

Improved model of the triple system V746 Cas that has a bipolar magnetic field associated with the tertiary [★]

P. Harmanec¹, M. Brož¹, P. Mayer¹, P. Zasche¹, L. Kotková², J.A. Nemravová¹, R.J. Dukes³, D. Korčáková¹,
M. Šlechta², E. Kiran^{4,1}, R. Kříček¹, and J. Juryšek^{1,5}

¹ Astronomical Institute of the Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
V Holešovičkách 2, CZ-180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic

² Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, CZ-251 65 Ondřejov, Czech Republic

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, The College of Charleston, Charleston, SC 29424, USA

⁴ University of Ege, Department of Astronomy & Space Sciences, 35 100 Bornova - İzmir, Turkey

⁵ Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 1999/2, CZ-182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic

Received October 21, 2018; accepted

ABSTRACT

V746 Cas is known to be a triple system composed of a close binary with an alternatively reported period of either 25^d4 or 27^d8 and a distant third component in a 170 yr (62000 d) orbit. The object was also reported to exhibit multiperiodic light variations with periods from 0^d83 to 2^d50, on the basis of which it was classified as a slowly pulsating B star. Interest in further investigation of this system was raised by the recent detection of a variable magnetic field. Analysing spectra from four instruments, earlier published radial velocities, and several sets of photometric observations, we arrived at the following conclusions: (1) The optical spectrum is dominated by the lines of the B-type primary ($T_{\text{eff}1} \sim 16500(100)$ K), contributing 70 % of the light in the optical region, and a slightly cooler B tertiary ($T_{\text{eff}3} \sim 13620(150)$ K). The lines of the low-mass secondary are below our detection threshold; we estimate that it could be a normal A or F star. (2) We resolved the ambiguity in the value of the inner binary period and arrived at a linear ephemeris of $T_{\text{super.conj.}} = \text{HJD } 2443838.78(81) + 25^{\text{d}}41569(42) \times E$. (3) The intensity of the magnetic field undergoes a sinusoidal variation in phase with one of the known photometric periods, namely 2^d503867(19), which we identify with the rotational period of the tertiary. (4) The second dominant photometric 1^d0649524(40) period is tentatively identified with the rotational period of the broad-lined B-type primary, but this interpretation is much less certain and needs further verification. (5) If our interpretation of photometric periods is confirmed, the classification of the object as a slowly pulsating B star should be revised. (6) Applying an N-body model to different types of available observational data, we can constrain the orbital inclination of the inner orbit to $\sim 60^\circ < i_1 < 85^\circ$ even in the absence of binary eclipses, and we estimate the probable properties of the triple system and its components.

Key words. Stars: binaries: spectroscopic – stars: massive – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: individual: V746 Cas = HD 1976; HR 96 = HD 2054

1. Introduction

While the nature of global magnetic fields in late-type stars with convective envelopes seems to be – at least in principle – understood as a consequence of the dynamo mechanism, the origin of organized magnetic fields detected in some O and B stars is less obvious. Given the large progress in the instrumentation allowing the detection of even weak magnetic fields, it is understandable that a very systematic search for the presence of magnetic fields among WR, O, B, and Be stars has recently been conducted by a large team of collaborators. This project, known as MiMES (Magnetism in Massive Stars), was conducted between 2008 and 2013, and the first summary report was published by Wade et al. (2016). These authors report that unlike the magnetic fields of late-type stars, the magnetic fields of hot stars do not show any clear correlations with basic stellar properties such as their mass or rotation rate. We note that the realisation has grown in recent years that a large portion of O and B stars are binaries or even multiple systems, which must have significant impact on the understanding of their properties (see, e.g. Chini et al. 2012;

de Mink et al. 2013). The role of multiplicity in the magnetism of hot stars is worth consideration. Recently, Neiner et al. (2014) reported one preliminary result of the MiMES survey: the discovery of a magnetic field of the triple system V746 Cas. Since the properties of this system were not well known, we were motivated to this study.

V746 Cas (HD 1976, HR 91, BD+51°62, HIP 1921, Boss 67) is a bright ($V = 5^{\text{m}}6$) B5 IV star. Its radial-velocity (RV) variations were discovered by Adams (1912) and confirmed by Plaskett & Pearce (1931). Blaauw & van Albada (1963) derived RVs from a new series of McDonald spectra and published the first orbital elements; see Table 1. In addition to their spectra, they also used the DAO spectra. Abt (1970) published six previous Mt. Wilson RVs, which partly overlap with those published by Adams (1912). Abt et al. (1990) measured RVs of 20 new KPNO spectra and published another set of elements, deriving a period of $25^{\text{d}}44 \pm 0^{\text{d}}03$. Their solution is based solely on the KPNO RVs, but the authors claim that it also fits the previous Mt. Wilson, DAO, and McDonald RVs. McSwain et al. (2007) analysed their 15 new KPNO RVs along with earlier published data and found two comparable periods near 25^d4 and 27^d6. We note that these two periods are 1 yr aliases of each

[★] Based on observations from the Ondřejov, Haute Provence, Bernard Lyot, ESO Hipparcos and Mercator Observatories.




Fig. 1. Top: Ondřejov spectrum taken on RJD 56928.3090 is shown, and several stronger spectral lines are identified. All sharp lines in the vicinity of H α are telluric or weak interstellar lines. Bottom: The Haute Provence Aurelie spectrum taken on RJD 51011.5748, the stronger lines are again identified.

Table 1. Previously published orbital solutions for the V746 Cas close binary.

Element	1	2	3
P_1 (d)	27.8	25.44(3)	25.4176(4)
$T_{\text{peri 1}}$ (RJD)	not given	43840.3(3)	35783.5(1)
e_1	0.2	0.14(9)	0.12(3)
ω_1 ($^\circ$)	140	165(5)	172(2)
K (km s $^{-1}$)	30	23.4(1.9)	23.6(7)
γ (km s $^{-1}$)	-18.	-15.8(1.3)	-9.7(5)
rms (km s $^{-1}$)	not given	4.5	4.81
No. of RVs	16	20	15+32

Notes. All epochs are in RJD=HJD-2400000.0; the rms is the rms of one observation of unit weight. Solution Numbers: 1. Blaauw & van Albada (1963), 2. Abt et al. (1990), 3. McSwain et al. (2007)

other. McSwain et al. (2007) preferred the shorter period and obtained another solution, this time based on most of previously published RVs, which is also given in Table 1.

The first attempt to detect the spectral lines of the secondary was reported by Gómez & Abt (1982). They studied 160 Å long CCD spectra in two wavelength regions: one containing He I 5876 Å and the Na I doublet at 5889 and 5895 Å, and the other containing the Si II doublet at 6347 and 6371 Å, the

Table 2. Journal of RV data sets

Spg. No.	Time interval (RJD)	No. of RVs	Source
1	19026.89–23004.70	7	A
2	24010.41–24891.13	6	B
3	35795.62–35833.55	16	C
4	43411.86–44231.62	20	D
5	53657.79–53696.79	15	E
6	50967.59–51099.45	17	F
7	52538.56–53026.28	3	F
8	56175.56–56214.42	13	F
9	56746.65–57328.69	41	F

Notes. Column Spg. No.: the rows show 1. Mount Wilson Solar Observatory 1.52 m reflector, three-prism spg.; 2. Dominion Astrophysical Observatory 1.88 m reflector, prism spg.; 3. McDonald 2.08 m reflector, coude grating spg. 34 Å mm $^{-1}$; 4. Kitt Peak 1 m coude feed telescope, grating spg. 16.9 Å mm $^{-1}$; 5. Kitt Peak 2.1 m reflector, grating spg.; 6. Haute Provence 1.52 m reflector, Aurelie linear electronic spg.; 7. Haute Provence 1.52 m reflector, Elodie echelle spg.; 8. Bernard Lyot 2 m reflector, echelle spg.; 9. Ondřejov 2.0 m reflector, coude grating spg. 17.2 Å mm $^{-1}$.

Column Source: the rows show A. Adams (1912); Abt (1970); B. Plaskett & Pearce (1931); C. Blaauw & van Albada (1963); D. Abt et al. (1990); E. McSwain et al. (2007); F. this paper.

Ne I 6402 Å line, and several Fe II, Fe I, and Ca I lines, to be able to restrict the possible spectral class of the secondary. They did not show the actual line profiles and published only a few comments. They concluded that the spectral type of the secondary must be earlier than F6, and suspected a possible weak secondary component in the He I 5876 Å line.

V746 Cas is also the brighter member of the visual system ADS 328. Doboco & Andrade (in Doboco & Ling 2005) derived the orbit of the wide pair with a period of 169.29 yr (61832 d), semimajor axis 0 $^{\circ}$:214, eccentricity 0.163, inclination 64 $^{\circ}$:8, argument of periastron 311 $^{\circ}$:8, and periastron passage at 1955.06 (JD 2435130). The distant component B is by some 0 m :9 fainter than V746 Cas.

The light variability of V746 Cas in the range from 5 m :54 to 5 m :56 was discovered by the Hipparcos team (Perryman & ESA 1997), and several investigators reported the presence of two principal periods, 1 d :065 and 2 d :504, and alternatively a few additional periods as well (for instance Waelkens et al. 1998; Andrews & Dukes 2000; De Cat et al. 2007; Dukes et al. 2009, see Sect. 4 for a more detailed account). They all classified the object as a slowly pulsating B-type star (SPB). Before the light variability was discovered, Glushneva et al. (1992) published spectrophotometry of V746 Cas and several other stars and suggested that V746 Cas could serve as a secondary spectrophotometric standard.

Neiner et al. (2014) reported the discovery that the line spectra of V746 Cas are composed of a narrow component, for which they found clear signatures of a magnetic field, and a broad component that is much more variable in RV. They identified the narrow lines and the magnetic field with the primary and the broad-line component with the secondary of the 25 d :4 binary, concluding that the lines of the distant tertiary are not seen in the spectra.

They allowed, however, that the narrow-lined star might also be the visual tertiary or a combination of the primary and tertiary.

Our initial motivation for this study was to resolve the remaining ambiguity in the value of the orbital period. We started to collect new CCD spectra in the red spectral region. Their subsequent analysis led us to a more complex investigation and hopefully to a better understanding of this remarkable triple system, which we report here.

2. Available observational data and their reductions

2.1. Spectroscopy

Our observational material consists of 41 Ondřejov CCD spectra secured in 2014–2015 (S/N 160–300; three underexposed spectra with 27, 37, and 100), 17 OHP Aurelie spectra with an S/N of 130–230, the first one of 36 only (Gillet et al. 1994), which were obtained and studied by Mathias et al. (2001), 3 archival OHP Elodie spectra with S/N 270–280 in the red parts of the spectra (Moultaka et al. 2004), and 13 publicly available echelle spectra from the Bernard Lyot telescope with an S/N greater than 200 in the red parts of the spectra (Petit et al. 2014). The initial reduction of all Ondřejov spectra (bias subtraction, flat-fielding, creation of 1D spectra, and wavelength calibration) was carried out in IRAF. For the Bernard Lyot and OHP Elodie spectra, extracted from public databases, we adopted the original reductions, verifying that the zero-point of the wavelength scale was corrected via telluric lines, as was the case for the Ondřejov spectra. This was more complicated with the Aurelie spectra, which are not available from public archives. P. Mathias kindly provided us with the old DAT tapes, which were reconstructed in Prague. Their new reduction was carried out with the help of simple dedicated programs, the wavelength calibration being carried out with the program SPEFO (Horn et al. 1996; Škoda 1996), namely the latest version 2.63 developed by J. Krpata. Median values of each corresponding sets of offsets and flat spectra were used.

Rectification and removal of residual cosmics and flaws for all sets of spectra were carried out in SPEFO.

We first extracted the red parts of the Elodie and Bernard Lyot spectra ($\sim 6360 - 6740 \text{ \AA}$) to have the same spectral region as is covered by the Ondřejov spectra. One Ondřejov spectrum is shown in Fig. 1. As was previously noted by Neiner et al. (2014), the line profiles are not symmetric but contain broad and narrow components, the broad component varying in RV over a wide velocity range. The profiles might also be affected by sub-features that are related to rapid light and line-profile variations and move across the line profiles as a result of stellar rotation. For all Elodie and Bernard Lyot spectra, we also extracted the blue, green, and yellow parts of the spectra over the wavelength range from 4000 to 6360 \AA . We also extracted the Aurelie spectra, which cover the wavelength range from 4095 to 4155 \AA .

Finally, we also collected RV measurements obtained by several investigators and published in the literature. The journal of all available RVs is listed in Table 2 and all individual RVs are presented in Table A.1 for the data from the literature. When they were not available in the original source, we converted the observation dates into HJDs. For brevity, we use reduced Julian dates

$$\text{RJD} = \text{HJD} - 240000.0$$

throughout. Abt (1970) published six RVs from Mount Wilson secured between December 1910 and November 1921. They include RVs published by Adams (1912), with the exception of the very first observation, which was secured on 1910 December 21.

We therefore adopted the first RV from Adams (1912) and all remaining Mount Wilson RVs (spg. 1) from Abt (1970).

2.2. Standard RV measurements

To resolve the problem of the true orbital period and to obtain reliable orbital elements, we first tested several techniques of RV measurements. For the most numerous set of the red spectra, we measured the two strongest lines, $H\alpha$ and $\text{He I } 6678 \text{ \AA}$, in SPEFO. This program displays direct and flipped traces of the line profiles superimposed on the computer screen that the user can slide to achieve a precise overlapping of the parts of the profile whose RV is to be measured. We separately measured the line cores and outer line wings. We note that two of the Ondřejov spectra (RJDs 56920 and 57228) are underexposed and we were only able to measure the RVs of the wings of $H\alpha$. These RV measurements are listed in Table A.2. For the Aurelie spectra, we also measured RVs of the broad wings of the $\text{He I } 4144 \text{ \AA}$ line, and these measurements are listed in Table A.3 in Appendix A.

While the uncertainty of the SPEFO measuring procedure alone seems small (of the order of a few km s^{-1}), as determined from three independent measurements, there are three additional sources of uncertainties: photon noise, systematics arising from the rectification, and systematics from line blending. The latter is probably not critical for RVs of a particular spectral line, but they may dominate the uncertainty budget for a mean RV from several spectral lines (as discussed below). In any case, the total uncertainty is higher than the formal rms errors and might reach up to 10 km s^{-1} in the least favourable cases.

For the Elodie and Bernard Lyot spectra, we also measured RVs of the following stronger lines in SPEFO: $\text{He I } 4009, 4143, 4471, 4713, 4922, 5016, 5047, \text{ and } 5876 \text{ \AA}$, $\text{C II } 4267 \text{ \AA}$, and $\text{Mg II } 4481 \text{ \AA}$. We did not use the $\text{He I } 4026 \text{ \AA}, 4120 \text{ \AA}, \text{ and } 4387 \text{ \AA}$ lines, since the first is affected by an inter-order jump in all Bernard Lyot spectra, while the profiles of the other two lines are affected by strong blends in their neighbourhood. Finally, for the Aurelie spectra we measured RVs of $H\delta$ and $\text{He I } 4143 \text{ \AA}$.

2.3. Photoelectric observations and their homogenisation

There are three principal sets of photoelectric observations suitable for period analyses:

1. The Hipparcos H_p observations (Perryman & ESA 1997),
2. the *uvby* observations from the Four-College APT secured by one of us; a subset of these observations has previously been analysed by Dukes et al. (2009), and
3. the Geneva 7-C observations obtained and studied by De Cat et al. (2004) and De Cat et al. (2007).

One of us, R.J. Dukes, was able to recover the APT *uvby* observations, or more precisely, a more numerous data set than was used in his published studies. It was deemed useful to repeat the period analysis with a complete and homogenised set of the data. The yellow-band observations (H_p and Geneva V magnitudes converted into Johnson V , and Strömgren y) are the most numerous and represent the best set for the analysis. More details on the data processing and their homogenisation can be found in Appendix C, where the journal of these observations is also listed in Table C.1. After the removal of data from non-photometric nights, we are left with 2060 individual yellow-band observations spanning an interval of nearly 9800 d.

3. Towards true orbital periods of the system

Figure 2 shows dynamical spectra for the $H\alpha$ and $He\text{I } 6678 \text{ \AA}$ line versus phase of the 25^d416 period. The orbital motion in the line wings of both lines is clearly visible. In contrast, the line cores do not exhibit clear RV variations with the same amplitude. We also note that there is no indication of an antiphase RV variation expected for the secondary in the 25^d416 orbit. This means that the bulk of the line cores *is not* associated with *the primary*, as suggested by Neiner et al. (2014), but with *the tertiary* of the visual orbit.

As explained above, we measured RVs separately for the broad and narrow parts of the $H\alpha$ and $He\text{I } 6678 \text{ \AA}$ lines. We immediately noted, in accord with Neiner et al. (2014), that the range of RV variations is much smaller for the narrow parts of the lines, and we verified that the RVs of the wide parts of the lines vary with the known ~ 25.4 d period.

The SPEFO RVs of the line cores of the Balmer lines seemingly follow the 25.4 d RV curve of the wide parts of the lines, in phase with the wide wings, but with a strongly reduced amplitude. This implies that they do not belong to the secondary in the 25.4 d orbit but to the distant tertiary, with a nearly constant RV. Their apparent RV changes are caused only by the strong line blending of the lines of the primary and tertiary.




Fig. 2. Dynamical spectra plotted vs. orbital phase of the 25^d416 period with phase zero at superior conjunction. Lines of $H\alpha$ (top panel) and $He\text{I } 6678 \text{ \AA}$ (bottom panel) are shown. The white dots denote the RV position of the deepest point of each line profile to show that the position of the line cores does not vary with the 25^d416 period.

3.1. Period analysis of RVs

To resolve the question of the true value of the orbital period of the closer pair, we calculated PDM periodograms (Stellingwerf 1978) for individual data subsets. They are shown in Fig. 3. It is immediately seen that neither the Blaauw & van Albada (1963) nor the McSwain et al. (2007) RVs alone are able to restrict the value of the orbital period. Blaauw & van Albada (1963) were obviously aware of the limitations of their data set since they estimated the uncertainty of the 27^d8 period to be as much as one day. The time interval covered by their own observations is shorter than two orbital periods. We also note that the first data set from spectrograph 1, although it spans a long time interval, is probably of limited accuracy and cannot be used to determine a unique period.




Fig. 3. Stellingwerf (1978) θ statistics periodograms for several data sets of RVs published by various authors, and the SPEFO RVs of the outer wings of the $H\alpha$ and $H\delta$ lines.

Only observations by Abt (1970) and our new RVs are numerous enough to identify the true orbital period. Their periodograms are mutually similar. The dominant period of 25^d416 ($f = 0.0393 \text{ c d}^{-1}$) and its first harmonics are clearly visible.

Table 3. Exploratory FOTEL solutions for RVs from the literature and from our red spectra.

Element	Spgs. 2 – 8	Gauss He I	SPEFO He I
P (d)	25.41569(42)	25.41569 fix.	25.41569 fix.
$T_{\text{periastr.}}$	43838.5(1.0)	54385.9(1.2)	54385.16(84)
$T_{\text{super.c.}}$	43836.1	54383.7	54383.92
$T_{\text{RVmax.}}$	43829.9	54377.6	54378.33
e	0.104(23)	0.163(40)	0.162(28)
ω ($^\circ$)	131(15)	132(17)	115(12)
K_1 (km s^{-1})	24.0(1.2)	37.1(4.4)	41.6(1.5)
γ_2 (km s^{-1})	-29.3(4.8)	–	–
γ_3 (km s^{-1})	-15.9(1.7)	–	–
γ_4 (km s^{-1})	-16.5(1.2)	–	–
γ_5 (km s^{-1})	-8.4(1.0)	–	–
γ_6 (km s^{-1})	-16.4(1.1)	-10.2(1.8)	-15.0(1.7)
γ_7 (km s^{-1})	-8.4(1.3)	-17.0(3.4)	-10.8(4.7)
γ_8 (km s^{-1})	-12.1(1.3)	-8.9(1.9)	-9.1(2.1)
γ_9 (km s^{-1})	-12.35(0.75)	-12.3(1.6)	-13.7(1.3)
rms (km s^{-1})	4.45	8.27	7.41
No. of RVs	131	72	72

Notes. All epochs are in RJD; rms is the rms of one observation of unit weight. The error of epoch is shorter for a reference epoch close to the centre of the interval covered by data. To facilitate comparison of the first solution with the remaining two, we also provide corresponding recalculated epochs: RJD 54386.0, RJD 54383.6, and RJD 54377.4.

A smaller minimum at 0.0360 c d^{-1} corresponds to a 1 yr alias of the 25^d416 period.

3.2. Linear ephemeris for the inner-orbit period

To obtain as precise a value of the orbital period P_1 of the inner binary as possible, we derived some trial orbital solutions with the program FOTEL (Hadrava 1990, 2004a). We first calculated an orbital solution based on our new SPEFO RVs. The semi-amplitude for the He I 6678 Å RVs is higher than that obtained from the $H\alpha$ RVs. It is a well-known effect for early-type stars that the lines with appreciably Stark-broadened wings like the Balmer lines give lower RV amplitudes and are not suitable for the determination of true orbital elements (e.g. Andersen 1975; Andersen et al. 1983). This clearly represents another complication on the way to obtaining realistic binary properties.

Since our first task is, however, to derive the correct value of the orbital period, we adopted the SPEFO $H\alpha$ RVs and $H\delta$ RVs for the Aurelie spectra, which give a semi-amplitude closer to those found by previous investigators; see Table 1. We combined them with all RVs from the literature and ran a solution in which we allowed the calculation of individual systemic velocities (γ_s) for individual data sets. Using the rms errors of individual sets, we derived their weights inversely proportional to the squares of the corresponding rms errors. Investigating the phase plots, we found that the RVs of spectrograph 1 (Mt. Wilson) probably refer to a combination of the broad and narrow parts of the line profiles and cannot contribute meaningfully to constrain the orbital period.

We then calculated a joint solution for all weighted RVs from spgs. 2 – 8 (see the first solution in Table 3), and we adopted the




Fig. 4. Top: A phase plot of all file 2 to file 5 RVs (i.e. data from the literature). Bottom: A phase plot of all our new file 6 to file 9 RVs. SPEFO $H\alpha$ and $H\delta$ RVs were used for the new spg. 6 to 9 spectra. Small dots denote the $O-C$ residuals from the orbital solution. All RVs in the two lower panels were corrected for the difference in γ velocities, adopting γ of the most numerous file 9 as the reference. In all plots, the orbital period of 25^d41569 and the reference epoch of the periastron passage $\text{RJD} = 43838.65$ from the first solution of Table 3 were used. The rms errors of individual RVs are shown whenever available.

orbital period from this solution

$$T_{\text{super.conj.}} = \text{HJD } 2454384.65(44) + 25^d41569(42). \quad (1)$$

Phase plots for this joint solution are shown in Fig. 4, separately for the RVs from the literature and for our new $H\alpha$ and $H\delta$ RVs.

Keeping the orbital period fixed, we then derived two other solutions, this time based on our new $\text{He I } 6678 \text{ \AA}$ RVs from spgs. 6–9 and using alternatively the RV set based on the Gaussian fits and on the SPEFO RVs. The results are also listed in Table 3. The elements show that it is not easy to choose the correct value of K_1 and the mass function. The semiamplitude and eccentricity differ for the individual solutions, depending on the line(s) measured and also on the measuring technique. A part of the problem is the fact that almost all spectral lines are to some extent affected by neighbouring blends.

We also tried to disentangle the lines of the system components using the KOREL program (Hadrava 1995, 1997, 2004b). However, since the spectra at our disposal cover only one-tenth of the visual orbit for the $\text{He I } 4143 \text{ \AA}$ line and even less for the other spectral lines, the semiamplitudes of the bodies in the outer orbit could not be meaningfully converged and we have no firm clue how to fix them. We only verified that no trace of the secondary could be found. In our experience, this implies that the

Table 4. Improved visual orbit of the V746 Cas tertiary.

Element	Value with rms error
P_2 (d/yr)	$62004 \pm 1202/169.76 \pm 3.29$
$T_{\text{peri}2}$ (RJD)	34613 ± 820
e_2	0.176 ± 0.023
ω_2 ($^\circ$)	$308.2 \pm 9.4^{**}$
Ω_2 ($^\circ$)	29 ± 12
i_2 ($^\circ$)	64 ± 16
a_2 (mas)	211 ± 97
$m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ (M_\odot)	9.34 (range 5.50–17.8)*

Notes. *) Assuming a revised Hipparcos parallax of $0''.00326 \pm 0''.00063$ after van Leeuwen (2007a,b). **) The argument of periastron refers to the visual tertiary. For the close binary it would be 128.2 , of course.

secondary must be for more than three magnitudes fainter than the combined light of the primary and tertiary.

3.3. Speckle-interferometry and the visual orbit

Adding one speckle-interferometric observation from 2007 (Mason et al. 2009) to the existing set of 37 observations of V746 Cas, we were able to derive a new visual orbit of the third body, but our preliminary solution confirmed the orbit published by Docobo and Andrade (see Docobo & Ling 2005). For reference, it is summarised in Table 4. The reported uncertainties are the nominal ones, corresponding to a single local minimum of the respective χ^2 . However, the values of P_2 and e_2 seem to be strongly correlated. Moreover, they strongly depend on the five previous astrometric observations from the beginning of the 20th century. Their real uncertainty can probably be greater than 0.02 arcsec, which we assumed for them.

4. Nature of the rapid photometric variations

4.1. Overview and analysis of previous results

Table 5 provides an overview of various frequencies of light variations of V746 Cas reported by several investigators. There seems to be no doubt about the two principal frequencies, detected by all investigators, 0.939 and 0.399 c d^{-1} . Notably, Mathias et al. (2001) were unable to find any spectroscopic signatures of these two frequencies in their Aurelie spectra covering the wavelength interval from 4085 to 4155 \AA . The authors state, however, that all their spectra have S/Ns lower than 140. Two groups, De Cat et al. (2004) and Dukes et al. (2009), reported another frequency, 0.799 c d^{-1} . De Cat et al. (2004) denoted it as a possible alias. We note that it is an exact harmonics of the 0.399 c d^{-1} frequency. In our opinion, this indicates that the light curve with the 0.399 c d^{-1} frequency deviates from a sinusoidal shape. Therefore, the 0.799 c d^{-1} frequency is not an independent frequency. Another weak frequency of 1.20346 c d^{-1} reported by De Cat et al. (2007) (which in our opinion could be the second harmonics of 0.399 c d^{-1}) could not be confirmed by Dukes et al. (2009), who analysed their own Strömgen $uvby$ photometry from the Four College Automatic Photoelectric telescope (APT) along with the H_p and Geneva 7-C photometries. They found a frequency of 0.9309 c d^{-1} , however, which is quite close to $f_1 = 0.939 \text{ c d}^{-1}$. We note that 0.9390 and 0.9309 c d^{-1}

Table 5. Frequencies and periods of light variations of V746 Cas found by various investigators.

Frequency (cd^{-1})	Period (d)	Source	Identification
0.94	1.06	1	f_1
0.939	1.06	2	f_1
0.399	2.51	2	f_2
0.93914(3)	1.06480	3	f_1
0.39934(4)	2.50413	3	f_2
0.39923(4)	2.50413	4	f_2
0.93895(4)	1.06502	4	f_1
0.79906(9)	1.25147	4	$2f_2$
0.96630(9)	1.03488	4	
0.39946(4)	2.50338	5	f_2
0.93895(4)	1.06502	5	f_1
1.20346(6)	0.830937	5	$3f_2?$
0.9390	1.065	6	f_1
0.3994	2.504	6	f_2
0.9309	1.074	6	
0.7988	1.252	6	$2f_2$

Notes. Column Source: the rows show 1. Waelkens et al. (1998), H_p photometry; 2. Andrews & Dukes (2000), Four College APT and H_p photometry; 3. Mathias et al. (2001), H_p photometry; 4. De Cat et al. (2004), Geneva 7C and H_p photometry; 5. De Cat et al. (2007), Geneva 7C photometry; 6. Dukes et al. (2009), Four College APT, Geneva 7C and H_p photometry.

mutually differ for one-third of the frequency of a sidereal year, so we suspect that the 0.9309 cd^{-1} frequency is an alias. The above facts led us to suspect that the light changes of V746 Cas are modulated only by two independent periods.

4.2. New period analysis of available photometry

For the purpose of the period analysis, we subtracted seasonal mean values from all data to remove possible slight secular variations of either instrumental systems of the telescopes or true small secular changes (see Appendix C for details). We used the programs PERIOD04 (Lenz & Breger 2005) and FOTEL for the modelling and fits. We found that the longer, non-sinusoidal period of 2.5 d has larger amplitudes for the second and fifth harmonics as well (periods $1^{\text{d}}25194$, and $0^{\text{d}}50077$), which were then included into fits. Inspecting cases with more observations within short time-intervals like 0.03 d, we conclude that in all three data sets there are cases when the rms error of single observations exceeds $0^{\text{m}}007$. The rms error of the fit is only slowly decreasing when more frequencies are added, and it remains on the $0^{\text{m}}007$ level.

We arrive at the following ephemerides for the $1^{\text{d}}065$, and $2^{\text{d}}504$ periods:

$$T_{\text{max.light}} = \text{RJD } 52540.2866(61) + 1^{\text{d}}0649524(40), \quad (2)$$

$$T_{\text{max.light}} = \text{RJD } 52242.585(32) + 2^{\text{d}}503867(19). \quad (3)$$

Binary eclipses can also be safely excluded from the available photometry (see Fig. 5). Our simulations of the light curve




Fig. 5. All yellow-band photometric observations prewhitened for both the $1^{\text{d}}065$ period, and the $2^{\text{d}}5039$ period and its harmonics, plotted vs. orbital phase from ephemeris (1).

for the $25^{\text{d}}4$ orbit with the program PHOEBE 1 (Prša & Zwitter 2005) for plausible values of the stellar radii (see below) then show there is a rather strict *upper* limit for the orbital inclination, $i_1 \leq 85^\circ$.

4.3. Periodic variations of the magnetic field

We tried to determine whether the observed variations of the magnetic field found by Neiner et al. (2014) might not be related to the known photometric changes. We quickly found that the magnetic field indeed varies with the longer of the photometric periods, $2^{\text{d}}50387$; see Fig. 6.

A sinusoidal variation of the magnetic field intensity is commonly interpreted as a dipole field inclined to the rotational axis of the star and varying with the stellar rotational period. Neiner et al. (2014) associated the magnetic field with the narrow-line component, which they considered to be the primary, but which is – as we have shown here – the distant tertiary component moving in the long orbit with the $25^{\text{d}}4$ binary.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 6 we also plot the Gaussian-fit RVs of the narrow component of He I 6678 Å (i.e. RVs of the magnetic-field tertiary) line vs. phase of the $2^{\text{d}}504$ period. The scatter is rather large, but that the RV variations clearly show some similarity to the light changes. This could be due to the corotating structures related to the magnetic field of the tertiary. We mention this to alert future observers that it might be rewarding to obtain systematic whole-night series of spectra at high resolution to verify the reality of the phenomenon and possibly to apply techniques such as Doppler imaging if the phenomenon is confirmed to be real.

4.4. Rapid line-profile changes of the primary?

If some rapid line-profile changes are also present in the spectra of the primary, they could manifest themselves as additional RV disturbances, overlapped over the orbital RV changes. While the technique of the settings on the outer line wings in SPEF0 might tend to mask such changes (while affecting the true RV amplitude), such disturbances should be best detected in the Gaussian fits, which use two fixed Gaussian line profiles, mutually moved only in RV. We therefore inspected the $O-C$ RV residuals from the $25^{\text{d}}4$ orbit for the Gaussian He I 6678 Å RVs based on repeated measurements. In Fig. 7 we compare the plot of these RV




Fig. 6. Phase plots for the 2^d50387 period calculated using ephemeris 3. Top: A phase plot of all yellow-band photometric observations prewhitened for the 1^d06495 period. Middle: A phase plot of the magnetic field observations from measurements of Neiner et al. (2014) from spectra not affected by technical problems and for the mean values from all lines. The rms errors of the measurements are shown by the error bars. Bottom: A phase plot of the Gaussian-fit He I 6678 Å RVs.

residuals with the plot of yellow-band photometry prewhitened for the 2^d50387 period. There is some indication of sinusoidal residual RV changes with the photometric period of 1^d065 , especially for RVs with lower rms errors. The modulation is nicely seen in the subset of Aurelie high-S/N and high-resolution spectra. While it is clear that the ultimate confirmation may only come from new whole-night series of high-resolution spectra, we do believe there is a reason to identify the 1^d065 period with the rotational period of the primary. The modulation of mea-




Fig. 7. Phase plots for the 1^d06495 period calculated using ephemeris 2. Top: All yellow-band photometry $O-C$ residuals prewhitened for the 2.5 d period and its harmonics are shown. Middle: A plot of all the residuals from the orbital solution for the Gaussian-fit He I 6678 Å RVs. Bottom: A plot of the residuals from the orbital solution for the Gaussian fit He I 6678 Å RVs for the subset of Aurelie spectra.

sured RVs could be caused by some structures on the surface of the primary, moving across the stellar disk as the star rotates. We note that there is growing evidence for rotational modulation for a number of B stars from the photometry obtained by the Kepler satellite and by ground-based surveys (see, e.g. McNamara et al. 2012; Nielsen et al. 2013; Kourniotis et al. 2014; Balona et al. 2015; Balona 2016).

Table 6. Radiative properties of the V746 Cas primary and tertiary derived from a comparison of selected wavelength segments of the observed and interpolated synthetic spectra of the primary and tertiary.

Element	Primary	Tertiary
T_{eff} (K)	15850(400)	14920(490)
$\log g$ [cgs]	3.68(12)	3.80(15)
$L_{4000-4280}$	0.709(54)	0.295(54)
$L_{4308-4490}$	0.700(48)	0.302(48)
$L_{4700-5025}$	0.701(65)	0.305(64)
$L_{6670-6690}$	0.704(60)	0.295(60)
$v \sin i$ (km s ⁻¹)	179(6)	72(4)

5. Initial estimates of the basic physical elements of the system

5.1. Radiative properties of the primary and tertiary

To determine the radiative properties of the two dominant components, we used the Python program PYTERPOL, which interpolates in a pre-calculated grid of synthetic spectra. Using a set of observed spectra, it tries to find the optimal fit between the observed and interpolated model spectra with the help of a simplex minimization technique. It returns the radiative properties of the system components such as T_{eff} , $v \sin i$ or $\log g$, but also the relative luminosities of the stars and RVs of individual spectra.¹ The function of the program is described in detail in Nemravová et al. (2016).

In our particular application, two grids of synthetic spectra, Lanz & Hubený (2007) for $T_{\text{eff}} > 15000$ K, and Palacios et al. (2010) for $T_{\text{eff}} < 15000$ K, were used to estimate basic properties of the primary and tertiary for all 16 Aurelie, 3 Elodie, and 13 Bernard Lyot high-S/N spectra. The following spectral regions containing numerous spectral lines, but avoiding the inter-order transitions and regions with stronger telluric lines, were modelled simultaneously:

4000–4025 Å, 4097–4155 Å, 4260–4280 Å,
4308–4405 Å, 4450–4490 Å, 4700–4725 Å,
4817–4935 Å, 5008–5025 Å, and 6670–6690 Å.

Relative component luminosities were fitted separately in four spectral bands: 4000–4280 Å, 4308–4490 Å, 4700–5025 Å, and 6670–6690 Å. Uncertainties of radiative properties were obtained through Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation implemented within emcee² Python library by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). They are summarised in Table 6. We note that in contrast to the N-body model, which we use below for the final estimate of the most probable properties of the system, PYTERPOL derives the RV from individual spectra without any assumption about orbital motion. It is therefore reassuring that when we allowed for a free convergence of the orbital period in a trial solution based on the PYTERPOL RVs, we arrived at a value of 25^d4156(13), in excellent agreement with the value of ephemeris (1) based on all available RVs.

¹ The program PYTERPOL is available with a tutorial at <https://github.com/chrysante87/pyterpol/wiki>.

² The library is available through GitHub <https://github.com/dfm/emcee.git> and its thorough description is at <http://dan.iel.fm/emcee/current/>.

5.2. Additional properties of the primary

All available MKK spectral classifications of V746 Cas summarized in the SIMBAD bibliography, including a recent one by Tamazian et al. (2006) based on high-resolution spectra, agree on the spectral type B5 IV. Dereddening of the mean all-sky standard UBV magnitudes from Hvar (see Table C.1) gives $V_0 = 5^m43$, $(B-V)_0 = -0^m170$, $(U-B)_0 = -0^m635$, and $E(B-V) = 0^m055$, which corresponds to a B4-5IV star after the calibration by Golay (1974).

We can then use the observed Hipparcos parallax p of V746 Cas to further constrain the primary. According to the improved reduction (van Leeuwen 2007a,b), $p = 0''.00326(63)$. Assuming the above mentioned $V_0 = 5^m43$ for the whole system, the observed magnitude difference between the primary and tertiary and neglecting the light contribution from the unseen secondary, we arrive at $V_0^1 = 5^m82$ for the primary. Using the above-mentioned range of the possible values of the parallax, 0''.00263 to 0''.00394, we obtain $M_V^1 = -2^m08$ and -1^m20 , respectively. The bolometric corrections for the considered range of the effective temperature of the primary are from -1^m433 to -1^m557 so that the extreme allowed values of the bolometric magnitude of the primary M_{bol}^1 are -2^m63 to -3^m64 .

If 1^d065 is the rotational period of the primary, then for $v \sin i_1 = 179$ km s⁻¹, derived from the PYTERPOL solution for the primary, $R_1 \geq 2\pi v \sin i / P = 3.77 R_{\odot}$. For instance, if $i_1 = 70^\circ$, we obtain $R_1 = 4.01 R_{\odot}$. For the expected range of the primary mass, this would imply $\log g = 3.98$ to 4.04 [cgs] for the primary, which is slightly offset at the 2.5σ level with respect to the range deduced from the fit by synthetic spectra (Table 6). We note, however, that the $\log g$ values are very sensitive to an accurate placement of the continuum, which is especially difficult for Balmer lines from the echelle spectra. On the other hand, if the true rotational period is a photometric double-wave curve with a period twice longer, that is, 2^d130, we would obtain $\log g = 3.38$ to 3.44 [cgs]; again offset from the nominal range. This would also correspond to significantly larger radius $R_1 = 8.02 R_{\odot}$ and an even lower upper limit of i . Given all the uncertainties involved, however, both possibilities need to be kept in mind.

5.3. Tertiary component

The more recent estimates of the magnitude difference between the close binary and tertiary from astrometry (Perryman & ESA 1997; Mason et al. 2009) agree on $m_{3V} - m_{(1+2)V} \sim 0^m9$. The relative luminosities of the primary and tertiary estimated with PYTERPOL (see Table 6) imply $m_{3V} - m_{(1+2)V} = 0^m92$, in remarkable agreement with the astrometric estimates. This once more confirms our identification of the narrow-line component seen in the spectra with the tertiary.

Using the $v \sin i_3 = 72$ km s⁻¹ estimated from the PYTERPOL solution for the tertiary and adopting the 2^d504 period as its period of rotation, we can similarly estimate the radius of the tertiary $R_3 \geq 3.56 R_{\odot}$. Assuming that the inclination of the rotational axis of the tertiary is identical with the orbital inclination of the outer orbit 64° , we estimate $\log g$ from 3.90 to 4.01 for it. This agrees quite well with the observed range of $\log g$ from the line-profile modelling.

5.4. Component masses

In order to preliminarily estimate the component masses, we calculated evolutionary tracks using the Mesastar program (Paxton et al. 2015) and compared them with the observed range of the




Fig. 8. Evolutionary tracks in effective temperature T_{eff} vs surface gravity $\log g$ plots, including pre-MS (thin line), MS, and SGB phases (thick line). The horizontal and vertical dotted lines correspond to the allowed 1σ ranges of the respective parameters, according to Table 6. The top panel shows the primary component of V746 Cas for the two values of its mass $m_1 = 5.6 M_{\odot}$ and $6.7 M_{\odot}$. The bottom panel shows the tertiary for $m_3 = 4.6 M_{\odot}$ and $6.0 M_{\odot}$.

values of T_{eff} and $\log g$, deduced from the line-profile fits, in Table 6. In all cases we assumed a helium abundance $Y = 0.274$, metallicity $Z = 0.0195$ (i.e. very close to the standard values of Caughlan & Fowler (1988)), and mixing length parameter $\alpha = 2.1$. We also accounted for element diffusion, Reimers red giant branch (RGB) wind with $\eta = 0.6$, Blocker AGB wind with $\eta = 0.1$, even though neither is very relevant on the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS). Semiconvection and convective overshooting were both switched off. The maximum output time step was $\Delta t = 10^5$ yr to resolve the terminal main sequence (TAMS). Mesastar program, rev. 8845 (Paxton et al. 2015), was used for these computations.

From the comparison presented in Fig. 8, we can estimate the mass of the primary to be from $m_1 = 5.6$ to $6.7 M_{\odot}$ (1σ range). We verified that the evolutionary tracks in the considered region close to the TAMS are not overly sensitive to the value of metallicity. For $Z = 0.04$, the corresponding mass range changes by as much as $0.1 M_{\odot}$; lower metallicities are not likely for relatively young stars. The same is true for the mixing-length parameter α or the semiconvection parameter α_{sc} , since both stars are in a radiative equilibrium. For instance, $\alpha = 1.6$ or $\alpha_{\text{sc}} = 0.01$ lead to essentially the same results. For the overshooting parameters

$f_{\text{ov}} = 0.014$ and $f_0 = 0.004$ (i.e. within the range discussed in Herwig (2000)), the evolution around the TAMS is notably different, nevertheless, the range of masses would be shifted only slightly upwards, by less than $0.1 M_{\odot}$,

A similar analysis for the tertiary component led to the mass $m_3 = 4.6$ to $6.0 M_{\odot}$. Within the uncertainties, the primary and tertiary stars can even be equally massive and have very similar effective temperatures. The similarity of the primary and tertiary is also supported by the fact that their relative luminosities over the whole optical range seem to be the same; see Table 6.

6. N-body model of the V746 Cas system

6.1. Formulation

To analyse all available observational data in the most consistent way, we attempted to use the N-body model of Brož (2017), which was recently developed and successfully applied to the ξ Tauri quadruple system (Nemravová et al. 2016). Here, we used a significantly extended version of it. While a detailed technical description is given in the latter paper, we repeat here a subset of equations relevant for our problem:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{bi} = - \sum_{j \neq i}^{N_{\text{bod}}} \frac{Gm_j}{r_{ji}^3} \mathbf{r}_{ji} + \mathbf{f}_{\text{tidal}} + \mathbf{f}_{\text{oblat}} + \mathbf{f}_{\text{ppn}}, \quad (4)$$

$$I'_{\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{bod}}} \frac{L_j}{L_{\text{tot}}} I_{\text{syn}} \left[\lambda \left(1 - \frac{v_{z,bj} + \gamma}{c} \right), T_{\text{eff } j}, \log g_j, v_{\text{rot } j}, \mathcal{Z}_j \right], \quad (5)$$

$$F'_V = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{bod}}} \left(\frac{R_j}{d} \right)^2 \int_0^{\infty} F_{\text{syn}} [\lambda, T_{\text{eff } j}, \log g_j, v_{\text{rot } j}, \mathcal{Z}_j] f_V(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (6)$$

$$m'_V = -2.5 \log_{10} \frac{F'_V}{F_{V\text{calib}} \int_0^{\infty} f_V(\lambda) d\lambda}, \quad (7)$$

where the notation is as follows. The index i always corresponds to observational data, j to individual bodies, $N_{\text{bod}} = 3$ is the number of bodies, \mathbf{r}_b barycentric coordinates, m component mass, $\mathbf{f}_{\text{tidal}}$, $\mathbf{f}_{\text{oblat}}$ and \mathbf{f}_{ppn} contributions from tidal, oblateness, and parametrized post-Newtonian (PPN) accelerations, which are included for the sake of completeness, even though they are negligible in the V746 Cas system; I_{λ} , I_{syn} normalized synthetic spectrum (intensity) of the whole system and component, with appropriate Doppler shifts, L , L_{tot} component luminosity and the total luminosity, T_{eff} effective temperature, $\log g$ surface gravity [in cgs], v_{rot} projected rotational velocity, \mathcal{Z} metallicity, F_{syn} absolute monochromatic flux (in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-1}$ units) for any of the standard UBVRJHK or non-standard bands, $F_{V\text{calib}}$ calibration flux, and $f_V(\lambda)$ filter transmission function. Both normalized and absolute synthetic spectra were interpolated on-the-fly by PYTERPOL, as described in Nemravová et al. (2016). For the absolute spectra, the grids BSTAR (Lanz & Hubený 2007) and PHOENIX (Husser et al. 2013) were used.

Synthetic data and observation are compared by means of a combined χ^2 metric

$$\chi^2 = w_{\text{sky}} \chi_{\text{sky}}^2 + w_{\text{syn}} \chi_{\text{syn}}^2 + w_{\text{sed}} \chi_{\text{sed}}^2 + \chi_{\text{mass}}^2, \quad (8)$$

with individual contributions defined as

$$\chi_{\text{sky}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{bod}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{sky } j}} \left\{ \frac{(\Delta x_{ji})^2}{\sigma_{\text{sky major } ji}^2} + \frac{(\Delta y_{ji})^2}{\sigma_{\text{sky minor } ji}^2} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$(\Delta x_{ji}, \Delta y_{ji}) = \mathbf{R} \left(-\phi_{\text{ellipse}} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \times \begin{pmatrix} x'_{pji} - x_{pji} \\ y'_{pji} - y_{pji} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$\chi_{\text{syn}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{syn}}} \frac{(I'_{\lambda i} - I_{\lambda i})^2}{\sigma_{\text{syn } i}^2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\chi_{\text{sed}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{sed}}} \frac{(m'_{Vi} - m_{Vi})^2}{\sigma_{\text{sed } i}^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\chi_{\text{mass}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{bod}}} \left(\frac{2m_j - m_j^{\text{min}} - m_j^{\text{max}}}{m_j^{\text{max}} - m_j^{\text{min}}} \right)^{100}, \quad (13)$$

where x_p, y_p denote 1+2 photocentric sky-plane coordinates, $\sigma_{\text{sky major, minor}}$ uncertainty of the astrometric position, ϕ_{ellipse} position angle of the respective ellipse, $\mathbf{R}(\dots)$ the corresponding 2×2 rotation matrix, σ_{syn} uncertainty of the normalized intensity, σ_{sed} uncertainty of the spectral-energy distribution, and $m_j^{\text{min}}, m_j^{\text{max}}$ minimum and maximum allowed masses. The last term is an artificial function with a sufficiently steep and smooth behaviour; the high exponent prevents the simplex from drifting away. Optionally, we can use weights w to enforce a convergence for selected less-numerous data sets (e.g. with $w_{\text{sky}} = 10$).

6.2. Application to V746 Cas

The number of free parameters in our model is $L_{\text{free}} = 26$; namely the masses m_j , orbital elements of the two orbits $P_j, e_j, i_j, \Omega_j, \omega_j, M_j$, systemic velocity γ , distance d , gravitational acceleration $\log g_j$, effective temperatures $T_{\text{eff } j}$, and projected rotational velocities $v_{\text{rot } j}$. Radii are thus dependent quantities computed as $R_j = \sqrt{Gm_j/g_j}$. As usual, the parameter space is very extended and we can expect a number of local minima. As reasonable starting points, we used the results of previous observation-specific models. We then employed a simplex algorithm for the minimisation, with various starting points and several restarts. We also verified the results by simulated annealing, even though neither of the algorithms can guarantee that the true global minimum was found.

We used a complete observational data set: (i) 37 speckle-interferometric and astrometric observations of the third body, including some older and rather uncertain ones; (ii) 72 spectra from the Elodie, Aurelie, Lyot, and Ondřejov datasets; this would represent 184 666 individual data points, but for our analysis we used 21 506 mean points in order to have a faster computation with a comparable resolution of all spectra; (iii) 93 spectral-energy distribution measurements from Hvar, Geneva and Glushneva et al. (1992). For the last data set we had to perform dereddening, assuming $A_V = 3.1 E(B - V)$ relation, $E(B - V) = 0.055$, and the wavelength dependence from Scheffler & Elsaesser (1987), Tab. 4.1.

On the other hand, we did *not* use radial velocities, as these are derived quantities possibly affected by some systematics, but rather the rectified spectra directly. We incorporated the same spectral regions, unaffected by any stronger telluric lines as in Sect. 5.1. Our approach is even better than using Pyterpol alone (which fits a single spectrum at a time), because the orbital motion is simulated by the N-body model, which links the spectra

observed at different times. As a consequence, we are more sensitive to uncertainties and systematics of the rectification procedure, which would otherwise be hidden.

We encountered two serious problems, which required some modifications of the program. First, the secondary is practically unconstrained, except for the radial motion of the primary. Its convergence is thus impossible and we used the Harmanec (1988) relations instead, that is to say, we used only its effective temperature $T_{\text{eff } 2}$ as a free parameter and computed the remaining ones, as $\log m_2(X)$, $\log R_2(X)$, $\log g_2(X)$, where $X \equiv \log T_{\text{eff } 2}$, to constrain the secondary as a main-sequence star. If the secondary becomes too hot in the course of convergence, it will become visible in the synthetic spectra, of course.

Another problem arises from missing direct estimates of the radii R_1 and R_3 (there are no eclipses, no spectro-interferometry). We experienced a tendency to converge towards unrealistic hot subdwarfs and therefore had to use a mass constraint inferred from Mesastar modelling, in particular $m_1^{\text{min}} = 4.6 M_{\odot}$, which corresponds to a 3σ lower limit.

Finally, a technical note: We prefer to inspect first the χ_2 values, which are not reduced, that is, not divided by the respective number of degrees of freedom ν . This gives a better chance to search for possible reasons of systematic errors (or model deficiencies).

We also prefer to use the nominal uncertainties in our modelling (not directly the "realistic" ones) because otherwise we would not be sensitive to any systematics at all; they would be completely hidden in large σ s and we might be falsely satisfied with the model.

6.3. Nominal model

The nominal model is presented in Table 7 and Figure 9. Its total χ^2 value seems too high, $\chi^2 = 157756$, that is, much higher than the corresponding number of degrees of freedom $\nu \equiv N_{\text{data}} - L_{\text{free}} = 21\,647$, the reduced $\chi_{\text{R}}^2 \equiv \chi^2/\nu = 7.3$ and the resulting probability is thus essentially zero. However, we explain the mismatch as follows. While the χ_{sky}^2 contribution seems perfectly reasonable and it is indeed not difficult to reach the value as low as N_{sky} , it may become larger in the course of fitting because the other data sets are much more numerous and are likely affected by systematics.

The systematics in χ_{syn}^2 are probably caused by the rectification procedure, even though it was performed as carefully as possible. For example, we sometimes see differences for a given spectrum that is surrounded by two or more spectra that are fitted well enough. The same is true for individual lines in a single spectrum, for instance, we have a good fit of the π and $\text{Mg } \pi$ lines, while H_γ (with its extended wings) and $\text{He } \text{I}$ are somewhat offset. In principle, we cannot exclude the presence of rapid line-profile variations, but a self-consistent model would be needed for them, otherwise such unconstrained variations could explain any departures from the model (including any systematics).

The value of χ_{sed}^2 seems also higher than expected. This is probably caused by systematics in calibrations of the absolute fluxes (even the Hvar and Geneva photometry from Table C1 differ by more than 3σ). Alternatively, a significant systematic uncertainty can be hidden in the dereddening procedure (see below). If we accept the arguments above and the high value of the nominal χ^2 , then 1σ probability level would correspond to an increase of up to 158 659, and 3σ to 162 201.

Parameter uncertainties can be obtained by a bootstrap or χ^2 mapping. In this case, we used the latter method, with the notion




Fig. 9. Subset of synthetic spectra I_λ of V746 Cas (black line) for the nominal model with $\chi^2 = 157\,756$ compared to the normalized observed ones (blue error bars). Only a region between 408 and 450 nm is shown, with a number of indicative lines: H_δ , HeI, SiII, SiII, HeI, CII, H_γ , HeI, HeI, and MgII. The synthetic spectra were interpolated to the observed wavelengths. The differences are denoted by red lines, or even red circles if the respective contribution to χ^2_{syn} is larger than 100. Note it is difficult to improve the solution because our N-body model does not fit the spectra one-by-one or individual lines, but rather fits all the spectra at once. For example, the problematic synthetic spectrum of H_γ in the middle of the figure cannot be simply shifted to the left. These systematics may at least partly be caused by the rectification procedure.

that the respective uncertainties correspond to a *local* minimum only. Several correlations are still present in the model, however. In particular, a lower inclination i_1 usually requires a higher m_{tot} . A similar correlation exists between the distance d and m_{tot} . Moreover, there is a non-zero possibility of a long orbit of the tertiary, with $P_2 \approx 211\,000$ d, a high eccentricity $e_2 \approx 0.65$, and small d , with only marginally worse $\chi^2_{\text{sky}} = 124$, but the total χ^2 is then relatively large.

We emphasize that the results *can* differ from previous observation-specific models. In Table 7, we use osculating elements corresponding to the epoch $T_0 = 2454384.65$, which differ from fixed elements. In particular, P_1 is different from Eq. (1) because the very definition of osculation is “without any perturbation”, that is to say without the third body; the period perceived by an observer is close to that in Eq. (1). We note, however, that for the period P_2 of the long orbit, the difference between the osculating and the sidereal value is negligible. Moreover, we realized that at least several Aurelie spectra (RJD between 51005.6 and 51010.6) were affected by the rectification systematics (overcorrection), since the spectra do not cover the blue H_δ wing completely, and this made the K_1 estimates too large. The true K_1 value seems closer to 30 km s^{-1} according

to the N-body model. In a similar way, these systematics can increase (or decrease) the value of e_1 .

Mirror solutions. Obviously, there are several mirror solutions that cannot be easily resolved with the current limited data set (no eclipses, no spectro-interferometry). In particular, we have $i'_1 = 180^\circ - i_1 = 95^\circ$, which gives the same $\chi^2 = 157\,757$. Unfortunately, with the current data set, Ω_1 is unconstrained, but we can expect a mirror solution $\Omega'_1 = \Omega_1 + 180^\circ$ anyway. Finally, the third body can have $i'_2 = -i_2 = -57^\circ$, resulting in $\chi^2 = 157\,815$, which is again statistically the same.

Higher reddening. We also tried to assume the reddening $E(B-V) = 0.101$, that is, at a typical 1σ uncertainty. This would allow for higher luminosities of the primary and tertiary. Indeed, the value of $\chi^2_{\text{sed}} = 3\,797$ is somewhat lower, but the total value $\chi^2 = 156\,777$ is neither significantly higher nor lower. We therefore conclude that increasing the reddening alone is not a definitive solution. There might be additional systematics related to the wavelength dependence of extinction A_λ , in other words, a presence of additional interstellar matter with different κ_λ in the direction towards V746 Cas.

Table 7. Osculating orbital elements at the epoch $T_0 = 2454384.65$ and radiative parameters derived for V746 Cas using the nominal N-body model, where m_j denote the component masses, P_j osculating periods, e_j eccentricities, i_j inclinations, Ω_j longitudes of ascending nodes, ω_j arguments of pericentres, and M_j mean anomalies. Note P_1 is indeed different from Eq. (1), as explained in the main text. The resulting $\chi^2 = 157756$, the total number of measurements $N_{\text{data}} = 21673$. The individual contributions are $\chi_{\text{sky}}^2 = 75$, $\chi_{\text{syn}}^2 = 152600$, and $\chi_{\text{sed}}^2 = 5079$. Uncertainties were determined by a χ^2 mapping, so they correspond to a local minimum.

Parameter	Value				Unit
m_1	$4.71^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	m_2	1.31^{h}	m_3	$2.65^{+0.25}_{-0.25}$ M_{\odot}
P_1	$25.3068^{+0.0051}_{-0.0054}$	P_2	56823^{+11636}_{-9744}		day
e_1	$0.258^{+0.120}_{-0.130}$	e_2	$0.030^{+0.068}_{-0.030}$		
i_1	85^{+0}_{-19}	i_2	57^{+9}_{-10}		deg
Ω_1	31^{u}	Ω_2	33^{+7}_{-6}		deg
ω_1	92^{+6}_{-6}	ω_2	301^{+6}_{-6}		deg
M_1	243^{+5}_{-5}	M_2	131^{+7}_{-7}		deg
T_{eff1}	15534^{+69}_{-69}	T_{eff2}	6385^{+565}_{-657}	T_{eff3}	14750^{+66}_{-66} K
$\log g_1$	$3.59^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$\log g_2$	4.27^{h}	$\log g_3$	$3.80^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ [cgs]
v_{rot1}	178^{+6}_{-6}	v_{rot2}	165^{f}	v_{rot3}	63^{+9}_{-8} km s^{-1}
γ	$-9.7^{+1.7}_{-1.8}$				km s^{-1}
d	$330.3^{+4.6}_{-4.6}$				pc
R_1	$5.74^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$	R_2	1.40^{h}	R_3	$3.37^{+0.29}_{-0.26}$ R_{\odot}

Notes. ^h derived from T_2 assuming the Harmanec (1988) relations; ^u unconstrained parameter; ^f fixed parameter.

Table 8. Parameters derived for V746 Cas with the N-body model but without spectral data in the vicinity of the Balmer lines H_{β} , H_{γ} , and H_{δ} , which are prone to several instrumental problems and rectification systematics. On the other hand, H_{α} from the Ondřejov linear spg. 9 was included. The notation is the same as in Table 7. The resulting $\chi^2 = 97733$, and the total number of measurements $N_{\text{data}} = 30614$. The individual contributions are $\chi_{\text{sky}}^2 = 36$, $\chi_{\text{syn}}^2 = 93146$, and $\chi_{\text{sed}}^2 = 4551$. We consider this to be the preferred model.

Parameter	Value				Unit
m_1	$6.45^{+0.17}_{-0.17}$	m_2	1.83^{h}	m_3	$6.10^{+0.27}_{-0.26}$ M_{\odot}
P_1	$25.3245^{+0.0043}_{-0.0045}$	P_2	66206^{+8425}_{-7796}		day
e_1	$0.171^{+0.100}_{-0.102}$	e_2	$0.223^{+0.031}_{-0.030}$		
i_1	85^{+0}_{-27}	i_2	65^{+4}_{-4}		deg
Ω_1	68^{u}	Ω_2	27^{+4}_{-4}		deg
ω_1	202^{+5}_{-5}	ω_2	310^{+3}_{-3}		deg
M_1	146^{+6}_{-5}	M_2	109^{+4}_{-4}		deg
T_{eff1}	16526^{+100}_{-82}	T_{eff2}	8071^{+266}_{-352}	T_{eff3}	13620^{+154}_{-144} K
$\log g_1$	$3.81^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$\log g_2$	4.18^{h}	$\log g_3$	$3.92^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ [cgs]
v_{rot1}	170^{+4}_{-4}	v_{rot2}	165^{f}	v_{rot3}	73^{+6}_{-5} km s^{-1}
γ	$-10.8^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$				km s^{-1}
d	$345.6^{+2.9}_{-3.1}$				pc
R_1	$5.24^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$	R_2	1.83^{h}	R_3	$4.48^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$ R_{\odot}

The complex interplay among the various types of observational data. Finally, we explain that the N-body model is strongly constrained by the SED data: high masses m_1 , m_3 , with $\log g_1$, $\log g_3$ fixed by the line spectra, lead to large radii R_1 , R_3 , which would result in too bright stars, or a large distance d . At the same time, we fit both the speckle-interferometric data (astrometry of the third body) and the spectra (also known as RVs), where large d inevitably requires high m_{tot} , and this would con-

tradict the former set of constraints. It may seem that making hot stars cooler is an option, but significantly lower $T_{\text{eff}j}$ are incompatible with the observed (rectified) spectra. These sometimes unexpected but inevitable relationships are the main reasons why some of the parameters may be different from observation-specific models.

On the other hand, it is obvious that the result in terms of surprisingly low stellar masses is not satisfactory. Upon closer




Fig. 10. Subset of synthetic spectra I'_λ of V746 Cas (black line) for the preferred model with $\chi^2 = 97\,733$ compared to the normalized observed ones (blue error bars). A region between 650 and 670 nm is shown, with H_α and He I lines. Some parts of the observed spectra were discarded due to the presence of many telluric lines.

inspection, we concluded that the problem lies in a strong sensitivity of the result to the exact values of the surface gravities, which might be affected mainly by the instrumental problems of the Balmer lines from the echelle spectra. For this reason, we derived another model that we discuss below.

6.4. Model with the H_α line, but without other Balmer lines

Further examinations of the rectification procedure confirmed that the corrections of a slowly varying atmospheric extinction, instrument response, and sharp inter-order jumps in the echelle spectra at the same time are difficult to deal with. Consequently, we decided to also compute a model without the H_β , H_γ , and H_δ Balmer lines, which are especially prone to such effects. On the other hand, using no hydrogen lines at all would mean to lose the most sensitive indicator of the gravity acceleration. Therefore, we decided to use H_α from the Ondřejov (spg. 9) linear spectra, for which the rectification is straightforward. However, it was necessary to remove a number of regions affected by the water vapour telluric lines. Specifically, we included the following spectral regions: 4125–4155, 4260–4280, 4380–4405, 4450–4490, 4700–4725, 4912–4935, 5008–5025, 6500.6–6501.6, 6509.9–6511.4, 6520.5–6522.3, 6525.3–6530.0, 6538.2–6541.7, 6546.2–6547.2, 6549.7–6552.3, 6554.7–6557.1, 6559.2–6563.8, 6565.1–6571.7, 6573.2–6574.3, 6576.0–6580.5, 6588.0–6598.7, and 6603.5–6690 Å.

The resulting model is presented in Table 8 and Figures 10 to 12. After several simplex runs we reached χ^2 as low as 97 733,




Fig. 11. One of the allowed solutions for the orbit of V746 Cas tertiary, shown in photocentric coordinates x_p, y_p (blue curve) and compared to speckle-interferometry and astrometric measurements (black crosses with gray uncertainty ellipses). The large cross denotes the position at the epoch $T_0 = 2454384.65$ of osculation. There are clearly several uncertain measurements (cf. red residua) that do not contribute much to χ^2_{sky} . Note that normalized spectra (RVs) and spectral-energy distribution were fitted at the same time and there is no easy way to improve the solution further without increasing χ^2_{syn} or χ^2_{sed} .

which should be compared with the larger number of degrees of freedom, $\nu = 30\,614$. The differences with respect to the previous Table 7 seem to be acceptable and within uncertainties, except for $m_1, m_3, e_2, \log g_1, \log g_3, R_1$, and R_3 . The substantially higher and more realistic masses are allowed for by higher $\log g$ values, enforced by the H_α line. The differences in radii simply correspond to relatively higher T_1 and lower T_3 , in order to fit the same SED. The mass of the tertiary m_3 is now in better agreement with the high $T_{\text{eff}3}$, and R_3 fulfils the condition from Sect. 5.3, which makes our model more self-consistent. The e_2 value reflects more freedom given to the outer orbit due to higher m_{tot} . Last but not least, the reduced χ^2_{R} decreased from 7.3 down to 3.2, which indicates that we succeeded to remove a substantial part of the systematic uncertainties. We thus consider this model to be the preferred one.

7. Conclusions

Using several approaches to the analysis of the rich set of spectral, photometric, spectro-photometric, and astrometric observations available to us, we attempted to provide a new interpretation of the interesting massive triple system V746 Cas with a tertiary, which possesses a measurable magnetic field. The existing principal geometric limitations, such as that there are no eclipses and the flux of the secondary component was not detected, prevented us from deriving unique physical properties for all the components of the system. However, by combining all types of observations and bounding them mutually with the help of the N-body model, we were able to present a reasonably self-consistent picture of the system.

Our main findings are summarised below.




Fig. 12. Spectral-energy distribution of V746 Cas expressed as the absolute flux F'_λ (in $\text{J s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{m}^{-1}$ units; black crosses) and compared with wide-band UBV measurements from Hvar and Geneva, and narrow-band SED measurements by Glushneva et al. (1992). All the data sets were dereddened using $E(B - V) = 0^{\text{m}}060$ and standard procedures. While the model seems consistent with U data from Hvar and SED in the region 550 to 650 nm, there are still some systematics in B , V , and both short- and long-wavelength parts of the SED. It is not possible to improve χ^2_{sed} , e.g. by changing temperatures of the components, because it would inevitably increase χ^2_{syn} .

1. The rapidly rotating B4-B5 primary moves in the orbit with an unseen secondary. The fact that the secondary could not be detected in the optical spectra, even when using the spectra disentangling and the observed mass function imply that it probably is an A or F star. A direct detection of its spectrum by standard observing techniques is probably impossible.
2. The bipolar magnetic field, discovered by Neiner et al. (2014), varies with the photometric period of $2^{\text{d}}50387$. It is associated with the tertiary, which is in a wide orbit with the $25^{\text{d}}4$ binary. The tertiary is a \sim B5-6IV star, which contributes 30% of the light in the optical region.
3. The photometric period of $2^{\text{d}}504$ can almost certainly be identified with the rotational period of the tertiary. We note that for the radius and the projected rotational velocity of the tertiary from Table 8, this assumption leads to an inclination of the rotational axis identical (within the error limits) with the orbital inclination of the wide orbit.
4. The photometric period $1^{\text{d}}065$ is tentatively identified with the rotational period of the primary, but this identification is much less certain and needs to be proved or disproved by future high S/N whole-night series of spectra. (At the moment, the radius and the projected rotational velocity of the primary from Table 8 would require an inclination of the rotational axis as low as $\sim 44^\circ$.) The ultimate proof of line-profile variations with the $1^{\text{d}}065$ period and a reliable and more accurate determination of $\log g$ of the primary with the help of several Balmer lines are both needed.
5. Very accurate systematic photometric observations relative to some truly constant comparison star and new spectral observations consisting of whole-night series, which

would constrain putative rapid line-profile variations, are also needed to progress in the understanding of this system.

6. The classification of V746 Cas as an SPB variable should be critically re-examined.

Acknowledgements. We profited from the use of 13 echelle spectra from the Bernard Lyot telescope, made publicly available via the Polar Base web service and Geneva 7-C photometry made available via HELAS service, to which we were kindly directed by C. Aerts. P. Mathias kindly provided us with old archival DAT tapes with the original Aurelie spectra of ten B stars and some advice about their content and structure. The spectra were reconstructed from the tapes with the help of R. Veselý, H. Božić, K. Hořková, and D. Vršnjak kindly obtained some of the calibrated UBV observations of V746 Cas and its comparison stars for us. We acknowledge the use of the public versions of programs FOTEL and KOREL, written by P. Hadrava and PHOEBE 1.0 written by A. Prša. The research of PH, MB, PM, and JN was supported by the grant P209/10/0715 of the Czech Science Foundation. JN and PH were also supported by the grants GA15-02112S of the Czech Science Foundation and No. 250015 of the Grant Agency of the Charles University in Prague. Research of DK was supported by a grant GA17-00871S of the Czech Science Foundation. Our thanks are due to M. Wolf, who obtained one Ondřejov spectrum used here and who provided a few useful comments to this paper. A persistent but constructive criticism by an anonymous referee helped us to re-think the whole study, present our arguments and analyses more clearly and convincingly, and to improve the layout of the text and figures as well. The use of the NASA/ADS bibliographical service and SIMBAD electronic database are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Abt, H. A. 1970, *ApJS*, 19, 387
 Abt, H. A., Gomez, A. E., & Levy, S. G. 1990, *ApJS*, 74, 551
 Adams, W. S. 1912, *ApJ*, 35, 163
 Andersen, J. 1975, *A&A*, 44, 445
 Andersen, J., Clausen, J. V., Giménez, A., & Nordström, B. 1983, *A&A*, 128, 17
 Andrews, K. E. & Dukes, R. J. 2000, in *Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society*, Vol. 32, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, 1477
 Balona, L. A. 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 3724
 Balona, L. A., Baran, A. S., Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz, J., & De Cat, P. 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 1445
 Blaauw, A. & van Albada, T. S. 1963, *ApJ*, 137, 791
 Brož, M. 2017, *ApJS*, 230, 19
 Caughlan, G. R. & Fowler, W. A. 1988, *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables*, 40, 283
 Chini, R., Hoffmeister, V. H., Nasser, A., Stahl, O., & Zinnecker, H. 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 1925
 De Cat, P., Briquet, M., Aerts, C., et al. 2007, *A&A*, 463, 243
 De Cat, P., De Ridder, J., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2004, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 310, IAU Colloq. 193: Variable Stars in the Local Group, ed. D. W. Kurtz & K. R. Pollard, 238
 de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., Sana, H., & de Koter, A. 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 166
 Doboco, J. A. & Ling, J. F. 2005, *IAU Com. 26 Inf. Circ. No.*, 156, 1
 Dukes, R. J., Bramlett, J., & Sims, M. 2009, in *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, Vol. 1170, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, ed. J. A. Guzik & P. A. Bradley, 379–381
 Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, *PASP*, 125, 306
 Gillet, D., Burnage, R., Kohler, D., et al. 1994, *A&AS*, 108
 Glushneva, I. N., Kharitonov, A. V., Kniazeva, L. N., & Shenavrin, V. I. 1992, *A&AS*, 92, 1
 Golay, M., ed. 1974, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Vol. 41, Introduction to astronomical photometry
 Gómez, A. E. & Abt, H. A. 1982, *PASP*, 94, 650
 Hadrava, P. 1990, *Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnaté Pleso*, 20, 23
 Hadrava, P. 1995, *A&AS*, 114, 393
 Hadrava, P. 1997, *A&AS*, 122, 581
 Hadrava, P. 2004a, *Publ. Astron. Inst. Acad. Sci. Czech Rep.*, 92, 1
 Hadrava, P. 2004b, *Publ. Astron. Inst. Acad. Sci. Czech Rep.*, 92, 15
 Harmanec, P. 1988, *BAC*, 39, 329
 Harmanec, P. 1998, *A&A*, 335, 173
 Harmanec, P. & Božić, H. 2001, *A&A*, 369, 1140
 Harmanec, P., Horn, J., & Juza, K. 1994, *A&AS*, 104, 121
 Herwig, F. 2000, *A&A*, 360, 952
 Horn, J., Kubát, J., Harmanec, P., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 309, 521
 Hube, D. P. 1970, *MmRAS*, 72, 233
 Hube, D. P. 1983, *A&AS*, 53, 29

Husser, T.-O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 553, A6
 Kourniotis, M., Bonanos, A. Z., Soszyński, I., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 562, A125
 Lanz, T. & Hubený, I. 2007, *ApJS*, 169, 83
 Lenz, P. & Breger, M. 2005, *Communications in Asteroseismology*, 146, 53
 Mason, B. D., Hartkopf, W. I., Gies, D. R., Henry, T. J., & Helsel, J. W. 2009, *AJ*, 137, 3358
 Mathias, P., Aerts, C., Briquet, M., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 379, 905
 McNamara, B. J., Jackiewicz, J., & McKeever, J. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 101
 McSwain, M. V., Boyajian, T. S., Grundstrom, E. D., & Gies, D. R. 2007, *ApJ*, 655, 473
 Moulataka, J., Ilovaisky, S. A., Prugniel, P., & Soubiran, C. 2004, *PASP*, 116, 693
 Neiner, C., Tkachenko, A., & MiMeS Collaboration. 2014, *A&A*, 563, L7
 Nemravová, J. A., Harmanec, P., Brož, M., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 594, A55
 Nielsen, M. B., Gizon, L., Schunker, H., & Karoff, C. 2013, *A&A*, 557, L10
 Palacios, A., Gebran, M., Josselin, E., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 516, A13
 Palmer, D. R., Walker, E. N., Jones, D. H. P., & Wallis, R. E. 1968, *Royal Greenwich Observatory Bulletins*, 135, 385
 Paxton, B., Marchant, P., Schwab, J., et al. 2015, *ApJS*, 220, 15
 Perryman, M. A. C. & ESA. 1997, *The HIPPARCOS and TYCHO catalogues* (The Hipparcos and Tycho catalogues. Astrometric and photometric star catalogues derived from the ESA Hipparcos Space Astrometry Mission, Publisher: Noordwijk, Netherlands: ESA Publications Division, 1997, Series: ESA SP Series 1200)
 Petit, P., Louge, T., Théado, S., et al. 2014, *PASP*, 126, 469
 Plaskett, J. S. & Pearce, J. A. 1931, *Publ. Dom. Astrophys. Obs. Victoria*, 5, 1
 Prša, A. & Zwitter, T. 2005, *ApJ*, 628, 426
 Scheffler, H. & Elsaesser, H. 1987, *Physics of the galaxy and interstellar matter* (Berlin and New York: Springer-Verlag, 1987)
 Škoda, P. 1996, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 101: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*, 187–189
 Stellingwerf, R. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 953
 Tamazian, V. S., Docobo, J. A., Melikian, N. D., & Karapetian, A. A. 2006, *PASP*, 118, 814
 van Leeuwen, F. 2007a, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, ed. F. van Leeuwen, Vol. 350
 van Leeuwen, F. 2007b, *A&A*, 474, 653
 Wade, G. A., Neiner, C., Alecian, E., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 456, 2
 Waelkens, C., Aerts, C., Kestens, E., Grenon, M., & Eyer, L. 1998, *A&A*, 330, 215

Appendix A: Details of the spectral data reduction and measurements

All RVs collected from the astronomical literature are provided in Table A.1. Whenever necessary, we derived heliocentric Julian dates (HJDs) for them. The RVs derived by us are provided in Tables A.2 and A.3.

Appendix B: Fitting the spectra with interpolated synthetic spectra

Using the Python program PYTERPOL and two grids of synthetic spectra, Lanz & Hubený (2007) for $T_{\text{eff}} > 15000$ K, and Palacios et al. (2010) for $T_{\text{eff}} < 15000$ K, we estimated the properties of the primary and tertiary, which are summarised in Table 6. Figures B.1, B.2, and B.3 show some examples of the comparison of the observed and the best interpolated synthetic spectra in detail for several different spectrograms and spectral regions.

Appendix C: Details of the photometric data reduction and homogenisation

In an effort to bring at least all yellow-band photometric observations of V746 Cas on a comparable scale, we used several *UBV* observations of V746 Cas and its comparison stars HR 96 and HD 567 secured with the 0.65 m reflector and photoelectric photometer at Hvar. These observations were reduced to the standard Johnson system with the help of the program HEC22, based on non-linear transformation formulae (Harmanec et al. 1994). Extinction and its variations during observing nights were taken




Fig. B.1. Fit of several observed spectra (dots) by interpolated synthetic spectra (lines) for the region that is also covered by the Aurelie spectra is shown. The residuals from the fits are also shown below each spectrum (note the enlarged scale used for the flux units).

Table A.1. Individual RVs of V746 Cas from the astronomical literature. Observing instruments in column Spg. are identified by the same numbers as in Table 2. All RVs are in km s^{-1} .

RJD	RV	Spg.	RJD	RV	Spg.
19026.8885	5.0	1	43461.679	-37.8	4
19027.6501	-35.0	1	43462.790	-21.1	4
19058.7623	0.4	1	43508.748	-32.8	4
19382.7240	1.9	1	43726.972	6.7	4
19409.8989	-1.8	1	43808.852	-5.5	4
22915.9555	-3.7	1	43809.896	-23.4	4
23004.7039	-8.0	1	43810.821	-14.4	4
24010.4054	-5.3	2	43830.758	3.1	4
24362.4915	5.7	2	43831.648	-2.0	4
24439.1971	-18.0	2	43832.647	-4.2	4
24767.3810	-23.9	2	44068.973	-45.6	4
24777.4394	-51.5	2	44116.922	-27.8	4
24891.1328	-16.7	2	44187.697	0.4	4
35795.6197	7.0	3	44188.666	1.9	4
35796.6027	11.0	3	44189.825	-2.0	4
35797.6796	12.0	3	44229.655	-16.0	4
35799.6366	1.0	3	44231.618	-0.8	4
35803.6214	-24.0	3	53657.787	-14.2	5
35804.6644	-24.0	3	53658.833	-4.8	5
35819.5897	-9.0	3	53658.835	-4.3	5
35820.5586	4.0	3	53659.720	-0.3	5
35821.6155	5.0	3	53659.721	-0.1	5
35822.5385	4.0	3	53660.754	3.0	5
35823.5854	4.0	3	53663.735	13.7	5
35824.5884	3.0	3	53685.735	-9.4	5
35830.5580	-12.0	3	53693.635	13.8	5
35831.5949	-16.0	3	53693.790	7.3	5
35832.5589	-34.0	3	53694.701	6.9	5
35833.5528	-40.0	3	53695.674	-1.4	5
43411.860	-36.7	4	53695.769	-0.8	5
43459.731	-42.0	4	53696.565	-4.9	5
43460.730	-44.3	4	53696.789	-2.0	5

into account during the data reduction.³ We then used the mean all-sky Hvar values of HD 96 and added them to the magnitude differences $y - y_{\text{HR 96}}$ for both V746 Cas and HD 567 Strömrgren APT observations of data set 12.

Hipparcos H_p observations (data set 11) were transformed into the standard Johnson V magnitude after Harmanec (1998), using the all-sky $B-V$ and $U-B$ indices of all three stars derived at Hvar.

Finally, the Geneva 7-C all-sky observations (data set 13) were transformed into the standard UBV system using the transformation formulæ devised by Harmanec & Božić (2001). All mean UBV values for individual data sets thus obtained are summarised in Table C.1 to illustrate the accuracy with which the conversion into one system was possible.

In Figure C.1 we also plot all homogenised yellow-band observations versus time. There are probably still some minor zero-point differences between individual data sets (APT photometry in on the instrumental system, which, however seems to be rather stable in time; Guinan, priv. com.). The plot in the bottom panel of Fig. C.1 is indicative of some small secular variations, but such a statement would require verification through differential observations relative to some other comparison than HR 96.

³ The program suite with a detailed manual is available at <http://astro.troja.mff.cuni.cz/ftp/hec/PHOT/>

The reason for the above statement is that the primary comparison HR 96 = HD 2054 is suspected to be a CP star and it was found to be a spectroscopic binary with a 48^d2905 period (Hube 1983). Using the program FOTEL, we re-analysed available radial velocities, namely 2 RVs from the David Dunlap Observatory (DDO) prismatic 33 Å mm⁻¹ spectra (Hube 1983), 4 RVs from the DDO 40 Å mm⁻¹ grating-spectrograph spectra (Hube 1970), 5 RVs from Herstmonceux (HRM) Yapp-reflector prismatic 70-173 Å mm⁻¹ spectra (Palmer et al. 1968), and 25 RVs from the Dominion Astrophysical Observatory (DAO) 15 Å mm⁻¹ grating spectra (Hube 1983). We weighted individual RVs by the weights inversely proportional to their rms errors and then also applied similar external weights for individual spectrographs. Unlike Hube (1983), we allowed for the determination of individual systemic γ velocities for the four individual spectrographs. Our solution is compared with that of Hube (1983) in Table C.2

In Figure C.2 we show a plot of the Hipparcos H_p photometry transformed into Johnson V magnitude versus phase of the 48^d29 orbital period of HR 96. A small-amplitude variation with a minimum near the superior conjunction of the binary is detected. This can naturally further complicate the period analysis of the photometry of V746 Cas.

Table A.2. Individual SPEFO RVs of V746 Cas for H α and He I 6678 Å RVs and Gaussian RVs for the He I 6678 Å line. RVs of the line cores and line outer wings are tabulated separately. All RVs are in km s⁻¹. The uncertainties reported in this table correspond to the SPEFO measurement procedure alone. There are additional sources related to the photon noise, systematics from the rectification, and systematics from line blending. Given the differences between RVs inferred for the two lines, the total uncertainty may reach up to 10 km s⁻¹ because it is not possible to separate contributions from the primary and tertiary components.

RJD	H α 6563 Å SPEFO		He I 6678 Å SPEFO		He I 6678 Å Gauss		Spg. No.
	core	wings	core	wings	core	wings	
52538.5637	-13.14 ± 2.52	-19.65 ± 0.54	-15.04 ± 0.34	-17.02 ± 3.07	-8.0 ± 4.0	-19.5 ± 1.5	7
53025.2936	-10.82 ± 0.46	2.96 ± 0.77	-8.05 ± 0.45	-7.01 ± 2.17	-0.5 ± 8.5	-3.5 ± 9.5	7
53026.2813	-9.05 ± 0.26	7.35 ± 1.17	-6.99 ± 0.90	9.70 ± 2.50	3.0 ± 12.0	0.0 ± 9.0	7
56175.5586	-13.57 ± 0.58	-6.36 ± 0.84	-1.28 ± 0.09	-8.41 ± 1.19	0.67 ± 0.67	-3.17 ± 2.82	8
56176.5910	-14.05 ± 0.11	-4.63 ± 0.28	-2.64 ± 0.29	8.76 ± 2.46	-5.24 ± 0.75	3.50 ± 3.50	8
56177.5783	-11.79 ± 0.27	1.81 ± 0.39	-5.04 ± 0.87	11.77 ± 1.14	2.48 ± 4.52	5.73 ± 8.73	8
56178.5868	-8.67 ± 0.73	8.80 ± 0.43	3.22 ± 0.33	24.27 ± 0.18	-5.51 ± 1.49	23.29 ± 1.29	8
56179.5853	-11.32 ± 0.06	9.86 ± 0.93	3.87 ± 0.32	28.02 ± 0.57	-0.48 ± 0.48	24.01 ± 3.01	8
56182.6157	-10.95 ± 0.42	14.17 ± 1.35	-3.48 ± 0.21	33.54 ± 0.24	-9.96 ± 0.96	35.48 ± 2.48	8
56188.5120	-12.62 ± 0.40	-28.60 ± 1.05	-5.19 ± 0.13	-25.18 ± 1.09	0.50 ± 0.50	-23.15 ± 2.85	8
56190.5364	-18.99 ± 0.15	-32.92 ± 2.36	-6.94 ± 0.07	-46.13 ± 1.60	0.33 ± 0.33	-40.87 ± 3.13	8
56202.5101	-12.70 ± 0.52	-1.29 ± 0.79	2.72 ± 0.48	0.10 ± 0.62	-0.75 ± 0.24	6.69 ± 2.69	8
56203.5069	-7.94 ± 0.10	3.02 ± 0.46	4.54 ± 1.13	7.90 ± 2.05	6.13 ± 0.13	12.43 ± 3.43	8
56204.5150	-10.05 ± 0.23	3.10 ± 0.52	5.51 ± 0.51	12.84 ± 0.82	3.37 ± 0.37	12.47 ± 3.47	8
56213.5446	-13.00 ± 0.18	-8.46 ± 0.68	-4.81 ± 0.14	0.97 ± 0.39	-9.04 ± 0.04	-6.69 ± 4.31	8
56214.4187	-16.90 ± 0.24	-16.45 ± 1.62	-7.63 ± 0.37	-18.19 ± 0.70	-5.99 ± 1.00	-21.84 ± 3.15	8
56746.6501	-7.21 ± 0.64	-4.74 ± 0.91	-2.85 ± 0.46	-6.82 ± 0.79	7.00 ± 1.68	-3.25 ± 2.17	9
56764.5933	-4.98 ± 0.63	7.51 ± 0.61	6.80 ± 0.35	20.45 ± 1.87	1.00 ± 0.91	11.25 ± 1.25	9
56765.5438	7.03 ± 0.18	9.85 ± 3.35	15.41 ± 0.75	16.45 ± 1.64	20.50 ± 1.65	14.75 ± 1.60	9
56772.5422	-14.23 ± 0.35	-7.02 ± 0.30	-4.75 ± 0.62	-8.20 ± 0.79	-2.50 ± 1.93	-10.00 ± 2.34	9
56782.5181	-10.29 ± 0.77	-22.95 ± 2.54	-2.33 ± 1.83	-40.34 ± 0.79	-1.50 ± 4.73	-25.25 ± 3.79	9
56810.5577	-8.21 ± 1.68	-16.29 ± 0.35	1.35 ± 1.04	-14.02 ± 0.17	1.75 ± 3.35	-14.75 ± 4.19	9
56816.5317	-1.52 ± 1.93	6.57 ± 4.13	15.81 ± 0.52	14.13 ± 0.71	13.00 ± 1.95	18.25 ± 1.54	9
56817.4931	3.45 ± 0.47	9.78 ± 3.35	-5.16 ± 0.30	35.79 ± 1.30	-10.00 ± 4.06	23.50 ± 3.17	9
56819.4233	-0.80 ± 2.20	3.59 ± 6.15	16.24 ± 4.58	28.33 ± 1.20	-0.50 ± 4.50	-3.00 ± 5.30	9
56822.4782	-14.71 ± 0.30	-7.68 ± 0.63	-4.34 ± 0.35	-3.65 ± 2.58	-0.50 ± 2.95	-30.50 ± 12.22	9
56826.3772	-30.03 ± 0.47	-37.24 ± 1.61	-6.01 ± 0.17	-49.38 ± 1.67	0.75 ± 3.81	-57.00 ± 4.43	9
56827.4581	-21.99 ± 0.53	-40.63 ± 4.52	-11.58 ± 1.04	-73.09 ± 0.96	0.50 ± 3.01	-56.00 ± 2.04	9
56851.3742	-23.96 ± 1.22	-39.08 ± 4.04	-10.51 ± 0.52	-45.58 ± 0.62	-3.75 ± 4.76	-54.25 ± 4.55	9
56852.4071	-28.71 ± 0.18	-34.69 ± 1.33	-21.05 ± 0.52	-55.09 ± 0.17	-20.00 ± 3.18	-51.25 ± 3.01	9
56852.4657	-22.29 ± 3.96	-33.37 ± 1.10	-31.67 ± 0.30	-58.98 ± 1.48	3.75 ± 9.05	-43.75 ± 3.37	9
56856.5629	-25.75 ± 0.47	-30.15 ± 0.81	-3.30 ± 0.46	-52.72 ± 0.79	7.25 ± 2.98	-20.00 ± 8.21	9
56861.4063	-20.57 ± 0.47	-9.85 ± 0.35	1.69 ± 0.35	-8.86 ± 0.46	-8.25 ± 2.68	8.75 ± 6.06	9
56866.4436	-20.86 ± 0.30	8.51 ± 2.37	-13.05 ± 0.35	15.47 ± 1.21	2.25 ± 3.75	6.75 ± 2.01	9
56889.3848	-17.08 ± 0.18	-1.60 ± 1.40	4.38 ± 0.37	8.01 ± 0.30	12.75 ± 3.03	14.75 ± 4.87	9
56910.3387	-15.09 ± 3.96	-19.84 ± 2.79	-9.13 ± 0.91	-26.24 ± 1.21	-10.00 ± 0.91	-16.25 ± 1.93	9
56920.3238	16.22 ± 1.84	18.33 ± 1.23	-	-	-	-	9
56928.3090	-17.42 ± 0.13	-35.75 ± 0.83	-13.61 ± 0.33	-54.56 ± 0.96	-4.50 ± 2.32	-47.75 ± 1.88	9
56949.2711	-10.92 ± 0.30	2.62 ± 2.31	-2.68 ± 0.35	16.15 ± 1.48	-10.50 ± 2.02	12.00 ± 3.82	9
56950.2946	-15.20 ± 0.18	-12.03 ± 0.70	-13.94 ± 0.69	-13.77 ± 3.89	-14.00 ± 1.35	-12.75 ± 2.25	9
56978.2935	-14.62 ± 0.35	-33.26 ± 0.53	-24.65 ± 0.79	-34.15 ± 1.13	-19.00 ± 0.40	-29.50 ± 2.90	9
57073.2605	-5.56 ± 0.47	5.16 ± 2.13	-6.07 ± 0.17	21.75 ± 1.58	-4.75 ± 2.25	19.75 ± 2.68	9
57073.3662	-2.40 ± 0.52	6.57 ± 1.33	10.02 ± 1.05	20.56 ± 0.60	5.75 ± 1.79	22.00 ± 3.36	9
57074.2706	-4.87 ± 0.53	6.38 ± 0.35	5.43 ± 0.60	20.80 ± 0.35	2.00 ± 0.81	16.50 ± 2.59	9
57110.2598	-9.36 ± 2.54	-35.20 ± 0.98	-11.44 ± 5.81	-47.73 ± 1.65	-2.75 ± 2.56	-54.25 ± 2.98	9
57123.6370	-4.86 ± 0.30	11.32 ± 0.77	5.53 ± 0.75	10.71 ± 1.73	8.75 ± 2.13	13.75 ± 1.31	9
57137.6098	-4.08 ± 1.23	-25.18 ± 0.88	-14.59 ± 0.62	-23.40 ± 1.25	-8.75 ± 2.95	-33.25 ± 3.54	9
57154.5792	-12.83 ± 0.47	-18.28 ± 0.18	-4.78 ± 0.86	-24.82 ± 0.79	3.50 ± 2.02	-26.75 ± 3.98	9
57228.4145	10.53 ± 0.63	-13.12 ± 3.26	3.76 ± 2.17	-	-	-	9
57256.4904	-19.43 ± 0.18	-26.81 ± 2.16	-5.85 ± 0.75	-30.56 ± 0.46	5.50 ± 3.22	-17.25 ± 5.17	9
57260.5354	-22.76 ± 1.06	-39.29 ± 0.88	-20.31 ± 1.99	-51.07 ± 1.87	-4.50 ± 5.36	-52.50 ± 5.13	9
57277.3647	-3.11 ± 2.65	16.58 ± 0.77	20.21 ± 0.60	26.43 ± 1.08	10.50 ± 1.84	26.50 ± 2.21	9
57295.4332	-9.01 ± 0.98	7.60 ± 1.24	-7.86 ± 0.87	21.81 ± 1.34	-14.25 ± 2.62	14.75 ± 2.92	9
57300.3763	-2.03 ± 0.47	9.22 ± 1.85	3.64 ± 0.35	14.87 ± 1.99	-4.50 ± 4.97	16.75 ± 2.59	9
57308.3352	-13.20 ± 1.76	-19.88 ± 2.30	-9.18 ± 1.13	-23.01 ± 4.22	-10.00 ± 2.79	-25.75 ± 1.65	9
57328.2087	-8.13 ± 3.26	6.11 ± 0.47	-5.12 ± 0.75	13.71 ± 0.46	-9.00 ± 0.40	5.50 ± 3.06	9
57328.6913	-4.37 ± 0.18	8.11 ± 1.85	2.34 ± 1.21	29.29 ± 1.21	-2.75 ± 1.84	22.50 ± 1.50	9

Table A.3. RVs of the broad wings of the He I 4144 Å line and H δ of V746 Cas measured in all Aurelie spectra with SPEFO. For the comment on uncertainties, see Table A.2.

RJD	RV ^{He I 4144} _{SPEFO}	RV ^{Hδ} _{SPEFO}
50967.5897	14.96 ± 2.82	-5.86 ± 6.39
51005.5778	-39.13 ± 0.69	-19.57 ± 2.05
51006.5970	-49.73 ± 1.20	-33.64 ± 0.19
51007.5940	-48.03 ± 0.57	-43.62 ± 1.82
51009.5946	-52.66 ± 2.46	-45.15 ± 2.56
51010.5765	-60.59 ± 0.57	-42.57 ± 2.72
51011.5748	-52.10 ± 1.75	-31.28 ± 1.02
51012.5773	-42.08 ± 2.36	-29.20 ± 1.76
51036.6214	-46.21 ± 2.26	-38.85 ± 4.98
51038.4965	-35.73 ± 1.60	-26.14 ± 2.35
51040.5680	-24.47 ± 4.67	-17.69 ± 3.08
51042.6335	-13.14 ± 0.55	-11.42 ± 4.79
51094.4222	-9.42 ± 3.98	-4.39 ± 4.00
51096.5979	15.09 ± 1.94	0.80 ± 3.00
51097.5923	28.24 ± 1.28	0.42 ± 3.80
51098.4748	25.06 ± 2.97	7.44 ± 4.45
51099.4536	26.19 ± 1.81	10.63 ± 2.28




Fig. B.2. Same as Fig. B.1 for the neighbourhood of the H γ line.

Table C.1. Individual photometries of V746 Cas and its comparison stars transformed into the standard Johnson *UBV* system

Data set	Star	No. of obs.	<i>V</i> (mag.)	<i>B</i> (mag.)	<i>U</i> (mag.)	<i>B</i> − <i>V</i> (mag.)	<i>U</i> − <i>B</i> (mag.)	Source
14A	HR 96	23	5.748(10)	5.680(10)	5.369(21)	−0.068	−0.311	D
14A	HD 567	8	7.215(06)	7.188(23)	6.789(07)	−0.027	−0.399	D
14A	V746 Cas	6	5.601(04)	5.486(06)	4.891(05)	−0.115	−0.595	D
14D	HD 567	11	7.224(12)	7.194(22)	6.805(14)	−0.029	−0.389	D
14D	V746 Cas	6	5.606(05)	5.489(04)	4.901(05)	−0.117	−0.588	D
11	HR 96	157	5.737(05)	–	–	–	–	A
11	HD 567	8	7.206(09)	–	–	–	–	A
11	V746 Cas	6	5.590(10)	–	–	–	–	A
12	V746 Cas	1635	5.606(01)	–	–	–	–	B
12	HD 567	847	7.235(01)	–	–	–	–	B
13	V746 Cas	251	5.586(09)	5.475(11)	4.879(13)	−0.111	−0.596	E

Notes. Column Data set

the rows show 11. All-sky Hipparcos H_p observations, transformed to Johnson *V* after Harmanec (1998); 12. Differential *uvby* observations secured with the Four College Automatic Reflector relative to HR 96 = HD 2054. Also the check star HD 567 = BD+51°12 was regularly observed.; 13. All-sky Geneva 7-C observations secured with the Mercator Telescope at LaPalma; 14A. Hvar all-sky photometry; 14D. Hvar differential photometry relative to HR 96.

Column Source: the rows show A. Perryman & ESA (1997); B. Dukes et al. (2009) and this paper; C. De Cat et al. (2004); D. this paper.

Table C.2. Orbital solutions for the comparison star HR 96.

Element	Hube (1983)	Our new
<i>P</i> (d)	48.2905(42)	48.2884(15)
$T_{\text{periastr.}}$	45248.12(60)*	45248.29(35)
$T_{\text{super.c.}}$	45260.12	45260.24
$T_{\text{RVmax.}}$	45250.43	45250.58
<i>e</i>	0.384(29)	0.376(25)
ω (deg.)	320.0(6.8)	321.0(2.9)
K_1 (km s ^{−1})	30.1(1.0)	29.02(74)
$\gamma_{\text{DDO old}}$ (km s ^{−1})	–	+9.37(56)
γ_{HRM} (km s ^{−1})	–	+1.8(2.6)
$\gamma_{\text{DDO new}}$ (km s ^{−1})	–	−0.23(39)
γ_{DAO} (km s ^{−1})	+3.41(74)	+3.22(73)
rms (km s ^{−1})	not given	2.10
No. of RVs	25	36

Notes. *) The epoch given by Hube (1983), 45240.60(60) is an obvious misprint and cannot reproduce the phase plot in his Fig. 1. We quote his period with the error derived also from all 36 RVs, which he fixed in the above reproduced solution based on the DAO RVs only.

All epochs are in RJD; rms is the rms of one observation of unit weight.




Fig. B.3. Same as Fig. B.1 for the neighbourhood of the H γ 4472 Å and Mg II 4481 Å lines.




Fig. C.1. Top: Time plot of V photometry of V746 Cas vs. time for the four data sets. They are distinguished as follows: blue: all-sky H_p magnitudes transformed to Johnson V ; red: differential Strömgren y magnitude relative to the Hvar all-sky V magnitude of 5^m.748 for HR 96; green: Johnson V magnitude transformed from the all-sky Geneva 7-C observations; grey: standard differential Johnson V magnitude relative to HR 96 secured at Hvar. Bottom: Enlarged part of the plot for the time interval where the y and Geneva transformed V magnitudes overlap.




Fig. C.2. Light curve of Hipparcos photometry of HR 96 transformed into Johnson V plotted for the ephemeris of the spectroscopic binary orbit $T_{\text{super.conj.}} = \text{RJD } 45260.24 + 48^d 2884$.