

Non-zero helicity extinction in light scattered from achiral (or chiral) small particles located at points of null incident helicity density

Manuel Nieto-Vesperinas

Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas

Campus de Cantoblanco, Madrid 28049, Spain.

www.icmm.csic.es/mnv

E-mail: mnieto@icmm.csic.es

December 2016

Abstract. Based on a recent unified formulation on dichroism and extinction of helicity on scattering by a small particle, dipolar in the wide sense, magnetodielectric or not, chiral or achiral, we show that such extinction is enhanced not only at resonances of the polarizabilities, but also due to interference between left and right circularly polarized components of the incident wave, which contributes with appropriate parameters of the illuminating field, even if the particle is achiral and is placed at points of the incident field at which the local incident helicity density is zero.

This phenomenon goes beyond standard circular dichroism (CD), and we analyze it in detail on account of the values of the several quantities, both of the incident light and the particle, involved in the process. In addition, this interference produces a term in the helicity extinction that remarkably yields information on the real parts of the electric and/or magnetic polarizabilities, which are not provided by CD, of which that helicity extinction phenomenon may be considered a generalization.

PACS numbers: 42.25.Ja, 33.55.+b, 78.20.Ek, 75.85.+t

1. Introduction

In recent times, the concept of circular dichroism (CD) [1, 2, 3] has been extended to the extinction by scattering (or diffraction), transmission, and/or absorption by nanostructures that may or may not be chiral [4, 5, 6, 7, 8], and procedures to enhance its weak signal from absorbing molecules has been proposed by either enhancing the helicity of the illuminating field [9], interposing a resonant particle, either chiral or achiral, between the molecule near field and the detecting tip [10, 11, 12]; or reinforcing CD from nanostructures by creating near field hot spots between sets of plasmonic

nanoparticles according to the choice of incident polarization [13]; by making thermal-controlled chirality in a hybrid THz metamaterial with VO_2 inclusions [14], or by fostering the interplay between electric and magnetic dipoles of the excited molecule [15]. Also a helicity optical theorem (HOT) has recently been established [16] showing that dichroism phenomena are particular effects resulting from a fundamental law of electrodynamics: the conservation of electromagnetic helicity, [17, 9, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22], also lending sufficient conditions to produce chiral fields by scattering [8], and providing answers to long-standing questions on the interplay between the chirality of fields and that of matter [23, 24].

The *helicity* of quasi-monochromatic, i.e. time-harmonic, light waves, which are those addressed in this paper, is equivalent to their *chirality* [25]. The latter being a term employed in [9] and that, having subsequently became of widespread use in the literature, we shall also consider here. As stated in [16], for these time-harmonic fields both magnitudes just differ by a factor: the square of the wavenumber. However, for general time-dependent fields both quantities have a different physical nature and hence are not equivalent. This distinction being important in matter. Also, as noticed in [18], the helicity has dimensions of angular momentum whereas the chirality does not.

In this paper we exploit the equations for the extinction of helicity and energy that we established in a previous work, where a unified formulation of helicity extinction and dichroism beyond the CD concept, was put forward. Hence we now show that CD may be generalized to 3D polarized fields, for which we introduce a *helicity extinction factor* g , a particular case of which is the standard CD *dissymmetry factor*. In addition, we further analyze the extinction of helicity on scattering of 3D polarized fields possessing a longitudinal component, and whose projection in the plane transversal to the propagation direction has elliptic polarization, (namely is the sum of a left circular (LCP) and a right circular (RCP) wave). This helicity extinction may be generated not only, as in CD, by the cross electric-magnetic polarizability that characterizes the particle chirality, or by the incident helicity density, but also by an interference factor that mixes the LCP and RCP components; a phenomenon in which the above mentioned cross-polarizability plays no role, and whose existence was already shown in [8]. We shall study it in detail here.

In this way, we discuss how g assesses the helicity extinction in comparison with that of energy. We analyze this under different values of the polarizabilities of a particle that we initially assume of rather general characteristics; namely, bi-isotropic, magnetodielectric and chiral, (we shall later relax this latter property) in the resonant regions of its polarizabilities. In addition we analyze this extinction for different local values of the incident helicity density; also assessing the contribution of the aforementioned interference to this helicity extinction, in comparison with that of the particle chirality and the incident helicity density, as well as of the polarizability resonances, that we have so chosen in this study in order to enhance these effects.

Among the illuminating fields whose electric and magnetic vectors fulfill the conditions leading to this interference effect, addressed in Sections 2 and 3, we shall

use a Bessel beam, which has been well studied and is known to be accessible and employed in many experiments. We shall give some of its details in Section 4, specially in connection with its functional contribution to the densities of incident energy and helicity, as well as to the aforementioned interference phenomenon between LCP and RCP components. Then in Section 5 we shall illustrate how the discussed quantities depend on the transversal position of the particle within the incident beam.

2. 3D polarized fields with LCP and RCP transversal polarization

We address the spatial parts \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} of the electric and magnetic vectors of quasimonochromatic fields in their complex representation. Their scattering in a medium of refractive index $n = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$ by a particle, that we generally consider magnetodielectric, *chiral* and bi-isotropic, dipolar in the wide sense [28, 29], is thus characterized by its polarizabilities, that for e.g. a sphere are: $\alpha_e = i\frac{3}{2k^3}a_1$, $\alpha_m = i\frac{3}{2k^3}b_1$, $\alpha_{em} = i\frac{3}{2k^3}c_1$, $\alpha_{me} = i\frac{3}{2k^3}d_1 = -\alpha_{em}$. $k = n\omega/c = 2\pi n/\lambda$. Where a_1 , b_1 and $c_1 = -d_1$ stand for the electric, magnetic, and magnetoelectric first Mie coefficients, respectively [30].

The electric and magnetic dipole moments, \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{m} , induced in the particle by this incident field are:

$$\mathbf{p} = \alpha_e \mathbf{E} - \alpha_{me} \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \alpha_m \mathbf{E} + \alpha_{em} \mathbf{B}. \quad (1)$$

Based on the angular spectrum decomposition of optical wavefields into LCP (sign: +; the notation of [31] is followed) and RCP (sign: -) plane wave components that we established in [8], (we must remark that we have recently found that this representation was also reported in [19]), both the incident and the scattered fields may be decomposed into the sum of an LCP and an RCP 3D wavefield. Then we address incident fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , (which we shall subsequently consider to be optical beams), expressible as the sum of 3D polarized fields whose transversal polarization is LCP and RCP, respectively, thus holding:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{B}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{B}^-(\mathbf{r}) = -ni[\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})]; \quad (2)$$

by which we express the dipolar moments as

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{p}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{p}^-(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{m}^+(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{m}^-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (3)$$

with

$$\mathbf{p}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) = (\alpha_e \pm ni\alpha_{me})\mathbf{E}^\pm(\mathbf{r}); \quad \mathbf{m}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) = (\alpha_m \mp ni\alpha_{em})\mathbf{E}^\pm(\mathbf{r}). \quad (4)$$

The 3D polarized wavefields of Eq. (2) *are not* just plane waves or transversally polarized beams. In a XYZ -Cartesian framework, \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} [cf. Eq. (2)] have, in general, a z -component, while that in the XY -plane is elliptically polarized. As shown below, we illustrate these electromagnetic fields by the sum of two beams propagating along OZ : LCP and RCP, respectively; both circular polarizations holding in the XY -plane transversal to the beam z -axis. In addition, both beams have a Cartesian component along OZ .

The relationship (2) between \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{E} is essential for the effects that we next obtain. This is the reason why we choose, among other possibilities, an illuminating Bessel beam in Section 4.

3. The extinction of incident helicity and energy on scattering. Beyond circular dichroism

Using a Gaussian system of units, the *densities of helicity*, \mathcal{H} , -or k^{-2} times the *chirality*-, and *energy*, \mathcal{W} , (understood as a time-averaged in this work), of this incident field are:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/2k)[|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2], \quad (5)$$

(see e.g. [8, 19]), and

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/8\pi)[|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2], \quad (6)$$

respectively. In what follows \Re and \Im denote real and imaginary parts, respectively.

The HOT that expresses the *conservation of helicity* is [16]

$$\frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \Re\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}^* + \mu \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{E}^*\right\} = \frac{8\pi c k^3}{3\epsilon} \Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{m}^*] + \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a. \quad (7)$$

The left side of (7) constitutes the *extinction of helicity* of the incident wave on scattering with the particle. This extinction is shown in the right side of (7) to be divided up into the *total helicity scattered or radiated* by the object, (i.e. the first term in this right side) and the rate of *helicity dissipation* $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ (see Eqs. (8), (11) and (12) of [16]), or *converted helicity*, (see Sections 3.2, 3.3 and 4 of [21]), on interaction with the scattering body.

As shown by the right side of (7), as the light interacts with the particle such extinction may convey a selective dissipation of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ which adds to a resulting total helicity of the scattered field. This latter fact agrees with [20, 21].

We should recall the analogy of the HOT with the well-known standard optical theorem (OT) for energies

$$\frac{\omega}{2} \Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}^* + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*] = \frac{ck^4}{3n} [\epsilon^{-1} |\mathbf{p}|^2 + \mu |\mathbf{m}|^2] + \mathcal{W}^a. \quad (8)$$

The left side of (8) is the energy extinguished from the illuminating field, or rate of energy excitation in the scattering object. The first term in the right side constitutes the total energy scattered by the dipolar object, whereas \mathcal{W}^a stands for the rate of energy absorption by the object from the illuminating wave.

On employing Eqs.(1)-(4), the extinction of incident helicity [cf. Eq.(7)]: $(2\pi c/\mu) \Re\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}^* + \mu \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{E}^*\}$, which henceforth we denote as $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, is expressed as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv & \frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \left\{ \Im\{[\mathbf{p}^+(\mathbf{r}) + in\mathbf{m}^+(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ & \left. - [\mathbf{p}^-(\mathbf{r}) - in\mathbf{m}^-(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-*}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ & + 2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \end{aligned}$$

Non-zero helicity extinction in light scattered from achiral (or chiral) small particles located at points of null

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2\pi c}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{2k}{\epsilon} \Im\{\alpha_e + n^2\alpha_m\} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) + 16\pi \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \right\}; \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

whereas Eqs.(1)-(4) yield for the extinction of incident energy [cf.Eq.(8)]: $(\omega/2)\Im[\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{E}^* + \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*]$, which we write as \mathcal{W}^{ext} [8], (a slightly different notation is used for this quantity in [8]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}^{ext}(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ \Im\{[\mathbf{p}^+(\mathbf{r}) + in\mathbf{m}^+(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [\mathbf{p}^-(\mathbf{r}) - in\mathbf{m}^-(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-*}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\
&\quad + 2\Im(\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m) \Re\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \\
&= \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ \frac{8\pi}{\epsilon} \Im\{\alpha_e + n^2\alpha_m\} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{r}) + 4k \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\Im\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Re\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\} \right\}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

In (9) and (10) \mathbf{r} is the position vector of the center of the particle immersed in the illuminating wavefield. Eqs. (9) and (10) are fundamental as they establish the connection of the extinction of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and energy \mathcal{W}^{ext} of the incident wave with the densities of incident helicity \mathcal{H} and energy \mathcal{W} , and with the chirality of the dipolar particle, characterized by α_{me} . They remarkably show how the incident \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{W} contribute to $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and \mathcal{W}^{ext} with their roles exchanged with respect to the polarizability factors in the corresponding term where they appear.

Notice from the right side of (7) that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ contains both the total scattered helicity and the incident helicity dissipation (or conversion). Similarly, from (8) one sees that \mathcal{W}^{ext} contains the total scattered energy as well as the dissipation of incident energy in the particle. In particular, if both $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$ and \mathcal{W}^a are zero, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and \mathcal{W}^{ext} represent the total scattered helicity and energy, respectively.

Since we are here interested in the rate of extinction of helicity, we observe in (9) that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, apart from being due to the incident helicity density \mathcal{H} coupled with the dissipative part of the electric and magnetic polarizabilities, is generated by a coupling of the incident energy density \mathcal{W} with the particle chirality through $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\}$. Moreover, of special importance is that, as shown by the third term $\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\} \Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in Eq. (9), placing the small particle at a position \mathbf{r}_0 in the illuminating wave, an incident field with no helicity density at \mathbf{r}_0 may give rise to an extinction rate of helicity on interaction with the particle, not only -as well-known- due to the particle chirality through the term with $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \mathcal{W}$, but also, and this is the new feature addressed in this work, because of the interference coupling factor $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}$. I.e. a non-zero $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ will be generated at \mathbf{r}_0 even if the incident helicity $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}_0) = 0$ and the particle is not chiral ($\alpha_{me} = 0$). Moreover, as $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ are usually larger than their imaginary counterparts at non-resonant λ , this interference term acquires special importance for molecules [8, 16].

In this respect, and in contrast with the above argument for $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ if $\mathcal{H} = 0$, there is no analogous reasoning for a non-zero energy extinction \mathcal{W}^{ext} , Eq. (10), if the incident

electromagnetic energy \mathcal{W} is null, since this would convey that $\mathbf{E}^- = \mathbf{E}^+ = \mathcal{H} = 0$ and thus $\mathcal{W}^{ext} = 0$, as it should.

However, in (9) $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $n^2\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ appear in a subtraction, and thus compete with each other in their contribution to the last term of (9), which becomes zero when $\alpha_e = n^2\alpha_m$, namely when the particle is *dual* [16].

Notice that similar arguments exist for $\Im\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Im\{\alpha_m\}$ in connection with the third term of the energy extinction, Eq. (10). It is also worth remarking that when $\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$, Eqs. (9) and (10) reduce to those standard of the HOT and the OT, respectively, (see e.g. Section V of [16]).

Eqs. (9) and (10) govern a *generalized dichroism* phenomenon and hence account for CD as a particular case. Namely, by defining the ratio

$$g = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext} / \mathcal{W}^{ext}, \quad (11)$$

which we shall name the *helicity extinction factor*, it is straightforward to see that either when the particle is dual, or when the interference terms of these equations vanish like e.g. for elliptically polarized plane waves, (for which $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} = 0$ since then no longitudinal z -component exists), then choosing as usually done: $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 = |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$, one has $g = \sqrt{\epsilon/\mu} \lambda g_{CD}$, where $g_{CD} = 2(\mathcal{W}^{ext+} - \mathcal{W}^{ext-}) / (\mathcal{W}^{ext+} + \mathcal{W}^{ext-})$ is the well-known *dissymmetry factor* of standard CD which from (10) results in the well-known expression in terms of the particle polarizabilities [1, 2, 3, 9]: $g_{CD} = 4n\Re\{\alpha_{me}\} / (\Im\{\alpha_e\} + n^2\Im\{\alpha_m\})$.

Hence the CD phenomenon is one of the several consequences of the HOT and thus of the conservation of electromagnetic helicity. Namely, while standard CD is observed by illuminating the particle, or structure, with a LCP plane wave only, and separately with a RCP one; subsequently subtracting the corresponding scattered energies as: $\sqrt{\epsilon/\mu}(\mathcal{W}^{ext+} - \mathcal{W}^{ext-})$; CD may identically be observed on a unique illumination by a wave of the form (2) with no longitudinal component along the OZ -propagation direction, (e.g. a plane wave), linearly polarized in the (transversal) XY - plane, (or generally with $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 = |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$), therefore whose LCP and RCP components do not interfere with each other; ie. $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} = 0$. The extinction of helicity, normalized to the wavelength λ , is identical to the above mentioned difference of LCP and RCP energies.

We should remark that the HOT also account for the illumination of an object with those so-called superchiral fields produced by the superposition of two counterpropagating CPL plane waves of amplitudes E_1 and E_2 of opposite helicity as put forward in [9], (which on the basis of recent studies [23, 24] we prefer to call fields enhancing the dissymmetry factor). However it is known [32] that this method is limited to particles -or molecules- with $\alpha_m \simeq 0$, because in such configuration $g_{CD} = 4\epsilon\Re\{\alpha_{me}\} / [\Im\{\alpha_e\}(E_1 - E_2)/(E_1 + E_2) + n^2\Im\{\alpha_m\}(E_1 + E_2)/(E_1 - E_2)]$. So that when $\Im\{\alpha_m\} = 0$ the usually extremely small dissymmetry factor of standard CD, (often as small as 10^{-3} for molecules), may be enhanced, as seen from this latter expression of g_{CD} , just by choosing $E_1 \simeq E_2$, as proposed in [9], (or by making $E_1 \simeq -E_2$ when $\Im\{\alpha_e\} = 0$); but it is evident that these choices of E_1 and E_2 cannot enhance g_{CD} if both $\Im\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Im\{\alpha_m\}$ are non-zero.

It is remarkable that term $2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}(\mathbf{r})\}$ of (9) is *the only one* among those expressing the extinction of helicity, Eq. (9), that *provides information on the real parts of the polarizabilities*. No other term of (9) contain such information. In fact, there is a well-known lack of this information in the aforementioned dissymmetry factor of standard CD, addressed above. Therefore, this paper shows how creating experimental conditions for this interference factor to exist, provides a source of information on $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ through the extinction of helicity, Eq. (9), and its associated *extinction factor* g .

4. Illustration with a Bessel beam

The contribution of $2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in (9) to the helicity extinction, while $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$ in (10), thus increasing the helicity extinction factor g , is next illustrated with an incident beam propagating along OZ , elliptically polarized in the XY -plane, and with longitudinal component along the z -propagation direction [8]. In this case $\partial_z \simeq ik_z$; the wavevector being written in Cartesian components as $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{K}, k_z)$; $K = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $k_z = \sqrt{k^2 - K^2}$. The electric vector is expressed in terms of the vector potential \mathbf{A}^\pm [26, 27] as:

$$\mathbf{E}^\pm = ik_z \mathbf{A}^\pm + \frac{i}{k_z} \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm). \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{A}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{ik_z} (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) u(\mathbf{r}) e^{ik_z z}. \quad (13)$$

$$u(\mathbf{r}) = u_0^\pm(R, z) e^{il\phi}. \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}. \quad (14)$$

So that using $\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm) \simeq ik_z(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^\pm)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ one has

$$\mathbf{E}^\pm = e^{ik_z z} [(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}})u + \frac{i\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{k_z} (\partial_x u \pm i\partial_y u)]. \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{B}^\pm = \mp ni\mathbf{E}^\pm. \quad (16)$$

Which fulfills both $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}^\pm = 0$ and Eq. (2). We shall address the Bessel function of integer order: $u_0^\pm(R, z) = e_0^\pm J_l(KR)$, (e_0^\pm being constant amplitudes) which, from (12) - (16) and after a calculation using the recurrence relation: $J_{l-1}(x) + J_{l+1}(x) = (2l/x)J_l(x)$, leads to the form (2) for a Bessel beam, whose components \mathbf{E}^\pm are LCP and RCP, respectively, in the XY -plane transversal to its z -direction of propagation. Viz.:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^\pm(\mathbf{r}) &= e_0^\pm e^{i(k_z z + l\phi)} [J_l(KR)(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \pm i\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &\mp \frac{iK}{k_z} \exp(\pm i\phi) J_{l\pm 1}(KR)\hat{\mathbf{z}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Eq. (17) coincides with those of [26, 27, 33] characterizing Bessel beams. We have nevertheless undertaken here the derivation of this kind of beams from a first basis in order to guarantee that this field fulfils the important condition (2), [(see Eqs. (15) and (16)].

From (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 \pm |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2 &= 2(|e_0^+|^2 \pm |e_0^-|^2)J_l^2(KR) \\ &+ \frac{K^2}{k_z^2}[J_{l+1}^2(KR)|e_0^+|^2 \pm |e_0^-|^2 J_{l-1}^2(KR)]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, the factor $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ reduces to the contribution of the field z -component:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} &= \Re(\Im)\{E_z^- \cdot E_z^{+*}\} \\ &= -\frac{K^2}{k_z^2}J_{l-1}(KR)J_{l+1}(KR)\Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*} e^{-2i\phi}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, either of these quantities, $\Re[\cdot]$ or $\Im[\cdot]$, may be made arbitrarily small (or zero) depending on the choice of parameters e_0^- and e_0^+ for the beam in the factor $\Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*} e^{-2i\phi}\}$. Since according to [33], (see Fig. 6 in this reference), this beam rotates a particle of diameter $1\mu m$ to $6\mu m$ placed in the inner ring of maximum intensity in about 16 s per revolution, we shall assume the signal detection time large enough for the azimuthal angle ϕ of the particle center position \mathbf{r} not to contribute to this factor, so that we just consider the quantity $\Re(\Im)\{e_0^- e_0^{+*}\}$. Hence choosing for example $e_0^-/e_0^+ = \pm a \exp(ib\pi/2)$, a and b being real, the value of $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ will oscillate about zero as $\cos(b\pi/2) (\sin(b\pi/2))$.

Also, depending on the choice of the position \mathbf{r} of the particle in the beam, and thus of the argument KR , one will have in Eqs. (9) and (10) the third terms, whose $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ factor is given by (19), comparable, or not, to the first and second terms whose $(|\mathbf{E}^+(\mathbf{r})|^2 \pm |\mathbf{E}^-(\mathbf{r})|^2)$ factor is (18). This is seen observing the factor $(K^2/k_z^2)J_{l-1}(KR)J_{l+1}(KR)$ in (19) which may be made either much larger or smaller than the term of $J_l^2(KR)$ which is the dominant contribution to (18).

This latter important fact will be seen in Section 5 by choosing two different positions of the particle in the beam, i.e. two distinct values of KR .

5. Example: Enhancements in the extinction of helicity on scattering with a resonant particle, either chiral or not

To better illustrate these effects we address them at resonant wavelengths, so that there is field enhancement on interaction with the particle, which in principle we consider generally magnetodielectric and chiral. We shall later relax the latter property. We have found in the recent work [34] a particle model with these characteristics, and thus we consider it useful for our illustration. Its linear dimension is not larger than 204 nm. (See details of this particle, made of a composite metal (silver)-dielectric in vacuum, $n = 1$, in Fig. 3 of [34]). Both helicity dissipation, or conversion, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^a$, and energy absorption \mathcal{W}^a , are susceptible of taking place, as previously emphasized concerning the right sides of (7) and (8). However, as stated before, in this paper we are interested in the left sides of those two optical theorems, and hence on the extinctions $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and \mathcal{W}^{ext} , Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively.

The particle polarizabilities have a resonance near $\lambda = 1.52 \mu\text{m}$, as shown in Fig. 1, where we have fitted them from their numerical values, obtained in [34], to functions of λ ; this enabling us to straightforwardly employ them in Eqs. (9) and (10). We choose $K = 0.6k$, and set $l = 1$, $e_0^+ = 1$, $e_0^- = i$, i.e. $\Re\{e_0^- e_0^{+*}\} = 0$, hence $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$, and so is the third term of (10) for \mathcal{W}^{ext} ; this allows to enhancing the value of g even if the incident helicity density $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = (\epsilon/2k)[|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2]$ is very small and the particle were achiral, as shown below.




Figure 1. (Color online). Real and imaginary parts of the polarizabilities α_e , α_m and α_{me} of an example of chiral particle near the resonant wavelength $\lambda = 1.52 \mu\text{m}$. These quantities are functionally fitted from those of Fig. 4 of [34].

At the different wavelengths, the radial coordinate R of the particle center in the beam transversal section is adjusted to two alternative values of KR . One is $KR \simeq 3.75$, which is near the first out-of axis zero of $J_l^2(KR) = J_1(KR)^2$, (and thus the contribution of this factor to Eqs. (9) and (10) through the first term in the right side of (18) is negligible). The other alternative value is $KR \simeq 2.25$, which is close to the first zero of $J_{l-1}(KR) = J_0(KR)$, (and hence by virtue of (19) the contribution of the $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ factor in (9) is negligible). The latter is like the situation of standard CD.

These values of KR also give a hint on the range R_0 of approximate distances between minima of the beam intensity across its section, versus the size of the particle. $R_0 \simeq 3.75\lambda/2\pi = 895\text{nm}$ for $\lambda \simeq 1.5\mu\text{m}$, which is well above the linear size of the particle, which as said above is no larger than 204nm ; and thus allows enough spatial resolution of its position, since this size is well below the width R_0 of the circles of intensity minima and maxima in the beam section, (see also [33]).

Hence, at $KR = 2.25$ one has that $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 8\pi\mathcal{W}$ dominates over all other

parameters since it is about $2.5(a.u.)$ while $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = -0.019$, $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$, and $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 0.09$. On the other hand, for $KR = 3.75$ one sees that $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$ no longer dominates since it is about $0.2(a.u.)$, while $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = -0.1$, $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 0.008$ and $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\} = 0$. Therefore these two choices of kR convey a very small incident helicity \mathcal{H} .

Fig. 2 exhibits the spectra of the rate of helicity extinction $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, of energy extinction \mathcal{W}^{ext} , (both scaled by $10^2/2\pi c$), and helicity extinction factor $g = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}/\mathcal{W}^{ext}$, for $KR = 3.75$ (upper graph) and $KR = 2.25$ (lower graph) for a chiral particle with polarizabilities seen in Fig. 1. We also show the same scaled quantities, now denoted as $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$, $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$, and $g_{n\chi}$, for an almost achiral particle, ($\alpha_{me} \simeq 0$), with the same polarizabilities α_e and α_m as the former chiral one, but whose cross electric-magnetic polarizability α_{me} has been somewhat artificially scaled to $1/10$ of the α_{me} values of the chiral particle. (We choose the letter χ in the subindex from the Greek $\chi_{\epsilon\ell\rho}$ for "hand").

As seen from Eq. (9) and Fig. 2 (above), for $KR = 3.75$, even when the particle is achiral and the incident helicity density is locally zero, namely at points R fulfilling $KR = 3.75$, we confirm that the interference factor $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ may be essential to yield an appreciable helicity extinction rate $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ and a resonant helicity extinction factor $|g_{n\chi}| > 1$, ($g_{n\chi} = -1.15$ at $\lambda \simeq 1.53\mu m$ in this illustration). This is one of the main results of this work, and is in contrast with standard circular dichroism in which $\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*} = 0$, and objects with zero, or a purely imaginary α_{me} , with no selective helicity dissipation, would produce no helicity extinction and therefore a zero value of g in absence of incident chirality density.

In this respect we remark that the appreciable helicity extinction factors g and $g_{n\chi}$ observed in Fig. 2 (above), may also be influenced by shifts, (which depend on the particle morphology), between the resonant peaks of the helicity and energy extinction rates.

The results of Fig. 2 (above) should be compared with those when the factor $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ is negligible, and so is the third term of Eq. (9). These are plotted in Fig. 2 (below) for $KR = 2.25$, showing that $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ is extremely small compared to $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$, and hence $g_{n\chi}$ is almost zero, ($|g_{n\chi}| \leq 0.05$). This is in contrast with the larger values of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and g shown in Fig. 2 (below) for this $KR = 2.25$ when the particle is chiral, i.e. $\Re\{\alpha_{me}\} \neq 0$, and thus in Eq. (9) the second term contributes, leading to significantly larger peaks of these quantities, ($g \simeq -1.5$ at $\lambda \simeq 1.525\mu m$), as in standard dichroism.

On the other hand, both Figs. 2 (above and below) show that at a chosen value of KR , \mathcal{W}^{ext} and $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ coincide with each other; i.e. at a given position of the particle within the beam, \mathcal{W}^{ext} is not affected by the value of α_{me} . This is due to the above shown almost negligible $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 - |\mathbf{E}^-|^2$, and hence small \mathcal{H} , for these chosen KR . Nonetheless when $KR = 2.25$, \mathcal{W}^{ext} , $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ considerably increase through the factor \mathcal{W} in (9) and (10). This is expected from the discussion in Section 4 and in this Section 5 above, since when $KR = 2.25$ the factor $|\mathbf{E}^+|^2 + |\mathbf{E}^-|^2 = 8\pi\mathcal{W}$ dominates over all other of Eqs. (9) and (10), while $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ remains very small, again




Figure 2. (Color online). $(10^2/2\pi c)\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, $(10^2/2\pi c)\mathcal{W}^{ext}$, and g for the chiral particle of Figs. 1; as well as $(10^2/2\pi c)\mathcal{W}_{n\chi\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, $(10^2/2\pi c)\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$, and $g_{n\chi}$ when that particle is made achiral, ($\alpha_{me} = 0$). Above: $KR = 3.75$. Below: $KR = 2.25$.

because the factor $(K^2/k_z^2)J_{l-1}(KR)J_{l+1}(KR)$ in (19) is much smaller than the first term proportional to $J_l^2(KR)$ in (18) when $KR = 2.25$. Namely, we stress that in (9) and (10) $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$, Eq. (19), can be either comparable or much smaller than \mathcal{W} , Eq. (18), according to the value of KR .

Another consequence of this latter discussion is that the relative values: g/\mathcal{W}^{ext} and $g_{n\chi}/\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ are significantly larger in Fig. 2 (above) than in Fig. 2 (below). This once again highlights the relevance of the interference term with factor $\Re(\Im)\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ in (9) and (10) when the terms proportional to \mathcal{W} do not dominate.

Finally, it should be reminded that, as stated in Section 3, $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $n^2\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ appear subtracted from each other in the last term of (9). Consequently, and although not shown here for brevity, we observe that the amplitude of the peaks of both $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{n\chi}^{ext}$ increases as either $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ or $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ diminishes. Something analogous occurs with the extinction of energy (10) as regards the imaginary parts of the polarizabilities

6. Conclusions

The concept of circular dichroism has been extended by addressing the rate of extinction of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$, whose extinction factor g has been introduced and generalizes the standard CD dissymmetry factor. The parameter g monitors the rate of helicity extinction versus that of energy under different values of the polarizabilities of a generally magnetodielectric particle, either chiral or not, (i.e. for the cross electric-magnetic one α_{me} ranging from large to almost zero); also considering the local value of the incident helicity \mathcal{H} . Thus both $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$ and g assess the contribution of the remarkable interference factor $\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ to such helicity extinction in comparison with that of α_{me} , \mathcal{H} , and the resonances of the polarizabilities that we addressed in this study in order to enhance these effects. Notice in passing that an analogous analysis may be made with the factor $\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ versus \mathcal{W} , α_{me} , and \mathcal{H} , as regards its contribution to the energy extinction rate \mathcal{W}^{ext} .

When the incident fields are optical beams with LCP and RCP transversal components, the factor $\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ reduces to that of interference of the longitudinal components. We have illustrated this with a Bessel beam. Interestingly, due to this interference, helicity extinction does not necessarily involve neither particle chirality nor a non-zero local value of the incident helicity density; i.e. for $\alpha_{me} = 0$ and given parameters of the illuminating beam, one may find positions \mathbf{r}_0 of the particle in the beam where this local helicity density is $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}_0) = 0$ while the aforementioned interference term gives rise to a non-zero extinction of helicity $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext}$. Also, and importantly, *this interference phenomenon is mediated by $\Re\{\alpha_e\}$ and $\Re\{\alpha_m\}$ thus yielding a source of information on these latter quantities, which was not provided by standard CD.*

Finally, although we have studied these phenomena in general bi-isotropic dipolar particles, namely those magnetodielectric and chiral, the contribution of the $2\Re\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Im\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ term to an extinction of incident helicity, Eqs. (7) and (9), [as

well as the effect of the $2\Im\{\alpha_e - n^2\alpha_m\}\Re\{\mathbf{E}^- \cdot \mathbf{E}^{+*}\}$ term to an extinction of incident energy, Eqs. (8) and (10)], may also be observed in purely electric ($\alpha_m = 0$) or magnetic ($\alpha_e = 0$) particles. In this context, of special importance will be further research and observation of these effects in high index dielectric particles, that possess remarkably unique optically induced electric and magnetic dipole resonances [35, 36] and that so much interest are generating as low-loss elements of an increasingly active new area of micro and nano-optics [37, 38].

Acknowledgments

Work supported by MINECO, grants FIS2012-36113-C03-03, FIS2014-55563-REDC and FIS2015-69295-C3-1-P. The author thanks an anonymous referee for many interesting comments that contributed to improve this report.

References

- [1] J. A. Schellman, "Circular Dichroism and Optical Rotation", Chem. Rev. **75**, 323-331 (1975).
- [2] D. P. Craig, and T. Thirunamachandran, *Molecular Quantum electrodynamics: An Introduction to Radiation Molecule Interactions*, Dover, New York, 1998.
- [3] L. D. Barron, *Molecular Light Scattering and Optical Activity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] C. Menzel, C. Helgert, C. Rockstuhl, E. B. Kley, A. Tinnermann, T. Pertsch, and F. Lederer, "Asymmetric Transmission of Linearly Polarized Light at Optical Metamaterials", Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 253902 (2010).
- [5] A. O. Govorov, Z. Fan, P. Hernandez, J. M. Slocik and R. R. Naik, "Theory of Circular Dichroism of Nanomaterials Comprising Chiral Molecules and Nanocrystals: Plasmon Enhancement, Dipole Interactions, and Dielectric Effects", Nano Lett. **10**, 13741382 (2010).
- [6] X. Zambrana-Puyalto, X. Vidal and G. Molina-Terriza, "Angular momentum-induced circular dichroism in non-chiral nanostructures", Nature Comm. **5** 4922 (2014).
- [7] B. Hopkins, A. N. Poddubny, A. E. Miroshnichenko and Y. S. Kivshar, "Circular dichroism induced by Fano resonances in planar chiral oligomers", Laser and Photonic Reviews **10**, 137-143 (2016).
- [8] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, "Chiral optical fields: A unified formulation of helicity scattered from particles and dichroism enhancement", Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, (in press). arXiv:1609.07889v1 (2016).
- [9] Y. Tang and A. E. Cohen, "Optical Chirality and Its Interaction with Matter", Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 163901 (2010).
- [10] D. V. Guzatov and V.V. Klimov, "The influence of chiral spherical particles on the radiation of optically active molecules", New J. Phys. **14**, 123009 1-19 (2012).
- [11] A. Garcia-Etxarri and J. A. Dionne, "Surface-enhanced circular dichroism spectroscopy mediated by nonchiral nanoantennas", Phys. Rev. B **87**, 235409 (2013).
- [12] H. Alaeian, and J. A. Dionne, "Controlling electric, magnetic, and chiral dipolar emission with PT-symmetric potentials", Phys. Rev. B **91**, 245108 1-8 (2015).
- [13] H. Wang, Z. Li, H. Zhang, P. Wang and S. Wen, "Giant local circular dichroism within an asymmetric plasmonic nanoparticle trimer", Sci. Rep. **5**, 8207 (2015).
- [14] T. T. Lv, Y. X. Li, H. F. Ma, Z. Zhu, Z. P. Li, C. Y. Guan, J. H. Shi, H. Zhang and T. J. Cui, "Hybrid metamaterial switching for manipulating chirality based on VO₂ phase transition", Sci. Rep. **6**, 23086 (2016).
- [15] L. Hu, X. Tian, Y. Huang, X. Wang and Y. Fang, "Quantitatively analyzing the mechanism of

- giant circular dichroism in extrinsic plasmonic chiral nanostructures by the interplay of electric and magnetic dipoles”, *Nanoscale* **8**, 3720-3728 (2016).
- [16] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, ”Optical theorem for the conservation of electromagnetic helicity: Significance for molecular energy transfer and enantiomeric discrimination by circular dichroism”, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023813 1-8 (2015).
- [17] D.M. Lipkin, ”Existence of a New Conservation Law in Electromagnetic Theory”, *J. Math. Phys.* **5** , 696-700 (1964).
- [18] R. P. Cameron, S.M Barnett, and A. M. Yao, ”Optical helicity, optical spin and related quantities in electromagnetic theory”, *New. J. Phys.* **14**, 053050 1-16 (2012).
- [19] K. Y. Bliokh, and F. Nori, ”Characterizing optical chirality”, *Phys. Rev. A.* **83** 021803(R) 1-3 (2011).
- [20] L. V. Poulikakos, P. Gutsche, K. M. McPeak, S. Burger, J. Niegemann, C. Hafner, and D. J. Norris, ”Optical chirality flux as a useful far-field probe of chiral near fields”, *ACS Photonics* **3** 1619-1625 (2016).
- [21] P. Gutsche, L.V. Poulikakos, M. Hammerschmidt, S. Burger, and F. Schmidt, ”Time-harmonic optical chirality in inhomogeneous space”, arXiv:1603.05011v1 (2016).
- [22] I. Fernandez-Corbaton and C. Rockstuhl, ”A unified theory to describe and engineer conservation laws in light-matter interactions”, arXiv:1611.01007v1 (2016).
- [23] M. M. Coles and D. L. Andrews, ”Chirality and angular momentum in optical radiation”, *Phys. Rev. A* **85** 063810 (2012).
- [24] D. S. Bradshaw, J. M. Leeder, M. M. Coles and D. L. Andrews, ”Signatures of material and optical chirality: Origins and measures”, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **626**, 106-110 (2015).
- [25] S. M. Barnett, R. P. Cameron and A. M. Yao, ”Duplex symmetry and its relation to the conservation of optical helicity”, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 013845 (2012).
- [26] S. M. Barnett and L. Allen, ”Orbital angular momentum and nonparaxial light beams”, *Optics Comm.* **110**, 670-678 (1994).
- [27] L. Allen, M. J. Padgett and M. Babiker, ”The orbital angular momentum of light”. In *Prog. Opt.* **39**, E. Wolf, ed., (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999), pp. 291-372.
- [28] I. Sersic, M. A. van de Haar, F. B. Arango, and A. F. Koenderink, ”Ubiquity of optical activity in planar metamaterial scatterers”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** 223903 (2012).
- [29] M. Nieto-Vesperinas, ”Optical torque on small bi-isotropic particles”, *Opt. Lett.* **40**, 3021-3024 (2015).
- [30] C. F. Bohren and D.R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*, J. Wiley, New York, 1983.
- [31] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd edition, John Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [32] J. S. Choi and M. Cho, ”Limitations of a superchiral field”, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 063834 1-22 (2012).
- [33] K. Volke-Sepulveda, V. Garces-Chavez, S. Chavez-Cerda, J. Arlt and K. Dholakia, ”Orbital angular momentum of a high-order Bessel light beam” *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4** S82- S89 (2002).
- [34] D. E. Fernandes and M. G. Silveirinha, ”Single beam optical conveyor belt for chiral particles”, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **6**, 014016 (2016).
- [35] A. B. Evlyukhin, C. Reinhardt, A. Seidel, B. S. Lukyanchuk, B. N. Chichkov, ”Optical response features of Si-nanoparticle arrays”. *Phys. Rev.* **B 82**, 045404 (2010).
- [36] A. Garcia-Etxarri, R. Gomez-Medina, L.S. Froufe-Perez, C. Lopez, L. Chantada, F. Scheffold, J. Aizpurua, M. Nieto-Vesperinas and J.J. Saenz, ”Strong magnetic response of submicron silicon particles in the infrared”. *Opt. Express* **19**, 4815-4826 (2011).
- [37] M. Decker and I. Staude, ”Resonant dielectric nanostructures: a low-loss platform for functional nanophotonics”, *J. Opt.* **18**, 103001 (2016).
- [38] A. I. Kuznetsov, A. E. Miroschnichenko, M. L. Brongersma, Y. S. Kivshar, B. Lukyanchuk, ”Optically resonant dielectric nanostructures”, *Science* **354**, 846 (2016).