

Degeneration of Trigonal Curves and Solutions of the KP-Hierarchy

Atsushi Nakayashiki*

Department of Mathematics, Tsuda University
Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8577, Japan

Abstract

It is known that soliton solutions of the KP-hierarchy corresponds to singular rational curves with only ordinary double points. In this paper we study the degeneration of theta function solutions corresponding to certain trigonal curves. We show that, when the curves degenerate to singular rational curves with only ordinary triple points, the solutions tend to some intermediate solutions between solitons and rational solutions. They are considered as certain limits of solitons.

The Sato Grassmannian is extensively used here to study the degeneration of solutions, since it directly connects solutions of the KP-hierarchy to the defining equations of algebraic curves. We define a class of solutions in the Wronskian form which contains soliton solutions as a subclass and prove that, using the Sato Grassmannian, the degenerate trigonal solutions are connected to those solutions by certain gauge transformations

*e-mail: atsushi@tsuda.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the limits of theta function solutions of the KP-hierarchy corresponding to certain trigonal curves when they degenerate to singular rational curves with only ordinary triple points as their singularities. There are two major motivations in the present research.

Recently soliton solutions of the KP equation are extensively studied in connection with the various wave patterns of line solitons, total positivity of the Grassmannians and cluster algebras [14, 9, 10, 18]. From the view point of spectral curves soliton solutions correspond to singular rational curves with only ordinary double points [2, 29, 19, 21, 28, 1]. Therefore it is interesting to study how the structure of soliton solutions studied in [14] is reflected in that of theta function solutions corresponding to non-singular algebraic curves. To study this problem it is necessary to connect theta function solutions to soliton solutions explicitly. In general to compute explicitly the limits of theta function solutions is a non-trivial but curious problem as itself. The case of hyperelliptic curves is relatively well studied [4, 21]. In this case soliton solutions are obtained for example. However not all soliton solutions are obtained as limits of hyperelliptic solutions. So it is important to study the case of non-hyperelliptic curves. But the problem is that to compute a limit of a non-hyperelliptic solution is not very easy. The reason is that it is difficult to find a canonical homology basis explicitly for non-hyperelliptic curves in general.

In the last two decades the theory of multi-variate sigma functions associated with higher genus algebraic curves had been developed [5, 6, 7, 22, 23, 24, 17]. The higher genus sigma function is a certain modification of the Riemann's theta function. The most important property of it is the modular invariance. It means that, although the sigma function is defined by specifying a canonical homology basis, it does not depend on the choice of it. For some class of algebraic curves, such as (n, s) curves, the modular invariance is expressed in a more strong form. Namely the Taylor coefficients of the sigma function become polynomials of coefficients of the defining equations of an algebraic curve. It means that the sigma function has a limit when the coefficients of the defining equations of a curve are specialized in any way. So it is an intriguing and a well-defined problem to establish the higher genus generalization of the "elliptic-trigonometric-rational degeneration" of Weierstrass elliptic function. The paper [3] studies some problem in this direction.

In the present paper we study the degeneration of the theta function solutions corresponding to certain non-hyperelliptic curves. To this end we extensively use the Sato Grassmannian [27]. It is the moduli space of the formal power series solutions of the KP-hierarchy (see Theorem 1). Therefore a theta function solution corresponds to some point of the Sato Grassmannian. The importance of the Sato Grassmannian here is in the fact that it connects directly solutions of the KP-hierarchy to the defining equations of the algebraic curves. It means that one can compute the limit of a theta solution without information about canonical homology bases. This is the main idea in the present paper.

Now let us explain the content of the paper in more detail. We consider the

trigonal curve, which is a special case of the so called a $(3, 3m + 1)$ curve [6, 8], given by the equation

$$y^3 = x \prod_{j=1}^{3m} (x - \lambda_j^3), \quad (1)$$

and its degeneration to the singular rational curve with only ordinary triple points:

$$y^3 = x \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \lambda_j^3)^3. \quad (2)$$

A solution of the KP-hierarchy corresponding to (1) can be expressed by the higher genus sigma function [23]. As mentioned above the sigma function has a well defined limit when the curve (1) degenerates to the curve (2). Unfortunately we do not yet know the explicit form of the limit of the sigma function. The point is that we can compute the limit of the solution independently of the sigma function using Sato Grassmannian. Then, in turn, it will be possible to determine the limit of the sigma function using it.

The strategy to determine the limits of solutions is as follows. We first define a class of solutions in the Wronskians form which contains soliton solutions as a subclass. We call a solution in this class a generalized soliton (GS). We embed GS's to the Sato Grassmannian. Next we embed theta function solutions corresponding to the curves (1) to the Sato Grassmannian. As explained before it is easy to take the degeneration limits of trigonal solutions in the Sato Grassmannian. We then compare them with the GS's. We prove that a solution in the former is connected to a solution in the latter by a certain gauge transformation. In this way the degenerate trigonal solutions are shown to be expressed by Wronskians of functions determined from the algebraic curves (2). They are some intermediate solutions between solitons and rational solutions which can be considered as certain degenerate limits of solitons.

The organization of the present paper is as follows. In section 2 after a brief explanation on the KP-hierarchy, the Sato Grassmannian and the correspondence of points of it with solutions of the KP-hierarchy are reviewed. The Wronskian construction of (n, k) solitons are reviewed in section 3. In section 4 generalized soliton solutions are introduced and the corresponding points in the Sato Grassmannian are determined. An alternative description of GS's is given for the sake of applications in section 5. In section 6 an example of a frame of a GS which is relevant to the description of degenerate trigonal solutions is given. The map from the set of the affine rings of non-singular algebraic curves to the Sato Grassmannian is summarized in section 7. In section 8 the definition of the higher genus sigma function and the description of the solution corresponding to the affine ring of the curve (1) in terms of the sigma function are reviewed. The degeneration of the sigma function solution is determined in section 9. In section 10 an example of the solution in the simplest case $m = 1$ is given in detail.

2 KP-hierarchy and the Sato Grassmannian

The KP-hierarchy in the bilinear form [11] is the equation for the function $\tau(x)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ given by

$$\oint e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j \lambda^j} \tau(x - y - [\lambda^{-1}]) \tau(x + y + [\lambda^{-1}]) \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi i} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots)$, $[\lambda^{-1}] = (\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-2}/2, \lambda^{-3}/3, \dots)$ and the integral signifies taking the coefficient of λ^{-1} in the series expansion. By expanding in y it is equivalent to the infinite system of Hirota's bilinear equations. The first of which is the KP equation in the Hirota's bilinear form:

$$(D_1^4 - 4D_1 D_3 + 3D_2^2) \tau \cdot \tau = 0.$$

Here the Hirota derivatives D_1^4 etc. are defined by

$$\tau(x+y)\tau(x-y) = \sum_{\alpha_i \geq 0} (D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots) (\tau \cdot \tau) \frac{y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots}.$$

If we set $u = 2\partial_x^2 \log \tau(x)$, $(x = x_1, y = x_2, t = x_3)$ we have the KP equation

$$3u_{yy} + (-4u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = 0.$$

In this paper we mean by the KP hierarchy the equation (3) for $\tau(x)$.

Next we recall the definition of the Sato Grassmannian [27] which we denote by UGM (universal Grassmann manifold) [25, 26] (see also [20][13]) and the correspondence between solutions of the KP-hierarchy and points of UGM.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}((z))$ be the vector space of formal Laurent series in the variable z and $V_\phi = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$, $V_0 = z\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ subspaces of V . Then

$$V = V_\phi \oplus V_0, \quad V/V_0 \simeq V_\phi.$$

Let $\pi : V \rightarrow V_\phi$ be the projection map. The Sato Grassmannian UGM is defined by

$$\text{UGM} = \{ \text{a subspace } U \text{ of } V \mid \dim \text{Ker}(\pi|_U) = \dim \text{Coker}(\pi|_U) < \infty \}.$$

An ordered basis of a point U of UGM is called a frame of U . We can express a frame of U by an infinite matrix as follows.

We set

$$f_i = z^{i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and write an element f of V as

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_i f_i. \quad (4)$$

We associate the infinite column vector $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to f . Then a matrix $X = (X_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a frame of U if $(X_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of U . We write it as

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & X_{-2,2} & X_{-2,1} \\ \cdots & X_{-1,2} & X_{-1,1} \\ \cdots & X_{0,2} & X_{0,1} \\ \cdots & X_{1,2} & X_{1,1} \\ & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where the columns are labeled from right to left as $1, 2, 3, \dots$ and rows are labeled from up to down as $\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$

For a point U of UGM there exists a frame $X = (X_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of U satisfying the following conditions: there exists a non-negative integer l such that

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j > l \text{ and } i = -j \\ 0 & \text{if } (j > l \text{ and } i < -j) \text{ or } (j \leq l \text{ and } i < -l). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

It means that X is of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & O \\ \cdots & 1 & \\ \cdots & * & 1 \\ \cdots & * & * & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where B is an $\infty \times l$ matrix and its first row is placed at the $-l$ th row of X . In the following a frame of a point of UGM is always assumed to satisfy the condition (6).

Here we introduce the notion of Maya diagram. A Maya diagram of charge p is a sequence of integers $M = (m_1, m_2, \dots)$ such that $m_1 > m_2 > \dots$ and, for some l , $m_i = -i + p$, $i \geq l$. In this paper we consider only a Maya diagram of charge 0 and call them simply a Maya diagram. With each Maya diagram M is associated the partition λ by

$$\lambda = (m_1 + 1, m_2 + 2, \dots),$$

and vice versa.

Let λ be an arbitrary partition and $M = (m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots)$ the Maya diagram corresponding to it. The Plücker coordinate X_λ of a frame X is defined as

$$X_\lambda = \det(X_{m_i, j})_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad (7)$$

which is also denoted by X_M using the Maya diagram M .

The infinite determinant (7) is actually defined as a finite determinant as follows. Let l be an integer in the condition (6) and l_1 an integer such that $m_i = -i$, $i \geq l_1$. Take an integer l_2 such that $l_2 \geq \max(l + 1, l_1)$. Then

$$X_\lambda = \det(X_{m_i, j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l_2}. \quad (8)$$

It does not depend on the choice of l, l_1, l_2 .

For a frame X satisfying (6) we define the τ function by

$$\tau(x; X) = \sum_{\lambda} X_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x), \quad (9)$$

where the summation is taken over all partitions and $s_{\lambda}(x)$ is the Schur function corresponding to the partition λ (see the next section).

A frame X satisfying the condition (6) is not unique for U . If X is replaced with another frame $\tau(x; X)$ is multiplied by a non-zero constant.

Theorem 1 [27] *For a frame X of a point U of UGM, $\tau(x, X)$ is a solution of the KP-hierarchy. Conversely for any formal power series solution $\tau(x)$ of the KP-hierarchy there exists a unique point U of UGM such that $\tau(x) = c(X)\tau(x; X)$ for a frame X of U and some constant $c(X)$ which depends on X .*

3 (n, k) -soliton

Let us recall soliton solutions of the KP-hierarchy.

We first recall the general Wronskian construction of solutions of the KP-hierarchy [12, 25]. Let f_1, \dots, f_m be functions which satisfy

$$\frac{\partial f_l}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^j f_l}{\partial x_1^j}, \quad l \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Then

$$\tau(x) = \text{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \det(f_j^{(i-1)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \quad f_j^{(i)} = \frac{\partial^i f_j}{\partial x_1^i},$$

is a solution of the KP-hierarchy.

Using Wronskian method the soliton solutions can be constructed in the following way.

Let n and k be positive integers such that $n > k$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ mutually distinct non-zero complex numbers and $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k}$ an $n \times k$ matrix of rank k . We set

$$f_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} e^{\xi_i}, \quad \xi_i = \xi(x, \lambda_i), \quad \xi(x, \lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \lambda^j. \quad (11)$$

Since e^{ξ_i} satisfies (10) so does f_j . Therefore

$$\tau(x) = \text{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_k), 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \Delta_I(\lambda) A_I e^{\xi_{i_1} + \dots + \xi_{i_k}}, \quad (12)$$

is a solution of the KP-hierarchy, where, for $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$,

$$\Delta_I(\lambda) = \prod_{p < q} (\lambda_{i_q} - \lambda_{i_p}), \quad A_I = \det(a_{i_p, q})_{1 \leq p, q \leq k}.$$

Definition 1 We call the solution (12) an (n, k) soliton.

Sato determined the point of UGM corresponding to an (n, k) soliton.

Theorem 2 [25] The point of UGM corresponding to (12) is given by the frame which consists of the following functions:

$$z^{-(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_{ij}}{1 - \lambda_i z} \quad (1 \leq j \leq k), \quad z^{-l} \quad (l \geq k), \quad (13)$$

where $1/(1 - \lambda_i z)$ is considered as a power series in z by

$$\frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i z} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^r z^r.$$

4 Generalization of (n, k) -soliton

In this section we define a class of solutions of the KP-hierarchy in Wronskian form which contains (n, k) solitons.

Let n, k as before, N, r_j ($0 \leq j \leq N$) non negative integers such that

$$r_0 + \cdots + r_N = n,$$

$\lambda_{i,j}$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ non-zero complex numbers such that, for each i , $\lambda_{i,j} \neq \lambda_{i,j'}$ if $j \neq j'$, and $A = (a_{ij})$ an $n \times k$ matrix of rank k . We set $r_{-1} = 0$ for convenience. We also use λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, defined by

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (\lambda_{0,1}, \dots, \lambda_{0,r_0}, \dots, \lambda_{N,1}, \dots, \lambda_{N,r_N}).$$

Set

$$f_j = \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\cdots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\cdots+r_s} a_{ij} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i}. \quad 1 \leq j \leq k. \quad (14)$$

Since the derivatives in x_i and λ commute, f_j still satisfies Equation (10). Therefore the Wronskian of the functions f_j becomes a solution of the KP-hierarchy.

Definition 2 The solution $\tau(x) = \text{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ with f_j given by (14) is called an (n, k) generalized soliton (GS).

Remark 1 The above construction of (n, k) GS's is similar to that of rational solutions of KP equation in [15, 16, 30].

The point of UGM corresponding to an (n, k) GS can be determined as follows. Let

$$\mathbf{v}^{(i)}(\lambda, z) = \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda z} = \frac{i! z^i}{(1 - \lambda z)^{i+1}}, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

Theorem 3 *The point of UGM corresponding to an (n, k) GS specified by (14) is given by the following frame:*

$$z^{-(k-1)} \left(\sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\dots+r_s} a_{ij} \mathbf{v}^{(s)}(\lambda_i, z) \right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

$$z^{-j}, \quad j \geq k. \quad (16)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Sato's proof of Theorem 2.

The linear independence of the functions (16) is equivalent to rank $A = k$. Therefore they define the frame of a point of UGM. We shall show that the solution (9) corresponding to the frame (16) is equal to the Wronskian of the set of functions f_1, \dots, f_k . To this end we first express the frame (16) in the matrix form and compute the Plucker coordinates of it.

Let $X = (X_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the infinite matrix representing the frame (16). It is described in the following way.

We define the vectors $\mathbf{u}^{(i)}(\lambda)$, $i \geq 0$ with the infinite components by

$$\mathbf{u}^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \lambda^2 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The components of $\mathbf{u}^{(i)}(\lambda)$ are labeled from up to down as $0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let C and B be the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times n$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times k$ matrices defined respectively by

$$C = (\mathbf{u}^{(0)}(\lambda_{0,1}), \dots, \mathbf{u}^{(0)}(\lambda_{0,r_0}), \dots, \mathbf{u}^{(N)}(\lambda_{N,1}), \dots, \mathbf{u}^{(N)}(\lambda_{N,r_N})),$$

$$B = CA = (b_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 1 \leq j \leq k}.$$

Then X is given by

$$X_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,-j} & \text{if } j \geq k+1 \\ b_{i+k,k+1-j} & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq k \text{ and } i \geq -k \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq k \text{ and } i < -k \end{cases}$$

Namely X is of the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where the 0th row of B sits at the $-k$ th row of X .

We consider the Plucker coordinate X_M of X corresponding to a Maya diagram M . The form of X given above implies that $X_M = 0$ unless M is written in the form

$$M = (m_1, \dots, m_k, -k-1, -k-2, \dots), \quad m_1 > \dots > m_k \geq -k. \quad (17)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(l_1, \dots, l_k) \mid 0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k\}.$$

We associate the element (l_1, \dots, l_k) of \mathcal{L} with M by

$$(l_1, \dots, l_k) = (m_k + k, \dots, m_1 + k).$$

By this map the set of Maya diagrams of the form (17) and \mathcal{L} bijectively correspond. For $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathcal{L}$ we set $B_{l_1, \dots, l_k} := \det(b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$. If $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathcal{L}$ corresponds to M then it is easy to see that

$$X_M = B_{l_1, \dots, l_k}.$$

Let μ be the partition corresponding to M :

$$\mu = (m_1 + 1, \dots, m_k + k),$$

which is related with (l_1, \dots, l_k) by

$$\mu = (l_k - (k - 1), \dots, l_2 - 1, l_1). \quad (18)$$

Let $p_j(x)$ be the polynomial of $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ defined by

$$e^{\xi(x, \lambda)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(x) \lambda^j.$$

We set $p_j(x) = 0$ for $j < 0$. In terms of (l_1, \dots, l_k) the Schur function $s_\mu(x)$ is given by

$$s_\mu(x) = \det(p_{l_j - i}(x))_{0 \leq i \leq k-1, 1 \leq j \leq k}. \quad (19)$$

We sometimes denote $s_\mu(x)$ by $s_{l_1, \dots, l_k}(x)$. For $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_k \leq n$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} C_{l_1, \dots, l_k}^{r_1, \dots, r_k} &= \det(C_{l_i, r_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}, \\ A_{r_1, \dots, r_k} &= \det(a_{r_i, j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have, by (9) and the Cauchy-Binet formula for the determinant,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= \sum_{0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k} B_{l_1, \dots, l_k} s_{l_1, \dots, l_k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k} \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_k \leq n} C_{l_1, \dots, l_k}^{r_1, \dots, r_k} A_{r_1, \dots, r_k} s_{l_1, \dots, l_k}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Let us rewrite the last expression. Let $P = (p_{j-i}(x))_{0 \leq i \leq k-1, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. We denote the r th column vector of C by C_r .

Lemma 1 *The following equation is valid:*

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k} C_{l_1, \dots, l_k}^{r_1, \dots, r_k} s_{l_1, \dots, l_k}(x) = \det(P \cdot (C_{r_1}, \dots, C_{r_k})). \quad (21)$$

Proof. By the Cauchy-Binet formula and (19) the right hand side of (21) is written as

$$\sum_{0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k} \det(p_{l_j - i}(x))_{0 \leq i \leq k-1, 1 \leq j \leq k} C_{l_1, \dots, l_k}^{r_1, \dots, r_k} = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_k} s_{l_1, \dots, l_k}(x) C_{l_1, \dots, l_k}^{r_1, \dots, r_k}$$

which shows (21). \square

Let us compute the product of matrices inside the determinant in the right hand side of (21).

Since

$$(P\mathbf{u}^{(0)}(\lambda))_s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{j-s}(x) \lambda^j = \lambda^s \sum_{j=s}^{\infty} p_{j-s}(x) \lambda^{j-s} = \lambda^s e^{\xi(x, \lambda)},$$

we have

$$(P\mathbf{u}^{(i)}(\lambda))_s = \left(P \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} \mathbf{u}^{(0)}(\lambda) \right)_s = \left(\frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} P\mathbf{u}^{(0)}(\lambda) \right)_s = \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} (\lambda^s e^{\xi(x, \lambda)}).$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)}(x, \lambda) = \frac{d^i}{d\lambda^i} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} e^{\xi(x, \lambda)} \right),$$

$$\tilde{C}(x) = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}(x, \lambda_{01}), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(0)}(x, \lambda_{0r_0}), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(N)}(x, \lambda_{N1}), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(N)}(x, \lambda_{N, r_N})).$$

We denote the r th column vector of $\tilde{C}(x)$ by $\tilde{C}(x)_{r_1}$. Then

$$P \cdot (C_{r_1}, \dots, C_{r_k}) = (\tilde{C}(x)_{r_1}, \dots, \tilde{C}(x)_{r_k}).$$

Exchanging the order of the summation in (20), using Lemma 1 and the Cauchy-Binet formula again we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_k \leq n} A_{r_1, \dots, r_k} \det(\tilde{C}(x)_{r_1}, \dots, \tilde{C}(x)_{r_k}) \\ &= \det(\tilde{C}(x)A). \end{aligned}$$

The j th column vector of $\tilde{C}(x)A$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{C}(x)A\right)_j &= \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\dots+r_s} a_{ij} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(s)}(x, \lambda_i) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\dots+r_s} a_{ij} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \\ \lambda e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{k-1} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\dots+r_s} a_{ij} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \\ \frac{d}{dx} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^N \sum_{i=r_{-1}+\dots+r_{s-1}+1}^{r_0+\dots+r_s} a_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \\ \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}} \left(\frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} e^{\xi(x,\lambda)}\right)_{\lambda=\lambda_i} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} f_j \\ f'_j \\ \vdots \\ f_j^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tau(x) = \det \left(\tilde{C}(x)A \right) = \text{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_k).$$

□

5 Change of a basis

For applications it is necessary to write $1/(1 - \lambda z)^r$ as a linear combination of $\{\mathbf{v}^{(i)}(\lambda, z)\}$.

Lemma 2 For $m \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \lambda z)^m} = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{i!} \binom{m}{i} \lambda^i \mathbf{v}^{(i)}(\lambda, z). \quad (22)$$

Proof. By differentiate the equation

$$\frac{1}{1 - w} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n$$

m times we get

$$\frac{m!}{(1-w)^{m+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+m)(n+m-1)\cdots(n+1)w^n.$$

Substituting $w = \lambda z$ and dividing by $m!$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda z)^{m+1}} &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+m)\cdots(n+1)\lambda^n z^n \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{d\lambda^m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+m} z^n \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{d\lambda^m} \left(\lambda^m \frac{1}{1-\lambda z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By computing the last expression using the Leibniz rule we get the desired result. \square

The case of $m = 0, 1$ of (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-\lambda z} &= \mathbf{v}^{(0)}(\lambda, z), \\ \frac{1}{(1-\lambda z)^2} &= \mathbf{v}^{(0)}(\lambda, z) + \lambda \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\lambda, z). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Corollary 1 *The set of frames of (n, k) generalized solitons, $n \geq 1$, coincide with the set of frames of the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-(k-1)} \frac{g_i(z)}{f_i(z)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ z^{-i}, \quad i \geq k, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_i(z), g_i(z)$ are polynomials such that $f_i(0) \neq 0$, $\deg f_i(z) > \deg g_i(z)$ for any i and $\{g_i(z)/f_i(z) | 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is linearly independent.

The number n in the corollary is determined from the structure of roots of $f_i(z)$ as one sees in the example in the next section.

6 Example of GS

Let a_{ij}, b_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ be complex numbers.

Lemma 3 *The following set of functions is a frame of a $(2n, k)$ GS if the first k functions are linearly independent.*

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-k+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_{ij}}{1-\lambda_i z} + \frac{b_{ij}}{(1-\lambda_i z)^2} \right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq k \\ z^{-i}, \quad i \geq k. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The corresponding matrix A is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & \dots & a_{1k} + b_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} + b_{n1} & \dots & a_{nk} + b_{nk} \\ \lambda_1 b_{11} & \dots & \lambda_1 b_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_n b_{n1} & \dots & \lambda_n b_{nk} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. By Corollary 1 the set of functions (24) is a frame of a GS under the assumption of the lemma. Let us calculate the type of the GS and the matrix A associated with it.

By (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_i}{1 - \lambda_i z} + \frac{b_i}{(1 - \lambda_i z)^2} \right) \\ &= [\mathbf{v}^{(0)}(\lambda_1, z), \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(0)}(\lambda_n, z), \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\lambda_1, z), \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(1)}(\lambda_n, z)] \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n + b_n \\ \lambda_1 b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n b_n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3, (24) is a frame of a $(2n, k)$ GS with $N = 1$, $r_0 = r_1 = n$, $\lambda_{0i} = \lambda_i$, $\lambda_{1i} = \lambda_i$ and the $2n \times k$ matrix A is given by (25). \square

The solution $\tau(x)$ corresponding to the frame (24) is written in the Wronskian form by the definition of a GS. Let us compute it.

Let $\mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{w}_k$ be the column vectors with k components given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_k(\lambda) &= (\lambda^{i-1})_{1 \leq i \leq k} \\ \mathbf{w}_k(\lambda) &= (i\lambda^{i-1} + \lambda^i \xi'(x, \lambda))_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \quad \xi'(x, \lambda) = \frac{d\xi(x, \lambda)}{d\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\lambda^{j-1} x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Define the $k \times 2n$ matrix D by

$$D = (\mathbf{v}_k(\lambda_1), \dots, \mathbf{v}_k(\lambda_n), \mathbf{w}_k(\lambda_1), \dots, \mathbf{w}_k(\lambda_n))$$

Then

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n} A_{i_1, \dots, i_k} D_{i_1, \dots, i_k} e^{\xi_{i_1} + \dots + \xi_{i_k}} \quad (26)$$

where D_{i_1, \dots, i_k} is the minor determinants corresponding to columns i_1, \dots, i_k of D . Notice that $\lambda_{n+j} = \lambda_j$ and $\xi_{n+j} = \xi_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. It is different from a soliton solution, since D_{i_1, \dots, i_k} depends on x_i 's in general.

7 Affine rings and the Sato Grassmannian

In this section we review how to associate a point of UGM with the affine ring of a compact Riemann surface.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g , p_∞ a point of X and z a local coordinate at p_∞ . We denote by U_a the vector space of meromorphic functions on X which are holomorphic on $X \setminus \{p_\infty\}$. It is called the affine ring of $X \setminus \{p_\infty\}$.

We define the map

$$\iota : U_a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}((z))$$

as follows.

Let F be an element of U_a and $F(z)$ the Laurent expansion of F at p_∞ in z . Then we define

$$\iota(F) = z^g F(z).$$

Theorem 4 [28, 20, 23] *The subspace $\iota(U_a)$ belongs to UGM.*

8 $(3, 3m+1)$ Curves and the sigma function solution

Let m be a positive integer and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{3m}$ non-zero complex numbers such that $\lambda_1^3, \dots, \lambda_{3m}^3$ are mutually distinct. We consider the algebraic curve defined by

$$y^3 = x \prod_{j=1}^{3m} (x - \lambda_j^3). \quad (27)$$

It can be compactified by adding one point at ∞ . We denote the compact Riemann surface by X which is a special case of a $(3, 3m+1)$ curve [6, 8, 7]. The genus of X is $g = 3m$.

We take $p_\infty = \infty$. Then the affine ring U_a of $X \setminus \{\infty\}$ is the space of polynomials of x, y and a basis of it as a vector space is given by

$$x^i, \quad x^i y, \quad x^i y^2, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (28)$$

We take the local coordinate z around ∞ such that

$$x = z^{-3}, \quad y = z^{-3m-1} \hat{f}(z), \quad \hat{f}(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{3m} (1 - \lambda_j^3 z^3)^{1/3}.$$

The function $\hat{f}(z)$ is considered as a power series in z by the Taylor expansion. Expanding elements of (28) in z we get a frame of $\iota(U_a)$:

$$z^{3m-3i}, \quad z^{-1-3i} \hat{f}(z), \quad z^{-3m-2-3i} \hat{f}(z)^2, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

The solution corresponding to $\iota(U_a)$ can be written in terms of the multi-variate sigma function [23]. Let us recall it. To this end we first review the construction of the sigma function of X following [22, 24].

Let f_i , $1 \leq i \leq g = 3m$ be the monomials of x, y defined by

$$(f_1, \dots, f_g) = (1, x, \dots, x^m, y, xy, \dots, x^{2m-1}, x^{m-1}y),$$

and (w_1, \dots, w_g) the gap sequence at ∞ :

$$(w_1, \dots, w_g) = (1, 2, 4, 5, \dots, 3m-2, 3m-1, 3m+2, 3m+5, \dots, 6m-1).$$

We define du_{w_i} , $1 \leq i \leq g$ by

$$du_{w_i} = -\frac{f_{g+1-i}dx}{3y^2}.$$

Then $(du_{w_i})_{i=1}^g$ becomes a basis of holomorphic one forms on X . The one form du_{w_i} has a zero of order $w_i - 1$ at ∞ and has the expansion at ∞ of the form

$$du_{w_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} z^{j-1} dz, \quad b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < w_i \\ 1 & \text{if } j = w_i \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Let $\{\alpha_i \beta_i\}_{i=1}^g$ be a canonical homology basis, δ the Riemann's constant with respect to the base point ∞ . We choose an algebraic fundamental form $\widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2)$ of Proposition 2 in [22]. We define the period matrices ω_i , $i = 1, 2$ and Ω by

$$2\omega_1 = \left(\int_{\alpha_j} du_{w_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq g}, \quad 2\omega_2 = \left(\int_{\beta_j} du_{w_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq g}, \quad \Omega = \omega_1^{-1} \omega_2.$$

The α_j and β_j integrals of $\widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2)$ with respect to the variable p_2 are shown to be holomorphic one forms (Corollary 6 of [24]). With the help of this fact we define $\eta_r = (\eta_{r,ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq g}$ by

$$\int_{\alpha_j} \widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{i=1}^g du_{w_i}(p_1) (-2\eta_{1,ij}), \quad \int_{\beta_j} \widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{i=1}^g du_{w_i}(p_1) (-2\eta_{2,ij}).$$

The components $\eta_{r,ij}$ are the periods of certain second kind differentials.

Let $\theta[\varepsilon](z|\Omega)$ be the Riemann's theta function with the characteristics $\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon' \\ \varepsilon'' \end{bmatrix}$, $\varepsilon', \varepsilon'' \in \mathbb{R}^g$. We consider the function $\theta[-\delta]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\Omega)$ of $u = {}^t(u_{w_1}, \dots, u_{w_g})$.

We define $\gamma \in \{w_1, \dots, w_g\}^m$ by

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) = (6m-1, 6m-7, \dots, 5),$$

and set

$$\partial_\gamma = \partial_{\gamma_1} \cdots \partial_{\gamma_m}, \quad \partial_{\gamma_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\gamma_i}}.$$

By Corollary 3 of [24] we have

$$\partial_\gamma \theta[-\delta](0|\Omega) := \neq 0.$$

Definition 3 *The sigma function associated with $(\{du_{w_i}\}, \widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2))$ is defined by*

$$\sigma(u) = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \frac{\theta[-\delta]((2\omega_1)^{-1}u|\Omega)}{\partial_\gamma \theta[-\delta](0|\Omega)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} {}^t u \eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} u\right), \quad u = {}^t(u_{w_1}, \dots, u_{w_g}).$$

The sigma function has the following remarkable properties which are absent in the Riemann's theta function.

Theorem 5 [22, 23] *(i) The function $\sigma(u)$ does not depend on the choice of a canonical homology basis $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$.*

(ii) The coefficients of the Taylor expansion of $\sigma(u)$ are polynomials of λ_j^3 , $1 \leq j \leq 3m$, with the coefficients in \mathbb{Q} .

In order to give the formula for τ function we need some more notation. Let us write the expansion of $\widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2)$ as

$$\widehat{\omega}(p_1, p_2) = \left(\frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{q}_{ij} z_1^{i-1} z_2^{j-1} \right) dz_1 dz_2,$$

where $z_i = z(p_i)$. By (30) we see that it is possible to define $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$\log \left(z^{-(g-1)} \sqrt{\frac{du_{w_g}}{dz}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \frac{z^i}{i}.$$

By Lemma 15 of [22] we have

Proposition 1 *The expansion coefficients \widehat{q}_{ij} and c_i are polynomials of $\{\lambda_j^3\}$.*

We set

$$B = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq j}, \quad \widehat{q}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{q}_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

Then

Theorem 6 [23] *A τ function corresponding to $\iota(U_a)$ is given by*

$$\tau(x) = \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i x_i + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{q}(x) \right) \sigma(Bx),$$

and it is a solution of the 3-reduced KP-hierarchy.

9 Degeneration of $(3, 3m + 1)$ curves

We consider the following limit of X :

$$\lambda_{j+m}, \lambda_{j+2m} \rightarrow \lambda_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m. \quad (31)$$

The equation of X tends to

$$y^3 = x \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \lambda_j^3)^3, \quad x = z^{-3}. \quad (32)$$

We assume that λ_j^3 , $1 \leq j \leq m$, are non-zero and mutually distinct. It has singularities only at $Q_j = (\lambda_j^3, 0)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, which is an ordinary singular point with the multiplicity 3 (ordinary triple point). In the following we denote this singular rational affine algebraic curve by $X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}$ and by $U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}})$ the affine ring of $X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}$, that is,

$$U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/J,$$

where J is the ideal generated by $y^3 - x \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \lambda_j^3)^3$.

Similarly to the non-singular case $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$ can be defined and it belongs to UGM. A basis of $U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}})$ is given by (28). Therefore we have the corresponding basis of $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$ by expanding elements of it in z and multiplying them by z^{3m} .

Let $f(z)$ be the limit of $\widehat{f}(z)$:

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \lambda_j^3 z^3).$$

Then the limit of the frame (29) of $\iota(U_a)$ becomes

$$z^{3m-3i}, \quad z^{-3i-1} f(z), \quad , z^{-3m-3i-2} f(z)^2 \quad i \geq 0, \quad (33)$$

which coincides with the frame of $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$ explained above. So (33) is a frame of $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$. We show that this frame can be transformed to a fame of a generalized soliton. To this end we need the notion of a gauge transformation.

Let $G(z)$ be a formal power series in z such that $G(0) = 1$ and $X = (u_i(z))_{i \geq 1}$ a frame of a point of UGM. Then it is easy to see that $G(z)X = (G(z)u_i(z))_{i \geq 1}$ becomes a frame of a point of UGM. The multiplication by $G(z)$ is called a gauge transformation. Let

$$\log G(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i \frac{z^i}{i}.$$

Then

$$\tau(x, G(z)X) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i x^i} \tau(x, X).$$

Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{3m}) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \omega\lambda_1, \dots, \omega\lambda_m, \omega^2\lambda_1, \dots, \omega^2\lambda_m).$$

Notice that we redefine λ_j ($m+1 \leq j \leq 3m$) as above. They are not relevant to λ_j ($m+1 \leq j \leq 3m$) in (27).

Theorem 7 *The following set of functions is a frame of $f(z)^{-2}\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$. It is a frame of a $(6m, 3m)$ generalized soliton.*

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-3m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{3m} \left(\frac{a_{ij}^{(0)}}{1-\lambda_i z} + \frac{b_{ij}^{(0)}}{(1-\lambda_i z)^2} \right), & \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ z^{-3m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{3m} \frac{a_{ij}^{(r)}}{1-\lambda_i z}, & \quad r = 1, 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ z^{-j}, & \quad j \geq 3m. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here, for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}^{(0)} &= - \left(2m - j + 2 \sum_{l \neq i}^m \frac{\lambda_l^3}{\lambda_i^3 - \lambda_l^3} \right) \frac{\lambda_i^{3j-8}}{3 \prod_{l \neq i} (\lambda_i^3 - \lambda_l^3)^2}, \\ a_{i+m,j}^{(0)} &= \omega a_{ij}^{(0)}, \quad a_{i+2m,j}^{(0)} = \omega^2 a_{ij}^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$b_{ij}^{(0)} = \frac{\lambda_i^{3j-8}}{9 \prod_{l \neq i} (\lambda_i^3 - \lambda_l^3)^2}, \quad b_{i+m,j}^{(0)} = \omega b_{ij}^{(0)}, \quad b_{i+2m,j}^{(0)} = \omega^2 b_{ij}^{(0)},$$

$$a_{ij}^{(r)} = \frac{\lambda_i^{3j-3-r}}{3 \prod_{l \neq i} (\lambda_i^3 - \lambda_l^3)}, \quad a_{i+m,j}^{(r)} = \omega^{-r} a_{ij}^{(r)}, \quad a_{i+2m,j}^{(r)} = \omega^{-2r} a_{ij}^{(r)}.$$

In order to prove the theorem we first make a linear change of the basis (33).

Lemma 4 *The following is a basis of $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$:*

$$\begin{aligned} z^{3m-3i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq m-1), & \quad z^{-3i} f(z) \quad (0 \leq i \leq m-1), \\ z^{-3i-1} f(z) \quad (0 \leq i \leq m-1), & \quad z^{-3m-i} f(z)^2 \quad (i \geq 0) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the set of elements z^{3m-3i} , $i \geq 0$ and the set of elements z^{3m-3i} , $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, $z^{-3i} f(z)$, $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, $z^{-3m-3i} f(z)^2$, $i \geq 0$ are connected by a triangular matrix with 1 in the diagonal entries. The set of elements $z^{-3i-1} f(z)$, $i \geq 0$ and the set of elements $z^{-3i-1} f(z)$, $0 \leq i \leq m-1$, $z^{-3m-3i-1} f(z)^2$, $i \geq 0$ are

connected similarly. The remaining elements $z^{-3m-3i-2}f(z)^2$, $i \geq 0$ of (33) and (35) are the same. Thus (35) is a basis of $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$. \square

Proof of Theorem 7

Multiplying elements in (35) by $f(z)^{-2}$ and expanding them into partial fractions we get (34). Thus it is a frame of $f(z)^{-2}\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$. It follows from Lemma 3 that (34) is a frame of a $(6m, 3m)$ generalized soliton. \square

We set

$$b_{ij}^{(r)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3m, 1 \leq j \leq m, r = 1, 2.$$

The $6m \times 3m$ matrix A corresponding to the frame (34) is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A^{(0)} & A^{(1)} & A^{(2)} \\ B^{(0)} & O & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A^{(r)} = \left(a_{ij}^{(r)} + b_{ij}^{(r)} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq 3m, 1 \leq j \leq m}, \quad B^{(0)} = \left(\lambda_i b_{ij}^{(0)} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq 3m, 1 \leq j \leq m}.$$

The solution corresponding to the frame (34) of $f(z)^{-2}\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$ can be computed from this matrix using the formula (26).

10 Solution in the case of $m = 1$

Let us consider the case of $m = 1$ ($g = 3$) and write down a solution corresponding to $\iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}}))$.

We have

$$a_{1,1}^{(0)} = -\frac{\lambda_1^{-5}}{3}, \quad a_{1,1}^{(1)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{-1}}{3}, \quad a_{1,1}^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{-2}}{3}, \quad b_{1,1}^{(0)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{-5}}{9}.$$

The matrix A is a 6×3 matrix given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1}^{(0)} + b_{1,1}^{(0)} & a_{1,1}^{(1)} & a_{1,1}^{(2)} \\ \omega(a_{1,1}^{(0)} + b_{1,1}^{(0)}) & \omega^2 a_{1,1}^{(1)} & \omega a_{1,1}^{(2)} \\ \omega^2(a_{1,1}^{(0)} + b_{1,1}^{(0)}) & \omega a_{1,1}^{(1)} & \omega^2 a_{1,1}^{(2)} \\ \lambda_1 b_{1,1}^{(0)} & 0 & 0 \\ (\lambda_1 \omega) \omega b_{1,1}^{(0)} & 0 & 0 \\ (\lambda_1 \omega^2) \omega^2 b_{1,1}^{(0)} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\lambda_1^{-5}}{9} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3\lambda_1^4 & 3\lambda_1^3 \\ -2\omega & 3\omega\lambda_1^4 & 3\omega^2\lambda_1^3 \\ -2\omega^2 & 3\omega^2\lambda_1^4 & 3\omega\lambda_1^3 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_1\omega^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_1\omega & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $r = 0, 1, 2$ let

$$\eta_r = \sum_{j \geq 1, j \equiv r \pmod{3}} x_j \lambda_1^j, \quad \eta'_r = \frac{d\eta_r}{d\lambda_1} = \sum_{j \geq 1, j \equiv r \pmod{3}} j x_j \lambda_1^{j-1},$$

Then the τ function $\tau(x) = \tau(x, \iota(U_a(X_{\text{sing}}^{\text{aff}})))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) = & (-1) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{27} \lambda_1^{-5} e^{-3\eta_0} \left\{ 2e^{\frac{3}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)} \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2) + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\eta_1 + \eta_2)} \sin \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta_1 - \eta_2) - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) - 2 \sin \left(\sqrt{3}(\eta_1 - \eta_2) \right) - 3\sqrt{3}\lambda_1\eta_2' \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this expression the following properties of the solution can be seen.

Firstly if λ_1 and x_j , $j \geq 1$ are real then $\tau(x)$ is real. Secondly the variables x_{3j} , $j \geq 1$ only appear in $e^{-3\eta_0}$ which means that $\tau(x)$ is a solution of the 3-reduced KP-hierarchy. It is valid for any m since $\tau(x)$ is a solution of the 3-reduced KP-hierarchy before taking the limit by Theorem 6. Thirdly $\tau(0) = 0$ which is also the case of any m .

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Simonetta Abenda, Takahiro Shiota and Yasuhiko Yamada for useful discussions. He also thanks Yuji Kodama for many valuable comments and his interest in this work, and Kanehisa Takasaki for pointing out the similarity of the construction of generalized solitons to that of rational solutions of the KP-equation in [15, 16, 30]. This work is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 15K04907.

References

- [1] S. Abenda, On a family of KP multi-line solitons associated to rational degenerations of real hyperelliptic curves and to the finite non-periodic Toda hierarchy, arXiv:1605.00995.
- [2] S. Abenda and P. Grinevich, Rational degenerations of M-curves, totally positive Grassmannians and KP-solitons, arXiv:1506.00563.
- [3] J. Bernatska and D.V. Leykin, On degenerate sigma-function, arXiv:1509.01490.
- [4] E.D. Belokolos, A.I. Bobenko, V.Z. Enolskii, A.R. Its and V.B. Matveev, *Algebro-Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [5] V.M. Buchstaber, V.Z. Enolski and D.V. Leykin, Kleinian functions, hyperelliptic Jacobians and applications, in *Reviews in Math. and Math. Phys.* **Vol.10**, No.2, Gordon and Breach, London, 1997, 1-125.
- [6] V.M. Buchstaber, V.Z. Enolski and D.V. Leykin, Rational analogue of Abelian functions, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **33-2** (1999), 83-94.

- [7] V.M. Buchstaber, V.Z. Enolski and D.V. Leykin, *Multi-Dimensional Sigma-Functions*, arXiv:1208.0990.
- [8] V.M. Buchstaber and D.V. Leykin, Solution of the problem of differentiation of Abelian functions over parameters for families of (n, s) -curves, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **42-4** (2008), 268-278.
- [9] S. Chakravarty and Y. Kodama, Classification of the line solitons of KP II, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008) 275209 (33pp).
- [10] S. Chakravarty and Y. Kodama, Soliton solutions of the KP equation and application to shallow water waves, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **123** (2009) 83-151.
- [11] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, in *Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory*, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, (1983), 39-119.
- [12] N.C. Freeman and J.J.C. Nimmo, Soliton-solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equations: the Wronskian technique, *Phys. Lett.* **95A** (1983), 1-3.
- [13] N. Kawamoto, Y. Namikawa, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, Geometric realization of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **116** (1988) 247-308.
- [14] Y. Kodama, *KP solitons and the Grassmannians*, Springer, 2017.
- [15] I.M. Krichever, On rational solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and integrable systems of N particles on a line, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **12-1** (1978), 76-78 (Russian), 59-61 (English).
- [16] I.M. Krichever, Rational solutions of the Zakharov-Shabat equations and completely integrable systems of N particles on a line, *Zap. Nachn. Sem. LOMI.* **84** (1979), 117-130 (Russian), *J. Sov. Math.* **21** (1983) 335-345 (English).
- [17] D. Korotkin and V. Shramchenko, On higher genus Weierstrass sigma-function, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, **Vol. 241, 23-24** (2012), 2086-2094.
- [18] Y. Kodama and L. Williams, KP solitons, total positivity and cluster algebras, *Invent Math.* **198** (2014), 637-699.
- [19] Yu. Manin, Algebraic aspects of nonlinear differential equations, English translation of *Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Sovremennyye Problemy Matematiki*, **Vol. 11** (1978), 5-152.
- [20] M. Mulase, Algebraic theory of the KP equations, in *Perspectives in Math. Phys.*, R.Penner and S.T.Yau (eds.), International Press Company, (1994), 157-223.

- [21] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta II*, Birkhauser, 1983.
- [22] A. Nakayashiki, Algebraic expressions of sigma functions of (n, s) curves, *Asian J. Math.* **14-2** (2010), 175-212.
- [23] A. Nakayashiki, Sigma function as a tau function, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2010-3** (2010), 373-394.
- [24] A. Nakayashiki, Tau function approach to theta functions, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2016-17** (2016), 5202–5248.
- [25] M. Sato, Lecture note of M. Sato (1984-1985 spring), note taken by T. Umeda, Lecture note on mathematical sciences 5, RIMS, Kyoto University (1989) (in Japanese).
- [26] M. Sato and M. Noumi, Soliton Equations and Universal Grassmann Manifold, Sophia University, Tokyo, Mathematical Lecture Note 18, (1984) (in Japanese).
- [27] M. Sato and Y. Sato, Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold, in *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Sciences*, P.D. Lax, H. Fujita and G. Strang (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, (1982), 259-271.
- [28] G. Segal and G. Wilson, Loop groups and equations of KdV type, *Publ. Math. IHES* **61** (1985) 5-65.
- [29] S. Tanaka and E. Date, KdV equation, Kinokuniya, 1979 (in Japanese).
- [30] G. Wilson, Collisions of Calogero-Moser particles and an adelic Grassmannian (with an Appendix by I.G. Macdonald), *Invent. math.* **133** (1998), 1-41.