformly for $L > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It enters in the estimate (4.3) in Lemma 4.2. Because of the uniform convergence, it suffices to look at the individual terms in the approximating sum. Now $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} _n \|\partial \Delta_{Ln}(t)\| = 0$, uniformly on compact sets of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus each term in the sum vanishes in the norm topology of \mathfrak{K}_n , hence the second integral in the above decomposition also vanishes in this topology, uniformly in t . This shows that the function $t \mapsto D_{L+1}(t)$ has all required properties, completing the induction. So we conclude that the automorphisms $\alpha_L(t)$ converge pointwise on $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\alpha(t)$, viz. .

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_L(t)(A) - \alpha(t)(A)\|_n = 0, \quad A \in \overline{\mathfrak{A}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

This completes the proofs of statements made in the main text.

Acknowledgment

I profitted from a stimulating exchange with Jakob Yngvason on a preliminary version of this article. I am also grateful to Hendrik Grundling and Roberto Longo for valuable comments and I would like to thank the Mathematics Institute of the University of Göttingen for their generous hospitality.

References

1. Huzihiro Araki: *Mathematical Theory of Quantum Fields*, Oxford Univ. Press (1999)
2. Huzihiro Araki, Rudolf Haag: Collision cross sections in terms of local observables, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **4** (1967) 77–91
3. William Arveson: The harmonic analysis of automorphism groups, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **38**, Part 1, pp. 199–269, Amer. Math. Society (1982)
4. Hans-Jürgen Borchers: *Translation Group and Particle Representations in Quantum Field Theory*, Springer, Berlin (1996)
5. Ole Bratteli: Inductive limits of finite dimensional C*-algebras, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **171** (1972) 195–234
6. Ole Bratteli, Derek W. Robinson: *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II*, Springer, Berlin (1981)

7. Detlev Buchholz: The resolvent algebra for oscillating lattice systems. Dynamics, ground and equilibrium states, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **353** (2017) 691–716
8. Detlev Buchholz: The resolvent algebra: Ideals and dimension, *J. Funct. Analysis* **266** (2014) 3286–3302
9. Detlev Buchholz: Harmonic analysis of local operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **129** (1990) 631–641
10. Detlev Buchholz, Hendrik Grundling: The resolvent algebra: A new approach to canonical quantum systems, *J. Funct. Analysis* **254** (2008) 2725–2779
11. Detlev Buchholz, Hendrik Grundling: Quantum systems and resolvent algebras, in: *The Message of Quantum Science - Attempts Towards a Synthesis*, P. Blanchard, J. Fröhlich Eds., *Lect. Notes Phys.* **899**, pp. 33–45 Springer, Berlin 2015
12. Detlev Buchholz, Martin Poppmann, Ulrich Stein: Dirac versus Wigner. Towards a universal particle concept in local quantum field theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **267** (1991) 377–381
13. Horia D. Cornean, Jan Dereziński, Paweł Ziń: On the infimum of the energy momentum spectrum of a homogenous Bose gas, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009) 062103
14. Jan Dereziński, Christian Gerard: *Scattering Theory of Classical and Quantum N-Particle Systems*, Springer, Berlin 1997
15. Wojciech Dybalski: Continuous spectrum of automorphism groups and the infraparticle problem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **300** (2010) 273–299
16. Mark Fannes, André F. Verbeure: On the time evolution automorphisms of the CCR-algebra for quantum mechanics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **35** (1974) 257–264
17. Elliot H. Lieb, Derek W. Robinson: The finite group velocity of quantum spin systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **28** (1972) 251–257
18. Elliot H. Lieb, Robert Seiringer, Jan Philip Solovej, Jakob Yngvason: *The Mathematics of the Bose Gas and its Condensation*, Birkhuser, Basel (2005)
19. Heide Narnhofer, Walter Thirring: Galilei invariant quantum field theories with pair interactions - a review, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991) 2937–2970
20. Christopher N. Phillips: Inverse limits of C^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **19** (1988) 159–195
21. Lev Pitaevskii, Sandro Stringari: *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity*, Oxford Univ. Press (2016)
22. Michael Reed, Barry Simon: *Functional Analysis I*, Academic Press, New York (1972)
23. André F. Verbeure: *Many-Body Boson Systems*, Springer, London (2011)