

MOTION OF CHARGED PARTICLE IN A CLASS OF HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

ANDREAS ARVANITOYEORGOS AND NIKOLAOS PANAGIOTIS SOURIS

ABSTRACT. We study the motion of charged particle under a natural choice of electromagnetic field in a general class of compact homogeneous spaces. As a special case we describe the motion in homogeneous Riemannian spaces $(G/H, g)$, where g is any deformation of a normal metric along the fibers of a homogeneous fibration $K/H \rightarrow G/H \rightarrow G/K$.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C80; Secondary 53C30.

Keywords: Charged particle; electromagnetic field; magnetic curve; homogeneous space; homogeneous fibration; twistor fibration;

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $(M = G/H, g)$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, where g is a G -invariant metric with corresponding Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The aim of the present paper is the study of the charged particle differential equation

$$\nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} = kI(\dot{x}), \quad (1)$$

where k is some real constant and I a (1,1) tensor in M that corresponds to an electromagnetic field. The curve $x(t)$, describing the motion of a charged particle, is also called a *magnetic curve*. Equation (1) appears in a more general context in general relativity as follows ([12]).

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, F a closed 2-form, and X a vector field on M . We denote by $\iota_X : \Lambda^p(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}(M)$ the interior product operator induced by X , and by $\mathcal{L} : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ the Legendre transformation defined by $u \mapsto \mathcal{L}(u)$, $\mathcal{L}(u)(v) = g(u, v)$ ($v \in TM$). A curve $x(t)$ in M is called a *motion of a charged particle under electromagnetic field F* if it satisfies the differential equation

$$\nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\iota_{\dot{x}}F),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M . When $F = 0$ then $x(t)$ is a geodesic in M . In particular, if M is a Kähler manifold with complex structure J there is a natural choice of an electromagnetic field F , namely a scalar multiple of the Kähler form ω , defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$. Since $-\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\iota_X\omega) = JX$, a curve $x(t)$ is a motion of a charged particle under electromagnetic field $\kappa\omega$ if and only if $\nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} = kJ(\dot{x})$. We also refer to [9] and [10] for other relevant applications in physics.

The second author was partially supported by the EPSRC grant EP/R008205/1. Part of this work was completed during his postdoctoral position at the University of Reading, UK. An older version of this work was supported by Grant E.037 from the Research Committee of the University of Patras (Programme K. Karatheodori).

Magnetic curves are being extensively studied in various classes of spaces (see for example [3], [5], [7], [8], [13], and references therein). A class of homogeneous spaces considered by Ikawa in [8] were generalized flag manifolds (or Kähler C-spaces) with two isotropy summands. These spaces were classified by the first author and I. Chrysikos in [1], hence obtaining a concrete class of homogeneous spaces where equation (1) can be solved.

In the present article we solve differential equation (1) for a large class of homogeneous spaces G/H under a certain algebraic condition on the tangent space $T_{eH}(G/H)$. Firstly, we recall the following general context.

Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous space and let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebras of G, H respectively. Consider a reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, $\text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, with respect to an Ad-invariant inner product B of \mathfrak{g} , where $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the adjoint representation of G . Such a product exists if and only if G is the direct product of a compact group with a vector group diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n ([11]). An example of such a product is the negative of the Killing form in simple Lie algebras. Moreover, assume that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} has non trivial center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$. The tangent space T_oM at $o = eH$ can be identified to \mathfrak{m} . Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ be the projection and for $p \in G$, let $\tau_p : G/H \rightarrow G/H$ be the left translation in G/H by p . Any G -invariant Riemannian metric in M , i.e. any metric invariant by the left translations, corresponds to a unique $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in \mathfrak{m} , and in turn to a unique linear endomorphism $A : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ satisfying

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = B(AX, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (2)$$

The endomorphism A is symmetric with respect to B , positive definite and $\text{Ad}(H)$ -equivariant, that is $\text{Ad}(h) \circ A = A \circ \text{Ad}(h)$, $h \in H$. Hence, the endomorphism A is diagonalizable and its eigenspaces are $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant and B -orthogonal.

Choose $W \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})$ and let ω^W be the $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant form on G/H , which on the origin o of G/H is defined by

$$\omega_o^W(X, Y) = -B(W, [X, Y]), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (3)$$

For example, when G/H is an adjoint orbit, ω^W is induced from the Kirillov-Konstant-Souriau form (see [3]). Moreover, let I be the G -invariant $(1, 1)$ tensor such that

$$\omega^W(X, Y) = g(X, IY). \quad (4)$$

Then I satisfies the equation $g(IX, Y) + g(Y, IX) = 0$ for all vector fields X, Y .

We assume that the following condition is satisfied:

Condition A. There exist eigenspaces $\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ of the metric endomorphism A , with corresponding eigenvalues λ_a, λ_b , such that

$$[\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a. \quad (5)$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(G/H, g)$ be a Riemannian homogeneous space, with G compact and H having non-discrete center, satisfying Condition A. Let $x(t)$ be the motion of a charged particle under electromagnetic field kI , namely $\nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} = kI(\dot{x})$, where I is given by Equation (4). If x satisfies the initial conditions*

$$x(0) = o \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{x}(0) \in \mathfrak{m}_a \oplus \mathfrak{m}_b, \quad (6)$$

then it is given by

$$x(t) = \exp t(X_a + \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a}X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_a}W) \exp t(1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W) \cdot o,$$

where X_a, X_b are the projections of $\dot{x}(0)$ on $\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b$ respectively.

As a consequence, we obtain the following description of the corresponding charged particle motion in homogeneous fibrations $p : G/H \rightarrow G/K$, where the normal metric on G/H is deformed along the fiber K/H :

Corollary 1.2. *Let G/H be a homogeneous space with G compact and H having non-discrete center. Consider a homogeneous fibration $p : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ with fiber K/H , where K is a closed Lie subgroup of G , so that $T_o(G/H) = T_{p(o)}(G/K) \oplus T_o(K/H)$. Endow G/H with the G -invariant metric g induced from the inner product*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = B|_{T_{p(o)}(G/K) \times T_{p(o)}(G/K)} + \lambda B|_{T_o(K/H) \times T_o(K/H)}, \quad (7)$$

where B is an Ad-invariant inner product in \mathfrak{g} . Let $x(t)$ be the motion of a charged particle through the origin o , under electromagnetic field kI where I is given by Equation (4). Then $x(t)$ is given by the equation

$$x(t) = \exp t(X_a + \lambda X_b + kW) \exp t(1 - \lambda)(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda}W) \cdot o, \quad (8)$$

where X_a, X_b are the projections of $\dot{x}(0)$ on $\mathfrak{m}_a = T_{p(o)}(G/K)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_b = T_o(K/H)$ respectively.

Metrics of the form (7) are examples of Cheeger deformation metrics ([6]) and are widely used in geometry. Moreover, particular examples of homogeneous fibrations, such as the Hopf fibration of \mathbb{S}^3 , are implemented in gauge theory and its applications to particle physics ([2]).

The above Theorem generalizes Ikawa's main result ([8, Theorem 1.1]) in the sense that it describes the motion of a charged particle for all metrics induced by a homogeneous fibration of a compact homogeneous space.

Example 1.3. *(Twistor fibration on generalised flag manifolds). For G semisimple let $M = G/H$ be a generalised flag manifold, i.e. an adjoint orbit of an element W in \mathfrak{g} . It is known ([4]) that any flag manifold $M = G/H$ can be fibered over a compact inner symmetric space G/K ($H \subset K$) under the twistor fibration $p : G/H \rightarrow G/K$. The normal metric of G/H is the G -invariant metric induced by the negative of the Killing form \mathfrak{g} , denoted by B . We endow G/H with the "deformation" of the normal metric along the fibers K/H of the twistor fibration, given by*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = B|_{T_{p(o)}(G/K) \times T_{p(o)}(G/K)} + \lambda B|_{T_o(K/H) \times T_o(K/H)},$$

Then, by virtue of Corollary 1.2, the equation of motion of a charged particle in $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ under electromagnetic field kI , is given by (8).

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. To simplify the exposition and since G is compact, we may assume that G is a matrix group so that the left and right translations L_p, R_p in G are matrix multiplications their respective differentials are also given by matrix multiplications. In particular, for $p, q \in G$ and $v \in T_q G$ we have $L_p(q) = pq$, $(dL_p)_q v = pv$ and similarly for R_q . Moreover, for $\pi(q) \in G/H$ we denote by $p\pi(q)$ the left translation $\tau_p(\pi(q))$ in G/H , while for $w \in T_{\pi(q)}(G/H)$ we denote by pw the differential $(d\tau_p)_{\pi(q)} w$. Under the above notations, we also recall the identities $p\pi(q) = \pi(pq)$ and $p(d\pi_q v) = d\pi_{pq}(pv)$.

For $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we set $e^Z := \exp(Z)$. We also set

$$X := X_a + \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a} X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_a} W, \quad Y := (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b} W), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(t) := e^{tX} e^{tY}. \quad (9)$$

We will show that $x(t) := \pi(\alpha(t))$ satisfies Equation (1). Equivalently, we will show that $g(V, \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}) = g(V, kI(\dot{x}))$ for any vector field V in G/H . However, we may substitute V with any Killing vector field Z^* , $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, given by

$$Z^*_{\pi(p)} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \pi(\exp(tZ)p) = d\pi_p(Zp), \quad \pi(p) \in G/H, \quad p \in G, \quad (10)$$

as the above vector fields generate the tangent bundle $T(G/H)$. Hence, we need to show that

$$g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}) = g(Z^*, kI(\dot{x})), \quad (11)$$

for any vector Z in \mathfrak{g} .

The derivative of $x(t) = \pi(e^{tX} e^{tY}) = \pi(\alpha(t))$ is $\dot{x}(t) = d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(\dot{\alpha}(t))$. We will express $\dot{\alpha}(t)$ explicitly. Given that $\frac{d}{dt} e^{tZ} = Z e^{tZ} = e^{tZ} Z$ and $\text{Ad}(e^{tZ})Z = e^{tZ} Z e^{-tZ} = Z$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX} e^{tY}) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{tX}\right) e^{tY} + e^{tX} \left(\frac{d}{dt} e^{tY}\right) = X e^{tX} e^{tY} + e^{tX} e^{tY} Y = X\alpha(t) + \alpha(t)Y \\ &= \alpha(t)(\alpha^{-1}(t)X\alpha(t) + Y) = \alpha(t)(e^{-tY} e^{-tX} X e^{tX} e^{tY} + Y) = \alpha(t)(e^{-tY} X e^{tY} + e^{-tY} Y e^{tY}) \\ &= \alpha(t)(\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above expression for $\dot{\alpha}(t)$, we obtain

$$\dot{x}(t) = d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(\dot{\alpha}(t)) = d\pi_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha(t)(\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y))\right). \quad (12)$$

Next, we will express explicitly the left-hand side of Equation (11). By using Koszul's formula ([14] p. 61, we have

$$g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}) = \dot{x}g(Z^*, \dot{x}) + g(\dot{x}, [Z^*, \dot{x}]) - \frac{1}{2}Z^*g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}). \quad (13)$$

By using the compatibility of ∇ with the metric and the fact that it is torsion-free (see for example [14], p. 61), we obtain $Z^*g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) = 2g(\nabla_{Z^*}\dot{x}, \dot{x})$ and $\nabla_{Z^*}\dot{x} - \nabla_{\dot{x}}Z^* = [Z^*, \dot{x}]$. On the other hand, as Z^* is Killing, we have $(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}Z^*, \dot{\gamma}) = 0$ ([14], p. 251). The last three equations imply that $g(\dot{x}, [Z^*, \dot{x}]) - \frac{1}{2}Z^*g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) = 0$, hence by virtue of Equation (13) we have

$$g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}) = \dot{x}g(Z^*, \dot{x}).$$

By taking into account the invariance of g by the left-translations, by substituting Equations (12) and (10) into the above equation, using the facts that $x(t) = \pi(\alpha(t))$ and $\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t) = \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y)$ as well as the identification $\mathfrak{m} = d\pi_e(\mathfrak{g})$, we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x})(t) &= \dot{x}g(Z^*, \dot{x})(t) = \frac{d}{dt}g(Z_{x(t)}^*, \dot{x}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}g(d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(Z\alpha(t)), d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(\dot{\alpha}(t))) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}g_o(\alpha^{-1}(t)d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(Z\alpha(t)), \alpha^{-1}(t)d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(\dot{\alpha}(t))) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\langle d\pi_e(\alpha^{-1}(t)Z\alpha(t)), d\pi_e(\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\langle (\text{Ad}(\alpha^{-1}(t))Z)_{\mathfrak{m}}, (\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

(here the subscript \mathfrak{m} denotes the projection on \mathfrak{m}). We abuse the notation $\dot{\alpha}^{-1}(t)$ to denote the derivative $\frac{d}{dt}\alpha^{-1}(t)$, and we differentiate the relation $\alpha^{-1}(t)\alpha(t) = e$ to obtain $\dot{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\alpha(t) = -\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t) = -\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y)$. Also, we set

$$f(t) := \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y) = \alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Z}(t) := \text{Ad}(\alpha^{-1}(t))Z. \quad (15)$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t) = [f(t), Y] \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{Z}(t) &= \dot{\alpha}^{-1}(t)Z\alpha(t) + \alpha^{-1}(t)Z\dot{\alpha}(t) = \dot{\alpha}^{-1}(t)\alpha(t)\tilde{Z}(t) + \tilde{Z}(t)\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t) \\ &= -\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t)\tilde{Z}(t) + \tilde{Z}(t)\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t) = [\tilde{Z}(t), f(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then Equation (14) yields

$$g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x})(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\langle \tilde{Z}(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = \langle [\tilde{Z}(t), f(t)]_{\mathfrak{m}}, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle + \langle \tilde{Z}(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}, [f(t), Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle \quad (16)$$

Along with Equation (2), the mutual B -orthogonality of \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{h} as well as the Ad-invariance of B , Equation (16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x})(t) &= B([\tilde{Z}(t), f(t)]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Af(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}) + B(\tilde{Z}(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}, A[f(t), Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &= B([\tilde{Z}(t), f(t)], Af(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}) + B(\tilde{Z}(t), A[f(t), Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\ &= B(\tilde{Z}(t), [f(t), Af(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}] + A[f(t), Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We will express the term $[f(t), Af(t)_\mathfrak{m}] + A[f(t), Y]_\mathfrak{m}$ explicitly. Firstly, recall that $\text{Ad}(e^v)w = e^{\text{ad}(v)}w$ for all $v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$. Using this fact, along with relation (5), we deduce that

$$\text{Ad}(e^{\mathfrak{m}_b})\mathfrak{m}_a \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a. \quad (18)$$

By relation (9), we have $Y := (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W)$, and thus $\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W) = (X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W)$. Moreover, relation (18) and the $\text{ad}_\mathfrak{h}$ -invariance of \mathfrak{m}_a imply that $\text{Ad}(e^{\mathfrak{m}_b \oplus \mathfrak{h}})\mathfrak{m}_a \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a$, and thus $\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X_a) \in \mathfrak{m}_a$. Using the above facts, along with relations (9) and (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X + Y) = \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X_a + X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W) \\ &= \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})X_a + \text{Ad}(e^{-tY})(X_b + \frac{k}{\lambda_b}W) \\ &= \underbrace{\text{Ad}(e^{-tY})X_a}_{f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}_a}} + \underbrace{X_b}_{f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}_b}} + \underbrace{\frac{k}{\lambda_b}W}_{f(t)_{\mathfrak{h}}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

(here the subscripts denote the projections of $f(t)$ on the respective subspaces). Taking into account relation (5) and the $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})$ -invariance of the spaces $\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b$, we obtain $[f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] \in \mathfrak{m}_a$ and $[f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f(t)_{\mathfrak{h}}] \in \mathfrak{m}_a$. Along with Equation (19), the fact that $Y = (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(f_{\mathfrak{m}_b} + f_{\mathfrak{h}})$, the fact that $\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b$ are eigenspaces of $A : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ with corresponding eigenvalues λ_a, λ_b , and omitting t for simplicity, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} [f, Af_\mathfrak{m}] + A[f, Y]_\mathfrak{m} &= [f, Af_{\mathfrak{m}_a} + Af_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})A[f, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b} + f_{\mathfrak{h}}]_\mathfrak{m} \\ &= [f, Af_{\mathfrak{m}_a} + Af_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(A[f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}]_\mathfrak{m} + A[f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{h}}]_\mathfrak{m}) \\ &= [f, \lambda_a f_{\mathfrak{m}_a} + \lambda_b f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + (1 - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_a})(\lambda_a [f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + \lambda_a [f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{h}}]) \\ &= (\lambda_b - \lambda_a)[f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + \lambda_a [f_{\mathfrak{h}}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}] + \lambda_b [f_{\mathfrak{h}}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)([f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}] + [f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}, f_{\mathfrak{h}}]) \\ &= \lambda_b ([f_{\mathfrak{h}}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_a}] + [f_{\mathfrak{h}}, f_{\mathfrak{m}_b}]) = \lambda_b [f_{\mathfrak{h}}, f_\mathfrak{m}] = k[W, f_\mathfrak{m}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by virtue of Equation (17) we deduce that the left-hand side of Equation (11) becomes

$$g(Z^*, \nabla_{\dot{x}} \dot{x})(t) = kB(\tilde{Z}(t), [W, f(t)_\mathfrak{m}]). \quad (20)$$

To conclude the proof it remains to compute the right-hand side of Equation (11) and compare it with Equation (20). Firstly, we find the explicit form of the tensor I . Since it is left-invariant, it suffices to determine $I_o : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$. From Equations (4), (3) and (2) we obtain for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ that $\langle I_o X, Y \rangle = -\langle X, I_o Y \rangle = -g_o(X, I_o Y) = -\omega_o^W(X, Y) = B(W, [X, Y]) = B([W, X], Y) = \langle A^{-1}[W, X], Y \rangle$, therefore

$$I_o X = A^{-1}[W, X].$$

Using the above equation, the G -invariance of the metric and the tensor I , relation (10), Equation (12), relations (15), Equation (2), the $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})$ -invariance of \mathfrak{m} and the B -orthogonality of \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{h} , we deduce that the right-hand side of Equation (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
g(Z^*, kI(\dot{x}))(t) &= k\langle \alpha^{-1}(t)d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(Z\alpha(t)), I_o(\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{x}(t)) \rangle \\
&= k\langle d\pi_e(\alpha^{-1}(t)Z\alpha(t)), I_o(\alpha^{-1}(t)d\pi_{\alpha(t)}(\dot{\alpha}(t))) \rangle \\
&= k\langle d\pi_e(\text{Ad}(\alpha^{-1}(t))Z), I_o(d\pi_e(\alpha^{-1}(t)\dot{\alpha}(t))) \rangle \\
&= k\langle (\text{Ad}(\alpha^{-1}(t))Z)_{\mathfrak{m}}, I_o(f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rangle = k\langle \tilde{Z}(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}, A^{-1}[W, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}] \rangle \\
&= kB(\tilde{Z}(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}, [W, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}]) = kB(\tilde{Z}(t), [W, f(t)_{\mathfrak{m}}]),
\end{aligned}$$

which by virtue of Equation (20), concludes the proof. \square

2.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebras of the groups G, K, H respectively. The spaces $\mathfrak{m}_a = T_{p(o)}(G/K)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_b = T_o(K/H)$ arise from the reductive decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_a$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}_b$. The first reductive decomposition implies that $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_a] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a$ and thus $[\mathfrak{m}_a, \mathfrak{m}_b] \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_a$. Corollary 1.2 then follows from Theorem 1.1, with $\lambda_a = 1$, $\lambda_b = \lambda$, along with the fact that $T_o(G/H) = \mathfrak{m}_a \oplus \mathfrak{m}_b$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arvanitoyeorgos and I. Chrysikos: *Motion of charged particles and homogeneous geodesics in Kähler C-Spaces with two isotropy summands*, Tokyo J. Math. 32(2) (2009) 487–500.
- [2] A. P. Balachandran, G. Marmo, B. -S. Skagerstam and A. Stern: *Gauge Symmetries and Fibre Bundles, Applications to Particle Dynamics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1983).
- [3] A. Bolsinov and B. Jovanović: *Magnetic flows on homogeneous spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. 83 (2008) 679–700.
- [4] F.E. Burstall and J.H. Rawnsley: *Twistor Theory for Riemannian Symmetric Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1424 (1990), Springer.
- [5] J. L. Cabrerizo, M. Fernandez and J. S. Gómez: *The contact magnetic flow in 3D Sasakian manifolds*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 195201, 10pp.
- [6] J. Cheeger: *Some examples of manifolds of nonnegative curvature*. J. Differential Geom. 8 (1973), 623–628.
- [7] Z. Erjavec and J. Inoguchi: *Killing magnetic curves in Sol space*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 21:15 (2018).
- [8] O. Ikawa: *Motion of charged particles in Kähler C-spaces*, Yokohama Math. J. 50 (2003) 31–39.
- [9] M. Kološ, Z. Stuchlík and A. Tursunov: *Quasi-harmonic oscillatory motion of charged particles around a Schwarzschild black hole immersed in a uniform magnetic field*, Classical Quantum Gravity, 32 (16) 165009, 22pp.
- [10] Y-K. Lim: *Motion of charged particles around a magnetized/electrified black hole*, Phys. Rev. D 91(2) (2015) 024048, 15pp.
- [11] J. Milnor: *Curvatures of Left Invariant Metrics on Lie Groups*, Adv. Math. 21 (1976) 293–329.
- [12] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler: *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco (1973).
- [13] M. I. Munteanu and A. I. Nistor: *On some Closed Magnetic Curves on a 3-torus*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 20:08 (2017).
- [14] B. O’Neil: *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York (1983).

UNIVERSITY OF READING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WHITEKNIGHTS, PO
BOX 220, READING RG6 6AX, UK

E-mail address: `ntgeva@hotmail.com`