

# Cubic edge-transitive bi- $p$ -metacirculants

Yan-Li Qin      Jin-Xin Zhou\*

Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China

Email: 15118413@bjtu.edu.cn, jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn

## Abstract

A graph is said to be a *bi-Cayley graph* over a group  $H$  if it admits  $H$  as a group of automorphisms acting semiregularly on its vertices with two orbits. For a prime  $p$ , we call a bi-Cayley graph over a metacyclic  $p$ -group a *bi- $p$ -metacirculant*. In this paper, the automorphism group of a connected cubic edge-transitive bi- $p$ -metacirculant is characterized for an odd prime  $p$ , and the result reveals that a connected cubic edge-transitive bi- $p$ -metacirculant exists only when  $p = 3$ . Using this, a classification is given of connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over an inner-abelian metacyclic 3-group. As a result, we construct the first known infinite family of cubic semisymmetric graphs of order twice a 3-power.

**Keywords** bi- $p$ -metacirculant, symmetric graph, semisymmetric graph

**2000 Mathematics subject classification:** 05C25, 20B25.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, groups are assumed to be finite, and graphs are assumed to be finite, connected, simple and undirected. For a graph  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $V(\Gamma)$  the set of all vertices of  $\Gamma$ , by  $E(\Gamma)$  the set of all edges of  $\Gamma$ , by  $A(\Gamma)$  the set of all arcs (ordered pairs of adjacent vertices) of  $\Gamma$ , and by  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  the full automorphism group of  $\Gamma$ . For  $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ , denote by  $\{u, v\}$  the edge incident to  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\Gamma$ . For the group-theoretic and the graph-theoretic terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [2, 24].

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. If  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is transitive on  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $E(\Gamma)$  or  $A(\Gamma)$ , then  $\Gamma$  is said to be *vertex-transitive*, *edge-transitive* or *arc-transitive*, respectively. An arc-transitive graph is also called a symmetric graph. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *semisymmetric* if  $\Gamma$  has regular valency and is edge- but not vertex-transitive.

Let  $G$  be a permutation group on a set  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Denote by  $G_\alpha$  the stabilizer of  $\alpha$  in  $G$ , that is the subgroup of  $G$  fixing the point  $\alpha$ . We say that  $G$  is *semiregular* on  $\Omega$  if  $G_\alpha = 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and *regular* if  $G$  is transitive and semiregular. A graph is said

---

\*This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271012) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2015JBM110).

to be a *bi-Cayley graph* over a group  $H$  if it admits  $H$  as a semiregular automorphism group with two orbits (Bi-Cayley graph is sometimes called *semi-Cayley graph*). Note that every bi-Cayley graph admits the following concrete realization. Given a group  $H$ , let  $R, L$  and  $S$  be subsets of  $H$  such that  $R^{-1} = R, L^{-1} = L$  and  $R \cup L$  does not contain the identity element of  $H$ . The *bi-Cayley graph* over  $H$  relative to the triple  $(R, L, S)$ , denoted by  $\text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$ , is the graph having vertex set the union of the right part  $H_0 = \{h_0 \mid h \in H\}$  and the left part  $H_1 = \{h_1 \mid h \in H\}$ , and edge set the union of the right edges  $\{\{h_0, g_0\} \mid gh^{-1} \in R\}$ , the left edges  $\{\{h_1, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in L\}$  and the spokes  $\{\{h_0, g_1\} \mid gh^{-1} \in S\}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$ . For  $g \in H$ , define a permutation  $R(g)$  on the vertices of  $\Gamma$  by the rule

$$h_i^{R(g)} = (hg)_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, h \in H.$$

Then  $R(H) = \{R(g) \mid g \in H\}$  is a semiregular subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  which is isomorphic to  $H$  and has  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  as its two orbits. When  $R(H)$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , the bi-Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  will be called a *normal bi-Cayley graph* over  $H$  (see [27]).

A natural problem in the study of bi-Cayley graphs is: for a given finite group  $H$ , to classify bi-Cayley graphs with specific symmetry properties over  $H$ . Some partial answers for this problem have been obtained. For example, in [1] Boben et al. studied some properties of cubic 2-type bi-Cayley graphs over cyclic groups and the configurations arising from these graphs, in [20] Pisanski classified cubic bi-Cayley graphs over cyclic groups, in [14] Kovács et al. gave a classification of arc-transitive one-matching abelian bi-Cayley graphs, and more recently, Zhou et al. [26] gave a classification of cubic vertex-transitive abelian bi-Cayley graphs. In this paper, we shall investigate cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over metacyclic  $p$ -groups where  $p$  is an odd prime. Following up [8], we call a bi-Cayley graph over a metacyclic  $p$ -group a *bi- $p$ -metacirculant*.

Another motivation for us to consider bi-Cayley graphs over metacyclic  $p$ -groups is the observation that the Gray graph [4], the smallest trivalent semmisymmetric graph, is a bi-Cayley graph over a non-abelian metacyclic group of order 27. In [8], the cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over abelian groups have been classified. So, we shall restrict our attention to bi-Cayley graphs over non-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -groups.

Our first result characterizes the automorphism groups of cubic edge-transitive bi- $p$ -metacirculants.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over a non-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -group  $H$  with  $p$  an odd prime. Then  $p = 3$ , and either  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the Gray graph or  $\Gamma$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ .*

Applying the above theorem, our second result gives a classification of connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over a inner-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -group. A non-abelian group is called an *inner-abelian group* if all of its proper subgroups are abelian.

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over an inner-abelian metacyclic 3-group  $H$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to either  $\Gamma_t$  or  $\Sigma_t$  (see Section 5.1 for the construction of these two families of graphs).*

Theorem 1.1 also enables us to give a short proof of the main result in [17].

**Corollary 1.3** [17, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $p$  be a prime. Then, with the exception of the Gray graph on 54 vertices, every cubic edge-transitive graph of order  $2p^3$  is vertex-transitive.*

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce the notation used in this paper. For a positive integer  $n$ , denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  the cyclic group of order  $n$  and by  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  consisting of numbers coprime to  $n$ . For a finite group  $G$ , the full automorphism group, the center, the derived subgroup and the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $Z(G)$ ,  $G'$  and  $\Phi(G)$ , respectively. For  $x, y \in G$ , denote by  $[x, y]$  the commutator  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , denote by  $C_G(H)$  the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$  and by  $N_G(H)$  the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . For two groups  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $N \rtimes M$  denotes a semidirect product of  $N$  by  $M$ .

Below, we restate some group-theoretic results, of which the first is usually called the  $N/C$ -theorem.

**Proposition 2.1** [13, Chapter 1, Theorem 4.5] *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $C_G(H)$  is normal in  $N_G(H)$ , and the quotient group  $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H)$ .*

Now we give two results regarding metacyclic  $p$ -groups.

**Proposition 2.2** [22, Lemma 2.4] *Let  $P$  be a split metacyclic  $p$ -group:*

$$P = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^m} = y^{p^n} = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{1+p^l} \rangle, \text{ where } 0 < l < m, m - l \leq n.$$

*Then the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(P)$  of  $P$  is a semidirect product of a normal  $p$ -subgroup and the cyclic subgroup  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  of order  $p - 1$ , where  $\sigma(x) = x^r$  and  $\sigma(y) = y$ ,  $r$  is a primitive  $(p - 1)$ th root of unity modulo  $p^m$ .*

**Proposition 2.3** [22, Proposition 2.3] *Let  $G$  be a finite group with a non-abelian metacyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ . If  $P$  is nonsplit, then  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement.*

Next, we give some results about graphs. Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected graph with an edge-transitive group  $G$  of automorphisms and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . The *quotient graph*  $\Gamma_N$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $N$  is defined as the graph with vertices the orbits of  $N$  on  $V(\Gamma)$  and with two orbits adjacent if there exists an edge in  $\Gamma$  between the vertices lying in those two orbits. Below we introduce two propositions, of which the first is a special case of [15, Theorem 9].

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a cubic graph and let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  be arc-transitive on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $G$  is an  $s$ -arc-regular subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  for some integer  $s$ . If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  has more than two orbits in  $V(\Gamma)$ , then  $N$  is semiregular on  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma_N$  is a cubic symmetric graph with  $G/N$  as an  $s$ -arc-regular subgroup of automorphisms.*

The next proposition is a special case of [16, Lemma 3.2].

**Proposition 2.5** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a cubic graph and let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  be transitive on  $E(\Gamma)$  but intransitive on  $V(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph with two partition sets, say  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ . If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is intransitive on each of  $V_0$  and  $V_1$ , then  $N$  is semiregular on  $V(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma_N$  is a cubic graph with  $G/N$  as an edge- but not vertex-transitive group of automorphisms.*

The next proposition is basic for bi-Cayley graphs.

**Proposition 2.6** [26, Lemma 3.1] *Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  be a connected bi-Cayley graph over a group  $H$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $H$  is generated by  $R \cup L \cup S$ .
- (2) Up to graph isomorphism,  $S$  can be chosen to contain the identity of  $H$ .
- (3) For any automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $H$ ,  $\text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, R^\alpha, L^\alpha, S^\alpha)$ .
- (4)  $\text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, L, R, S^{-1})$ .

Next, we collect several results about the automorphisms of the bi-Cayley graph  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$ . Recall that for each  $g \in H$ ,  $R(g)$  is a permutation on  $V(\Gamma)$  defined by the rule

$$h_i^{R(g)} = (hg)_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, h, g \in H, \quad (1)$$

and  $R(H) = \{R(g) \mid g \in H\} \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . For an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $H$  and  $x, y, g \in H$ , define two permutations on  $V(\Gamma) = H_0 \cup H_1$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha, x, y} : h_0 &\mapsto (xh^\alpha)_1, h_1 \mapsto (yh^\alpha)_0, \forall h \in H, \\ \sigma_{\alpha, g} : h_0 &\mapsto (h^\alpha)_0, h_1 \mapsto (gh^\alpha)_1, \forall h \in H. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \{\delta_{\alpha, x, y} \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(H) \text{ s.t. } R^\alpha = x^{-1}Lx, L^\alpha = y^{-1}Ry, S^\alpha = y^{-1}S^{-1}x\}, \\ \text{F} &= \{\sigma_{\alpha, g} \mid \alpha \in \text{Aut}(H) \text{ s.t. } R^\alpha = R, L^\alpha = g^{-1}Lg, S^\alpha = g^{-1}S\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 2.7** [27, Theorem 3.4] *Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  be a connected bi-Cayley graph over the group  $H$ . Then  $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(R(H)) = R(H) \rtimes F$  if  $I = \emptyset$  and  $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}(R(H)) = R(H) \langle F, \delta_{\alpha, x, y} \rangle$  if  $I \neq \emptyset$  and  $\delta_{\alpha, x, y} \in I$ . Furthermore, for any  $\delta_{\alpha, x, y} \in I$ , we have the following:*

- (1)  $\langle R(H), \delta_{\alpha, x, y} \rangle$  acts transitively on  $V(\Gamma)$ ;
- (2) if  $\alpha$  has order 2 and  $x = y = 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the Cayley graph  $\text{Cay}(\bar{H}, R \cup \alpha S)$ , where  $\bar{H} = H \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ .

**Proposition 2.8** [8, Proposition 5.2] *Let  $n, m$  be two positive integers such that  $nm^2 \geq 3$ . Let  $\lambda = 0$  if  $n = 1$ , and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  be such that  $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  if  $n > 1$ . Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{m,n} &= \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{nm} \times \mathbb{Z}_m, \\ \Gamma_{m,n,\lambda} &= \text{BiCay}(\mathcal{H}_{m,n}, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{1, x, x^\lambda y\}).\end{aligned}$$

*Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  be a connected cubic normal edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over an abelian group  $H$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_{m,n,\lambda}$  for some integers  $m, n, \lambda$ .*

Finally, we give some results about cubic edge-transitive graphs.

**Proposition 2.9** [9, Theorem 3.2] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic symmetric graph of order  $2p^n$  with  $p$  an odd prime and  $n$  a positive integer. If  $p \neq 5, 7$ , then every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  is normal.*

**Proposition 2.10** [17, Proposition 8] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive graph and let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  be transitive on the edges of  $\Gamma$ . For any  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ , the stabilizer  $G_v$  has order  $2^r \cdot 3$  with  $r \geq 0$ .*

### 3 A few technical lemmas

In this section, we shall give two easily proved lemmas about metacyclic  $p$ -groups that are useful in this paper.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $H$  be a metacyclic  $p$ -group generated by  $a, b$  with the following defining relations:*

$$a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^r},$$

*where  $m, n, r$  are positive integers such that  $r < m \leq n + r$ . Then the following hold:*

(1) *For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , we have*

$$a^i b^j = b^j a^{i(1+p^r)^j}.$$

(2) *For any positive integer  $k$  and for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , we have*

$$(b^j a^i)^k = b^{kj} a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (1+p^r)^{sj}}.$$

(3) *For any  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , we have*

$$(b^{j_1} a^{i_1})(b^{j_2} a^{i_2}) = b^{j_1+j_2} a^{i_1(1+p^r)^{j_2+i_2}}.$$

**Proof** For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , since  $b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^r}$ , we have  $b^{-j}ab^j = a^{(1+p^r)^j}$ , and then  $b^{-j}a^i b^j = a^{i(1+p^r)^j}$ . It follows that  $a^i b^j = b^j a^{i(1+p^r)^j}$ , and so (1) holds.

For any positive integer  $k$  and for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , if  $k = 1$ , then (2) is clearly true. Now we assume that  $k > 1$  and (2) holds for any positive integer less than  $k$ . Then  $(b^j a^i)^{k-1} = b^{(k-1)j} a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} (1+p^r)^{sj}}$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} (b^j a^i)^k &= b^j a^i (b^j a^i)^{k-1} \\ &= b^j a^i [b^{(k-1)j} a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} (1+p^r)^{sj}}] \\ &= b^j [a^i b^{(k-1)j}] a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} (1+p^r)^{sj}} \\ &= b^j [b^{(k-1)j} a^{i(1+p^r)^{(k-1)j}}] a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} (1+p^r)^{sj}} \\ &= b^{kj} a^{i \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (1+p^r)^{sj}}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have (2) holds.

For any  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}$  and  $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , from (1) it follows that

$$(b^{j_1} a^{i_1})(b^{j_2} a^{i_2}) = b^{j_1} (a^{i_1} b^{j_2}) a^{i_2} = b^{j_1} (b^{j_2} a^{i_1(1+p^r)^{j_2}}) a^{i_2} = b^{j_1+j_2} a^{i_1(1+p^r)^{j_2}+i_2},$$

and so (3) holds. □

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $H$  be an inner-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -group generated by  $a, b$  with the following defining relations:*

$$a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^r},$$

where  $m, n, r$  are positive integers such that  $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$  and  $r = m - 1$ . Then the following hold:

(1) For any positive integer  $k$ , we have

$$a^{(1+p^r)^k} = a^{1+kp^r}.$$

(2) For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , we have

$$(b^j a^i)^p = b^{jp} a^{ip}.$$

(3)  $H' \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proof** For (1), the result is clearly true if  $k = 1$ . In what follows, assume  $k \geq 2$ . Since  $r = m - 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ , we have  $2r \geq m$ . This implies that  $a^{p^{2r}} = 1$ , and hence  $a^{p^{\ell r}} = 1$  for any  $\ell \geq 2$ . It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(1+p^r)^k} &= a^{[C_k^0 \cdot 1^k \cdot (p^r)^0 + C_k^1 \cdot 1^{k-1} \cdot (p^r)^1 + C_k^2 \cdot 1^{k-2} \cdot (p^r)^2 + \dots + C_k^k \cdot 1^0 \cdot (p^r)^k]} \\ &= a^{C_k^0 \cdot (p^r)^0} \cdot a^{C_k^1 \cdot (p^r)^1} \cdot a^{C_k^2 \cdot (p^r)^2} \cdot \dots \cdot a^{C_k^k \cdot (p^r)^k} \\ &= a \cdot (a^{p^r})^{C_k^1} \cdot (a^{p^{2r}})^{C_k^2} \cdot \dots \cdot (a^{p^{kr}})^{C_k^k} \\ &= a \cdot a^{kp^r} \\ &= a^{1+kp^r}, \end{aligned}$$

and so (1) holds. (Here for any integers  $N \geq l \geq 0$ , we denote by  $C_N^l$  the binomial coefficient, that is,  $C_N^l = \frac{N!}{l!(N-l)!}$ .)

For (2), for any positive integer  $k$  and for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ , by Lemma 3.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (b^j a^i)^p &= b^{jp} a^{i[1+(1+p^r)^j+(1+p^r)^{2j}+\dots+(1+p^r)^{(p-1)j}]} \\ &= b^{jp} a^{i[1+(1+j \cdot p^r)+(1+2j \cdot p^r)+\dots+(1+(p-1) \cdot j p^r)]} \\ &= b^{jp} a^{i(p+\frac{1}{2}p(p-1) \cdot j p^r)} \\ &= b^{jp} a^{ip}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2) holds.

From [25] we can obtain (3). □

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 by a series of lemmas. We first prove three lemmas regarding cubic edge-transitive graphs of order twice a prime power.

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive graph of order  $2p^n$  with  $p$  an odd prime and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  be transitive on the edges of  $\Gamma$ . Then any minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.*

**Proof** Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $G$  is transitive on the arcs of  $\Gamma$ , then by [9, Lemma 3.1],  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, as required.

In what follows, assume that  $G$  is not transitive on the arcs of  $\Gamma$ . Then since  $\Gamma$  has valency 3,  $\Gamma$  is semisymmetric and so it is bipartite. Let  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  be the two partition sets of  $V(\Gamma)$ . Then  $B_0, B_1$  are just the two orbits  $G$  on  $V(\Gamma)$  and have size  $p^n$ . Recalling that  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , each orbit of  $N$  has size dividing  $p^n$ . So, if  $N$  is solvable, then  $N$  must be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group, as required.

Suppose that  $N$  is non-solvable. By Proposition 2.10, we have  $|G| = 2^r \cdot 3 \cdot p^n$ , where  $r \geq 0$ . If  $p = 3$ , then by Burnside  $p^a q^b$ -theorem,  $G$  would be solvable, which is impossible because  $N$  is non-solvable. Thus,  $p > 3$ . Since  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $N$  is a product of some isomorphic non-abelian simple groups. Observing that  $3^2 \nmid |G|$ , by [12, pp.12-14], we obtain that  $N \cong A_5$  or  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ . Then  $p = 5$  or  $7$ , and  $p^2 \nmid |N|$ . Since  $n \geq 2$ , it follows that  $N$  is intransitive on each bipartition sets of  $\Gamma$ . By Proposition 2.5,  $N$  is semiregular on  $V(\Gamma)$ , and so  $|N| \mid p^n$ , which is impossible. This completes the proof of our lemma. □

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime and let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive graph of order  $2p^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and let  $H$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ , and moreover, if  $p \geq 11$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ .*

**Proof** By Proposition 2.10, the stabilizer of any  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  in  $A$  has order dividing  $2^r \cdot 3$  with  $r \geq 0$ . Recalling  $H$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ ,  $H$  must be semiregular on  $V(\Gamma)$  since  $p \geq 5$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is edge-transitive,  $\Gamma$  is either arc-transitive or semisymmetric, and so  $p^n \mid |A|$ . It follows that  $p^n \mid |H|$ , and so  $|H| = p^n$ . Thus,  $H$  has two orbits on  $V(\Gamma)$ , and hence  $\Gamma$  is bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ .

Now suppose that  $p \geq 11$ . We shall prove the second assertion. It suffices to prove that  $H \trianglelefteq A$ . Use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  is symmetric by [11, Theorem 2], and then by [18, Theorem 1] (see also [5, Table 1] or [9, Proposition 2.8]), we have  $H \trianglelefteq A$ , as required. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Take  $N$  to be a minimal normal subgroup of  $A$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and  $|N| \mid p^n$ . Consider the quotient graph  $\Gamma_N$  of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to the orbits of  $N$ . If  $|N| = p^n$ , then  $H = N \trianglelefteq A$ , as required. Suppose that  $|N| < p^n$ . Then each orbit of  $N$  has size at most  $p^{n-1}$ , and by Propositions 2.5 and 2.4,  $N$  is semiregular, and  $\Gamma_N$  is of valency 3 with  $A/N$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms of  $\Gamma_N$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma_N$  has order  $2p^m$  with  $m < n$ . By induction, we have any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_N)$  is normal. It follows that  $H/N \trianglelefteq A/N$  because  $H/N$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A/N$ . Therefore,  $H \trianglelefteq A$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive graph of order  $2p^n$  with  $p = 5$  or  $7$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $Q = O_p(A)$  be the maximal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $|Q| = p^n$  or  $p^{n-1}$ .*

**Proof** Let  $|Q| = p^m$  with  $m \leq n$ . Suppose that  $n - m \geq 2$ . Then by Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, the quotient graph  $\Gamma_Q$  is a connected cubic graph of order  $2p^{n-m}$  with  $A/Q$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Let  $N/Q$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $A/Q$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $N/Q$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. It follows that  $N \trianglelefteq A$  and  $Q < N$ , contrary to the maximality of  $Q$ . Thus  $n - m \leq 1$ , and so  $|Q| = p^n$  or  $p^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to consider cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over a metacyclic  $p$ -group. We first prove that  $p = 3$ .

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over a non-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -group  $H$  with  $p$  an odd prime. Then  $p = 3$ .*

**Proof** Suppose to the contrary that  $p > 3$ . Let  $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $R(H)$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . We shall first prove the following claim.

**Claim.**  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $R(H)$  is not normal in  $A$ . By Lemma 4.2, we have  $p = 5$  or  $7$ . Let  $N$  be the maximal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . Then  $N \leq R(H)$ , and by Lemma 4.3, we have  $|R(H) : N| = p$ . Then the quotient graph  $\Gamma_N$  is a cubic graph of order  $2p$  with  $A/N$  as an edge-transitive automorphism group. By [6, 7], if  $p = 5$ , then  $\Gamma_N$  is the Petersen graph, and if  $p = 7$ , then  $\Gamma_N$  is the Heawood graph. Since  $A/N$  is transitive on the edges of  $\Gamma_N$  and  $R(H)/N$  is non-normal in  $A/N$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 &\lesssim A/N \lesssim S_5, && \text{if } p = 5; \\ \text{PSL}(2, 7) &\lesssim A/N \lesssim \text{PGL}(2, 7), && \text{if } p = 7. \end{aligned}$$



Let  $B/N$  be the socle of  $A/N$ . Then  $B/N$  is also edge-transitive on  $\Gamma_N$ , and so  $B$  is also edge-transitive on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $C = C_B(N)$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $B/C \lesssim \text{Aut}(N)$ . And  $C/(C \cap N) \cong CN/N \trianglelefteq B/N$ . Since  $B/N$  is non-abelian simple, one has  $CN/N = 1$  or  $B/N$ .

Suppose first that  $CN/N = 1$ . Then  $C \leq N$ , and so  $C = C \cap N = C_N(N) = Z(N)$ . Then  $B/Z(N) = B/C \lesssim \text{Aut}(N)$ . Since  $R(H)$  is a metacyclic  $p$ -group,  $N$  is also a metacyclic  $p$ -group. If  $N$  is non-abelian, then by Proposition 2.2 and [22, Lemma 2.6],  $\text{Aut}(N)$  is solvable. It follows that  $B/Z(N)$  is solvable, and so  $B$  is solvable. This is contrary to the fact that  $B/N$  is non-abelian simple.

If  $N$  is abelian, then  $C = Z(N) = N$ . Let

$$\text{Aut}^\Phi(N) = \langle \alpha \in \text{Aut}(N) \mid g^\alpha \Phi(N) = g\Phi(N), \forall g \in N \rangle,$$

where  $\Phi(N)$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $N$ . Recall that  $\text{Aut}^\Phi(N)$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  and  $\text{Aut}(N)/\text{Aut}^\Phi(N) \leq \text{Aut}(N/\Phi(N))$  (see [19]). Let  $K/C = (B/C) \cap \text{Aut}^\Phi(N)$ . Then  $K/C \trianglelefteq B/C$ , and so  $K \trianglelefteq B$ . It follows that

$$B/K \cong (B/C)/(K/C) \cong ((B/C) \cdot \text{Aut}^\Phi(N))/\text{Aut}^\Phi(N) \leq \text{Aut}(N/\Phi(N)).$$

Clearly,  $K/C$  is a  $p$ -group. Since  $C = N$ ,  $K$  is also a  $p$ -group. As  $N$  is the maximal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ ,  $N$  is also the maximal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $B$ . This implies that  $K = N$ . If  $N$  is cyclic, then  $N/\Phi(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and so  $B/N = B/K \lesssim \text{Aut}(N/\Phi(N)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ , again contrary to the fact that  $B/N$  is a non-abelian simple group. If  $N$  is not cyclic, then  $N/\Phi(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . It follows that  $B/N = B/K \lesssim \text{Aut}(N/\Phi(N)) \cong \text{GL}(2, p)$ . This forces that either  $A_5 \leq \text{GL}(2, 5)$  with  $p = 5$ , or  $\text{PSL}(2, 7) \leq \text{GL}(2, 7)$  with  $p = 7$ . However, each of these can not happen by Magma [3], a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $CN/N = B/N$ . Since  $C \cap N = Z(N)$ , we have  $1 < C \cap N \leq Z(C)$ . Clearly,  $Z(C)/(C \cap N) \trianglelefteq C/(C \cap N) \cong CN/N$ . Since  $CN/N = B/N$  is non-abelian simple,  $Z(C)/C \cap N$  must be trivial. Thus  $C \cap N = Z(C)$ , and hence  $B/N = CN/N \cong C/C \cap N = C/Z(C)$ . If  $C = C'$ , then  $Z(C)$  is a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of  $B/N$ . However, the Schur multiplier of  $A_5$  or  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $C \neq C'$ . Since  $C/Z(C)$  is non-abelian simple, one has  $C/Z(C) = (C/Z(C))' = C'Z(C)/Z(C) \cong C'/(C' \cap Z(C))$ , and then we have  $C = C'Z(C)$ . It follows that  $C'' = C'$ . Clearly,  $C' \cap Z(C) \leq Z(C')$ , and  $Z(C')/(C' \cap Z(C)) \trianglelefteq C'/(C' \cap Z(C))$ . Since  $C'/(C' \cap Z(C)) \cong C/Z(C)$  and since  $C/Z(C)$  is non-abelian simple, it follows that  $Z(C')/(C' \cap Z(C))$  is trivial, and so  $Z(C') = C' \cap Z(C)$ . As  $C/(C \cap N) \cong CN/N$  is non-abelian, we have  $C/(C \cap N) = (C/(C \cap N))' = (C/Z(C))' \cong C'/(C' \cap Z(C)) = C'/Z(C')$ . Since  $C' = C''$ ,  $Z(C')$  is a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of  $CN/N$ . However, the Schur multiplier of  $A_5$  or  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , forcing that  $Z(C') \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . This is impossible because  $Z(C') = C' \cap Z(C) \leq C \cap N$  is a  $p$ -subgroup. Claim is proved.

If  $H$  is non-split, then by Proposition 2.3,  $A$  has a normal  $p$ -complement  $Q$ . By Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, the quotient graph  $\Gamma_Q$  would be cubic graph of odd order, a contradiction.

Thus,  $H$  is split. Then we may assume that

$$H = \langle a, b \mid a^{p^m} = b^{p^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^r} \rangle,$$

where  $m, n, r$  are positive integers such that  $r < m \leq m + n$ .

By Claim,  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is edge-transitive, we assume that  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ . By Proposition 2.6, we may assume that  $S = \{1, g, h\}$  with  $g, h \in H$ . By Proposition 2.7, there exists  $\sigma_{\alpha, x} \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)_{1_0}$ , where  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H)$  and  $x \in H$ , such that  $\sigma_{\alpha, x}$  cyclically permutes the three elements in  $\Gamma(1_0) = \{1_1, g_1, h_1\}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $(\sigma_{\alpha, x})|_{\Gamma(1_0)} = (1_1 \ g_1 \ h_1)$ . Then  $g_1 = (1_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, x}} = x_1$ , implying that  $x = g$ . Furthermore,  $h_1 = (g_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, x}} = (gg^\alpha)_1$  and  $1_1 = (h_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, x}} = (gh^\alpha)_1$ . It follows that  $g^\alpha = g^{-1}h$ ,  $h^\alpha = g^{-1}$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $H$  order dividing 3. If  $\alpha$  is trivial, then  $h = g^{-1}$  and  $g = g^{-1}h = g^{-2}$ , and then  $g^3 = 1$ . Since  $p > 3$ , we must have  $h = g = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha$  has order 3. By Proposition 2.2, we must have  $3 \mid p - 1$ . Furthermore,  $\alpha$  is conjugate to the following automorphism of  $H$  induced by the following map:

$$\beta : a \mapsto a^s, b \mapsto b,$$

where  $s$  is an element of order 3 of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}^*$ .

Assume that  $\beta = \pi^{-1}\alpha\pi$  for  $\pi \in \text{Aut}(H)$ . Consider the graph  $\Gamma^\pi = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^\pi)$ . By Proposition 2.7 (3), we have  $\Gamma^\pi \cong \Gamma$ , and  $\sigma_{\beta, g^\pi}$  cyclically permutes the three elements in  $\Gamma^\pi(1_0) = \{1_1^\pi, g_1^\pi, h_1^\pi\}$ . For convenience of the statement, we may assume that  $\pi$  is trivial and  $\alpha = \beta$ .

Let  $g = b^j a^i$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^m}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ . Then  $h = gg^\alpha = b^j a^i b^j a^{is}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is connected, we have  $H = \langle S \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle = \langle b^j a^i, b^j a^i b^j a^{is} \rangle = \langle b^j, a^i, a^{is} \rangle = \langle a^i, b^j \rangle$ , implying that  $i, j$  are coprime to  $p$ . Then there exists an integer  $u$  such that  $ui \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$ . It is easy to check that the map  $\gamma : a \mapsto a^u, b \mapsto b$  can induce an automorphism of  $H$ , and then  $(a^i)^\gamma = a^{ui} = a$ . Again, by Proposition 2.7 (3), we have  $\Gamma \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^\gamma)$ , where  $S^\gamma = \{1, b^j a, b^j a b^j a^s\}$ . Let  $\Gamma' = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^\gamma)$ . Then  $\sigma_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma, g^\gamma} \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$  cyclically permutes the elements in  $\Gamma'(1_0) = \{1_1, (b^j a)_1, (b^j a b^j a^s)_1\}$ .

It is easy to check that  $a^{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma} = (a^i)^{\alpha\gamma} = (a^{is})^\gamma = a^s$  and  $b^{\alpha\gamma} = b$ . It then follows that  $1_1^{\sigma_{\alpha\gamma, b^j a}} = (b^j a)_1$ ,  $(b^j a)_1^{\sigma_{\alpha\gamma, b^j a}} = (b^j a b^j a^s)_1$ , and  $(b^j a b^j a^s)_1^{\sigma_{\alpha\gamma, b^j a}} = (b^j a (b^j a b^j a^s)^{\alpha\gamma})_1 = (b^j a b^j a^s b^j a^s)_1 = (b^{3j} a^{(1+p^r)2j+s(1+p^r)^j+s^2})_1 \neq 1_1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $p = 3$ .  $\square$

In what follows, we consider cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over the group  $H$ , where  $H$  is a non-abelian metacyclic 3-group.

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over a non-abelian metacyclic 3-group  $H$  with  $|H| = 3^s$ , where  $s \geq 4$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ .*

**Proof** Let  $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$  and let  $P$  be a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $A$  such that  $R(H) \leq P$ . By Proposition 2.10, we have  $|A| = 3^{s+1} \cdot 2^r$  with  $r \geq 0$ . This implies that  $|P| = 3|R(H)|$ , and so  $|P_{1_0}| = |P_{1_1}| = 3$ . Thus,  $P$  is transitive on the edges of  $\Gamma$ . Clearly,  $R(H) \trianglelefteq P$ . This implies that the two orbits  $H_0, H_1$  of  $R(H)$  do not contain the edges of  $\Gamma$ , and so  $R = L = \emptyset$ .

**Claim**  $P \trianglelefteq A$ .

Let  $M \trianglelefteq A$  be maximal subject to that  $M$  is intransitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . By Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5,  $M$  is semiregular on  $V(\Gamma)$  and the quotient graph

$\Gamma_M$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $M$  is a cubic graph with  $A/M$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Assume that  $|M| = 3^t$ . Then  $|V(\Gamma_M)| = 2 \cdot 3^{s-t}$ . If  $s - t \leq 2$ , then by [6, 7],  $\Gamma_M$  is isomorphic to F006A or the Pappus graph F018A, and then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_M)$  has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup. It follows that  $P/M \trianglelefteq A/M$ , and so  $P \trianglelefteq A$ , as claimed.

Now assume that  $s - t > 2$ . Take a minimal normal subgroup  $N/M$  of  $A/M$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $N/M$  is an elementary abelian 3-group. By the maximality of  $M$ ,  $N$  is transitive on at least one of  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , and so  $3^s \mid |N|$ . If  $3^{s+1} \mid |N|$ , then  $P = N \trianglelefteq A$ , as claimed. Assume that  $|N| = 3^s$ . If  $N$  is transitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , then  $N$  is semiregular on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , and then  $\Gamma_M$  would be a cubic bi-Cayley graph on  $N/M$ . Since  $\Gamma_M$  is connected, by Proposition 2.6,  $N/M$  is generated by two elements, and so  $N/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . This implies that  $|V(\Gamma_M)| = 6$  or 18, contrary to the assumption that  $|V(\Gamma_M)| = 2 \cdot 3^{s-t} > 18$ . Thus, we may assume that  $N$  is transitive on  $H_0$  but intransitive on  $H_1$ . Then  $N/M \neq R(H)M/M$ , and so  $NR(H)M/M = P/M$ . Since  $|P/M : R(H)M/M| \mid 3$ , one has  $|N/M : (N/M \cap R(H)M/M)| \mid 3$ , and since  $H$  is metacyclic, one has  $N/M \cap R(H)M/M$  is also metacyclic and so is a two-generator group. This implies that  $|N/M| \mid 3^3$ , and so  $|N/M| = 3^3$  because  $|N/M| = 3^{s-t} > 9$ . Then  $|V(\Gamma_M)| = 2 \cdot |N/M| = 54$ . Since  $s \geq 4$ , we have  $|M| \geq 3$ . If  $M \not\leq R(H)$ , then  $P = MR(H)$  and then  $N/M \leq R(H)M/M$ . As  $H$  is metacyclic,  $N/M$  is also metacyclic, and so  $|N/M| = 3$  or 9, a contradiction. Thus,  $M \leq R(H)$ , and hence  $M$  is metacyclic. Then  $M/\Phi(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Since  $\Phi(M)$  is characteristic in  $M$ , one has  $\Phi(M) \trianglelefteq A$  because  $M \trianglelefteq A$ . Then the quotient graph  $\Gamma_{\Phi(M)}$  is a cubic graph of order  $2 \cdot 3^4$  or  $2 \cdot 3^5$  with  $A/\Phi(M)$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. By [6, 7] and Magma [3], we obtain that every Sylow 3-subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi(M)})$  is normal. This implies that  $P/\Phi(M) \trianglelefteq A/\Phi(M)$ , and so  $P \trianglelefteq A$ , completing the proof of our claim.

Now we are ready to finish the proof of our lemma. By Claim, we have  $P \trianglelefteq A$ . Since  $|P : R(H)| = 3$ , one has  $\Phi(P) \leq R(H)$ . As  $H$  is non-abelian, one has  $\Phi(P) < R(H)$  for otherwise, we would have  $P$  is cyclic and so  $H$  is cyclic which is impossible. Then  $\Phi(P)$  is intransitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , the two orbits of  $R(H)$  on  $V(\Gamma)$ . Since  $\Phi(P)$  is characteristic in  $P$ ,  $P \trianglelefteq A$  gives that  $\Phi(P) \trianglelefteq A$ . By Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, the quotient graph  $\Gamma_{\Phi(P)}$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $\Phi(P)$  is a cubic graph with  $A/\Phi(P)$  an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Furthermore,  $P/\Phi(P)$  is transitive on the edges of  $\Gamma_{\Phi(P)}$ . Since  $P/\Phi(P)$  is abelian, it is easy to see that  $\Gamma_{\Phi(P)} \cong K_{3,3}$ , and so  $P/\Phi(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Since  $|P| = 3^{s+1} \geq 3^5$ , one has  $|\Phi(P)| = 3^{s-1} \geq 3^3$ .

Let  $\Phi_2$  be the Frattini subgroup of  $\Phi(P)$ . Then  $\Phi_2 \trianglelefteq A$  because  $\Phi_2$  is characteristic in  $\Phi(P)$  and  $\Phi(P) \trianglelefteq A$ . Clearly,  $\Phi_2 \leq \Phi(P) < R(H)$ , so  $\Phi_2$  is intransitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . Consider the quotient graph  $\Gamma_{\Phi_2}$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $\Phi_2$ . By Propositions 2.4 and 2.5,  $\Gamma_{\Phi_2}$  is a cubic graph with  $A/\Phi_2$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Furthermore,  $\Gamma_{\Phi_2}$  is a bi-Cayley graph over the group  $R(H)/\Phi_2$ . Again, since  $H$  is a metacyclic group, we have  $\Phi(P)/\Phi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . If  $\Phi(P)/\Phi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ , then  $\Phi(P)$  is a cyclic 3-group, and so  $\Gamma$  is an edge-transitive cyclic cover of  $\Gamma_{\Phi(P)} \cong K_{3,3}$ . By Feng et al. [10, 23], we have  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to either  $K_{3,3}$  or the Pappus graph, a contradiction.

Thus,  $\Phi(P)/\Phi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Since  $|\Phi(P)| = 3^{s-1} \geq 3^3$ , one has  $|\Phi_2| \geq 3$ . Let  $\Phi_3$  be the Frattini subgroup of  $\Phi_2$ . Then  $\Phi_3$  is characteristic in  $\Phi_2$ , and so normal in  $A$  because

$\Phi_2 \trianglelefteq A$ . As  $\Phi_2 \leq R(H)$ , one has  $\Phi_2/\Phi_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ , and so  $|R(H)/\Phi_3| = 3^4$  or  $3^5$ . Clearly,  $\Phi_3$  is intransitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . Again, by Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, the quotient graph  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3}$  is a cubic graph of order 162 or 486 with  $A/\Phi_3$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Observe that  $R(H)/\Phi_3$  is metacyclic semiregular on  $V(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  with two orbits.

If  $|\Gamma_{\Phi_3}| = 486$ , then by [6, 7],  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3}$  is semisymmetric or symmetric. For the former, by Magma [3], all semiregular subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  of order 243 are normal, and so  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$ . It follows that  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq A/\Phi_3$ , and so  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ , as required. If  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3}$  is symmetric, then by [6],  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3} \cong \text{F486A}, \text{F486B}, \text{F486C}$  or  $\text{F486D}$ . By Magma [3], if  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3} \cong \text{F486B}, \text{F486C}$  or  $\text{F486D}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  does not have a metacyclic semiregular subgroup of order 243, a contradiction. If  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3} \cong \text{F486A}$ , then by Magma [3], all semiregular subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  of order 243 are normal, and so  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$ . It follows that  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq A/\Phi_3$ , and so  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ , as required.

If  $|\Gamma_{\Phi_3}| = 162$ , then by [6, 7],  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3}$  is symmetric, and is isomorphic to  $\text{F162A}, \text{F162B}$  or  $\text{F162C}$ . By Magma [3], if  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3} \cong \text{F162C}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  does not have a metacyclic semiregular subgroup of order 81, a contradiction. If  $\Gamma_{\Phi_3} \cong \text{F162A}$  or  $\text{F162B}$ , then by Magma [3], all semiregular subgroups of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$  of order 81 are normal, and so  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\Phi_3})$ . It follows that  $R(H)/\Phi_3 \trianglelefteq A/\Phi_3$ , and so  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over a non-abelian metacyclic  $p$ -group  $H$  with  $p$  an odd prime. By Lemma 4.4, we have  $p = 3$ , and since  $H$  is a non-abelian metacyclic 3-group, we have  $|H| = 3^s$  with  $s \geq 3$ . If  $s = 3$ , then  $\Gamma$  has order 54, and by [6, 7],  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\text{F054}$  or the Gray graph. However, by Magma [3],  $\text{Aut}(\text{F054})$  does not have a non-abelian metacyclic 3-subgroup which acts semiregularly on the vertex set of  $\text{F054}$  with two orbits. It follows that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to Gray graph. If  $s > 3$ , then by Lemma 4.5,  $R(H) \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , as required.  $\square$

## 5 A class of cubic edge-transitive bi-3-metacirculants

In this section, we shall use Theorem 1.1 to give a characterization of connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over inner-abelian metacyclic 3-groups.

### 5.1 Construction

We shall first construct two classes of connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs over inner-abelian metacyclic 3-groups.

**Construction 1** *Let  $t$  be a positive integer, and let*

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle a, b \mid a^{3^{t+1}} = b^{3^t} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+3^t} \rangle.$$

*Let  $S = \{1, a, a^{-1}b\}$ , and let  $\Gamma_t = \text{BiCay}(\mathcal{G}, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ .*

**Lemma 5.1** *For any integer  $t$ , the graph  $\Gamma_t$  is semisymmetric.*

**Proof** We first prove the following four claims.

**Claim 1.**  $\mathcal{G}$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  mapping  $a, b$  to  $a^{-2}b, a^{3^t-3}b$ , respectively.

Let  $x = a^{-2}b$  and  $y = a^{3^t-3}b$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (yx^{-1})^{3^t+1} &= [(a^{3^t-3}b)(a^{-2}b)^{-1}]^{3^t+1} = (a^{3^t-1})^{3^t+1} = a^{-1}, \\ ((yx^{-1})^{3^t+1})^{-2} \cdot x &= a^2 \cdot a^{-2}b = b, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

By Lemma 3.2 (2), we have  $x^{3^t+1} = (a^{-2}b)^{3^t+1} = 1$  and  $y^{3^t} = (a^{3^t-3}b)^{3^t} = 1$ . Furthermore, we have

$$x^{1+3^t} = (a^{-2}b)^{1+3^t} = (a^{-2}b)(a^{-2}b)^{3^t} = a^{-2}ba^{-2 \cdot 3^t} = a^{-2-2 \cdot 3^t}b = a^{3^t-2}b,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}xy &= (a^{3^t-3}b)^{-1}(a^{-2}b)(a^{3^t-3}b) \\ &= (b^{-1}a^{3-3^t}a^{-2}b)a^{3^t-3}b \\ &= (b^{-1}a^{1-3^t}b)a^{3^t-3}b \\ &= a^{(1+3^t)(1-3^t)}a^{3^t-3}b \\ &= a^{3^t-2}b \\ &= x^{1+3^t}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same relations as do  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus, the map  $\alpha : a \mapsto a^{-2}b, b \mapsto a^{3^t-3}b$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{G}$ , as claimed.

**Claim 2.**  $\mathcal{G}$  has no automorphism mapping  $a, b$  to  $a^{-1}, a^{3^t}b^{-1}$ , respectively.

Suppose to the contrary that  $\mathcal{G}$  has an automorphism, say  $\beta$ , such that  $a^\beta = a^{-1}, b^\beta = a^{3^t}b^{-1}$ . Then  $(b^{-1}ab)^\beta = (a^{3^t+1})^\beta$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-3^t-1} &= (a^{3^t+1})^\beta = (b^{-1}ab)^\beta \\ &= (a^{3^t}b^{-1})^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot (a^{3^t}b^{-1}) \\ &= ba^{-1}b^{-1} = a^{-(1+3^t)3^t-1} = a^{-1+3^t}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $a^{2 \cdot 3^t} = 1$ , and so  $3^{t+1} \mid 2 \cdot 3^t$ , a contradiction.

**Claim 3.**  $\mathcal{G}$  has no automorphism mapping  $a, b$  to  $b^{-1}a, b^{-1}$ , respectively.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $a^\gamma = b^{-1}a, b^\gamma = b^{-1}$ . Then  $(b^{-1}ab)^\gamma = (a^{1+3^t})^\gamma$ , and then

$$b^{-1}a^{3^t+1} = (b^{-1}a)^{1+3^t} = (a^{1+3^t})^\gamma = (b^{-1}ab)^\gamma = b(b^{-1}a)b^{-1} = ab^{-1}.$$

It follows that  $b^{-1}a^{3^t+1}b = a$ , and so  $a^{3^{2t}+2 \cdot 3^t+1} = a^{2 \cdot 3^t+1} = a$ , forcing that  $3^{t+1} \mid 2 \cdot 3^t$ , a contradiction.

---

## Cubic edge-transitive bi- $p$ -metacirculants

---

Now we are ready to finish the proof. By Claim 1, there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $a^\alpha = a^{-2}b$  and  $b^\alpha = a^{3^t-3}b$ . Then  $(a^{-1}b)^\alpha = (a^{-2}b)^{-1}(a^{3^t-3}b) = b^{-1}a^{3^t-1}b = a^{-1}$ . It then follows that

$$S^\alpha = \{1^\alpha, a^\alpha, (a^{-1}b)^\alpha\} = \{1, a^{-2}b, a^{-1}\} = a^{-1}S.$$

By Proposition 2.7,  $\sigma_{\alpha,a}$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma_t$  fixing  $1_0$  and cyclically permutating the three neighbors of  $1_0$ . Set  $B = R(\mathcal{G}) \rtimes \langle \sigma_{\alpha,a} \rangle$ . Then  $B$  acts regularly on the edges of  $\Gamma_t$ .

If  $t = 1$ , then by Magma [3],  $\Gamma_1$  is isomorphic to the Gray graph, which is semisymmetric. In what follows, assume that  $t > 1$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $\Gamma_t$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $R(H)$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_t$  is vertex-transitive. Then  $\Gamma_t$  is also arc-transitive. So, there exist  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G}), g, h \in \mathcal{G}$  so that  $\delta_{f,g,h}$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma_t$  taking the arc  $(1_0, 1_1)$  to  $(1_1, 1_0)$ . By the definition of  $\delta_{f,g,h}$ , one may see that  $g = h = 1$  and  $S^f = S^{-1}$ , namely,

$$\{1, a, a^{-1}b\}^f = \{1, a^{-1}, b^{-1}a\}.$$

So,  $f$  takes  $(a, a^{-1}b)$  either to  $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}a)$  or to  $(b^{-1}a, a^{-1})$ . However, this is impossible by Claims 2-3. Therefore,  $\Gamma_t$  is semisymmetric.  $\square$

**Construction 2** *Let  $t$  be a positive integer, and let*

$$\mathcal{H} = \langle a, b \mid a^{3^{t+1}} = b^{3^{t+1}} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{1+3^t} \rangle.$$

*Let  $T = \{1, b, b^{-1}a\}$ , and let  $\Sigma_t = \text{BiCay}(\mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \emptyset, T)$ .*

**Lemma 5.2** *For any positive integer  $t$ , the graph  $\Sigma_t$  is symmetric.*

**Proof** We first prove the following two claims.

**Claim 1**  $\mathcal{H}$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  mapping  $a, b$  to  $a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-3}, a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-2}$ , respectively.

Let  $x = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-3}$  and  $y = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-2}$ . Note that  $((y^{-1}x)^{-1}) = b$  and  $xb^3 = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}$ . This implies that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle = \mathcal{H}$ .

By Lemma 3.2 (2), we have  $x^{3^{t+1}} = (a^{-2}b)^{3^{t+1}} = 1$  and  $y^{3^{t+1}} = (a^{3^t-3}b)^{3^t} = 1$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}xy &= (a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-2})^{-1}(a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-3})(a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-2}) \\ &= b^{-1}a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}b^{-2} = b^{-1}a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1}bb^{-3} \\ &= a^{(2 \cdot 3^t + 1)(3^t + 1)}b^{-3} = ab^{-3} = x^{3^t}x \\ &= x^{3^t + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same relations as do  $a$  and  $b$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}$  has an automorphism taking  $(a, b)$  to  $(x, y)$ , as claimed.

**Claim 2.**  $H_t$  has an automorphism  $\beta$  mapping  $a, b$  to  $a^{-1}, a^{-1}b$ .

Let  $x = a^{-1}$  and  $y = a^{-1}b$ . Clearly,  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ . By Lemma 3.2 (2), we have that  $x^{3^{t+1}} = (a^{-1})^{3^{t+1}} = 1$  and  $y^{3^{t+1}} = (a^{-1}b)^{3^{t+1}} = 1$ . Furthermore, we have

$$y^{-1}xy = (a^{-1}b)^{-1}(a^{-1})(a^{-1}b) = b^{-1}a^{-1}b = a^{-3^t-1} = x^{3^t+1}.$$

It follows that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same relations as do  $a$  and  $b$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}$  has an automorphism  $\beta$  which takes  $(a, b)$  to  $(a^{-1}, a^{-1}b)$ , as claimed.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. By Claim 1, there exists  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $a^\alpha = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b^{-3}$  and  $b^\alpha = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b^{-2}$ . Then

$$S^\alpha = \{1, b, b^{-1}a\}^\alpha = \{1, a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b^{-2}, b^{-1}\}.$$

By an easy computation, we have  $a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b^{-2} = a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b^{-3} b = b^{-3} a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b = b^{-2} b^{-1} a^{2 \cdot 3^t + 1} b = b^{-2} a^{(2 \cdot 3^t + 1)(3^t + 1)} = b^{-2} a$ . It follows that

$$b^{-1} S = b^{-1} \{1, b, b^{-1}a\} = \{b^{-1}, 1, b^{-2}a\} = S^\alpha.$$

By Proposition 2.7,  $\sigma_{\alpha, b}$  is an automorphism of  $\Sigma_t$  fixing  $1_0$  and cyclically permutating the three neighbors of  $1_0$ . Set  $B = R(\mathcal{H}) \rtimes \langle \sigma_{\alpha, b} \rangle$ . Then  $B$  acts transitively on the edges of  $\Sigma_t$ .

By Claim 2, there exists  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $a^\beta = a^{-1}$  and  $b^\beta = a^{-1}b$ . Then  $S^\beta = \{1, b, b^{-1}a\}^\beta = \{1, a^{-1}b, b^{-1}\} = S^{-1}$ . By Proposition 2.7,  $\delta_{\beta, 1, 1}$  is an automorphism of  $\Sigma_t$  swapping  $1_0$  and  $1_1$ . Thus,  $\Sigma_t$  is vertex-transitive, and so  $\Sigma_t$  is symmetric.  $\square$

## 5.2 Classification

In this section, we shall give a classification of cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over an inner-abelian metacyclic 3-group.

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $H$  be an inner-abelian metacyclic 3-group, and let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_t$  or  $\Sigma_t$ .*

**Proof** Since  $H$  is an inner-abelian metacyclic 3-group, it has order at least  $3^3$ . If  $|H| = 3^3$ , then  $|\Gamma| = 54$  and by [6, 7], we know that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_1$ . In what follows, assume that  $|H| > 3^3$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $\Gamma$  is a normal bi-Cayley graph over  $H$ . Let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, R, L, S)$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is edge-transitive, the two orbits  $H_0, H_1$  of  $R(H)$  on  $V(\Gamma)$  do not contain edges, and so  $R = L = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.6, we may assume that  $S = \{1, x, y\}$  for  $x, y \in H$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is connected, by Proposition 2.6, we have  $H = \langle S \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

Let  $A = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , since  $\Gamma$  is normal and since  $\Gamma$  is edge-transitive, by Proposition 2.7, there exists  $\sigma_{\alpha, h} \in A_{1_0}$ , where  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(H)$  and  $h \in H$ , such that  $\sigma_{\alpha, h}$  cyclically permutes the three elements in  $\Gamma(1_0) = \{1_1, x_1, y_1\}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $(\sigma_{\alpha, h})|_{\Gamma(1_0)} = (1_1 \ x_1 \ y_1)$ . Then  $x_1 = (1_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, h}} = h_1$ , implying that  $x = h$ . Furthermore,  $y_1 = (x_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, h}} = (x x^\alpha)_1$  and  $1_1 = (y_1)^{\sigma_{\alpha, h}} = (x y^\alpha)_1$ . It follows that  $x^\alpha = x^{-1}y$  and  $y^\alpha = x^{-1}$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $H$  order dividing 3. If  $\alpha$  is trivial, then  $x = y^{-1}$  and  $x = x^{-1}y = y^2$ , and then  $y^3 = 1$  and  $x^3 = 1$ . This implies that  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ , contrary to the assumption that  $|H| > 3^3$ . Thus,  $\alpha$  has order 3.

Since  $H$  is an inner-abelian 3-group, by elementary group theory (see also [21]), we may assume that

$$H = \langle a, b \mid a^{3^t+1} = b^{3^s} = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{3^t+1} \rangle,$$

where  $t \geq 2, s \geq 1$ . We first prove the following claim.

**Claim 1**  $H/H' = \langle aH' \rangle \times \langle bH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^t}, \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{t-1}}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{t+1}}$ .

By Lemma 3.2 (3), we have the derived subgroup  $R(H)'$  of  $R(H)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Since  $R(H)'$  is characteristic in  $R(H)$ ,  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$  gives that  $R(H)' \trianglelefteq A$ . Consider the quotient graph  $\Gamma_{R(H)'}$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $R(H)'$ . Clearly,  $R(H)'$  is intransitive on both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , the two orbits of  $R(H)$  on  $V(\Gamma)$ . By Propositions 2.4 and 2.5,  $\Gamma_{R(H)'}$  is a cubic graph with  $A/R(H)'$  as an edge-transitive group of automorphisms. Clearly,  $\Gamma_{R(H)'}$  is a bi-Cayley graph over the abelian group  $R(H)/R(H)'$ . Since  $R(H)/R(H)' \trianglelefteq A/R(H)'$ , by Proposition 2.8, we have  $R(H)/R(H)' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^{m+n}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^m}$  for some integers  $m, n$  satisfying the equality  $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3^n}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}^*$ . This implies that  $n = 0$  or  $1$ , and so  $R(H)/R(H)' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^m}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^{m+1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^m}$ .

Since  $a^{3^t} = [a, b]$ , one has  $\langle aH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t}$ , and since  $H' \cap \langle b \rangle = 1$ , one has  $H/H' = \langle aH' \rangle \times \langle bH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^s}$ . So, if  $R(H)/R(H)' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^m}$ , then we have  $m = s = t$ , and if  $R(H)/R(H)' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^{m+1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^m}$ , then  $(t, s) = (m, m+1)$  or  $(m+1, m)$ . Claim 1 is proved.

For any  $h \in H$ , denote by  $o(h)$  the order of  $h$ . Let  $n = \text{Max}\{t+1, s\}$ . By Lemma 3.2 (2), it is easy to see that  $3^n$  is the exponent of  $H$ .

**Claim 2**  $o(x) = o(y) = o(x^{-1}y) = 3^n$  and  $x^{3^{n-1}} \neq y^{3^{n-1}}$ .

Observing that  $x^\alpha = x^{-1}y$  and  $y^\alpha = x^{-1}$ , we have  $o(x) = o(y) = o(x^{-1}y)$ . By Lemma 3.2 (2), we must have  $o(x) = o(y) = o(x^{-1}y) = 3^n$ . Then  $(x^{-1}y)^{3^{n-1}} \neq 1$ , and again by Lemma 3.2 (2), we have  $x^{-3^{n-1}}y^{3^{n-1}} \neq 1$ , namely,  $x^{3^{n-1}} \neq y^{3^{n-1}}$ , as claimed.

By Claim 1, we shall consider the following three cases:

**Case 1**  $H/H' = \langle aH' \rangle \times \langle bH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^t}$ .

In this case, we have  $s = t$ . By Claim 2, we have  $o(x) = o(y) = o(x^{-1}y) = 3^{t+1}$  and  $x^{3^t} \neq y^{3^t}$ . As  $H' \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ , we have  $H' = \langle x^{3^t} \rangle = \langle y^{3^t} \rangle$ , implying that  $y^{3^t} = x^{-3^t}$ . Thus  $(xy)^{3^t} = x^{3^t}y^{3^t} = x^{3^t}x^{-3^t} = 1$ . Since  $[x, y] \in H'$  and  $H' = \langle x^{3^t} \rangle$ , we have  $[x, y] = x^{3^t}$  or  $x^{-3^t}$ . It follows that  $(xy)^{-1} \cdot x \cdot (xy) = y^{-1}xy = x^{1+3^t}$  or  $x^{1-3^t}$ .

If  $(xy)^{-1} \cdot x \cdot (xy) = y^{-1}xy = x^{1+3^t}$ , then

$$H = \langle x, xy \mid x^{3^{t+1}} = (xy)^{3^t} = 1, (xy)^{-1} \cdot x \cdot (xy) = x^{1+3^t} \rangle,$$

and  $S = \{1, x, y\} = \{1, x, x^{-1}(xy)\}$ . So,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_t$  (see Construction 1).

If  $(xy)^{-1} \cdot x \cdot (xy) = y^{-1}xy = x^{1-3^t}$ , then

$$H = \langle x, (xy)^{-1} \mid x^{3^{t+1}} = [(xy)^{-1}]^{3^t} = 1, (xy) \cdot x \cdot (xy)^{-1} = x^{1+3^t} \rangle,$$

and  $S = \{1, x, y\} = \{1, x, x^{-1}[(xy)^{-1}]^{-1}\}$ . By Proposition 2.6 (4), we have

$$\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^{-1}).$$

Note that  $S^{-1} = \{1, x^{-1}, y^{-1}\} = \{1, x^{-1}, (xy)^{-1}x\}$ . It is easy to check that the map

$$f : x \mapsto x^{-1}, (xy)^{-1} \mapsto (xy)^{-1}x^{-3^t}$$



induces an automorphism of  $H$  such that  $\{1, x, x^{-1}(xy)^{-1}\}^f = S^{-1}$ . By Proposition 2.6 (3), we have

$$\Gamma \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^{-1}) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{1, x, x^{-1}(xy)^{-1}\}) \cong \Gamma_t,$$

as required.

**Case 2**  $H/H' = \langle aH' \rangle \times \langle bH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{t-1}}$ .

In this case, we have  $s = t - 1$ . Let  $T = \langle R(h) \mid h \in H, h^{3^{t-1}} = 1 \rangle$ . Then  $T = \langle R(a)^9 \rangle \times \langle R(b) \rangle$  and  $T$  is characteristic in  $R(H)$ , and so normal in  $A$  for  $R(H) \trianglelefteq A$ . Furthermore,  $R(H)/T \cong \mathbb{Z}_9$ . By Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, the quotient graph  $\Gamma_T$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $T$  is a cubic edge-transitive graph of order 18. Clearly,  $R(H)/T$  is semiregular on  $V(\Gamma_T)$  with two orbits, so  $\Gamma_T$  is a bi-Cayley graph over the cyclic group  $R(H)/T$  of order 9. Since  $R(H)/T \trianglelefteq A/T$ , by Proposition 2.8, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^2}^*$  such that  $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3^2}$ , which is impossible.

**Case 3**  $H/H' = \langle aH' \rangle \times \langle bH' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^t} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^{t+1}}$ .

In this case, we have  $s = t + 1$ . Let  $N = \langle h \mid h \in H, h^3 = 1 \rangle$ . Then  $N = \langle a^{3^t}, b^{3^t} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ . By Claim 2, we have  $o(x) = o(y) = 3^{t+1}$ . Since  $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ , one has  $N = \langle x^{3^t}, y^{3^t} \rangle$ . As  $H' \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ , one has  $H' \leq N$ . So,  $H' = \langle x^{3^t} \rangle, \langle y^{3^t} \rangle, \langle (xy)^{3^t} \rangle$  or  $\langle (xy^{-1})^{3^t} \rangle$ .

Recall that  $H$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  taking  $(x, y)$  to  $(x^{-1}y, x^{-1})$ . Suppose that one of the three subgroups:  $\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle, \langle x^{-1}y \rangle$  is normal in  $H$ . Then all of them are normal in  $H$ . So  $H = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$  because  $|H| = 3^{2(t+1)}$ . This is impossible because  $H$  is non-abelian. Thus, all of the three subgroups:  $\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle, \langle x^{-1}y \rangle$  are not normal in  $H$ .

It then follows that  $H' = \langle (xy)^{3^t} \rangle$ . Then either  $x^{-1}(xy)x = (xy)^{1+3^t}$  or  $x^{-1}(xy)x = (xy)^{1-3^t}$ . For the former, we have

$$H = \langle xy, x \mid (xy)^{3^{t+1}} = x^{3^{t+1}} = 1, x^{-1}(xy)x = (xy)^{3^t+1} \rangle,$$

and  $S = \{1, x, y\} = \{1, x, x^{-1}(xy)\}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma_t$  (see Construction 2).

For the latter, we have

$$H = \langle xy, x^{-1} \mid (xy)^{3^{t+1}} = x^{-3^{t+1}} = 1, x(xy)x^{-1} = (xy)^{3^t+1} \rangle,$$

and  $S = \{1, x, y\} = \{1, (x^{-1})^{-1}, x^{-1}(xy)\}$ . By Proposition 2.6 (4), we have

$$\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^{-1}).$$

Note that  $S^{-1} = \{1, x^{-1}, y^{-1}\} = \{1, x^{-1}, (xy)^{-1}x\}$ . It is easy to check that the map

$$f' : x^{-1} \mapsto x^{-1}, xy \mapsto (xy)^{3^t-1}$$

induces an automorphism of  $H$  such that  $\{1, x^{-1}, x(xy)\}^{f'} = S^{-1}$ . By Proposition 2.6 (3), we have

$$\Gamma \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S^{-1}) \cong \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, \{1, x^{-1}, x(xy)\}) \cong \Sigma_t,$$

as required. □

## 6 Proof of Corollary 1.3

Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $\Gamma$  be a connected cubic edge-transitive graph of order  $2p^3$ . By [11], the smallest semisymmetric graph has 20 vertices. So, if  $p = 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive. If  $p = 3$ , then by [6, 7], we know that  $\Gamma$  is not vertex-transitive if and only if it is isomorphic to the Gray graph.

Now assume that  $p > 3$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\Gamma$  is a bi-Cayley graph over a group  $H$  of order  $p^3$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not vertex-transitive. Then  $\Gamma$  is bipartite with the two orbits of  $H$  as its two parts. So we may let  $\Gamma = \text{BiCay}(H, \emptyset, \emptyset, S)$ . By Proposition 2.6, we may assume that  $S = \{1, a, b\}$  form  $a, b \in H$ . If  $H$  is abelian, then  $H$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  which maps every element of  $H$  to its inverse. By Proposition 2.7,  $\delta_{\alpha,1,1}$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  swapping the two parts of  $\Gamma$ , and so  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive, a contradiction. If  $H$  is non-abelian, then  $H$  is either metacyclic or isomorphic to the following group:

$$J = \langle a, b, c \mid a^p = b^p = c^p = 1, c = [a, b], [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle.$$

By Theorem 1.1,  $H$  is non-metacyclic. If  $H \cong J$ , then it is easy to see that  $J$  has an automorphism taking  $(a, b)$  to  $(a^{-1}, b^{-1})$ . Again, by Proposition 2.7,  $\delta_{\alpha,1,1}$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  swapping the two parts of  $\Gamma$ , and so  $\Gamma$  is vertex-transitive, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.3.

## References

- [1] M. Boben, T. Pisanski and A. Žitnik. I-Graphs and the corresponding configurations. *J. Combin. Des.* 13:406–424, 2005.
- [2] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty. *Graph Theory with Applications*. New York: Elsevier North Holland, 1976.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust. The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* 24:235–265, 1997.
- [4] I.Z. Bouwer. An edge but not vertex transitive cubic graph. *Can. Math. Bull.* 11:533–535, 1968.
- [5] Y. Cheng and J. Oxley. On weakly symmetric graphs of order twice a prime. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* 42:196–211, 1987.
- [6] M. Conder and P. Dobcsányi. Trivalent symmetric graphs on up to 768 vertices. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 40:41–63, 2002.
- [7] M. Conder, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and P. Potočnik. A census of semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices. *J. Algebr. Combin.* 23:255–294, 2006.
- [8] M. Conder, J.-X. Zhou, Y.-Q. Feng and M.-M. Zhang. Edge-transitive bi-Cayley graphs, arXiv: 1606.04625 [math. CO] 2016.

- [9] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwark. Cubic symmetric graphs of order twice an odd prime-power. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 81:153–164, 2006.
- [10] Y.-Q. Feng and J.H. Kwark.  $s$ -Regular cubic graphs as coverings of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{3,3}$ . *J. Graph Theory.* 45:101–112, 2004.
- [11] J. Folkman. Regular line-symmetric graphs. *J. Combin. Theory.* 3:215–232, 1967.
- [12] D. Gorenstein. *Finite simple groups*, pages 12–14. Plenum Press, New York, 1982.
- [13] B. Huppert. *Eudiche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [14] I. Kovács, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič. One-matching bi-cayley graphs over abelian groups. *European J. Combin.* 30:602–616, 2009.
- [15] P. Lorimer. Vertex-transitive graphs: Symmetric graphs of prime valency. *J. Graph Theory.* 8:55–68, 1984.
- [16] Z.P. Lu, C.Q. Wang and M.Y. Xu. On semisymmetric cubic graphs of order  $6p^2$ . *Sci. China A.* 47:1–17, 2004.
- [17] A. Malnič, D. Marušič and C.Q. Wang. Cubic edge-transitive graphs of order  $2p^3$ . *Discrete Math.* 274:187–198, 2004.
- [18] D. Marušič and T. Pisanski. Symmetries of hexagonal graphs on the torus. *Croat. Chemica Acta.* 73:969–981, 2000.
- [19] F. Menegazzo. Automorphisms of  $p$ -groups with cyclic commutator subgroup. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova.* 90:81–101, 1993.
- [20] T. Pisanski. A classification of cubic bicirculants. *Discrete Math.* 307:567–578, 2007.
- [21] L. Rédei. Das “schiefe Produkt” in der Gruppentheorie mit Anwendung auf die endlichen nichtkommutativen Gruppen mit lauter kommutativen echten Untergruppen und die Ordnungszahlen, zu denen nur kommutative Gruppen gehören. *Comment. Math. Helvet.* 20:225–264, 1947.
- [22] H. Sasaki. The mod  $p$  cohomology algebras of finite groups with metacyclic Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. *J. Algebr.* 192:713–733, 1997.
- [23] C.Q. Wang and T.S. Chen. Semisymmetric cubic graphs as regular covers of  $K_{3,3}$ . *J. Acta. Math. Sinica.* 24:405–416, 2008.
- [24] H. Wielandt. *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [25] G.A. Miller and H.C. Moreno. Non-abelian groups in which every subgroup is abelian. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4:398–404, 1903.
- [26] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng. Cubic bi-Cayley graphs over abelian groups. *European J. Combin.* 36:679–693, 2014.

- [27] J.-X. Zhou and Y.-Q. Feng. The automorphisms of bi-Cayley graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* 116:504–532, 2016.