

Synopsis of a Unified Theory for All Forces and Matter

Zeng-Bing Chen

National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures,
School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

(Dated: December 20, 2018)

Assuming the Kaluza-Klein gravity interacting with elementary matter fermions in a $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime (\mathcal{M}_{9+1}), we propose an information-complete unified theory for all forces and matter. Due to entanglement-driven symmetry breaking, the $SO(9, 1)$ symmetry of \mathcal{M}_{9+1} is broken to $SO(3, 1) \times SO(6)$, where $SO(3, 1)$ [$SO(6)$] is associated with gravity (gauge fields of matter fermions) in $(3+1)$ -dimensional spacetime (\mathcal{M}_{3+1}). The informational completeness demands that matter fermions must appear in three families, each having 16 independent matter fermions. Meanwhile, the fermion family space is equipped with elementary $SO(3)$ gauge fields in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} , giving rise to the Higgs mechanism in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} through the gauge-Higgs unification. After quantum compactification of six extra dimensions, a trinity—the quantized gravity, the three-family fermions of total number 48, and their $SO(6)$ and $SO(3)$ gauge fields—naturally arises in an effective theory in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} . Possible routes of our theory to the Standard Model are briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 12.10.-g, 04.60.Pp

The tendency of unifying originally distinct physical subjects or phenomena has profoundly advanced modern physics. Newton’s law of universal gravitation, Maxwell’s theory of electromagnetism, and Einstein’s relativity theory are among the most outstanding examples for such a unification. The tremendous successes of modern quantum field theory, i.e., the Standard Model (SM), motivate the ambition of unifying all the four forces known so far—a kind of “theory of everything”. The superstring theory and quantum gravity (particularly, loop quantum gravity—LQG [1–4]), both with remarkable results, are two tentative proposals. Here we take a more “orthodox” viewpoint following the SM and LQG, rather than the superstring theory.

The tradition of physics, initiated from Newton, is to describe a physical system by dynamical laws, usually in terms of differential equations. To determine the (classical or quantum) state of the system, the initial (as well as boundary) conditions have to be given regardless of dynamical laws. Different allowed initial conditions lead to different solutions to dynamical laws. Such a tradition is called Newton’s paradigm [5], which is believed not to apply to the whole Universe. For the unique Universe the initial conditions must themselves be a part of physical laws. Thus, if any form of the theory of everything is conceivable at all, it must unify dynamical laws and states, i.e., break down the distinction between dynamical laws and initial conditions [6] such that it applies to the Universe as a whole.

Recently, we attempted another unification by unifying spacetime and matter as information via an information-complete quantum field theory, which describes elementary fermions, their gauge fields and spacetime (gravity) as a trinity [7, 8]. Therein, complete physical information of the trinity is encoded in dual entanglement—spacetime-matter entanglement and “programmed” entanglement between elementary fermions and their gauge

fields (together as matter), a fact called the information-completeness principle (ICP). The basic state-dynamics postulate [8] is that the Universe is self-created into a state $|e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots\rangle$ of all physical contents (spacetime and matter), from no spacetime and no matter, with the least action ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$|e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots\rangle = e^{iS_{\text{GM}}(e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots)} |\emptyset\rangle, \\ \delta S_{\text{GM}}(e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots) |e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots\rangle = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $|\emptyset\rangle \equiv |\emptyset_G\rangle \otimes |\emptyset_M\rangle$ is the common vacuum state of matter (the matter vacuum $|\emptyset_M\rangle$) and geometry (the empty-geometry state $|\emptyset_G\rangle$ in LQG [2]); $A\dots$ ($\psi\dots$) represent all gauge (matter fermions) fields; gravity is described by the tetrad field e_μ^a and the spin connection ω_μ^{ab} , to be specified below. Note that the dynamical law and states always appear jointly in the postulate [Eq. (1)]. This is in sharp contrast to the tradition where the dynamical law [i.e., $\delta S_{\text{GM}}(e, \omega; A\dots, \psi\dots) = 0$] and states are given separately. Thus, besides the conceptual advantages as shown previously [8], the theory unifies the dynamical law and states.

The conceptual advantages of the information-complete quantum description motivate us to consider the ultimate unification of all known forces in this Letter. As is well-known, consistent superstring theory (or its updated version, the M -theory) exists only in $(9 + 1)$ - or $(10 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime. Here we assume a $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime (\mathcal{M}_{9+1}) instead of the usual $(3+1)$ -dimensional one (\mathcal{M}_{3+1}), but do not assume string and supersymmetry. Then the ternary fields of our theory consist of the $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional Kaluza-Klein gravity [9] interacting with elementary matter fermions and an elementary gauge field. The ICP predicts that (1) matter fermions must appear in three families, each of which has 16 independent fermions, and (2) there are the $SO(3)$ family gauge fields. A new, entanglement-induced

symmetry breaking mechanism and compactifying six extra dimensions then lead to the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional trinity, in which the Higgs fields naturally arise.

Information-complete unification.—Matter fermions in the SM are six quarks $(u, d; c, s; t, b)$ and six leptons (electron e , electron neutrino ν_e ; muon μ , muon neutrino ν_μ ; tau τ , tau neutrino ν_τ). They can be precisely grouped into three “families” (or “generations”) as (ν_e, e, u, d) , (ν_μ, μ, c, s) , and (ν_τ, τ, t, b) . Together with the corresponding antiparticles, totally we have 45 matter fermions if each neutrino is merely left-handed. The three families have identical properties, except for distinct mass patterns. The origin of this amazing structure is a long-standing puzzle in the SM, which, together with the mass-generating Higgs mechanism, describes very successfully these fermions interacting via $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge fields (the strong, weak, and electromagnetic forces). The particular SM group structure was discovered empirically; *there is no fundamental principle dictating why we should choose this particular group, but not others* [10]. Some Grand Unification Theories (GUTs) extended the SM group into larger groups, such as $SU(5)$ [11] and $SO(10)$ [12, 13].

Here we assume a $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime (a curved manifold \mathcal{M}_{9+1}) with an $SO(9, 1)$ symmetry, where the coordinates $x = (x^A) = (x^\mu, x^{\bar{\mu}})$ with “curved indices” $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\bar{\mu} = 4, 5, \dots, 9$. A Minkowski vector is denoted by $y = (y^I) = (y^a, y^{\bar{a}})$ with “flat indices” $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\bar{a} = 4, 5, \dots, 9$; the Minkowski metric η_{IJ} has signature $[-, +, \dots, +]$. Gravity in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} is then described by the tetrad field $\hat{e}_A^I(x)$ (with the inverse \hat{e}_I^A), which relates the $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional metric $\bar{g}_{AB}(x)$ via $\bar{g}_{AB}(x) = \hat{e}_A^I(x)\hat{e}_B^J(x)\eta_{IJ}$. As the $SO(9, 1)$ group has 45 generators, gravity in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} has 45 independent field components and thus 90 independent internal states provided that the polarization degree of freedom (DoF) is taken in account.

Matter in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} is assumed to be an elementary fermion field ψ in the spinorial representation of $SO(9, 1)$, which has $2^5 = 32$ dimensions. In terms of the Dirac matrices γ_a and $\gamma_{\bar{a}}$ for \mathcal{M}_{3+1} and $\tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{a}}$ for six extra dimensions (\mathcal{M}_6), one can construct 10 Γ -matrices [9]

$$\Gamma_a = \gamma_a \otimes I, \quad \Gamma_{\bar{a}} = i\gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{a}-3}, \quad (2)$$

which satisfy $\{\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J\} = \Gamma_I\Gamma_J + \Gamma_J\Gamma_I = 2\eta_{IJ}I$. These Γ -matrices then form the spinorial representation of $SO(9, 1)$ as

$$[\Pi_{IJ}, \Pi_{KL}] = i(\eta_{IL}\Pi_{JK} + \eta_{JK}\Pi_{IL} - \eta_{IK}\Pi_{JL} - \eta_{JL}\Pi_{IK}), \quad (3)$$

with 45 generators $\Pi_{IJ} = \frac{i}{4}[\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J]$. The 32-dimensional representation implies that the matter fermions would have 32 independent internal states if no further constraint is required. Recall that the SM describes chiral fermions such that fermions of different chiralities

transform differently under $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. In particular, each SM fermion family has only 30 internal states (i.e., 15 independent matter fermions).

Let us consider the input arising from our information-completeness trinary description. According to the general formalism of an information-complete quantum field theory [8], all physical predictions are encoded by spacetime-matter entanglement, which can be decomposed in a Schmidt form such that spacetime (i.e., gravity) and matter are mutually defined to acquire information. For our description to be information-complete, the number of independent gravity field components and the number of independent gauge field components (if any) must match the number of independent matter fermions. As the spinorial representation of $SO(9, 1)$ has only 16 elementary fermions, *there must be three and only three fermion families (duplicates), each of which belongs to the spinorial representation of $SO(9, 1)$* . Hereafter, we then denote the matter fermion fields by ψ_α with the family indices $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Then the number of independent gauge field components is determined to be $16 \times 3 - 45 = 3$. Note that the family indices label a new internal space of fermions, or a new quantum number, which is physically different from the quantum number characterizing fermions of each family. If we assume that the (elementary) gauge field acts on this family space (the family triplet), it is natural to require the family gauge fields to be $SO(3)$ gauged, namely, the family triplet of the matter fermions form a basis for a 3-dimensional irreducible representation of $SO(3)$: $[t_\alpha, t_\beta] = i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}t_\gamma$. Here t_α are the 3 generators of $SO(3)$, $(t_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma} = -i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ for the adjoint representation, and the $SO(3)$ structure constants $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are totally antisymmetric and $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$.

Now it is ready to write down the total action of gravity and matter in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} . We can use the beautiful language of differential forms to express the relevant geometry. For instance, $\hat{e}^I(x) = \hat{e}_A^I(x)dx^A$ represents 1-form. The infinitesimal rotation of \hat{e}^I is then a 2-form $d\hat{e}^I = -\hat{\omega}^I_J \wedge \hat{e}^J$ (Note that $d\hat{e}^I + \hat{\omega}^I_J \wedge \hat{e}^J \equiv \hat{T}^I$ is the torsion; here we consider therefore a torsion-free manifold), where an antisymmetric 1-form $\hat{\omega}^{IJ} = -\hat{\omega}^{JI}$ is the so-called spin connection. With the connection 1-form, a tensor 2-form $\hat{R}^{IJ} = d\hat{\omega}^{IJ} + \hat{\omega}^I_K \wedge \hat{\omega}^{KJ} = \hat{R}_{AB}^{IJ}dx^A dx^B$ can be defined and is known as the curvature. The scalar curvature reads $\hat{R} = \hat{R}_{AB}^{IJ}\hat{e}_I^A\hat{e}_J^B$. The total action then reads $\hat{S}_{\text{GM}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}) = \hat{S}_{\text{G}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}) + \hat{S}_{\text{G+M}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}) = \hat{S}_{\text{G}}^{(9+1)} + \hat{S}_{\text{G+g}}^{(9+1)} + \hat{S}_{\text{G+D+g}}^{(9+1)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_{\text{G}}^{(9+1)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G_{10}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{9+1}} dx^{10} \hat{e}(\hat{R} + \Lambda_{10}), \\ \hat{S}_{\text{G+g}}^{(9+1)} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{9+1}} dx^{10} \hat{e} f_{AB}^\alpha f^{AB, \alpha}, \\ \hat{S}_{\text{G+D+g}}^{(9+1)} &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{9+1}} dx^{10} \hat{e} \bar{\psi}_\beta \Gamma^I \hat{e}_I^A i D_A^{\beta\gamma} \psi_\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here G_{10} (Λ_{10}) represents the Newton (cosmological) constant in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} , $\hat{e} = |\det \hat{e}_I^A|$; $\psi = (\psi_\alpha)$, $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \Gamma^0$, the covariant derivative of Dirac's spinors reads $D_A^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_{\beta\gamma} \partial_A + i \delta_{\beta\gamma} \hat{\omega}_A^{IJ} \Pi_{IJ} - i g_3 (t_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma} z_A^\alpha$, where the field strengths of the $SO(3)$ family gauge fields are $f_{AB}^\alpha = \partial_A z_B^\alpha - \partial_B z_A^\alpha + g_3 \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} z_A^\beta z_B^\gamma$ with coupling constant g_3 .

The theory is not a pure Kaluza-Klein theory, but *requires/predicts the existence* of the explicit $SO(3)$ family gauge fields even in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} , showing the *necessity of our trinary description at the most fundamental level*. The presence of the elementary gauge fields is advantageous [9] to compactify the extra dimensions, to provide an origin of the Higgs fields, and to avoid the difficulty of obtaining chiral fermions in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} for pure Kaluza-Klein theories (For chirality in \mathcal{M}_{9+1} , see also [14]). The state and dynamics of the elementary trinity are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}\rangle &= e^{i\hat{S}_{\text{GM}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z})} |\emptyset\rangle, \\ \delta \hat{S}_{\text{GM}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}) |\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}\rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The above considerations fix our information-complete GUT with gravity (or the Grand Integration Theory, GIT). Now it is amazing to see that our theory is *uniquely* determined by the spacetime symmetry, the gauge principle, *and* the ICP. The GIT, however, does not assume a single gauge group as in the usual GUT. Here the physical predictions of the GIT are the dual entanglement [7, 8] in $|\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}\rangle$, i.e., entanglement between $(9+1)$ -dimensional Kaluza-Klein gravity and matter, as well as entanglement, programmed by gravity, between the three-family fermions and the $SO(3)$ family gauge fields. The second line of Eq. (5) gives the equations of motion, conservation laws, and constraints of the GIT, all acting on $|\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z}\rangle$. Below we consider the effective theory of the GIT in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} .

Entanglement-driven symmetry breaking.—Now let us consider the physical consequences of gravity-matter entanglement. After gravity-matter entangling, the original internal space of the Kaluza-Klein gravity will be physically differentiated by the family quantum number and as such, the original $SO(9,1)$ symmetry of the Kaluza-Klein gravity must be broken to a lower symmetry. This new mechanism of symmetry breaking can thus be called entanglement-driven symmetry breaking.

Mathematically, the maximal subgroup of $SO(9,1)$ is $SO(3,1) \times SO(6)$ which has the same rank (namely, 5) as $SO(9,1)$. The most obvious way is to choose the lower symmetry to be $SO(3,1) \times SO(6)$, resulting in the conventional \mathcal{M}_{3+1} (or the usual gravity) and 6-dimensional compactified space \mathcal{M}_6 ; other choices lead to inconsistencies this way or another by following the procedure given below. As a result of symmetry breaking from $SO(9,1)$ to $SO(3,1) \times SO(6)$, ψ_α for given family should be the spinorial representations of $SO(3,1)$ and of $SO(6)$ *simultaneously*, which are 4- and 8-dimensional,

respectively. The total dimensions of ψ_α are again 32. Meanwhile, symmetry breaking singles out \mathcal{M}_{3+1} gravity as the “programming system” [7, 8].

Then, according to the usual Kaluza-Klein mechanism, one can associate the Lorentz group $SO(3,1)$ with gravity in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} and $SO(6)$ with the gauge field of matter fermions. Namely, we can expand $\bar{g}_{AB}(x)$ with the following ansatz [9, 15]

$$\bar{g}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) + \tilde{g}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(x^{\bar{\mu}}) W_\mu^{\bar{\mu}} W_\nu^{\bar{\nu}} & W_\mu^{\bar{\nu}} \\ W_\nu^{\bar{\mu}} & \tilde{g}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}(x^{\bar{\mu}}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

with $W_\mu^{\bar{\nu}} = \xi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{\bar{\nu}}(x^{\bar{\mu}}) \mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}(x^\mu)$, where $\xi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{\bar{\nu}}(x^{\bar{\mu}})$ is the Killing vectors appearing in the infinitesimal isometry $I + i\varepsilon^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \Sigma_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} : x^{\bar{\nu}} \rightarrow x^{\bar{\nu}'} = x^{\bar{\nu}} + \varepsilon^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}(x^{\bar{\mu}}) \xi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{\bar{\nu}}(x^{\bar{\mu}})$; the infinitesimal parameters $\varepsilon^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}(x^{\bar{\mu}})$ are independent of $x^{\bar{\mu}}$. The ansatz (6), after integrating the extra dimensions in $\hat{S}_{\text{GM}}^{(9+1)}(\hat{e}, \hat{\omega}; \psi; \mathbf{z})$, results in the $(3+1)$ -dimensional actions for gravity and the $SO(6)$ gauge field $\mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ (with coupling constant g_6)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{G}}^{\bar{\Lambda}} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3+1}} dx^4 e(R + \bar{\Lambda}), \\ S_{\text{gG}} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3+1}} dx^4 e \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu, \bar{a}\bar{b}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here $e = |\det e_a^\mu| = \sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}}$, R stands for the scalar curvature in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} , G is the usual Newton constant, and the cosmological term $\bar{\Lambda}$ gathers also the effects of compactified space on gravity in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} [15]; the $SO(6)$ field strengths $\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} = \partial_\mu \mathbf{Z}_\nu^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} - \partial_\nu \mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} + g_6 (\mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{a}\bar{c}} \mathbf{Z}_\nu^{\bar{b}\bar{c}} - \mathbf{Z}_\nu^{\bar{a}\bar{c}} \mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{b}\bar{c}})$. Thus, by treating \mathcal{M}_6 and \mathcal{M}_{3+1} on an equal footing, non-Abelian gauge fields naturally arise from a higher-dimensional gravity.

The \mathcal{M}_{3+1} action for the family gauge fields reads

$$S_{\text{fG}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3+1}} dx^4 e f_{\mu\nu}^\alpha f^{\mu\nu, \alpha}. \quad (8)$$

Following the idea of gauge-Higgs unification proposed long ago [16, 17], the extra components of z_A^α living in \mathcal{M}_6 are interpreted as the Higgs fields ϕ_α . We denote the action of the Higgs fields interacting with z_μ^α and gravity by S_{HfG} . The action of the Dirac sector (matter fermions interacting with gravity, the gauge fields, and ϕ_α) is

$$S_{\text{DHfG}} = S_{\text{DHG}} + S_{\text{DfG}}, \quad (9)$$

where $S_{\text{DfG}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3+1}} dx^4 e \bar{\psi} \beta \gamma^\alpha e_a^\mu i D_\mu^{\beta\gamma} \psi_\gamma$ with $D_\mu^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_{\beta\gamma} (\partial_\mu + i \omega_\mu^{ab} \Pi_{ab} - i g \mathbf{Z}_\mu^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} \Pi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}) - i g_3 (t_\alpha)_{\beta\gamma} z_\mu^\alpha$; S_{DHG} is the action for the Higgs fields coupling with the Dirac fields. Here we do not give the explicit forms of S_{HfG} and S_{DHG} and leave them for a future work.

Quantum compactification of extra dimensions.— Usually, compactification of extra dimensions in the Kaluza-Klein and superstring theories is a complicated

and unfinished issue. Below we further show that we can insist on the information-complete trinary description even in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} as a robust theoretical structure and as such, we could vastly simplify the problem of uncovering the reliable feature of the compactification without going into its detailed physics.

Let us first focus on \mathcal{M}_{3+1} . It is well-known that the Lorentz algebra $SO(3,1)$ is locally isomorphic to $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Here one $SU(2)$ generates the rotations and another the boosts. In LQG [1–4], gravity in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} possesses only one $SU(2)$ gauge structure related to the rotations, while the boost DoFs are not dynamical [18]. After the 3 + 1 spacetime decomposition and taking the time gauge, one arrives at the Hamiltonian formalism [2, 4]. The dynamical variables of the $SU(2)$ gravity, in terms of e_a^μ and ω_μ^{ab} , are the connection field $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_m^r$ [defined on \mathcal{M}_3 ; m : spatial indices and r : $SU(2)$ -valued], whose conjugate variable is the “gravitational electric field” $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_n^s$. The canonical dynamical variables for \mathbf{Z}_μ^{ab} , z_μ^α , and ψ_α , whose explicit forms are not important in subsequent discussions, can also be obtained within LQG. A remarkable result of LQG is to identify the state space of quantized gravity, spanned by a complete orthogonal basis $\{|\Gamma, \{j_l\}, \{i_n\}\rangle\}$ consisted of the spin-network states with respect to an abstract graph Γ (with nodes n and oriented links l) in three-dimensional region \mathcal{R} with boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}$. Here j_l is an irreducible j representation of $SU(2)$ for each link l and i_n the $SU(2)$ intertwiner for each node n .

As we discussed above, there are three families of matter fermions. Quantum mechanically, the family information can be encoded by introducing three internal states $|f_\alpha\rangle$ attached on the fermion state space; $\{|f_\alpha\rangle\}$ forms a complete orthogonal basis. Then according to the ICP, we have to introduce another quantum system interacting/entangling with the fermion family space such that the family information can be acquired. Such a quantum system can only be attributed to the compactified space, which must be effectively a simple three-state system. The three (the number is required by the ICP to be the same as the family number) states are denoted by $|\alpha, 6D\rangle \equiv |\alpha\rangle$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$). Of course, all physical DoFs related to \mathcal{M}_6 are quantized, resulting in a complicated state. Yet, in the “quantum compactification” mechanism proposed here, the compactified \mathcal{M}_6 has only three states accessible to physical DoFs in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} .

After such a compactification, all the remaining forces fields and the fermion fields are confined in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} such that their field quanta are massless as protected by the relevant symmetries. Meanwhile, each $|\alpha\rangle$ encodes all information for matter and gravity (including the gauge fields with mixed indices of \mathcal{M}_{3+1} and \mathcal{M}_6) related to \mathcal{M}_6 although the quantized \mathcal{M}_6 itself is merely an effective three-state system. This immediately means that information encoded in $|\alpha\rangle$ (except for the

three states), as well as the related matter, is “dark” with respect to the gauge fields in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} . Thus, our GIT enables a quantum information definition of (non-Abelian) dark matter, which is dark to non-Abelian gauge fields survived in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} ; for dark energy, see [8].

In terms of the spin-network basis, following the formalism in Ref. [8] we propose the quantum-compactification ansatz for quantized spacetime \mathcal{M}_{9+1}

$$\sum_{\substack{l \in \Gamma \cap \partial\mathcal{R} \\ n \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{R}, \alpha}} S_\Gamma(l, n, \alpha) |\Gamma, j_l, i_n; \alpha\rangle |\alpha\rangle \equiv \sum_\alpha s_\Gamma(\alpha) |\Gamma, \alpha\rangle |\alpha\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where three $|\Gamma, \alpha\rangle$ form an orthogonal basis and are the “three-world” states corresponding to $|\alpha\rangle$ and the three families of matter fermions. Entanglement between quantized spacetime \mathcal{M}_{3+1} and \mathcal{M}_6 , as shown in Eq. (10), suggests interaction between them. To be consistent with the Einstein equations in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} , the only possible form of the interaction would be

$$S_{G,6D} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \sum_\alpha \int_{\mathcal{M}_{3+1}} dx^4 e \tilde{\Lambda}_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|. \quad (11)$$

Namely, our GIT predicts the cosmological constant $\Lambda \equiv \tilde{\Lambda} + \sum_\alpha \tilde{\Lambda}_\alpha |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|$ to be an operator in $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$; the total cosmological term contains the remnant effect of the compactified space. Similarly to the ansatz in Eq. (10), we conjecture that there is also interaction (entanglement) between the fermion family space and the compactified space [see Eq. (13) below]. The corresponding action is denoted by $S_{DG,6D}$, whose explicit form is a future work.

Total action and dynamics.—To sum up the above results, the total action in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} is

$$S_{GIT} = S_G^\Lambda + S_{gG} + S_{fG} + S_{DHgfG} + S_{HfG} + S_{DG,6D}, \quad (12)$$

with $S_G^\Lambda = S_G^{\tilde{\Lambda}} + S_{G,6D}$. While we leave physics of the Higgs mechanism for future work, it should be noted that in our GIT, each family of matter fermions is labeled by one Higgs field and entangled with one of the three family gauge fields after symmetry breaking of the family symmetry; the $SO(6)$ gauge fields act universally upon the three families. Thus, for each family the total number of gauge fields is 15 + 1, matching the number of elementary fermions. This shows that our GIT is information-complete even in \mathcal{M}_{3+1} . Below we consider the dynamics of the physical Universe described by S_{GIT} .

The information-complete trinary description allows us to adopt either a “global view” or a “local view” of the dynamics. The global view is reflected by the fact that all physical predictions about the whole Universe are encoded in gravity-matter entanglement $|\mathcal{A}, \alpha, (\psi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z})\rangle = e^{iS_{GIT}} |\emptyset\rangle \otimes |\alpha_0\rangle$ for given “initial state”

$|\alpha\rangle$ of \mathcal{M}_6 . Thanks to the spin-network basis,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}, \{\alpha\}, (\psi, \phi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z})\rangle &= \sum_{\substack{l \in \Gamma \cap \partial \mathcal{R} \\ n \in \Gamma \cap \mathcal{R}, \alpha}} S_{\Gamma}(l, n, \alpha) |\Gamma, j_l, i_n; \alpha; t\rangle \\ &\otimes |\alpha\rangle \otimes |(\psi, \phi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}); \Gamma, l, n; \alpha; t\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here t denotes time; S_{Γ} must be time-independent as $|\mathcal{A}, \{\alpha\}, (\psi, \phi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z})\rangle$ is annihilated by, among others, the total Hamiltonian, known as the Hamiltonian constraint. Because a spin-network state $|\Gamma, j_l, i_n; \alpha; t\rangle$ defines spacetime and thus, *is* spacetime [2], we can include t explicitly in $|\Gamma, j_l, i_n; \alpha; t\rangle$. $|(\psi, \phi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}); \Gamma, l, n; \alpha; t\rangle \equiv |(\psi, \phi, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Z}); \Gamma, k_l, F_n, S_n, w_n; \alpha; t\rangle$ (F_n, S_n, w_n : number of fermions, number of Higgs scalars, and the field strength at node n , respectively, k_l : flux of the electric gauge fields across surface l ; see Refs. [2, 4]), programmed by a given spin-network state and by $|\alpha\rangle$, encodes entanglement between (i.e., all physical predictions about) matter fermions and their gauge fields (the Higgs scalars are the extra components of the family gauge fields). Such a particular entanglement structure of the Universe is called dual entanglement [7, 8]. Following Ref. [8], the evolution operator $U_{\text{GM}} \equiv \exp[iS_{\text{GIT}}]$ has a factorizable structure. In this way, the dynamics of the Universe can be cast into a dual form without the notorious ‘‘problem of time’’ [2, 4] in quantum gravity, thus recovering a description of the local view.

Note that all states for gravity, matter fermions, and gauge fields, once Schmidt-decomposed in the dual form, are physical predictions of the theory and thus must be annihilated automatically by any constraints appearing in the theory. This fact embodies again that our formalism unifies the dynamical law and states.

Possible routes to the SM.—To show more predictive power of the GIT, let us briefly consider chirality, which is a remarkable feature of the SM. For pure Kaluza-Klein theories, it is difficult to obtain chiral fermions [9, 14]. But in our theory, the situation is dramatically different. In \mathcal{M}_{9+1} , the chirality operator $\chi = i\gamma_5 \otimes \Gamma_{6\text{D}}^{\text{five}}$, where γ_5 ($\Gamma_{6\text{D}}^{\text{five}} \equiv \tilde{\gamma}_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 \dots \tilde{\gamma}_6$) is the chirality for \mathcal{M}_{3+1} (\mathcal{M}_6); the \mathcal{M}_{3+1} chirality and the \mathcal{M}_6 chirality are correlated [9, 14, 17]. Now if the elementary $SO(3)$ [or its isomorphic group, $SU(2)$] gauge fields have topologically non-trivial background (e.g., the monopole or instanton configuration) in \mathcal{M}_6 , one can have chiral zero modes of fermions forming a complex representation of the symmetry group [9, 19–21]. In this case, these massless fermions of definite chirality may correspond to the fermion spectrum as described by the SM.

To facilitate our discussion on possible routes to the SM, note that $SO(6)$ [$SO(3)$] is isomorphic to $SU(4)$ [$SU(2)$]. We can thus replace the \mathbf{Z} -fields (\mathbf{z} -fields) with $SU(4)$ -gauged [$SU(2)$ -gauged] ones in the above \mathcal{M}_{3+1} effective theory. Now the Dirac fields are the representations of $SU(4)$ and of $SU(2)$ simultaneously,

represented by $\psi_v^{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_v^{\eta} \\ l_v^{\eta} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\eta = 1, 2$ is $SU(2)$ -valued and $v = 1, 2, 3, 4$ $SU(4)$ -valued, e.g., $\psi_v^{\eta} = Q_v^{\eta}$ (quarks of three colors indexed by \mathbf{v}), $\psi_4^{\eta} = l^{\eta}$ (leptons). Here, the lepton number in our GIT works also as the fourth color, similarly to the Pati-Salam model [22]. In the $\Gamma_{6\text{D}}^{\text{five}}$ -diagonal basis, the $SO(6)$ generators split into two 4×4 block-diagonal matrices. Thus, ψ_v^{η} for given η has two four-component spinors, each of which corresponds either to the four-dimensional complex representation of $SU(4)$ or to its conjugate [23].

Note that the index η doubles the total number of elementary fermions, which however is halved by the restriction of definite \mathcal{M}_{9+1} chirality in our theory. This leaves the total number of elementary fermions invariant. Now each family of matter fermions is labeled by one of the three $SU(2)$ gauge fields (as well as the corresponding Higgs field) after symmetry breaking of the $SU(2)$ symmetry. The SM symmetry $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ can be obtained if symmetry breaking $SU(4) \rightarrow SU(3) \times U(1)$ occurs in our theory. The resulting SM is informationally incomplete and effectively has $16 - (8 + 3 + 1) = 4$ matter fermions dark to the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge fields. The SM symmetry might of course arise when \mathcal{M}_6 is a coset space; for details see, e.g., [9].

Conclusions and discussions.—To summarize, insisting on the information-complete trinary description of nature, we have described very briefly a unified theory for all forces and matter. Seen from our discussion, the following two facts are strictly related or even mutually explained, namely, (1) matter fermions have three families, each having 16 independent matter fermions, and (2) spacetime is $(9 + 1)$ -dimensional and displays entanglement-driven symmetry breaking from $SO(9, 1)$ down to $SO(3, 1) \times SO(6)$. The latter fact in turn explains why the observed spacetime is \mathcal{M}_{3+1} and the gauge group for matter fermions is $SO(6)$. The information-complete trinary description of our theory naturally arises, thus demonstrating that the overall picture is consistent with our previous insistence on the information-completeness. Indeed, the ICP, which is essential for a new quantum formalism without the measurement postulate [7, 8], works here as *the* fundamental principle restricting not only the required gauge group, but more, such as the dimensions of spacetime and the number of elementary matter fermions. Due to the discreteness of spacetime acting as a natural regulator [24] and severe limitation of information by the information-complete trinary description, our theory should be free of singularities. Assuming \mathcal{M}_{9+1} and the ICP, the theory seems to be unique.

So far, our GIT predicts, in certain sense, some basic facts that are used in the SM without any fundamental explanations. These include the dimensions of spacetime, the three-family pattern, the number of elementary matter fermions, and the possible origin of the Higgs mechanism. No previously known *single* theory could

“predict” all these facts simultaneously. According to our GIT there is one and only one matter fermion (a right-handed neutrino) that remains to be discovered for each family. If the three-family structure of the cosmological constant [see Eq. (11)] survives, it would be very exciting to see its cosmological test.

Is it possible to introduce supersymmetry/superstring in an information-complete formalism? As supersymmetry predicts too many new particles that are hard to suit the ICP, the answer to the question seems to be gloomy. If this is indeed the case, the ICP could be a strong reason to exclude supersymmetry. In future work, we need to consider the details of quantum compactification and particularly, the Higgs physics to see if other details of the SM could be derived within the GIT. Currently, we can only say that the outputs of the GIT, as given here, looks very encouraging.

-
- [1] T. Thiemann, *Lectures on loop quantum gravity*, Lecture Notes in Physics **631**, 41-135 (2003).
- [2] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [3] C. Rovelli, *Loop quantum gravity: the first twenty five years*, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 153002 (2011).
- [4] D.-W. Chiou, *Loop quantum gravity*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, 1530005 (2015).
- [5] L. Smolin, *Time, laws, and the future of cosmology*, Phys. Today **67**, 38-43 (March, 2014).
- [6] L. Smolin, *Unification of the state with the dynamical law*, arXiv:1201.2632.
- [7] Z.-B. Chen, *The informationally-complete quantum theory*, arXiv:1412.1079.
- [8] Z.-B. Chen, *An informationally-complete unification of quantum spacetime and matter*, arXiv:1412.3662.
- [9] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Kaluza-Klein theories*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **50**, 1087-1170 (1987).
- [10] G. Kane, *Modern Elementary Particle Physics: Quarks, Leptons, and Their Interactions* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1987).
- [11] H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, *Unity of All Elementary-Particle Forces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438-441 (1974).
- [12] H. Georgi, *The state of the art—gauge theories*, AIP Conf. Proc. **23**, 575-582 (1975).
- [13] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, *Unified interactions of leptons and hadrons*, Ann. Phys. **93**, 193-266 (1975).
- [14] E. Witten, *Fermion quantum numbers in Kaluza-Klein theory*, in *Proceedings of the 1983 Shelter Island Conference on Quantum Field Theory and the Foundations of Physics*, eds. N. Khuri et al. (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1985) 227-277.
- [15] Y.M. Cho, *Higher-dimensional unifications of gravitation and gauge theories*, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 2029-2035 (1975).
- [16] N.S. Manton, *A new six-dimensional approach to the Weinberg-Salam model*, Nucl. Phys. B **158**, 141-153 (1979); D.B. Fairlie, *Higgs' fields and the determination of the Weinberg angle*, Phys. Lett. B **82**, 97-100 (1979); P. Forgács and N.S. Manton, *Space-time symmetries in gauge theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **72**, 15-35 (1980).
- [17] N.S. Manton, *Fermions and parity violation in dimensional reduction schemes*, Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 502-516 (1981).
- [18] F. Cianfrani and G. Montani, *Towards Loop Quantum Gravity without the Time Gauge*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 091301 (2009); *Gravity in the presence of fermions as an $SU(2)$ gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 044015 (2010).
- [19] S. Randjbar-Daemi, A. Salam, and J.A. Strathdee, *Instability of higher dimensional Yang-Mills systems*, Phys. Lett B **124**, 345-348 (1983).
- [20] G. Dvali, S. Randjbar-Daemi, and R. Tabbash, *The origin of spontaneous symmetry breaking in theories with large extra dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 064021 (2002).
- [21] Y. Hosotani, *Dynamical gauge-symmetry breaking and left-right asymmetry in higher-dimensional theories*, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 731-738 (1984).
- [22] J.C. Pati and A. Salam, *Lepton number as the fourth “color”*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275-289 (1974).
- [23] P. Ramond, *Group Theory: A Physicist's Survey* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [24] T. Thiemann, *Quantum spin dynamics (QSD): V. Quantum gravity as the natural regulator of the Hamiltonian constraint of matter quantum field theories*, Class. Quantum Grav. **15**, 1281-1314 (1998).