

Approaching the Dark Sector through a bounding curvature criterion

X. Hernandez¹, R. A. Sussman² and L. Nasser³

¹ *Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70–264 C.P. 04510 México D.F. México.*

² *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70–543 C.P. 04510 México D.F., México.*

³ *Department of Science and Mathematics, Columbia College, Chicago, 1L 60605, USA.*

Released 13 September 2018

ABSTRACT

Understanding the observations of dynamical tracers and the trajectories of lensed photons at galactic scales within the context of General Relativity (GR), requires the introduction of a hypothetical dark matter dominant component. The onset of these gravitational anomalies, where the Schwarzschild solution no longer describes observations, closely corresponds to regions where accelerations drop below the characteristic a_0 acceleration of MOND, which occur at a well established mass-dependent radial distance, $R_c \propto (GM/a_0)^{1/2}$. At cosmological scales, inferred dynamics are also inconsistent with GR and the observed distribution of mass. The current accelerated expansion rate requires the introduction of a hypothetical dark energy dominant component. We here show that for a Schwarzschild metric at galactic scales, the scalar curvature, K , multiplied by (r^4/M) at the critical MOND transition radius, $r = R_c$, has an invariant value of $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M) = 28Ga_0/c^4$. Further, assuming this condition holds for $r > R_c$, is consistent with the full spacetime which under GR corresponds to a dominant isothermal dark matter halo, to within observational precision at galactic level. For a FLRW metric, this same constant bounding curvature condition yields for a spatially flat spacetime a cosmic expansion history which agrees with the Λ CDM empirical fit for recent epochs, and which similarly tends asymptotically to a de Sitter solution. Thus, a simple covariant purely geometric condition identifies the low acceleration regime of observed gravitational anomalies, and can be used to guide the development of extended gravity theories at both galactic and cosmological scales.

Key words: gravitation — galaxies: kinematics and dynamics — cosmology: theory

1 INTRODUCTION

Within GR, the gravitational anomalies detected at galactic scales are viewed as indirect evidence for a dominant dark matter halo. Such an approach is generally consistent with observations, and leads to the Λ CDM paradigm at galactic and cosmological scales. However, over the last years alone, LHC results eliminating simple super-symmetric candidates (CMS collaboration 2016), the astrophysical searches for dark matter annihilation signals being consistent with no dark matter signal (e.g. Fermi-LAT and DES collaborations 2016 searching for dark matter annihilation signals in local dwarf galaxies reporting results consistent with expected backgrounds), and various recent direct detection experiments returning only ever stricter exclusion limits (e.g. Yang et al. 2016 yielding no dark matter signal from the PANDAX-II experiment, ruling out previous claims, and Szydagis et al. 2016 for the LUX and LZ collaborations returning also zero dark matter signal), encourage the sus-

tained search for extended theories of gravity where the need for dark, undetected, hypothetical components might be eliminated.

The first such attempt to identify that 'dark matter' gravitational anomalies occur only (and always) at acceleration scales below a critical value was MOND, Milgrom (1983). Under MOND and MONDian theories, the principal features are a change in gravity for acceleration scales below $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} m/s^2$, where centrifugal equilibrium velocities (and velocity dispersion for pressure supported systems to within a factor of order unity) about a spherically symmetric mass M , become constant at a value of $V_{TF} = (GMa_0)^{1/4}$.

MONDian dynamics have been reported at scales of wide binary stars (Hernandez et al. 2012, Hernandez et al. 2018), Galactic globular clusters (e.g. Scarpa et al. 2003, Hernandez et al. 2017), local dwarf spheroidal galaxies (e.g. Hernandez et al. 2010, Lüghausen et al. 2014), elliptical galaxies (e.g. Jiménez et al. 2013, Tian & Ko 2016), spi-

galaxies (e.g. McGaugh & de Blok 1998, Lelli 2016) and the overall velocity dispersion profiles and radial acceleration relation across over 7 orders of magnitude in mass for galactic systems (e.g. Dabringhausen et al. 2016, Lelli et al. 2017, Durazo et al. 2018).

In MOND and similar theories (e.g. Bekenstein 2004, Moffat & Toth 2008, Zhao & Famaey 2010, Capozziello & De Laurentis 2011, Mendoza et al. 2013) the transition in regimes for gravity is generally introduced by hand, with no clear fundamental physical principle identified as the source of this regime transition. Further, the identification at low velocities of a critical transition acceleration as the MOND a_0 constant seems problematic in terms of constructing fundamental underlying covariant theories, where acceleration always appears as frame dependant. At cosmological scales, the numerical coincidence of $a_0 \approx cH_0$ also remains unexplained, with a relation between a_0 and the cosmological constant sometimes being suggested (e.g. Verlinde 2016). We here show that a purely geometric condition, the product of the Kretschmann curvature scalar and (r^4/M) having a fixed minimum value, identifies the transition to the low acceleration MONDian regime. Moreover, keeping this condition fixed beyond this transition is consistent with the empirically determined metric coefficients identified with a dominant dark matter halo under GR and Newtonian physics.

Lastly, it is remarkable that the same geometric condition imposed upon a FLRW flat universe yields an asymptotically de Sitter universe with an expansion rate accurately tracing the Λ CDM concordance fit for recent epochs. It is therefore suggested that the geometric condition presented here provides important clues as to the origin of the regime transition for gravity towards low accelerations, and must be satisfied by any extended theories of gravity attempting to explain observed gravitational anomalies in the absence of dark *ad hoc* entities.

Section (2) shows the invariance of the product of the Kretschmann scalar times (r^4/M) when evaluated at the MOND transition radius, $r = R_c$. Extending this $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M) = \text{const.}$ condition to $r > R_c$ under a spherically symmetric and static metric is shown to be consistent with the metric potentials required by rotation curve and lensing inferences, this time in the absence of any dark matter, in section (3). In section (4) we explore the cosmological implications of the constant bounding curvature criterion by applying it to a flat FLRW universe, and obtain an asymptotic de Sitter solution compatible with current observations. Our conclusions appear in section (5).

2 BOUNDING CURVATURE INVARIANCE AT THE MOND TRANSITION RADIUS FOR SCHWARZSCHILD SPACETIME

As is well known, the gravitational anomalies often attributed to the presence of a dominant dark matter halo at galactic scales, appear always (and only), in the low acceleration regime, where the acceleration drops below the critical MOND acceleration constant of $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-10} m/s^2$ e.g. Milgrom (1984), Famaey & McGaugh (2012). This occurs at a critical mass-dependent radius given by:

$$R_c = \alpha R_M = \alpha (GM/a_0)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where M is the total baryonic mass of a galactic system, $R_M = (GM/a_0)^{1/2}$ is the MOND radius of the system in question and α is a dimensionless number of order unity. In general, the baryonic mass has essentially converged by R_c , with any remaining baryonic matter distribution beyond being a very minor component usually treated as constituting test particles. In the high acceleration regime, where $a > a_0$, no gravitational anomalies appear beyond observational errors and uncertainties in inferred baryonic mass to light ratios. Hence, whenever $r < R_c$ the validity of GR is unchallenged. Thus, we can assume the validity of the Schwarzschild metric:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right)^{-1} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) - c^2 dt^2 \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 r}\right), \quad (2)$$

for the radial range $r < R_c$. Beyond this point, covariant extended theories of gravity attempting to explain observed dynamics of massive and massless particles in the absence of dark matter, impose a change in regime to some modified version of GR, e.g. TeVeS of Bekenstein (2004), $f(X)$ in Mendoza et al. (2013), conformal gravity in Mannheim & Kazanas (1994). Generally, this change in regime is introduced by hand, with no fundamental physical explanation for a change in regime for gravity at this mass-dependent radius of R_c . Relative exceptions are the recent works of McCulloch (2017) and Verlinde (2016) where however, it is the effect of a cosmological constant resulting from a quantum vacuum which leads to R_c . It is our interest to attempt a geometric explanation for the entirety of the dark sector, so explaining galactic dark matter as a result of cosmological dark energy appears unsatisfactory. It is interesting that a purely geometric invariant appears for all masses at R_c , as shown below.

We here attempt to construct a covariant description of the problem grounded on observations of galactic dynamics, rather than starting from proposing a covariant extension to GR from which testable dynamical consequences in the low velocity regime then follow, e.g. Capozziello et al. (2007). Thus, we present a complementary approach built on identifying curvature invariants associated with empirical inferences. The first choice might be the Ricci scalar, which however is of limited use as in the $R < R_c$ GR regime, it is zero for the Schwarzschild metric. We turn to the Kretschmann curvature scalar:

$$K = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, \quad (3)$$

a full contraction of the $R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ Riemann tensor, which under a Schwarzschild metric has a value given by:

$$K_S = 48 \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4 r^6}. \quad (4)$$

Notice that multiplying by r^4/M , and evaluating the resulting quantity at the critical radius of R_c , we obtain a constant value for all masses:

$$K_S (r^4/M) |_{r=R_c} = 48 \left(\frac{Ga_0}{\alpha^2 c^4} \right). \quad (5)$$

We see that a quantity which we shall henceforth refer to as bounding curvature, $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M)$, has a fixed value

for all masses at the transition point beyond which gravitational anomalies appear at galactic systems, the region where extended theories of gravity introduce modifications to GR. We see from equation (4) that κ_B decreases radially with r^{-2} within a Schwarzschild spacetime, until reaching always a fixed value at $r = R_c$, for all masses.

The existence of a second gravitational mass dependent scale radius (in addition to the Schwarzschild radius) is expected in the context of $f(R)$ extended theories of gravity. For example, in Capozziello & de Laurentis (2011) and Capozziello et al. (2017) it is shown that requiring the existence of Noether symmetries in a general $f(R)$ theory, implies the appearance of a second critical radius and an associated geometric invariant. If one further requires a MONDian weak field limit, this extra gravitational radius naturally yields R_c . Our approach is complementary, as we are showing explicitly what the geometric conserved quantity associated to R_c should be.

3 CONSISTENCY OF CONSTANT BOUNDING CURVATURE WITH INFERRED SPACETIME BEYOND R_M AT GALACTIC SCALES

We now explore the extension of the $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M)$ condition to the radial range $r > R_c$, where isothermal dark matter halos are invoked to explain observed dynamics and lensing observations under GR. Working under a general spherically symmetric and static metric,

$$ds^2 = B(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) - A(r)c^2 dt^2. \quad (6)$$

The Kretschmann scalar curvature is now given by the following expression:

$$K_{SSS} = \frac{A_{r,r}}{(AB)^3} [A_{r,r}AB - A_rAB_r - A_r^2B] + \frac{A_r^2}{4(AB)^4} \left[B_r^2A^2 + 2A_rB_rAB + (A_rB)^2 + 8\left(\frac{AB}{r}\right)^2 \right] + \frac{2}{(Br)^4} [(B_r r)^2 + 2B^4 - 4B^3 + 2B^2]. \quad (7)$$

The consistency of the constant bounding curvature condition in the 'dark halo' region can be tested by introducing the metric potentials of the isothermal dark matter halo into the above expression. These are:

$$A = 1 + 2\beta^2 \ln(r/R_M) \simeq (r/R_M)^{2\beta^2}, \quad B = 1 + 2\beta^2, \quad (8)$$

where $\beta = V_{TF}/c$, $V_{TF} = (GMa_0)^{1/4}$ the Tully-Fisher flat asymptotic galactic rotation curve of a system of baryonic mass M e.g. Misner, Thorne & Wheeler (1973) section (25.7), Campigotto et al. (2016).

The above empirical metric potentials reduce equation(7) above to:

$$K_{SSS} = 28 \left(\frac{\beta}{r}\right)^4 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^6/r^4). \quad (9)$$

As in the galactic case $V_{TF} \lesssim 300 \text{ km/s}$, β will always be $\lesssim 10^{-3}$. Therefore, the corrections to the leading term in equation (9) will be at most 10^{-6} times smaller than the leading one. Hence, dropping terms of order β^6 and higher,




Figure 1. The figure shows schematically the $\kappa \propto M/r^2$ scaling over the approximately Schwarzschild region of galaxies, such that on reaching $r = R_c = 2(3GM/7a_0)^{1/2}$ for different galaxies with masses ($M_1 < M_2 < M_3$), a fixed value of κ_B results. Demanding this fixed value remains constant for $r > R_c$ yields a spacetime consistent with the isothermal dark matter halos inferred under GR to extend around observed galaxies.

multiplying the above by r^4/M , the constant bounding curvature condition $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M)$ for the spacetime generally identified with hypothetical isothermal dark matter halos reads:

$$\kappa_B = 28 \frac{(Ga_0)}{c^4}, \quad (10)$$

which will be identical to the value of this parameter at the critical radius for transition towards the modified regime of eq.(5) provided:

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{48}{28}\right)^{1/2} = 2 \left(\frac{3}{7}\right)^{1/2} = 1.309. \quad (11)$$

Figure (1) gives an schematic representation of the results discussed so far; within the approximately Schwarzschild region κ_B scales as $\propto (M/r^2)$, such that on reaching the critical transition radius of $R_c = 2(3/7)^{1/2}R_M = 2(3/7)^{1/2}(GM/a_0)^{1/2}$ relevant for each galaxy, κ_B has always the same constant value. We see that the spherically symmetric and static spacetime of the isothermal dark matter halos inferred under GR from observed kinematics and lensing observations of galaxies, is consistent with a fixed value of κ_B beyond R_c .

Thus, a purely geometric quantity with units of M^{-1} appears, which falls with radius until reaching a minimum value at R_c , at which it remains fixed, at least for the region currently sampled by kinematic and lensing galactic observations. The scales over which this ideas apply can be extended to galaxy cluster scales, through comparing with the results of Capozziello et al. (2009) and Capozziello et al. (2018), where the existence of a critical radius of order R_c leading to a full description of dynamics in galaxy clusters within a $f(R)$ framework, is presented.

We see that through the geometric condition $\kappa_B = 28Ga_0/c^4$ the acceleration constant of MOND can be de-

fined in terms of a purely geometric condition for a critical value of κ_B having units of kg^{-1} . Also, it is easy to show through dimensional analysis that any other product of powers of K , r and M which satisfies having both a constant value at $r = R_c$ and that same fixed value consistent with equation (8) for $r > R_c$, will necessarily be a power of the condition introduced, for which we keep $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M)$.

4 CONSISTENCY OF CONSTANT BOUNDING CURVATURE WITH INFERRED SPACETIME AT COSMOLOGICAL LEVEL

We now test the bounding curvature criterion presented above in a cosmological context, where matter-energy sources at sufficiently large scales are described as perturbations on a homogeneous and isotropic FLRW background whose metric is given by:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 \left(\frac{a^2(t)}{1 - kr^2} \right) + a^2(t)r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) - c^2 dt^2. \quad (12)$$

The Kretschmann invariant now becomes:

$$K_F = \frac{12}{(ca)^4} [(a\ddot{a})^2 + (k - \dot{a}^2)^2], \quad (13)$$

where dot denotes temporal derivatives. Taking a spatially flat universe $k = 0$ yields,

$$K_F = \frac{12}{c^4} [(\dot{H} + H^2)^2 + H^4] \quad (14)$$

where we have introduced the Hubble scalar, $H = \dot{a}/a$. We now multiply the expression for K_F above by (R_F^4/M) , where we take M , assumed as a constant, as the mass within a sphere of radius (c/H_0) and density $0.04\rho_c$, with $\rho_c = 3H_0^2/(8\pi G)$, i.e., the locally inferred total baryonic matter density of the universe. R_F now becomes the natural characteristic length-scale furnished by the Hubble radius $\gamma(c/H)$, with γ a dimensionless constant expected to be of order unity, and which includes all our uncertainty in going from the spherically symmetric and static spacetime of galactic dynamics, to the homogeneous, isotropic and dynamic case of a FLRW universe. This yields:

$$\kappa_B = \frac{24\gamma^4 GH_0}{0.04c^3} \left[\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 1 \right)^2 + 1 \right]. \quad (15)$$

Notice that in using only the Hubble scalar, c/H and c/H_0 in the estimates of characteristic radius and mass of the system, the geometric condition of equation (10) has become a fully covariant expression in equation (15). The constant bounding curvature condition $\kappa_B = 28Ga_0/c^4$ in the cosmological context becomes:

$$\left[\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + 1 \right)^2 + 1 \right] = \frac{8 \times 10^{-3}}{\gamma^4}, \quad (16)$$

where we have used the well known empirical numerical relation $cH_{70} = 5.83a_0$ (e.g. Milgrom 2002). The above equation can be readily integrated to yield:

$$\frac{H}{H_0} = [1 + X^\pm(T - T_0)]^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

$$a(T) = [X^\pm(T - T_0 + 1)]^{1/X^\pm}. \quad (18)$$




Figure 2. The figure shows the recent cosmological expansion history through the Hubble constant in units of its present value, as a function of the scale factor. The upper curve to the right of the plot gives the Λ CDM cosmology, while the lower one to the right of the plot shows the exact analytical results for equation (17), the constant bounding curvature condition imposed upon a spatially flat FLRW universe.

In the above $T = tH_0$, $T_0 = t_0H_0$ and $X^\pm = 1 \pm (8 \times 10^{-3}/\gamma^4 - 1)^{1/2}$, where the present time is denoted by t_0 . From eq.(17) we see that imposing the condition $\kappa_B = 28Ga_0/c^4$ on a flat FLRW universe results in an asymptotically de Sitter universe with one free parameter, γ , the scaling between c/H and the relevant scale radius for the system.

We can now try to find an optimal value for γ by comparing equations (17) and (18) to the empirically inferred expansion history of the concordance Λ CDM universe, this last given by:

$$a(t) = \left(\frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_\Lambda} \right)^{1/3} \left[\sinh \left(\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda} H_0 t \right) \right]^{2/3}, \quad (19)$$

for parameters $\Omega_M = 0.3$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. The corresponding expansion history is plotted in figure (2) as a function of time in units of $T = H_0 t$, upper curve to the right of the plot. The other curve in the figure is a solution to equations (17) and (18) for $\gamma = 0.2935$ and taking the + sign, i.e., a very reasonable choice of characteristic radius of $R_F = 0.2935(c/H)$. We see that plotting both in terms of their respective H/H_0 values, by construction, both curves meet at $a = 1$. However, it is extremely encouraging of the ideas presented, that over the whole $1/2 < a < 1$ range plotted, both curves remain within 5% of each other. As present uncertainties in the determination of H_0 are of this order, and since the value of $H(a)$ is necessarily less well constrained at higher redshifts, it is clear that the model presented reproduces the observed recent accelerated expansion history of the universe, in this case, as a result of a fully covariant geometric condition, and without invoking dark energy. Thus, an alternative theory of gravity that incorporates the geometric condition (17) would imply a conceptually different approach to cosmic dynamics not requiring any hypothetical dark energy components to yield a recent accelerated expansion phase.

It might appear counter intuitive that the same condition which allows to explain observed rotation curves in the absence of dark matter should also yield cosmic accelerated expansion in the absence of dark energy, since at a naive zero order level, galactic dark matter provides “extra attractive” gravity, while cosmological dark energy leads to “repulsive” gravity. The answer lies in considering the very different boundary and symmetry conditions of both limits; galactic rotation curves arise in bound systems that are approximately isolated and well described by spherically symmetric and static metrics that are approximately asymptotically flat, and therefore where one can identify a well defined centre towards which gravity pulls, and thus, extra gravity leads to more pull. At cosmological scales matter–energy can no longer be described as stationary near asymptotically flat localised sources, but rather as close to homogeneous patches that expand isotropically and are all statistically equivalent, thus together approximating an FLRW model. All observers see others receding, being pulled away faster in all directions by the same geometric modification to gravity, yielding a different effect for the case of a very distinct assumed symmetry.

This is in fact what has been shown to occur with some $f(\chi)$ extended gravity options tailored to reproduce observed galactic dynamics in the absence of dark matter (Mendoza et al. 2013), which also yield agreement with the observed SN Hubble plot (Carranza et al. 2013). Both metrics treated here are idealisations, we have shown that the proposed geometric condition is consistent with empirical inferences for spacetimes at both limits. Indeed, it is tempting to speculate that the covariant geometric $\kappa_B = \text{const.}$ condition might arise from some more fundamental holographic or entropic criterion, and perhaps form the basis of a more complete extended gravity.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that for a Schwarzschild metric, the mass dependant MOND transition radius corresponds to an invariant purely geometric condition given by the bounding curvature $\kappa_B = K(r^4/M) = 28Ga_0/c^4$, with κ_B having units of kg^{-1} . This hence identifies the specific geometric invariant associated to R_c , once this length scale becomes the second mass dependent gravitational radius which arises in $f(R)$ theories having imposed a Noether symmetry, e.g. Capozziello et al. (2017). Thus, the often mentioned incompatibility of the MOND ‘acceleration scale’ with covariant frameworks is in fact not present.

Assuming a spherically symmetric and static metric for $r > R_c$ and imposing $\kappa_B = \text{const.}$, is compatible with the empirically determined metric potentials usually interpreted as evidence for a dominant dark matter halo, without the need of any dark matter.

Imposing the $\kappa_B = 28Ga_0/c^4$ condition on a flat FLRW metric results in an asymptotic de Sitter solution which for recent epochs ($z < 1$) accurately traces the accelerated concordance Λ CDM empirical fit to better than 5% without the need of invoking any dark energy.

The condition found can be used as a necessary restriction on extended theories of gravity seeking to explain the observed gravitational anomalies in absence of hypothetical

and undetected dark matter and dark energy components. Indeed one can speculate that a fixed minimum κ_B principle could constitute the basis of a covariant modified theory of gravity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

XH acknowledges support from DGAPA-UNAM PAPIIT IN-104517 and CONACyT, and RAS acknowledges support from CONACyT 239639 and PAPIIT-DGAPA RR107015.

REFERENCES

- Bekenstein J. D., 2004, *Phys. Rev. D*, 70, 083509
- Brimouille F., Seitz S., Lerchster M., Bender R. & Snigula J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 1046
- Campigotto M. C., Diaferio A., Hernandez X. & Fatibene L., 2016, preprint arXiv:1612.01535
- Capozziello S., Cardone V.F. & Troisi A., 2007, *MNRAS*, 375, 1423
- Capozziello S., de Filippis E. & Salzano V., 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 947
- Capozziello S. & de Laurentis M. 2011, *Phys. Rep.*, 509, 16 7
- Capozziello S., Jovanovic P., Borka Jovanovic V. & Borka D., 2017, *JCAP*, 06, 044
- Capozziello S., Faizal M., Hameeda M., Pourhassan B., Salzano V. & Upadhyay S., 2018, *MNRAS*, 474, 2430
- Carranza D. A., Mendoza S. & Torres L. A., 2013, *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 73, 2282
- Dabringhausen, J., Kroupa, P., Famaey, B. & Fellhauer, M. 2016, *MNRAS*, 463, 186
- de Felice A., Nesseris S. & Tsujikawa S., 2012, *JCAP*, 05, 029
- Durazo R., Hernandez X., Cervantes Sodi B. & Sanchez S. F., 2017, *ApJ*, 863, 107
- Famaey B. & McGaugh S.S., 2012, *LRR*, 15, 10
- Hernandez X., Mendoza S., Suarez T. & Bernal T., 2010, *A&A*, 514, 101
- Hernandez X., Jiménez M. A. & Allen C., 2012, *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 72, 1884
- Hernandez X., Cortes R. A. M. & Scarpa R., 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 2930
- Hernandez X., Cortes R. A. M., Allen C. & Scarpa, R., 2018, arXiv:1810.08696
- Jiménez, M. A., Garcia, G., Hernandez, X. & Nasser, L. 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 142
- Lelli F., McGaugh S. S. & Schombert J. M., 2016, *AJ*, 152, 157
- Lelli, F., McGaugh, S. S., Schombert, J. M. & Pawlowski, M. S. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 152
- Lüghausen F., Famaey B. & Kroupa P., 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 2497
- Mannheim P.D. & Kazanas D., 1994, *GReGr*, 26, 337
- McCulloch M., 2017, *Ap&SS*, 362, 57
- McGaugh S. S. & de Blok W. J. G., 1998, *ApJ*, 499, 66
- Mendoza S., Bernal T., Hernandez X., Hidalgo J. C. & Torres L. A., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 1802
- Milgrom, M. 1983, *ApJ*, 270, 365
- Milgrom, M. 1984, *ApJ*, 287, 571
- Milgrom M., 2002, *New Astron. Rev.*, 46, 741
- Misner C.W., Thorne K.S. & Wheeler J.A., 1973, *Gravitation*, (W.H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco)
- Moffat, J. W., & Toth, V. T. 2008, *ApJ*, 680, 1158
- Jassal H. K., Bagla J. S. & Padmanabhan T., 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, L11
- Postnikov S., Dainotti M. G., Hernandez X. & Capozziello S., 2014, *ApJ*, 783, 126
- Scarpa R., Marconi G. & Gilmozzi R., 2003, *A&A*, 405, L15

Tian, Y. & Ko, C.-M. 2016, MNRAS, 462, 1092

Verlinde, E., 2016, preprint arXiv:1611.02269

Zhao, H. & Famaey, B. 2010, PhRvD, 81, 087304