

Spheroidal harmonic expansions for the solution of Laplace's equation for a point source near a sphere

Matt Majić, Baptiste Auguie, and Eric C. Le Ru*

*The MacDiarmid Institute for Advanced Materials and Nanotechnology,
School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington,
PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
(Dated: June 27, 2022)*

Abstract

We propose a powerful approach to solve Laplace's equation for point sources near a spherical object. The central new idea is to use prolate spheroidal solid harmonics, which are separable solutions of Laplace's equation in spheroidal coordinates, instead of the more natural spherical solid harmonics. We motivate this choice and show that the resulting series expansions converge much faster. This improvement is discussed in terms of the singularity of the solution and its analytic continuation. The benefits of this approach are illustrated for a specific example: the calculation of modified decay rates of light emitters close to nanostructures in the long-wavelength approximation. We expect the general approach to be applicable with similar benefits to a variety of other contexts, from other geometries to other equations of mathematical physics.

Laplace's equation is one of the most important partial differential equations of physics and engineering. It arises in many fields including electromagnetism, classical gravity, and fluid dynamics. It also has close links, through the Laplacian operator, with other important differential equations of physics, such as the wave equation and the diffusion equation. Analytical solutions of Laplace's equation, typically obtained via the method of separation of variables, are standard materials for physics textbooks [1]. The solution for a point source located outside a sphere plays a specially important role through its connection with the Green's function formalism [2]. We will focus on electrostatics in this article, but our results naturally extend to other applications of Laplace's equation.

The standard electrostatics solution for a point source outside a dielectric sphere is relatively straightforward and obtained as a multipole expansion (infinite series) [2, 3]. One important and often overlooked property of those series is that they can be very slowly convergent for sources close to the surface (often the most relevant situation), as shown explicitly in Ref. [4]. Moroz recently revisited this problem by focusing specifically on the decay rates (i.e. the self-field of a dipole in the quasi-static approximation) and used mathematical manipulations to express those series in a more convergent form [5]. Lindell also approached this problem from the point of view of image theory [6], but the resulting solutions involve integrals which must be computed numerically.

In this work, we propose and demonstrate an alternative approach based on the use of spheroidal harmonics, which are the separable solutions of Laplace's equation in spheroidal coordinates [1, 2]. This choice may appear counter-intuitive for a spherical object, but the point source breaks the spherical symmetry and we will show

that the spheroidal harmonics are better suited to account for the singularities of the solution. With this original approach, we demonstrate dramatic improvements for the convergence of the solution series. We show that this idea is directly applicable to different types of point sources and argue that its applicability could extend to other geometries and other equations of mathematical physics.




FIG. 1. Schematic of the electrostatics problem under study: a point charge q at a distance d from a sphere of radius a . The various coordinate systems used in the solution are also illustrated: spherical (r, θ, ϕ) , offset spherical (r', θ', ϕ) , and offset prolate spheroidal (ξ, η, ϕ) .

To present our new approach, we will first focus on the simplest case of a point charge. As illustrated in Fig. 1, we consider a point charge q located at \mathbf{R}_P , on the z -axis at a distance d from a sphere of radius a ($|\mathbf{R}_P| = R_P = a + d$). The dielectric relative permittivities of the sphere and embedding medium are ϵ_2 and ϵ_1 respectively and their ratio is denoted $\epsilon = \epsilon_2/\epsilon_1$ for convenience. Our results will be illustrated for $\epsilon = -6.5 + 0.67i$ (corresponding to a gold sphere in water excited with $\lambda = 633$ nm light in the quasi-static approximation), but similar con-

* eric.leru@vuw.ac.nz




FIG. 2. Convergence of the standard series solution (Eq. 2) for a point charge at a distance of $d = 0.5$ nm or $d = 3$ nm from an $a = 25$ nm-radius sphere (with $\epsilon = -6.5 + 0.67i$). The relative errors in the partial sums (with respect to the converged sums) are shown for the outside potential at different positions either along the z -axis (a) or off-axis for $r = a + d$ (b).

clusions were obtained with other values of ϵ , including for absorbing or non-absorbing dielectric spheres. We also choose for illustration a sphere radius of $a = 25$ nm and a distance from the surface of $d = 0.5$ or $d = 3$ nm, but note that the results are scale-invariant.

We seek the outside potential $\phi(\mathbf{r})$, solution of Laplace's equation in the presence of this source term. For convenience, we write $\phi = \bar{\phi}q/(4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_1 a)$ and work with the dimensionless $\bar{\phi}$. The standard solution of this problem consists in expanding the point charge potential $\bar{\phi}_q$ as a series of regular solid harmonics centered on the sphere [2]:

$$\bar{\phi}_q = \frac{a}{R_P} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{R_P^n} P_n(\cos \theta) \quad (r < R_P) \quad (1)$$

where (r, θ, ϕ) are spherical coordinates and P_n are the Legendre polynomials. The potential outside the sphere ($r > a$) is then given by $\bar{\phi}_{\text{out}} = \bar{\phi}_q + \bar{\phi}_r$, with the “reflected” potential [2]:

$$\bar{\phi}_r = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \left(\frac{R_I}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_n(\cos \theta), \quad (2)$$

where $R_I = a^2/R_P$ and the adimensional sphere polarizabilities are:

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(\epsilon - 1)}{n(\epsilon + 1) + 1}. \quad (3)$$

We also define $\beta_{\infty} = (\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 1)$, which is related to the response of a planar interface.

As discussed in Refs. [4–6], the sum in Eq. 2 can be very slowly convergent when evaluated at or in the vicinity of the sphere surface ($r \approx a$) for a point source close to the sphere ($d \ll a$). This is shown explicitly in Fig. 2 where we computed the relative errors from the partial

series of the potential at different points close to or on the sphere surface. One for example needs to sum more than 1500 terms in the series to obtain a converged solution (within the double-precision accuracy of $\sim 10^{-15}$) of the potential on the sphere surface when $a/d = 50$. This slow convergence also occurs everywhere on the sphere surface, not just in the vicinity of the point source. In order to motivate our choice of working with prolate spheroidal coordinates, we first derive a more convergent formulation of the solution with spherical coordinates, where the nature of the singularities of the solution becomes more apparent. For this, we start from Eq. 2, and isolate the dominant contribution for large n by writing:

$$\beta_n = \beta_{\infty} - \frac{\beta_{\infty}}{n(\epsilon + 1) + 1}. \quad (4)$$

Substituting back into Eq. 2, the second term gives a series that converges faster and the first term gives a (still slowly-converging) series for which we recognize a closed-form analytical expression [2]:

$$-\beta_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_I}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_n(\cos \theta) = -\frac{\beta_{\infty} R_I}{|\mathbf{r} - R_I \hat{\mathbf{z}}|}. \quad (5)$$

This can be viewed as the potential created by an image point charge $q_I = -q\beta_{\infty}(R_I/a)$, located at a distance R_I from the origin on the z axis (point I, see Fig. 1). This is the same image charge location as that used in the method of images to solve the same problem for a perfect conductor [2, 7]. The solution then takes the form (the primed coordinates refer to those centered at I):

$$\bar{\phi}_r = -\beta_{\infty} \frac{R_I}{r'} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{\infty}}{n(\epsilon + 1) + 1} \left(\frac{R_I}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_n(\cos \theta). \quad (6)$$

The slow convergence of the series in Eq. 2 has been partially removed by isolating and recognizing the analytical expression for the image charge. Nevertheless, the convergence of the series in Eq. 6 remains slow (Fig. 3). This approach can be repeated to further improve the convergence. Isolating the next term and recognizing its closed-form expression, we obtain after manipulation (see Sec. S.I.):

$$\bar{\phi}_r = -\beta_\infty \frac{R_I}{r'} + \frac{\beta_\infty}{\epsilon + 1} \ln \frac{r' - z'}{r - z} + \frac{\epsilon \beta_\infty}{\epsilon + 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R_I}{r} \right)^{n+1} \frac{P_n(\cos \theta)}{(n+1)[n(\epsilon+1)+1]}. \quad (7)$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the convergence of Eq. 7 is again improved, but still requires a large number of terms (~ 800) to reach double-precision accuracy.

It is also interesting to note that the second term in Eq. 7 exhibits a logarithmic singularity on the line segment OI; this term can therefore be viewed as an extended image source over this segment. Such a line image charge over OI was also found from a direct analysis of the problem within the method of images [6]. This extended line singularity provides the motivation for our proposed new approach to the problem. Instead of using a spherical harmonics expansion, we search instead for a solution in a basis of spheroidal harmonics, namely:

$$\bar{\phi}_r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Q_n(\bar{\xi}) P_n(\bar{\eta}). \quad (8)$$

$Q_n(\bar{\xi}) P_n(\bar{\eta})$ are irregular solid prolate spheroidal harmonics, i.e. they are the standard separable solutions of Laplace's equation (where there is no ϕ -dependence) in prolate spheroidal coordinates, with $Q_n(\bar{\xi})$ the Legendre functions of the second kind. $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are prolate spheroidal coordinates *with focal points at O, center of sphere, and I, position of the image charge*. The segment OI then corresponds exactly to $\bar{\xi} = 1$. Explicitly, $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are:

$$\bar{\xi} = \frac{r + r'}{R_I}, \quad \bar{\eta} = \frac{r - r'}{R_I}. \quad (9)$$

The “bar” notation is used here to emphasize the fact that prolate spheroidal coordinates are traditionally defined differently with O at the mid-point between the two foci [1]. We choose these coordinates because $Q_n(\bar{\xi})$ is then singular exactly on the segment OI (i.e. $\bar{\xi} = 1$), where the singularity of the solution is expected.

To determine the expansion coefficients a_n , we first need to find the expansion for the irregular spherical solid harmonics $P_n(\cos \theta)/r^{n+1}$ in terms of the irregular prolate spheroidal solid harmonics. Such expansions can be found in the literature [8, 9] in the case where the spherical harmonics center is in the middle of the focal points used for the spheroidal coordinates. In our case however,

the sphere center corresponds to one of the focal points, so new expressions had to be derived. The details are provided in Sec. S.II. and we here state the final result:

$$\left(\frac{R_I}{r} \right)^{n+1} P_n(\cos \theta) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{2(2k+1)(k+n)!}{n!^2(k-n)!} Q_k(\bar{\xi}) P_k(\bar{\eta}). \quad (10)$$

One can then substitute this expansion into the original solution (Eq. 2), swap the order of the sums and relabel the indices $n \leftrightarrow k$, to obtain the coefficients a_n as:

$$a_n = -2(2n+1) \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n+k} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!^2(n-k)!} \beta_k. \quad (11)$$

For the problem at hand, it is in fact beneficial to first isolate the point singularity (image charge) identified earlier, since it does not exhibit the line singularity found in the spheroidal solid harmonics. We therefore look for a solution of the form:

$$\bar{\phi}_r = -\beta_\infty \frac{R_I}{r'} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n Q_n(\bar{\xi}) P_n(\bar{\eta}). \quad (12)$$

As for a_n , the coefficients b_n are obtained by substituting Eq. 10 into the series in Eq. 6 and swapping the order of the sums. We obtain:

$$b_n = \beta_\infty 2(2n+1) c_n, \quad (13)$$

$$\text{with } c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{k(\epsilon+1)+1} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!^2(n-k)!}. \quad (14)$$

This expression is however not suitable for practical computations as large numerical errors appear in the sum at relatively low n (≈ 20), but one can derive the following equivalent expression (see Sec. S.III.):

$$c_n = \prod_{k=0}^n \frac{\mu - k}{\mu + k}, \quad \text{where } \mu = \frac{1}{\epsilon + 1}. \quad (15)$$

With this expression, c_n can be computed easily by recurrence. The solution for the potential takes the form

$$\bar{\phi}_r = -\beta_\infty \frac{R_I}{r'} + \beta_\infty \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(2n+1) c_n Q_n(\bar{\xi}) P_n(\bar{\eta}). \quad (16)$$

The convergence of this series is compared in Fig. 3 to those previously obtained and the improvements are dramatic. It should be noted that care should be taken in the computation of the Legendre functions of the second kind, which were computed using a backward recurrence and the modified Lentz algorithm [10]. In the example of Fig. 3(a) ($a = 25$, $d = 0.5$), full accuracy is obtained




FIG. 3. Convergence of the improved series solutions for the outside potential at the surface ($r = a$) either close to the source ($\theta = 0$, solid lines) or at the opposite side of the sphere ($\theta = \pi$, dashed lines) for a point charge at a distance $d = 0.5$ nm (a) or $d = 3$ nm (b). We compare the standard solution (Eq. 2) with the improved solutions with the image charge term (Eq. 6) and with the logarithmic term (Eq. 7). The new approach using a spheroidal harmonics expansion (Eq. 16) is also compared to those and converges much faster, especially for sources very close to the sphere. The inset in (b) depicts the region of divergence of the series for spherical (red) and spheroidal (green) harmonics expansions.

for the surface field close to the point source with only ~ 100 terms instead of ~ 1600 for the standard solution. The benefits are even more dramatic elsewhere near the surface, with only 18 terms needed on the other side of the sphere.

To understand these improvements, we recognize that Eq. 16 provides an analytic continuation of Eq. 7. Those infinite series are strictly equivalent in the region where they both converge, but their ranges of convergence are different: Eq. 7 only converges for $r > R_I$, while Eq. 16 converges everywhere except on the segment OI. One naturally expects that slow convergence of either series will occur near the boundary of its region of convergence. For spheroidal expansions, the point $r = R_P, \theta = \pi$ is far from the segment of divergence OI (see inset in Fig. 3(b)) and the series therefore converges rapidly. For spherical expansions, this point is very close to the sphere of divergence and convergence is very slow. The logarithmic term in Eq. 7 and previous studies using the method of images [6] suggest that the analytic continuation of the solution is singular only on the segment OI and the divergence region cannot be further reduced. This suggests that the spheroidal solid harmonics (centered on the segment OI) are the most natural basis for this problem, which explains the better convergence even at the point source position, which is close to the singularity at I.

These arguments indicate that our proposed approach would be applicable to many related problems. The method can for example be adapted to apply to the solution inside the sphere (this would take us too far from the argument and will be discussed elsewhere). It can also be applied to other types of point sources near a sphere with minor modifications. We discuss below the

results obtained for a dipole \mathbf{p} located at a distance d from the sphere on the z -axis (details of the derivations are provided in Secs. S.IV and S.V.). The dimensionless potential $\bar{\phi}$ is now defined as $\phi = \bar{\phi} p / (4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_1 a R_P)$ for convenience. For a perpendicular dipole (oriented along z), the reflected potential can be expressed using solid spheroidal harmonics expansions as:

$$\bar{\phi}_\perp = \beta_\infty \frac{R_I^2 z'}{r'^3} + \frac{\epsilon\beta_\infty}{\epsilon+1} \frac{R_I}{r'} - \frac{\epsilon\beta_\infty}{\epsilon+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(2n+1)c_n Q_n(\bar{\xi}) P_n(\bar{\eta}). \quad (17)$$

The first two terms correspond to an image dipole and image point charge respectively, while the series exhibit a line singularity over the segment OI as before.

This solution is important in the context of nanoptics, where the modified decay rate Γ for a dipolar emitter can be deduced from its self-field \mathbf{E}_{sf} as [3, 4, 11–13]:

$$\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_0} = 1 + \frac{6\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_1}{k_1^3} \frac{\text{Im}(\mathbf{p}^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{sf}})}{|\mathbf{p}|^2}, \quad (18)$$

where Γ_0 is the normal decay rate in the embedding medium, $k_1 = (2\pi/\lambda)\sqrt{\epsilon_1}$ the wave-vector. In the quasi-static approximation, valid for spheres much smaller than the wavelength, the field solution close to the sphere can be approximated by the corresponding electrostatics solution. The self-field \mathbf{E}_{sf} can then be obtained by evaluating the reflected field $\mathbf{E}_r = -\nabla\phi_r$ at the dipole position. For a dipole that is either perpendicular (\perp) or parallel (\parallel) to the sphere, we obtain (see Secs. S.IV. and S.V.):

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\perp}}{\Gamma_0} = 1 + \frac{3}{2(k_1 a)^3} \text{Im} \left\{ \beta_{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\delta_P^3} + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + 1} \frac{1}{\delta_P^2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left[1 - \frac{1}{\delta_P(1 + \delta_P)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)(n+1)c_n [\bar{\xi}_P Q_n(\bar{\xi}_P) - Q_{n+1}(\bar{\xi}_P)] \right] \right) \right\} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\parallel}}{\Gamma_0} = 1 - \frac{3}{2(k_1 a)^3} \text{Im} \left\{ \beta_{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_P^3} - \frac{2}{\epsilon + 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_P(1 + \delta_P)}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)(c_n - 1) Q_n^1(\bar{\xi}_P) \right) \right\}. \quad (20)$$




FIG. 4. (a) Convergence of the standard and improved series solutions for the modified decay rates Γ_{\perp}/Γ_0 . The spheroidal expansion (Eq. 19) requires much fewer terms for accurate results. (b) Spectral variation of the decay rate modification in the electrostatics approximation for a dipole perpendicular to a gold sphere of radius $a = 25$ nm embedded in water ($\epsilon_1 = 1.33^2$), at a distance $d = 0.5$ or 3 nm from the surface. The dielectric function for gold is taken from Ref. [14].

where we have defined $\delta_P = (R_P/a)^2 - 1$ ($\delta_P \ll 1$ when the dipole is close to the surface), $\bar{\xi}_P = 1 + 2\delta_P$, and Q_n^m are the associated Legendre functions of the second kind. Note that the coefficients c_n in the series depend on ϵ and contribute to the material-dependence of the whole expression. In Fig. 4(a), the convergence of this new formula is compared for the perpendicular dipole to that of the standard expression obtained from spherical harmonics expansions [4, 5]. Much faster convergence is again evidenced, and almost identical results were obtained for the parallel dipole. The wavelength dependence of the modified decay rate for a gold nanosphere is shown in Fig. 4(b) as an example of application of these new formula.

Beyond those examples, we envisage that our arguments could be extended to other equations of mathematical physics, such as the Helmholtz equation. The full-wave series solution for a dipole near a sphere are also slowly-convergent but the problem becomes more acute because numerical problems arise in computing those series for n larger than typically 100 (the terms in the series include spherical Bessel functions whose values are beyond double-precision arithmetic at large orders). The solutions cannot therefore be easily computed

numerically for dipoles close to the sphere. Spheroidal harmonics expansions (which may involve the standard spheroidal wavefunctions [15] or alternative definitions) may alleviate such issues. Spheroidal harmonics expansions could also provide new approaches to solve Laplace's equation with other geometries, for example interacting spheres (where one sphere can be viewed as a source for the other) or of a sphere near an infinite plane. Although those extensions will require further developments, the results presented in this article provide a vivid demonstration of the usefulness of spheroidal coordinates in problems with symmetry of revolution where they may have been overlooked so far.

-
- [1] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of theoretical physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
 - [2] J. A. Stratton, *Electromagnetic theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1941).
 - [3] G. Ford and W. Weber, Phys. Rep. **113**, 195 (1984).
 - [4] E. C. Le Ru and P. G. Etchegoin, *Principles of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and related plasmonic effects* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2009).
 - [5] A. Moroz, J. Phys. Chem. C **115**, 19546 (2011).
 - [6] I. V. Lindell, Radio Science **27**, 1 (1992).
 - [7] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1998).
 - [8] G. Jansen, J. Phys. A: Math. and General **33**, 1375 (2000).
 - [9] V. A. Antonov and A. S. Baranov, Technical Physics **47**, 80 (2002).
 - [10] B. I. Schneider, J. Segura, A. Gil, X. Guan, and K. Bartschat, Comp. Phys. Comm. **181**, 2091 (2010).
 - [11] R. R. Chance, A. Prock, and R. Silbey, Adv. Chem. Phys. **37**, 1 (1978).
 - [12] S. M. Barnett, B. Huttner, and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3698 (1992).
 - [13] L. Novotny and B. Hecht, *Principles of nano-optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
 - [14] P. G. Etchegoin, E. C. Le Ru, and M. Meyer, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 164705 (2006).
 - [15] L. Li, X.-K. Kang, and M.-S. Leong, *Spheroidal Wave Functions in Electromagnetic Theory* (Wiley, New York, 2002).