

# Direct photon-hadron correlation measurement and a way towards photon-triggered jets at RHIC

Nihar Ranjan Sahoo (For the STAR Collaboration)

Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, USA

E-mail: nihar@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov


**Abstract.** We report the results of  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ - and  $\pi^0$ -hadron azimuthal correlations as a measure of the away-side jet-like correlated yields in central Au+Au and p+p collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$  GeV in the STAR experiment. The charged-hadron per-trigger yields at mid-rapidity with respect to high- $p_T$   $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  and  $\pi^0$  in central Au+Au collisions are compared with p+p collisions. Within uncertainties, the same  $z_T(p_T^{\text{assoc}}/p_T^{\text{trig}})$  dependence of the suppression is observed for  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ - and  $\pi^0$ - triggers. The results are compared with energy-loss model predictions. The  $\gamma$ -jet measurements can provide further understanding on the redistribution of in-medium energy loss. Ongoing  $\gamma$ -jet studies in the STAR experiment are also discussed.

## 1. Introduction

The azimuthal correlation of charged hadrons with respect to a direct-photon ( $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ ) trigger was proposed as a promising probe to study the mechanisms of parton energy loss [1]. Since a  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ -trigger escapes without interacting with the medium, it approximates the initial energy of the recoil parton, which is subject to medium modifications. The recoil parton of a  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  trigger is a quark in leading-order QCD processes, whereas that of a high- $p_T$   $\pi^0$  trigger can be a quark or a gluon. In addition, a coincidence measurement of  $\pi^0$  is biased to have been produced near the surface of the medium, while that of a  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  does not suffer from the same bias [2, 3]. Hence the comparison between the suppression of per-trigger away-side associated yields of  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  to those of  $\pi^0$  triggers should exhibit differences due to both the color-factor dependence and the path-length dependence of energy loss.

## 2. STAR Detectors and Experimental techniques


The data were taken by the Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC (STAR) experiment in 2011 and 2009 for Au+Au and  $p+p$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV, respectively. The Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is the main charged-particle tracking detector providing track information for the charged hadrons with  $|\eta| < 1.0$  [4]. Events having a transverse energy in a BEMC [5] cluster  $E_T > 8$  GeV, with  $|\eta| \leq 0.9$ , are selected for this analysis. The associated charged particles are selected in range  $1.2 \text{ GeV}/c < p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ , whereas  $\pi^0$  and  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  are triggered within  $12 < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 20$  GeV/c. In order to distinguish a  $\pi^0$ , which at high  $p_T$  predominately decays to two photons with a small opening angle, from a single-photon cluster, a transverse shower-shape analysis is performed. A detailed discussion about the transverse shower profile (TSP) method and experimental techniques used in this analysis can be found in Ref. [6, 7].




**Figure 1.** (Color online.) Left panel: The  $z_T$  dependence of  $\pi^0$ - $h^\pm$  away-side (a) and near-side (b) associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled symbols) and  $p+p$  (open symbols) collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. Right panel: The  $z_T$  dependence of  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ - $h^\pm$  away-side associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled diamonds) and  $p+p$  (open diamonds) collisions [6]. Vertical lines represent statistical errors, and the vertical extent of the boxes represents systematic uncertainties.

### 3. Results: $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ - and $\pi^0$ -hadron azimuthal correlation

The integrated away-side and near-side charged-hadron yields per  $\pi^0$  trigger,  $D(z_T)$ , are plotted as a function of  $z_T$ , both for Au+Au (0-12% centrality) and  $p+p$  collisions, in the left panel of Fig. 1. The away-side  $D(z_T)$  for  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  triggers as a function of  $z_T$  for central Au+Au and minimum-bias  $p+p$  collisions is shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. Yields of the away-side associated charged hadrons are suppressed, in Au+Au relative to  $p+p$ , at all  $z_T$  except in the low  $z_T$  region both for  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  and  $\pi^0$  trigger. On the other hand, no suppression is observed on the near-side in Au+Au, relative to  $p+p$  collisions, due to the surface bias imposed by triggering on a high- $p_T$   $\pi^0$ . In order to quantify the medium modification for  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ - and  $\pi^0$ -triggered recoil jet production as a function of  $z_T$ , the ratio defined as  $I_{AA} = \frac{D(z_T)^{\text{AuAu}}}{D(z_T)^{\text{pp}}}$ , of the per-trigger conditional yields in Au+Au to those in  $p+p$  collisions is calculated.




**Figure 2.** (Color online.) The  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$  for  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  (red squares) and  $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$  for  $\pi^0$  (blue circles) triggers are plotted as a function of  $z_T$ . The points for  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$  are shifted by  $+0.03$  in  $z_T$  for visibility. The vertical line and shaded boxes represents statistical and systematic errors, respectively [6]. The curves represent theoretical model predictions [3, 8, 9, 10].



**Figure 3.** (Color online.) The values of  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  are plotted as a function of  $p_T^{\text{trig}}$  (left panel) and  $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$  (right panel) [6]. The vertical line and shaded boxes represents statistical and systematic errors, respectively. The curves represent theoretical model predictions [3, 8, 9].

Figure 2 shows the away-side medium modification factor for  $\pi^0$  triggers ( $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ ) and  $\gamma_{dir}$  triggers ( $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ ), as a function of  $z_T$ .  $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$  and  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  show similar suppression within uncertainties. At low  $z_T$  ( $0.1 < z_T < 0.2$ ), both  $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$  and  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  show an indication of less suppression than at higher  $z_T$ . This observation is not significant in the  $z_T$ -dependence of  $I_{AA}$  because the uncertainties in the lowest  $z_T$  bin are large. However, when  $I_{AA}$  is plotted vs.  $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$  (in Figure 3), the conclusion is supported with somewhat more significance. At high  $z_T$ , both  $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$  and  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  show a factor  $\sim 3 - 5$  suppression. The ZOWW calculation also predicts  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  as a function of  $p_T^{\text{trig}}$  to be approximately flat in this range [3]. The YaJEM model predicts that at low  $z_T=0.2$ ,  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}} = 1$  and rises above unity even at lower  $z_T$ , although at lower triggered  $p_T$  range 9-12 GeV/c.

The values of  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  are plotted as function of  $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$  in Fig. 3. It shows that the low- $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$  hadrons on the away-side are not as suppressed as those at high  $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ . Both model predictions shown [3, 8], which do not include the redistribution of lost energy, are in agreement with the data.  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$  shows no sensitivity as a function of  $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ , for  $0.3 < z_T <$



**Figure 4.** (Color online.)  $\gamma_{dir}$  triggered recoil (filled symbols) and uncorrelated (open symbols) reconstructed full jet transverse momentum spectra from PYTHIA simulation for p+p collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV.

0.4, indicating that away-side parton energy loss is not sensitive to the initial energy of the parton in the range of 8-20 GeV/c.

#### 4. Simulation study on $\gamma$ + jet

We have performed a feasibility study for  $\gamma$  + jet measurement in the kinematic acceptance for the STAR detector system using PYTHIA simulations. The  $\gamma$ -triggered events are generated within  $15 < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 20$  GeV/c and all tracks are selected within  $0.2 < p_T^{\text{track}} < 20$  GeV/c. Recoil full (including all charged and neutral particles) jets are reconstructed using the anti- $k_T$  algorithm [11, 12] for a jet resolution parameter of  $R = 0.8$ . Recoil jets are selected within  $|\Delta\phi - \pi| < \pi/4$ , where  $|\Delta\phi|$  is the difference between triggered  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$  and reconstructed jet azimuth. The uncorrelated reconstructed full jet (UE) are selected within  $\Delta\phi \in [\pi/4, \pi/2]$  and  $\Delta\phi \in [3\pi/2, 7\pi/4]$ . The estimated background energy density ( $\rho$ ) scaled by jet area ( $A$ ) is subtracted from each reconstructed jet's raw transverse momentum, and the corrected value,  $p_{T,jet}^{\text{Rec}} - \rho A$ , is shown in Fig. 4. Similar analyses with respect to hadronic trigger objects are discussed in Ref [13, 14]. The triggered recoil jet peak, within  $15 < p_{T,jet}^{\text{Rec}} - \rho A < 20$  GeV/c, can be seen around triggered  $p_T^{\text{trig}}$  of  $\gamma$ . In the STAR experiment, similar measurements for charged and full jets reconstruction using TPC and BEMC detectors is underway within wider acceptance in  $|\eta| < 1$  and  $2\pi$ -azimuth.

#### 5. Summary and Outlook

Within experimental uncertainty, both  $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$  and  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$  show similar levels of suppression with the expected differences due to the color-factor effect and the path-length dependence of in-medium energy loss not manifesting themselves. At high  $z_T(p_T^{\text{assoc}})$ ,  $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$  shows high suppression than at low  $z_T(p_T^{\text{assoc}})$ . There is no trigger-energy dependence observed in the suppression of  $\gamma_{\text{dir}}$ -triggered yields, suggesting little dependence for energy loss on the initial parton energy, in the range of  $p_T^{\text{trig}} = 8\text{-}20$  GeV/c. A semi-inclusive study of jets correlated with high- $p_T$   $\gamma$  is underway in the STAR experiment to explore more on the jet energy loss in the medium created at RHIC.

#### References

- [1] X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang, and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 231 (1996).
- [2] T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C **74** (2006) 034906.
- [3] H. Zhang, J.F. Owens, E. Wang, X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (2009) 032302.
- [4] M. Anderson, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **499** (2003) 659.
- [5] M. Beddo, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **499** (2003) 725.
- [6] L. Adamczyk *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **760** (2016) 689.
- [7] B. I. Abelev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034909 (2010).
- [8] G.-Y. Qin, J. Ruppert, C. Gale, S. Jeon, G.D. Moore, Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 054909.
- [9] X.-F. Chen, C. Greiner, E. Wang, X.-N. Wang, Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. C **81** (2010) 064908;
- [10] T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 014901 (2009).
- [11] M.Cacciari, G. P. Salam, G. Soyez, JHEP **04**, 063 (2008).
- [12] M.Cacciari, G. P. Salam, G. Soyez, JHEP **04**, 005 (2008).
- [13] J. Adam, et al., JHEP **09** (2015) 170.
- [14] P. M. Jacobs and A. Schmah (for the STAR Collaboration), arXiv:1512.08784 [nucl-ex].