

A Variation of the q -Painlevé System with Affine Weyl Group Symmetry of Type $E_7^{(1)}$

Hidehito NAGAO

Department of Arts and Science, National Institute of Technology, Akashi College,
Hyogo 674-8501, Japan

E-mail: nagao@akashi.ac.jp

Received July 03, 2017, in final form November 24, 2017; Published online December 10, 2017

<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2017.092>

Abstract. Recently a certain q -Painlevé type system has been obtained from a reduction of the q -Garnier system. In this paper it is shown that the q -Painlevé type system is associated with another realization of the affine Weyl group symmetry of type $E_7^{(1)}$ and is different from the well-known q -Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$ from the point of view of evolution directions. We also study a connection between the q -Painlevé type system and the q -Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$. Furthermore determinant formulas of particular solutions for the q -Painlevé type system are constructed in terms of the terminating q -hypergeometric function.

Key words: q -Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$; q -Garnier system; Padé method; q -hypergeometric function

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14H70; 33D15; 33D70; 34M55; 37K20; 39A13; 41A21

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

In [39] H. Sakai has classified the second order continuous and discrete Painlevé equations into 22 cases by using the geometric theory of certain rational surfaces, called the “spaces of initial values”¹, connected to affine root systems. The spaces of initial values are obtained from $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (resp. \mathbb{P}^2) by blowing up at 8 (resp. 9) singular points. In view of the configuration of 8 (resp. 9) singular points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (resp. \mathbb{P}^2), there exist three types of discrete Painlevé equations and six continuous Painlevé equations in the classification: elliptic difference (e -), multiplicative difference (q -), additive difference (d -) and continuous (differential). Each of these Painlevé equations is constructed in a unified manner as the bi-rational action of a translation part of the corresponding affine Weyl group symmetry on a certain family of the rational surfaces. The sole e -Painlevé equation [31] having the affine Weyl group symmetry of type $E_8^{(1)}$ is obtained from the most generic configuration on the unique curve of bi-degree (2, 2) called the smooth “elliptic curve”. All of the other Painlevé equations are derived from its degeneration. For instance, the q -Painlevé system with the symmetry of type $E_7^{(1)}$ is well known to be obtained from a configuration of eight singular points on two curves of bi-degree (1, 1) in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The second order continuous and discrete Painlevé equations are classified into the 22 cases² according to the degeneration diagram of affine Weyl group symmetries (see Fig. 1), where the symbol $A \rightarrow B$ represents that B is obtained from A by a certain limiting procedure. The d -Painlevé equation of type $D_4^{(1)}$ and its degeneration (except for $A_0^{(1)}$) arise as Bäcklund (Schlesinger)

¹For each of the six continuous Painlevé equations, K. Okamoto has constructed certain rational surfaces, called the “spaces of initial values”, which parametrize all the solutions [34].

²Some q -Painlevé equations, such as a second order case of the system [15] (see also [45]), does not belong to the list of discrete Painlevé equations appeared in [39].




Figure 1.

transformations of the six continuous Painlevé equations³ (P_I, \dots, P_{VI}) . The symbol $A_1^{(1)}_{|\alpha|^2=l}$ means the root subsystem of type $A_1^{(1)}$ whose square length of roots is l .

Similarly to the differential Painlevé systems, the discrete Painlevé systems are known to have particular solutions expressed by various hypergeometric functions [12, 13, 14, 16, 21, 22, 38]. The particular solutions of the elliptic Painlevé equation are expressed in [12] in terms of the elliptic hypergeometric function ${}_{10}E_9$ [3]. In the case of q - $E_7^{(1)}$, the particular solutions are expressed in [24] in terms of the terminating q -hypergeometric function ${}_4\varphi_3$,⁴ where the function ${}_k\varphi_l$ [3] is defined by

$${}_k\varphi_l \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, & \dots, & \alpha_k \\ \beta_1, & \dots, & \beta_l \end{matrix} ; x \right) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)_s}{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l, q)_s} [(-1)^s q^{\binom{s}{2}}]^{1+l-k} x^s, \quad (1.1)$$

with $\binom{s}{2} = \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$. Here the standard q -Pochhammer symbol⁵ is defined by

$$(x)_{\infty} := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^i x), \quad (x)_s := \frac{(x)_{\infty}}{(xq^s)_{\infty}}, \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)_s := (x_1)_s (x_2)_s \cdots (x_k)_s.$$

It is common to nonlinear integrable systems that they arise as the compatibility condition of linear equations and their deformed equations. The pair of the linear equations is called a “Lax pair” for the nonlinear system. Similarly to the continuous Painlevé equations [8, 9, 10, 32, 33], Lax pairs for the discrete Painlevé equations have been studied from various points of view in [4, 11, 16, 23, 37, 42, 46, 48]. For instance, as a geometric approach, the Lax pair for the e -Painlevé equation has been formulated in [46] as a curve of bi-degree $(3, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ passing through 12 points. In the case of q - $E_7^{(1)}$, the Lax pair has been similarly formulated in [16, 46].

In [41] the q -Garnier system was formulated as a multivariable extension of the well-known q - P_{VI} (i.e., q - $D_5^{(1)}$) system [11] by H. Sakai, and has recently been studied in [28, 35, 40]⁶. In [28] a Lax pair, an evolution equation and two kinds of particular solutions⁷ for the q -Garnier system have been simply expressed by applying a certain method of Padé approximation and

³ $P_{III}^{D_i^{(1)}}$ symbolizes P_{III} having the surface connected to the affine root system of type $D_i^{(1)}$.

⁴The terminating balanced ${}_4\varphi_3$ is rewritten into the terminating q -hypergeometric function ${}_8W_7$ by Watson’s transformation formula [3]. For particular solutions in terms of ${}_8W_7$, see [13, 14, 21].

⁵Actually Pochhammer himself used the symbol $(a)_n$ not as a rising shifted factorial but as a binomial coefficient [17].

⁶For the related works, see [1, 2, 35] (additive Garnier system), [36, 50] (elliptic Garnier system).

⁷These solutions have been constructed in terms of the q -Appell Lauricella function (resp. the generalized q -hypergeometric function) in [28, 40] (resp. [28]).

its analogue (i.e., Padé interpolation)⁸. The q - $D_5^{(1)}$ (resp. q - $E_6^{(1)}$) system appears as a reduction of case $N = 1$ [41] (resp. particular case of $N = 2$ [42]) of the q -Garnier system having $2N$ dependent variables. Recently the q -Painlevé type system⁹ [28, Section 2.5] has appeared as a particular case of $N = 3$. However the q - $E_8^{(1)}$ system has been not obtained from a reduction of the q -Garnier system.

Remark 1.1. We call a certain q -Painlevé type system “*a variation of a q -Painlevé system*” having a well-known direction¹⁰, when both systems satisfy the following: (i) They are associated with different realizations of the symmetry/surface of the same type in the Sakai’s classification. (ii) Their time evolutions are different from the viewpoint of shift operator on parameters.

1.2 Purpose and organization

Our main subject is the q -Painlevé type system [28] regarded as a variation of the well-known q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system [5]. The purpose of this paper is the following three.

- We show that the q -Painlevé type system is a bi-rational transformation and is related to a novel realization of the symmetry/surface of type $E_7^{(1)}/A_1^{(1)}$. Then it is clarified to be a variation of the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system.
- The Lax pair for the q -Painlevé type system is obtained from a certain reduction of the q -Garnier system and we study a connection between the q -Painlevé type system and the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system by comparing their Lax equations.
- Particular solutions for the q -Painlevé type system are given as a reduction of the q -Garnier system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove that the q -Painlevé type system is a bi-rational transformation and we investigate its configuration of 8 singular points on a curve of bi-degree $(2, 2)$ in coordinates $(f, g) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In Section 3 we briefly recall Lax equations for the q -Garnier system [28, Section 2.1], and study a reduction of particular case $N = 3$ of the q -Garnier system. Consequently, we obtain Lax equations for the q -Painlevé type system. In Section 4 the Lax equation for the q -Painlevé type system is uniquely determined by a characterization, and recall the characterization of Yamada’s Lax equation for the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system. Then we investigate a connection between these systems by comparing characterizations of their Lax equations. In Section 5 we recall the particular solutions of the q -Garnier system and we construct particular solutions of the q -Painlevé type system by applying a reduction. In Appendix A we derive the q -Painlevé type system, its Lax pair and its particular solutions by using a Padé interpolation.

2 q -Painlevé type system

In this section we first recall the q -Painlevé type system [28, Section 2.5]. Then we prove that the system is a bi-rational transformation and confirm that the system has the symmetry/surface of type $E_7^{(1)}/A_1^{(1)}$ by its configuration of eight singular points. Let q ($|q| < 1$), $a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, \dots, b_4, c_1$ and $d_1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ be complex parameters with a constraint $\prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{a_i}{b_i} = q \frac{c_1^2}{d_1^2}$, and let $(f, g) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be

⁸The Padé method has been also applied to the continuous/discrete Painlevé systems in [6, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 47, 49]. For the case of q - $E_7^{(1)}$ [24], see Section A. For the works related to the differential Garnier system, see [18, 47] (Padé approximation), [19, 20] (Hermite–Padé approximation).

⁹As another derivation of the equations (2.2) and (3.6), see Appendix A.1 (Padé interpolation method).

¹⁰In case of q - $E_7^{(1)}$, T_2 (4.7) is the well-known direction and T_1 (2.1) is a variation direction.

dependent variables. Define $T_a: a \rightarrow qa$ by a q -shift operator of parameter a . Then we consider a q -shift operator T_1 ¹¹

$$T_1 = T_{a_1}^{-1} T_{b_1}^{-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here for any object X the corresponding shifts are denoted as $\overline{X} := T_1(X)$ and $\underline{X} := T_1^{-1}(X)$. The operator T_1 plays the role of the evolution of the system. The system is described by the following transformation $T_1^{-1}(g) = \underline{g}(f, g)$ and $T_1(f) = \overline{f}(f, g)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (e_1 f^2 + e_1 f g + c_1) \left(\frac{e_1}{q} f^2 + \frac{e_1}{q} \underline{g} f + c_1 \right) &= c_1^2 \frac{\prod_{i=2}^4 (1 - a_i f)(1 - b_i f)}{(1 - a_1 f)(1 - b_1 f)}, \\ \frac{x_1^2(1 - f x_1)(1 - \overline{f} x_1)}{x_2^2(1 - f x_2)(1 - \overline{f} x_2)} &= \prod_{i=2}^4 \frac{(x_1 - a_i)(x_1 - b_i)}{(x_2 - a_i)(x_2 - b_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here $e_1 = d_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 b_1^{-1}$, and $x = x_1, x_2 (= \frac{e_1}{c_1 x_1})$ are solutions of an equation $\varphi = 0$ where

$$\varphi(x) = e_1 + e_1 g x + c_1 x^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Then we have

Proposition 2.1. *The q -Painlevé type system (2.2) has the following properties:*

- (i) *It is a bi-rational transformation $T_1^{-1}(g) = \underline{g}(f, g)$ and $T_1(f) = \overline{f}(f, g) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*
- (ii) *It is associated with a novel realization (2.5) of the symmetry/surface of type $E_7^{(1)}/A_1^{(1)}$.*

Proof. (i) It is easy to see that the first equation of (2.2) is a rational transformation $T_1^{-1}(g) = \underline{g}(f, g)$. The second equation of (2.2) is rewritten as

$$\overline{f} = \frac{x_1^2(1 - f x_1)\mathcal{A}(x_2) - x_2^2(1 - f x_2)\mathcal{A}(x_1)}{x_1^3(1 - f x_1)\mathcal{A}(x_2) - x_2^3(1 - f x_2)\mathcal{A}(x_1)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(x) = \prod_{i=2}^4 (x - a_i)(x - b_i)$. Then the numerator and denominator of (2.4) are alternating

with respect to $x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2 = \frac{e_1}{c_1 x_1}$, and Laurent polynomials $\sum_{i=-4}^4 h_i x_1^i$ where h_i is depending on f, a_i, b_j, c_1 and d_1 . Accordingly the numerator and denominator are expressed by Laurent polynomials $(x_1 - x_2) \sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{h}_i (x_1 + x_2)^i$, where \tilde{h}_i is depending on f, a_i, b_j, c_1 and d_1 . Due to the relation $x_1 + x_2 = -\frac{e_1 g}{c_1}$, the transformation $T_1(f) = \overline{f}(f, g)$ is given as a rational polynomial of bi-degree (1, 3) in (f, g) . Therefore the property (i) is proved. (ii) Eight singular points $(f_s, g_s) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ($s = 1, \dots, 8$) in coordinates (f, g) are on one line $g = \infty$ of bi-degree (0, 1) and one parabolic curve $e_1 f^2 + e_1 f g + c_1 = 0$ of bi-degree (2, 1) as follows:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_1}, \infty \right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_1}, \infty \right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{a_i}, -\frac{1}{a_i} - \frac{a_i c_1}{e_1} \right)_{i=2,3,4}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, -\frac{1}{b_i} - \frac{b_i c_1}{e_1} \right)_{i=2,3,4}. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence the property (ii) is confirmed since the configuration (2.5) is the realization of the surface type $A_1^{(1)}$. ■

According to Remark 1.1, the system (2.2) is regarded as a variation of the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system.

¹¹The operator T_1 is generally selected as $T_{a_i}^{-1} T_{b_j}^{-1}$. The directions such as $T_{a_i}^{-1} T_{b_j}^{-1}$, $T_{a_i}^{-1} T_{a_j}^{-1} T_{c_k}^{-1}$ and $T_{a_i}^{-1} T_{a_j}^{-1} T_{d_k}$ are fundamental ones.

3 Lax equations

In this section we recall Lax equations for the q -Garnier system [28, Section 2.1] and investigate a reduction of particular case $N = 3$ of them. As a result, we obtain the Lax equations for the q -Painlevé type system (2.2).

3.1 Case of the q -Garnier system

The scalar Lax equations for the q -Garnier system are

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(x) &= A(x)F\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)y(qx) + qc_1c_2B\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)F(x)y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \\
&\quad - \left\{ (x - a_1)(x - b_1)F\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)G(x) + \frac{F(x)}{G\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)}V\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \right\} y(x), \\
L_2(x) &= F(x)\bar{y}(x) - A_1(x)y(qx) + (x - b_1)G(x)y(x), \\
L_3(x) &= \bar{F}\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)y(x) + (x - a_1)G\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)\bar{y}(x) - qc_1c_2B_1\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)\bar{y}\left(\frac{x}{q}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} (x - a_i), & B(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N+1} (x - b_i), & A_1(x) &= \frac{A(x)}{x - a_1}, & B_1(x) &= \frac{B(x)}{x - b_1}, \\
F(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^N f_i x^i, & G(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i z^i, & V(x) &= qc_1c_2A_1(x)B_1(x) - F(x)\bar{F}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here the deformation direction is T_1 (2.1) and $f_0, \dots, f_N, g_0, \dots, g_{N-1} \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are variables depending on parameters a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i with a constraint $\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{a_i}{b_i} = q \frac{c_1c_2}{d_1d_2}$.

Remark 3.1. The scalar Lax pair $L_1 = 0$ and $L_2 = 0$ (or $L_3 = 0$) is equivalent to the pair of the deformation equations $L_2 = 0$ and $L_3 = 0$.

The equation $L_1 = 0$ (we call it the L_1 equation) is equivalent to one for Sakai's system given in [41] and the deformation direction is opposite to one for Sakai's system. The q -Garnier system is

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x)\underline{G}(x) &= c_1c_2 \frac{A_1(x)B_1(x)}{(x - a_1)(x - b_1)} & \text{for } F(x) &= 0, \\
F(x)\bar{F}(x) &= qc_1c_2A_1(x)B_1(x) & \text{for } G(x) &= 0, \\
f_N\bar{f}_N &= q(g_{N-1} - c_1)(g_{N-1} - c_2), & f_0\bar{f}_0 &= a_1b_1 \left(g_0 - \frac{d_1}{a_1b_1}A(0) \right) \left(g_0 - \frac{d_2}{a_1b_1}A(0) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $2N$ variables $\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \dots, \frac{f_N}{f_0}, g_0, \dots, g_{N-1}$ are the dependent variables. Then we have the following fact¹².

Proposition 3.2. *The compatibility condition of the Lax pair $L_1 = 0$ and $L_2 = 0$ (3.1) is equivalent to the q -Garnier system (3.3).*

¹²For the proof of Proposition 3.2, see [28, Section 2.3].

3.2 Reduction to the q -Painlevé type system

We impose a reduction condition by a constraint of the parameters

$$c_1 = c_2, \quad d_1 = d_2 \quad (3.4)$$

and specialize the dependent variables as

$$f_0 = f_3 = 0, \quad f_1 = w_1, \quad f_2 = -fw_1, \quad g_0 = e_1, \quad g_1 = e_1g, \quad g_2 = c_1, \quad (3.5)$$

where w_1 ¹³ is a “*gauge freedom*”. Applying the conditions (3.4) and (3.5) into (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain the following linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x) &= A(x) \left(1 - \frac{fx}{q}\right) y(qx) + q^2 c_1^2 B\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) (1 - fx) y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \\ &\quad - \left\{ (x - a_1)(x - b_1) \left(1 - \frac{fx}{q}\right) \varphi(x) + \frac{q(1 - fx)}{\varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)} V\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \right\} y(x), \\ L_2(x) &= w_1 x (1 - fx) \bar{y}(x) - A_1(x) y(qx) + (x - b_1) \varphi(x) y(x), \\ L_3(x) &= \bar{w}_1 \frac{x}{q} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{f}x}{q}\right) y(x) + (x - a_1) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \bar{y}(x) - qc_1^2 B_1\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \bar{y}\left(\frac{x}{q}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where φ is given by (2.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^4 (x - a_i), & B(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^4 (x - b_i), \\ V(x) &= qc_1^2 A_1(x) B_1(x) - w_1 \bar{w}_1 x^2 (1 - fx)(1 - \bar{f}x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then we have

Proposition 3.3. *The compatibility condition of the L_1 and L_2 equations (3.6) is equivalent to the q -Painlevé type system (2.2).*

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 3.2 and the conditions (3.4), (3.5). ■

The pair of L_1 and L_2 equations (3.6) is regard as the Lax pair for the system (2.2).

4 Characterization of the L_1 equation

In [48] Y. Yamada has formulated a Lax form for the q -Painlevé equation of type $E_7^{(1)}$ as the linear equation (say $L_1 = 0$) and its deformed equation. Our direction T_1 (2.1) is different from Yamada’s one. In general the L_1 equation is expressed in terms of different dependent variables according to several deformation directions. In this section, from the viewpoint of coordinates of dependent variables, we study a connection between our L_1 equation (3.6) and Yamada’s L_1 equation.

¹³For convenience, f_1 is replaced by a different symbol w_1 since f_1 looks like f .

4.1 Case of our L_1 equation

We consider characterizing our L_1 equation (3.6) in the coordinates $(f, g) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The compatibility of L_2 and L_3 equations (3.6) gives the first equation of (2.2) and two relations

$$w_1 \bar{w}_1 x_1^2 (1 - f x_1)(1 - \bar{f} x_1) = q c_1^2 A_1(x_1) B_1(x_1), \quad (4.1)$$

$$w_1 \bar{w}_1 x_2^2 (1 - f x_2)(1 - \bar{f} x_2) = q c_1^2 A_1(x_2) B_1(x_2). \quad (4.2)$$

The relations (4.1) and (4.2) give the second equation of (2.2). Eliminating \bar{f} , w_1 and \bar{w}_1 from the expression L_1 (3.6) by using the first equation of (2.2) and the relation (4.1), the expression L_1 (3.6) is rewritten in terms of variables f and x_1 as the expression

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x) = & A(x) \left(1 - f \frac{x}{q}\right) \left[y(qx) - \frac{(x - b_1) \varphi(x)}{A_1(x)} y(x) \right] \\ & + q^2 c_1^2 B \left(\frac{x}{q}\right) (1 - fx) \left[y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) - \frac{A_1\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)}{\left(\frac{x}{q} - b_1\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)} y(x) \right] \\ & + \frac{c_1^2 x^2 (1 - fx)(1 - f \frac{x}{q})}{(x_1 - x_2) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{x}{q} - x_2\right) A_1(x_1) B_1(x_1)}{x_1^2 (1 - fx_1)} - \frac{\left(\frac{x}{q} - x_1\right) A_1(x_2) B_1(x_2)}{x_2^2 (1 - fx_2)} \right] y(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $x_2 = \frac{e_1}{c_1 x_1}$. Next when we set the expression L_1^* by

$$L_1^*(x) = (1 - fx_1)(1 - fx_2)L_1(x). \quad (4.4)$$

Then we have:

Proposition 4.1. *The L_1^* equation (4.4) has the following characterization¹⁴:*

- (i) *The expression $L_1^*(f, g)$ is a polynomial of bi-degree $(3, 2)$ in the coordinates $(f, g) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*
- (ii) *As a polynomial, the expression $L_1^*(f, g)$ vanishes at the following 12 points $(f_s, g_s) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ($s = 1, \dots, 12$):*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{a_1}, \infty\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_1}, \infty\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{a_i}, -\frac{1}{a_i} - \frac{a_i c_1}{e_1}\right)_{i=2,3,4}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, -\frac{1}{b_i} - \frac{b_i c_1}{e_1}\right)_{i=2,3,4}, \\ & \left(\frac{q}{x}, \infty\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{1}{x} - \frac{c_1 x}{e_1}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{x}, g_{\frac{1}{x}}\right), \quad \left(\frac{q}{x}, g_{\frac{q}{x}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the first 8 points are as in (2.5) and g_u is given by

$$\frac{y\left(\frac{q}{u}\right)}{y\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)} =: \frac{\left(\frac{1}{u} - b_1\right) \left(e_1 + \frac{e_1 g_u}{u} + \frac{c_1}{u^2}\right)}{A_1\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)}, \quad u = \frac{1}{x}, \frac{q}{x}.$$

Conversely the L_1^* equation is uniquely characterized by these properties (i) and (ii).

Proof. By the expression L_1 (4.3), the expression L_1^* is rewritten in terms of variables f and x_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^*(x) = & A(x)(1 - fx_1)(1 - fx_2) \left(1 - f \frac{x}{q}\right) y(qx) \\ & + q^2 c_1^2 (1 - fx_1)(1 - fx_2)(1 - fx) y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) + P(x)y(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

¹⁴For other cases, see [16, 46] (case e - $E_8^{(1)}$), [16, 48] (case q - $E_8^{(1)}$).

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P(x) &= \frac{q^2 c_1^2 (1-fx) \left(1 - f \frac{x}{q}\right) \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^2}{(x_1 - x_2) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)} Q(x) \\
&\quad - (x - a_1)(x - b_1)(1 - fx_1)(1 - fx_2) \left(1 - f \frac{x}{q}\right) \varphi(x), \\
Q(x) &= \frac{(1 - fx_2) \left(\frac{x}{q} - x_2\right) A_1(x_1) B_1(x_1)}{x_1^2} - \frac{(1 - fx_1) \left(\frac{x}{q} - x_1\right) A_1(x_2) B_1(x_2)}{x_2^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{(x_1 - x_2)(1 - fx_1)(1 - fx_2) A_1\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) B_1\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)}{\left(1 - f \frac{x}{q}\right) \left(\frac{x}{q}\right)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and $x_1, x_2 (= \frac{e_1}{c_1 x_1})$ are as in Section 3.2. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.1, the expression $Q(x)$ is given as a Laurent polynomial $(x_1 - x_2) \sum_{i=0}^3 k_i (x_1 + x_2)^i$ where k_i depends on f, a_i, b_i, c_1 and d_1 . The expression $Q(x)$ has zeros at $x = qx_1, qx_2$ which are solutions of the equation $\varphi\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) = 0$. Therefore, due to the relation $x_1 + x_2 = -\frac{e_1 g}{c_1}$, the expression $P(x)$ (i.e., the coefficient of $y(x)$) is a polynomial of bi-degree (3, 2) in (f, g) . It is obvious that the coefficients of $y(qx)$ and $y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right)$ are polynomials of bi-degree (3, 1) in (f, g) . Hence the property (i) is completely proved. Next the property (ii) can be easily confirmed by substituting the 12 points (4.5) into the expression L_1^* (4.6). \blacksquare

4.2 Case of Yamada's L_1 equation

Firstly we recall the well-known $q-E_7^{(1)}$ system [5] and the corresponding Yamada's Lax form [48]. Next we characterize Yamada's L_1 equation in terms of dependent variables. The complex parameters a_i, b_i, c_1 and d_1 be as in Section 2 and let $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be dependent variables. Then we consider a q -shift operator T_2 ¹⁵ as

$$T_2 = T_{a_1}^{-1} T_{a_2}^{-1} T_{b_1}^{-1} T_{b_2}^{-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

The operator T_2 plays the role of the evolution of the $q-E_7^{(1)}$ system. The system is well-known as the bi-rational transformation $T_2^{-1}(\mu) = \underline{\mu}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $T_2(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}(\lambda, \mu)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows [5, 13, 16, 39]

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(\lambda\mu - \frac{e_2}{c_1})(\lambda\underline{\mu} - \frac{e_2}{qc_1})}{(\lambda\mu - 1)(\lambda\underline{\mu} - 1)} &= \frac{e_2^2 \prod_{i=1,2} (1 - a_i \lambda)(1 - b_i \lambda)}{qc_1^2 \prod_{i=3,4} (1 - a_i \lambda)(1 - b_i \lambda)}, \\
\frac{(\lambda\mu - \frac{e_2}{c_1})(\bar{\lambda}\mu - \frac{qe_2}{c_1})}{(\lambda\mu - 1)(\bar{\lambda}\mu - 1)} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1,2} (\mu - \frac{a_i e_2}{c_1})(\mu - \frac{b_i e_2}{c_1})}{\prod_{i=3,4} (\mu - a_i)(\mu - b_i)},
\end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $e_2 = \frac{a_3 a_4 d_1}{b_1 b_2}$. Here eight singular points (λ_s, μ_s) ($s = 1, \dots, 8$) in coordinates (λ, μ) are on two curves $\lambda\mu = 1$ and $\lambda\mu = \frac{e_2}{c_1}$ as follows

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_i}, \frac{a_i e_2}{c_1}\right)_{i=1,2}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, \frac{b_i e_2}{c_1}\right)_{i=1,2}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{a_i}, a_i\right)_{i=3,4}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, b_i\right)_{i=3,4}. \quad (4.9)$$

¹⁵The direction T_2 is given by a composition of the fundamental ones such as T_1 (2.1) and $T_{a_2}^{-1} T_{b_2}^{-1}$.

The following scalar Lax equations

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(x) &= \frac{A(x)}{1-\lambda x} \left[y(qx) - \frac{e_2 \prod_{i=1,2} (x-b_i)(\mu-x)}{\prod_{i=3,4} (x-a_i)(\mu-\frac{e_2 x}{c_1})} y(x) \right] \\
&+ \frac{q^2 c_1^2 B(\frac{x}{q})}{1-\lambda \frac{x}{q}} \left[y\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) - \frac{\prod_{i=3,4} (\frac{x}{q}-a_i)(\mu-\frac{e_2 x}{q c_1})}{e_2 \prod_{i=1,2} (\frac{x}{q}-b_i)(\mu-\frac{x}{q})} y(x) \right] \\
&+ \frac{c_1(1-\frac{c_1}{e_2})x^2}{\mu} \left[\frac{\prod_{i=3,4} (\mu-a_i)(\mu-b_i)}{(\lambda\mu-1)(\mu-\frac{x}{q})} - \frac{\prod_{i=1,2} (\mu-\frac{a_i e_2}{c_1})(\mu-\frac{b_i e_2}{c_1})}{(\lambda\mu-\frac{e_2}{c_1})(\mu-\frac{e_2 x}{c_1})} \right] y(x), \quad (4.10) \\
L_2(x) &= w_2 x(1-\lambda x) \bar{y}(x) - \prod_{i=3}^4 (x-a_i) \left(1 - \frac{e_2 x}{c_1 \mu}\right) y(qx) + e_2 \prod_{i=1}^2 (x-b_i) \left(1 - \frac{x}{\mu}\right) y(z)
\end{aligned}$$

are equivalent to those in [16, 24, 48] up to a gauge transformation of $y(x)$. Here A and B are as in (3.7) and w_2 is a gauge freedom (as mentioned in Section 3.2). Then the compatibility of the L_1 and L_2 equations (4.10) is equivalent to the system (4.8). Next setting the expression L_1^* by

$$L_1^*(x) = (1-\lambda x) \left(1 - \lambda \frac{x}{q}\right) (\lambda\mu-1) \left(\lambda\mu - \frac{e_2}{c_1}\right) L_1(x), \quad (4.11)$$

we have:

Proposition 4.2. *The L_1^* equation (4.11) has the following characterization:*

- (i) *The expression L_1^* is a polynomial of bi-degree (3, 2) in the coordinates $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*
- (ii) *As a polynomial, the expression L_1^* vanishes at the following 12 points $(\lambda_s, \mu_s) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ($s = 1, \dots, 12$):*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{a_i}, \frac{a_i e_2}{c_1}\right)_{i=1,2}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, \frac{b_i e_2}{c_1}\right)_{i=1,2}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{a_i}, a_i\right)_{i=3,4}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{b_i}, b_i\right)_{i=3,4}, \\
&\left(\frac{1}{x}, x\right), \quad \left(\frac{q}{x}, \frac{e_2 x}{q c_1}\right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{x}, \mu \frac{1}{x}\right), \quad \left(\frac{q}{x}, \mu \frac{q}{x}\right), \quad (4.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first 8 points are as in (4.9) and μ_u is given by

$$\frac{Y(\frac{q}{u})}{Y(\frac{1}{u})} =: \frac{e_2 \prod_{i=1,2} (\frac{1}{u} - b_i)(\mu_u - \frac{1}{u})}{\prod_{i=3,4} (\frac{1}{u} - a_i)(\mu_u - \frac{e_2}{c_1 u})}, \quad u = \frac{1}{x}, \frac{q}{x}.$$

Conversely the equation L_1^* is uniquely characterized by these properties (i) and (ii).

Proof. This proof is the similar as for Proposition 4.1. ■

4.3 Correspondence between two L_1 equations

Thanks to Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we have:

Theorem 4.3. *The L_1 equation (4.3) is equivalent to Yamada's L_1 equation (4.10) under a relation*

$$f = \lambda, \quad \frac{(\lambda\mu - \frac{e_2}{c_1})(e_1f^2 + e_1fg + c_1)}{e_2(1 - b_2\lambda)(\lambda\mu - 1)(1 - a_2f)} = 1. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Comparing the last two points of (4.5) in Proposition 4.1 with ones of (4.12) in Proposition 4.2, we obtain the transformation (4.13) as a necessary condition to change the L_1^* equation (4.11) into the L_1^* equation (4.4). Conversely, under the relation (4.13), $\mu(f, g)$ is written as a rational function with the numerator and the denominator of bi-degree (1, 1) in (f, g) respectively. Substituting the expression $\mu(f, g)$ (4.13) into the L_1^* equation (4.11), it is shown that the algebraic curve $L_1^* = 0$ (4.11) of bi-degree (3, 2) in (λ, μ) changes to the algebraic curve of bi-degree (5, 2) in (f, g) . Furthermore, due to the relation (4.13), 12 points (4.12) are changed to 12 points (4.5) and 2 lines $f = \frac{1}{a_2}$, $f = \frac{1}{b_2}$. Namely it turns out that the algebraic curve $L_1^*(\lambda, \mu) = 0$ (4.11) of bi-degree (3, 2) is changed to the algebraic curve $L_1^*(f, g) \times (1 - a_2f)(1 - b_2f) = 0$ (4.4) of bi-degree (3, 2) \times (2, 0) in (f, g) . Hence, the relation (4.13) is proved to be the sufficient condition that the L_1 equation (4.10) corresponds with the L_1 equation (4.3). ■

We note that the system (2.2) has the Lax pair whose L_1 equation (4.3) is equivalent to that (4.10) of the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system (4.8) and clarify the relation (4.13) of the dependent variables between the systems (2.2) and (4.8).

5 Particular solutions

In this section we recall the particular solutions for the system (3.3) given in [28], and derive ones for the system (2.2) by the similar reduction as in Section 3.2.

5.1 Case of the q -Garnier system

The contents are extracts from [28, Section 5.2]. For convenience we change the notations in Section 3.1 as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_N & a_{N+1} \\ b_1 & \dots & b_N & b_{N+1} \\ c_1 & c_2 & d_1 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{a_N} & q^{m+n} \\ \frac{1}{b_1} & \dots & \frac{1}{b_N} & \frac{1}{q} \\ cq^n \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{b_i}{a_i} & q^m & c & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Correspondingly we replace the notations A, B etc. (3.2) by

$$A(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (a_i x)_1, \quad B(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N (b_i x)_1, \quad A_1(x) = \frac{A(x)}{(a_1 x)_1}, \quad B_1(x) = \frac{B(x)}{(b_1 x)_1}. \quad (5.2)$$

We also replace the evolution direction (2.1) by

$$T_1 = T_{a_1} T_{b_1}. \quad (5.3)$$

We show particular solutions in terms of the τ function

$$\tau_{m,n} = \det \left[{}_{N+1}\varphi_N \left(\begin{matrix} b_1, \dots, b_N, q^{-(m+n)} \\ a_1, \dots, a_N \end{matrix}, cq^{i+j+1} \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^n, \quad (5.4)$$

where the generalized q -hypergeometric function ${}_{N+1}\varphi_N$ ¹⁶ [3] is defined by (1.1). Then we have the following fact¹⁷.

Proposition 5.1. *The polynomials $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right)}{F\left(\frac{1}{b_j}\right)} &= \alpha \frac{T_{a_i}(\tau_{m,n})T_{a_i}^{-1}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{b_j}^{-1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{b_j}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}, & i, j &= 1, \dots, N, \\ G\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) &= \beta \frac{T_{a_i}(\bar{\tau}_{m,n})T_{a_i}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{a_1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{a_1}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}, & i &= 2, \dots, N, \\ G\left(\frac{1}{b_i}\right) &= \gamma \frac{T_{b_i}^{-1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{b_i}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{b_1}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m,n})T_{b_1}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}, & i &= 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

give particular solutions of a bi-rational equation

$$\begin{aligned} G(x)\underline{G}(x) &= \frac{c(qx, \frac{x}{q^{m+n}})_1 A_1(x)B_1(x)}{(a_1x, b_1x)_1} & \text{for } F(x) &= 0, \\ F(x)\bar{F}(x) &= \left(qx, \frac{x}{q^{m+n}}\right)_1 A_1(x)B_1(x) & \text{for } G(x) &= 0, \\ f_N\bar{f}_N &= \frac{qa_1b_1}{c} \left(g_{N-1} - \frac{c \prod_{i=2}^N (-b_i)}{a_1q^{m-1}}\right) \left(g_{N-1} - \frac{\prod_{i=2}^N (-a_i)}{b_1q^n}\right), & f_0\bar{f}_0 &= \left(g_0, \frac{g_0}{c}\right)_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here α , β and γ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= -cq^{n-m} \frac{(a_iq^{m+n})_1 \left(\frac{a_i}{q}\right)_1^n \left(\frac{b_j}{q}\right)_1^n B\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right)}{(a_i)_1^{n+1} (b_j)_1^n A\left(\frac{1}{b_j}\right)}, \\ \beta &= c \frac{(b_1, a_iq^{m+n})_1 \left(\frac{a_i}{q}\right)_1^n B_1\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right)}{a_1q^m \left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right)_1 (a_i)_1^{n+1}}, & \gamma &= \frac{(a_1)_1 (b_i)_1^n A_1\left(\frac{1}{b_i}\right)}{b_1q^n \left(\frac{a_1}{b_1}\right)_1 \left(\frac{b_i}{q}\right)_1^n}. \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Reduction to the q -Painlevé type system

In this subsection we derive particular solutions for the Painlevé type equation (2.2). In a similar way as in Section 3.2, we consider the reduction from the particular case $N = 3$ of the q -Garnier system (3.3). In order to do this, we impose a constraint of parameters

$$c = 1, \quad q^{m-n} \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{a_i}{b_i} = 1, \quad (5.6)$$

and the specialization (3.5). Then the tau function $\tau_{m,n}$ (5.4) is reduced to the following function

$$\tau_{m,n} = \det \left[{}_4\varphi_3 \left(\begin{matrix} b_1, b_2, b_3, q^{-(m+n)} \\ a_1, a_2, a_3 \end{matrix}, q^{i+j+1} \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^n,$$

where the generalized q -hypergeometric function ${}_4\varphi_3$ is defined by (1.1). As the case of a reduction of Proposition 5.1, we have the following¹⁸.

¹⁶In [43, 44] the particular solutions of a higher order q -Painlevé system was constructed in terms of the q -hypergeometric function ${}_{N+1}\varphi_N$ by T. Suzuki.

¹⁷For the proof of Proposition 5.1, see [28, Section 5].

¹⁸As another derivation of the particular solutions (5.7), see Section A.2 (Padé interpolation method).

Proposition 5.2. *The particular values of f and g determined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \frac{f}{a_i} &= \alpha' \frac{T_{a_i}(\tau_{m,n})T_{a_i}^{-1}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{b_j}^{-1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{b_j}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}, & i, j &= 1, 2, 3, \\
1 + \frac{g}{a_i} + \frac{\kappa}{a_i^2} &= \beta' \frac{T_{a_i}(\bar{\tau}_{m,n})T_{a_i}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{a_1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{a_1}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}, & i &= 2, 3, \\
1 + \frac{g}{b_i} + \frac{\kappa}{b_i^2} &= \gamma' \frac{T_{b_i}^{-1}(\tau_{m,n})T_{b_i}(\bar{\tau}_{m+1,n-1})}{T_{b_1}^{-1}(\bar{\tau}_{m,n})T_{b_1}(\tau_{m+1,n-1})}, & i &= 2, 3,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

give particular solutions of the following overdetermined bi-rational equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^2 + gf + \kappa)(f^2 + \underline{g}f + q\kappa) &= \frac{(f - \frac{1}{q^{m+n}})(f - q) \prod_{i=2,3} (f - a_i)(f - b_i)}{(f - a_1)(f - b_1)}, \\
\frac{x_1^2(1 - fx_1)(1 - \bar{f}x_1)}{x_2^2(1 - fx_2)(1 - \bar{f}x_2)} &= \frac{(1 - \frac{x_1}{q^{m+n}})(1 - qx_1) \prod_{i=2,3} (1 - a_ix_1)(1 - b_ix_1)}{(1 - \frac{x_2}{q^{m+n}})(1 - qx_2) \prod_{i=2,3} (1 - a_ix_2)(1 - b_ix_2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Here α' , β' and γ' are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha' &= -q^{n-m} \frac{a_i(a_i q^{m+n})_1 \left(\frac{a_i}{q}, \frac{b_j}{q}\right)_1^n \prod_{s=1}^3 \left(\frac{b_s}{a_i}\right)_1}{b_j(a_i)_1^{n+1} (b_j)_1^n \prod_{s=1}^3 \left(\frac{a_s}{b_j}\right)_1}, \\
\beta' &= \frac{(b_1, a_i q^{m+n}, \frac{b_2}{a_i}, \frac{b_3}{a_i})_1 \left(\frac{a_i}{q}\right)_1^n}{a_1 q^m \left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}\right)_1 (a_i)_1^{n+1}}, & \gamma' &= \frac{(a_1, \frac{a_2}{b_i}, \frac{a_3}{b_i})_1 (b_i)_1^n}{b_1 q^n \left(\frac{a_1}{b_1}\right)_1 \left(\frac{b_2}{q}\right)_1^n},
\end{aligned}$$

and the evolution direction is as in T_1 (5.3) and $x = x_1, x_2$ are solutions of an equation $\varphi = 0$:

$$\varphi(x) = 1 + gx + \kappa x^2, \tag{5.9}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{a_2 a_3}{b_1 q^n}$.

Proof. Substituting the conditions (5.6) and (3.5) into the particular solutions (5.5), we obtain (5.7). ■

6 Conclusions

The main results of this paper are the following.

- We showed in Proposition 2.1 that the q -Painlevé type system (2.2) is the bi-rational transformation and is related to the novel realization (i.e., configuration) (2.5) of the symmetry/surface of type $E_7^{(1)}/A_1^{(1)}$. Then the system (2.2) turned out to be a variation of the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system (4.8).
- We obtained the Lax equations (3.6) for the system (2.2) from the reduction of the particular case $N = 3$ of the q -Garnier system (3.3), and clarified the connection between the system (2.2) and the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system (4.8) by comparing their L_1 equations in Theorem 4.3.
- In Proposition 5.2 the determinant formulas of the particular solutions for the system (2.2) was expressed in terms of the generalized q -hypergeometric function ${}_4\varphi_3$ through the similar reduction of the particular case $N = 3$.

Extending the results of this paper, we naturally have the following open problems. One may consider several variations of the q - E_7 system according to several deformation direction such as T_1 and T_2 , and investigates a connection among these systems. We will carry out similar research on discrete Painlevé and Garnier systems [29]. It seems to be interesting to study reductions of cases $N \geq 4$ of the q -Garnier system.

A From Padé interpolation to q -Painlevé type system

By using a Padé interpolation problem with q -grid, in [24] we derived the scalar Lax pair, the evolution equation and the particular solutions for the q - $E_7^{(1)}$ system. In this appendix, in a similar manner as in [24], we directly derive the data of the q -Painlevé type system (2.2) given in Sections 3.2 and 5.2.

A.1 Scalar Lax pair and evolution equation

Suppose we have complex parameters q ($|q| < 1$), a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2 and $b_3 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ with the constraint (5.6). Then we consider a function

$$\psi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{(a_i x, b_i)_\infty}{(a_i, b_i x)_\infty}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be polynomials of degree m and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ in x . Then we assume that the polynomials P and Q satisfy the following Padé interpolation condition:

$$\psi(x_s) = \frac{P(x_s)}{Q(x_s)}, \quad x_s = q^s, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, m+n. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The common normalizations of the polynomials P and Q in x are fixed as $P(0) = 1$. The parameter shift operator is given by T_1 (5.3). Consider two linear relations: $L_2 = 0$ among $y(x), y(qx), \bar{y}(x)$ and $L_3 = 0$ among $y(x), \bar{y}(x), \bar{y}(\frac{x}{q})$ satisfied by the functions $y = P$ and $y = \psi Q$. Then we have:

Proposition A.1. *The linear relations L_2 and L_3 ¹⁹ can be expressed as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(x) &= C_0 x (fx)_1 \bar{y}(x) - \left(\frac{x}{q^{m+n}} \right)_1 A_1(x) y(qx) + (b_1 x)_1 \varphi(x) y(x) = 0, \\ L_3(z) &= C_1 \frac{x}{q} \left(\frac{fx}{q} \right)_1 y(x) + (a_1 x)_1 \varphi \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) \bar{y}(x) - (x)_1 B_1 \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) \bar{y} \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where φ is given by (5.9) and A_1, B_1 are the same as the case $N = 3$ of (5.2). Here $f, g, C_0, C_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are constants depending on parameters $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}^\times, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. By the definition of the relations $L_2 = 0$ and $L_3 = 0$, they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(x) &\propto \begin{vmatrix} y(x) & y(qx) & \bar{y}(x) \\ \mathbf{y}(x) & \mathbf{y}(qx) & \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x) \end{vmatrix} = D_1(x) \bar{y}(x) - D_2(x) y(qx) + D_3(x) y(x) = 0, \\ L_3(x) &\propto \begin{vmatrix} y(x) & \bar{y}(x) & \bar{y}(\frac{x}{q}) \\ \mathbf{y}(x) & \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x) & \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\frac{x}{q}) \end{vmatrix} = \bar{D}_1 \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) y(x) + D_3 \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) \bar{y}(x) - D_2(x) \bar{y} \left(\frac{x}{q} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

¹⁹ $L_2 = 0$ and $L_3 = 0$ (A.3) can be derived by substituting (5.1), (5.6) and $w_1 \rightarrow -\frac{q^{2m} C_0}{a_2 a_3}, \bar{w}_1 \rightarrow -\frac{q^{2m} C_1}{b_2 b_3}$ into $L_2 = 0$ and $L_3 = 0$ (3.6).

where $\mathbf{y}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P(x) \\ \psi(x)Q(x) \end{bmatrix}$ and Casorati determinants

$$D_1(x) = |\mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}(qx)|, \quad D_2(x) = |\mathbf{y}(x), \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x)|, \quad D_3(x) = |\mathbf{y}(qx), \bar{\mathbf{y}}(x)|. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Taking note of the relations

$$\frac{\psi(qx)}{\psi(x)} = \frac{B(x)}{A(x)}, \quad \frac{\bar{\psi}(x)}{\psi(x)} = \frac{(a_1, b_1x)_1}{(a_1x, b_1)_1}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where A and B are the same as the case $N = 3$ of (5.2), we rewrite the Casorati determinants (A.5) into the following determinants

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(x) &= \frac{\psi(x)}{A(x)} R_1(x) =: \frac{\psi(x)}{A(x)} \prod_{i=0}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{x}{q^i} \right)_1 c_0 x (fx)_1, \\ D_2(x) &= \frac{\psi(x)}{(a_1x, b_1)_1} R_2(x) =: \frac{\psi(x)}{(a_1x, b_1)_1} \prod_{i=0}^{m+n} \left(\frac{x}{q^i} \right)_1 c'_0, \\ D_3(x) &= \frac{\psi(x)}{A(x)} R_3(x) =: \frac{\psi(x)}{A(x)(b_1)_1} \prod_{i=0}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{x}{q^i} \right)_1 c'_0 (b_1x)_1 \varphi(x), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(x) &= B(x)P(x)Q(qx) - A(x)P(qx)Q(x), \\ R_2(x) &= (a_1, b_1x)_1 P(x)\bar{Q}(x) - (a_1x, b_1)_1 \bar{P}(x)Q(x), \\ R_3(x) &= (a_1, b_1x)_1 A_1(x)P(qx)\bar{Q}(x) - (b_1)_1 B(x)\bar{P}(x)Q(qx). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Here c_0 and c'_0 are some constants depending on the parameters a_i, b_j, m and n . Computing Taylor expansions at $x = 0$ and $x = \infty$ in the expressions $R_2(x)$ and $R_3(x)$ (A.8), we determine φ by (5.9). As a result, we obtain the desired relations L_2 and L_3 (A.3) where $C_0 = \frac{c_0}{c'_0}$ and $C_1 = \frac{(a_1)_1 \bar{c}_0}{c'_0}$. \blacksquare

Next we have:

Proposition A.2. *The constants f and g satisfy the q -Painlevé type system (5.8), and they play the role of dependent variables for (5.8).*

Proof. The compatibility of the relations (A.3) gives the system (5.8). \blacksquare

A.2 Particular solution

We construct particular solutions of the q -Painlevé type system (2.2) given in terms of the q -hypergeometric function ${}_4\varphi_3$ in Section 5.2. We derive the explicit forms (5.7) of variables $\{f, g\}$ appearing in the Casorati determinants D_1 and D_3 (A.7). They are interpreted as the particular solutions for the system (5.8), due to Proposition A.2.

Proposition A.3 ([7], see also [6, 24, 28]). *For a given sequence ψ_s , the polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ of degree m and n satisfying a Padé interpolation problem*

$$\psi_s = \frac{P(x_s)}{Q(x_s)}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, m+n, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

is given as the following determinant expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \mathcal{F}(x) \det \left[\sum_{s=0}^{m+n} u_s \frac{x_s^{i+j}}{x - x_s} \right]_{i,j=0}^n, \\ Q(x) &= \det \left[\sum_{s=0}^{m+n} u_s x_s^{i+j} (x - x_s) \right]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where $u_s = \frac{\psi_s}{\mathcal{F}'(x_s)}$ and $\mathcal{F}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m+n} (x - x_i)$.

Proposition A.4 ([6, 24, 28]). *In the q -grid case of the problem (A.9) (i.e., interpolation points $x_s = q^s$), the formula (A.10) takes the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \frac{\mathcal{F}(x)}{(q)_{m+n}^{n+1}} \det \left[\sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \psi_s \frac{(q^{-(m+n)})_s q^{s(i+j+1)}}{(q)_s (x - q^s)} \right]_{i,j=0}^n, \\ Q(x) &= \frac{1}{(q)_{m+n}^n} \det \left[\sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \psi_s \frac{(q^{-(m+n)})_s q^{s(i+j+1)} (x - q^s)}{(q)_s} \right]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Proof. Substituting the expressions

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \prod_{s=0}^{m+n} (x - q^s), \quad \mathcal{F}'(x_s) = \frac{(q)_s (q)_{m+n}}{q^s (q^{-(m+n)})_s}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

into the formula (A.10), then we obtain the desired form (A.11). \blacksquare

Remark A.5. The normalization of the polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ expressed in the formulas (A.10) and (A.11) differ from the convention $P(0) = 1$ as fixed beneath the interpolation condition (A.2). This difference does not influence the result in Proposition A.7, because the common normalization factors of P and Q cancel in (A.14) and (A.15).

Proposition A.6. *The polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ defined in Section A.1 have the following particular values:*

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{1}{a_s}\right) &= \frac{(a_s)_{m+n+1}}{a_s^m (a_s)_1^{n+1} (q)_{m+n}^{n+1}} T_{a_s}(\tau_{m,n}), & Q\left(\frac{q}{a_s}\right) &= \frac{q^n \left(\frac{a_s}{q}\right)_1^n}{a_s^n (q)_{m+n}^n} T_{a_s}^{-1}(\tau_{m+1,n-1}), \\ P\left(\frac{q}{b_s}\right) &= \frac{q^m \left(\frac{b_s}{q}\right)_{m+n+1}}{b_s^m \left(\frac{b_s}{q}\right)_1^{n+1} (q)_{m+n}^{n+1}} T_{b_s}^{-1}(\tau_{m,n}), & Q\left(\frac{1}{b_s}\right) &= \frac{(b_s)_1^n}{b_s^n (q)_{m+n}^n} T_{b_s}(\tau_{m+1,n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

for $s = 1, 2, 3$. Here $\tau_{m,n}$ is defined by (5.4).

Proof. This proof follows from the formula (A.11) and the sequence $\psi_s = \psi(q^s) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{(b_i)_s}{(a_i)_s}$. \blacksquare

Proposition A.7. *The particular values of f and g determined by (5.7) give particular solutions of the system (5.8).*

Proof. From the first equation of (A.7), we have

$$\frac{1 - \frac{f}{a_i}}{1 - \frac{f}{b_j}} = -\frac{a_i}{b_j} \prod_{s=0}^{m+n-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{b_j q^s}\right)_1 B\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) P\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) Q\left(\frac{q}{a_i}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{a_i q^s}\right)_1 A\left(\frac{1}{b_j}\right) P\left(\frac{q}{b_j}\right) Q\left(\frac{1}{b_j}\right)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where A and B are as in Appendix A.1. From the second and third equations of (A.7), we have

$$1 + \frac{g}{a_i} + \frac{\kappa}{a_i^2} = - \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{m+n} \left(\frac{1}{a_1 q^s}\right)_1}{\prod_{s=0}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{1}{a_i q^s}\right)_1} \frac{(b_1)_1 B_1\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) \overline{P}\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) Q\left(\frac{q}{a_i}\right)}{\left(a_1, \frac{b_1}{a_1}\right)_1 P\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right) \overline{Q}\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right)}, \quad i = 2, 3,$$

$$1 + \frac{g}{b_i} + \frac{\kappa}{b_i^2} = - \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{m+n} \left(\frac{1}{b_1 q^s}\right)_1}{\prod_{s=0}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{1}{b_i q^s}\right)_1} \frac{(a_1)_1 A_1\left(\frac{1}{b_i}\right) P\left(\frac{q}{b_i}\right) \overline{Q}\left(\frac{1}{b_i}\right)}{\left(\frac{a_1}{b_1}, b_1\right)_1 \overline{P}\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right) Q\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)}, \quad i = 2, 3, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where A_1 and B_1 are as in Appendix A.1. Substituting the particular values (A.13) into the expressions (A.14) and (A.15) respectively, we obtain the desired particular solutions (5.7). ■

Acknowledgements

The author shall be thankful to Professor Yasuhiko Yamada for valuable discussions. The author is also grateful to the referees for stimulating comments. This work was partially supported by Expenses Revitalizing Education and Research of Akashi College (0217030).

References

- [1] Dzhamay A., Sakai H., Takenawa T., Discrete Schlesinger transformations, their Hamiltonian formulation, and difference Painlevé equations, [arXiv:1302.2972](#).
- [2] Dzhamay A., Takenawa T., Geometric analysis of reductions from Schlesinger transformations to difference Painlevé equations, in Algebraic and Analytic Aspects of Integrable Systems and Painlevé Equations, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 651, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, 87–124, [arXiv:1408.3778](#).
- [3] Gasper G., Rahman M., Basic hypergeometric series, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 96, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] Grammaticos B., Ohta Y., Ramani A., Sakai H., Degeneration through coalescence of the q -Painlevé VI equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), 3545–3558.
- [5] Grammaticos B., Ramani A., On a novel q -discrete analogue of the Painlevé VI equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **257** (1999), 288–292.
- [6] Ikawa Y., Hypergeometric solutions for the q -Painlevé equation of type $E_6^{(1)}$ by the Padé method, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **103** (2013), 743–763, [arXiv:1207.6446](#).
- [7] Jacobi C.G.J., Über die Darstellung einer Reihe gegebner Werthe durch eine gebrochne rationale Function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **30** (1846), 127–156.
- [8] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Ueno K., Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. I. General theory and τ -function, *Phys. D* **2** (1981), 306–352.
- [9] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, *Phys. D* **2** (1981), 407–448.
- [10] Jimbo M., Miwa T., Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. III, *Phys. D* **4** (1981), 26–46.
- [11] Jimbo M., Sakai H., A q -analog of the sixth Painlevé equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **38** (1996), 145–154.
- [12] Kajiwara K., Masuda T., Noumi M., Ohta Y., Yamada Y., ${}_{10}E_9$ solution to the elliptic Painlevé equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003), L263–L272, [nlin.SI/0303032](#).
- [13] Kajiwara K., Masuda T., Noumi M., Ohta Y., Yamada Y., Hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004** (2004), 2497–2521, [nlin.SI/0403036](#).
- [14] Kajiwara K., Masuda T., Noumi M., Ohta Y., Yamada Y., Construction of hypergeometric solutions to the q -Painlevé equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005** (2005), 1441–1463, [nlin.SI/0501051](#).

- [15] Kajiwara K., Noumi M., Yamada Y., q -Painlevé systems arising from q -KP hierarchy, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **62** (2002), 259–268, [nlin.SI/0112045](#).
- [16] Kajiwara K., Noumi M., Yamada Y., Geometric aspects of Painlevé equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50** (2017), 073001, 164 pages, [arXiv:1509.08186](#).
- [17] Knuth D.E., Two notes on notation, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99** (1992), 403–422.
- [18] Mano T., Determinant formula for solutions of the Garnier system and Padé approximation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012), 135206, 14 pages.
- [19] Mano T., Tsuda T., Two approximation problems by Hermite and the Schlesinger transformations, in Novel Development of Nonlinear Discrete Integrable Systems, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, Vol. B47, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2014, 77–86.
- [20] Mano T., Tsuda T., Hermite–Padé approximation, isomonodromic deformation and hypergeometric integral, *Math. Z.* **285** (2017), 397–431, [arXiv:1502.06695](#).
- [21] Masuda T., Hypergeometric τ -functions of the q -Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$, *SIGMA* **5** (2009), 035, 30 pages, [arXiv:0903.4102](#).
- [22] Masuda T., Hypergeometric τ -functions of the q -Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$, *Ramanujan J.* **24** (2011), 1–31.
- [23] Murata M., Lax forms of the q -Painlevé equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 115201, 17 pages, [arXiv:0810.0058](#).
- [24] Nagao H., The Padé interpolation method applied to q -Painlevé equations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **105** (2015), 503–521, [arXiv:1409.3932](#).
- [25] Nagao H., The Padé interpolation method applied to q -Painlevé equations II (differential grid version), *Lett. Math. Phys.* **107** (2017), 107–127, [arXiv:1509.05892](#).
- [26] Nagao H., Lax pairs for additive difference Painlevé equations, [arXiv:1604.02530](#).
- [27] Nagao H., Hypergeometric special solutions for d -Painlevé equations, [arXiv:1706.10101](#).
- [28] Nagao H., Yamada Y., Study of q -Garnier system by Padé method, [arXiv:1601.01099](#).
- [29] Nagao H., Yamada Y., Variations of q -Garnier system, [arXiv:1710.03998](#).
- [30] Noumi M., Tsujimoto S., Yamada Y., Padé interpolation for elliptic Painlevé equation, in Symmetries, Integrable Systems and Representations, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 40, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, 463–482, [arXiv:1204.0294](#).
- [31] Ohta Y., Ramani A., Grammaticos B., An affine Weyl group approach to the eight-parameter discrete Painlevé equation, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 10523–10532.
- [32] Ohya Y., Kawamuko H., Sakai H., Okamoto K., Studies on the Painlevé equations. V. Third Painlevé equations of special type $P_{III}(D_7)$ and $P_{III}(D_8)$, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **13** (2006), 145–204.
- [33] Ohya Y., Okumura S., A coalescent diagram of the Painlevé equations from the viewpoint of isomonodromic deformations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 12129–12151, [math.CA/0601614](#).
- [34] Okamoto K., Sur les feuilletages associés aux équations du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé, *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)* **5** (1979), 1–79.
- [35] Ormerod C.M., Rains E.M., Commutation relations and discrete Garnier systems, *SIGMA* **12** (2016), 110, 50 pages, [arXiv:1601.06179](#).
- [36] Ormerod C.M., Rains E.M., An elliptic Garnier system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **355** (2017), 741–766, [arXiv:1607.07831](#).
- [37] Rains E.M., An isomonodromy interpretation of the hypergeometric solution of the elliptic Painlevé equation (and generalizations), *SIGMA* **7** (2011), 088, 24 pages, [arXiv:0807.0258](#).
- [38] Ramani A., Grammaticos B., Tamizhmani T., Tamizhmani K.M., Special function solutions of the discrete Painlevé equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **42** (2001), 603–614.
- [39] Sakai H., Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **220** (2001), 165–229.
- [40] Sakai H., Hypergeometric solution of q -Schlesinger system of rank two, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **73** (2005), 237–247.
- [41] Sakai H., A q -analog of the Garnier system, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **48** (2005), 273–297.
- [42] Sakai H., Lax form of the q -Painlevé equation associated with the $A_2^{(1)}$ surface, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006), 12203–12210.

-
- [43] Suzuki T., A q -analogue of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type A and q -Painlevé system, in Algebraic and Analytic Aspects of Integrable Systems and Painlevé Equations, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 651, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015, 25–38, [arXiv:1105.4240](#).
- [44] Suzuki T., A reformulation of the generalized q -Painlevé VI system with $W(A_{2n+1}^{(1)})$ symmetry, *J. Integrable Syst.* **2** (2017), xyw017, 18 pages, [arXiv:1602.01573](#).
- [45] Takenawa T., Weyl group symmetry of type $D_5^{(1)}$ in the q -Painlevé V equation, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **46** (2003), 173–186.
- [46] Yamada Y., A Lax formalism for the elliptic difference Painlevé equation, *SIGMA* **5** (2009), 042, 15 pages, [arXiv:0811.1796](#).
- [47] Yamada Y., Padé method to Painlevé equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **52** (2009), 83–92.
- [48] Yamada Y., Lax formalism for q -Painlevé equations with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $E_n^{(1)}$, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2011** (2011), 3823–3838, [arXiv:1004.1687](#).
- [49] Yamada Y., A simple expression for discrete Painlevé equations, in Novel Development of Nonlinear Discrete Integrable Systems, *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, Vol. B47, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2014, 87–95.
- [50] Yamada Y., An elliptic Garnier system from interpolation, *SIGMA* **13** (2017), 069, 8 pages, [arXiv:1706.05155](#).