

Nonlocal general vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations: Integrability, \mathcal{PT} symmetribility, and solutions

Zhenya Yan*

Key Laboratory of Mathematics Mechanization, Institute of Systems Science,
AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

(Dated: November 27, 2024)

Abstract A family of new one-parameter ($\epsilon_x = \pm 1$) nonlinear wave models (called $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(nm)}$ model) is presented, including both the local ($\epsilon_x = 1$) and new integrable nonlocal ($\epsilon_x = -1$) general vector nonlinear Schrödinger (VNLS) equations with the self-phase, cross-phase, and multi-wave mixing modulations. The nonlocal $\mathcal{G}_{-1}^{(nm)}$ model is shown to possess the Lax pair and infinite number of conservation laws for $m = 1$. We also establish a connection between the $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(nm)}$ model and some known models. Some symmetric reductions and exact solutions (e.g., bright, dark, and mixed bright-dark solitons) of the representative nonlocal systems are also found. Moreover, we find that the new general two-parameter (ϵ_x, ϵ_t) model (called $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t}^{(nm)}$ model) including the $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(nm)}$ model is invariant under the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric transformation and the \mathcal{PT} symmetribility of its self-induced potentials is discussed for the distinct two parameters $(\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$.

Keywords: Nonlocal general VNLS equations; Lax pair; conservation laws; \mathcal{PT} symmetribility; symmetry reductions; exact solutions; solitons.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation is a typical physical model appearing in many fields of nonlinear science, including nonlinear optics, Bose-Einstein condensates, plasma physics, biology, deep ocean, finance, etc. [1–7]. The cubic NLS equation is completely integrable and admits multi-soliton solutions, breather solutions, periodic-wave solutions, and rogue waves [1, 8–11]. Many nonlinear wave equations, in particular, soliton equations, are local in mathematical physics (see Ref. [1] and reference therein). Recently, a new nonlocal NLS equation $iq_t(x, t) = q_{xx}(x, t) \pm 2q^2(x, t)q^*(-x, t)$ was shown to be integrable [12]. Particularly, its self-induced potential, $V(x, t) = \pm 2q(x, t)q^*(-x, t)$, was shown to be \mathcal{PT} -symmetric for the fixed time. Here the parity reflection operator $\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow -x$ is linear whereas the time reflection operator $\mathcal{T} : t \rightarrow -t, i \rightarrow -i$ is anti-linear [13]. Nowadays, the \mathcal{PT} symmetry plays a more and more role in many fields of science (see, e.g., Refs. [13–15] and references therein). More recently, we [16] presented a new two-parameter local and nonlocal vector NLS equations ($\mathbf{Q}_{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t}^{(n)}$ model), in which the $\mathbf{Q}_{-1, 1}^{(n)}$ model was shown to be integrable nonlocal vector NLS equations with the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric self-induced potentials for the fixed time. It is still of important significance to seek for integrable nonlinear wave models in the soliton theory and integrable systems [1, 17]. Up to now, there are a few nonlinear systems which are both integrable and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. In this letter we will introduce and investigate in detail a new nonlocal general model, which is integrable and contains the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric self-induced potentials.

II. A NEW NONLOCAL GENERAL VECTOR MODEL: INTEGRABILITY AND REDUCTIONS

Nonlinear model.—In this letter, we introduce a new general one-parameter (ϵ_x) model (shortly called $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(nm)}$ model)

$$i\mathbf{Q}_t(x, t) = -\mathbf{Q}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\mathbf{Q}(x, t)\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}(x, t), \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \quad (1)$$

containing the local ($\epsilon_x = 1$) and *novel* nonlocal ($\epsilon_x = -1$) general VNLS equations, where $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$, the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to corresponding variables, $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$ can be regarded as the identity (+) and \mathcal{PT} (−) symmetric parameters, $\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = (q_{ij}(x, t))_{n \times m}$ is an $n \times m$ slowly varying complex-valued amplitude matrix, $\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)$ denotes the transpose conjugate of $\mathbf{Q}(\epsilon_x x, t)$, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^\dagger = (M_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is an $n \times n$ constant Hermite matrix with $|M| \neq 0$. We now consider the simple case $m = 1$, the self-induced potentials $2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(-x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{-1}^{(n1)}$ model may *not* be real-valued, but self-induced potentials $2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ is real-valued in $\mathcal{G}_{+1}^{(n1)}$ model. The quasi-power defined by $G_{\epsilon_x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{Q}(x, t)dx$ is conserved during evolution. The total power of Eq. (1)

*Electronic address: zyyan@mmrc.iss.ac.cn

is defined by $P_{\epsilon_x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t) \mathbf{Q}(x, t) dx$. It can be shown that the power $P_{-1}(t)$ is in general *not* conserved but the power $P_{+1}(t)$ is conserved during evolution since $dP_{\epsilon_x}(t)/(dt) = -2i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx |\mathbf{Q}(x, t)|^2 [\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t) - \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(\epsilon_x x, t)]$.

Lax pair.—To seek for Lax pair of system (1), we start from the linear iso-spectral problem (Lax pair) [17]

$$\Psi_x = W_{n+m}(x, t, \lambda) \Psi, \quad W_{n+m}(x, t, \lambda) = -i\lambda \Sigma_3 + U, \quad (2a)$$

$$\Psi_t = V_{n+m}(x, t, \lambda) \Psi, \quad V_{n+m}(x, t, \lambda) = -2i\lambda^2 \Sigma_3 + 2\lambda U - i(U_x + U^2) \Sigma_3, \quad (2b)$$

where $\Psi = \Psi(x, t) = (\psi_1(x, t), \psi_2(x, t), \dots, \psi_{n+m}(x, t))^T$ is a complex column eigenvector, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is an iso-spectral parameter, the generalized Pauli matrix Σ_3 and potential matrix $U = U(x, t)$ are given by

$$\Sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & 0_{n \times m} \\ 0_{m \times n} & -\mathbf{I}_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad U(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & \mathbf{Q}(x, t) \\ \mathbf{R}(x, t) & 0_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{I}_n and 0_n are $n \times n$ unity and zero matrixes, respectively, $0_{n \times m}$ is a $n \times m$ zero matrix, and $\mathbf{R}(x, t) = (r_{ij}(x, t))_{m \times n}$ is a $m \times n$ complex-valued function matrix. The compatibility condition of Lax pair (2a)-(2b) $\Psi_{xt} = \Psi_{tx}$, that is, the zero curvature equation $W_{n+m,t} - V_{n+m,x} + [W_{n+m}, V_{n+m}] = 0$, yields a system of $2nm$ -component nonlinear wave models [17]

$$i\mathbf{Q}_t(x, t) = -\mathbf{Q}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\mathbf{Q}(x, t)\mathbf{R}(x, t)\mathbf{Q}(x, t), \quad (3a)$$

$$-i\mathbf{R}_t(x, t) = -\mathbf{R}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\mathbf{R}(x, t)\mathbf{Q}(x, t)\mathbf{R}(x, t). \quad (3b)$$

In the following we consider system (3) for the special potential matrix $\mathbf{R}(x, t)$. The symmetric reduction $\mathbf{R}(x, t) = \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t)\mathbf{M}$ with the constant matrix $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^\dagger$ and $|\mathbf{M}| \neq 0$ [18] of system (3) yields the general vector NLS equations

$$i\mathbf{Q}_t(x, t) = -\mathbf{Q}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\mathbf{Q}(x, t)\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}(x, t), \quad (4)$$

which corresponds to Eq. (1) with $\epsilon_x = 1$. Eq. (4) can further yield the general coupled NLS equations ($m = 1$) for the general Hermite matrix \mathbf{M} [18], as well as the Manakov system ($n = 2, m = 1$) and vector NLS equation [19] for the special Hermite matrix $\mathbf{M} = \pm \mathbf{I}_n$.

We nowadays choose a new one-parameter (ϵ_x) symmetric reduction

$$\mathbf{R}(x, t) = \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{M}, \quad (\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^\dagger, \quad |\mathbf{M}| \neq 0, \quad \epsilon_x = \pm 1) \quad (5)$$

such that system (3) becomes the above-introduced new nonlocal system (1). Therefore, we have shown that system (1) admits the Lax pair (2a) and (2b) with $\mathbf{R}(x, t)$ given by symmetric constraint (5).

In what follows we investigate the $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(nm)}$ model (1) with $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$ and $m = 1$ in details, in which $\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = (q_1(x, t), q_2(x, t), \dots, q_n(x, t))^T$ is a complex-valued column vector. Eq. (1) with $n = m = 1$ (i.e., $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(11)}$ model) yields the usually local ($\epsilon_x = 1$) [2] and nonlocal ($\epsilon_x = -1$) NLS equation [12] in the unified form

$$iq_{1,t}(x, t) = -q_{1,xx}(x, t) + 2M_{11}q_1^2(x, t)q_1^*(\epsilon_x x, t), \quad M_{11} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6)$$

which is completely integrable, where the star denotes the complex conjugate. Eq. (1) with $n = 2, m = 1$ ($\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(21)}$ model) yields the local ($\epsilon_x = 1$) [18] and *new* nonlocal ($\epsilon_x = -1$) vector NLS equations

$$iq_{j,t}(x, t) = -q_{j,xx}(x, t) + 2[M_{11}q_1(x, t)q_1^*(\epsilon_x x, t) + M_{22}q_2(x, t)q_2^*(\epsilon_x x, t) \\ + M_{12}^*q_1(x, t)q_2^*(\epsilon_x x, t) + M_{12}q_2(x, t)q_1^*(\epsilon_x x, t)]q_j(x, t), \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (7)$$

where $M_{jj} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, 2$), M_{jj} and λ_{kk} ($k \neq j$) denote the self-phase modulation and cross-phase modulation effects for the component q_j , respectively, M_{12} and M_{12}^* are the four wave mixing effects.

For the special parameters $\{\epsilon_x, M_{ij}\}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x}^{(21)}$ model (7) can reduce to some known models: i) we fix $\epsilon_x = 1$. when $M_{11} = M_{22} = \sigma$, $M_{12} = 0$, system (7) is just the well-known Manakov system [19]; when $M_{11} = -a = -M_{22}$, $M_{12} = 0$, system (7) becomes the mixed coupled NLS equations [20]; when $M_{11} = -a$, $M_{22} = -c$, $M_{12} = -b^*$, system (7) becomes the general coupled NLS equations [18]. (ii) we fix $\epsilon_x = -1$. When $M_{11} = M_{22} = \sigma$, $M_{12} = 0$, system (7) is just the known nonlocal vector NLS equations reported recently in Ref. [16]; otherwise we obtain the *new nonlocal* vector NLS equations [cf. system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$].

Reductions.—We now further reduce system (1) by considering the property of Hermite matrix \mathbf{M} . Since \mathbf{M} is a Hermite (self-adjoint) matrix and $|\mathbf{M}| \neq 0$, then it has n non-zero real eigenvalues λ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and there

exists an $n \times n$ unitary matrix \mathbf{B} (i.e., $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^\dagger = \mathbf{B}^\dagger\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}_n$) such that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{B}^\dagger$, where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is a real diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements being λ_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$). We now make the transformation in system (1)

$$\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = \mathbf{B}\Phi(x, t), \quad (8)$$

where $\Phi(x, t) = (\phi_{ij}(x, t))_{n \times m}$, as a consequence, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = \Phi^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{B}^\dagger\mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}\Phi(x, t) = \Phi^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{\Lambda}\Phi(x, t), \quad (9)$$

such that system (1) reduces to

$$i\Phi_t(x, t) = -\Phi_{xx}(x, t) + 2\Phi(x, t)\Phi^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\mathbf{\Lambda}\Phi(x, t). \quad (10)$$

If we further make the scaling transformation $\Phi(x, t) = \hat{\Lambda}\hat{\Phi}(x, t)$ with $\hat{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(1/\sqrt{|\lambda_1|}, 1/\sqrt{|\lambda_2|}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{|\lambda_n|})$, then system (10) becomes

$$i\hat{\Phi}_t(x, t) = -\hat{\Phi}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\hat{\Phi}(x, t)\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, t)\hat{\mathbf{I}}_n\hat{\Phi}(x, t), \quad \hat{\mathbf{I}}_n = \text{diag}(\text{sgn}(\lambda_1), \text{sgn}(\lambda_2), \dots, \text{sgn}(\lambda_n)), \quad (11)$$

Thus the transformation between systems (1) and (11) can be written as

$$\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = \mathbf{B}\hat{\Lambda}\hat{\Phi}(x, t). \quad (12)$$

(i) If $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_n = \pm\mathbf{I}_n$ and $\epsilon_x = 1$, then system (11) becomes the known one [17]; otherwise, it is a mixed system; (ii) If $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_n = \pm\mathbf{I}_n$, $m = 1$, and $\epsilon_x = -1$, then system (11) becomes the nonlocal focusing (+) or defocusing (-) vector NLS equations presented recently [12, 16]; otherwise, it is a new mixed system.

Conservation laws.—In the following we apply the Lax pair (2a) and (2b) with constraint (5) to seek for the infinite number of conservation laws of system (1) with $m = 1$. We introduce the following n new complex functions [21]

$$\omega_j(x, t) = \frac{\psi_j(x, t)}{\psi_{n+1}(x, t)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (13)$$

on the basis of $n + 1$ eigenfunctions $\psi_j(x, t)$ of Lax pair (2a)-(2b) with condition (5) and $m = 1$ such that we find that $\omega_j(x, t)$ satisfy n -component Riccati equations from Eq. (2a)

$$\omega_{j,x}(x, t) = -\omega_j(x, t) \sum_{s,l=1}^n M_{kl}q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t)\omega_l(x, t) - 2i\lambda\omega_j(x, t) + q_j(x, t), \quad (14)$$

where we have used $r_j(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{kj}q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t)$. To determine the functions $\omega_j(x, t)$ we assume that they are of the form

$$\omega_j(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_j^{(s)}(x, t)}{(2i\lambda)^{s+1}}. \quad (15)$$

We substitute Eq. (15) into Eq. (14) and compare the coefficients of same terms $(2i\lambda)^s$ ($s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) to determine these functions $\omega_j(x, t)$ in terms of potentials $q_j(\epsilon_x x, t)$ and $q_j(x, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j^{(0)}(x, t) &= q_j(x, t), \quad \omega_j^{(1)}(x, t) = -q_{j,x}(x, t), \quad \omega_j^{(2)}(x, t) = q_{j,xx}(x, t) - q_j(x, t) \sum_{k,l=1}^n M_{kl}q_l(x, t)q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t), \\ \omega_j^{(s+1)}(x, t) &= -\sum_{i,l=1}^n M_{li}q_l^*(\epsilon_x x, t) \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \omega_j^{(k)}(x, t)\omega_i^{(s-k)}(x, t) - \omega_{j,x}^{(s)}(x, t), \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It follows from Lax pair (2a) and (2b) with condition (5) and $m = 1$ that we have

$$(\ln |\psi_{n+1}|)_x = i\lambda + F(x, t), \quad (\ln |\psi_{n+1}|)_t = 2i\lambda^2 + G(x, t),$$

where $F(x, t) = \sum_{k,j=1}^n q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t)M_{kj}\omega_j(x, t)$ and $G(x, t) = \sum_{k,j=1}^n M_{kj}\{[2\lambda q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t) - i\epsilon_x q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t)]\omega_j(x, t) + iq_j(x, t)q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t)\}$ with $q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t) = \partial q_k^*(\xi, t)/(\partial \xi)|_{\xi=\epsilon_x x}$. Thus, the compatibility condition, $(\ln |\psi_{n+1}|)_{xt} = (\ln |\psi_{n+1}|)_{tx}$ leads to the conservation laws

$$\partial_t F(x, t) = \partial_x G(x, t). \quad (17)$$

We substitute expression (15) into Eq. (17) and compare the coefficients of same terms $(2i\lambda)^{-j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) yields the infinite number of conservation laws [cf. Eq. (16)]. For example, we have the first two local ($\epsilon_x = 1$) and nonlocal ($\epsilon_x = -1$) conservation laws of system (1) with $m = 1$

$$\partial_t \sum_{k,j=1}^n M_{kj}q_j(x, t)q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t) = i\partial_x \sum_{k,j=1}^n M_{kj}[q_{j,x}(x, t)q_k^*(\epsilon_x x, t) - \epsilon_x q_j(x, t)q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t)].$$

$$\partial_t \sum_{k,j=1}^n M_{kj} q_j(x,t) q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t) = i \partial_x \sum_{k,j=1}^n M_{kj} [q_{j,x}(x,t) q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t) - \epsilon_x q_j(x,t) q_{k,xx}^*(\epsilon_x x, t) - \epsilon_x q_j^2(x,t) q_k^{*2}(\epsilon_x x, t)].$$

where $q_{k,x}^*(\epsilon_x x, t) = q_{k,\xi}^*(\xi, t)|_{\xi=\epsilon_x x}$.

The two-parameter (ϵ_x, ϵ_t) extension of the model (1).—In fact, we can also extend the model (1) to the more general form (simply called $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t}^{(nm)}$ model)

$$i \mathbf{Q}_t(x, t) = -\mathbf{Q}_{xx}(x, t) + 2\mathbf{Q}(x, t) \mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, \epsilon_t t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t), \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \quad (18)$$

where $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$, $\epsilon_t = \pm 1$ and other matrix \mathbf{M} and $\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ are the same as ones in system (1). When $\epsilon_t = 1$, system (18) reduces to the integrable model (1). But when $\epsilon_t = -1$, system (18) is also new and its integrable properties (e.g., Lax pair and conservation laws) will be discussed in another literature.

III. \mathcal{PT} -SYMMETRIC INVARIANCE AND SELF-INDUCED POTENTIALS

It is easy to see that system (18) is invariant under the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric transformation ($\mathcal{P} : x \rightarrow -x$; $\mathcal{T} : t \rightarrow -t$, $i \rightarrow -i$), that is, if $\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ is a solution of system (18), then its \mathcal{PT} counterpart $\mathcal{PT}\mathbf{Q}(x, t) = \mathbf{Q}^*(-x, -t)$ also solve the same system.

System (18) with $m = 1$ can be rewritten as $i \mathbf{Q}_t(x, t) = \mathbf{H}_n(\epsilon_x x, \epsilon_t t) \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$, where the Hamiltonian matrix operator \mathbf{H}_n with self-induced potential $2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, \epsilon_t t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ [22] is given by

$$\mathbf{H}_n(\epsilon_x x, \epsilon_t t) = [-\partial_x^2 + 2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(\epsilon_x x, \epsilon_t t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)] \mathbf{I}_n \quad (19)$$

In what follows we consider the \mathcal{PT} symmetry of the matrix operator under the basic definition of \mathcal{PT} symmetry [13].

(i) When $\epsilon_x = -\epsilon_t = -1$, we have the self-induced potential $V_{n-+}(x, t) = 2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(-x, t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ and find

$$V_{n-+}(x, t) \neq V_{n-+}(-x, t), \quad V_{n-+}(x, t) = V_{n-+}^*(-x, t), \quad V_{n-+}(x, t) \neq V_{n-+}^*(x, -t), \quad V_{n-+}(x, t) \neq V_{n-+}^*(-x, -t),$$

in terms of the Hermiticity of \mathbf{M} , that is, the self-induced potential $V_{n-+}(x, t)$ is not necessarily \mathcal{P} -, \mathcal{T} -, and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. But it is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric for any solution $\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ of system (1) if t is regarded as a fixed real parameter.

(ii) When $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_t = 1$, we know the self-induced potential $V_{n++}(x, t) = 2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ and find

$$V_{n++}(x, t) \neq V_{n++}(-x, t), \quad V_{n++}(x, t) \neq V_{n++}^*(-x, t), \quad V_{n++}(x, t) \neq V_{n++}^*(x, -t), \quad V_{n++}(x, t) \neq V_{n++}^*(-x, -t),$$

for $\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$, that is, the self-induced potential $V_{n++}(x, t)$ is not necessarily \mathcal{P} -, \mathcal{T} -, and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric. But if $\mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ is an even column function matrix about space, then we have $V_{n++}(x, t) = V_{n++}^*(-x, t)$, that is, it is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric for the fixed parameter t .

(iii) When $\epsilon_x = -\epsilon_t = 1$, we know the self-induced potential $V_{n+-}(x, t) = 2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(x, -t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ and find

$$V_{n+-}(x, t) \neq V_{n+-}(-x, t), \quad V_{n+-}(x, t) \neq V_{n+-}^*(-x, t), \quad V_{n+-}(x, t) = V_{n+-}^*(x, -t), \quad V_{n+-}(x, t) \neq V_{n+-}^*(-x, -t),$$

that is, the self-induced potential $V_{n+-}(x, t)$ is \mathcal{T} -symmetric and not necessarily \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{PT} -symmetric.

(iv) When $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_t = -1$, we know the self-induced potential $V_{n--}(x, t) = 2\mathbf{Q}^\dagger(-x, -t) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q}(x, t)$ and find

$$V_{n--}(x, t) \neq V_{n--}(-x, t), \quad V_{n--}(x, t) \neq V_{n--}^*(-x, t), \quad V_{n--}(x, t) \neq V_{n--}^*(x, -t), \quad V_{n--}(x, t) = V_{n--}^*(-x, -t),$$

that is, the self-induced potential $V_{n--}(x, t)$ is \mathcal{PT} -symmetric and not necessarily \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{T} -symmetric.

IV. MULTI-LINEAR FORM AND SYMMETRY REDUCTIONS

We now consider some symmetry reductions of system (1) with $\epsilon_x = -1$, $m = 1$. If $q_j(-x, t) = \epsilon_j q_j(x, t)$ with $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$, that is, they are even or odd functions for x , then nonlocal system (1) reduces to the local system (4) whose solutions have been studied (see, e.g., Refs. [18, 23, 24] for $n = 2$).

Mutil-linear form.—We make the general rational transformations [25] in Eq. (1) with $\epsilon_x = -1$ and $m = 1$

$$q_j(x, t) = \frac{g_j(x, t)}{f(x, t)}, \quad f(x, t), g_j(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}[x, t] \quad (20)$$

where $f(x, t), g_j(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}[x, t]$ such that we have the system of (bi, tri)-linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bilinear eq. : } & (iD_t + D_x^2 - \mu)g_j(x, t) \cdot f(x, t) = 0, \\ \text{Trilinear eq. : } & f^*(-x, t)(D_x^2 - \mu)f(x, t) \cdot f(x, t) = 2f(x, t)\mathbf{G}^\dagger(-x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{G}(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{G}(x, t) = (g_1(x, t), g_2(x, t), \dots, g_n(x, t))^T$ and $\mathbf{G}^\dagger(-x, t)$ is the transpose conjugate of $\mathbf{G}(-x, t)$, D_t and D_x are both Hirota's bilinear operators defined by [25] $D_t^n D_x^n f \cdot g = (\partial_t - \partial_{t'})^n (\partial_x - \partial_{x'})^n [f(x, t)g(x, t)]|_{x=x', t=t'}$. If $\mathbf{G}^\dagger(-x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{G}(x, t)$ is a real-valuable function and $f(x, t)$ is an even function, then we can assume $f(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}[x, t]$ in from Eq. (20), which leads to $f^*(-x, t) = f(x, t)$. Thus Eq. (21) becomes a bilinear equation.

$$(iD_t + D_x^2 - \mu)g_j(x, t) \cdot f(x, t) = 0, \quad (D_x^2 - \mu)f(x, t) \cdot f(x, t) = 2\mathbf{G}^\dagger(-x, t)\mathbf{M}\mathbf{G}(x, t),$$

Direct symmetry reductions.—Now we apply the direct reduction method [12, 16, 26] to study the symmetry reduction of system (1) with $m = 1$

$$q_j(x, t) = \frac{p_j(z)}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{i\mu \log |t|/2}, \quad z(x, t) = x/\sqrt{2t}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_j(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, we find the local and nonlocal ordinary differential system with variable coefficients for $p_j(z)$

$$p_{j,zz} - (\mu + i)p_j(z) - izp_{j,z}(z) - 2p_j(z) \sum_{k,s=1}^n M_{ks} p_s(z) p_k^*(\epsilon_x z) = 0, \quad (23)$$

where $\hat{z} = \epsilon_x x/\sqrt{2t}$. Notice that i) when $\epsilon_x = 1$, we know $\hat{z} = z$ and $p_j^*(\hat{z}) = p_j^*(z)$ such that the symmetry reduction becomes the usual local result; ii) when $\epsilon_x = -1$, we have $\hat{z} = -z$ and $p_j^*(\hat{z}) = p_j^*(-z)$ such that the symmetry reduction given by Eq. (22) and (23) becomes the nonlocal case.

Similarly, we consider the stationary solution of system (1) with $m = 1$ $q_j(x, t) = p_j(x)e^{i\mu t}$, ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_j(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, and $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the functions $p_j(x)$ satisfy the ordinary differential system with constant coefficients

$$p_{j,xx} - \mu p_j(x) - 2p_j(x) \sum_{k,s=1}^n M_{ks} p_s(x) p_k^*(\epsilon_x x) = 0, \quad (24)$$

More symmetry reductions of system (1) with any m may be found by using Lie classical and non-classical symmetric methods [27].

Exact solutions.—For the nonlocal system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$, we will study it some solutions. We make the transformation [24]

$$q_1(x, t) = p_1(x, t) + M_{12}p_2(x, t), \quad q_2(x, t) = -M_{11}p_2(x, t) \quad (25)$$

such that system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$ reduces to

$$ip_{j,t}(x, t) = -p_{j,xx}(x, t) + 2M_{11}[p_1(x, t)p_1^*(-x, t) + M_d p_2(x, t)p_2^*(-x, t)]p_j(x, t), \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (26)$$

where $M_d = M_{11}M_{22} - |M_{12}|^2 \neq 0$. If $p_2(x, t) = Cp_1(x, t)$ with C being a constant, then we can reduce system (26) to one nonlocal NLS equation [12]

$$ip_{1,t}(x, t) = -p_{1,xx}(x, t) + 2M_{11}(1 + M_d|C|^2)p_1^2(x, t)p_1^*(-x, t), \quad (27)$$

whose solutions were obtained in Ref. [12, 16] such that we can obtain the solutions of system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$ using the transformation (25). Particularly, if $1 + M_d|C|^2 = 0$ for some parameter C , then Eq. (27) becomes a complex linear heat equation $ip_{1,t}(x, t) = -p_{1,xx}(x, t)$, which admits the plane wave solutions $p_1(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \exp[ik_j(x - k_j t)]$ for $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Here we give other periodic wave solutions of nonlocal system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$

$$q_{1,sc}(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2(1 - m^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}}} \text{sn}(\beta x, k) e^{i\mu_1 t} + M_{12} \sqrt{-\frac{\beta^2(1 + k^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d}} \text{cn}(\beta x, k) e^{i(\beta^2 k^2 + \mu_1)t}, \quad (28a)$$

$$q_{2,sc}(x, t) = -M_{11} \sqrt{-\frac{\beta^2(1 + m^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d}} \text{cn}(\beta x, k) e^{i(\beta^2 k^2 + \mu_1)t}, \quad (28b)$$

$$q_{1,sd}(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2(k^2 - 1) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}}} \operatorname{sn}(\beta x, k) e^{i\mu_1 t} + M_{12} \sqrt{-\frac{\beta^2(1 + k^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d}} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k) e^{i(\beta^2 + \mu_1)t}, \quad (29a)$$

$$q_{2,sd}(x, t) = -M_{11} \sqrt{-\frac{\beta^2(1 + k^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d}} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k) e^{i(\beta^2 + \mu_1)t}, \quad (29b)$$

$$q_{1,cd}(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1 - \beta^2}{2M_{11}(1 - k^2)}} \operatorname{cn}(\beta x, k) e^{i\mu_1 t} + M_{12} \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2(1 - 2k^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d(k^2 - 1)}} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k) e^{i[\beta^2(1 - k^2) + \mu_1]t}, \quad (30a)$$

$$q_{2,cd}(x, t) = -M_{11} \sqrt{\frac{\beta^2(1 - 2k^2) + \mu_1}{2M_{11}M_d(k^2 - 1)}} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k) e^{i[\beta^2(1 - k^2) + \mu_1]t}, \quad (30b)$$

$$q_{1,scd}(x, t) = \frac{(1 + M_{12}h)[2Ak\beta \operatorname{cn}(\beta x, k) + i\nu \operatorname{sn}(\beta x, k)]}{2kB\beta + 2\sqrt{k^2B^2\beta^2 + 4A^2M_{11}(1 + M_dh^2)} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k)} e^{i\beta^2(k^2 - 2)/2t}, \quad (31a)$$

$$q_{2,scd}(x, t) = -\frac{M_{11}h[2Ak\beta \operatorname{cn}(\beta x, k) + i\nu \operatorname{sn}(\beta x, k)]}{2Bk\beta + 2\sqrt{k^2B^2\beta^2 + 4A^2M_{11}(1 + M_dh^2)} \operatorname{dn}(\beta x, k)} e^{i\beta^2(k^2 - 2)/2t}, \quad (31b)$$

where μ_1, β, A, B, h are all real constants, $k \in (0, 1)$ is the elliptic modulus, and $\nu = k\beta\sqrt{4M_{11}^2(1 - k^2) - B^2\beta^2k^4}/[M_{11}(1 + M_dh^2)]$. In particular, when $k \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow 1$, it follows from Eqs. (28)-(31) that we have the trigonometric function solutions and solitary wave solutions of nonlocal system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$, respectively. The family of solutions (30) and (31) are \mathcal{PT} -symmetric.

The nonlocal system (7) with $\epsilon_x = -1$ and system (18) also possess other types of solutions such as breather solutions, rational solitons and rogue waves, which will be studied using the generalized Darboux transformation [28] and other approaches in another literature because of the page limit.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions, we have first introduced a two-parameter model including both the known local and new nonlocal general VNLS equation. The nonlocal general VNLS equations $\mathcal{G}_{-1,1}^{(nm)}$ are shown to admit the Lax pair and infinite number of conservation laws for $m = 1$. The model $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t}^{(nm)}$ was shown to be of the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric invariance. The \mathcal{PT} symmetry of the self-induced potentials in the model $\mathcal{G}_{\epsilon_x, \epsilon_t}^{(n1)}$ is studied for the distinct parameters ϵ_x and ϵ_t . Particularly, based on some transformations we can reduce the nonlocal general VNLS equations to another nonlocal VNLS equations containing the known focusing and defocusing nonlocal VNLS equations [16] and new mixed nonlocal VNLS equations. Their multi-linear forms and symmetry reductions are given. Moreover, we mainly find exact solutions of the nonlocal general two-component NLS equations containing double-periodic wave solutions, trigonometric function solutions and solitary wave solutions. The idea used in this letter may also be extended to find other new integrable nonlocal models.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments. This work was supported by the NSFC under Grant No. 11571346 and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS.

References

-
- [1] M. J. Ablowitz and P. A. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
 - [2] C. Sulem and P. Sulem, *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
 - [3] Y. S. Kivshar and G. P. Agrawal, *Optical Solitons: from Fibers to Photonic Crystals* (Academic Press, New York, 2003).
 - [4] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
 - [5] B. A. Malomed, D. Mihalache, F. Wise, and L. Torner, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt.* **7**, R53 (2005).
 - [6] A. R. Osborne, *Nonlinear Ocean Waves* (Academic Press, New York, 2009).
 - [7] V. G. Ivancevic, *Cognitive Computation* **2**, 17 (2010); Z. Yan, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **54**, 947 (2010); *ibid*, *Phys. Lett. A* **375**, 4274 (2011).

- [8] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8**, 226 (1974); P. P. Kulish and E. K. Sklyanin, *Phys. Lett. A* **84**, 349 (1981).
- [9] Y. C. Ma, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **60**, 43 (1979).
- [10] N. Akhmediev, and V. I. Korneev, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **69**, 1089 (1986).
- [11] K. B. Dysthe and K. Trulsen, *Phys. Scr. T82*, 48 (1999).
- [12] M. J. Ablowitz and Z. H. Musslimani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 064105 (2013).
- [13] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998).
- [14] Z. H. Musslimani *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 030402 (2008); K. G. Makris *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 103904 (2008); D. A. Zezyulin and V. V. Konotop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 213906 (2012); Z. Yan *et al.*, arXiv:1009.4023; Z. Yan, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **371**, 20120059 (2013); Z. Yan *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 022913 (2015); Z. Yan, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023821 (2015); Y. Chen and Z. Yan, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 23478 (2016).
- [15] A. Guo *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 093902 (2009); C. E. Ruter *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 192 (2010); B. Peng *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 394 (2014).
- [16] Z. Yan, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **47**, 61 (2015).
- [17] M. J. Ablowitz *et al.*, *Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [18] D. Wang, D. Zhang and J. Yang, *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 023510 (2010).
- [19] S. V. Manakov, *Sov. Phys.-JETP* **38**, 248 (1974).
- [20] V. E. Zakharov and E. I. Schulman, *Physica D* **4**, 270 (1982).
- [21] M. Wadati, H. Sanuki, and K. Konno, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **53**, 419 (1975).
- [22] M. Mitchell *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4990 (1997).
- [23] N. V. Priya, M. Senthilvelan, and M. Lakshmanan, *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 062901 (2014).
- [24] A. Agalarov, *et al.* *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 042909 (2015).
- [25] R. Hirota, *The Direct Method in Soliton Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [26] P. A. Clarkson and M. D. Kruskal, *J. Math. Phys.* **30**, 2201 (1989).
- [27] G. W. Bluman and Z. Yan, *Euro. J. Appl. Math.* **16**, 239 (2005).
- [28] X. Wen and Z. Yan, *Chaos* **25**, 123115 (2015).