

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JCAP

de Sitter symmetries and inflationary correlators in parity violating scalar-vector models

Juan P. Beltrán Almeida,^{a,1} Josué Motoa-Manzano,^b César A. Valenzuela-Toledo,^b

^aDepartamento de Física, Universidad Antonio Nariño,
Cra 3 Este # 47A-15, Bogotá DC, Colombia

^bDepartamento de Física, Universidad del Valle,
Cll. 13 # 100 - 0 A.A. 24360, Cali, Colombia

E-mail: juanbeltran@uan.edu.co, cesar.valenzuela@correounivalle.edu.co,
josue.motoa@correounivalle.edu.co

Abstract. In this paper we use conformal field theory techniques to constrain the form of the correlations functions of an inflationary scalar-vector model described by the interaction term $f_1(\phi)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi)\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$. We use the fact that the conformal group is the relevant symmetry group acting on super horizon scales in an inflationary de Sitter background. As a result, we find that super horizon conformal symmetry, constraints the form of the coupling functions f_1, f_2 to be homogeneous functions of the same degree. We derive the general form of the correlators involving scalar and vector perturbations in this model and determine its squeezed limit scaling behaviour for super horizon scales. The approach followed here is useful to constraint the shape of scalar-vector correlators, and our results agree with recent literature on the subject, but don't allow us to determine amplitude factors of the correlators.

Keywords: Statistical anisotropy, parity violation, non-gaussianity, gravitational waves

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Asymptotic symmetries of de Sitter space	3
2.1	Isometries of the de Sitter spacetime	3
2.2	Conformal group basics and relation with de Sitter group symmetries	3
3	A parity violating scalar-vector inflationary model	4
3.1	Equations of motion and asymptotic behavior	5
3.2	Perturbative expansion	9
4	The structure of the correlation functions	10
4.1	The Ward identities	11
4.1.1	Dilatations	11
4.1.2	Special conformal transformation	12
4.2	Two point function	13
4.3	Three point function	15
5	Conclusions and final remarks	18
A	The action and the SCT	19
B	Details of the calculation of the Ward identities	20
B.1	Dilatations	21
B.1.1	Dilations 2-point function Ward identities	21
B.1.2	Dilations 3-point function Ward identities	21
B.2	SCT	22
B.2.1	SCT 2-point function	22
B.2.2	SCT 3-point function	23
C	Some useful identities and properties	24
C.1	Derivatives of the two point function	24
C.2	Derivatives of the 3-point function	25
C.3	A useful identity	26

1 Introduction

It is well known that symmetries impose constraints on the dynamics and on the observables represented by the correlation functions of a physical system. During inflation, the relevant symmetry group of the space time is the de Sitter group, so, we expect that this group gives us hints about the structure of the correlation functions of the different fields present during the inflationary epoch [1–5]. Over the years, several studies had explored the roll of the de Sitter group during inflation [1–10]. A crucial point in this study is the fact that, for super horizon scales, the de Sitter symmetry group approaches the behavior of the conformal group in one dimension below, this is, the de Sitter group acting on four dimensional de Sitter space, acts as the conformal group in the euclidean three dimensional space. This

fact has been used to characterize the correlators of primordial inflationary perturbations [3, 6–10]. In particular, when the primordial perturbations are sourced by light fields other than the inflaton, the super horizon perturbations become conformally invariant and then, their correlation functions are constrained by this symmetry, allowing us to fix the shape of the inflationary correlators [1, 3, 4, 6–9] (other, related uses of symmetry arguments in this context can be found in *e.g.* [11–13]). It has also been shown that this reasoning hold even when perturbations are coming from vector fields [10]. Likewise, this tool has been used to establish a set of consistency relations that involve the correlations functions of matter in the process of large scales structure formation of the universe [14–16].

On the other hand, observational data shows us that there are some evidences that support the existence of small departures from the standard cosmological scenario, *i.e.* the cosmological perturbations exhibit tiny departures from scale invariance, gaussianity, isotropy and homogeneity among other deviations [17, 18]. This observational evidence is usually gathered in a set of observational signatures that are called *cosmic anomalies* [17–19] (see for example Ref [19] for a description of each one). Although this anomalies could be due to some sort of statistical fluke, a minimal signal of their existence force us to modify the simplest models, usually builded with scalar fields. A natural option of the above modifications, is to include vector fields in the inflationary dynamics, because they naturally could be responsible of several interesting possibilities such as breaking of statistical isotropy, parity violating patterns, the origin of primordial magnetic fields, or even support an inflationary period, among others¹ (see for instance [27–29] and references therein). These new features are usually confronted with observations because they can become in an interesting discriminating tests of the proposed models [23, 24, 30, 31].

Some inflationary models consider a coupled scalar-vector system, where the scalar field is responsible for the inflationary expansion, and the vector fields can contribute to the primordial curvature perturbation and, at the same time, could explain some of the inflationary anomalies [27–29]. In this paper we follow the approach proposed in Ref. [10] to study the conformal symmetry constraints of the coupled scalar-vector model $f(\phi)F^2$ [29, 32–37]. We extend the symmetry analysis in [10] to the model with a coupling term of the form

$$f_1(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} , \quad (1.1)$$

which explicitly introduces parity violation on the action. We find that this model respects three dimensional conformal invariance on super horizon scales only when the coupling functions are proportional $f_2 = \gamma f_1$ ². In this case we calculate the conformal weight of the fields in the asymptotic future of de Sitter space, which allows us to characterize the correlators of the conformal field theory at the boundary which we identify with the super horizon scales regime. Our main goal is to determine the form of the two and three point correlation functions on this model and see what new features raise by breaking rotational invariance and parity symmetry [38–40].

The paper is organized as follows, in section 2 we briefly review the basics of de Sitter symmetry group and its realisation as the conformal group in three dimensional euclidean space. In section 3 we describe the dynamics of the system and analyse its asymptotic super

¹It is well know that models where vector fields support the inflationary expansion are usually ruled out due to stability problems or observational constraints (see for instance [20–24]). However, in some cases, these problems can be solved by introducing non canonical kinetic couplings [25, 26].

²This result is a consequence of the exact conformal symmetry, therefore it is only valid in pure de Sitter space-time.

horizon behaviour. Later, in section 4 we calculate the most general form of the correlation functions in the super horizon limit that are allowed by conformal symmetries. We end in section 5 with the discussion of our results.

2 Asymptotic symmetries of de Sitter space

2.1 Isometries of the de Sitter spacetime

In 4D conformal planar coordinates, the line element of de Sitter spacetime is written as

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2 (-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2) = \frac{1}{(-H\tau)^2} (-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2) , \quad (2.1)$$

where a is the scale factor, H is the Hubble parameter and τ is the *conformal time*. In this coordinate system it is easy to see that the line element (2.1) is invariant under the following transformations (isometries of the de Sitter metric):

i) Spatial translations and rotations on $\tau = \text{constant}$ slicings:

$$x'_i = a_i + R_{ij}x_j. \quad (2.2)$$

ii) Spacetime dilatation:

$$x'^\mu = \lambda x^\mu \rightarrow \begin{cases} x'_i = \lambda x_i, \\ \tau' = \lambda \tau . \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

iii) Special conformal transformations (SCT):

$$x'^\mu = \frac{x^\mu + b^\mu x^2}{1 + 2\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + b^2 x^2} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x'_i = \frac{x_i + b_i(-\tau^2 + \vec{x}^2)}{1 + 2\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + b^2(-\tau^2 + \vec{x}^2)} , \\ \tau' = \frac{\tau}{1 + 2\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + b^2(-\tau^2 + \vec{x}^2)} . \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

In the above expressions a_i is a 3-dimensional constant vector, R_{ij} is an $O(3)$ rotation matrix satisfying $R_{ik}R^{kj} = \delta_i^j$ ³, λ is a constant factor, $b^\mu = (0, b_i)$ is a three dimensional vector which generates the transformation and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric. We use the notation $x^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, $\vec{x}^2 = \delta_{ij}x^i x^j$ and $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b} = \delta_{ij}b^i x^j$. Together, the transformations (2.2)-(2.4) form a set of ten symmetry generators, which is what we expect from a maximally symmetric four dimensional spacetime.

2.2 Conformal group basics and relation with de Sitter group symmetries

In an Euclidean space, the conformal group is defined as the group of transformations that leave invariant the metric up to a factor, this is,

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \Omega^2(x)g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Omega(x)$ is an arbitrary function of the coordinates. It is easy to see that the transformations (2.2)-(2.4) act as conformal transformations on \mathbb{R}^3 for $|\tau| \ll |\vec{x}|$ with the euclidean

³Notice that the $O(3)$ group is consistent with the presence of inversions $\vec{x} \rightarrow -\vec{x}$ which are allowed since the term $F\tilde{F}$ allows for symmetry under inversions.

metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. According with (2.5), the corresponding conformal factor Ω^2 for the transformations are:

$$x'_i = a_i + R_{ij}x_j \rightarrow \Omega^2 = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$x'_i = \lambda x_i \rightarrow \Omega^2 = \lambda^{-2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$x'_i = \frac{x_i + b_i \vec{x}^2}{1 + 2\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + b^2 (\vec{x}^2)} \rightarrow \Omega^2 = (1 + 2\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + b^2 \vec{x}^2)^2. \quad (2.8)$$

So, we see that in the asymptotic region $-H\tau \rightarrow 0$, the de Sitter group $\text{SO}(4, 1)$ is isomorphic to the conformal group in three dimensional euclidean space. In other words, the symmetries (2.6)-(2.8) are the *asymptotic symmetry group* of the boundary region of de Sitter space. In the context of inflation, the asymptotic region correspond to the super Hubble scales where the primordial perturbations become classical to later evolve according to the gravitational instability [41]. The main idea is that, for perturbations with scales well outside of the horizon, with $-k\tau \rightarrow 0$, the form of the correlators can be fixed by conformal invariance [1, 4, 7–10]. Finally, we recall that a conformal tensor field $T_{i_1 \dots i_r}(x)$ is defined in such a way that, under conformal transformations, transforms as:

$$T_{i_1 \dots i_r}(x) \rightarrow T'_{i_1 \dots i_r}(x') = \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial x^l}{\partial x'^k} \right) \right|^{\frac{r - \Delta_T}{d}} \frac{\partial x^{j_1}}{\partial x'^{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{j_r}}{\partial x'^{i_r}} T_{j_1 \dots j_r}(x), \quad (2.9)$$

where r is the rank of the tensor, d is the space dimension and Δ_T is the *conformal weight* or *conformal dimension* of the field. By using this transformation, we can find the conformal weight Δ_T of the fields involved in the theory, which are the necessary elements to describe the structure and scaling of the correlators of the theory. For a detailed review of the basics about conformal field theory see [42].

3 A parity violating scalar-vector inflationary model

As said before, we are interested in inflationary models which include vector fields as a source for statistical deviations from isotropy and parity symmetry. We consider a scenario with a scalar field driving the inflationary expansion, and a vector field, which is partly responsible for the generation of the primordial curvature perturbation and is a source of statistical anisotropies. Several models of this type has been studied in the literature [32, 35, 37, 38, 40, 43–56]. The interest for those models has been diverse and it has evolved over the years. It is worthwhile to mention the Ratra model [32], which has a rich and interesting phenomenology in the context of primordial magnetogenesis scenario [36, 57–60] and later used as a source of sizable statistical anisotropies which doesn't dilute with the inflationary evolution [29, 33–35, 37]. Aside of this, there is a rich literature about models with an axion/pseudoscalar coupling of the form $\phi F \tilde{F}$ which allows for interesting phenomenology and source of non-vacuum gravitational waves, chiral gravitational waves, large tensor non-gaussianities among other interesting effects [38, 47, 49, 52, 55]. In this paper we consider a mixture of this two scenarios which can be encompassed in the following model:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} f_2(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right] \quad (3.1)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are functions which depend only of the scalar field and $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ is the Hodge dual of the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ which is defined by $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$. This model incorporate

the features of the Ratra model $f_1(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ with all the phenomenology carried with the pseudoscalar term $f_2(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$. The interaction term

$$S_{\phi A} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[f_1(\phi)F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi)\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (3.2)$$

is responsible for the introduction of non diluting anisotropies and for sourcing parity violating signatures on the CMB correlators. Although this term represent a non-renormalizable, non-canonical interacting kinetic term between the scalar and vector fields, it has been seen that this terms represent a model which is stable, in the sense that the Hamiltonian of the theory is bounded by below when f_1 is positive and the theory is causal, since the equations of motion are hyperbolic [61]. Further generalizations could introduce multiple scalar and vector fields but all the main features can be captured in this single scalar-vector interaction.

3.1 Equations of motion and asymptotic behavior

Now we focus on the dynamics of the fields propagating on de Sitter space. Before study the vector field model, let us recall some basics about the single massive scalar field on de Sitter. The action for this case reads

$$S_\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\nabla^\mu \phi \nabla_\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2), \quad (3.3)$$

where m is the mass of the field. In the coordinates (2.1), the equation of motion becomes

$$\phi'' - \frac{2}{\tau} \phi' - \nabla^2 \phi + \frac{m^2 \phi}{H^2 \tau^2} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and its asymptotic future solution ($-H\tau \rightarrow 0$) is (see *e.g.* [62]):

$$\phi(\vec{x}, \tau) \approx C_+ \tau^{h_+} \sigma_+(\vec{x}) + C_- \tau^{h_-} \sigma_-(\vec{x}), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$h_\pm = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{9H^2}} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

The dominant solution for late times is the second one, so we can write:

$$\phi(\vec{x}, \tau) \approx \tau^{h_-} \sigma_-(\vec{x}). \quad (3.7)$$

As seen in [10], by imposing conformal invariance over $\sigma_-(\vec{x})$, and using (2.9) for any conformal transformation, say, for dilatations, we deduce that the field σ has conformal weight

$$\Delta_\sigma = h_- = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{9H^2}} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

In general, if slow roll inflation is assumed, it can be show that a scalar field perturbation propagating in a nearly de Sitter space has a conformal weight of the form $\Delta_{\delta\phi} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon, \eta)$, where ϵ and η are the standard slow roll parameters (see *e.g.* [62]).

Now, we begin to study the model given by the action (3.2). In the context that we frame our discussion, the scalar field could be either the inflaton or an auxiliary field present during

inflation⁴. The vector field is an auxiliary subdominant field which affects the primordial curvature perturbation and can leave an imprint on the inflationary evolution. The scalar affect the evolution of the vector field through the coupling functions $f_i(\phi)$. The equations of motion for the vector field derived from the action (3.2) are:

$$\nabla_\mu \left(f_1(\phi) F^{\mu\nu} + f_2(\phi) \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

which is complemented with the (non dynamical) Bianchi identity $\nabla_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. We also assume that the inflationary dynamics homogenise the scalar perturbations, and then, we can approximate the scalar field as a time dependent function, this is $\partial_i \phi = 0$. Accordingly, this implies that on the solutions of the inflationary scalar field $f_1(\phi) = f_1(\phi(\tau))$ and $f_2(\phi) = f_2(\phi(\tau))$, then $\partial_i f_1 = \partial_i f_2 = 0$. Finally, we use the gauge symmetry of the action to impose the Coulomb gauge, this is, we set: $A_0 = 0$ and $\partial_i A_i = 0$. With this choice, the equation of motion (3.9) reduces to:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \tau} \nabla \times \right) \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{x}) = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

So far, aside of the restriction imposed by stability $f_1 > 0$, we don't have any further restriction over the form of the coupling function f_2 . Now, we make a further assumption in order to respect conformal invariance on the asymptotic region. Let's assume that the coupling functions are homogeneous functions of time, this is $f_1(\lambda\tau) = \lambda^n f_1(\tau)$, $f_2(\lambda\tau) = \lambda^m f_2(\tau)$. Then, applying the dilatation $\tau' = \lambda\tau$ and $\vec{x}' = \lambda\vec{x}$ to (3.10) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau'^2} - \nabla'^2 + \frac{1}{f_1(\tau)} \frac{\partial f_1(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \lambda^{m-n} \frac{1}{f_1(\tau)} \frac{\partial f_2(\tau)}{\partial \tau} \nabla \times \right) \vec{A}(\tau, \vec{x}) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

In this way we see that a necessary condition for getting scale invariance is that the coupling functions are homogeneous and of the same order $n = m$ [56]. This is achieved if the couplings are power law functions proportional to each other. In this case, it is possible to see that symmetry under inversions $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu/\vec{x}^2$, which imply invariance under special conformal transformations, is also guaranteed, see Appendix A. Then, renaming $f_1 = f$ and $n = -2\alpha$ because, as we say before, f must be positive due to hamiltonian stability, we get:

$$\boxed{f_2(\tau) = \gamma f(\tau) \quad \text{with} \quad f(\tau) \propto (-H\tau)^{-2\alpha}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where we have restored the Hubble constant for dimensional analysis. In order to avoid strong coupling at super horizon evolution, in the following we assume that $\alpha < 0$. Now, defining the normalized canonical field w_i as $w_i \equiv \sqrt{f} A_i$, the equation of motion (3.10) becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \nabla^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\tau^2} - \frac{2\alpha\gamma}{\tau} \nabla \times \right) \vec{w}(\tau, \vec{x}) = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Going to momentum space, choosing propagation along the x -axis $\vec{k} = (k, 0, 0)$ and defining transverse polarizations as $w_\pm = (w_y \pm iw_z)/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + k^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\tau^2} + \sigma \frac{2\xi k}{\tau} \right) \tilde{w}_\sigma(\tau, \vec{k}) = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

⁴In the particular case in which the scalar is an auxiliary field, other than the inflation, it need to be a light field, i.e. $m \ll H$.

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ denote the helicity of the mode. Then, the system is quantized by expanding in terms of the mode solutions \tilde{w}_σ as

$$\vec{w}(\tau, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[\epsilon_\sigma^i(\vec{k}) \tilde{w}_\sigma(\tau, \vec{k}) \hat{a}_\sigma(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + \text{c.c.} \right] \quad (3.15)$$

where the transverse polarization vectors are defined in such a way that:

$$\vec{\epsilon}_\sigma(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{k} = 0, \quad \vec{k} \times \vec{\epsilon}_\sigma = -i\sigma |\vec{k}| \vec{\epsilon}_\sigma, \quad \vec{\epsilon}_\sigma \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{\sigma'} = \delta_{\sigma, -\sigma'}, \quad \vec{\epsilon}_\sigma^*(\hat{k}) = \vec{\epsilon}_{-\sigma}(\hat{k}) = \vec{\epsilon}_\sigma(-\hat{k}). \quad (3.16)$$

Following the notation in [38, 63], $\xi = -\alpha\gamma$ is the parameter which determines the relative strength of the parity violation effects and, without losing generality we choose γ to be positive.⁵ Reference [38] study in detail the features of this model and describe analytically its asymptotic behavior, here, we recall some basics about the solutions of this system. The equation (3.14) can be solved analytically in terms of irregular G and irregular F Coulomb functions:

$$\tilde{w}_\sigma(\tau, \vec{k}) = C_1^\sigma(k) G_{-\alpha-1}(\sigma\xi, -k\tau) + C_2^\sigma(k) F_{-\alpha-1}(\sigma\xi, -k\tau). \quad (3.17)$$

(Alternatively, we can use Whittaker functions $M_{\alpha+1/2}(-i\sigma\xi, 2ik\tau)$, $W_{\alpha+1/2}(-i\sigma\xi, 2ik\tau)$ functions to solve (3.14) with the imaginary argument $z = 2ik\tau$ but the limiting form of Coulomb functions is better described in tables of mathematical functions, and is directly expressed in the real argument $x = -k\tau$. See for instance chapter 14 of [64] and section 33 of [65].) The asymptotic behavior of the Coulomb function can be found in expressions (14.1.7) and (14.6.7) of [64] and (33.10.3) and (33.10.7) of [65]. Using those expressions we find that for scales with $\xi \gg |k\tau|$ and $\xi \gg 1$ ⁶, the solution of (3.14) with positive helicity $\sigma = +1$, is approached by Bessel K and Bessel I functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_+(\tau, \vec{k}) &\approx \sqrt{-2\xi k\tau} \left[C_1^+(k) \frac{2(\xi)^{-\alpha-1}}{|\Gamma(-\alpha + i\xi)|} e^{\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} K_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_2^+(k) \frac{|\Gamma(-\alpha + i\xi)|}{2(\xi)^{-\alpha}} e^{-\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} I_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}) \right] \\ &\approx \frac{2(\xi)^{-\alpha-1} C_1^+(k)}{|\Gamma(-\alpha + i\xi)|} \sqrt{-2\xi k\tau} e^{\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} K_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

whereas the solution with negative helicity $\sigma = -1$ is approached by Bessel Y and Bessel J functions

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_-(\tau, \vec{k}) &\approx \sqrt{-2\xi k\tau} \left[C_1^-(k) \frac{(-\pi)(\xi)^{-\alpha-1}}{|\Gamma(-\alpha - i\xi)|} e^{-\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} Y_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_2^-(k) \frac{|\Gamma(-\alpha - i\xi)|}{2(\xi)^{-\alpha}} e^{\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} J_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}) \right] \\ &\approx \frac{(-\pi)(\xi)^{-\alpha-1} C_1^-(k)}{|\Gamma(-\alpha - i\xi)|} \sqrt{-2\xi k\tau} e^{-\frac{\pi\xi}{2}} Y_{-(1+2\alpha)}(\sqrt{-8\xi k\tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The expressions above show the different behavior of the solutions of (3.14) for the different helicities σ . According with (3.18) and (3.19), the $\sigma = +1$ mode is enhanced by the exponential factor $e^{\frac{\pi\xi}{2}}$ [38, 63], while the $\sigma = -1$ mode is suppressed by the factor $e^{-\frac{\pi\xi}{2}}$. This

⁵ The choice of the sign of γ determines which one of the two helicities is the growing one. If $\gamma > 0$ the increasing mode is denoted with a subscript “+” and, if $\gamma < 0$ the growing will be denoted with a “-”.

⁶ This is the regime in which the term $\frac{2\xi k}{\tau}$ dominates over the term k^2 in Eq. (3.14). We neglect k^2 but we keep $\frac{2\xi k}{\tau}$ since $\xi \gg 1$.

different behavior of the modes is a feature linked to the intrinsic parity violating nature of the system due to the presence of the term $f_2 F \tilde{F}$ in (3.2), in other words, the different behavior of the mode solutions reflects the presence of the term $f_2 F \tilde{F}$. Moreover, using the asymptotic form of Bessel functions, it is straightforward to see that going to super horizon scales, when $|8\xi k \tau| \ll 1$, the dominant terms of the solution goes like

$$\tilde{w}_\sigma(\tau, \vec{k}) \approx \tilde{u}_\sigma(k)(-\xi H \tau)^{\alpha+1} + \tilde{v}_\sigma(k)(-\xi H \tau)^{-\alpha}, \quad (3.20)$$

where we have restored H and absorbed further dependence of k, ξ, α in the \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} functions. At this point we go back to coordinates space obtaining the asymptotic form:

$$w_\sigma(\tau, \vec{x}) \approx u_\sigma(\vec{x})(-\xi H \tau)^{\alpha+1} + v_\sigma(\vec{x})(-\xi H \tau)^{-\alpha}. \quad (3.21)$$

We have separated the time and space coordinates for super horizon scales, and now, we can define a conformal boundary field in this case. We can see that the dominant term depends on the value of the exponent α . If $\alpha < -1/2$ the term v_σ dominates, while, if $\alpha > -1/2$ the term u_σ is the one that dominates. If $\alpha > -1/2$ we demand that

$$\lim_{|\tau| \rightarrow 0} w_\sigma(\tau, \vec{x}) = \tau^{-\alpha} v_\sigma(\vec{x}), \quad (3.22)$$

while, if $\alpha < -1/2$ we take the boundary condition

$$\lim_{|\tau| \rightarrow 0} w_\sigma(\tau, \vec{x}) = \tau^{\alpha+1} u_\sigma(\vec{x}). \quad (3.23)$$

Now, we can calculate the conformal weight of those boundary fields by consider the dilatation transformation, the inversion is entirely analogous [56]. We see that the four dimensional canonical field w_σ transforms under four dimensional dilatations $\tau' = \lambda \tau$, $x' = \lambda x$, $x'_\sigma = \lambda x_\sigma$ as a four dimensional vector:

$$w'_\sigma = \frac{\partial x_\sigma}{\partial x'_\sigma} w_\sigma = \lambda^{-\Delta_w} w_\sigma = \lambda^{-1} w_\sigma. \quad (3.24)$$

Applying dilatation over (3.22) we have:

$$w'_\sigma = (\tau')^{-\alpha} v'_\sigma(\vec{x}') = \lambda^{-\alpha} (\tau)^{-\alpha} v'_\sigma(\vec{x}') = \lambda^{-1} w_\sigma = \lambda^{-1} (\tau)^{-\alpha} v_\sigma(\vec{x}), \quad (3.25)$$

which implies

$$v'_\sigma(\vec{x}') = \lambda^{\alpha-1} v_\sigma(\vec{x}), \quad (3.26)$$

and comparing with (2.9) with $r = 1$ and $d = 3$ we conclude that the three dimensional boundary field v_σ behave as a conformal field of weight:

$$\Delta_v = 1 - \alpha. \quad (3.27)$$

Following the same steps we find that the boundary field (3.23) transforms as a conformal field of weight

$$\Delta_u = \alpha + 2. \quad (3.28)$$

To summarise, we have obtained that the interacting system (3.2) admit a conformal field theory representation at super horizon scales through the boundary vector fields u_σ, v_σ . Those fields are the same that the ones found in [10] for the parity conserving case. This fact can be

better understood if we take the strong limit $\xi k\tau \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the ξ parameter (introduced by the f_2 term) enter as a multiplicative factor and can be absorbed as a redefinition of the fields. Then, the results here, extend the asymptotic symmetries analysis from [10] to the model (3.2) with the parity violating term $f_2 = \gamma f$, this is

$$S_{\phi A} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(\phi) \left[F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \gamma \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

This model was studied in detail in [38] (and more recently in [39]) in the context of inflationary magnetogenesis and also in [48] where there is a comprehensive discussion of particle creation with this coupling. Naively, we could think that both cases, the parity conserving and the parity violating cases have the same conformal boundary field associated and that the statistical features of both theories, given by the correlation functions, are the same. Nevertheless, there is a crucial difference implied by the fact that the super horizon boundary symmetry group allow the spacial reflection $\vec{x}' = -\vec{x}$ as an element of the symmetry group. This fact is also reflected in the super horizon evolution of the solutions to the equations of motion as can be seen from the equation (3.14). In next section we will discuss the structure of the correlation functions.

3.2 Perturbative expansion

Now, we discuss the structure of the interaction terms (3.2) which is necessary to develop a perturbative analysis of the theory. As we are considering that the scalar field is the inflaton field, we have that in the spatially flat gauge, the variation of the scalar field is proportional to the primordial curvature perturbation: $\delta\phi = -\frac{\phi'}{\mathcal{H}}\zeta$. The variation of the vector part of (3.29) give rise to terms of the form $\langle F_{\mu\nu} \rangle + \delta F_{\mu\nu}$. It is convenient to study this system in terms of the "electric" and "magnetic" components of the vector field defined as:

$$E_i = -\frac{\sqrt{f}}{a^2} \partial_\tau A_i, \quad \text{and} \quad B_i = \frac{\sqrt{f}}{a^2} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k, \quad (3.30)$$

where we normalized the fields absorbing the kinetic function f . Here, it is important to mention that the presence of a non-zero *v.e.v* for a vector field, introduce an explicit violation of isotropy, which, in principle, is inconsistent with the isotropic de Sitter spacetime. This inconsistency can be solved, if, for example, we work in a Bianchi background but then the formalism presented here does not work. This issue has been addressed at the literature [35, 66, 67], and it was shown that the anisotropy in the background coming from a vector field is negligible compared with the statistical anisotropies in the correlation functions. For instance, for the two point correlation function, the amount of statistical anisotropy g_ζ , due to $E_i^{(0)}$, is order $g_\zeta \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$ where g_ζ is the appropriate parameter to quantify departures from statistical isotropy for a quadrupole form of the power spectrum $P_\zeta = P_\zeta^0 (1 + g_\zeta (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{k})^2)$, whereas, the background anisotropy, which is characterized by the anisotropy parameter Σ/H , is order $\Sigma/H \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ [35, 66, 67]. This results allows us to study the anisotropic signs on the correlators sourced by $E_i^{(0)}$ which can lead to observable signs, in a safe way, in an isotropic de Sitter background since departures from background isotropy are negligible.

In terms of the electric and magnetic components, the Lagrangian of the vector part is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi A} = -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{B}^2 - \vec{E}^2) + \gamma \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}. \quad (3.31)$$

Expanding, we get the interaction Lagrangian

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\phi A} = a^4 \frac{f'(\phi_0)}{f(\phi_0)} \frac{\phi'}{\mathcal{H}} \zeta \left[-\vec{E}^0 \cdot \delta\vec{E} - \frac{1}{2} \delta\vec{E} \cdot \delta\vec{E} + \frac{1}{2} \delta\vec{B} \cdot \delta\vec{B} - \gamma(\vec{E}^0 \cdot \delta\vec{B} + \delta\vec{B} \cdot \delta\vec{E}) \right], \quad (3.32)$$

where we used that the zero mode (the homogeneous part) of the magnetic field is null. The relative size of the vector perturbations depends of the post horizon evolution. As we saw in equation (3.21), the super horizon evolution depends of the exponent α . For instance for $\alpha < -1/2$ the electric component is dominant and the magnetic field can be safely neglected. On the other hand, for $\alpha > -1/2$ the magnetic component is dominant and the electric field is neglected at super horizon evolution. Then, we relate the electric component with u_σ and the magnetic component with the v_σ boundary field.

The interaction term $f(\phi)(F^2 + \gamma\tilde{F}F)$ has been discussed in detail in Ref. [40]. As we said before, considering the electric component of the vector field, the leading order terms of the interaction hamiltonian coincide with the one of the fF^2 model, this is:

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 4a^4 E_i^{(0)} \int d^3k \left[\delta E_i(\vec{k}, \tau) + \gamma \delta B_i(\vec{k}, \tau) \right] \zeta_{-\vec{k}}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = 2a^4 \int d^3k d^3p \left[\delta E_i(\vec{k}) \delta E_i(\vec{p}) - \delta B_i(\vec{k}) \delta B_i(\vec{p}) + 2\gamma \delta B_i(\vec{k}) \delta E_i(\vec{p}) \right] \zeta_{-(\vec{k}+\vec{p})}. \quad (3.34)$$

This interaction hamiltonian is the key element for the calculation of the evolution of the correlations of the field on this model. Via the ‘‘in-in’’ formalism we can construct the time evolution of the correlations with the hamiltonians \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . Nevertheless, we are going to follow a different approach in this paper, since we are mainly interested in the super horizon behavior of the correlations, then, we only invoke the asymptotic conformal symmetry group at super horizon scales to derive the structure of the correlations. For our purposes here, it is important to notice that the structure of the vertices derived from the interaction hamiltonian determine the form of the correlators, all the correlators are written as combinations and contractions of the terms present in (3.33)-(3.34) and the form of this combinations is constrained and shaped by conformal symmetries.

Models including a coupling of the type $f(\phi)\tilde{F}F$ has been studied recently with some interest. In particular, the pseudoscalar coupling $\phi\tilde{F}F$ has very similar features to the ones discussed in this paper, it is a model that breaks invariance under rotation and parity transformations [51, 68]. Its relevance, in the context of inflationary physics, has been a matter of interest and debate, in particular, this model offer a mechanism to enhance the production of gravitational waves during the inflationary period (see for instance Ref. [55]). Some criticism on this model have raised due to the validity of its perturbative expansion and about the consistency of this mechanism to produce sizable primordial gravitational waves without contradict current observational limits [69, 70]. Nevertheless, by using the perturbative approach, it was shown that the model have an available parameter window, that is in agreement with the current observational constraints [55, 71].

4 The structure of the correlation functions

As we said, we are interested in the structure of the correlation functions for super horizon scales in the model (3.29). For this scales, we exploit the asymptotic conformal symmetry of de Sitter space to derive constraints over the form of the correlation functions at this regime. We follow the method presented in [10] to calculate the correlations among scalars and vector

fields in the $f(\phi)F^2$ using standard conformal field theory techniques and Ward identities for the conformal group. We extend this analysis to the model $f(\phi)(F^2 + \gamma\tilde{F}F)$ and discuss the effect of the inclusion of the parity violation term in the structure of the correlation functions.

4.1 The Ward identities

Here, we briefly discuss the Ward identities. Ward identities are the principle that express the invariance under symmetry transformations, which, in our case, are transformations belonging to the conformal group. First of all, we implement translational and rotational invariance which are straightforward and their implication on the form of the correlators is rather simple to understand. Due to translation invariance, the correlators respect momentum conservation, so, all them are written in momentum space like

$$\langle \phi(\vec{k}_1)\phi(\vec{k}_2)\cdots\phi(\vec{k}_n) \rangle = F(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \cdots, \vec{k}_n)\delta(\vec{k}_{12\dots n}). \quad (4.1)$$

where $\vec{k}_{12\dots n} \equiv \vec{k}_1 + \cdots + \vec{k}_n$. Given that we include the pseudo-scalar coupling $f(\phi)F\tilde{F}$ we also allow for the inversion transformation $\vec{x} \rightarrow -\vec{x}$, then, we allow also for transformations with negative determinant $\det(\mathbf{R}) = -1$, so, the correlators that involve vector fields, transform respecting the $O(3)$ symmetry group (in the vector indices). For instance, if there are two vectors in the correlator, V_i, V_j , we have:

$$\langle V_i(\vec{k}_1)V_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle = G(k_1) \left(a(k_1)\delta_{ij} + b(k_1)\hat{k}_{1i}\hat{k}_{1j} + c(k_1)\epsilon_{ijl}\hat{k}_{1l} \right) \delta(\vec{k}_{12}), \quad (4.2)$$

and so on. The remaining part of the conformal group, are the dilatations and the SCT. They impose different, complementary restrictions on the form of the correlators. A beautiful and detailed presentation of the role of conformal transformations in the context of inflationary physics can be found in [3] and further discussion and details of the role of conformal invariance in momentum space in a more general context can be found in [4, 5, 72]. Here we restrict ourselves to recall the very basics of the subject.

4.1.1 Dilatations

In the following, we are only interested in the correlations among scalar and vector perturbations but the procedure for higher order rank tensor perturbations is similar. According with (2.9), the transformation rule for those perturbations are, respectively:

$$\sigma'(\vec{x}') = \left| \frac{\partial x'^l}{\partial x^m} \right|^{-\frac{\Delta_\sigma}{d}} \sigma(\vec{x}') \quad \text{and} \quad v'_i(\vec{x}') = \left| \frac{\partial x'^l}{\partial x^m} \right|^{\frac{1-\Delta_v}{d}} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} v_j(\vec{x}). \quad (4.3)$$

The Ward identity for dilatations is obtained by using the infinitesimal transformation

$$x'^i = x^i + \delta_\lambda x^i = x^i + \lambda x^i, \quad (4.4)$$

and the infinitesimal transformation for the fields

$$\delta_\lambda v_i(\vec{x}) = v'_i(\vec{x}) - v_i(\vec{x}) \simeq -\lambda(\Delta_v + x^k \partial_k)v_i(\vec{x}). \quad (4.5)$$

As an example, let's consider the two point correlation function for two vector perturbations. In momentum space, dilatation imply that the correlator transforms as

$$\delta_\lambda \langle v_i(\vec{k}_1)v_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' = -\lambda \left[-3 + \sum_{a=1}^2 \left(\Delta_v - \vec{k}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}_a} \right) \right] \langle v_i(\vec{k}_1)v_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle', \quad (4.6)$$

where the notation $\langle \rangle'$ means that the Dirac's δ function is factored out. Some details of this calculation can be found in appendix B. Then, invariance under dilatations implies the Ward identity for the two point correlator between vector perturbations:

$$\left[-3 + \sum_{a=1}^2 \left(\Delta_v - \vec{k}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}_a} \right) \right] \langle v_i(\vec{k}_1) v_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

In general for N -point correlators involving scalars and vectors we can write:

$$\boxed{\left[-3(N-1) + \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\Delta_a - \vec{k}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}_a} \right) \right] \langle \sigma(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \sigma(\vec{k}_s) v_i(\vec{k}_{s+1}) \cdots v_j(\vec{k}_N) \rangle' = 0.} \quad (4.8)$$

where Δ_a is the conformal weight of the corresponding scalar or vector perturbation.

4.1.2 Special conformal transformation

Now, we study constraints over scalar-vector correlators in momentum space imposed by SCT. Further details of the derivation can be found in Appendix B. Infinitesimal SCT are written as

$$x'^i = x^i + \delta_{\vec{b}} x^i = x^i + b^i \vec{x}^2 - 2x^i \vec{x} \cdot \vec{b}, \quad (4.9)$$

which, generate the transformation of the conformal field

$$\delta_{\vec{b}} v_i(\vec{x}) \simeq \left[\left(2\Delta_v (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x}) + \vec{x}^2 (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}) - 2(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{x}) (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \right) \delta_i^j - 2(x^j b_i - b^j x_i) \right] v_j(\vec{x}). \quad (4.10)$$

Going to Fourier space, we can derive the transformation law for N -point correlation functions. This procedure involves several integration by parts and a careful manipulation of the terms involving the Dirac's delta function. Using the results of Appendix B we derive the Ward identity for SCT

$$\boxed{\left[\sum_{a=1}^N 2(\Delta_a - 3) \partial_{k_a^i} + D_a^i \right] \langle \sigma(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \sigma(\vec{k}_s) v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_{s+1}) \cdots v_{i_N}(\vec{k}_N) \rangle' - 2 \sum_{p=s+1}^N \Sigma^{ijp}{}_{i_p} \langle \sigma(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \sigma(\vec{k}_s) v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_{s+1}) \cdots v_{j_p}(\vec{k}_p) \cdots v_{i_N}(\vec{k}_N) \rangle' = 0,} \quad (4.11a)$$

where

$$D_a^i = k_a^i \partial_{k_a^2}^2 - 2(\vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{\partial}_{k_a}) \partial_{k_a^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^{ijp}{}_{i_p} = \delta^{ijp} \partial_{k_p^{i_p}} - \delta_{i_p}^i \partial_{k_p^{j_p}}. \quad (4.12)$$

It is worth to notice that in this case, the term on the second line of the Ward identity acts only over vector indices. In general, for higher rank tensor perturbations (higher spin fields), the transformation rule include this terms with the corresponding transformation matrix Σ . As an example, let's take a two point correlator involving two vector fields:

$$\left[\sum_{a=1}^2 2(\Delta_a - 3) \partial_{k_a^i} + k_a^i \partial_{k_a^2}^2 - 2(\vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{\partial}_{k_a}) \partial_{k_a^i} \right] \langle v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_1) v_{i_2}(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' - 2\Sigma^{il}{}_{i_1} \langle v_l(\vec{k}_1) v_{i_2}(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' - 2\Sigma^{il}{}_{i_2} \langle v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_1) v_l(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' = 0. \quad (4.13)$$

4.2 Two point function

Now we use the Ward identities to put constraints on the shape of the correlation functions. First, we consider the two point correlation function. In our case, we can consider three types of correlators: scalar-scalar, scalar-vector and vector-vector, but here we neglect the scalar-vector correlator, since we suppose that both fields are not correlated at the time of horizon crossing. The scalar-scalar correlator is

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta\phi(\vec{k}_2) \rangle = P_{\delta\phi}(\vec{k}_1)\delta(\vec{k}_{12}), \quad (4.14)$$

and after applying (4.8) for two scalar perturbations, we have:

$$\left[-3 + 2\Delta_\phi - \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right] \langle \delta\phi(\vec{k})\delta\phi(-\vec{k}) \rangle = 0.$$

Solving the above equation we get [1, 2, 56]:

$$P_{\delta\phi}(k) = Ak^{-3+2\Delta_\phi}, \quad (4.15)$$

where Δ_ϕ is the conformal weight of the scalar field in the asymptotic super horizon region. We can see that this result is consistent with the scale dependence of the perturbations (3.7), but this time is consequence of assuming conformal invariance. No further restrictions over this function are found when we apply the invariance under SCT.

For the vector perturbations, the power spectrum can be written as

$$\langle \delta A_i(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle = P_{ij}(\vec{k})\delta(\vec{k}_{12}), \quad (4.16)$$

where P_{ij} is invariant under the $O(3)$ group (in the vector indices). Then, taking into account the momentum conservation $\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = 0$, the most general $O(3)$ invariant two-point function that we can have in momentum space is:

$$\langle \delta A_i(\vec{k})\delta A_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle \equiv P_{ij}(\vec{k}) = P(k)(\delta_{ij} + b_1(k)\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j + b_2(k)\epsilon_{ija}\hat{k}_a). \quad (4.17)$$

where $b_1(k)$ and $b_2(k)$ are scale dependent functions to be determined. The power spectrum is real, so, taking into account that $A_i^\dagger(\vec{k}) = A_i(-\vec{k})$, by imposing the reality condition $P_{ij}(\vec{k})^\dagger = P_{ji}(\vec{k})$:

$$\langle A_i(\vec{k})A_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle^\dagger = \langle A_i^\dagger(\vec{k})A_j^\dagger(-\vec{k}) \rangle = \langle A_i(-\vec{k})A_j(\vec{k}) \rangle = \langle A_j(\vec{k})A_i(-\vec{k}) \rangle$$

we get

$$b_2(k)\epsilon_{jia}\hat{k}_a = -b_2(k)\epsilon_{ija}\hat{k}_a = b_2^\dagger(k)\epsilon_{ija}\hat{k}_a$$

which implies that $b_2^\dagger(k) = -b_2(k)$, so, b_2 is an imaginary function which we can write as $b_2(k) = ib(k)$ where $b(k)$ is a real function. On the other hand, by using gauge symmetry and choosing the gauge $A_0 = 0$ and $\nabla^i A_i = 0$, which, in momentum space implies that $k^i A_i = 0$, we get $b_1 = -1$. With this, we can write the two-point vector correlator as:

$$P_{ab}(\vec{k}) \equiv \Pi_{ab}P(k) \equiv [\Delta_{ab} + ib(k)\epsilon_{abc}\hat{k}_c]P(k). \quad (4.18)$$

with

$$\Delta_{ab} \equiv \delta_{ab} - \hat{k}_a\hat{k}_b. \quad (4.19)$$

Several properties and identities involving Π_{ab} and Δ_{ab} can be found in appendix C. Those properties are useful to evaluate the Ward identities in momentum space. For scaling invariance, the equation (4.8) reads:

$$\Pi_{ij}(-3 + 2\Delta_v - \vec{k} \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}})P(k) - i\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{k}_k P(k)\vec{k} \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}}b(k) = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

This leads to a system of two decoupled equations:

$$(-3 + 2\Delta_v - \vec{k} \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}})P(k) = 0, \quad \vec{k} \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}}b(k) = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

with solutions:

$$P(k) = \frac{A}{k^{3-2\Delta_v}}, \quad b(k) = \beta, \quad (4.22)$$

With A and β constants. With this result, we can rewrite the spectrum as:

$$P_{ab}(\vec{k}) = Ak^p[\Delta_{ab} + i\beta\epsilon_{abc}\hat{k}_c], \quad \text{with } p = 2\Delta_v - 3. \quad (4.23)$$

It is possible to verify that this solution is consistent with invariance under SCT. To do that, we need the first two derivatives of the spectrum, the details can be seen in the appendix C. Using the results (C.4) to (C.8) in this appendix, we can get the necessary combination of derivatives in the Ward identity (4.13) for the SCT:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\Delta_v - 3)\partial_i P_{lm} + k^i \nabla^2 P_{lm} - 2\vec{k} \cdot \nabla \partial_i P_{lm} \\ &= Ak^{p-1} \left[-2\hat{k}_i \Delta_{lm} + (p+1)(\Delta_{il}\hat{k}_m + \Delta_{im}\hat{k}_l) + 4\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_l \hat{k}_m \right] \\ &+ i\beta k^{p-1} \epsilon_{mlc} \left[(p+1)\delta_{ic} + (1-p)\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_c \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where we have used $p = 2\Delta_v - 3$ and we have separated the symmetric and antisymmetric parts. We notice that this term appears twice since the calculation of the Fourier transform give us two equal terms, one for each momentum \vec{k}_1 and \vec{k}_2 . On the other hand, the combination of first derivatives in the second part of the Ward identity gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\delta_{ni}\partial_l P_{nm} - \delta_{il}\partial_n P_{nm} + \delta_{ni}\partial_m P_{ln} - \delta_{im}\partial_n P_{ln}) \\ &= 2A \frac{k^p}{k} \left[-2\hat{k}_i \Delta_{lm} + (p+1)(\hat{k}_l \Delta_{im} + \hat{k}_m \Delta_{li}) + 4\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_l \hat{k}_m \right] \\ &+ i2\beta \frac{k^p}{k} \left[\epsilon_{mci}(\delta_{lc} + (p-1)\hat{k}_l \hat{k}_c) + \epsilon_{cli}(\delta_{mc} + (p-1)\hat{k}_m \hat{k}_c) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where we have used (C.4), (C.6) and we separate the symmetric and the antisymmetric parts. Comparing with (4.24) we see that the symmetric parts cancels exactly. To see that the antisymmetric part cancels as well, we use the identity (C.19) on appendix C.3. To summarize, by using the conformal symmetry for the two point correlator, we obtain (4.23) which gives us the structure and the scale dependence of the correlation function up to some constants, the amplitude A and the factor β in the antisymmetric part.

The factors A and β can't be determined by symmetry arguments but, we can say something about them by analysing the asymptotic behavior of the solutions. For instance, it has been shown, that the β coefficient can be fixed by noticing that in the super horizon regime, the polarization (+) is exponentially amplifying and therefore is the dominant contribution of the vector perturbations, which is a sign of parity violation [38, 63]. Then, the product

$\langle \delta A_a(\vec{k}) \delta A_b(-\vec{k}) \rangle$ matches in the asymptotic limit with the contribution coming from the polarization (+), this is:

$$\langle \delta A_a(\vec{k}) \delta A_b(-\vec{k}) \rangle \approx |\delta A_+(\vec{k})|^2 \epsilon_a^{*(+)}(\hat{k}) \epsilon_b^{(+)}(\hat{k}), \quad (4.26)$$

with the polarization vectors as defined in section 3.1. Using (3.16), it is possible to see that the polarization vectors obey

$$\epsilon_a^{*(+)}(\hat{k}) \epsilon_b^{(+)}(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta_{ab} + i \epsilon_{abc} \hat{k}_c \right], \quad (4.27)$$

then, matching with the spectrum (4.23) we can fix $\beta = 1$. It is worth to distinguish the two different asymptotic behaviours of the two types of vector field perturbations seen before. For electric fields and for magnetic fields we have respectively

$$P_{ab}^v(\vec{k}) = A_v k^{2\alpha+1} [\Delta_{ab} + i \epsilon_{abc} \hat{k}_c], \quad \text{and} \quad P_{ab}^u(\vec{k}) = A_u k^{-(2\alpha+1)} [\Delta_{ab} + i \epsilon_{abc} \hat{k}_c]. \quad (4.28)$$

To summarize, by imposing conformal symmetry, we have deduced the structure of the two point correlator, up to amplitude factors. In order to have the complete form of the correlator, we need the further information about the system, such as initial conditions or the asymptotic behavior of the fields.

4.3 Three point function

Now, we consider a three point cross scalar-vector-vector correlator

$$\langle \delta \phi(\vec{k}_1) \delta A_i(\vec{k}_2) \delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle = B_{ij}^{\phi AA}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, \vec{k}_3) \delta(\vec{k}_{123}). \quad (4.29)$$

This correlator is relevant one for tracking statistical anisotropies and parity violation signals, such as was shown in Ref. [40]. In the same way as we did for the two point function, we can constrain this correlator by using the asymptotic conformal symmetries. First, the most general combination of vector with indices i, j with $O(3)$ invariance is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta \phi(\vec{k}_1) \delta A_i(\vec{k}_2) \delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' &= a_1 \delta_{ij} + a_2 \hat{k}_{2i} \hat{k}_{3j} + a_3 \hat{k}_{3i} \hat{k}_{2j} + a_4 \hat{k}_{2i} \hat{k}_{2j} + a_5 \hat{k}_{3i} \hat{k}_{3j} \\ &+ b_1 \epsilon_{ija} \hat{k}_{2a} + b_2 \epsilon_{ija} \hat{k}_{3a} + b_3 \hat{k}_{2i} \epsilon_{jab} \hat{k}_{2a} \hat{k}_{3b} + b_4 \hat{k}_{3i} \epsilon_{jab} \hat{k}_{2a} \hat{k}_{3b} \\ &+ b_5 \hat{k}_{2j} \epsilon_{iab} \hat{k}_{2a} \hat{k}_{3b} + b_6 \hat{k}_{3j} \epsilon_{iab} \hat{k}_{2a} \hat{k}_{3b} \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where the a_m and b_m functions can depend of the magnitude of the vectors k_m and the scalar products of those vectors. The correlator is separated in two independent parts, a symmetric part, composed by the a_m -terms and the antisymmetric part composed by the b_m -terms. Using the divergenless condition we get 2 equations: $k_{2i} \langle \delta \phi(\vec{k}_1) \delta A_i(\vec{k}_2) \delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle = 0$ and $k_{3j} \langle \delta \phi(\vec{k}_1) \delta A_i(\vec{k}_2) \delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle = 0$ which gives us relations between the different terms. For the symmetric terms we get:

$$a_3 = \frac{a_2}{(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)^2} - \frac{a_1}{(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)}, \quad a_4 = a_5 = -\frac{a_2}{(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)} \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$b_1 = b_5(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3) + b_6, \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = b_3 + b_4(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3) \quad (4.32)$$

for the antisymmetric ones. Additionally, the correlator is symmetric under the simultaneous changes $i \leftrightarrow j$, $k_2 \leftrightarrow k_3$, which imply $b_5 = -b_3$ and $b_6 = -b_4$. We also have reality of the

correlators: $\langle \delta\phi(k_1)\delta A_i(k_2)\delta A_j(k_3) \rangle^\dagger = \langle \delta\phi(k_1)\delta A_j(k_3)\delta A_i(k_2) \rangle$ which tell us that a_1 and a_2 are real, while b_3 and b_4 are imaginary. Finally, we define the functions I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 such that $a_1 = I_1 + (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)I_2$, $a_2 = (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)I_1$, $b_3 = I_3$ and $b_4 = I_4$, so, we write the correlator as:

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \sum_{m=1}^4 I_m T_{ij}^{(m)}, \quad \text{where} \quad (4.33)$$

$$T_{ij}^{(1)} = \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{2j} - \hat{k}_{3i}\hat{k}_{3j} + (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)\hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{3j}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$T_{ij}^{(2)} = \hat{k}_{3i}\hat{k}_{2j} - (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)\delta_{ij}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$T_{ij}^{(3)} = (\hat{k}_{2a} - \hat{k}_{3a})\epsilon_{ij a} + (\hat{k}_{3j}\epsilon_{iab} - \hat{k}_{2i}\epsilon_{jab})\hat{k}_{2a}\hat{k}_{3b}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$T_{ij}^{(4)} = (\hat{k}_{3a} - \hat{k}_{2a})(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)\epsilon_{ij a} + (\hat{k}_{3i}\epsilon_{jab} - \hat{k}_{2j}\epsilon_{iab})\hat{k}_{2a}\hat{k}_{3b}. \quad (4.37)$$

Additionally, contracting the identity (C.19) with \hat{k}_2 and \hat{k}_3 , subtracting and rearranging we realize that $T_{ij}^{(3)} = T_{ij}^{(4)}$, so, we only need the three matrices $T_{ij}^{(1)}$, $T_{ij}^{(2)}$ and $T_{ij}^{(3)}$ to determine the form of the bispectrum. The form factors I_1, I_2, I_3 depend of the length of the momenta k_1, k_2, k_3 , or equivalently, of two momenta, say k_2, k_3 and the angle between them, this is $I_m = I_m(k_1, k_2, k_3)$. Due to momentum conservation, we express the k_1 dependence in terms of k_2 and k_3 , with $k_1^2 = k_2^2 + k_3^2 + 2\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{k}_2$. Then, write the 3-point function as

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \sum_{m=1}^3 I_m T_{ij}^{(m)} \quad (4.38)$$

Applying the Ward identity for dilatations we get

$$\left[-6 + 2\Delta_v + \Delta_{\delta\phi} - (\vec{k}_2 \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}_2} + \vec{k}_3 \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}_3}) \right] \sum_{m=1}^3 I_m T_{ij}^{(m)} = 0. \quad (4.39)$$

From this equations and using

$$\vec{k}_a \cdot \partial_{\vec{k}_a} T_{ij}^{(m)} = 0,$$

we see that the scalar form factors are homogeneous functions of the momenta k_1, k_2, k_3 with degree $D = 2\Delta_v - 6$, this is, the general solution for each scalar form factor is

$$I_m = \alpha_m(k_1)^{2\Delta_v + \Delta_{\delta\phi} - 6} g\left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}, \frac{k_3}{k_1}\right), \quad (4.40)$$

or, equivalently, by permuting the order of the momenta in this expression. The most general form of the function g can be written as a series in the ratios k_2/k_1 and k_3/k_1

$$I_m = \alpha_m(k_1)^{2\Delta_v + \Delta_{\delta\phi} - 6} \sum_{p,q} a_{p,q} \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^p \left(\frac{k_3}{k_1}\right)^q \quad (4.41)$$

with some constant coefficients $a_{p,q}$. The form of the series defining the function g is constrained by invariance under SCT. A complete and detailed study of conformal constraints on the scalar form factors can be found in [4, 5] for scalar operators and in [72] for scalar, vector, and tensor operators. In these references it was found that the Ward identity associated with SCT is written as a generalized hypergeometric function of the two variables k_2/k_1

and k_3/k_1 and the solutions for this equation are the Appell's functions F_4 . The derivation of the general solution of the SCT Ward's identity is beyond the scope of this paper. Instead of that, we want to have an idea of the behavior of the super horizon limit of the solutions. To this end, we will consider the squeezed limit configuration $k_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\vec{k}_3 \rightarrow -\vec{k}_2$. In this limit, the mode k_1 is well deep in the super horizon regime, and it dominates the behavior of the correlator, so, we expect that our results work well in this limit. We then consider an approximate solution compatible with dilatations and SCT up to orders suppressed at super horizon regime. As an approximation, we consider a single term in the series expansion (4.41)

$$I_m = \alpha_m (k_1)^{2\Delta_v + \Delta_{\delta\phi} - 6} \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^p \left(\frac{k_3}{k_1}\right)^q. \quad (4.42)$$

Applying the SCT to this scalar function

$$\left[\sum_{a=2}^3 2(\Delta_a - 3)\partial_{k_a^i} + D_a^i \right] I_m = 0 \quad (4.43)$$

we get the solution $p = -3 + 2\Delta_v$, $q = 0$ (equivalently $q = -3 + 2\Delta_v$, $p = 0$). Then, the scalar form factors obtained is:

$$I_m = \frac{\alpha_m}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{3-2\Delta_v}}. \quad (4.44)$$

In terms of the conformal weights (3.27), (3.28) of the magnetic and electric components respectively, we can recast our result as:

$$I_m^{(B)} = \frac{\alpha_m}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{1+2\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_m^{(E)} = \frac{\alpha_m}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{-1-2\alpha}}. \quad (4.45)$$

Putting everything together, the approximate solution for the cross correlator, which is compatible with super horizon conformal symmetry is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' &= \frac{1}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{3-2\Delta_v}} \left[\alpha_1 \left(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{2j} - \hat{k}_{3i}\hat{k}_{3j} + (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)\hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{3j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 \left(\hat{k}_{3i}\hat{k}_{2j} - (\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3)\delta_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + i\alpha_3 \left((\hat{k}_{2a} - \hat{k}_{3a})\epsilon_{ija} + (\hat{k}_{3j}\epsilon_{iab} - \hat{k}_{2i}\epsilon_{jab})\hat{k}_{2a}\hat{k}_{3b} \right) \right]. \quad (4.46) \end{aligned}$$

Up to amplitude factors, this form share the same structure with the result found in [37] for fF^2 and [40] for $f(F^2 + \gamma F\tilde{F})$. It is also interesting to notice that (4.46) is in agreement with previous results found in [58, 59] in the squeezed limit, i.e. when $k_1 \rightarrow 0$. Additionally, in our case we have an extra parity violating term $I_3 T_{ij}^{(3)}$ which appears due to the presence of the axial coupling term $fF\tilde{F}$. By taking the limit $k_1 \rightarrow 0$, $\vec{k}_3 \rightarrow -\vec{k}_2$ we get

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \frac{1}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{3-2\Delta_v}} \left[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \left(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{2j} \right) + 2i\alpha_3 \hat{k}_{2a}\epsilon_{ija} \right]. \quad (4.47)$$

By taking $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ we obtain

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \frac{2\alpha_1}{k_1^{3-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} k_2^{3-2\Delta_v}} \left[\left(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{2i}\hat{k}_{2j} \right) + i\hat{k}_{2a}\epsilon_{ija} \right]. \quad (4.48)$$

which is written as

$$\langle \delta\phi(\vec{k}_1)\delta A_i(\vec{k}_2)\delta A_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \propto k_1^{-\Delta_{\delta\phi}} P_{\delta\phi}(k_1) P_{ij}(\vec{k}_2). \quad (4.49)$$

The form of this expression agrees with the consistency relation derived in [58, 59]. The main difference is that here we have an additional parity violating term coming from the coupling $fF\tilde{F}$. Nevertheless, by using this method, and within the approximations employed, we were not able to derive the suppression factor for the consistency relation, since, as we said before, the symmetries analysis give us the form of the correlators up to amplitude factors. In order to derive the complete expression of the correlators's limit, we would need the initial conditions and the precise asymptotic form of the fields which would imply to solve the complete dynamics of the system.

The result for the squeezed limit can also be seen as a consequence of the operator product expansion of the conformal theory which tell us that the short distance limit structure of the correlators of a conformal field theory is completely determined by the conformal weight of the fields. It was shown in [1, 4] that for a three point function of scalar operators, the squeezed limit behave as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_1)\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_2)\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_3) \rangle \propto k_1^{-3+\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k}_2)\mathcal{O}(-\vec{k}_2) \rangle. \quad (4.50)$$

So, what we get in (4.49) is an example of the operator product expansion including scalar and vector operators. As in the case of scalar operators, we get that the behaviour of the short distance limit is defined by the conformal weight of the fields. In our case, the conformal weight of the fields were derived by using the asymptotic dynamics of the fields with the coupling (3.29) and imposing conformal invariance in this region. Relying on the operator product expansion and on (4.49) we can argue that for N -point correlators involving scalars and vectors coupled by the interaction term (3.29) we can derive the limit scaling expression

$$\langle \sigma(\vec{k}_1) \cdots \sigma(\vec{k}_s) v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_{s+1}) \cdots v_{i_N}(\vec{k}_N) \rangle \propto \frac{P_{\sigma}(k_1)}{k_1^{\Delta_{\sigma}}} \langle \sigma(\vec{k}_2) \cdots \sigma(\vec{k}_s) v_{i_1}(\vec{k}_{s+1}) \cdots v_{i_N}(\vec{k}_N) \rangle. \quad (4.51)$$

5 Conclusions and final remarks

In this paper we study the constraints imposed by conformal symmetry on the correlators of an interacting theory involving a scalar and a gauge invariant vector field during inflation with a coupling of the form $f_1(\phi)F^2 + f_2(\phi)\tilde{F}F$ which allows for parity violation. To this end, we exploit the relation between the de Sitter symmetry group and the conformal group at super horizon scales. We follow the methods presented in [10] which studied the conformal symmetry constraints of the model with interaction term $f(\phi)F^2$ in an inflationary setup. The term $f(\phi)F^2$ provides a source of non diluting statistical anisotropies which is reflected in the structure of the correlation functions of the system. Realizing that the coupling $f(\phi)F^2$ respects conformal invariance at late times, Ref. [10] calculated the conformal weight of the fields and then obtained the form of the correlators by solving the Ward identities associated with conformal transformations. Following these ideas, we extend this symmetry analysis to the model $f_1(\phi)F^2 + f_2(\phi)\tilde{F}F$. As a result, we found that this model is compatible with exact conformal symmetry at the asymptotic future when the coupling functions are homogeneous functions of the conformal time with the same degree, this is, when $f_2 = \gamma f_1$ with constant γ . Then, the model

$$f(\phi)(F^2 + \gamma\tilde{F}F) \quad (5.1)$$

admits a conformal field theory representation at the asymptotic future. Remarkably, the asymptotic form of the solutions of (5.1) have the same conformal weight of the $f(\phi)F^2$ model and with them we can derive the form of the correlators of this theory. Interestingly enough, by using this procedure, we derived the correct form of the correlators when comparing with the recent literature on the subject, see for instance [37] for the fF^2 model and [40] for the $f(F^2 + \gamma F\tilde{F})$ model. Moreover, in the squeezed limit when all the modes are in the super horizon regime, and we were able to derive the relation (4.49) for the cross correlation of scalar and vectors with a power law coupling to the conformal time. This result is related with the consistency relation obtained in [58, 59] for the cross correlator in the $f(\phi)F^2$ model. The main shortcoming of the method followed here is that we can't obtain the suppression factor appearing in the squeezed limit, since, as we said before, the symmetry analysis gives us the general structure of the correlators up to amplitude factors. If we want to obtain the correct amplitude factors in the correlators, we need also to solve the dynamics of the system with the appropriate initial conditions.

The formalism used here allows us to describe general characteristics and features of the inflationary correlators relying only on the symmetries of the system as the fundamental guiding principle. As illustrative examples, we only considered here the two and three point correlators of scalar and vectors but the formalism is, of course, applicable to general higher rank perturbation correlators. It would be interesting to use this approach to derive the general form of scalar, vector and tensor correlators and to derive limits such as (4.49) involving these perturbations. This could be relevant, for instance, to have a template, or a benchmarking mark form for the correlators in the analysis of signatures of sourced primordial chiral gravitational waves and CMB polarization. We expect to further investigate these subjects elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This work has received funding from COLCIENCIAS grants numbers 123365843539 RC FP44842-081-2014 and 110671250405 RC FP44842-103-2016, from Universidad Antonio Nariño grant number 2017239 and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreements 674896 and 690575. JPBA thanks Universidad del Valle for its warm hospitality during several stages of this project.

A The action and the SCT

In this appendix, we will show that the action (3.29) is invariant under SCT on super-Hubble scales. To do this we will take advantage of two the fact that the SCT is built as a composition of three consecutive transformations: *inversion* \rightarrow *translation* \rightarrow *inversion*, they can be written as

$$\frac{x'^{\mu}}{x'^2} = \frac{x^{\mu}}{x^2} + b^{\mu}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the action is invariant under translations, so we only discuss the details of the inversions [10, 56]. By using the results of section 3.1, in particular the result after applying the dilatations, which is summarized in Eq. (3.12), we can write the

action, in terms of the field $w_i \equiv \sqrt{f} A_i$, as:

$$S_{\phi A} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d\tau \left\{ -\dot{w}_i \dot{w}^i - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\tau^2} w_i w^i + \partial_i w_j (\partial^i w^j - \partial^j w^i) - 2\gamma \varepsilon_{0ijk} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau} w^i + \dot{w}^i \right) \partial^j w^k \right\}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Now, in super-Hubble scales ($-\tau^2 \ll |\vec{x}|^2$), the inversions are written as:

$$x'^{\mu} = \frac{x^{\mu}}{|\vec{x}|^2}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with the Jacobian matrix and its inverse as follows

$$\frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} = \frac{J_{\mu}^{\nu}}{|\vec{x}|^2}, \quad \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial x'^{\nu}} = |\vec{x}|^2 J_{\nu}^{\mu}, \quad \text{with } J_0^0 = 1, \quad \text{and } J_i^j = \delta_i^j - \frac{2\delta_{ik} x^k x^j}{|\vec{x}|^2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

With this, we have the transformation law for a 3-dimensional vector

$$w'_i(x') = |\vec{x}|^2 J_i^j w_j(x),$$

and the transformation for the volume element $d^3x' d\tau' = \left(|\vec{x}|^2 \right)^{-4} d^3x d\tau$, the time and spatial derivatives: $\partial'_0 = |\vec{x}|^2 \partial_0$, $\partial'_i = |\vec{x}|^2 J_i^j \partial_j$ and the Levi-Civita tensor

$$\varepsilon'_{0ijk} = \det \left(|\vec{x}|^2 J_{\mu}^{\nu} \right) \varepsilon_{0ijk}, \quad \text{with } \det \left(J_{\mu}^{\nu} \right) = -1,$$

where we have used (2.9) and (A.2) and the properties of the Levi-Civita symbol. Putting the above expressions in (A.1) and assuming that γ is a constant⁷, we find that the action changes as

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\phi A} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x' d\tau' \left\{ -\dot{w}'_i \dot{w}'^i - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\tau'^2} w'_i w'^i + \partial'_i w'_j (\partial'^i w'^j - \partial'^j w'^i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\gamma \varepsilon'_{0ijk} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau'} w'^i + \dot{w}'^i \right) \partial'^j w'^k \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3x d\tau}{(|\vec{x}|^2)^4} (|\vec{x}|^2)^4 \left\{ -\dot{w}_i \dot{w}^i - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\tau^2} w_i w^i + \partial_i w_j (\partial^i w^j - \partial^j w^i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\gamma \varepsilon_{0ijk} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau} w^i + \dot{w}^i \right) \partial^j w^k \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we get a minus sign in the term proportional to γ . Now, we do a translation which leaves the action invariant and a second inversion which introduces a new minus sign in the last term, then, the action is left invariant under special conformal transformations.

B Details of the calculation of the Ward identities

Here we show the details of the derivation of the Ward identities for dilations and SCT. For all the calculations we apply the infinitesimal form of (2.9) to the scalar and vector fields. Then, we do an expansion to first order in powers of the corresponding symmetry generators and then we build the correlators and their equations in coordinate space. Finally, we go to Fourier space and demand symmetry invariance to derive the corresponding Ward identities.

⁷Actually, if γ is not a constant, then the action is not invariant.

B.1 Dilatations

B.1.1 Dilations 2-point function Ward identities

Applying an infinitesimal change of coordinates, such as $x'^i = x^i + \lambda x^i$, the conformal transformation (2.9) for a vector field up to first order in λ is:

$$v'_i(\vec{x}') = \left| \frac{\partial x'^l}{\partial x^m} \right|^{\frac{1-\Delta_v}{d}} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x'^i} v_j(\vec{x}) \simeq (1 + (n - \Delta - 1)\lambda) \delta_i^j. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Which allow us to write the variation of the field as:

$$\delta_\lambda v_i(\vec{x}) = v'_i(\vec{x}) - v_i(\vec{x}) \simeq -\lambda(\Delta + x^k \partial_k) v_i(\vec{x}), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and then the variation of the two point correlator:

$$\delta_\lambda \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1) v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle = -\lambda \sum_{a=1}^2 \left(\Delta + x_a^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^l} \right) \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1) v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Going to Fourier space and after some algebra, the above expression reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1) v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle &= \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \vec{k}_1 + \vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{k}_2)} \delta_\lambda \langle v_i(\vec{k}_1) v_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle \\ &= -\lambda \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \vec{k}_1 + \vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{k}_2)} \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) \left[-3 + \sum_{a=1}^2 \left(\Delta - \vec{k}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}_a} \right) \right] \langle v_i(\vec{k}_1) v_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle', \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

which result in the next Ward identity:

$$\delta_\lambda \langle v_i(\vec{k}) v_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' = -\lambda \left[-3 + 2\Delta - \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{k}} \right] \langle v_i(\vec{k}) v_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle'. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

B.1.2 Dilations 3-point function Ward identities

In this section we calculate the Ward identity for a 3-point correlator of the form $\langle \phi(\vec{x}_1) v_i(\vec{x}_2) v_j(\vec{x}_3) \rangle$. The infinitesimal conformal transformation for dilations for scalar and vector fields, this is $\phi'(\vec{x}') \simeq \phi(\vec{x}) - \lambda(\Delta_\phi + x^k \partial_k) \phi(\vec{x})$ and $v'_i(\vec{x}') \simeq v_i(\vec{x}) - \lambda(\Delta_v + x^k \partial_k) v_i(\vec{x})$, allow us to write the variation of the three point function as:

$$\delta_\lambda \langle \phi'(\vec{x}'_1) v'_i(\vec{x}'_2) v'_j(\vec{x}'_3) \rangle = -\lambda(\Delta_\phi + 2\Delta_v + \sum_{l=1}^3 x_l^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l^k}) \langle \phi(\vec{x}_1) v_i(\vec{x}_2) v_j(\vec{x}_3) \rangle. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Now, doing the Fourier transforms of the fields $\phi(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int d^3 k \tilde{\phi}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$ and $v_i(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)} \int d^3 k \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}$, the Fourier transformation of (B.6) is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 e^{i\vec{k}_2 \cdot (\vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1) + i\vec{k}_3 \cdot (\vec{x}_3 - \vec{x}_1)} \delta_\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \tilde{v}_i \tilde{v}_j \rangle' = \\ &-\lambda \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3 e^{i\vec{k}_2 \cdot (\vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1) + i\vec{k}_3 \cdot (\vec{x}_3 - \vec{x}_1)} \left(-6 + \sum_{m=1}^3 \Delta_m + k_2^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} + k_3^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_3^l} \right) \langle \tilde{\phi} \tilde{v}_i \tilde{v}_j \rangle', \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

which allow us to write the corresponding Ward identity for the 3 point function as

$$\delta_\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi}(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_2) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \left(-6 + \sum_{m=1}^3 \Delta_m + k_2^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} + k_3^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_3^l} \right) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_2) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle'. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

B.2 SCT

B.2.1 SCT 2-point function

By using the infinitesimal SCT, $\delta x^i = x^2 b^i - 2x^i(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b})$, the transformation (2.9) for a vector $v_i(\vec{x})$ up to first order in \vec{b} is

$$v'_i(\vec{x}') = v_i(\vec{x}) - 2M_i^a(\vec{x})v_a(\vec{x}) + 2\Delta_v(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b})v_i(\vec{x}') - \delta x^a \partial_a v_i(\vec{x}') , \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where we have used the fact that, in this case, $\partial x'^i / \partial x^j \simeq \delta_j^i + 2M_j^i - 2\delta_j^i(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b})$ and then $|\partial x'^i / \partial x^j|^{\frac{r-\Delta_n}{d}} \simeq (1 - 6(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b}))^{\frac{r-\Delta_n}{d}} \simeq 1 - 6\frac{(r-\Delta_n)}{d}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b})$ with $M_j^i = x_j b^i - x^i b_j$. With this, and using (B.9), the two-point correlation function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_b \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle &= -2M_i^a(\vec{x}_1)\langle v_a(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle + 2\Delta_v(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \vec{b})\langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle - \delta x_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^a} \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle - \\ &- 2M_j^a(\vec{x}_2)\langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_a(\vec{x}_2) \rangle + 2\Delta_v(\vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{b})\langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle - \delta x_2^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^a} \langle v_i(\vec{x}_1)v_j(\vec{x}_2) \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

and in Fourier space,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \delta_b \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle &= \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 [\\ &- 2M_i^a(\vec{x}_1)\langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle + 2\Delta_v(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \vec{b})\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle - \delta x_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^a} \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle - \\ &- 2M_j^a(\vec{x}_2)\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle + 2\Delta_v(\vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{b})\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle - \delta x_2^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^a} \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle] e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Now, we will use the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_p^l} e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} = i x_{(p)l} e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p^l} e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} = i k_{(p)l} e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)}, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

where $p = 1, 2$ and integration by parts in just one line in the RHS of (B.11), knowing, that the other line can be computed by changing $1 \leftrightarrow 2$. Each one of the terms in the first line of the RHS of (B.11) are written as:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} 2\Delta_v(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \vec{b})\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle &= 2ie^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \Delta_v(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l}) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle , \\ -2M_i^a(\vec{x}_1)\langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} &= -2ie^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \tilde{M}_i^a \langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle , \\ -e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \delta x_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^a} \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle &= ie^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \left[(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{k}_1) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} - 2k_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} \right] \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1)\tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where $\tilde{M}_i^a = b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^i} - b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(1)a}}$. Follow the same procedure for the second line of the RHS of (B.11) one found that this equation can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \delta_b \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle &= i \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \\ &\left[2(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l}) + (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}_1) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} - 2k_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} + \right. \\ &\left. + 2(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l}) + (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}_2) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} - 2k_2^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} \right] \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle \\ &- 2 \left[\left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^i} - b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(1)a}} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle + \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^j} - b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(2)a}} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Now, recalling that $\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle = \delta(\vec{k}_{12}) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle'$, and taking into account that the correlators are invariant under dilatations and are covariant under rotations, the operator in front of each $\langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle$ does not affect the delta function. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \delta_b \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' &= i \int d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2) e^{i(\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{x}_1 + \vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{x}_2)} \\ &\left[2(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l}) + (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}_1) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} - 2k_1^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^l} + \right. \\ &\left. + 2(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l}) + (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}_2) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} - 2k_2^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} \right] \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' \\ &- 2 \left[\left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1^i} - b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(1)a}} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_j(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' + \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^j} - b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(2)a}} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}_1) \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}_2) \rangle' \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Finally, evaluating the delta functions and renaming $\vec{k}_1 = \vec{k}$, $\vec{k}_2 = -\vec{k}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3 k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)} \delta_b \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' &= \\ i \int d^3 k e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)} \left\{ \left[4(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l}) + 2(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l} - 4k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l} \right] \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' \right. \\ &\left. - 2 \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^i} - b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_a} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' - 2 \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} - b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial k_a} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_a(-\vec{k}) \rangle' \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

and conclude that if the two point correlator is invariant under SCT it must satisfy:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\left[4(\Delta_v - 3)(b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l}) + 2(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}) \delta^{al} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l} - 4k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^a} b^l \frac{\partial}{\partial k^l} \right] \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' \\ &- 2 \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^i} - b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_a} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_a(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_j(-\vec{k}) \rangle' - 2 \left(b^a \frac{\partial}{\partial k^j} - b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial k_a} \right) \langle \tilde{v}_i(\vec{k}) \tilde{v}_a(-\vec{k}) \rangle' = 0. \end{aligned}} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

B.2.2 SCT 3-point function

The procedure to derive the Ward identity for SCT for the 3-point correlator is the same as in Sec. B.2.1 for the 2-point correlator until Eq. (B.14). After (B.14), we need to see the

action of the operators on the delta function. It easy to check that if the correlator satisfy the dilatation Ward identity and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^3 \left(k_{(n)l} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_n^a} - k_{(n)a} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{(n)}^l} \right) \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \\ - \delta_{ia} \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_l(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' + \delta_{il} \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_a(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \\ - \delta_{aj} \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_l(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' + \delta_{lj} \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_a(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

the delta function is not affected by the operators. The later equation is related to rotation covariance and spin invariance [3]. Taking this into account, the corresponding equation (B.15) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{Dk}{(2\pi)^{\frac{9}{2}}} e^{i\vec{k}_n \cdot \vec{x}_n} \delta(\vec{k}_{123}) \delta_{\vec{b}} \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = \\ \int \frac{Dk}{(2\pi)^{\frac{9}{2}}} e^{i\vec{k}_n \cdot \vec{x}_n} \delta(\vec{k}_{123}) \left\{ \sum_{a=1}^3 [2(\Delta_v - 3)(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_a) + (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{k}_a) \nabla_a^2 - 2(\vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{\partial}_a)(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_a)] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \right. \\ \left. - 2[b^l \partial_{2i} - b_i \partial_{2l}] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_l(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' - 2[b^l \partial_{3j} - b_j \partial_{3l}] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_l(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

where $Dk = d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3$ and $n = 1, 2, 3$. Finally, we can see that if the 3-point function is invariant under SCT, then the correlator must satisfy

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^3 \left[2(\Delta_a - 3) \partial_{k_a^n} + k_a^n \nabla_a^2 - 2\vec{k}_a \cdot \vec{\partial}_a \partial_{k_a^n} \right] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' \\ - 2[\delta_{ln} \partial_{2i} - \delta_{ni} \partial_{2l}] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_l(\vec{k}_2) v_j(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' - 2[\delta_{ln} \partial_{3j} - \delta_{jn} \partial_{3l}] \langle \phi(\vec{k}_1) v_i(\vec{k}_2) v_l(\vec{k}_3) \rangle' = 0. \end{aligned}} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

C Some useful identities and properties

C.1 Derivatives of the two point function

The following identities are useful to evaluate the Ward identities for the two point function:

$$k_a \Delta_{ab} = k_a \Pi_{ab} = 0, \quad \Delta_{ab} \Delta_{bc} = \Delta_{ac}, \quad \Delta_{aa} = 2 \quad (\text{C.1})$$

$$\partial_a f(k) = f'(k) \hat{k}_a, \quad \partial_a \hat{k}_b = \frac{1}{k} \Delta_{ab}, \quad \partial_a \Delta_{bc} = -\frac{1}{k} (\Delta_{ab} \hat{k}_c + \Delta_{ac} \hat{k}_b) \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$k_a \partial_a \Delta_{bc} = 0, \quad k_b \partial_a \Delta_{bc} = -\Delta_{ac}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

For the calculation of the Ward identity of SCT we need the first and second derivative of the two point function. Using the form of the power spectrum (4.18) we find:

$$\partial_i P_{lm} = p \frac{\hat{k}_i}{k} P_{lm} + \frac{k^p}{k} \left[-\alpha (\Delta_{il} \hat{k}_m + \Delta_{im} \hat{k}_l) + i\beta \epsilon_{lmc} \Delta_{ic} \right] \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$\partial_j \partial_i P_{lm} = (p-1) \left(p \frac{\hat{k}_j \hat{k}_i}{k^2} P_{lm} + \frac{\hat{k}_j}{k^2} k^p \left[-\alpha (\Delta_{il} \hat{k}_m + \Delta_{im} \hat{k}_l) + i\beta \epsilon_{lmc} \Delta_{ic} \right] \right) \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} + \alpha \frac{k^p}{k^2} \left[p \Delta_{ji} \Delta_{lm} - \Delta_{jm} \Delta_{il} - \Delta_{jl} \Delta_{mi} + 2\hat{k}_l \hat{k}_m \Delta_{ji} - (p-1) (\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_m \Delta_{jl} + \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_l \Delta_{jm}) \right] \\ + i\beta (p-1) \frac{k^p}{k^2} \epsilon_{lmc} \left[(\Delta_{ji} \hat{k}_c + \Delta_{jc} \hat{k}_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

With this expressions we find the different contractions of the first and second derivatives:

$$\partial_i P_{im} = \partial_i P_{mi} = -2\alpha \frac{k^p}{k} \hat{k}_m. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\nabla^2 P_{lm} = (p+2)(p-1) \frac{1}{k^2} P_{lm} + \alpha \frac{k^p}{k^2} 4\hat{k}_l \hat{k}_m \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\vec{k} \cdot \nabla \partial_i P_{lm} = (p-1)p \frac{\hat{k}_i}{k} P_{lm} + (p-1) \frac{1}{k} k^p \left[-\alpha(\Delta_{il} \hat{k}_m + \Delta_{im} \hat{k}_l) + i\beta \epsilon_{lmc} \Delta_{ic} \right]. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

C.2 Derivatives of the 3-point function

Here we compute the derivatives of the matrices $T_{ij}^{(n)}$ with respect to k_2 . The derivatives with respect to k_3 are obtained by changing $k_2 \leftrightarrow k_3$ and $i \leftrightarrow j$ whenever the indices are out of the matrices:

$$\partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2^l} T_{ij}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{k_2} \left[\hat{k}_{2i} T_{lj}^{(1)} + \hat{k}_{2a} \Delta_{(3)aj} \Delta_{(2)il} \right], \quad (\text{C.9})$$

$$\partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(2)} = (\hat{k}_{3a} \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{3i} \delta_{aj}) \frac{\Delta_{(2)la}}{k_2}, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

$$\partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(3)} = \frac{\Delta_{(2)al}}{k_2} \left[-\epsilon_{ija} + \delta_{ia} \epsilon_{jcb} \hat{k}_{2c} \hat{k}_{3b} + (\hat{k}_{2i} \epsilon_{jab} - \hat{k}_{3j} \epsilon_{iab}) \hat{k}_{3b} \right], \quad (\text{C.11})$$

here we notices that $k_{2a} \partial_{(2)a} T_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$, since $k_{2i} \Delta_{(2)ia} = 0$. The 2nd derivatives of $T_{ij}^{(n)}$ are:

$$\partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(1)} = -\frac{\hat{k}_{2m}}{k_2} \partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{k_2} \left[\frac{\Delta_{(2)mi}}{k_2} T_{lj}^{(1)} + \frac{\Delta_{(2)il}}{k_2} T_{mj}^{(1)} + \hat{k}_{2a} \Delta_{(3)aj} \partial_{(2)m} \Delta_{(2)il} \right], \quad (\text{C.12})$$

$$\partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(2)} = (\hat{k}_{3a} \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_{3i} \delta_{aj}) \left[\frac{\partial_{(2)m} \Delta_{(2)la}}{k_2} - \frac{\hat{k}_{2m} \Delta_{(2)la}}{k_2^2} \right], \quad (\text{C.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(3)} &= \left(\frac{\partial_{(2)m} \Delta_{(2)al}}{k_2} - \frac{\hat{k}_{2m} \Delta_{(2)al}}{k_2^2} \right) \left[-\epsilon_{ija} + \delta_{ia} \epsilon_{jcb} \hat{k}_{2c} \hat{k}_{3b} + (\hat{k}_{2i} \epsilon_{jab} - \hat{k}_{3j} \epsilon_{iab}) \hat{k}_{3b} \right], \\ &+ \frac{\Delta_{(2)al}}{k_2^2} \left[\delta_{ia} \epsilon_{jcb} \Delta_{(2)cm} \hat{k}_{3b} + \Delta_{(2)mi} \epsilon_{jab} \hat{k}_{3b} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

Finally, their contractions are:

$$\partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)m} T_{ij}^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{k_2^2} \left[T_{ij}^{(1)} - \hat{k}_{2i} \hat{k}_{2a} \Delta_{(3)aj} \right], \quad (\text{C.15})$$

$$\partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)m} T_{ij}^{(2)} = -2 \frac{T_{ij}^{(2)}}{k_2^2}, \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$\partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)m} T_{ij}^{(3)} = -\frac{2}{k_2^2} \left[T_{ij}^{(3)} + 2\hat{k}_{2i} \epsilon_{jab} \hat{k}_{2a} \hat{k}_{3b} \right], \quad (\text{C.17})$$

and

$$k_{2m} \partial_{(2)m} \partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(m)} = -\partial_{(2)l} T_{ij}^{(m)}. \quad (\text{C.18})$$

C.3 A useful identity

The following identity results useful for dealing with the antisymmetric part of the correlators:

$$\epsilon_{mli} = (\epsilon_{mci}\hat{k}_l + \epsilon_{cli}\hat{k}_m + \epsilon_{mlc}\hat{k}_i)\hat{k}_c. \quad (\text{C.19})$$

This identity can be checked by contracting with \hat{k}_i, \hat{k}_l and \hat{k}_m or by directly calculating the components for $m, l, i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. This identity holds for any unitary vector \hat{k} . Contracting with the vectors \hat{k}_2 and \hat{k}_3 we get:

$$\hat{k}_{2m}\epsilon_{mli} = (\hat{k}_{2m}\epsilon_{mci}\hat{k}_{3l} + \epsilon_{cli}(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3) + \hat{k}_{2m}\epsilon_{mlc}\hat{k}_{3i})\hat{k}_{3c} \quad (\text{C.20})$$

$$\hat{k}_{3m}\epsilon_{mli} = (\hat{k}_{3m}\epsilon_{mci}\hat{k}_{2l} + \epsilon_{cli}(\hat{k}_2 \cdot \hat{k}_3) + \hat{k}_{3m}\epsilon_{mlc}\hat{k}_{2i})\hat{k}_{2c} \quad (\text{C.21})$$

which after subtracting and after some rearranging allows us to see that $T_{ij}^{(3)} = T_{ij}^{(4)}$.

References

- [1] I. Antoniadis, P. O. Mazur, and E. Mottola, *Conformal invariance and cosmic background radiation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 14–17, [[astro-ph/9611208](#)].
- [2] A. Strominger, *The dS / CFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 034, [[hep-th/0106113](#)].
- [3] J. M. Maldacena and G. L. Pimentel, *On graviton non-Gaussianities during inflation*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 045, [[arXiv:1104.2846](#)].
- [4] I. Antoniadis, P. O. Mazur, and E. Mottola, *Conformal Invariance, Dark Energy, and CMB Non-Gaussianity*, *JCAP* **1209** (2012) 024, [[arXiv:1103.4164](#)].
- [5] C. Coriano, L. Delle Rose, E. Mottola, and M. Serino, *Solving the Conformal Constraints for Scalar Operators in Momentum Space and the Evaluation of Feynman’s Master Integrals*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 011, [[arXiv:1304.6944](#)].
- [6] P. Creminelli, *Conformal invariance of scalar perturbations in inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 041302, [[arXiv:1108.0874](#)].
- [7] P. Creminelli, J. Noreña, and M. Simonović, *Conformal consistency relations for single-field inflation*, *JCAP* **1207** (2012) 052, [[arXiv:1203.4595](#)].
- [8] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *Operator Product Expansion of Inflationary Correlators and Conformal Symmetry of de Sitter*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B864** (2012) 492–529, [[arXiv:1205.1523](#)].
- [9] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *The Four-point Correlator in Multifield Inflation, the Operator Product Expansion and the Symmetries of de Sitter*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B868** (2013) 577–595, [[arXiv:1210.1918](#)].
- [10] M. Biagetti, A. Kehagias, E. Morgante, H. Perrier, and A. Riotto, *Symmetries of Vector Perturbations during the de Sitter Epoch*, *JCAP* **1307** (2013) 030, [[arXiv:1304.7785](#)].
- [11] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello, D. Jeong, and M. Kamionkowski, *Inflationary tensor fossils in large-scale structure*, *JCAP* **1412** (2014) 050, [[arXiv:1407.8204](#)].
- [12] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello, and M. Kamionkowski, *Imprints of Massive Primordial Fields on Large-Scale Structure*, *JCAP* **1602** (2016) 017, [[arXiv:1504.0599](#)].
- [13] O. H. Marcori and T. S. Pereira, *Two-point Correlation Functions in Inhomogeneous and Anisotropic Cosmologies*, *JCAP* **1702** (2017), no. 02 032, [[arXiv:1612.0199](#)].
- [14] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, *Symmetries and Consistency Relations in the Large Scale Structure of the Universe*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B873** (2013) 514–529, [[arXiv:1302.0130](#)].

- [15] M. Peloso and M. Pietroni, *Ward identities and consistency relations for the large scale structure with multiple species*, *JCAP* **1404** (2014) 011, [[arXiv:1310.7915](#)].
- [16] P. Creminelli, J. Noreña, M. Simonović, and F. Vernizzi, *Single-Field Consistency Relations of Large Scale Structure*, *JCAP* **1312** (2013) 025, [[arXiv:1309.3557](#)].
- [17] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XVI. Isotropy and statistics of the CMB*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A16, [[arXiv:1506.0713](#)].
- [18] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade et al., *Planck 2015 results. XVII. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A17, [[arXiv:1502.0159](#)].
- [19] L. Perivolaropoulos, *Large Scale Cosmological Anomalies and Inhomogeneous Dark Energy*, *Galaxies* **2** (2014) 22–61, [[arXiv:1401.5044](#)].
- [20] B. Himmetoglu, C. R. Contaldi, and M. Peloso, *Instability of anisotropic cosmological solutions supported by vector fields*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 111301, [[arXiv:0809.2779](#)].
- [21] B. Himmetoglu, C. R. Contaldi, and M. Peloso, *Instability of the ACW model, and problems with massive vectors during inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 063517, [[arXiv:0812.1231](#)].
- [22] B. Himmetoglu, C. R. Contaldi, and M. Peloso, *Ghost instabilities of cosmological models with vector fields nonminimally coupled to the curvature*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 123530, [[arXiv:0909.3524](#)].
- [23] R. Namba, E. Dimastrogiovanni, and M. Peloso, *Gauge-flation confronted with Planck*, *JCAP* **1311** (2013) 045, [[arXiv:1308.1366](#)].
- [24] P. Adshead, E. Martinec, and M. Wyman, *Perturbations in Chromo-Natural Inflation*, *JHEP* **1309** (2013) 087, [[arXiv:1305.2930](#)].
- [25] P. Adshead, E. Martinec, E. I. Sfakianakis, and M. Wyman, *Higgsed Chromo-Natural Inflation*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 137, [[arXiv:1609.0402](#)].
- [26] P. Adshead and E. I. Sfakianakis, *Higgsed Gauge-flation*, [[arXiv:1705.0302](#)].
- [27] E. Dimastrogiovanni, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, and A. Riotto, *Non-Gaussianity and Statistical Anisotropy from Vector Field Populated Inflationary Models*, *Adv.Astron.* **2010** (2010) 752670, [[arXiv:1001.4049](#)].
- [28] A. Maleknejad, M. Sheikh-Jabbari, and J. Soda, *Gauge Fields and Inflation*, *Phys.Rept.* **528** (2013) 161–261, [[arXiv:1212.2921](#)].
- [29] J. Soda, *Statistical Anisotropy from Anisotropic Inflation*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **29** (2012) 083001, [[arXiv:1201.6434](#)].
- [30] L. Ackerman, S. M. Carroll, and M. B. Wise, *Imprints of a Primordial Preferred Direction on the Microwave Background*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 083502, [[astro-ph/0701357](#)]. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D80**, 069901(2009)].
- [31] J. Kim and E. Komatsu, *Limits on anisotropic inflation from the Planck data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 101301, [[arXiv:1310.1605](#)].
- [32] B. Ratra, *Cosmological 'seed' magnetic field from inflation*, *Astrophys. J.* **391** (1992) L1–L4.
- [33] S. Yokoyama and J. Soda, *Primordial statistical anisotropy generated at the end of inflation*, *JCAP* **0808** (2008) 005, [[arXiv:0805.4265](#)].
- [34] M.-a. Watanabe, S. Kanno, and J. Soda, *Inflationary Universe with Anisotropic Hair*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 191302, [[arXiv:0902.2833](#)].
- [35] M.-a. Watanabe, S. Kanno, and J. Soda, *The Nature of Primordial Fluctuations from Anisotropic Inflation*, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **123** (2010) 1041–1068, [[arXiv:1003.0056](#)].
- [36] R. R. Caldwell, L. Motta, and M. Kamionkowski, *Correlation of inflation-produced magnetic fields with scalar fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 123525, [[arXiv:1109.4415](#)].

- [37] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, and A. Ricciardone, *The anisotropic power spectrum and bispectrum in the $f(\phi)F^2$ mechanism*, *Phys.Rev.* **D87** (2013) 023504, [[arXiv:1210.3257](#)].
- [38] C. Caprini and L. Sorbo, *Adding helicity to inflationary magnetogenesis*, *JCAP* **1410** (2014), no. 10 056, [[arXiv:1407.2809](#)].
- [39] C. Caprini, M. C. Guzzetti, and L. Sorbo, *Inflationary magnetogenesis with added helicity: constraints from non-gaussianities*, [arXiv:1707.0975](#).
- [40] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, and M. Shiraishi, *Parity-violating CMB correlators with non-decaying statistical anisotropy*, *JCAP* **1507** (2015), no. 07 039, [[arXiv:1505.0219](#)].
- [41] F. Bernardeau, S. Colombi, E. Gaztanaga, and R. Scoccimarro, *Large scale structure of the universe and cosmological perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **367** (2002) 1–248, [[astro-ph/0112551](#)].
- [42] P. H. Ginsparg, *APPLIED CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY*, in *Les Houches Summer School in Theoretical Physics: Fields, Strings, Critical Phenomena Les Houches, France, June 28-August 5, 1988*, 1988. [hep-th/9108028](#).
- [43] K. Dimopoulos, M. Karciauskas, and J. M. Wagstaff, *Vector Curvaton with varying Kinetic Function*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 023522, [[arXiv:0907.1838](#)].
- [44] K. Dimopoulos, M. Karciauskas, and J. M. Wagstaff, *Vector Curvaton without Instabilities*, *Phys.Lett.* **B683** (2010) 298–301, [[arXiv:0909.0475](#)].
- [45] J. M. Wagstaff and K. Dimopoulos, *Particle Production of Vector Fields: Scale Invariance is Attractive*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 023523, [[arXiv:1011.2517](#)].
- [46] N. Barnaby, R. Namba, and M. Peloso, *Phenomenology of a Pseudo-Scalar Inflaton: Naturally Large Nongaussianity*, *JCAP* **1104** (2011) 009, [[arXiv:1102.4333](#)].
- [47] L. Sorbo, *Parity violation in the Cosmic Microwave Background from a pseudoscalar inflaton*, *JCAP* **1106** (2011) 003, [[arXiv:1101.1525](#)].
- [48] K. Dimopoulos and M. Karciauskas, *Parity Violating Statistical Anisotropy*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 040, [[arXiv:1203.0230](#)].
- [49] M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, *Non-Gaussianities and chiral gravitational waves in natural steep inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 123537, [[arXiv:1203.5849](#)].
- [50] D. H. Lyth and M. Karciauskas, *The statistically anisotropic curvature perturbation generated by $f(\phi)^2 F^2$* , *JCAP* **1305** (2013) 011, [[arXiv:1302.7304](#)].
- [51] M. Shiraishi, A. Ricciardone, and S. Saga, *Parity violation in the CMB bispectrum by a rolling pseudoscalar*, *JCAP* **1311** (2013) 051, [[arXiv:1308.6769](#)].
- [52] J. L. Cook and L. Sorbo, *An inflationary model with small scalar and large tensor nongaussianities*, *JCAP* **1311** (2013) 047, [[arXiv:1307.7077](#)].
- [53] S. Nurmi and M. S. Sloth, *Constraints on Gauge Field Production during Inflation*, *JCAP* **1407** (2014) 012, [[arXiv:1312.4946](#)].
- [54] X. Chen, R. Emami, H. Firouzjahi, and Y. Wang, *The TT , TB , EB and BB correlations in anisotropic inflation*, *JCAP* **1408** (2014) 027, [[arXiv:1404.4083](#)].
- [55] R. Namba, M. Peloso, M. Shiraishi, L. Sorbo, and C. Unal, *Scale-dependent gravitational waves from a rolling axion*, *JCAP* **1601** (2016), no. 01 041, [[arXiv:1509.0752](#)].
- [56] J. Motoa-Manzano, J. P. Beltran Almeida, and C. A. Valenzuela-Toledo, *de Sitter symmetries and inflationary scalar-vector models*, *Univ. sci.* **21** (2016), no. 03 219.
- [57] L. Motta and R. R. Caldwell, *Non-Gaussian features of primordial magnetic fields in power-law inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 103532, [[arXiv:1203.1033](#)].

- [58] R. K. Jain and M. S. Sloth, *Consistency relation for cosmic magnetic fields*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 123528, [[arXiv:1207.4187](#)].
- [59] R. K. Jain and M. S. Sloth, *On the non-Gaussian correlation of the primordial curvature perturbation with vector fields*, *JCAP* **1302** (2013) 003, [[arXiv:1210.3461](#)].
- [60] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, *Cosmological Magnetic Fields: Their Generation, Evolution and Observation*, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21** (2013) 62, [[arXiv:1303.7121](#)].
- [61] P. Fleury, J. P. B. Almeida, C. Pitrou, and J.-P. Uzan, *On the stability and causality of scalar-vector theories*, *JCAP* **1411** (2014), no. 11 043, [[arXiv:1406.6254](#)].
- [62] A. Riotto, *Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations*, *ICTP Lect. Notes Ser.* **14** (2003) 317–413, [[hep-ph/0210162](#)].
- [63] M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, *Naturally inflating on steep potentials through electromagnetic dissipation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 043534, [[arXiv:0908.4089](#)].
- [64] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Dover, New York, USA, 1972.
- [65] “NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions.” <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.0.16 of 2017-09-18. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller and B. V. Saunders, eds.
- [66] T. R. Dulaney and M. I. Gresham, *Primordial Power Spectra from Anisotropic Inflation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 103532, [[arXiv:1001.2301](#)].
- [67] A. Gumrukcuoglu, B. Himmetoglu, and M. Peloso, *Scalar-Scalar, Scalar-Tensor, and Tensor-Tensor Correlators from Anisotropic Inflation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 063528, [[arXiv:1001.4088](#)].
- [68] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, and M. Shiraishi, *Parity-violating and anisotropic correlations in pseudoscalar inflation*, *JCAP* **1501** (2015), no. 01 027, [[arXiv:1411.2521](#)].
- [69] R. Z. Ferreira and M. S. Sloth, *Universal Constraints on Axions from Inflation*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 139, [[arXiv:1409.5799](#)].
- [70] R. Z. Ferreira, J. Ganc, J. Noreña, and M. S. Sloth, *On the validity of the perturbative description of axions during inflation*, *JCAP* **1604** (2016), no. 04 039, [[arXiv:1512.0611](#)]. [Erratum: *JCAP*1610,no.10,E01(2016)].
- [71] M. Peloso, L. Sorbo, and C. Unal, *Rolling axions during inflation: perturbativity and signatures*, *JCAP* **1609** (2016), no. 09 001, [[arXiv:1606.0045](#)].
- [72] A. Bzowski, P. McFadden, and K. Skenderis, *Implications of conformal invariance in momentum space*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 111, [[arXiv:1304.7760](#)].