CUMULANTS FOR FINITE FREE CONVOLUTION

OCTAVIO ARIZMENDI AND DANIEL PERALES

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define cumulants for finite free convolution. We give a moment-cumulant formula and show that these cumulants satisfy desired properties: they are additive with respect to finite free convolution and they approach free cumulants as the dimension goes to infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the original paper of Voiculescu [18], where he discovered *asymptotic free*ness, Free Probability has given answers to many questions in random matrix theory in the *asymptotic regime*, see for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 14]. Recently, Marcus, Spielman, and Srivastava [9] found a connection between polynomial convolutions and addition of random matrices, which in the limit is related to free probability. The key idea is that instead of looking at distributions of eigenvalues of random matrices, one looks at the (expected) characteristic polynomial of a random matrix.

To be precise, for a matrix M, let $\chi_M(x) = \det(xI - M)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix M. For *d*-dimensional hermitian matrices A and B with characteristic polynomials p and q, respectively, one defines the *finite free additive* convolution of p and q to be

$$p(x) \boxplus_d q(x) = \mathbf{E}_Q[\chi_{A+QBQ^T}(x)],$$

where the expectation is taken over orthonormal matrices Q sampled according to the Haar measure. The convolution does not depend on the specific choice of A and B, but only on p and q.

The connection with free probability is that, as $d \to \infty$, this polynomial convolution approximates free additive convolution. The connection is quite remarkable since, as proved in [9], this convolution has appeared before and there are very explicit formulas to calculate the coefficients of the finite free convolution of two polynomials. Indeed, for $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{d-i} (-1)^i a_i^p$ and $q(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{d-i} (-1)^i a_i^q$, the finite free convolution of p and q is given by

$$p(x) \boxplus_d q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^d x^{d-k} (-1)^k \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{(d-i)!(d-j)!}{d!(d-i-j)!} a_i^p a_j^q.$$

A very successful approach to free probability is the combinatorial one developed by Speicher [15] based on free cumulants. He showed that the combinatorial structure of free cumulants is governed by non-crossing partitions. Hence, understanding the combinatorics on non-crossing partitions gave insight in many cases where analytic expressions could not be found.

In this paper we want to give a similar combinatorial treatment to finite free additive convolution. Our main contribution is describing cumulants for finite free

Date: March 9, 2017.

additive convolution, by deriving moment-cumulant formulas. We will give alternative proofs of some of the results presented in [10]. Our approach has the advantage that it does not involve using random matrices and avoids the analytic machinery. Hence, this approach gives a different, combinatorial, understanding of finite free additive convolution. We also prove that these cumulants approximate free cumulants, when the degree of the polynomials tends to infinity.

Apart from this introduction, this paper is organized in five sections. In Section 2, we recall the preliminaries of non-crossing partitions, incidence algebras and free convolution. The main results are divided in Sections 3, 4 and 5. In Section 3 we introduce finite free cumulants and prove that they are additive. In Section 4 we give a moment-cumulant formula. In Section 5 we show that finite free cumulants approach free cumulants. Finally, in Section 6 we give some applications and examples.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give the necessary preliminaries on incidence algebras, partitions, non crossing partitions, and free cumulants. For a detailed account of the combinatorial theory of free probability we refer the reader to the monograph of Nica and Speicher [12].

2.1. **Posets.** We will be concerned with the set of partitions, seen as a poset and with functions in the incidence algebra of this poset. For details, the standard reference is [16].

Incidence algebras were first introduced and systematically studied by Rota [13] in order to generalize the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle. The incidence algebra $I(P, \mathbb{C})$ of a finite poset (P, \leq) consists of all functions $f : P^{(2)} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\pi, \sigma) = 0$ whenever $\pi \nleq \sigma$. We can also consider functions of one variable; these are restrictions of functions of two variables as above to the case where the first argument is equal to 0, i.e. $f(\pi) = f(0, \pi)$ for $\pi \in P$.

We endow $I(P, \mathbb{C})$ with the usual structure of vector space over \mathbb{C} . On this incidence algebra we have a canonical multiplication or (combinatorial) convolution defined by

$$(F\ast G)(\pi,\sigma):=\sum_{\substack{\rho\in P\\\pi\leq\rho\leq\sigma}}F(\sigma,\rho)G(\rho,\sigma).$$

Also, for functions $f: P \to \mathbb{C}$ and $G: P^{(2)} \to \mathbb{C}$ we consider the convolution $f * G: P \to \text{defined by}$

$$(f*G)(\sigma):=\sum_{\substack{\rho\in P\\\rho<\sigma}}f(\rho)G(\rho,\sigma).$$

One of the most important properties of incidence algebras is the following inversion principle, which generalizes the well-known Möbius inversion in \mathbb{N} .

Proposition 2.1 (Principle of Möbius inversion). On any poset (P, \leq) there is a unique Möbius function $\mu : P \times P \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any pair of functions $f, g : P \to \mathbb{C}$ the identity

(2.1)
$$f(x) = \sum_{y \le x} g(y)$$

holds for every $x \in P$ if and only if

(2.2)
$$g(x) = \sum_{y \le x} f(y) \, \mu(y, x)$$

In particular, if, given f, two functions g_1 and g_2 satisfy (2.1), then g_1 and g_2 coincide.

A chain is a totally ordered subset of a poset. We say that P has a minimum if there exists an element $\hat{0} \in P$ such that $t \geq \hat{0}$ for all $t \in P$. Similarly, P has a maximum if there exists $\hat{1} \in P$ such that $t \leq \hat{1}$ for all $t \in P$.

A chain (or totally ordered set or linearly ordered set) is a poset in which any two elements are comparable. A subset C of a poset P is called a chain if C is a chain when regarded as a subposet of P. The chain C of P is called saturated (or unrefinable) if there does not exist $u \in P - C$ such that s < u < t for some $s, t \in C$ and such that $C \cup u$ is a chain. A poset P with minimum $\hat{0}$, is ranked if, for each $x \in P$, every saturated $\hat{0} - x$ chain has the same length.

Given a ranked poset, we get a rank function $rk : P \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by setting rk(x) to be the length of a $\hat{0} - x$ saturated chain. We define the rank of a ranked poset P to be

$$rk(P) = \max_{x \in P} rk(x).$$

Definition 2.2 (Characteristic polynomial of a ranked poset). The characteristic polynomial of a poset P with rank $rk : P \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$\chi(P,t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \mu(0,\pi) t^{rk(P) - rk(x)}.$$

2.2. Set Partitions. Now, we specialize in partitions and non crossing partitions.

Definition 2.3. (1) We call $\pi = \{V_1, ..., V_r\}$ a **partition** of the set $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ if and only if V_i $(1 \le i \le r)$ are pairwise disjoint, non-void subsets of S, such that $V_1 \cup V_2 ... \cup V_r = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We call $V_1, V_2, ..., V_r$ the **blocks** of π . The number of blocks of π is denoted by $|\pi|$.

(2) A partition $\pi = \{V_1, ..., V_r\}$ is called **non-crossing** if for all $1 \le a < b < c < d \le n$ if $a, c \in V_i$ then there is no other subset V_j with $j \ne i$ containing b and d.

We will denote the set of partitions of [n] by $\mathcal{P}(n)$ and the set of non-crossing partitions of [n] by $\mathcal{NC}(n)$.

Many statistics of partitions and non-crossing partitions are known. In particular, the following will be useful for us while comparing finite free cumulants with free cumulants.

Lemma 2.4. Let $r_1, r_2, ..., r_n$ be nonnegative integers such that $r_1+2r_2+\cdots+nr_n = n$. Denote by $p_r = r_1!r_2!\cdots r_n!$ and $r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_n = m$. Then

(1) The number of partitions of π in $\mathcal{NC}(n)$ with r_1 blocks of size 1, r_2 blocks of size 2,..., r_n blocks of size n equals

(2.3)
$$\frac{n!}{p_r(n-m+1)!}$$

(2) The number of partitions of π in $\mathcal{P}(n)$ with r_1 blocks of size 1, r_2 blocks of size 2,..., r_n blocks of size n equals

(2.4)
$$\frac{n!}{p_r(2!)^{r_2}(3!)^{r_3}\cdots(n!)^{r_n}}.$$

The sets $\mathcal{P}(n)$ and $\mathcal{NC}(n)$ can be equipped with the partial order \leq of reverse refinement ($\pi \leq \sigma$ if and only if every block of π is completely contained in a block of σ), making them ranked posets with rank $n - |\pi| + 1$. Thus one can consider the incidence algebras of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ and $\mathcal{NC}(n)$. In both cases the minimum is given by the partition with n blocks, $0_n = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \cdots, \{n\}\}$, and the maximum is given by the partition with 1 block, $1_n = \{\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\}$.

For two partitions σ, ρ in the set of partitions $\mathcal{P}(n)$ the Möbius function is given by

$$\mu(\sigma,\rho) = (-1)^{|\sigma|-|\rho|} (2!)^{r_3} (3!)^{r_4} \cdots ((n-1)!)^{r_n},$$

where r_i is the number of blocks of ρ that contain exactly *i* blocks of σ . In particular, for $\sigma = 0_n$ we have

(2.5)
$$\mu(0_n,\rho) = (-1)^{n-|\rho|} (2!)^{t_3} (3!)^{t_4} \cdots ((n-1)!)^{t_n},$$

where t_i is the number of blocks of ρ of size *i*.

It is well known (see [13]) that for the set of partitions the characteristic polynomial is given by the Pochhammer symbol,

(2.6)
$$\chi(\mathcal{P}(n),t) = (t)_n = t(t-1)\cdots(t-n+1).$$

Given a sequence of complex numbers $f = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we may extend f to partitions in a multiplicative way by the formula

$$f_{\pi} = f_{|V_1|} f_{|V_2|} \cdots f_{|V_n|},$$

where V_1, \ldots, V_n are the blocks of π . In this note we will frequently use the multiplicative extensions of the Pochhammer sequence $(d)_n = (d)(d-1)\cdots(d-n+1)$ and the factorial sequence n!, whose extensions will be denoted by $(d)_{\pi}$ and $N!_{\pi}$, respectively.

For two sequences of complex numbers $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that the formal series expansions hold

$$\log\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_n}{n!}z^n\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_n}{n!}z^n$$

we have the following formula which expresses a_n in terms of b_1, \ldots, b_n as a sum over partitions

(2.7)
$$a_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} b_{\pi} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This last formula is equivalent to the recursion formula

(2.8)
$$a_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k-1}{i-1} b_i a_{k-i} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Conversely, using Möbius inversion formula we can write b_n in terms of a_1, \ldots, a_n ,

$$b_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} a_{\pi}(-1)^{|\pi|-1} (|\pi|-1)! \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.3. Free Convolution. Free convolution was defined in [17] for compactly supported probability measures. Let $G_{\mu}(z)$ be the Cauchy transform of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int \frac{1}{z-t} \mu(dt).$$

For z near infinity, G_{μ} has a compositional inverse, G_{μ}^{-1} . We define the *R*-transform by

$$R_{\mu}(z) = G_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{z}.$$

The free additive convolution of two probability measures μ_1, μ_2 on \mathbb{R} is the probability measure $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$R_{\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2}(z) = R_{\mu_1}(z) + R_{\mu_2}(z).$$

Free additive convolution corresponds to the sum of free random variables: $\mu_a \boxplus \mu_b = \mu_{a+b}$, for a and b free random variables.

The free cumulants [15] are the coefficients $r_n = r_n(\mu)$ in the series expansion

$$R_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n z^n.$$

The sequence $(r_n)_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies the moment-cumulant formula

(2.10)
$$m_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{NC}(n)} r_{\pi}$$

where m_n denotes the *n*-th moment of the measure μ .

3. FINITE FREE CUMULANTS

In this section we want to define a notion of cumulants which satisfy desired properties so that we can calculate finite free convolution using these cumulants.

3.1. **Defining cumulants.** Our viewpoint comes from the following axiomatization of cumulants of Lehner [8].

Definition 3.1. Given a notion of independence on a non commutative probability space (A, ϕ) , a sequence of maps $a \to k_n(a)$, n = 1, 2, ... is called a cumulant sequence if it satisfies

- (1) $k_n(a)$ is a polynomial in the first *n* moments of *a* with leading term $m_n(a)$.
- (2) Homogeneity: $k_n(\lambda a) = \lambda^n k_n(a)$
- (3) Additivity: if a and b are independent random variables, then $k_n(a+b) = k_n(a) + k_n(b)$.

We will define cumulants for finite free additive convolution that we call *finite* free cumulants which satisfy similar properties in the set of monic polynomials of degree d.

First, Property (1) ensures that the moments can be recovered from the cumulants and vice versa. We will replace it by

(1') $k_n(p)$ is a polynomial in the first *n* moments of *a* with leading term $\frac{d^n}{(d)_n}m_n(a)$.

While it may seem strange that the leading term is $\frac{d^n}{(d)_n}m_n(a)$, in practice, there is not much difference (see Remark 4.4) and it will be more convenient to stay with this convention since it will be consistent with the definition of the R transform of Marcus [10].

Homogeneity makes cumulants behave well with respect to *dilations*. To rephrase homogeneity for polynomials we need to translate what dilation means. We define the dilation by $\lambda \neq 0$ of the polynomial p (of degree d) by the formula

$$D_{\lambda}p(x) := \lambda^{-d}p(\lambda x),$$

and $D_0(p(x)) = x^d$. Thus we replace homogeneity by

(2) for all monic polynomial p(x) we have $k_n(D_\lambda p(x)) = \lambda^n k_n(p(x))$.

Finally, additivity which is possibly the most important property of cumulants, ensures that they behave well with respect to convolution and in this case of polynomial convolution will be replaced by

(3) for all monic polynomials p and q, we have $k_n(p \boxplus_d q) = k_n(p) + k_n(q)$.

Our starting point for defining finite free cumulants is the following formula for the finite *R*-transform which follows from Corollary 4.5 in [10].

(3.1)
$$\mathcal{R}_p^d(s) \equiv -\frac{1}{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \ln\left(\sum_{i=0}^d \frac{(-d)^i a_i}{(d)_i} s^i\right) \mod [s^d],$$

when p is the monic polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{d-i} (-1)^{i} a_{i}^{p}$. Since one can recover a polynomial from the first d coefficients of the finite Rtransform it makes sense to consider a truncated R-transform given by the sum of the first d terms in the series expansion of \mathcal{R}_p^d . This truncated R-transform will have the cumulants as coefficients.

Definition 3.2. Let p be a monic polynomial of degree d, and suppose the $\mathcal{R}_p^d(s)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_p^d(s) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \kappa_{j+1}^p s^j \mod [s^d].$$

(1) We call the sum of the first d terms in the series expansion of \mathcal{R}^d the truncated R-transform and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p^d(s)$, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p^d(s) := \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \kappa_{j+1}^p s^j.$$

(2) The numbers $\kappa_1^p, \kappa_2^p, \ldots, \kappa_d^p$ will be called the (*d*-)finite free cumulants.

Our goal will be to recover the truncated R-transform, and thus the finite free cumulants in terms of the polynomial p. Below we will give precise combinatorial formulas between the coefficients, the finite free cumulants and the moments of a polynomial. We will use this formulas to show that these cumulants satisfy the desired properties.

Proposition 3.3. Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the finite free cumulants defined by $p \mapsto \kappa_n^p$, n = $1, 2, \ldots, d$ are the unique maps satisfying properties (1'), (2') and (3').

It is standard that there are unique maps satisfying properties (1'), (2') and (3').

3.2. Finite free cumulants are additive. We start by relating the coefficients of a polynomial p of degree d and its finite free cumulants.

Proposition 3.4 (Coefficient-cumulant formula). Let $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{d-i} (-1)^i a_i^p$ be a polynomial of degree d and let $(\kappa_n^p)_{n=1}^d$ be its finite free cumulants. The following formulas hold.

(3.2)
$$a_n^p = \frac{(d)_n}{d^n n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0_n, \pi) \kappa_\pi^p, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(3.3)
$$\kappa_n^p = \frac{(-d)^n}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} N!_{\pi} a_{\pi}^p (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}} \qquad n = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $N!_{\pi} := \prod_{V \in \pi} (|V|)!$.

Proof. Let us define for i = 1, ..., d the number \tilde{a}_i and b_i by the formulas

$$\tilde{a}_i = \frac{(-d)^i a_i^p}{(d)_i} i!$$
 $b_i = -d\kappa_i^p (i-1)!.$

By definition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \kappa_j^p s^{j-1} \equiv \mathcal{R}_p^d(s) \equiv -\frac{1}{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \ln \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{(-1)^i a_i^p d^i}{(d)_i} s^i \right) \mod [s^d].$$

Multiplying by -d and substituting we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \ln \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d} \frac{\tilde{a}_i}{i!} s^i \right) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{b_j}{(j-1)!} s^{j-1} \mod [s^d].$$

Since $\tilde{a}_0 = 1$ by Eq (2.8) this is equivalent to

(3.4)
$$\tilde{a}_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} b_{\pi} \qquad \text{for } n = 1, \dots d.$$

Now notice that by formula (2.5), for $\pi = \{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$ we have

$$b_{\pi} = b_{|V1|} \cdots b_{|V_n|} = (-d)^{|\pi|} (|V_1| - 1!) \cdots (|V_n| - 1)! k_{\pi}^p = (-1)^n d^{|\pi|} \mu(0_n, \pi) \kappa_{\pi}^p.$$

and thus (3.4) is equivalent to (3.2). By applying Möbius inversion in the set of partitions $\mathcal{P}(n)$, we obtain

$$b_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \tilde{a}_{\pi}(-1)^{|\pi|-1} (|\pi|-1)! \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots d,$$

from which, similarly, follows the second formula by substituting \tilde{a}_n and b_n . \Box

Remark 3.5. (1) Formula (3.3) could be taken as definition of cumulants.

- (2) Notice that formula (3.2) makes sense and is valid, trivially, also for n > d since the left hand-side vanishes.
- (3) Is is noteworthy that (3.3) only depends on the first *n*-cumulants, since there is a priori no reason for this.

Now we show that finite free cumulants are additive with respect to polynomial convolution.

Proposition 3.6. Let p and q be monic polynomials of degree d. Then

$$\kappa_k^{p \boxplus_d q} = \kappa_k^p + \kappa_k^q \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\frac{a_k^{p\boxplus_d q}}{(d)_k} = \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{a_i^p}{(d)_i} \frac{a_j^q}{(d)_j}.$$

substituting $\frac{a_i^p}{(d)_i}$ and $\frac{a_j^q}{(d)_j}$ by the formulas in (3.2) we get that the right-hand side of the equation above is given by

$$\sum_{i+j=k} \left(\frac{1}{d^i i!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(i)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_\pi^p \right) \left(\frac{1}{d^j j!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(j)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_\pi^q \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{d^k k!} \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{k}{i} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(i)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_\pi^p \right) \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(j)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_\pi^q \right).$$

Since, if i + j = k, we can interpret the binomial coefficient, $\binom{k}{i}$, as the number of ways of dividing the set [k] in two subsets, the first one having size i and the second one having size j, we may rewrite the last sum as follows,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i+j=k} \binom{k}{i} \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(i)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_{\pi}^{p} \right) \left(\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(j)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_{\pi}^{q} \right) \\ &= \sum_{S \subset [k]} \left(\sum_{\pi_{1} \in \mathcal{P}(S)} d^{|\pi_{1}|} \mu(0,\pi_{1}) \kappa_{\pi_{1}}^{p} \right) \left(\sum_{\pi_{2} \in \mathcal{P}(S^{c})} d^{|\pi_{2}|} \mu(0,\pi_{2}) \kappa_{\pi_{2}}^{q} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \pi_{2} \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \left(d^{|\pi_{1}|} \mu(0,\pi_{1}) \kappa_{\pi_{1}}^{p} \right) \left(d^{|\pi_{2}|} \mu(0,\pi_{2}) \kappa_{\pi_{2}}^{q} \right) . \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \pi_{2} = \pi} \left(d^{|\pi_{1}|} \mu(0,\pi_{1}) \kappa_{\pi_{1}}^{p} \right) \left(d^{|\pi_{2}|} \mu(0,\pi_{2}) \kappa_{\pi_{2}}^{q} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \pi_{2} = \pi} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \kappa_{\pi_{1}}^{p} \kappa_{\pi_{2}}^{q} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \sum_{\pi_{1} \cup \pi_{2} = \pi} \kappa_{\pi_{1}}^{p} \kappa_{\pi_{2}}^{q} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) (\kappa^{p} + \kappa^{q})_{\pi}, \end{split}$$

where we used that the Möbius function is multiplicative. Thus, we obtain that

$$a_k^{p\boxplus_d q} = \frac{(d)_k}{d^k k!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} (\kappa^p + \kappa^q)_\pi d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Finally, since Equation (3.2) applied to $p \boxplus_d q$ is given by

$$a_k^{p \boxplus_d q} = \frac{(d)_k}{d^k k!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \kappa_{\pi}^{p \boxplus_d q} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

we get the conclusion.

Corollary 3.7. The truncated *R*-transform is additive w.r.t to finite free convolution, i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^d_{p\boxplus_d q} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^d_p + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^d_q.$$

4. Moment-cumulant formulas

For a a polynomial p of degree d with $p(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2) \dots (x - r_d)$ we define the n^{th} moment of p by

$$m_n^p := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d r_i^n.$$

In this section we will give a moment-cumulant formula. This is one of the main contributions of this paper. Before doing this we state the relation between the moments and the coefficients of a_n which follows from Newton Identities.

Lemma 4.1 (Moment-coefficient formula). Let $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} x^{d-i} (-1)^{i} a_{i}^{p}$ be a polynomial of degree d and let $(m_{n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the moments of p. Then

(4.1)
$$a_n^p = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) m_{\pi}^p \qquad n = 1, \dots, d,$$

and

(4.2)
$$m_n^p = \frac{(-1)^n}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} (-1)^{|\pi|} N!_{\pi} (|\pi|-1)! a_{\pi}^p \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Newton identities state that the sequences $b_k = r_1^k + r_2^k + \ldots + r_d^k$ and the coefficients $a_k = \sum_{sym} r_1 r_2 \cdots r_k$ satisfy the relation

(4.3)
$$ka_k^p = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} a_{k-i}^p b_i \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Now let us define the quantities

$$\tilde{a}_k = k! a_k^p, \qquad \tilde{b}_k = (-1)^k (k-1)! b_k \qquad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then (4.3) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{a}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k-1}{i-1} \tilde{b}_i \tilde{a}_{k-i} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, d.$$

By Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) we have that

$$\tilde{a}_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \tilde{b}_{\pi}$$
 for $n = 1, \dots, d$.

Finally, by Möbius inversion formula we obtain

$$\tilde{b}_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \tilde{a}_{\pi}(-1)^{|\pi|-1} (|\pi|-1)! \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots d.$$

Substituting the values of \tilde{a}_i and \tilde{b}_i and observing that $\tilde{b}_i = dm_i$, we obtain the desired formulas.

Now we are able to prove the moment-cumulant formulas. We will omit the superscript p to avoid heavy notation.

Theorem 4.2. Let p be a polynomial of degree d and let $(m_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(\kappa_n)_{n=1}^d$, be the moments and cumulants of p, respectively. Then

(4.4)
$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-d)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) m_{\sigma} \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \frac{\mu(\pi, 1_n)}{(d)_{\pi}},$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^n d^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) m_{\sigma} \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}},$$

for $n = 1, \ldots, d$ and

(4.5)
$$m_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) \kappa_\sigma \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} -\mu(\pi, 1_n)(d)_\pi$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) \kappa_\sigma \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} (-1)^{|\pi|} (d)_\pi (|\pi|-1)!$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Formula (3.3) tells us that

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-d)^n}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} N!_{\pi} a_{\pi}(|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}}$$
$$= \frac{(-d)^n}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}} \prod_{i=1}^j |V_i|! a_{|V_i|},$$

where $\pi = \{V_1, \ldots, V_j\}$. The last product can be rewritten in terms of the moments, by using formula (4.1):

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{j} |V_i|! a_{|V_i|} &= \prod_{i=1}^{j} \sum_{\sigma_i \in \mathcal{P}(|V_i|)} d^{|\sigma_i|} \mu(0, \sigma_i) m_{\sigma_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{j} \sum_{\sigma_i \in \mathcal{P}(|V_i|)} d^{|\sigma_i|} \mu(0, \sigma_i) m_{\sigma_i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_j \\ \sigma_1 \in \mathcal{P}(|V_1|), \dots, \sigma_j \in \mathcal{P}(|V_j|)}} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0, \sigma) m_{\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \leq \pi} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0, \sigma) m_{\sigma}. \end{split}$$

So we conclude that

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-d)^n}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}} \sum_{\sigma \le \pi} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) m_{\sigma}.$$

Changing the order of summation, by first considering the index σ and then the index π we can rewrite the previous formula as

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-1)^n d^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) m_\sigma \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}},$$

for n = 1, ..., d.

The proof of (4.5) follows the same lines, using instead formulas (4.2) and (3.2). \Box

Corollary 4.3. Finite free cumulants satisfy properties (1') and (2').

Proof. Property (1') follows since for $\sigma = 1_n$ the quantity

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}}$$

equals

$$\frac{d^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}(-d)(n-1)!\frac{-1}{(d)_n} = \frac{d^n}{(d)_n}.$$

Homogeneity follows from formula (4.4) since m_{σ} also satisfies it.

Remark 4.4. If one insists that cumulants to have a leading term m_n the formulas above are very similar. Indeed, we could have defined cumulants to be $\tilde{\kappa}_n = \frac{(d)_n}{d^n} \kappa_n$. In this case formulas (4.4) and (4.5) may be rewritten as

(4.6)
$$\tilde{\kappa}_n = \frac{(-1)^n (d)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) m_\sigma \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \frac{(-1)^{|\pi|} (|\pi|-1)!}{(d)_{\pi}},$$

for $n = 1, \ldots, d$ and

(4.7)
$$m_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} (d)_{\sigma} \mu(0,\sigma) \tilde{\kappa}_{\sigma} \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} (-1)^{|\pi|} (d)_{\pi} (|\pi|-1)!.$$

In Section 5 we will analyze the finite free cumulants in the asymptotic regime. Before doing this, we will give a more combinatorial formula for the polynomial

$$P_{\sigma}(d) := \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} (-1)^{|\pi|} (d)_{\pi} (|\pi| - 1)!,$$

appearing in Equation (4.5). We thank Drew Armstrong for pointing this lemma.

Lemma 4.5. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ the following formula holds

$$P_{\sigma}(d) = \sum_{\rho \lor \sigma = 1_n} d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho)$$

Proof. By the known equation $(d)_k = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \mu(0, \pi) d^{|\pi|}$, we can rewrite the polynomial $P_{\sigma}(d)$ as

$$P_{\sigma}(d) = \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} (-1)^{|\pi|} (d)_{\pi} (|\pi| - 1)! = \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma} \mu(\pi, 1_n) \sum_{\rho \le \pi} \mu(0, \rho) d^{|\rho|}$$

$$= \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \mu(0, \rho) d^{|\rho|} \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma, \pi \ge \rho} \mu(\pi, 1_n) = \sum_{\rho \in P(n)} \mu(0, \rho) d^{|\rho|} \sum_{\pi \ge \sigma \lor \rho} \mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

$$= \sum_{\rho: \sigma \lor \rho = 1_n} \mu(0, \rho) d^{|\rho|},$$

where we used in the last inequality the fact that when $\sigma \lor \rho \neq 1_n$.

$$\sum_{\pi \ge \sigma \lor \rho} \mu(\pi, 1_n) = 0.$$

As a corollary we may rewrite the moment-cumulant formula.

Corollary 4.6.

(4.8)
$$m_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\sigma|} \mu(0,\sigma) \kappa_{\sigma} \sum_{\rho: \rho \lor \sigma = 1_n} d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho)$$

(4.9)
$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{d^{n+1}(n-1)!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma,\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n)\\\rho \lor \sigma = 1_n}} d^{|\rho|+|\sigma|} \mu(0,\rho) \mu(0,\sigma) \kappa_{\sigma}$$

5. Cumulants approximate free cumulants

In order to analyze formula (4.8) in the asymptotic regime we need to analyze the asymptotic behavior of the polynomial $P_{\sigma}(d)$. This is the content of the next proposition.

Proposition 5.1. For all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ the polynomial $P_{\sigma}(d)$ is a polynomial (in d) of degree $n + 1 - |\sigma|$ with leading coefficient $\frac{(-1)^{|\sigma|}(n-1)!n_{\sigma}}{(n+1-|\sigma|)!}$, where for $\sigma = \{V_0, \ldots, V_{m-1}\}, n_{\sigma}$ is given by $n_{\sigma} = |V_0||V_2|\cdots|V_{m-1}|$.

Proof. Denote the blocks of σ by $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{m-1}$ with $n \in V_0$, and let us define $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{m-1} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ by $\sigma_i = \{V_1, \ldots, V_{i-1}, V_i \cup V_0, V_{i+1}, \ldots, V_{m-1}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$.

Now, for a given a partition $\pi = \{W_1, \ldots, W_r\} \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)$ and $k \in [n-1]$, we will denote i_k the index of the block in π containing the element $k \ (k \in W_{i_k})$ and $\pi_{i_k} = \{W_1, \ldots, W_{i_k} \cup \{n\}, \ldots, W_r\} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. We will also consider the partition $\pi_0 = \{W_1, \ldots, W_r, \{n\}\} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$.

Now, let us define

$$\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{P}(n) \to \mathcal{P}(n-1)$$
$$\pi \mapsto \hat{\pi} = \{W_1, \dots, W_{i_n} \setminus \{n\}, \dots, W_k\},\$$

where $\pi = \{W_1, ..., W_k\}.$

Observe that given $\hat{\rho} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_r\} \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)$ then $\rho \in \{\hat{\rho}_0, \hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_r\}$ and that from the properties of the Möbius function we have that $d^{|\rho_0|}\mu(0, \rho_0) = d^{|\hat{\rho}|+1}\mu(0, \hat{\rho})$ and $d^{|\rho_i|}\mu(0, \rho_i) = -d^{|\hat{\rho}|}|U^i|\mu(0, \hat{\rho})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

On the other hand we have that

$$\rho_{i_k} \vee \sigma = (\rho_0 \vee (0_{n-1})_{i_k}) \vee \sigma = \rho_0 \vee ((0_{n-1})_{i_k}) \vee \sigma) = \rho_0 \vee \sigma_{i_k}.$$

Since the block in ρ_0 containing *n* has only one element and the block in σ_{i_k} containing *n* has more than one element we get that

$$\rho_{i_k} \vee \sigma = 1_n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_0 \vee \sigma_{i_k} = 1_n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho \vee \hat{\sigma_{i_k}} = 1_{n-1}$$

Therefore we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} d^{|\rho_i|} \mu(0,\rho_i) I_{\rho_i \vee \sigma = 1_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{d^{|\rho_{i_k}|} \mu(0,\rho_{i_k})}{|U_k|} I_{\rho_{i_k} \vee \sigma = 1_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{-d^{|\rho|} |U_k| \mu(0,\rho)}{|U_k|} I_{\rho_{i_k} \vee \sigma = 1_n}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho) I_{\rho \vee \sigma_{i_k}} = 1_{n-1} = -\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_j| d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho) I_{\rho \vee \sigma_j} = 1_{n-1}.$$

Now, we divide in two cases depending on whether |V| > 1 or $|V_0| = 1$.

For the case when $|V_0| > 1$ ($\sigma \leq (1_{n-1})_0$) we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\sigma}(d) &= \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{r} d^{|\rho_{i}|} \mu(0,\rho_{i}) I_{\rho_{i} \vee \sigma = 1_{n}} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} d^{|\rho_{0}|} \mu(0,\rho_{0}) I_{\rho_{0} \vee \sigma = 1_{n}} + \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{r} d^{|\rho_{i}|} \mu(0,\rho_{i}) I_{\rho_{i} \vee \sigma = 1_{n}} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} d^{|\rho|+1} \mu(0,\rho) I_{\rho \vee \hat{\sigma} = 1_{n-1}} - \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_{j}| d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho) I_{\rho \vee \hat{\sigma}_{j} = 1_{n-1}} \\ &= d \sum_{\rho \vee \hat{\sigma} = 1_{n-1}} d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_{j}| \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} d^{|\rho|} \mu(0,\rho) I_{\rho \vee \hat{\sigma}_{j} = 1_{n-1}} \\ &= d P_{\hat{\sigma}}(d) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_{j}| P_{\hat{\sigma}_{j}}(d). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the case $|V_0| = 1$ ($\sigma \leq (1_{n-1})_0$) the condition $\rho_0 \vee \sigma = 1_n$ is never fulfilled for any ρ (because $\rho_0 \vee \sigma \leq (1_{n-1})_0 < 1_n$), and we can observe that $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma_0} = \hat{\sigma_1} = \cdots = \hat{\sigma_{m-1}}$ and therefore in this case we get

$$P_{\sigma}(d) = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} d^{|\rho_0|} \mu(0,\rho_0) I_{\rho_0 \vee \sigma = 1_n} + \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^r d^{|\rho_i|} \mu(0,\rho_i) I_{\rho_i \vee \sigma = 1_n}$$
$$= -\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_j| P_{\hat{\sigma}_j}(d) = -P_{\hat{\sigma}}(d) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_j|$$
$$= -(n-1) P_{\hat{\sigma}}(d)$$

Now, it is easy to prove the claim by induction on n. For the case $l = |V_0| > 1$ one uses the recursion $P_{\sigma}(d) = dP_{\hat{\sigma}}(d) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_j| P_{\hat{\sigma}_j}(d)$. The leading coefficient of $dP_{\hat{\sigma}}(d)$ is given by

(5.1)
$$\frac{(-1)^{|\sigma'|}((n-1)-1)!n_{\sigma'}}{((n-1)+1-|\sigma'|)!} = \frac{(-1)^{|\sigma|}(n-2)!n_{\sigma}}{(n-|\sigma|)!l} (l-1),$$

and the leading coefficient of $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |V_j| P_{\hat{\sigma}_j}(d)$ is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |V_j| \left(\frac{(-1)^{k-1}(n-2)! \left(n\sigma \frac{l-1+|V_j|}{l|V_j|} \right)}{(n+1-|\sigma|)!} \right) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(n-2)! n\sigma}{(n+1-|\sigma|)! l} \left((l-1)(m-1) + (n-l) \right)$$

Subtracting the RHS from (5.1) we obtain the claim for this case. Finally, the case $|V_0| = 1$ follows directly from the the formula $P_{\sigma}(d) = -(n-1)P_{\hat{\sigma}}(d)$.

Now we can prove that finite free cumulants approach free cumulants and thus finite free convolution approaches free convolution as observed by Marcus [10].

Theorem 5.2. The finite free cumulants converge to the free cumulants. That is,

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \kappa_{\sigma}^{(d)} = r_{\sigma}$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. First we rewrite the formula between moments and finite free cumulants in terms of the polynomial $P_{\sigma}(d)$

(5.2)
$$m_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{\kappa_\sigma}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \frac{(-1)^n \mu(0,\sigma)}{(n-1)!} P_\sigma(d).$$

Denote by $Q_{\sigma}(d) := \frac{(n+1-|\sigma|)!}{(-1)^{|\sigma|}(n-1)!n_{\sigma}} P_{\sigma}(d)$. By the previous lemma $Q_{\sigma}(d)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n+1-|\sigma|$. Moreover,

(5.3)
$$\frac{(-1)^n \mu(0,\sigma)}{(n-1)!} P_{\sigma}(d) = \frac{N!_{\sigma}}{(n+1-|\sigma|)!} Q_{\sigma}(d).$$

Now,

$$m_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{\kappa_{\sigma}}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \frac{N!_{\sigma}}{(n+1-|\sigma|)!} Q_{\sigma}(d)$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \frac{N!_{\sigma}}{(n+1-|\sigma|)!} \frac{Q_{\sigma}(d)}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \kappa_{\sigma}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n)} \frac{Q_{\sigma}(d)}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \kappa_{\sigma},$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.4 for the number of partitions and non-crossing partition per type. Since $Q_{\sigma}(d)$ es monic of degree $n + 1 - |\sigma|$, taking limits for $\kappa_n^{(d)}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{d \to \infty} \kappa_n^{(d)} &= \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{d^n}{(d)_n} \left(m_n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n) \\ \sigma \neq 1_n}} \frac{Q_\sigma(d)}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \kappa_\sigma^{(d)} \right) \\ &= m_n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n) \\ \sigma \neq 1_n}} \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{Q_\sigma(d)}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} \kappa_\sigma^{(d)} \\ &= m_n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n) \\ \sigma \neq 1_n}} \lim_{d \to \infty} \kappa_\sigma^{(d)} \\ &= m_n - \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n) \\ \sigma \neq 1_n}} r_\sigma \\ &= r_n, \end{split}$$

where we used induction in the fourth equality. This proves the claim for $\kappa_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The multiplicativity of cumulants shows the result for all partitions.

Corollary 5.3. Finite free convolution approaches free convolution.

6. Applications and examples

Example 6.1 (Central Limit Theorem). Now that we have proved that cumulants satisfy the desired properties, the Central Limit Theorem can be easily derived by a standard argument using them. Indeed, let p be a polynomial with $\kappa_1(p) = 0$, $\kappa_2(p) = 1$ and denote by $p_n = p \boxplus_d \cdots \boxplus_d p$ the *n*-fold finite free convolution of *p*. Then $\kappa_1(D_{1/\sqrt{n}}(p_n)) = 0$, $\kappa_2(D_{1/\sqrt{n}}(p_n)) = 1$ and for r > 2 and

$$\kappa_r(D_{1/\sqrt{n}}(p_n)) = \frac{n}{n^{r/2}}\kappa_r(p) = \frac{1}{n^{r/2-1}}\kappa_r(p) \to 0.$$

From Proposition 3.4 we see that the coefficients of the limiting polynomial are given by $a_{2i+1} = 0$ and

$$a_{2i} = \frac{(d)_{2i}}{d^{2i}(2i)!} \sum_{\pi \in P_2(2i)} d^i (-1)^i = \frac{(d)_{2i}}{d^i} \frac{(-1)^i}{2^i i!},$$

where we used that the set $P_2(2i)$ of pair partitions of [2i] has cardinality $\frac{(2i)!}{2^i i!}$. Hence, we recover the result of Marcus [10] that the Central Limit Theorem is given by the polynomial $d^{-d/2}H_d(\sqrt{dx})^{-1}$ since

$$H_d(x) = d! \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!(d-2i)!} \frac{x^{d-2i}}{2^i}.$$

Example 6.2 (Poisson distribution). A Poisson distribution is a distribution with cumulants $\kappa_n = \lambda$ for all n. In the case of polynomials we assume that λd is a positive integer to obtain a valid polynomial. So let $Poiss(\lambda, d)$ be the polynomial with finite free cumulants equal to λ and degree d. For this case the coefficients are again very explicit in terms of Pochhamer symbols,

$$a_n = \frac{(d)_n}{d^n n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d^{|\pi|} \lambda^{|\pi|} \mu(0,\pi) = \frac{(d)_n}{d^n n!} \chi_{\mathcal{P}(n)}(d\lambda) = \frac{(d)_n}{d^n n!} (d\lambda)_n.$$

For $\lambda = 1/d$ we obtain the $a_1 = 1$ and $a_n = 0$ for $n \ge 2$. i.e. $p(x) = x^d - x^{d-1}$ as observed by Marcus. Notice that in this case something somewhat counter-intuitive happens: all cumulants and all moments equal 1/d.

In general for λd a positive integer we obtain a modification of the Laguerre polynomials. The observation that $(d\lambda)_n = 0$ if and only if $n \ge d\lambda + 1$ shows that for $\lambda < 1$, $Poiss(\lambda, d)$ is of the form $x^d + \cdots + c_{d\lambda} x^{d-d\lambda}$ and thus has a root at 0 of multiplicity $d - d\lambda$ or, equivalently, has an atom of size $1 - \lambda$. This is in accordance with the usual free Poisson distribution. However, contrary to the free case, $Poiss(\lambda, d)$ is **never** infinitely divisible as it will follow from Proposition 6.3.

For a monic polynomial p we denote by $p^{\boxplus_d t}$ the polynomial such that $t\kappa_n(p^{\boxplus_d t}) =$ $t\kappa_n(p)$. A monic polynomial with real roots is said to be infinitely divisible is for all t > 0, the polynomial $p^{\boxplus_d t}$ has real roots.

Proposition 6.3. The following are necessary conditions for infinite divisibility.

- (1) The sequence $\{\tilde{\kappa}_n\}_{n=1}^d$ is conditionally positive definite. (2) The sequence $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^d$ is conditionally positive definite.

¹ Marcus considers the scaling $\kappa_2(p) = 1 - 1/d$.

Proof. Suppose that p is infinitely divisible and let p_N be such that p is the N-fold finite free convolution of p_N . i.e. $p = p_N \boxplus_d \cdots \boxplus_d p_N$, then $N\tilde{\kappa}_n(p_N) = \tilde{\kappa}_n(p)$. Now, by the moment-cumulant formula we may rewrite $\tilde{\kappa}_n(p)$ as

$$N\tilde{\kappa}_n(p_N) = Nm_n(p_N) - N\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{NC}(n)\\\sigma \neq 1_n}} \frac{Q_\sigma(d)}{d^{n+1-|\sigma|}} N^{-|\sigma|} \tilde{\kappa}_\sigma(p)$$

for some fixed Q_{σ} . When N approaches infinity we have that

$$\tilde{\kappa}(p) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N \tilde{\kappa}_n(p_N) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N m_n(p_N).$$

Consider now $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \tilde{\kappa}_{i+j}(p) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j m_{i+j}$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} N \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \int x^{j+i} d\rho(x)$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} N \int \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \alpha_i x^i \bar{\alpha}_j x^j d\rho(x)$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} N \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j x^i\right) \overline{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j x^j\right)} d\rho(x) \ge 0.$$

where ρ is the spectral measure of p. This proves (1).

(1) implies (2). Indeed since $\frac{d^n}{(d)_n}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_n$ are conditionally positive definite then also $\kappa_n = \frac{d^n}{(d)_n} \tilde{\kappa}_n$.

Remark 6.4. The simplest example where (2) does not imply (1) is the Poisson(1, d). That Poisson(1,d) does not satisfy (1) can be seen from the fact that $\frac{(d)_n}{d^n}$ is not conditionally positive definite. While (1) is a stronger condition than (2), neither (1) or (2) are sufficient condition for infinite divisibility. In fact we will characterize infinitely divisible measures as the ones given by the central limit theorem.

The following theorem shows that the class of infinitely divisible measures is rather small.

Theorem 6.5. The unique infinite divisible polynomial p of degree d with $m_1(p) =$ 0 and $m_2(p) = 1$ is the one we get from the Central Limit Theorem, p(x) = $d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{dx}).$

Proof. Suppose that p is infinitely divisible and let p_n be such that p is the n-fold finite free convolution of p_n . i.e. $p = p_n^{\boxplus n} = p_n \boxplus_d \cdots \boxplus_d p_n$. Let us denote by $\lambda_1(p), \lambda_2(p), \ldots, \lambda_d(p)$ the roots of p where $|\lambda_1(p)| \leq |\lambda_2(p)| \leq \cdots \leq |\lambda_d(p)|$. It is readily seen that $\lambda_d^{2k}(p) \leq dm_{2k}(p) \leq d\lambda_d^{2k}(p)$. Since $m_1(p) = 0$, by the properties of cumulants we have that

$$\frac{1}{n}\kappa_2(p) = \kappa_2(p_n) = \frac{d}{d-1}m_2(p_n) \ge \frac{1}{d-1}\lambda_d^2(p_n).$$

Now, using the moment cumulant formula for κ_4 , we obtain

$$|\kappa_4(p)| = |n\kappa_4(p_n)| = n|c_1m_4(p_n) + c_2m_2^2(p_n)| \le nc|\lambda_d^4(p_n) + (\lambda_d^2(p_n))^2|$$

$$\leq 2nc \frac{(d-1)^2 \kappa_2^2(p)}{n^2} = C \frac{\kappa_2^2(p)}{n}$$

where $c_1 = \frac{d^4}{(d)_4}$, $c_2 = \frac{d^4}{(d)_4} \frac{2d-3}{d-1}$, $c = \max(|c_1|, |c_2|)$ and $C = 2c(d-1)^2$.

Since C and $\kappa_2^2(p)$ does not depend on n we get that $C\frac{\kappa_2^2(p)}{n} \to 0$, when $n \to \infty$, implying that $0 \le |\kappa_4(p)| \le 0$, or $\kappa_4 = 0$. Finally, since κ_n is positive definite by Propostion 6.3, we conclude that $\kappa_n = 0$, for all $n \ge 3$. This means that the only polynomial p which is infinitely divisible is the one where all cumulants are null except for κ_2 , or, in other words, $p(x) = d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{dx})$.

Finally, we show that $p^{\boxplus_d t}$ has real roots for t large enough. This is a partial analog of a result of Nica and Speicher [11] where they prove that every probability measure on the line belongs to a \boxplus -partial semi-group { $\mu_t : t \ge 1$ } relative to additive free convolution (i.e., $\mu_{t+s} = \mu_t \boxplus \mu_s$ for $t, s \ge 1$). Our proof resembles more the arguments in [5].

Proposition 6.6. Let $p \neq x^d$ be a real polynomial, then there exists T > 0 such that for all t > T the polynomial $p^{\boxplus_d t}$ has d different real roots.

Proof. By dilating and centering we may assume that $\kappa_1(p) = 0$ and $\kappa_2(p) = 1$. Now for any t the polynomial $D_{1/\sqrt{t}}(p^{\boxplus_d t})$ has d different real roots if and only $p^{\boxplus_d t}$ has them. In the proof of the central limit theorem we never used that N was an integer and thus

$$D_{1/\sqrt{t}}(p^{\boxplus_d t}) \to d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{dx}).$$

Now, convergence of the cumulants is equivalent to convergence of the coefficients. Since, $d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{d}x)$, has d different real roots, by continuity, $D_{1/\sqrt{t}}(p^{\boxplus_d t})$ has also d different real roots for t large enough.

Remark 6.7. One may wonder if it is true that if a polynomial p has real roots then also $p^{\bigoplus_d t}$ has for all t > 0 real roots. This is not true, an explicit example being a Poisson with parameter 1/4 and degree 4. Indeed, $Poiss(1/4, 4) = z^4 - z^3$ has roots $\{0, 0, 0, 1\}$ while the polynomial $Poiss(1/4, 4)^{\bigoplus_4 4/3} = z^4 - (4/3)z^3 + z^2/6 + z/54 + 5/2592$ has roots $\{0.250561, 1.17721, -0.0472193 - 0.0656519i, -0.0472193 + 0.0656519i\}$.

As a final remark we observe that Cramer's theorem does no hold in the finite free case.

Proposition 6.8. (Failure of Cramer's Theorem) There exist polynomials p^+ and p^- which are not a dilation of $d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{dx})$ such that $p^+ \boxplus_d p^- = d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{dx})$.

Proof. Consider, for $\epsilon > 0$, the real polynomials p_{ϵ}^+ and p_{ϵ}^- (possibly with complex roots) such that for $\delta \in \{+, -\}$ the cumulants of p^{δ} are given by $\kappa_1(p_{\epsilon}^{\delta}) = 0, \kappa_2(p_{\epsilon}^{\delta}) = 1, \kappa_3(p^{\delta}) = \delta \epsilon$ and $\kappa_n(p) = 0$, for n > 0. By continuity, since p_{ϵ}^d approximate $d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{d}x)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that p_{ϵ}^+ and p_{ϵ}^- both have reals roots. By construction, $D_{1/2}p_{\epsilon}^+ \boxplus_d D_{1/2}p_{\epsilon}^+ = p_{\epsilon}^+ \boxplus_d p_{\epsilon}^- = d^{-d/2}H_m(\sqrt{d}x)$.

Acknowledgment We thank Drew Armstrong for fruitful discussions on the paper and, in particular, for pointing out Lemma 4.4. The authors were supported by CONACYT Grant 222668 during the writing of this paper.

References

- O. Arizmendi, I. Nechita, C. Vargas, On the asymptotic distribution of block-modified random matrices Journal of Mathematical Physics 57, 015216 (2016)
- [2] S. Belinschi, R. Speicher, J. Treilhard and C. Vargas, Operator-valued free multiplicative convolution: analytic subordination theory and applications to random matrix theory. Int Math Res Notices (2015) 2015 (14): 5933-5958.
- [3] S. Belinschi, T. Mai, R. Speicher. Analytic subordination theory of operator-valued free additive convolution and the solution of a general random matrix problem. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) Published electronically.
- [4] F. Benaych-Georges. Rectangular random matrices, related convolution. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 144(3-4):471–515, 2009.
- [5] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu. Superconvergence to the central limit and failure of the Cramer theorem for free random variables, Probab. Theory Related Fields 103 (1995), no. 2, 215–222.
- [6] M. Capitaine and C. Donati-Martin. Strong asymptotic freeness for Wigner and Wishart matrices. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 56(2) :767803, 2007.
- [7] M. Capitaine, C. Donati-Martin, D. Féral, and M. Février. Free convolution with a semicircular distribution and eigenvalues of spiked deformations of Wigner matrices. Electron. J. Probab., 16 :no. 64, 17501792, 2011
- [8] F. Lehner, Free cumulants and enumeration of connected partitions. Europ. J. Combinatorics 23 (2002), 1025-1031
- [9] A. Marcus, D. Spielman, and N. Srivastava, Finite free convolutions of polynomials. Preprint 2015, arXiv:1504.00350.
- [10] A. Marcus, Polynomial convolutions and (finite) free probability. Preprint 2015.
- [11] A. Nica and R. Speicher, On the multiplication of free N-tuples of noncommutative random variables, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), no. 4, 799837.
- [12] A. Nica and R. Speicher, Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability, LMS Lecture Note Series, 335, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [13] G.-C. Rota, On the foundations of combinatorial theory, 1: theory of Möbius functions, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, Vol. 2 (1964), pp. 340-368.
- [14] D. Shlyaktenko, Random Gaussian band matrices and freeness with amalgamation Int Math Res Notices (1996) 1996 (20): 1013-1025.
- [15] R. Speicher, Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution. Math. Ann. 298 (1994), 611-628
- [16] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. I, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Monterey, CA, 1986, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota
- [17] D. Voiculescu, Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras, Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Busteni, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 556–588.
- [18] D. Voiculescu, Limit laws for random matrices and free products, Invent. Math. 104 (1991), 201–220.
- [19] D. Voiculescu, Operations on certain non-commutative operator-valued random variables. Recent advances in operator algebras (orleans, 1992), Asterisque 232 (1995)

E-mail address: octavius@cimat.mx, daniel.perales@cimat.mx