

# Adaptive Neural Control for a Class of Stochastic Nonlinear Systems with Unknown Parameters, Unknown Nonlinear Functions and Stochastic Disturbances

Chao-Yang Chen<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Wei-Hua Gui<sup>b</sup>, Zhi-Hong Guan<sup>c</sup>, Ru-Liang Wang<sup>d</sup>, Shao-Wu Zhou<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Information and Electrical Engineering, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan, 411201, P.R. China*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Information Science and Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, 410012, P.R. China*

<sup>c</sup>*College of Automation, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, P. R. China*

<sup>d</sup>*Computer and Information Engineering College, Guangxi Teachers Education University, Nanning, 530001, P.R. China*

## Abstract

In this paper, adaptive neural control (ANC) is investigated for a class of strict-feedback nonlinear stochastic systems with unknown parameters, unknown nonlinear functions and stochastic disturbances. The new controller of adaptive neural network with state feedback is presented by using a universal approximation of radial basis function neural network and backstepping. An adaptive neural network state-feedback controller is designed by constructing a suitable Lyapunov function. Adaptive bounding design technique is used to deal with the unknown nonlinear functions and unknown parameters. It is shown that, the global asymptotically stable in probability can be achieved for the closed-loop system. The simulation results are presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy in the presence of unknown parameters, unknown nonlinear functions and stochastic disturbances.

**Keywords:** Unknown parameters, stochastic disturbances, unknown nonlinear functions, stochastic nonlinear, adaptive neural control.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of the robust control system design for nonlinear systems has attracted extensive attention. Lots of significant developments have been obtained [1–12], and interesting results of adaptive nonlinear control have been ever-increasing. Adaptive backstepping as a powerful method has a large number of applied research about synthesizing controllers for lower-triangular nonlinear systems. Backstepping design technique is one of the methods to design nonlinear system by structuring intermediate laws and Lyapunov functions step by step. It has obtained a large number of successful applications in nonlinear control. Such as [13–15].

Adaptive control is an important branch of robust control. Noticeably, due to neural network control and fuzzy logic control have a good approximation ability over a compact domain, they are very suitable for handle highly uncertain and nonlinear system, and they have become an important part of adaptive control and a lot of research has been obtained, such as [16–18, 20]. In the early development stage of neural network control schemes, the control schemes that derive parameter adaptive law in off-line environments was usually used [21], which can perform well in some simple cases, but the stability, robustness and performance of nonlinear systems have few systematic analytical methods. In order to avoid the above problems, the reference [19] has obtain some adaptive neural control schemes based on Lyapunov's stability theory.

Stochastic nonlinear modelling has come to play an important role in many areas of industry, science and technology. After the success of systematic control design for deterministic nonlinear systems, how to extend this technique to the case of stochastic nonlinear systems has been an open research area [22]–[30]. Therefore, it is a challenging and meaningful issue for the stability analysis and control design of nonlinear stochastic systems, and have attracted more and more scholars' attention in recent years. The main technical obstacle in the Lyapunov function design for stochastic nonlinear systems is that the gradient and the higher order Hessian term are involved *Itô* stochastic differentiation. In [22, 23], strict-feedback stochastic system is studied for the first time using a backstepping design. [24] tried to extend the results in [22], a class stochastic nonlinear systems with time-delay is investigated. By using the quadratic Lyapunov function, [25, 26] studied the stabilization problem of stochastic nonlinear systems. In [25], a class of stochastic nonholonomic system has investigated, and adaptive stabilization by state-feedback is resolved. For linear LTI SISO plants, [27] proposed a modified adaptive backstepping control. In [24], a class of stochastic nonlinear systems were investigated via output feedback, linearly bounded unmeasurable states are involved in nonlinear function. By an adaptive neural control (ANC) scheme, [38] studied a class of non-affine pure feedback stochastic nonlinear system. It is shown that all the signals involved are semi-globally uniformly ultimately bounded under the action of the developed controller. A simplified adaptive backstepping neural control (ABNC) strategies are proposed for a class of uncertain strict-feedback non-

\*Corresponding author.

Email address: [cychen@hnust.edu.cn](mailto:cychen@hnust.edu.cn) (Chao-Yang Chen)

linear systems in [42]. In [44], a class of stochastic non-strict-feedback stochastic nonlinear system is studied. However, the system functions must be monotonously increasing and it should be bounded, which is a stricter assumption because it is difficult to confirm a bounded and monotonously increasing unknown function. In [45], these constraints that bounded and monotonously increasing for nonlinear functions are relaxed, but it is unavailable when the stochastic system with unknown parameters and unknown disturbances. And then, a series of studies on stochastic systems are carried out [28–30].

At the same time, the ANNC method has been many successful applications for some unknown nonlinear systems, such as adaptive output-feedback control [31–33], pure-feedback [34–37] and so on. In ANNC, the neural network is often used to online approximate unknown nonlinearity owing to their inherent approximation capabilities. Motivated by the above observations, this work focuses on the feedback nonlinear stochastic systems with unknown parameters, unknown nonlinear functions and stochastic disturbances, using the adaptive neural control method. By using backstepping method, an adaptive neural controller is designed. The proposed adaptive neural controller guarantees that all the signals in the closed-loop are bounded. Partial results of this paper have been presented in [39].

In this paper, the main contributions in this paper are as follows: (i) The new controller of adaptive neural network with state feedback is presented by using a universal approximation of radial basis function neural network and backstepping for a class of stochastic nonlinear systems. Compared with the results in [46, 47], the gain function for virtual control signal  $x_{i+1}$  is constant 1. In this work, it is extended to a function of the partial state variables. Therefore, the systems in [46, 47] are special situations of the system considered for this work. (ii) Corresponding model is more universal than references [38, 42, 45]. The unknown disturbances and unknown nonlinear functions are considered. Adaptive bounding design technique is used to deal with the unknown parameters and unknown nonlinear functions. The upper bound of the disturbances is not necessary to know in the design of the adaptive controller. When the derivatives of the state are available for feedback, the designed adaptive controller can be guarantee that all the signals of the closed-loop system are bounded; (iii) A universal type adaptive feedback controller is designed, which globally regulates all the states of the uncertain system while keeping boundedness of all the states to guarantee global asymptotically stable in probability. The main advantage of the proposed control scheme is that the all closed-loop signals are guaranteed to be globally bounded. However, many existing adaptive backstepping neural control approaches (e.g., see [16–19, 27, 32, 33, 36–38, 40, 41]) can only guarantee semi-globally bounded for all the closed-loop signals.

## 2. Problem formulation and preliminaries

### 2.1. Plant dynamics

In this section, we recall the basic background knowledge concerning the stability properties of stochastic systems.

Consider the following stochastic nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} dx_i &= (g_i(\bar{x}_i)x_{i+1} + \theta_i^* \psi_i^*(\bar{x}_i) + f_i(\bar{x}_i) + \Delta_i(x, t))dt \\ &\quad + \phi_i^T(\bar{x}_i)d\omega \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ dx_n &= (g_n(\bar{x}_n)u + \theta_n^* \psi_n^*(\bar{x}_n) + f_n(\bar{x}_n) + \Delta_n(x, t))dt \\ &\quad + \phi_n^T(\bar{x}_n)d\omega, \\ y(t) &= x_1(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T \in R^n$  is the state vector with initial value  $x(0)$ ,  $\bar{x}_i = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i]$ ;  $u \in R$  is the control input and  $y \in R$  is the system output, respectively;  $f_i(\cdot) \in R$  and  $\psi_i^*(\cdot) \in R^{q_i}$ ,  $\psi_i^*(0) = 0$  are unknown smooth functions with  $f_i(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi_i^*(0) = 0$ ;  $g_i(\cdot) \in R$ ,  $g_i(\cdot) \neq 0$  and  $\phi_i(\cdot) \in R^r$  are known smooth functions,  $\theta_i^* \in R^{q_i}$  are unknown bounded parameters,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $\Delta_i(x, t)$  is unknown disturbances.  $\omega$  is an independent  $r$ -dimensional standard Wiener process and  $\Delta_i(x, t)$  satisfy the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** [40] For unknown disturbances  $\Delta_i(x, t)$  and  $\forall(t, x) \in R^+ \times R^n$ , we have

$$|\Delta_i(x, t)| \leq p_i^* \Phi_i^*(\bar{x}_i) \quad (2)$$

where  $p_i^* \geq 0$  and  $\Phi_i(\bar{x}_i)$  are some unknown parameter values and known smooth functions, respectively. And,  $\Phi_i^*(0) = 0$ , we define  $p_i^*$  is the smallest nonnegative constant such that (2) is satisfied.

To simplify the notation, we let  $\theta = [\theta_1^{*T}, \dots, \theta_n^{*T}]^T \in R^q$ , where  $q := \sum_i q_i$ . Therefore, we can rewrite the system (1) as in following form:

$$\begin{aligned} dx_i &= (g_i(\bar{x}_i)x_{i+1} + \theta^T \Psi_i(\bar{x}_i) + f_i(\bar{x}_i) + \Delta_i(x, t))dt \\ &\quad + \phi_i^T(\bar{x}_i)d\omega \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ dx_n &= (g_n(\bar{x}_n)u + \theta^T \Psi_n(\bar{x}_n) + f_n(\bar{x}_n) + \Delta_n(x, t))dt \\ &\quad + \phi_n^T(\bar{x}_n)d\omega, \\ y(t) &= x_1(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where each  $\Psi_i : R^i \mapsto R^q$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i &= [\Psi_{i1}, \Psi_{i2}, \dots, \Psi_{in}] \\ &= [0_1^T, \dots, 0_{i-1}^T, \psi_i^*, 0_{i+1}^T, \dots, 0_n^T]^T \end{aligned}$$

with  $0_i := [0, \dots, 0]^T \in R_i^q$ .

**Assumption 2.** For unknown functions  $\Psi_{ij}(\bar{x}_i)$  and  $\forall x \in R^n$ , we have

$$|\Psi_{ij}(\bar{x}_i)| \leq b_{ij}^* \varphi_{ij}^*(\bar{x}_i) \quad (4)$$

where  $\varphi_i^* = [\varphi_{i,1}^*, \varphi_{i,2}^*, \dots, \varphi_{i,nq}^*]^T$ ,  $\varphi_i^*(0) = 0$ . And  $b_{ij}^* \geq 0$ , is unknown parameter values and  $\varphi_{ij}^*(\bar{x}_i)$  is known smooth functions, respectively.  $b_{ij}^*$  is defined as the smallest nonnegative constant such that (2) is satisfied.

**Remark 1.** Consider the system (3) can not satisfy either the linear parametrization conditions, such as following system

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1 &= ((x_1^2 + 1)x_1 x_2 + \theta_1 x_1^2 + \theta_3 \sin(t\theta_4 x_2))dt + x_1 d\omega, \\ dx_2 &= (e^{x_2} u + \theta_1 x_2^2 + \theta_2 e^{x_1} + (\theta_4 + \theta_3 \sin x_1)x_2^2) + e^{-x_2} dt, \\ y(t) &= x_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

It can be put in the form (2) by letting

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x_1^2 + 1, g_2 = e^{-x_2}, \quad \theta = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2]^T, \\ f_1 &= 0, f_2 = e^{-x_2}, \quad \phi_1 = x_1, \phi_2 = 0, \\ \Psi_1 &= [x_1^2 \ 0]^T, \quad \Psi_2 = [x_2^2 \ e^{x_1}]^T, \\ \Delta_1 &= \theta_3 \sin(t\theta_4 x_2), \quad \Delta_2 = (\theta_4 + \theta_3 \sin x_1)x_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds on  $|\Delta_1| \leq p_1^*, |\Delta_2| \leq p_2^* x_2^2$ , where

$$p_1 := |\theta_3|, p_2 := |\theta_3| + |\theta_4|.$$

**Definition 1.** [48, 49] If for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{x(0) \rightarrow 0} P \left\{ \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0,$$

and for any initial condition  $x(0)$ , we have  $P \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0 \right\} = 1$ , then we claim that the solution  $\{x(t) = 0\}$  of system (3) is asymptotically stable in the large.

**Definition 2.** [49] If

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} P \left\{ \|x(t)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0.$$

The solution process  $\{x(t), t \geq 0\}$  of system (3) is said to be bounded in probability.

Denote  $L$  with

$$LV(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} f + \frac{1}{2} Tr \left\{ g^T \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} g \right\}, \quad (5)$$

the infinitesimal generator of the solution of stochastic system (3) for any  $V(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 1.** [41] For system (3), if there exists a positive definite radial unbounded Lyapunov functions  $V_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$  and  $V_2(\theta) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R})$ , and  $V(x, \theta) = V_1(x) + V_2(\theta)$  satisfying

$$LV(x) \leq -\lambda V(x) + K, \quad (6)$$

where constants  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $K \geq 0$ , then, the stochastic system (3) is globe bounded stable in probability.

**Lemma 2.** [43] For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following inequality holds

$$0 \leq |u| - u \tanh(u/\varepsilon) \leq \delta \varepsilon,$$

where  $\delta = 0.2785$ .

## 2.2. RBFNN Approximation

In this work, the RBFNN is used to approximate a nonlinear continuous function. The continuous function  $Q(Z) : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$Q_m(Z, W) = W^T S(Z), \quad (7)$$

where  $Z \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^q$  and,

$$W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_l]^T \in \mathbb{R}^l,$$

are the input vector and weight vector, respectively. Denote the number of nodes in the neural network is  $l > 1$ ; and

$$S(Z) = [s_1(Z), \dots, s_l(Z)]^T,$$

with  $s_i(Z)$  being chosen as the following form Gaussian functions

$$s_i(Z) = \exp \left[ \frac{-(Z - \mu_i)^T (Z - \mu_i)}{\eta_i^2} \right], \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, l)$$

where  $\mu_i = [\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \dots, \mu_{iq}]^T$  and  $\eta$  represent the center of the receptive field and the width of the Gaussian function, respectively. It is well known that neural network (7) can approximate any continuous function defined on a compact set  $\Omega_Z \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ , furthermore, the neural network can be given by

$$Q(Z) = Q_m(Z, W^*) + \varepsilon(Z) \quad \forall Z \in \Omega_Z, \quad (8)$$

where  $W^*$  is the ideal neural network weights and  $|\varepsilon(Z)| \leq \varepsilon^*$  is the neural network approximation error.  $W^*$  is ideal constant weights, and for all  $Z \in \Omega_Z$ , there exist constant  $\varepsilon^* > 0$  such that  $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon^*$ . Moreover,  $W^*$  is bounded by  $\|W^*\| \leq w_m$  on the compact set  $\Omega_Z$ , where  $w_m$  is a positive constant.

$W^*$  is usually unknown and need to be estimated in function approximation.  $W^*$  is defined as follows:

$$W^* = \arg \min_{(W)} \left[ \sup_{Z \in \Omega_Z} |Q_m(Z, W) - Q(Z)| \right],$$

which is unknown and needs to be estimated in control design. Let  $\hat{W}$  be the estimate of  $W^*$ , and let  $\tilde{W} = \hat{W} - W^*$  be the weight estimation error.

## 3. Adaptive control design and stability analysis

In this section, adaptive control design is given by backstepping design, and there contain  $n$  steps in backstepping design procedure. At each step, an intermediate control function  $\alpha_i(t)$  shall be developed using an appropriate Lyapunov function  $V_i(t)$ . The design of both the control laws and the adaptive laws are based on the following change of coordinates:

$$z_1 = x_1, z_i = x_i - \alpha_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

where  $\alpha_i(t)$  is an intermediate control. And, the system controller  $u(t)$  will be designed in the last step to stabilize the entire closed-loop system.

Step 1: The Lyapunov function candidate is consider as

$$V_1 = \frac{z_1^4}{4} + \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}_1^T \Gamma_{\vartheta_1}^{-1} \tilde{\vartheta}_1}{2} + \frac{\tilde{p}_1^T \Gamma_{p_1} \tilde{p}_1}{2} + \frac{\tilde{W}_1^T \Gamma_{w_1}^{-1} \tilde{W}_1}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_1^2}{2\gamma_{\varepsilon_1}}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\Gamma_{\vartheta_1}^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{w_1}$  are symmetric positive definite matrices,  $\Gamma_{p_1} \leq 0, \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1} \leq 0$ . Let  $\hat{\vartheta}_i$  is the  $i$ th times estimate of  $\vartheta$ , for clarify in every step, denote  $\vartheta_i = \vartheta$  for the  $i$ th step. In order to facilitate of analysis, we denote  $g_i(\bar{x}_i(t)) = g_i$ , others may be deduced by analogy. Then, we have

$$dz_1 = (g_1(z_2 + \alpha_1) + \theta_1^T \Psi_1 + f_1 + \Delta_1(x, t))dt + \phi_1^T d\omega, \quad (11)$$

Let  $\Lambda_1 = \Delta_1, p_1 = p_1^*, \Phi_1 = \Phi_1^*, \varphi_1 = \varphi_1^*$ . By using (9) and (11), we have

$$dz_1 = (g_1(z_2 + \alpha_1) + \theta_1^T \Psi_1 + f_1 + \Lambda_1(x, t))dt + \phi_1^T d\omega. \quad (12)$$

Note (5)(10) and (12), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &= z_1^3(g_1(z_2 + \alpha_1) + \theta_1^T \Psi_1 + f_1 + \Lambda_1(x, t)) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma_{\theta_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{\theta}} - \tilde{p}_1^T \Gamma_{p_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{p}}_1 - \gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1^T \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 - \tilde{W}_1^T \Gamma_{w_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{W}}_1 \\ &\quad + 3z_1^2 \phi_1^T \phi_1 / 2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let  $Q_1(Z_1) = f_1$ . Applying Yong's inequalities, we have

$$3z_1^2 \phi_1^T \phi_1 / 2 \leq \frac{3\varepsilon_{11}}{4} + \frac{3z_1^4}{4\varepsilon_{11}} \|\phi_1\|^4, \quad (14)$$

$$g_1 z_1^3 z_2 \leq \frac{3}{4} g_1^{4/3} z_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4, \quad (15)$$

and applying Assumption (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1^T \Psi_1 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^q |\theta_{1k}| \varphi_{1k}^* \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^q |\theta_{1k}| b_{1k} \varphi_{1k}^* \\ &\leq \vartheta_1^T \varphi_1^* \\ &= \vartheta_1^T \varphi_1 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\vartheta_1 = [|\theta_{11}|b_{11}, \dots, |\theta_{1q}|b_{1q}]^T, \varphi_1^* = [\varphi_{11}^*, \dots, \varphi_{1q}^*]^T.$$

From (13)-(16) and applying Assumption 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &\leq \frac{3}{4} g_1^{4/3} z_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4 + z_1^3 g_1 \alpha_1 + |z_1|^3 \vartheta_1^T \varphi_1 + z_1^3 W_1^{*T} S(Z_1) \\ &\quad + |z_1|^3 \varepsilon_1^* + |z_1|^3 p_1 \Phi_1(x_1) - \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma_{\theta_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{\theta}} - \tilde{p}_1^T \Gamma_{p_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{p}}_1 \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1^T \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 - \tilde{W}_1^T \Gamma_{w_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{W}}_1 + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{11} \\ &\quad + \frac{3z_1^4}{4\varepsilon_{11}} \|\phi_1\|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let intermediate law as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= g_1^{-1}(-c_1 z_1 - \frac{3}{4} g_1^{4/3} z_1 - \beta_{10} - \beta_{11} - \beta_{12} \\ &\quad - \hat{W}_1^T S(Z_1) - \frac{3z_1}{4\varepsilon_{11}} \|\phi_1\|^4), \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{10} = \hat{\varepsilon}_1 \varpi_{10}, \varpi_{10} := \tanh\left[\frac{z_1^3}{\varepsilon_{10}}\right],$$

$$\beta_{11} = \hat{p}_1^T \varpi_{11}, \varpi_{11} := \Phi_1(\bar{x}_1) \tanh\left[\frac{z_1^3 \Phi_1(\bar{x}_1)}{\varepsilon_{11}}\right];$$

$$\beta_{12} = \hat{\vartheta}_1^T \varpi_{12}, \varpi_{12} := \varphi_1(\bar{x}_1) \tanh\left[\frac{z_1^3 \varphi_1(\bar{x}_1)}{\varepsilon_{12}}\right];$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &\leq -c_1 z_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4 - \tilde{\theta}^T (\Gamma_{\theta_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{\theta}} - z_1^3 \vartheta_1) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 (\gamma_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 + z_1^3 \varpi_{10}) - \tilde{p}_1^T (\Gamma_{p_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{p}}_1 + z_1^3 \varpi_{11}) \\ &\quad - \tilde{W}_1^T (\Gamma_{w_1}^{-1} \dot{\hat{W}}_1 + z_1^3 S(Z_1)) + |z_1|^3 \varepsilon_1^* - z_1^3 \varepsilon_1^* \varpi_{10} \\ &\quad + |z_1|^3 p_1^T \Phi_1(x_1) - z_1^3 p_1^T \varpi_{11} + |z_1|^3 \vartheta_1^T \varphi_1(x_1) - z_1^3 \vartheta_1^T \varpi_{12} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The adaptive laws are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_1 &= -\Gamma_{\theta_1} (z_1^3 \vartheta_1 - \sigma_{\theta_1} \hat{\vartheta}_1), \quad (\sigma_{\theta_1} > 0) \\ \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 &= -\gamma_{\varepsilon_1} (z_1^3 \varpi_{10} - \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \hat{\varepsilon}_1), \quad (\sigma_{\varepsilon_1} > 0) \\ \dot{\hat{p}}_1 &= -\Gamma_{p_1} (z_1^3 \varpi_{11} - \sigma_{p_1} \hat{p}_1), \quad (\sigma_{p_1} > 0) \\ \dot{\hat{W}}_1 &= -\Gamma_{w_1} (z_1^3 S(Z_1) - \sigma_{w_1} \hat{W}_1), \quad (\sigma_{w_1} > 0) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note (18) and Lemma (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &\leq -c_1 z_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4 + \sigma_{\theta_1} \tilde{\theta}_1^T \hat{\vartheta}_1 + \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \sigma_{p_1} \tilde{p}_1^T \hat{p}_1 \\ &\quad + \sigma_{w_1} \tilde{W}_1^T \hat{W}_1 + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{10} + \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{12}) + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{11}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Applying following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\theta_1} \tilde{\theta}_1^T \hat{\vartheta}_1 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\theta_1} \|\tilde{\theta}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\theta_1} \|\vartheta_1\|^2, \\ \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \hat{\varepsilon}_1 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \|\varepsilon_1\|^2, \\ \sigma_{p_1} \tilde{p}_1^T \hat{p}_1 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{p_1} \|\tilde{p}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{p_1} \|p_1\|^2, \\ \sigma_{w_1} \tilde{W}_1^T \hat{W}_1 &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_1} \|\tilde{W}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_1} \|W_1^*\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LV_1 &\leq -c_1 z_1^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\theta_1} \|\tilde{\theta}_1\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_1\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{p_1} \|\tilde{p}_1\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_1} \|\tilde{W}_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{p_1} \|p_1\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\theta_1} \|\vartheta_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_1} \|W_1^*\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{11} \\ &\quad + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{10} + \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{12}) \\ &\leq -\lambda_1 V_1 + K_1 + \frac{1}{4} z_2^4, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \min \left\{ 4c_1, \gamma_{\varepsilon_1 \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}}, \frac{\sigma_{\theta_1}}{\max(\Gamma_{\theta_1}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{p_1}}{\max(\Gamma_{p_1}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{w_1}}{\max(\Gamma_{w_1}^{-1})} \right\}, \\ K_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{p_1} \|p_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\theta_1} \|\vartheta_1\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_1} \|W_1^*\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{11} + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{10} + \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

Step  $i$ : ( $2 \leq i < n$ ). Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} dz_i &= \left[ g_i(z_{i+1} + \alpha_i) + \theta_i^T \Psi_i + f_i + \Delta_i(x, t) \right] dt + \phi_i d\omega \\ &\quad - \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} (g_j x_{j+1} + \theta_j^T \Psi_j + f_j + \Delta_j) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}_j} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{W}_j} \dot{\hat{W}}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}_j} \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{p}_j} \dot{\hat{p}}_j + \left. \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} g_k g_j^T \right] dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Applying Yongs inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3z_i^2}{2} (\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j) (\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j)^T \\ \leq \frac{3\epsilon_{i1}}{4} + \frac{3z_i^4}{4\epsilon_{i1}} \left\| \phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j \right\|^4, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$g_i z_i^3 z_{i+1} \leq \frac{3}{4} g_1^{4/3} z_i^4 + \frac{1}{4} z_{i+1}^4. \quad (25)$$

Let

$$Q_i(Z_i) = f_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} f_j(\bar{x}_j), \quad (2 \leq i \leq n) \quad (26)$$

$$|\Lambda_i| = |\Delta_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \Delta_j|, \quad (2 \leq i \leq n) \quad (27)$$

$$|\Upsilon_i| = |\theta_i^T \Psi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \theta_j^T \Psi_j|, \quad (2 \leq i \leq n) \quad (28)$$

$$V_i = V_{i-1} + \frac{z_i^4}{4} + \frac{\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{p}_i^T \Gamma_{p_i} \tilde{p}_i}{2} + \frac{\tilde{W}_i^T \Gamma_{w_i}^{-1} \tilde{W}_i}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_i^2}{2\gamma_{\varepsilon_i}}, \quad (29)$$

Define operator  $|\theta_i|_1 = [|\theta_{i1}|, |\theta_{i2}|, \dots, |\theta_{iq}|]^T$ , then using assumption (1) and (2), we have

$$|\Lambda_i| \leq p_i^T \bar{\Phi}_i, \quad |\Upsilon_i| \leq \vartheta_i^T \bar{\varphi}_i, \quad (30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= [p_1^*, p_2^*, \dots, p_i^*]^T, \\ \bar{\Phi}_i &= \left[ \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \right| \Phi_1^*, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_2} \right| \Phi_2^*, \dots, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \right| \Phi_{i-1}^*, \Phi_i^* \right]^T, \\ \vartheta_i &= \left[ (|\theta_{i1}| \bullet b_1^*)^T, (|\theta_{i2}| \bullet b_2^*)^T, \dots, (|\theta_{iq}| \bullet b_i^*)^T \right]^T, \\ \bar{\varphi}_i &= \left[ \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \right| \varphi_1^{*T}, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_2} \right| \varphi_2^{*T}, \dots, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \right| \varphi_{i-1}^{*T}, \varphi_i^{*T} \right]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i &= \left[ \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \Phi_1^*, \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_2} \Phi_2^*, \dots, \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \Phi_{i-1}^*, \Phi_i^* \right]^T, \\ \varphi_i &= \left[ \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \right| \varphi_1^{*T}, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_2} \right| \varphi_2^{*T}, \dots, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \right| \varphi_{i-1}^{*T}, \varphi_i^{*T} \right]^T, \end{aligned}$$

with  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

By using (23)–(30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} LV_i &\leq LV_{i-1} + \frac{3}{4} g_i^{4/3} + \frac{1}{4} z_{i+1}^4 + z_i^3 g_i \alpha_i \\ &\quad + |z_i|^3 \theta_i^T \bar{\varphi}_i + z_i^3 W_i^{*T} S(Z_i) \\ &\quad + |z_i|^3 \varepsilon_i^* + |z_i|^3 p_i^T \bar{\Phi}_i - z_i^3 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} x_{j+1} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}_j} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{W}_j} \dot{\hat{W}}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}_j} \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{p}_j} \dot{\hat{p}}_j \Big) \\ &\quad - \frac{z_i^3}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} g_k g_j^T + \frac{3\epsilon_{i1}}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{3z_i^4}{4\epsilon_{i1}} \left\| \phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j \right\|^4 - \tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma_{\theta_i}^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i \\ &\quad - \tilde{W}_i^T \Gamma_{W_i}^{-1} \dot{\tilde{W}}_i - \tilde{p}_i^T \Gamma_{p_i}^{-1} \dot{\tilde{p}}_i - \gamma_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \dot{\tilde{\varepsilon}}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We select intermediate law  $\alpha_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= g_i^{-1} \left[ -c_i z_i - \frac{1}{4} z_i - \frac{3}{4} g_1^{4/3} z_i - \beta_{i0} - \beta_{i1} - \beta_{i2} \right. \\ &\quad - \hat{W}_i^T S(Z_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} g_k g_j^T \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} x_{j+1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\theta}_j} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{W}_j} \dot{\hat{W}}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}_j} \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial \hat{p}_j} \dot{\hat{p}}_j \right) - \frac{3z_i}{4\epsilon_{i1}} \left\| \phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j \right\|^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i0} &= \hat{\varepsilon}_i \varpi_{i0}, \quad \varpi_{i0} := \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3}{\varepsilon_{i0}}\right], \\ \beta_{i1} &= \hat{p}_i^T \varpi_{i1}, \quad \varpi_{i1} := \Phi_i \odot \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \Phi_i}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right], \\ \beta_{i2} &= \hat{\theta}_i^T \varpi_{i2}, \quad \varpi_{i2} := \varphi_i \odot \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \varphi_i}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_i \odot \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \Phi_i}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \Phi_1^* \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \Phi_1^*}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right], \dots, \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \Phi_{i-1}^* \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \Phi_{i-1}^*}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Phi_i^* \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \Phi_i^*}{\varepsilon_{i1}}\right] \right]^T, \\ &\varphi_i \odot \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \varphi_i}{\varepsilon_{i2}}\right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \varphi_1^{*T} \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_1} \varphi_1^{*T}}{\varepsilon_{i2}}\right], \dots, \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \varphi_{i-1}^{*T} \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_{i-1}}{\partial x_{i-1}} \varphi_{i-1}^{*T}}{\varepsilon_{i2}}\right], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \varphi_i^{*T} \tanh\left[\frac{z_i^3 \varphi_i^{*T}}{\varepsilon_{i2}}\right] \right]^T. \end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma (2) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
LV_i \leq & LV_{i-1} - \frac{1}{4}z_i^4 - c_i z_i^4 - \tilde{W}_i^T (\Gamma_{w_i}^{-1} \hat{W}_i + z_i^3 S(Z_i)) \\
& - \tilde{\varepsilon}_i (\gamma_{\varepsilon_i}^{-1} \hat{\varepsilon}_i + z_i^3 \varpi_{i0}) - \tilde{p}_i^T (\Gamma_{p_i}^{-1} \hat{p}_i + z_i^3 \varpi_{i1}) \\
& - \tilde{\vartheta}_i^T \left[ \Gamma_{\vartheta_i}^{-1} \hat{\vartheta}_i + z_i^3 \varpi_{i2} \right] \\
& + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{i0} + \varepsilon_{i1} + \varepsilon_{i2}) + \frac{3\varepsilon_{i1}}{4} + \frac{1}{4}z_{i+1}^4. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

The adaptive laws are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_i &= -\gamma_{\varepsilon_i} (z_i^3 \varpi_{i0} - \sigma_{\varepsilon_i} \hat{\varepsilon}_i), \quad (\sigma_{\varepsilon_i} > 0), \\
\dot{\hat{p}}_i &= -\Gamma_{p_i} (z_i^3 \varpi_{i1} - \sigma_{p_i} \hat{p}_i), \quad (\sigma_{p_i} > 0), \\
\dot{\hat{\vartheta}}_i &= -\Gamma_{\vartheta_i} (z_i^3 (\varpi_{i2} - \sigma_{\vartheta_i} \hat{\vartheta}_i)), \quad (\sigma_{\vartheta_i} > 0), \\
\dot{\hat{W}}_i &= -\Gamma_{w_i} (z_i^3 S(Z_i) - \sigma_{w_i} \hat{W}_i), \quad (\sigma_{w_i} > 0). \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Note (21), (34), (35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
LV_i \leq & LV_{i-1} - \frac{1}{4}z_i^4 - c_i z_i^4 + \sigma_{\vartheta_i} \tilde{\vartheta}_i^T \hat{\vartheta}_i + \sigma_{\varepsilon_i} \tilde{\varepsilon}_i \hat{\varepsilon}_i \\
& + \sigma_{p_i} \tilde{p}_i^T \hat{p}_i + \sigma_{w_i} \tilde{W}_i^T \hat{W}_i + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{i0} + \varepsilon_{i1} + \varepsilon_{i2}) \\
& + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{i1} + \frac{1}{4}z_{i+1}^4 \quad (36) \\
\leq & LV_{i-1} - c_i z_i^4 + \frac{1}{4}z_{i+1}^4 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\vartheta_i} \|\tilde{\vartheta}_i\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\varepsilon_i} \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\|^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{p_i} \|\tilde{p}_i\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{w_i} \|\tilde{W}_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{p_i} \|p_i\|^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\vartheta_i} \|\vartheta_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{w_i} \|W_i^*\|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{i1} \\
\leq & (LV_{i-1} - \frac{1}{4}z_i^4) - \lambda_i V_i + K_i + \frac{1}{4}z_{i+1}^4 \\
\leq & - \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j V_j + \sum_{j=1}^i K_j + \frac{1}{4}z_{i+1}^4, \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_i &= \min \left\{ 4c_i, \gamma_{\varepsilon_i} \sigma_{\varepsilon_i}, \frac{\sigma_{\vartheta_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{\vartheta_i}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{p_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{p_i}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{w_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{w_i}^{-1})} \right\}, \\
K_i &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{p_i} \|p_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\vartheta_i} \|\vartheta_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{w_i} \|W_i^*\|^2 \\
& + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{i1} + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{i0} + \varepsilon_{i1} + \varepsilon_{i2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Step n: We select the control

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= g_n^{-1} \left[ -c_n z_n - \frac{1}{4}z_n - \beta_{n0} - \beta_{n1} - \beta_{n2} \right. \\
& - \hat{W}_n^T S(Z_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} \phi_k \phi_j^T \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_j} x_{j+1} + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{\vartheta}_j} \hat{\vartheta}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{W}_j} \hat{W}_j + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}_j} \hat{\varepsilon}_j \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial \hat{p}_j} \hat{p}_j \right) - \frac{3z_n}{4\varepsilon_{n1}} \|\phi_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \alpha_{n-1}}{\partial x_j} \phi_j\|^4 \left. \right]. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

A similar procedure is employed, by using (24 – 30, 33, 35), we have

$$LV_n \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i + \sum_{i=1}^n K_i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_i &= \min \left\{ 4c_i, \gamma_{\varepsilon_i} \sigma_{\varepsilon_i}, \frac{\sigma_{\vartheta_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{\vartheta_i}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{p_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{p_i}^{-1})}, \frac{\sigma_{w_i}}{\max(\Gamma_{w_i}^{-1})} \right\}, \\
K_i &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{p_i} \|p_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\vartheta_i} \|\vartheta_i\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{w_i} \|W_i^*\|^2 \\
& + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_{i1} + 0.2785(\varepsilon_{i0} + \varepsilon_{i1} + \varepsilon_{i2}),
\end{aligned}$$

with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

At the present stage, ANC design has been completed based on backstepping technique. The main result can be summarized by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Consider stochastic nonlinear systems (1), assumptions (1) and (2). We can design state-feedback adaptive controller (38) and adaptation laws (19) and (35) by using backstepping approach. For bounded initial conditions, the following prosperities hold.

- (I) The closed-loop system's the equilibrium at the origin is asymptotically stable in probability.
- (II) The closed-loop system has an almost surely unique solution on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $x(0), \hat{\vartheta}(0), \hat{W}(0), \hat{\varepsilon}(0), \hat{p}(0)$ , system state  $x(t)$  and the parameter estimates  $\hat{\vartheta}(t), \hat{W}(t), \hat{\varepsilon}(t), \hat{p}(t)$  satisfy

$$P\left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0 \right\} = 1;$$

$$P\left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\vartheta}(t), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}(t), \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varepsilon}(t) \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}(t) \right.$$

$$\left. \text{exist and are finite} \right\} = 1.$$

#### 4. Simulation studies

The following example is given to show the effectiveness of the proposed adaptive neural control algorithms for stochastic nonlinear system (39). we consider the following second-order system.

$$\begin{aligned}
dx_1 &= (x_2 + f_1(x_1) + \Delta_1(x, t))dt + x_1 \cos x_1 d\omega, \\
dx_2 &= ((1 + 0.5 \sin x_1)u + \theta_2^* \psi_2^* + f_2(x))dt \\
& + \sin x_2 d\omega, \\
y &= x_1, \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= 1, \quad \theta_1^* \varphi_1^* = 0, \quad f_1 = x_1 \sin x_1, \quad \Delta_1 = 0.5x_1 \sin x_2 t, \\
\phi_1 &= x_1 \cos x_1, \quad g_2 = (1 + 0.5 \sin x_1), \quad \theta_2^* \psi_2^* = 0.02x_2, \\
f_2 &= x_2 \cos x_2, \quad \Delta_2 = 0, \quad \phi_2 = \sin x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The adaptive laws can be designed in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 &= \gamma_{\varepsilon_1}(z_1^3 \varpi_{10} - \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} \hat{\varepsilon}_1), \\
\dot{\hat{p}}_1 &= \Gamma_{p_1}(z_1^3 \varpi_{11} - \sigma_{p_1} \hat{p}_1), \\
\dot{\hat{W}}_1 &= \Gamma_{w_1}(z_1^3 S(Z_1) - \sigma_{w_1} \hat{W}_1), \\
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_1 &= \Gamma_{\theta_1}(z_1^3 \varphi_1 - \sigma_{\theta_1} \hat{\theta}_1), \\
\dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_2 &= \gamma_{\varepsilon_2}(z_2^3 \varpi_{20} - \sigma_{\varepsilon_2} \hat{\varepsilon}_2), \\
\dot{\hat{p}}_2 &= \Gamma_{p_2}(z_2^3 \varpi_{21} - \sigma_{p_2} \hat{p}_2), \\
\dot{\hat{W}}_2 &= \Gamma_{w_2}(z_2^3 S(Z_2) - \sigma_{w_2} \hat{W}_2), \\
\dot{\hat{\theta}}_2 &= \Gamma_{\theta_2}(z_2^3 \varpi_{22} - \sigma_{\theta_2} \hat{\theta}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the system control law can be designed as

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= g_2^{-1} \left[ -c_2 z_2 - \frac{1}{4} z_n - \beta_{20} - \beta_{21} - \hat{\theta}_2^T (\varphi_2 - \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} \varphi_1) \right. \\
&\quad - \hat{W}_2^T S(Z_2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_1}{\partial x_1^2} \phi_1^2 + \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} x_2 + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \hat{\theta}_1} \dot{\hat{\theta}}_1 + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \hat{W}_1} \dot{\hat{W}}_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \hat{\varepsilon}_1} \dot{\hat{\varepsilon}}_1 + \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \hat{p}_1} \dot{\hat{p}}_1 \right) - \frac{3z_2}{4\varepsilon_{21}} \left( \phi_2 - \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} \phi_1 \right)^4 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{20} &= \tanh \left[ z_2^3 / \varepsilon_{20} \right], \\
\omega_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} \phi_1^* \tanh \left[ z_2^3 \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial x_1} \phi_1^* / \varepsilon_{21} \right] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The initial conditions and design parameters are given as following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta}_1(0) &= [0 \ 0.1]^T, & \hat{\theta}_2(0) &= [0 \ 0.8]^T, \\
\hat{b}_1 &= [0 \ 1]^T, & \hat{b}_2 &= [0 \ 0.1]^T, \\
\hat{\varepsilon}_1(0) &= 0.1e-3, & \hat{\varepsilon}_2(0) &= 0, \hat{p}_1(0) = 0.1, \\
\hat{p}_2(0) &= [0 \ 0.15]^T, & \hat{W}_1(0) &= \hat{W}_2(0) = 0, \\
\Gamma_{\theta_1} &= \text{diag}(0.3 \ 0.3), & \Gamma_{\theta_2} &= \text{diag}(0.25 \ 0.25), \\
\gamma_{\varepsilon_1} &= 0.3, \gamma_{\varepsilon_2} = 0.4, & \Gamma_{p_1} &= 0.3, \Gamma_{p_2} = [0.4 \ 0], \\
\sigma_{\theta_1} &= 0.3, \sigma_{\theta_2} = 0.25, & \sigma_{\varepsilon_1} &= 0.3, \sigma_{\varepsilon_2} = 0.4, \\
\sigma_{p_1} &= 0.3, \sigma_{p_2} = 0.4, & \sigma_{w_1} &= 1.5, \sigma_{w_2} = 0.3, \\
\varepsilon_{10} = \varepsilon_{20} = \varepsilon_{11} = \varepsilon_{21} &= 0.3, & c_1 = c_2 &= 0.3.
\end{aligned}$$

Specifically, neural network  $\hat{W}_1^T S(Z_1)$  contains 27 nodes (i.e.  $l_1 = 27$ ) with centers  $\mu_l (l = 1, \dots, l_1)$  evenly spaced in  $[-1.5, 1.5]$  and widths  $\eta_l = 0.8 (l = 1, \dots, l_1)$ . Neural networks  $\hat{W}_2^T S(Z_2)$  contains 64 nodes (i.e.  $l_2 = 64$ ) with centers  $\mu_l (l = 1, \dots, l_2)$  evenly spaced in  $[-1.5, 1.5] \times [-1.5, 1.5] \times [-1.5, 1.5] \times [-1.5, 1.5]$ , and widths  $\eta_l = 1.5 (l = 1, \dots, l_1)$ .

The simulation results are shown in Fig.1–Fig.6, from which we can see that the controller renders the resulting closed-loop system asymptotically stable and the limits of estimated parameters exist and are finite. Fig.1 shows that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  converge to zero rapidly. Fig.2 displays the control input signal  $u$ , Fig.3

shows that boundedness of weights  $\|\hat{W}_1\|$  and  $\|\hat{W}_2\|$ , Fig.4 displays the boundedness of approximation error  $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ , and Fig.5 and Fig.6 show the response curve of the adaptive parameter  $\|\hat{p}_1\|$ ,  $\|\hat{p}_2\|$ ,  $\|\hat{\theta}_1\|$  and  $\|\hat{\theta}_2\|$ .




Figure 1: System states  $x_1$ :“solid line.”  $x_2$ :“dash line”.




Figure 2: Control input  $u$




Figure 3: Boundedness of weights  $\|\hat{W}_1\|$ :“solid line.”  $\|\hat{W}_2\|$ :“dash line”.




Figure 4: Boundedness of approximation error  $\hat{\epsilon}_1$ :“solid line.” $\hat{\epsilon}_2$ :“dash line”.




Figure 5: Boundedness of parameters  $\|\hat{p}_1\|$ :“solid line.” $\|\hat{p}_2\|$ :“dash line”.




Figure 6: Boundedness of parameters  $\|\hat{d}_1\|$ :“solid line.” $\|\hat{d}_2\|$ :“dash line”.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, the adaptive neural control method of nonlinear systems is extended to a class of stochastic nonlinear systems with the unknown disturbances, unknown parameters and unknown functions. Unknown nonlinearities can be approximated by RBFNN. All neural network weights are tuned online with no prior training needed. Adaptive bounding design

technique is used to deal with the unknown parameters and unknown functions. we have designed a universal type adaptive feedback controller, which keep boundedness of all the states to guarantee global asymptotically stable in probability. Simulation has been conducted to show the performance of the proposed approach.

## Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61503133, 51374107, 51577057, 61304162), by Major State Basic Research Development Program (973) sub-project (61325309), and by Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China under Grant (2016M592449), and by Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2016JJ6043, 14JJ3110), and by Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province (15C0548).

## References

- [1] Bertsimas D, Litvinov E, Sun X A, et al. Adaptive robust optimization for the security constrained unit commitment problem. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2013, 28(1): 52-63.
- [2] Sun W, Zhao Z, Gao H. Saturated adaptive robust control for active suspension systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2013, 60(9): 3889-3896.
- [3] Lorca A, Sun X A. Adaptive robust optimization with dynamic uncertainty sets for multi-period economic dispatch under significant wind. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2015, 30(4): 1702-1713.
- [4] Guan Z H, Han G S, Li J, et al. Impulsive multiconsensus of second-order multiagent networks using sampled position data. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 2015, 26(11): 2678-2688.
- [5] Chen M, Ge S S. Adaptive neural output feedback control of uncertain nonlinear systems with unknown hysteresis using disturbance observer. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2015, 62(12): 7706-7716.
- [6] Zuo Z, Zhang J, Wang Y. Adaptive fault-tolerant tracking control for linear and Lipschitz nonlinear multi-agent systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2015, 62(6): 3923-3931.
- [7] Guan Z H, Hu B, Chi M, et al. Guaranteed performance consensus in second-order multi-agent systems with hybrid impulsive control. *Automatica*, 2014, 50(9): 2415-2418.
- [8] Yan H C, Qian F F, Zhang H, et al.  $H_\infty$  fault detection for networked mechanical spring-mass systems with incomplete information. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2016, DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2016.2559454
- [9] Yan H C, Qian F F, Yang F W, Shi H B.  $H_\infty$  filtering for nonlinear networked systems with randomly occurring distributed delays, missing measurements and sensor saturation. *Information Sciences*, 370-371:772-782.
- [10] Zhang H, Hong Q Q, Yan H C, et al. Event-based distributed H filtering networks of 2DOF quarter-car suspension systems, *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2016, DOI: 10.1109/TII.2016.2569566.
- [11] Liu Z W, Yu X, Guan Z H, et al. Pulse-modulated intermittent control in consensus of multiagent systems, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*. 2016, DOI:10.1109/TSMC.2016.2524063.
- [12] Ge M F, Guan Z H, Hu B, et al. Distributed controller-estimator for target tracking of networked robotic systems under sampled interaction. *Automatica*, 2016, 69: 410-417.
- [13] Zhou J, Wen C, Yang G. Adaptive backstepping stabilization of nonlinear uncertain systems with quantized input signal. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2014, 59(2): 460-464.
- [14] Zhai J, Zha W. Global adaptive output feedback control for a class of nonlinear time-delay systems. *ISA transactions*, 2014, 53(1): 2-9.
- [15] Wu J, Chen W, Zhao D, et al. Globally stable direct adaptive backstepping NN control for uncertain nonlinear strict-feedback systems. *Neurocomputing*, 2013, 122: 134-147.



- [16] Tong S, Li Y. Adaptive fuzzy output feedback tracking backstepping control of strict-feedback nonlinear systems with unknown dead zones. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 2012, 20(1): 168-180.
- [17] Zhou Q, Shi P, Xu S, et al. Observer-based adaptive neural network control for nonlinear stochastic systems with time delay. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2013, 24(1): 71-80.
- [18] Wu J, Li J. Adaptive fuzzy control for perturbed strict-feedback nonlinear systems with predefined tracking accuracy. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 2016, 83(3): 1185-1197.
- [19] Chen M, Ge S S, How B V E. Robust adaptive neural network control for a class of uncertain MIMO nonlinear systems with input nonlinearities. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 2010, 21(5): 796-812.
- [20] Peng Z, Wang D, Zhang H, et al. Distributed neural network control for adaptive synchronization of uncertain dynamical multiagent systems. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2014, 25(8): 1508-1519.
- [21] Bonavita N, Matsko T. Neural network technology applied to refinery inferential analyzer problems. *Hydrocarbon Engineering*, 1999: 33-38.
- [22] Deng H, Krstić M. Stochastic nonlinear stabilization-I: a backstepping design. *Systems & Control Letters*, 1997, 32(3): 143-150.
- [23] Deng H, Krstić M. Stochastic nonlinear stabilization-II: inverse optimality. *Systems & control letters*, 1997, 32(3): 151-159.
- [24] Liu S J, Zhang J F. Output-feedback control of a class of stochastic nonlinear systems with linearly bounded unmeasurable states. *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 2008, 18(6): 665-687.
- [25] Gao F, Yuan F. Adaptive stabilization of stochastic nonholonomic systems with nonlinear parameterization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2013, 219(16): 8676-8686.
- [26] Fan H J, Han L X, Wen C Y, Xu L. Decentralized adaptive output-feedback controller design for stochastic nonlinear interconnected systems. *Automatica*, 2012, 48 (11):2866-2873.
- [27] Song M, Lin Y. Modified adaptive backstepping design method for linear systems. *IET Control Theory & Applications*, 2012, 6(8): 1137-1144.
- [28] Khoo S, Yin J, Man Z, et al. Finite-time stabilization of stochastic nonlinear systems in strict-feedback form. *Automatica*, 2013, 49(5): 1403-1410.
- [29] Wu Z, Cui M, Shi P, et al. Stability of stochastic nonlinear systems with state-dependent switching. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2013, 58(8): 1904-1918.
- [30] Zhao C R, Xie X J. Output feedback stabilization using small-gain method and reduced-order observer for stochastic nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2013, 58(2): 523-529.
- [31] Tong S, Wang T, Li Y, et al. Adaptive neural network output feedback control for stochastic nonlinear systems with unknown dead-zone and unmodeled dynamics. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 2014, 44(6): 910-921.
- [32] Zhou Q, Shi P, Liu H, et al. Neural-network-based decentralized adaptive output-feedback control for large-scale stochastic nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, 2012, 42(6): 1608-1619.
- [33] Wang H, Liu K, Liu X, et al. Neural-based adaptive output-feedback control for a class of nonstrict-feedback stochastic nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 2015, 45(9): 1977-1987.
- [34] Gao Y, Tong S, Li Y. Adaptive fuzzy backstepping output feedback control for a class of uncertain stochastic nonlinear system in pure-feedback form. *Neurocomputing*, 2013, 122: 126-133.
- [35] Jiang B, Shen Q, Shi P. Neural-networked adaptive tracking control for switched nonlinear pure-feedback systems under arbitrary switching. *Automatica*, 2015, 61: 119-125.
- [36] Chen C L P, Liu Y J, Wen G X. Fuzzy neural network-based adaptive control for a class of uncertain nonlinear stochastic systems. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 2014, 44(5): 583-593.
- [37] Niu B, Qin T, Fan X. Adaptive neural network tracking control for a class of switched stochastic pure-feedback nonlinear systems with backlash-like hysteresis. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 2016, 47(14): 3378-3393.
- [38] Wang H, Liu X, Liu K, et al. Adaptive neural control for a general class of pure-feedback stochastic nonlinear systems. *Neurocomputing*, 2014, 135: 348-356.
- [39] Wang R, Chen C. Robust adaptive neural control for a class of stochastic nonlinear systems. *Proceeding of 2010 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security*, 2010: 511-514.
- [40] Chen M, Ge S S, Ren B. Adaptive tracking control of uncertain MIMO nonlinear systems with input constraints. *Automatica*, 2011, 47(3): 452-465.
- [41] Psillakis H E, Alexandridis A T. NN-based adaptive tracking control of uncertain nonlinear systems disturbed by unknown covariance noise. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 2007, 18(6): 1830-1835.
- [42] Pan Y, Liu Y, Yu H. Simplified adaptive neural control of strict-feedback nonlinear systems. *Neurocomputing*, 2015, 159: 251-256.
- [43] Polycarpou M M, Ioannou P A. A robust adaptive nonlinear control design. *Automatica*, 1995, 31(3), 423-427.
- [44] Chen B, Liu X P, Ge S S, et al. Adaptive fuzzy control of a class of nonlinear systems by fuzzy approximation approach. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 2012, 20(6): 1012-1021.
- [45] Su Y, Chen B, Lin C, et al. Adaptive neural control for a class of stochastic nonlinear systems by backstepping approach. *Information Sciences*, 2016.
- [46] Wang H, Chen B, Lin C, et al. Observer-based adaptive neural control for a class of nonlinear pure-feedback systems. *Neurocomputing*, 2016, 171: 1517-1523.
- [47] Sui S, Li Y, Tong S. Adaptive fuzzy control design and applications of uncertain stochastic nonlinear systems with input saturation. *Neurocomputing*, 2015, 156: 42-51.
- [48] Li Y, Li Y, Tong S. Adaptive fuzzy decentralized output feedback control for stochastic nonlinear large-scale systems. *Neurocomputing*, 2012, 83: 38-46.
- [49] Khasminskii R. *Stochastic stability of differential equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.