

The magnetorotational and Tayler instabilities in the pulsar magnetosphere

Vadim Urpin¹

¹ *A.F.Ioffe Institute of Physics and Technology, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia.*

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Vadim.Urpin@uv.es

MS received 31 March 2017; revised; accepted

Abstract. The magnetospheres around neutron stars should be very particular because of their strong magnetic field and rapid rotation. A study of the pulsar magnetospheres is of crucial importance since it is the key issue to understand how energy outflow to the exterior is produced. In this paper, we discuss magnetohydrodynamic processes in the pulsar magnetosphere. We consider in detail the properties of magnetohydrodynamic waves that can exist in the magnetosphere and their instabilities. These instabilities lead to formation of magnetic structures and can be responsible for a short-term variability of the pulsar emission.

Key words. pulsars—magnetospheres—plasma—waves—instability—structures.

1. Introduction

The magnetospheres formed around neutron stars must be very particular because of a strong magnetic field and rapid rotation. Although more than 40 years have passed since the discovery of pulsars, physics of their magnetospheres still remains poorly understood. A study of the magnetosphere of neutron stars is of a great importance because it is the key issue to understand how the energy outflow to the exterior is produced. Since the pioneering papers on pulsar phenomena (see Goldreich & Julian 1969, Sturrock 1971, Arons & Scharlemann 1979) it has been understood that one-photon electron-positron pair creation in a strong magnetic field plays a crucial role in the magnetospheres. Due to this process the magnetospheres of isolated neutron stars are filled with electron-positron plasma. This plasma can affect the radiation produced in the inner region of a magnetosphere. Owing to this, the pulsar emission can provide information regarding the physical conditions in the magnetosphere. For instance, fluctuations of the emission can be caused by non-stationary phenomena in the magnetospheric plasma (such as instabilities, waves, etc.), which are determined by the physical conditions. Therefore, the spectrum and characteristic timescale of fluctuations provide important information on the properties of magnetospheric plasma. Waves and instabilities may also affect the structure of a magnetosphere (for instance, because of turbulent transport) and, perhaps, idealised quasi-static models is not valid in the

presence of physical instabilities.

The mean free path of particles is typically short over the main fraction of a magnetosphere volume compared to the characteristic lengthscale and, therefore, the magnetohydrodynamic approach is justified. For typical values of the magnetic field, the electromagnetic energy density is much greater than the kinetic energy density, and this suggests that the force-free field is a good approximation for determining the magnetic field structure. In the simplest axisymmetric case, the equation governing the structure of a pulsar magnetosphere in the force-free approximation can be reduced to the well-known Grad-Shafranov equation (see, e.g., Michel 1982, 1991, Mestel 1973, Mestel & Shibata 1994). Models based on this “pulsar” equation have a “dead zone” with field lines that are close within the light-cylinder and a “wind zone” with poloidal field lines crossing the light-cylinder. Poloidal currents in the “wind zone” maintain a toroidal field component, whereas currents are vanishing in the “dead zone”. Recently, some progress has been achieved in the numerical solution of the pulsar equation (see Contopoulos et al. 1999, Kalapotharakos & Contopoulos 2009). Contopoulos et al. (1999) find a particular distribution of the toroidal magnetic field in the magnetosphere that allows for the continuous and smooth crossing of the light cylinder. It is not clear, however, whether the derived distribution agree with the boundary conditions at the pulsar surface. Contopoulos et al. (1999) also argue that the force-free condition can not be satisfied in the entire

magnetosphere. This fact is well known in MHD from the study of magnetic configurations (see, e.g., Molodensky 1974). A very important result has been obtained by Goodwin et al. (2004), who realized that the dead zone does not have to extend all the way to the light cylinder but can be much smaller. These authors included finite gas pressure inside the dead zone and showed that this allows solutions that remain non-singular at the equator.

In spite of a progress, the full analysis of the pulsar equation is far from being completed, even for the axisymmetric magnetosphere. The point is that the pulsar equation in the presence of the toroidal field is non-linear and, as a result, its analysis meets certain difficulties. Besides, the toroidal field that plays the role of the source term in the pulsar equation is rather uncertain, but this field is the quantity that determines the magnetic configuration. Unfortunately, many phenomena are still poorly understood but they might be essential in the force-free magnetosphere. Especially, this concerns non-stationary processes, such as various types of waves and instabilities that can occur in the magnetosphere. There are different types of waves that can exist in pulsar plasma. Electromagnetic waves have been studied extensively over the past few decades. The properties of the low-frequency electromagnetic waves are of central importance for understanding the underlying processes in the formation of the radio spectrum. These waves were studied by Arons and Barnard (1986) who reviewed also the results of the previous studies. The electrostatic oscillations with a low frequency have been considered also by Mofiz et al. (2011) who found that the thermal and magnetic pressures can generate oscillations that propagate in the azimuthal direction.

Instabilities of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) modes can occur in the pulsar magnetospheres as well (see, e.g., Petri 2016 for review). One of such phenomena is the so-called diocotron instability, which is the non-neutral plasma analog of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. The existence around pulsars of a differentially rotating disc with non-vanishing charge density could trigger a shearing instability of diocotron modes (Petri et al. 2002). In the non-linear regime, the diocotron instability causes diffusion of the charged particles across the magnetic field lines outwards (Petri et al. 2003). The role of a diocotron instability in causing drifting subpulses in radio pulsar emission has been considered by Fung et al. (2006). Note that the diocotron modes should be substantially suppressed in a neighbourhood of the light cylinder where relativistic effects become important (Petri 2007). The diocotron instability has been observed in 3D numerical modelling of the pulsar magnetosphere by Spitkovski & Arons (2002).

Recently, a new class of the MHD magnetospheric

oscillations has been considered by Urpin (2011). These modes are closely related to the Alfvénic waves of standard magnetohydrodynamics, which is modified by the force-free condition and non-vanishing electric charge density. The period of these waves can be rather short, $\sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-5}$ s depending on parameters of the magnetospheric plasma. Generally, there exist a number of factors in the magnetosphere that can destabilise this type of waves (such as differential rotation, the presence of electric currents, non-zero charge density, etc.). For example, many models predict differential rotation of the magnetosphere (see, e.g., Mestel & Shibata 1994; Contopoulos et al. 1999). It is known, however, that differential rotation of plasma in the presence of the magnetic field leads to the instability (Velikhov 1959, Chandrasekhar 1960). This so-called magnetorotational instability is well studied in the context of accretion disks (see, e.g., Balbus & Hawley 1991, 1998, Brandenburg et al. 1996) where it can be responsible for the generation of turbulence. In the axisymmetric model of a magnetosphere suggested by Contopoulos et al. (1999), the angular velocity decreases inversely proportional to the cylindrical radius beyond the light cylinder and even stronger in front of it. For such rotation, the magnetosphere should be unstable and the growth time of unstable magnetospheric waves is of the order of the rotation period (Urpin 2012). Numerical modelling by Komissarov (2006) showed that plasma rotates differentially basically near the equator and poles within the light cylinder. Such strong differential rotation should lead to instability that arises on a timescale of the order of a rotation period as well. Note that the magnetorotational instability in the pulsar magnetosphere differs essentially from the standard magnetorotational instability because of a non-vanishing charge density and the force-free condition (Urpin 2012).

The electric currents flowing in plasma also provide a destabilising influence that can lead to the so-called Tayler instability (see, e.g., Tayler 1973a, b, Freidberg 1987). This instability arises basically on the Alfvén time scale and is particularly efficient if the strengths of the toroidal and poloidal field components differ essentially (see, e.g., Bonanno & Urpin 2008a,b). This condition is satisfied in many magnetospheric models (see, e.g., Contopoulos et al. 1999) and, likely, these models should be unstable. Like the magnetorotational instability, the Tayler one has a number of qualitative features in the pulsar magnetosphere because of the force-free condition and non-zero charge density. This instability is discussed in detail by Urpin (2014). Depending on plasma parameters, the growth time of instability can vary in a wide range and reach very short values $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ s. The instability can occur almost everywhere in the magnetosphere and lead to formation

of filament-like structures and short-term variability of the pulsar emission.

In this paper, we discuss the properties of magnetospheric waves that can exist in the pulsar magnetospheres. A particular attention is paid to instabilities of these waves and their influence on the variability of pulsar emission. The magnetorotational and Tayler instabilities are among the best studied ones in astrophysical plasma and, therefore, we pay the main attention to these two instabilities.

2. MHD equations in the magnetosphere

Plasma parameters in the pulsar magnetosphere are rather uncertain and, in some estimates, the uncertainty is very large. Most notably this concerns the plasma density that is model dependent. Usually it is assumed that the number density n in the magnetosphere is several orders of magnitude greater than the so called Goldreich-Julian density (Goldreich & Julian 1969),

$$n_{GJ} = \frac{\Omega B}{2\pi c e}, \quad (1)$$

where Ω is the angular velocity and B is the magnetic field. For instance, if the period P is of the order of 0.1 s and the magnetic field varies from 10^{12} to 10^6 G, then n_{GJ} is $\sim 10^{12} - 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Following Gedalin et al. (1998) and assuming that the true plasma density is $\sim 10^4 - 10^6$ times greater than the Goldreich-Julian density, we obtain that the electron number density n_e is $\sim 10^{16} - 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the deep layers of the magnetosphere and $\sim 10^{10} - 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at the distance about $10^2 a$ from the neutron star surface, where a is the neutron star radius (we assume that the magnetic field decreases with radius approximately as r^{-3}).

Using the estimate of n_e , we can obtain the Coulomb mean free path of electrons ℓ_e , $\ell_e \sim 3(k_B T)^2 / 4 \sqrt{2\pi} e^4 n_e \Lambda$ where T is the temperature of plasma, Λ is the Coulomb logarithm, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant (see, e.g., Spitzer 1998). Estimating the temperature as $T \sim 10^8 \text{ K}$ in the inner magnetosphere and $T \sim 10^6 \text{ K}$ at $r \sim 10^2 a$, we obtain that the mean free path of electrons is $\sim 5 \times (10 - 10^3) \text{ cm}$ and $\sim 5 \times (10^3 - 10^5) \text{ cm}$, respectively. These values are shorter than the corresponding length scales and, therefore, the magnetospheric plasma can be described in hydrodynamic approximation.

Obviously, plasma can be substantially influenced by a strong magnetic field of pulsars. The effect of the magnetic field on kinetic properties of plasma is characterized by the magnetization parameter. For electrons, this parameter is $a_e = \omega_B \tau_e$ where $\omega_B = eB/m_e c$ is the gyrofrequency and τ_e is the relaxation time of electrons (see, e.g., Braginskii 1965). The relaxation

time τ_e is determined by Coulomb scattering of electrons on positrons and electrons. For such scattering, $\tau_e \sim 3 \sqrt{m_e} (k_B T)^{3/2} / 4 \sqrt{2\pi} e^4 n \Lambda$. Using these expressions, we can estimate the magnetization parameter for the electron gas as $a_e \approx 2 \times 10^5 B T^{3/2} / n_e$, where the magnetic field is measured in Gauss, n_e in cm^{-3} and T in Kelvins. For typical magnetospheric conditions, the magnetization parameter is very large, $a_e \sim 10^9 - 10^{13}$. The magnetization of positrons is comparable. Under such conditions, the transport is essentially anisotropic and both the electron and positron transports across the magnetic field are substantially suppressed.

MHD equations governing the electron-positron plasma can be obtained from the partial momentum equations for the electrons and positrons in the standard way. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that plasma is non-relativistic. The partial momentum equations for particles of the sort α ($\alpha = e, p$) can be derived by multiplying the Boltzmann kinetic equation for particles α by the velocity and integrating over it. Then, the partial momentum equation for particles α reads

$$m_\alpha n_\alpha \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}_\alpha}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{V}_\alpha \right] = -\nabla p_\alpha + n_\alpha \mathbf{F}_\alpha + e_\alpha n_\alpha \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_\alpha}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) + \mathbf{R}_\alpha \quad (2)$$

(see, e.g., Braginskii 1965). Here, \mathbf{V}_α is the mean velocity of particles α , n_α and p_α are their number density and pressure, respectively; \mathbf{F}_α is an external force acting on the particles α (in the case of a pulsar magnetosphere \mathbf{F}_α is the gravitational force), \mathbf{E} is the electric field, and \mathbf{R}_α is the internal friction force caused by collisions of the particles α with other sorts of particles. Since \mathbf{R}_α is the internal force, the sum of \mathbf{R}_α over α is zero in accordance with Third Newton's Law. Therefore, we have $\mathbf{R}_e = -\mathbf{R}_p$ in the case of electron-positron plasma.

Comparing the inertial terms on the l.h.s. of Eq.(2) with the electromagnetic force (third term on the r.h.s.), we obtain

$$\frac{m_\alpha n_\alpha V_\alpha c}{\tau_H e n_\alpha V_\alpha B} \sim \frac{1}{a_e} \frac{\tau_e}{\tau_H}, \quad (3)$$

where τ_H is the hydrodynamic time scale, $\tau_H \sim L/V$, L is the length scale in the magnetosphere. As it was estimated above, the magnetization parameter a_e is very large and the ratio τ_e/τ_H is small in the MHD approximation. Therefore, the inertial terms on the l.h.s. of Eq.(2) give a small contribution to the force balance and can be neglected. The gravitational force gives a negligible contribution to Eq.(2) because of a small mass of

electrons and positrons. Then, we have

$$-\nabla p_\alpha + e_\alpha n_\alpha \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_\alpha}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) + \mathbf{R}_\alpha = 0. \quad (4)$$

Eq.(4) for electrons has a well-known form (see, e.g., Braginskii 1965). This equation is used to derive the generalized Ohm's law in laboratory plasma.

For typical values of pulsar magnetic fields, the electromagnetic energy density is much greater than the kinetic energy density. Under this condition, the gas pressure plays is insignificant and the momentum equation (4) reads

$$e_\alpha n_\alpha \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}_\alpha}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) + \mathbf{R}_\alpha = 0. \quad (5)$$

Calculations of the friction force \mathbf{R}_α is basically a complicated problem of the plasma physics. To simplify our consideration, we can use an analogy with the electron-proton plasma which is well studied. Generally, the friction force depends on the difference of mean velocities of particles ($\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p$) and on the temperature gradient (see Braginskii 1965). Usually, effects caused by the temperature gradient (e.g., thermal diffusion) are small in the pulsar magnetosphere. We will neglect the thermal contribution to \mathbf{R}_α and take into account only friction caused by the difference of the mean velocities. Then, we have for electrons

$$\mathbf{R}_e = -Q_{\parallel}(\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p)_{\parallel} - Q_{\perp}(\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p)_{\perp} + Q_{\wedge} \mathbf{b} \times (\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p), \quad (6)$$

where indices \parallel and \perp denote the components of vectors parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field, respectively; \wedge denotes the so called Hall component perpendicular to both the magnetic field and $(\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p)$; $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/B$. The coefficients Q in Eq.(2.7) are functions of the temperature and density but Q_{\perp} and Q_{\wedge} depend also on the magnetic field. In the case of a weak magnetic field ($a_e \ll 1$), we have

$$Q_{\parallel} \approx Q_{\perp} \approx \frac{m_e n_e}{\tau_e}, \quad Q_{\wedge} \approx 0. \quad (7)$$

If the magnetic field is strong and $a_e \gg 1$, then again $Q_{\wedge} \approx 0$. Coefficients Q_{\parallel} and Q_{\perp} can differ by a factor of few but they are usually comparable, $Q_{\parallel} \sim Q_{\perp}$. For example, in fully ionized hydrogen plasma, the ratio $Q_{\parallel}/Q_{\perp} \approx 0.5$ if the magnetic field is strong (Braginskii 1965). For the sake of simplicity, we will neglect the difference between Q_{\parallel} and Q_{\perp} in a strong magnetic field and suppose $Q_{\parallel} = Q_{\perp} = m_e n_e / \tau_e$. Then, the friction force between electrons and positrons can be represented as

$$\mathbf{R}_e = -\frac{m_e n_e}{\tau_e} (\mathbf{V}_e - \mathbf{V}_p). \quad (8)$$

Note that this model expression for the friction force is often used even in a highly magnetized laboratory plasma (Braginskii 1965) and yields qualitatively correct results.

It is usually more convenient to use linear combinations of Eq. (5) than to solve partial equations. Let us define the hydrodynamic velocity and electric current as

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{n} (n_e \mathbf{V}_e + n_p \mathbf{V}_p), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{j} = e (n_p \mathbf{V}_p - n_e \mathbf{V}_e), \quad (10)$$

where $n = n_e + n_p$. Then, the partial velocities of electrons and positrons can be expressed in terms of \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{j} :

$$\mathbf{V}_e = \frac{1}{2n_e} \left(n\mathbf{V} - \frac{\mathbf{j}}{e} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_p = \frac{1}{2n_p} \left(n\mathbf{V} + \frac{\mathbf{j}}{e} \right). \quad (12)$$

If the number density of plasma, n , is much greater than the charge number density, $|n_p - n_e|$, then $V \gg j/en$. In the general case, the hydrodynamic and current velocities can be comparable in the electron-positron plasma.

The sum of the electron and positron momentum equations (Eq.(5)) yields the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium in the force-free magnetosphere

$$\rho_e \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $\rho_e = e(n_p - n_e) = e\delta n$ is the charge density. Taking the difference between Eq.(5) for electrons and positrons, we obtain the Ohm's law in the form

$$\mathbf{j} = \rho_e \mathbf{V} + \sigma \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{V}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \quad (14)$$

where $\sigma = e^2 n_p \tau_e / m_e$ is the conductivity of plasma.

It was shown by Urpin (2012) that Eqs.(2.14)-(2.15) are equivalent to two equations

$$\mathbf{j} = \rho_e \mathbf{V}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\mathbf{V}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (16)$$

These equations imply that the force-free condition and the Ohm's law (Eqs.(13)-(14)) are equivalent to the conditions of a frozen-in magnetic field and the presence of only advective currents in the magnetosphere.

3. Equations for MHD waves in pulsar plasma

Equations (15)-(16) should be complemented by the Maxwell equations. Then, the set of equations, governing MHD processes in the force-free pulsar magnetosphere reads

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho_e, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (18)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbf{j} \approx \rho_e \mathbf{V}, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{E} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{V}}{c} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (22)$$

Note that a steady-state magnetospheres ($\partial/\partial t = 0$) can exist only if the hydrodynamic velocity is non-vanishing, $\mathbf{V} \neq 0$. Indeed, let us assume that $\mathbf{V} = 0$. Then, we have from Eqs.(15)-(16) that \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{E} are equal to zero. If the electric field is zero then ρ_e is also vanishing. Since $\mathbf{j} = 0$ the magnetic field has a vacuum structure ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0$), which means that the magnetosphere does not exist at all. The conclusion that there should exist hydrodynamic flows in the magnetosphere is the intrinsic property of the equations of the force-free magnetohydrodynamics and is valid at any relation between the electron and positron number densities.

The MHD processes governed by Eqs.(17)-(22) are very particular and that point can be well illustrated by considering the case of linear waves. We use the standard procedure to analyse waves of small amplitude and assume that the electric and magnetic fields are equal to \mathbf{E}_0 and \mathbf{B}_0 in the unperturbed magnetosphere. The unperturbed charge density and velocity are ρ_{e0} and \mathbf{V}_0 , respectively. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that motions in the magnetosphere are non-relativistic, $V_0 \ll c$. Linearising Eqs.(17)-(22), we obtain the set of equations that describes waves with a small amplitude. Perturbations of such waves will be indicated by subscript 1. We consider perturbations with a short wavelength and space-time dependence $\propto \exp(i\omega t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ where ω and \mathbf{k} are the frequency and wave vector, respectively. A short wavelength approximation applies if the wavelength of perturbations, $\lambda = 2\pi/k$, is small compared to the characteristic length scale of the magnetosphere, L . Typically, L in the magnetosphere is greater than the stellar radius. We search in magnetohydrodynamic modes with the frequency that satisfies the condition $\omega < 1/\tau_e$, since we use the MHD approach.

Substituting the frozen-in condition, $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}/c$, into the equation $c\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$ and linearizing the

obtained induction equation, we have

$$i\omega \mathbf{B}_1 = \nabla \times (\mathbf{V}_1 \times \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{V}_0 \times \mathbf{B}_1). \quad (23)$$

If the unperturbed velocity is caused mainly by rotation, $\mathbf{V}_0 = s\Omega \mathbf{e}_\varphi$ where s is the cylindrical radius and \mathbf{e}_φ the unit vector in the φ -direction, then

$$i\tilde{\omega} \mathbf{B}_1 = i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) - i\mathbf{V}_1(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) - (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}_0 + s\mathbf{e}_\varphi(\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \nabla \Omega), \quad (24)$$

where $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_0$. The third term on the r.h.s. is usually small compared to the second term ($\sim \lambda/L$) in a short wavelength approximation. However, it becomes crucially important if the wavevector of perturbations is almost perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 .

Substituting the expression $\mathbf{j} = \rho_e \mathbf{V}$ into Ampere's law (Eq.(3.4)) and linearising the obtained equation, we have

$$\mathbf{V}_1 = -\frac{i}{4\pi\rho_{e0}} (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1 + \omega \mathbf{E}_1) - \frac{\rho_{e1}}{\rho_{e0}} \mathbf{V}_0. \quad (25)$$

We search in relatively low-frequency magnetohydrodynamic modes with the frequency $\omega < ck$. Note that the frequency of MHD modes must satisfy the condition $\omega < 1/\tau_e$ because of the MHD approach used. The relaxation time can be estimated as $\tau_e \sim \ell_e/c_e$, where c_e and ℓ_e are the thermal velocity and mean free path of particles, respectively. The frequency ck can be greater or smaller than $1/\tau_e$ depending on a wavelength λ . If $\lambda > 2\pi\ell_e(c/c_e)$, then we have $ck < 1/\tau_e$ and if $\lambda < 2\pi\ell_e(c/c_e)$, then $ck > 1/\tau_e$.

Eliminating \mathbf{E}_1 from Eq.(27), by making use of the linearised frozen-in condition, and neglecting terms of the order of $(\omega/ck)(V_0/c)$, we obtain the following equation for magnetospheric modes:

$$\mathbf{V}_1 + \frac{i\omega}{4\pi c\rho_{e0}} \mathbf{B}_0 \times \mathbf{V}_1 = -\frac{ic}{4\pi\rho_{e0}} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1 - \frac{\rho_{e1}}{\rho_{e0}} \mathbf{V}_0. \quad (26)$$

Perturbations of the charge density can be calculated from the equation $\rho_{e1} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_1/4\pi$. We have then with accuracy in terms of the lowest order in (λ/L)

$$\rho_{e1} = \frac{1}{4\pi c} [i\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1) - i\mathbf{V}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1)]. \quad (27)$$

Substituting Eq.(29) into Eq.(28) and neglecting terms of the order of V_0^2/c^2 , we obtain the second equation, which couples \mathbf{B}_1 and \mathbf{V}_1 ,

$$4\pi c\rho_{e0} \mathbf{V}_1 + i\omega \mathbf{B}_0 \times \mathbf{V}_1 = -ic^2 \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1 - i\mathbf{V}_0 [\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1)]. \quad (28)$$

Equations (26) and (28) are the basic equations governing the behaviour of linear perturbations in the force-free magnetosphere. Note that transformations from

Eqs.(17)-(22) to Eqs.(26) and (30) were made by taking into account terms of the two lowest orders in λ/L . The third term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(26) is, in general, of the order of λ/L compared to the second term on the r.h.s of this equation. However, this is not the case if \mathbf{k} is approximately perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 when the term on the r.h.s. becomes dominating.

4. Magnetospheric waves

Consider initially the magnetospheric waves in the case when differential rotation plays insignificant role. For example, such waves can exist in regions where rotation of the magnetosphere is almost rigid. In this case, Eq. (26) has the form

$$i\tilde{\omega}\mathbf{B}_1 = i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) - i\mathbf{V}_1(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) - (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0, \quad (29)$$

Eliminating \mathbf{B}_1 from Eq.(30) in favor of \mathbf{V}_1 and neglecting terms of the order of $(\omega/c\kappa)(V_0/c)$ and $(\omega/c\kappa)^2$, we obtain the equation for \mathbf{V}_1 in the form

$$4\pi c\rho_{e0}\mathbf{V}_1 - i\frac{c^2}{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{B}_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = \frac{c^2}{\tilde{\omega}}\mathbf{k} \times [(\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0 - i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1)]. \quad (30)$$

The scalar production of this equation and vector \mathbf{k} yields the condition $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) = 0$. This equation implies that the longitudinal waves (with $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 \neq 0$) cannot exist in the force-free magnetosphere. Only transverse waves with the velocity perpendicular to the wave vector ($\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 = 0$) can propagate in such magnetosphere. For transverse waves, Eq.(32) transforms into

$$\kappa\mathbf{V}_1 - i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\kappa = 4\pi\rho_{e0}\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{c} \quad (32)$$

Generally, the behaviour of waves governed by this equation can be rather complicated because it depends on the magnetic topology. We consider a few simple particular cases of magnetospheric waves.

4.1 Plane waves.

Consider initially the case of plane waves with $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \gg \lambda/L$ where we can neglect the term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(31). We have for such waves

$$\kappa\mathbf{V}_1 - i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = 0. \quad (33)$$

The dispersion relation corresponding to this equation reads

$$\omega^2 = \frac{c^2 k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})^2}{\Omega_m^2}, \quad (34)$$

where $\Omega_m = 4\pi c\rho_{e0}/B_0$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}_0/B_0$. It is convenient to express the characteristic frequency Ω_m in terms of the Goldreich-Julian charge density, $\rho_{GJ} = \Omega B_0/2\pi c$ where Ω is the angular velocity of a neutron star. Then, we have $\Omega_m = \xi\Omega$ and $\xi = \rho_{e0}/\rho_{GJ}$, and the dispersion equation (34) can be rewritten as

$$\omega = \pm c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})\frac{ck}{\xi\Omega}. \quad (35)$$

This equation describes the new mode of oscillations that can exist in the force-free pulsar magnetosphere. Eq.(35) likes the dispersion equation for whistlers in ‘‘standard’’ plasma. However, there is a principle difference between the considered waves and whistlers since Eq.(34) contains the charge density $\rho_{e0} = e(n_{p0} - n_{e0})$, whereas the dispersion relation for whistlers is determined by en_{e0} alone. Therefore, the magnetospheric waves do not exist in neutral plasma where $\rho_{e0} = 0$ but whistlers can exist only in neutral plasma. The frequency of magnetospheric waves is higher than that of whistlers because it is generally believed that $|n_p - n_e| \ll n_e$ in the pulsar magnetosphere. Deriving Eq.(34), we assumed that $\omega \ll ck$. Therefore, the considered modes exist if

$$\xi\Omega > c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}). \quad (36)$$

This condition can be satisfied for the plane waves with a wave vector almost (but not exactly) perpendicular to the magnetic field, for which the scalar production $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})$ is small. For example, if the angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B}_0 is $(\pi/2 - \delta)$, $\delta \ll \pi/2$, then Eq.(35) is satisfied if

$$\delta < \xi\Omega/ck. \quad (37)$$

Note that, generally, magnetospheric waves can exist even if $\delta \sim 1$ but our consideration does not apply to this case.

4.2 Cylindrical waves.

Let us assume that the basic magnetic configuration of a neutron star is approximately dipole and consider a particular sort of waves that can exist in a neighbourhood of the magnetic axis. In this neighbourhood, the field is approximately parallel (or antiparallel) to the axis but the field component perpendicular to the axis is small (see, e.g., Urpin & van Riper 1993). We will mimic the magnetic geometry near the magnetic axis

by a cylindrical configuration with the magnetic field in the z -direction. Introducing the cylindrical coordinates (s, φ, z) with the unit vectors $(\mathbf{e}_s, \mathbf{e}_\varphi, \mathbf{e}_z)$, we can model the magnetic field as $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0(s)\mathbf{e}_z$. Consider the special case of perturbations with the wavevector perpendicular to the magnetic field, $\mathbf{k} = (k_s, k_\varphi, 0)$ where $k_\varphi = m/s$ and m is integer. Note that even though we used a short wavelength approximation deriving Eq.(31), m should not be large for cylindrical waves because the cylindrical symmetry of the basic state is assumed. For these perturbations, Eq.(31) reads

$$\kappa \mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{e}_z V_{1s} \frac{dB_0}{ds}. \quad (38)$$

Taking the radial component of this equation, we obtain the dispersion relation for cylindrical waves in the form

$$\omega = \frac{ck_\varphi}{4\pi\rho_{e0}} \frac{dB_0}{ds}. \quad (39)$$

It is seen that cylindrical waves around the polar axis may exist only if $m \neq 0$. Non-axisymmetric waves propagate around the magnetic axis with the velocity $(c/4\pi\rho_{e0})(dB_0/ds)$, and their period is equal

$$P_m = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} = \frac{8\pi^2 s \rho_{e0}}{mc(dB_0/ds)}. \quad (40)$$

If we represent the unperturbed charge density as $\xi\rho_{e0}$, then the period can be expressed as

$$P_m = \frac{2\xi}{\eta m} P \left(\frac{s\Omega}{c} \right)^2, \quad (41)$$

where $P = 2\pi/\Omega$ is the rotation period of a pulsar and $\eta = d \ln B_0 / d \ln s$. The parameter η depends on the magnetic configuration. We can estimate it assuming that the poloidal field is approximately dipole near the axis. The radial and polar components of the dipole field in the spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) are

$$B_r = B_p \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^3 \cos \theta, \quad (42)$$

$$B_\theta = \frac{1}{2} B_p \left(\frac{a}{r} \right)^3 \sin \theta, \quad (43)$$

where B_p is the polar strength of the magnetic field at the neutron star surface and a is the stellar radius (see, e.g., Urpin et al. 1994). The field component parallel to the magnetic axis is much greater than perpendicular one near the axis and, hence, $\eta \approx d \ln B_r / d \ln s$. Taking into account that $r^2 = s^2 + z^2$ and $s \ll z$ in the neighbourhood of the axis (except a region near the surface), we obtain with accuracy in terms of the lowest order in

s/r that $\eta = -4s^2/r^2$. Then, substituting this estimate into Eq.(41), we have

$$P_m = \frac{\xi}{2m} P \left(\frac{r\Omega}{c} \right)^2. \quad (44)$$

It turns out that the period of cylindrical waves does not depend on the distance from the magnetic axis, s , if $s \ll r$ but depends on the height above the magnetic pole ($\propto r$). Therefore, at any given height, perturbations rotate rigidly around the axis with the period P_m . For example, P_m near the polar spot at the surface is approximately

$$P_m \approx 6.3 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\xi}{m} P_{0.01}^{-1}, \quad (45)$$

where $P_{0.01} = P/0.01$ s. The period of waves increases as r^2 with the distance from the pole and can reach a rather large value at large height, $r \gg a$. It is difficult to estimate with a high accuracy the periods of waves generated in the magnetosphere but, likely, they are within the range $\sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-2}$ s.

We have considered the cylindrical waves only for the dipole geometry. Note that in real pulsars, the magnetic field can depart from a simple dipole geometry and may have a very complex topology even with small-scale components (see, e.g., Bonanno et al. 2005, 2006). The mechanism of formation of these complex magnetic structures is related to the earliest stage of the neutron star life when the star is hydrodynamically unstable. Dynamo action induced by hydrodynamic instabilities generates the magnetic field of various length scales that range from the stellar radius to a very short dissipative scale. These magnetic fields can be frozen into the crust that forms when the neutron star cools down. Owing to a high conductivity of the crust, the magnetic structures can survive a very long time depending on their scale. The complex magnetic topology is inevitable in neutron stars and can be very important for the magnetospheric structure, particularly, in regions close to the star. It is likely, therefore, that cylindrical magnetic geometries similar to those considered in this section can exist in different regions of the magnetosphere.

5. Differential rotation and magnetorotational instability in magnetospheres

It has been shown in the previous section that the particular type of MHD waves can exist in the force-free pulsar magnetosphere. Generally, the mechanisms generating MHD modes can be different and there are many factors in the pulsar magnetosphere that can destabilize

plasma. For example, instabilities can occur because of differential rotation or the presence of electric currents. The structure of a pulsar magnetosphere is quite uncertain even in the axisymmetric model but many destabilizing factors should be represented even in this model. In this chapter, we consider the influence of differential rotation on instability of magnetospheric modes. Note that, generally, the instability criteria for short wavelength perturbations considered in our study can differ from those for global modes (with the lengthscale comparable to L). Instability of global modes is usually sensitive to details of the global magnetospheric structure and boundary conditions, which are quite uncertain in the pulsar magnetosphere. In contrast, the instability of short wavelength perturbations is entirely determined by local characteristics of plasma, which are less uncertain. Note also that the boundary conditions and instability of global modes can seriously modify a non-linear development of short wavelength perturbations, particularly if the global modes grow faster than the short wavelength modes. However, this does not influence instability criteria at the linear stage.

Magnetospheric waves with a short wavelength are governed by Eq. (24) and (28). Generally, the behaviour of these mode is rather complicated if both differential rotation and non-uniform magnetic field give a comparable contribution. Therefore, we consider the influence of these effects separately to understand qualitatively their importance. In this section, we study the instability of magnetospheric waves caused by differential rotation and assume that the effect of a magnetic non-uniformity in Eq. (24) and (28) is negligible. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only instability of axisymmetric perturbations in differentially rotating plasma. In this case, Eqs. (24) and (28) transform into

$$i\tilde{\omega}\mathbf{B}_1 = i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) - i\mathbf{V}_1(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) + s\mathbf{e}_\varphi(\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \nabla\Omega), \quad (46)$$

$$4\pi c\rho_{e0}\mathbf{V}_1 + i\mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1)] + i\omega\mathbf{B}_0 \times \mathbf{V}_1 = -ic^2\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1. \quad (47)$$

Estimating $B_1 \sim V_1(kB/\omega)$ from Eq.(46), we obtain that the second and third terms on the l.h.s. of Eq.(47) are small compared to the term on the r.h.s. by a factor ω^2/c^2k^2 . Neglecting these terms on the l.h.s. of Eq. (47), we have

$$4\pi\rho_{e0}\mathbf{V}_1 = -ic\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1. \quad (48)$$

It turns out that modes are transverse even if the magnetosphere rotates differentially and $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 \approx 0$. Substituting Eq.(48) into Eq.(46), we obtain

$$i\omega\mathbf{B}_1 - s\mathbf{e}_\varphi(\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \nabla\Omega) + \frac{c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)}{4\pi\rho_{e0}}\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1 = 0. \quad (49)$$

The dispersion equation can be obtained from Eq.(49) in the following way. Calculating a scalar product of Eq.(49) and $\nabla\Omega$, we obtain the expression for $(\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot \nabla\Omega)$ in terms of $[\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)]$. Substituting this expression into Eq.(49), we can express after some algebra \mathbf{B}_1 in terms of $[\mathbf{B}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)]$. Then, a scalar product of the obtained equation and $(\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)$ yields the dispersion relation in the form

$$\omega^2 = \frac{c^4k^2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})^2}{\Omega_m^2} - s\frac{c^2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})}{\Omega_m}[\mathbf{e}_\varphi \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)], \quad (50)$$

where $\Omega_m = 4\pi c\rho_{e0}/B_0$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}_0/B_0$.

Note that, in the considered case, we have $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_0 = 0$ because \mathbf{k} has no azimuthal component in accordance with our assumption but \mathbf{V}_0 has only the azimuthal component since it corresponds to rotation. If $|\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}| > k(\Omega_m|s\nabla\Omega|/c^2k^2)$ the dispersion relation for magnetospheric waves reads

$$\omega = \pm c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})\frac{ck}{\Omega_m}. \quad (51)$$

Since we assume that the frequency of magnetohydrodynamic modes should be lower than ck , the magnetospheric modes exist if

$$\Omega_m > c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}). \quad (52)$$

This condition can be satisfied for waves with the wave vector almost (but not exactly) perpendicular to the magnetic field. If the vector \mathbf{k} is almost perpendicular to \mathbf{b} , it is convenient to denote the angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{b} in a meridional plane as $(\pi/2 - \delta)$. Then, $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b} = k \cos(\pi/2 - \delta) \approx k\delta\psi$. Then, Eq.(52) is satisfied if $\delta < \Omega_m/ck$.

6. The condition and growth time of the magnetorotational instability

If rotation is differential and the condition

$$s|\nabla\Omega| > (c^2k/\Omega_m)(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad (53)$$

is satisfied, the properties of magnetospheric waves can be quite different. The first term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(50) is always positive and cannot lead to instability, but the second term can be negative for some \mathbf{k} . The instability (corresponding to $\omega^2 < 0$) is possible only if the wavevector is almost perpendicular (but not exactly) to the magnetic field and the scalar product $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})$ is small but non-vanishing. Only in this case, the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(50) can overcome the first one.

Let us estimate the range of wave vectors that corresponds to unstable perturbations, introducing again the

angle between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{b} as $(\pi/2 - \delta)$. Substituting this expression into Eq.(53) and estimating $[\mathbf{e}_\varphi \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)] \sim k|\nabla\Omega|$, we obtain that the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(50) is greater than the first one if

$$\delta < \frac{s|\Omega_m \nabla\Omega|}{c^2 k^2}. \quad (54)$$

The angle δ turns out to be small and, therefore, only perturbations with a wave vector almost perpendicular to \mathbf{B} can be unstable.

The instability arises if the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq.(50) is positive. Therefore, the necessary condition of instability reads

$$\frac{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})}{\Omega_m} [\mathbf{e}_\varphi \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)] > 0. \quad (55)$$

Since the sign of Ω_m depends on the charge density, the necessary condition is

$$(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})[\mathbf{e}_\varphi \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \nabla\Omega)] > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad < 0 \quad (56)$$

in the region of positive or negative charge density, respectively. It turns out that the necessary conditions (56) can be satisfied by the corresponding choice of the wave vector at any $\nabla\Omega$ and \mathbf{b} . Indeed, since \mathbf{k} is almost perpendicular to the magnetic field we can represent it as

$$\mathbf{k} \approx \pm k_\perp \mathbf{e}_\varphi \times \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{k}, \quad (57)$$

where $\delta \mathbf{k}$ is a small component of \mathbf{k} parallel (or antiparallel) to \mathbf{b} , $k \gg \delta k$ (we neglect terms of the order $(\delta k/k_\perp)^2$). Substituting expression (57) into Eq.(56), we obtain with the accuracy in linear terms in δk the following expression for the upper sign in Eq.(56)

$$\pm k_\perp (\delta \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\Omega) < 0. \quad (58)$$

Obviously, at any sign of $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\Omega)$, one can choose $\delta \mathbf{k}$ in such a way that condition (58) will be satisfied. Condition (56) for the region with a negative charge density can be considered by analogy. Therefore, the necessary condition of instability (55) can be satisfied at any differential rotation in the regions of both positive and negative charge density.

The characteristic growth rate can be obtained from Eq.(50), using estimate $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \sim k\delta\psi$. Then,

$$|\omega| = \frac{1}{\tau_\Omega} \sim |s\nabla\Omega|, \quad (59)$$

where τ_Ω is the growth time of instability caused by differential rotation. If differential rotation is sufficiently strong and $|s\nabla\Omega| \sim \Omega$, then the growth time of instability is of the order of the rotation period.

7. Dispersion equation in non-uniform magnetic field

Despite the force-free condition substantially reduces the number of modes that can exist in the magnetosphere, there are still many destabilising factors that can lead to instability. Apart from differential rotation, the electric current is an additional important factor leading to instability. Note that the topology of the magnetic field can be rather complicated in the magnetosphere, particularly in a region close to the neutron star. This may happen because the field geometry at the neutron star surface is very complex (see, e.g., Bonanno et al. 2005, 2006). Because of a complex geometry, magnetospheric magnetic configurations can be the subject to the so-called Tayler instability (see, e.g., Tayler 1973a,b, Bonanno & Urpin 2008a,b) caused by an unstable distribution of currents.

The behaviour of short wavelength perturbations in a non-uniform magnetic field is governed by Eq. (24) and (28). A destabilising effect of shear has been studied already in the previous section (see also Urpin (2012)). In the present section, we concentrate on the instability caused by a non-uniform magnetic field in the magnetosphere. Therefore, we assume that shear is small and neglect the terms proportional to $|\partial V_{0i}/\partial x_j|$ in Eq. (24). Then, equations governing magnetospheric waves read

$$\begin{aligned} i\tilde{\omega}\mathbf{B}_1 &= i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) - i\mathbf{V}_1(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) - (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0, \quad (60) \\ 4\pi c\rho_{e0}\mathbf{V}_1 + i\omega\mathbf{B}_0 \times \mathbf{V}_1 &= -ic^2\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_1 - \\ & \quad i\mathbf{V}_0[\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1)] \quad (61) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\omega} = \omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_0$. The last term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (60) is usually small compared to the second term ($\sim \lambda/L$) in a short wavelength approximation. However, it becomes crucially important if the wavevector of perturbations is almost perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 .

Eliminating \mathbf{B}_1 from Eqs. (60) and (61) in favor of \mathbf{V}_1 and neglecting terms of the order of $(\omega/c\kappa)(V_0/c)$ and $(\omega/c\kappa)^2$, we obtain the equation for \mathbf{V}_1 in the form

$$4\pi c\rho_{e0}\mathbf{V}_1 - i\frac{c^2}{\tilde{\omega}}(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = \frac{c^2}{\tilde{\omega}}\mathbf{k} \times [(\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0 - i\mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1)]. \quad (62)$$

It follows immediately from this equation that $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1) = 0$ and, hence, the magnetospheric waves are transverse even in a non-uniform magnetic field. Therefore, Eq. (62) can be simplified to

$$\kappa\mathbf{V}_1 - i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}_0, \quad (63)$$

where

$$\kappa = 4\pi\rho_{e0}\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{c} \quad (64)$$

In the case of a uniform magnetic field, Eq. (63) reduces to the equation (33) for magnetospheric waves (see also Urpin (2011)).

Equation (63) can be transformed to a more convenient form that does not contain a cross production of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{V}_1 . Calculating the cross production of \mathbf{k} and Eq. (63) and taking into account that $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{V}_1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \{ ik^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \mathbf{V}_1 - (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla) [\mathbf{k} \times (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_0)] \}. \quad (65)$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (63), we have

$$\left[\kappa^2 - k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)^2 \right] \mathbf{V}_1 = \kappa (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{B}_0 + i (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) (\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla) [\mathbf{k} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) - k^2 \mathbf{B}_0]. \quad (66)$$

The magnetospheric waves exist in the force-free pulsar magnetosphere only if the wavevector \mathbf{k} and the unperturbed magnetic field \mathbf{B}_0 are almost (but not exactly) perpendicular and the scalar production $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)$ is small compared to kB_0 but non-vanishing. The reason for this is clear from simple qualitative arguments. The magnetospheric waves are transverse ($\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 = 0$) and, hence, the velocity of plasma is perpendicular to the wave vector. However, wave motions across the magnetic field are suppressed in a strong field and the velocity component along the magnetic field should be much greater than the transverse one (see, e.g., Mestel & Shibata 1994). Therefore, the direction of a wavevector \mathbf{k} should be close to the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 . That is why we treat Eq. (66) only in the case of small $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)$.

Consider Eq. (66) in the neighbourhood of a point \mathbf{r}_0 , using local Cartesian coordinates. Assume that the z -axis is parallel to the local direction of the unperturbed magnetic field and the corresponding unit vector is $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{r}_0)/B_0(\mathbf{r}_0)$. The wavevector can be represented as

$$\mathbf{k} = k_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \quad (67)$$

where k_{\parallel} and \mathbf{k}_{\perp} are components of \mathbf{k} parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field, respectively. Then, we have from the continuity equation

$$V_{1z} = -\frac{1}{k_{\parallel}} (\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{1\perp}). \quad (68)$$

Since $k_{\perp} \gg k_{\parallel}$, we have $V_{1z} \gg V_{1\perp}$ and, hence, $(\mathbf{V}_1 \cdot \nabla) \approx V_{1z} \partial / \partial z$. Therefore, the z -component of Eq. (66) yields the following dispersion relation

$$\kappa^2 + A\kappa + iD = 0, \quad (69)$$

where

$$A = (\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial z},$$

$$D = k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \left[\mathbf{b} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial z} + i (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \right]. \quad (70)$$

We neglect in D corrections of the order $\sim \lambda/L$ to $k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)^2$. The roots of Eq.(69) correspond to two modes with the frequencies given by

$$\kappa_{1,2} = -\frac{A}{2} \pm \left(\frac{A^2}{4} - iD \right)^{1/2}. \quad (71)$$

If the magnetic field is approximately uniform along the field lines, then $\partial \mathbf{B}_0 / \partial z \approx 0$, and, hence, $A \approx 0$ and $D \approx ik^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)^2$. In this case, the magnetospheric modes are stable and $\kappa_{1,2} \approx \pm \sqrt{-iD}$. The corresponding frequency is

$$\tilde{\omega} \approx \pm \frac{ck}{4\pi\rho_{e0}} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0). \quad (72)$$

Deriving the dispersion Equation (72), it was assumed that $\omega \ll ck$. Therefore, the magnetospheric waves can exist only if $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)$ is small, as it was discussed above: $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \ll 4\pi\rho_{e0}$. If we measure the true charge density, ρ_{e0} , in units of the Goldreich-Julian charge density, $\rho_{GJ} = \Omega B_0 / 2\pi c$, we have $\rho_{e0} = \xi \rho_{GJ}$, where ξ is a dimensionless parameter. Then, the condition $\omega \ll ck$ transforms into

$$\xi \Omega \gg c |\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}|. \quad (73)$$

Obviously, this condition can be satisfied only for waves with the wavevector almost perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 . Note, however, that if \mathbf{k} is exactly perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 the magnetospheric waves do not exist.

8. The necessary condition of the Tayler instability

If $\partial \mathbf{B}_0 / \partial z \neq 0$, the magnetospheric waves turn out to be unstable. The instability is especially pronounced if $|\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0| < B_0/L$. In this case, the second term in the brackets of Eq. (71) is smaller than the first one and, therefore, the roots are

$$\kappa_1 \approx -A + i \frac{D}{A}, \quad (74)$$

$$\kappa_2 = -i \frac{D}{A}. \quad (75)$$

The coefficient D is approximately equal to

$$D \approx k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0) \left(\mathbf{b} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial z} \right). \quad (76)$$

The expressions (74) and (75) correspond to oscillatory and non-oscillatory modes, respectively. The occurrence of instability is determined by the sign of the ratio D/A . If this ratio is positive for some direction of the wavevector \mathbf{k} , then the non-oscillatory mode is unstable but the oscillatory one is stable for such \mathbf{k} . If $D/A < 0$, then the oscillatory mode is unstable but the non-oscillatory one is stable for corresponding \mathbf{k} . Note, however, that the frequency of oscillatory modes often is very high and $\omega \gg ck$. Our consideration does not apply in this case. Indeed, we have $\alpha_1 \sim A$ and, hence, $\tilde{\omega}_1 \sim ck(B_0/4\pi\rho_{e0}L)$. The condition $\omega \ll ck$ implies that $B_0/4\pi\rho_{e0}L < 1$. Expressing the charge density in units of the Goldreich-Julian density, $\rho_{e0} = \xi\rho_{GJ}$, we transform this inequality into

$$\frac{1}{2\xi} \frac{c}{\Omega L} \ll 1. \quad (77)$$

This condition can be satisfied only in regions where $\xi \gg 1$ and the charge density is much greater than the Goldreich-Julian density. If inequality (77) is not fulfilled, then Eq. (74) for the oscillatory mode κ_1 does not apply, and only the non-oscillatory modes exist. For example, the charge density is large in the region where the electron-positron plasma is created. Therefore, condition (77) can be satisfied there, and, hence, the oscillatory instability can occur in this region.

The non-oscillatory modes have a lower growth rate and can occur in the pulsar magnetosphere as well. For any magnetic configuration, it is easy to show that one can choose the wavevector of perturbations, \mathbf{k} , in such a way that the ratio D/A becomes positive, and, hence, the non-oscillatory mode is unstable. Indeed, we can represent \mathbf{k} as the sum of components parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$. Obviously, $A \propto k_{\perp}$ and $D \propto k_{\parallel}$ and, hence, $A/D \propto k_{\parallel}/k_{\perp}$. Therefore, if $A/D < 0$ for some value of $\mathbf{k} = (k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp})$, this ratio changes the sign for $\mathbf{k} = (-k_{\parallel}, k_{\perp})$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_{\parallel}, -k_{\perp})$. As a result, the waves with such wavevectors are unstable. It turns out that there always exists the range of wavevectors for which the non-oscillatory modes are unstable and, hence, the force-free magnetosphere is always the subject of instability.

The necessary condition of instability is $D \neq 0$. As it was mentioned, the magnetospheric waves exist only if the wavevector \mathbf{k} is close to the plane perpendicular to the unperturbed magnetic field, \mathbf{B}_0 , and the scalar production $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)$ is small (but non-vanishing). Therefore, the necessary condition $D \neq 0$ is equivalent to

$\mathbf{b} \cdot (\partial \mathbf{B}_0 / \partial z) \neq 0$. Since $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}_0 / B_0$, we can rewrite this condition as

$$\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_0}{\partial z} \neq 0. \quad (78)$$

This condition is satisfied if the magnetic pressure gradient along the magnetic field is non-zero.

9. Discussion

We have considered the instabilities of a pulsar magnetosphere caused by differential rotation and non-uniform magnetic field. Differential rotation is often the reason of instability in astrophysical bodies and it can be an important destabilizing factor in pulsar magnetospheres as well. It is known that differential rotation in plasma with the magnetic field leads to the so-called magnetorotational instability (Velikhov 1959). The instability considered in our study is the representative of a wide class of the magnetorotational instabilities (see, e.g., Balbus & Hawley 1991; Urpin & Rüdiger 2005) modified by the presence of a strong force-free magnetic field and non-vanishing charge density. As a result, properties of this instability is essentially different in the pulsar magnetosphere. For example, in a standard magnetohydrodynamics, the magnetorotational instability occurs only if the specific angular momentum decreases in the direction from the pole to the equator. The main conclusion of this study is quite different: the differentially rotating force-free magnetospheres are always unstable. This conclusion is valid for any particular magnetic topology and rotation law. The typical growth time of the instability is quite short and can be comparable to the rotation period in the case of a strong differential rotation with $|s\nabla\Omega| \sim \Omega$. Likely, differential rotation is typical for all models of the pulsar magnetosphere. For instance, in the axisymmetric model by Countopoulos et al. (1999) the angular velocity decreases inversely proportional to the cylindrical radius beyond the light cylinder and even stronger in front of it. For this rotation, the growth time of instability should be of the order of the rotation period. Numerical simulations by Komissarov (2006) showed that within the light cylinder, plasma rotates differentially basically near the equator and poles. Therefore, a strong differential rotation should lead to instability arising in these regions. However, the situation can be quite different near the light cylinder where the instability can occur in a much wider region. The instability caused by differential rotation can be responsible for fluctuations of the magnetospheric emission with the characteristic timescale $\sim 1/\omega$. Hydrodynamic motions accompanying the instability can be the reason of turbulent diffusion in the magnetosphere. Note that diffusion should

be strongly anisotropic with a much greater diffusion coefficient in the direction of the magnetic field since the velocity of motions across the field is suppressed.

Apart from differential rotation, the electric current is likely one more important factor that destabilizes plasma. Note that the topology of the magnetic field can be fairly complicated in the magnetosphere, particularly in a region close to the neutron star. This may happen because the field geometry at the neutron star surface should be very complex (see, e.g., Bonanno et al. 2005, 2006). Therefore, magnetospheric magnetic configurations can be subject to the so-called Tayler instability caused by a distribution of currents (see, e.g., Tayler 1973a, b). This instability is well studied in both laboratory and stellar conditions. It arises basically on the Alfvén time scale and is particularly efficient if the strengths of the toroidal and poloidal field components differ significantly (see, e.g., Bonanno & Urpin 2008a,b). This condition is satisfied in many magnetospheric models and these models should be the subject to instability. However, this instability also has a number of qualitative features in the pulsar magnetosphere because of the force-free condition and non-zero charge density.

Since the field has a complex topology, the necessary condition of instability (Eq. (78)) can be satisfied in different regions of the magnetosphere. However, this condition can be fulfilled even if the magnetic configuration is relatively simple. As a possible example, we consider a region near the magnetic pole of a neutron star. The criterion of instability (78) is satisfied in this region and, hence, the instability can occur certainly. Indeed, one can mimic the magnetic field by a vacuum dipole near the axis. The radial and polar components of the dipole field in the spherical coordinates (r, θ, φ) are

$$B_r = B_p \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^3 \cos \theta, \quad B_\theta = \frac{1}{2} B_p \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^3 \sin \theta, \quad (79)$$

where B_p is the polar strength of the magnetic field at the neutron star surface and a is the stellar radius (see, e.g., Urpin et al. 1994). The radial field is much greater than the polar one near the axis and, therefore, it is easy to check that the criterion of instability (78) is fulfilled in the polar gap.

It follows from Eq. (78) that the instability in pulsar magnetospheres is driven by a non-uniformity of the magnetic pressure and, hence, it can be called “the magnetic pressure-driven instability”. Note that this instability can occur only in plasma with a non-zero charge density, $\rho_{e0} \neq 0$, and does not arise in a neutral plasma with $\rho_{e0} = 0$.

It should be noted also that the considered instability is basically of the electromagnetic origin as it fol-

lows from our treatment. Hydrodynamic motions in the basic state play no important role in the instability. For instance, the unperturbed velocity does even not enter the expression for the growth rate. Therefore, one can expect that the same type of instability arises in the regions where velocities are relativistic.

Likely, the instability caused by electric currents is more efficient in pulsar magnetospheres than the magnetorotational one. The characteristic growth rate of unstable waves, $\text{Im } \omega$, can be estimated from Eq. (71) as $\text{Im } \omega \sim (c/4\pi\rho_{e0})(D/A)$. Since \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B}_0 should be close to orthogonality in magnetospheric waves, we have $A \sim kB_0/L$ and $D \sim k^2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})B_0^2/L$, where we estimate $\mathbf{b} \cdot (\partial\mathbf{B}_0/\partial z)$ as B_0/L . Then,

$$\text{Im } \omega \sim ck \frac{(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0)}{4\pi\rho_{e0}} \sim \frac{1}{\xi} ck \frac{c(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b})}{\Omega}. \quad (80)$$

Like stable magnetospheric modes, the unstable ones can occur in the magnetosphere if Eq. (73) is satisfied. Generally, this condition requires vectors \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B}_0 to be close to orthogonality (but not orthogonal). Under certain conditions, however, the instability can arise even if departures from orthogonality are not small but $\xi \gg 1$. As it was mentioned, this can happen in regions where the electron-positron plasma is created. The parameter ξ can also be greater than 1 in those regions where plasma moves with the velocity greater ΩL . Indeed, we have $\rho_{e0} = (1/4\pi)\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_0$ for the unperturbed charge density. Since \mathbf{E}_0 is determined by the frozen-in condition (8), we obtain $\rho_{e0} \sim (1/4\pi cL)V_0 B_0$. If the velocity of plasma in a magnetosphere is greater than the rotation velocity, then $\xi \sim V_0/\Omega L$. Some models predict that the velocity in the magnetosphere can reach a fraction of c . Obviously, in such regions, condition (73) can be satisfied even if departures from orthogonality of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B}_0 are relatively large.

The growth rate of instability (79) is sufficiently high and can reach a fraction of ck . For example, if a pulsar rotates with the period 0.01 sec and $\xi \sim 1$, magnetospheric waves with the wavelength $\sim 10^5 - 10^6$ cm grow on a timescale $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ s if a departure from orthogonality between \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{B}_0 is of the order of 10^{-4} . The considered instability can occur almost everywhere in the magnetosphere except the regions where $\mathbf{B}_0 \cdot (\partial\mathbf{B}_0/\partial z) = 0$ and instability criterion (4.30) is not satisfied.

The geometry of motions in the unstable magnetospheric waves is rather simple. Since these waves are transverse ($\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{V}_1 = 0$) and the wavevector of such waves should be close to the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{B}_0 , plasma motions are almost parallel (or anti-parallel) to the magnetic field. The velocity across \mathbf{B}_0 is small. In our model, we have considered only the instability of plane waves using a local approximation. In this model,

the instability should lead to formation of filament-like structures with filaments alongside the magnetic field lines. Note that plasma can move in the opposite directions in different filaments. The characteristic timescale of formation of such structures is $\sim 1/Im\omega$. Since the necessary condition (73) is likely satisfied in a major fraction of a magnetosphere, one can expect that filament-like structures can appear (and disappear) in different magnetospheric regions. We used the hydrodynamic approach in our consideration, which certainly does not apply to a large distance from the pulsar where the number density of plasma is small. Therefore, the considered instability is most likely efficient in the inner part of a magnetosphere where filament-like structures can be especially pronounced. The example of a region where the instability can occur is the so-called dead zone. Most likely, the hydrodynamic approximation is valid in this region and hydrodynamic motions are non-relativistic, as it was assumed in our analysis. Note that a particular geometry of motions in the basic (unperturbed) state is not crucial for the instability and cannot suppress the formation of filament-like structures. These structures can be responsible for fluctuations of plasma and, hence, the magnetospheric emission can fluctuate with the same characteristic timescale.

It should be also noted that the considered instability is basically electromagnetic in origin as followed from our treatment. Hydrodynamic motions in the basic state play no important role in the instability. The unperturbed velocity does even not enter the expression for the growth rate. Therefore, one can expect that the same type of instability arises in the regions where velocities are relativistic.

Generally, the regions, where rotation is differential and the magnetic field is non-uniform, can overlap. Thus, the criteria of both instabilities can be fulfilled in the same region. However, these instabilities usually have substantially different growth rates. The instability caused by differential rotation arises typically on a time-scale comparable to the rotation period of a pulsar. The growth rate of the magnetic pressure-driven instability is given by Eq.(79) and can reach a fraction of ck in accordance with our results. Therefore, this instability occurs basically on a shorter time-scale than the instability caused by differential rotation. If two different instabilities can occur in the same region, then, the instability with a shorter growth time usually turns out to be more efficient and determines plasma fluctuations. It is likely, therefore, that the magnetic pressure-driven instability is more efficient everywhere in the magnetosphere except surfaces where criterion (73) is not satisfied. In the neighbourhood of these surfaces, however, the instability associated with differential rotation can occur despite it arises on a longer time-scale. There-

fore, it appears that the whole pulsar magnetosphere should be unstable.

Hydrodynamic motions accompanying the instability can be the reason of turbulent diffusion in the magnetosphere. This diffusion should be highly anisotropic because both the criteria of instability and its growth rate are sensitive to the direction of the wave vector. However, the turbulent diffusion caused by motions may be efficient in the transport of angular momentum and mixing plasma with a much higher enhancement of the diffusion coefficient in the direction of the magnetic field since the velocity of motions across the field is much slower than along it.

Instabilities can lead to a short-term variability of plasma and, hence, to modulate the magnetospheric emission of pulsars. The unstable plasma can also modulate the radiation produced at the stellar surface and propagating through the magnetosphere. Since the growth time of magnetospheric waves can be essentially different in different regions, the instability leads to a generation of fluctuations over a wide range of timescales, including those yet to be detected in the present and future pulsar searches (Liu et al. 2011, Stappers et al. 2011). Detection of such fluctuations would uncover the physical conditions in the magnetosphere and enable one to construct a relevant model of the pulsar magnetosphere and its observational manifestations beyond the framework of the classical concept (see, e.g., Kaspi 2010).

References

- [1] Arons J. & Scharlemann E. 1979. *ApJ*, **231**, 854
- [2] Arons J. & Barnard J. 1986. *ApJ*, **302**, 120
- [3] Balbus S. & Hawley J. 1991. *ApJ*, **376**, 214
- [4] Balbus S. & Hawley J. 1998. *RevMP*, **70**, 1
- [5] Bonanno A., Urpin V., & Belvedere G. 2005. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 440, 199
- [6] Bonanno A., Urpin V., & Belvedere G. 2006. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 451, 1049
- [7] Bonanno A., Urpin V. 2008a. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **477**, 35
- [8] Bonanno A., Urpin V. 2008b. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **488**, 1
- [9] Braginskii S. 1965. *Review of Plasma Physics*, **1**, 205
- [10] Brandenburg A., Nordlund A., Stein R., & Torkelsson U. 1996. *ApJ*, **458L**, 45
- [11] Chandrasekhar S. 1960. *PNAS*, **46**, 253
- [12] Contopoulos I., Kazanas D., & Fendt C. 1999. *ApJ*, **511**, 351
- [13] Freidberg J.P. 1987. *Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics*. Plenum Press.
- [14] Fung P.K., Khechinashvili D., & Kuijpers J. 2006. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **445**, 779

- [15] Gedalin M., Melrose D., & Gruman E. 1998. *PRE*, **57**, 3399
- [16] Goldreich P. & Julian W. 1969. *ApJ*, **157**, 869
- [17] Goodwin S., Mestel J., Mestel L., & Wright G. 2004. *MNRAS*, 349, 213
- [18] Kalapotharakos C. & Contopoulos I. 2009. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **496**, 495
- [19] Kaspi V.M. 2010. *Publications of the National Academy of Science*, **107**, No. 16, 7147
- [20] Komissarov S. 2006. *MNRAS*, **367**, 19
- [21] Liu K. et al. 2011. *MNRAS*, **417**, 2916
- [22] Mestel L. 1973. *ApSS*, **24**, 289
- [23] Mestel L., Shibata S. 1994. *MNRAS*, **271**, 621
- [24] Michel F. 1982. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **54**, 1
- [25] Michel F. 1991. *Theory of Neutron Star Magnetosphere*. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago
- [26] Mofiz U., Amin M., & Shukla P. 2012. *ApSS*, **337**, 177
- [27] Molodensky M. 1974. *SoPh*, **39**, 393
- [28] Petri, J. 2016. *JPLPh*, **82**, e6302
- [29] Petri J., Heyvaerts J., & Bonazzola S. 2002. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **287**, 520
- [30] Petri J., Heyvaerts J., & Bonazzola S. 2003. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **411**, 203
- [31] Petri J. 2007. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **469**, 843
- [32] Spitkovski A., Arons J. 2002. *ASPC*, **271**, 81
- [33] Spitzer L. 1998. *Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium*. Wiley-VCH.
- [34] Stappers B. et al. 2011. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **530**, A80
- [35] Sturrock P. 1971. *ApJ*, **164**, 529
- [36] Tayler R. 1973a. *MNRAS*, **161**, 365
- [37] Tayler R. 1973b. *MNRAS*, **163**, 77
- [38] Urpin V., & van Riper, K. 1993. *ApJ*, **411**, L87
- [39] Urpin V., Chanmugam G., & Sang Y. 1994. *ApJ*, **433**, 780
- [40] Urpin V. & Rüdiger G. 2005. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **437**, 23
- [41] Urpin V. 2011. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **535**, L5
- [42] Urpin V. 2012. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **541**, 117
- [43] Urpin V. 2014. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **563A**, 29
- [44] Velikhov E. 1959. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **9**, 995