

Some families of operator norm inequalities

Imam Nugraha Albania and Masaru Nagisa

April 27, 2021

Abstract

We consider the function $f_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = t^{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i}-1)}{a_i(t^{b_i}-1)}$ on the interval $(0, \infty)$, where $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\gamma(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i))/2$. In [4], Hiai and Kosaki define the relation \preceq using positive definiteness for functions f and g with some suitable conditions and they have proved this relation implies the operator norm inequality associated with functions f and g . In this paper, we give some conditions for $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ to hold the relation $f_{\alpha,\beta}(t) \preceq f_{\alpha',\beta'}(t)$.

1 Introduction

When $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous and satisfies $f(1) = 1$, we denote $f \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$. We call $f \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$ symmetric if it holds $f(t) = tf(1/t)$. For $f, g \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$, we define $f \preceq g$ if the function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \frac{f(e^x)}{g(e^x)}$$

is positive definite, where a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite means that, for any positive integer n and real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , the $n \times n$ matrix $[\varphi(x_i - x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ is positive definite, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} \varphi(x_i - x_j) \geq 0$$

for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$. For $f \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$, we define a continuous map $M_f : (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$M_f(s, t) = tf\left(\frac{s}{t}\right).$$

Then it holds that $M_f(1, 1) = 1$, $M_f(\alpha s, \alpha t) = \alpha M_f(s, t)$ ($\alpha > 0$) and

$$M_f(s, t) = M_f(t, s)$$

if f is symmetric.

We define the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ by $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Tr}(Y^* X)$ for $X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$. When $A \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$, we can define bounded linear operator L_A and R_A on the Hilbert space $(\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ as follows:

$$L_A(X) = AX, \quad R_A(X) = XA \quad \text{for } X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}).$$

If both H and K are positive, invertible matrix in $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ (in short, $H, K > 0$), then L_H and R_K are also positive, invertible operators on $(\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and satisfy the relation $L_H R_K = R_K L_H$. Using continuous function calculus of operators, we can consider the operator $M_f(L_H, R_K)(= R_K f(L_H R_K^{-1}))$ on $(\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

In [4], F. Hiai and H. Kosaki has given the following equivalent conditions for $f, g \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$ satisfying the symmetric condition:

- (1) there exists a symmetric probability measure ν on \mathbb{R} such that

$$M_f(L_H, R_K)X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H^{is} (M_g(L_H, R_K)X) K^{-is} d\nu(s)$$

for all $H, K, X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H, K > 0$.

- (2) $|||M_f(L_H, R_K)X||| \leq |||M_g(L_H, R_K)X|||$ for all $H, K, X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H, K > 0$ and any unitarily invariant norm $||| \cdot |||$, which means $|||UX||| = |||X||| = |||XU|||$ for any unitary $U \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ and any matrix $X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

- (3) $\|M_f(L_H, R_H)X\| \leq \|M_g(L_H, R_H)X\|$ for all $H, X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H > 0$ and the usual operator norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

- (4) $f \preceq g$.

They also proved that, for a family of symmetric functions $f_a(t) = \frac{a-1}{a} \frac{t^a-1}{t^{a-1}-1} \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}$),

$$-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty \Rightarrow f_a \preceq f_b.$$

As an example, $f_{1/2} \preceq f_2$ implies

$$|||M_{f_{1/2}}(L_H, R_K)X||| \leq |||M_{f_2}(L_H, R_K)X|||.$$

So we can get the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$|||H^{1/2}XK^{1/2}||| \leq \frac{1}{2}|||HX + XK|||,$$

because $M_{f_{1/2}}(s, t) = s^{1/2}t^{1/2}$ and $M_{f_2}(s, t) = (s + t)/2$. This is known as McIntosh's inequality [10].

In this paper, we consider the following function:

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = t^{\gamma(\alpha, \beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)}$$

for $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\gamma(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i))/2$. Under some condition, the second-named author investigated their operator monotonicity in [11]. The function $f_{\alpha, \beta} \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$ is an extension of functions $\{f_a : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in some sense and satisfies the symmetric condition. We also set

$$M_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t) = t f_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right).$$

For $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the relation $|\alpha| \preceq_w |\beta|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{\sigma(1)}| \geq |a_{\sigma(2)}| \geq \dots \geq |a_{\sigma(n)}|, \quad |b_{\tau(1)}| \geq |b_{\tau(2)}| \geq \dots \geq |b_{\tau(n)}| \\ \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k |a_{\sigma(i)}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |b_{\tau(i)}| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

for some permutations σ, τ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where we denote $(|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|)$ by $|\alpha|$. In this case we call that $|\beta|$ weakly submajorises $|\alpha|$.

Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = t^{\gamma(\alpha, \beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)}, \quad f_{\alpha', \beta'}(t) = t^{\gamma(\alpha', \beta')} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{d_i(t^{c_i} - 1)}{c_i(t^{d_i} - 1)},$$

where $\gamma(\alpha, \beta) = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i))/2$, $\gamma(\alpha', \beta') = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i - d_i))/2$. If $|(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, \dots, c_m)|$ weakly submajorises $|(a_1, \dots, a_n, d_1, \dots, d_m)|$, then we have $f_{\alpha, \beta} \preceq f_{\alpha', \beta'}$, that is,

$$|||M_{\alpha, \beta}(L_H, R_K)X||| \leq |||M_{\alpha', \beta'}(L_H, R_K)X|||$$

for any $H, K \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H, K > 0$ and any matrix $X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$.

We can get an operator norm inequality for a pair of sequences of positive numbers if one sequence is weakly submajorise the other one. In a special case, we can completely determine the condition to get the related operator norm inequality.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and set*

$$f_{a,b}(t) = t^{(1-a+b)/2} \frac{b(t^a - 1)}{a(t^b - 1)}, \quad f_{c,d}(t) = t^{(1-c+d)/2} \frac{d(t^c - 1)}{c(t^d - 1)}.$$

(1) *When $a \geq b$, $f_{a,b} \preceq f_{c,d}$ is equivalent to*

$$(c, d) \in \{(x, y) : x \geq a, 0 \leq y \leq x - a + b\}.$$

(2) *When $a < b$, $f_{a,b} \preceq f_{c,d}$ is equivalent to*

$$(c, d) \in \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq x - a + b, y \leq b\} \cup \{(x, y) : 0 \leq y \leq x\}.$$

We remark that this statement has been proved in [8] based on the facts given by [4] and [6].

2 Positive Definite Functions and Infinitely Divisible Functions

We call a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ positive definite if, for any positive integer n and any real numbers $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi(0) & \varphi(x_1 - x_2) & \cdots & \varphi(x_1 - x_n) \\ \varphi(x_2 - x_1) & \varphi(0) & \cdots & \varphi(x_2 - x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi(x_n - x_1) & \varphi(x_n - x_2) & \cdots & \varphi(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive, that is,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} \varphi(x_i - x_j) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By definition, it easily follows that the function $x \mapsto e^{iax}$ is positive definite for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies the Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} d\mu(t)$ of a finite positive measure μ on \mathbb{R} is positive definite. As Bochner's theorem [2],

it is known that φ is positive definite and continuous at 0 if and only if there exists a finite, positive measure μ on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} d\mu(t).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ be positive definite and ψ be the point-wise limit of the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.*

- (1) *For a positive real number a, b , $a\varphi_1 + b\varphi_2$ is positive definite. .*
- (2) *ψ is positive definite.*
- (3) *The product $\varphi_1\varphi_2$ of φ_1 and φ_2 is positive definite.*
- (4) *e^φ is positive definite.*

Proof. (1) and (2) easily follow by definition.

(3) When $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are positive matrices in $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the Schur product $A \circ B = (a_{ij}b_{ij})$ of A and B is also positive. Applying this fact for a matrix $(\varphi_1(x_i - x_j)\varphi_2(x_i - x_j))_{i,j=1}^n$ ($x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$), we can see $\varphi_1\varphi_2$ is positive definite.

(4) Since $e^\varphi(x) = e^{\varphi(x)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi(x)^k/k!$, a matrix

$$(e^\varphi(x_i - x_j))_{i,j=1}^n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{k!} ((\varphi(x_i - x_j))^k)_{i,j=1}^n$$

is positive definite by (1), (2), and (3). So e^φ is positive definite. \square

A positive definite function φ is called infinitely divisible if φ^r is positive definite for any $r > 0$. When φ is the Fourier transform of a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} , i.e.,

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} d\mu(t),$$

we call φ the characteristic function of μ . It is known as Lévi-Khintchine theorem that φ is an infinitely divisible characteristic function if and only if it can be written as

$$\log \varphi(x) = i\gamma x + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{ixt} - 1 - \frac{ixt}{1+t^2} \right) \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} d\nu(t)$$

with a finite positive measure ν and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. It is also known as Kolmogorov's theorem that φ is the characteristic function of an infinitely divisible probability measure μ with finite second moment if and only if

$$\log \varphi(x) = i\gamma x + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{itx} - 1 - itx}{t^2} \right) d\nu(t)$$

with a finite measure ν and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ([3], [9]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let a, b be positive numbers and set*

$$f(x) = \frac{b \sinh ax}{a \sinh bx}.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $a \leq b$.
- (2) f is positive definite.
- (3) f is infinitely divisible.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) It is clear by definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) We assume that $a > b$. Since $f(0) = 1$, $f(-x) = f(x)$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(0) & f(-x) \\ f(x) & f(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is not positive for a sufficiently large x . So f is not positive definite. This means the positive definiteness of f implies $a \leq b$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) The function $f(x)$ can be written as

$$\log f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ixt} - 1 - itx) \frac{\sinh((1/a - 1/b)\pi t/2)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/2a) \sinh(\pi t/2b)} dt$$

([9]:Corollary 3). So we have f is infinitely divisible when $a \leq b$. \square

Using above integral expression of the function $\frac{b \sinh ax}{a \sinh bx}$, H. Kosaki([9]: Theorem 5) proved

$$\frac{(\alpha - 1)\beta \sinh(\alpha x) \sinh((\beta - 1)x)}{\alpha(\beta - 1) \sinh((\alpha - 1)x) \sinh(\beta x)}$$

is infinitely divisible if $\beta \geq \alpha$.

Lemma 2.3. Let a, b, c, d be positive numbers with $d > \max\{a, b, c\}$ and $a + c = b + d$. Then we have

$$f(x) = \frac{\sinh ax \sinh cx}{\sinh bx \sinh dx}$$

is infinitely divisible.

Proof. By the assumption, $d > \max\{a, c\} \geq \min\{a, c\} > b$. Since $\frac{a}{a-b} - 1 = \frac{b}{a-b}$, $\frac{d}{a-b} - 1 = \frac{c}{a-b}$, and $\frac{a}{a-b} < \frac{d}{a-b}$, we have the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{\sinh \frac{a}{a-b}x \sinh \frac{c}{a-b}x}{\sinh \frac{b}{a-b}x \sinh \frac{d}{a-b}x}$$

is infinitely divisible. So the function

$$t \mapsto x = (a-b)t \mapsto \frac{\sinh \frac{a}{a-b}x \sinh \frac{c}{a-b}x}{\sinh \frac{b}{a-b}x \sinh \frac{d}{a-b}x} = \frac{\sinh at \sinh ct}{\sinh bt \sinh dt}$$

is also infinitely divisible. \square

Lemma 2.4. Let $a_i \geq a'_i > 0$ and $0 < b_i \leq b'_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

- (1) $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$ is infinitely divisible $\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}$ is infinitely divisible.
(2) $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}$ is not positive definite $\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$ is not positive definite.

Proof. (1) For any $r > 0$, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}\right)^r = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}\right)^r \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh a_i x}\right)^r \left(\frac{\sinh b_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}\right)^r.$$

By the assumption, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can get the infinite divisibility of

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}.$$

(2) It suffices to show that $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}$ is positive definite when $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$ is positive definite. By the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\sinh a'_i x \sinh b_i x}{\sinh a_i x \sinh b'_i x}\right),$$

we can get the positive definiteness of $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a'_i x}{\sinh b'_i x}$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let p be a prime number with $p > 3$. For any positive integer n , we have*

$$f(x) = \frac{\sinh((p+1)x/p)}{\sinh x} \frac{(\sinh x/p)^n}{(\sinh((p-1)x/p))^n}$$

is not positive definite.

Proof. We prove the Fourier transform of f is not positive by using the similar method in [6]:Lemma 5.2. Set the function

$$f(z) = \frac{\sinh((p+1)z/p)}{\sinh z} \frac{(\sinh z/p)^n}{(\sinh((p-1)z/p))^n}$$

and define the closed curve $C_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4$ in \mathbb{C} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 : z = x, \quad x : -R \rightarrow R, & & C_2 : z = R + iy, \quad y : 0 \rightarrow p\pi, \\ C_3 : z = x + p\pi i, \quad x : R \rightarrow -R, & & C_4 : z = -R + iy, \quad y : p\pi \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

for $R > 0$.

We have

$$\int_{C_1+C_3} e^{izs} f(z) dz = (1 + (-1)^n e^{-p\pi s}) \int_{-R}^R e^{ixs} f(x) dx,$$

by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \frac{p+1}{p}(x + p\pi i) &= \sinh\left(\frac{p+1}{p}x\right), & \sinh \frac{1}{p}(x + p\pi i) &= -\sinh\left(\frac{1}{p}x\right), \\ \sinh(x + p\pi i) &= -\sinh x, & \sinh \frac{p-1}{p}(x + p\pi i) &= \sinh\left(\frac{p-1}{p}x\right). \end{aligned}$$

When $|\Re z| \geq \log \sqrt{2}$,

$$\frac{e^{|\Re z|}}{4} \leq |\sinh z| \leq e^{|\Re z|}.$$

Using this relation, we have, for a sufficiently large R ,

$$|e^{i(\pm R + iy)s} f(\pm R + iy)| \leq e^{-ys} \times \frac{4^{n+1} e^{(p+1)R/p} e^{nR/p}}{e^R e^{n(p-1)R/p}} = 4^{n+1} e^{-ys} e^{(\frac{2n+1}{p}-n)R}.$$

So we can get

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_1+C_2+C_3+C_4} e^{izs} f(z) dz = (1 + (-1)^n e^{-p\pi s}) \hat{f}(s).$$

The singular points of $f(z)$ in the rectangle $C_1+C_2+C_3+C_4$ are contained in

$$\{k\pi i : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p\} \cup \left\{ \frac{lp}{p-1}\pi i : l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1 \right\}.$$

We can see that 0 and $p\pi i$ are removable singularities, each $k\pi i$ ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$) is a pole of order 1, each $\frac{lp}{p-1}\pi i$ ($l \in (\{1, 2, \dots, p-2\} \setminus \{\frac{p-1}{2}\})$) is a pole of order n , and $\frac{p}{2}\pi i$ is a pole of order $n-1$. For real numbers α, β , we have

$$e^{izs} = e^{is(z-\alpha i)}e^{-\alpha s} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c(\alpha i, k)(z - \alpha i)^k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh \beta z &= \sinh(\beta(z - \alpha i) + \beta \alpha i) \\ &= \cos(\beta \alpha) \sinh(\beta(z - \alpha i)) + i \sin(\beta \alpha) \cosh(\beta(z - \alpha i)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k(\beta, \alpha i)(z - \alpha i)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c(\alpha i, k) = \frac{(is)^k}{k!} e^{-\alpha s}, \quad d_k(\beta, \alpha i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^k}{k!} i \sin(\beta \alpha) & (k : \text{even}) \\ \frac{\beta^k}{k!} \cos(\beta \alpha) & (k : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

When $\alpha \in \{\pi, 2\pi, \dots, (p-1)\pi\}$, the residue $\text{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : \alpha i)$ of $e^{izs} f(z)$ at αi is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : \alpha i) &= \frac{c(\alpha i, 0) d_0(\frac{p+1}{p}, \alpha i) d_0(\frac{1}{p}, \alpha i)^n}{d_1(1, \alpha i) d_0(\frac{p-1}{p}, \alpha i)^n} \\ &= e^{-\alpha s} \frac{i(\sin \frac{\alpha}{p})^n \sin(\frac{p+1}{p}\alpha)}{\cos \alpha (\sin(\frac{p-1}{p}\alpha))^n}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha \in (\{\frac{p\pi}{p-1}, \frac{2p\pi}{p-1}, \dots, \frac{(p-2)p\pi}{p-1}\} \setminus \{\frac{p}{2}\pi\})$, $f(z)(z - \alpha i)^n$ is analytic at αi . So this has the Taylor expansion at αi as follows:

$$f(z)(z - \alpha i)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k(\alpha)(z - \alpha i)^k,$$

where we remark that $e_k(\alpha)$ does not depend on s . So we can compute

$$\text{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : \alpha i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(\alpha i, k) e_{n-1-k}(\alpha) = e^{-\alpha s} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_{n-1-k}(\alpha)}{k!} (is)^k \right).$$

Because $\frac{p}{2}\pi i$ is a pole of order $n - 1$, by the similar argument, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : \frac{p}{2}\pi i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} c(\frac{p}{2}\pi i, k) e_{n-2-k} = e^{-p\pi s/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{e_{n-2-k}}{k!} (is)^k \right)$$

for a suitable numbers $\{e_n\}$. By the Cauchy Residue Theorem, we have

$$(1+(-1)^n e^{-p\pi s}) \hat{f}(s) = 2\pi i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \operatorname{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : k\pi i) + \sum_{l=1}^{p-2} \operatorname{Res}(e^{izs} f(z) : \frac{lp\pi i}{p-1}) \right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\pi s} (1 + (-1)^n e^{-p\pi s}) \hat{f}(s) \\ &= 2\pi \frac{(\sin \frac{\pi}{p})^n \sin(\frac{p+1}{p}\pi)}{(\sin(\frac{p-1}{p}\pi))^n} - 2\pi \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} e^{(1-k)\pi s} \frac{(\sin \frac{k\pi}{p})^n \sin \frac{k(p+1)\pi}{p}}{(\cos k\pi) (\sin \frac{k(p-1)\pi}{p})^n} \\ & \quad + 2\pi i \sum_{l=1, l \neq (p-1)/2}^{p-2} e^{(1-\frac{lp}{p-1})\pi s} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_{n-1-k} (\frac{lp\pi}{p-1})}{k!} (is)^k \right) \\ & \quad + 2\pi i e^{(1-p/2)\pi s} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{e_{n-2-k}}{k!} (is)^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

When s tends to ∞ , then the right-hand side of above identity tends to

$$2\pi \frac{(\sin \frac{\pi}{p})^n \sin(\frac{p+1}{p}\pi)}{(\sin(\frac{p-1}{p}\pi))^n} < 0,$$

that is, $\hat{f}(s)$ is not positive for a sufficiently large s . This means that f is not positive definite. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let $a_i, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n > b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is not positive definite.

Proof. We set $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i \sinh a_i x}{a_i \sinh b_i x}$. It suffices to show f is not positive definite. Clearly we have $f(0) = 1$, and $f(x) = f(-x)$. Since $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n > b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$, it follows $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Then the self-adjoint matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(0) & f(-x) \\ f(x) & f(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is not positive for a sufficiently large x . So f is not positive definite. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let $a_i, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with $a_1 > \max\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$. Then we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is not positive definite.

Proof. We may assume that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$, $b_1 = 1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$, and $a_1 > 1$. We can choose a prime number p such that

$$p > 3, \quad a_1 > \frac{p+1}{p-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_n > \frac{1}{p-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.4(2), we have

$$\frac{(\sinh \frac{p+1}{p} x)(\sinh \frac{x}{p})^{n-1}}{(\sinh \frac{p-1}{p} x)^n}$$

is not positive definite, because so is

$$\frac{(\sinh \frac{p+1}{p} x)(\sinh \frac{x}{p})^{n-1}}{(\sinh x)(\sinh \frac{p-1}{p} x)^{n-1}}$$

and $(p-1)/p < 1$. Substituting $\frac{p}{p-1}x$ for x , we have

$$\frac{(\sinh \frac{p+1}{p-1} x)(\sinh \frac{x}{p-1})^{n-1}}{(\sinh x)^n}$$

is not positive definite. Using Lemma 2.4(2),

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is not positive definite, since $a_1 > \frac{p+1}{p-1}$, $a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n > \frac{1}{p-1}$ and $1 = b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$. \square

For n -tuples of positive numbers $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, we call that a is weakly submajorised by b ($a \preceq_w b$) if there exists permutations σ, τ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ satisfying

$$a_{\sigma(1)} \geq a_{\sigma(2)} \geq \dots \geq a_{\sigma(n)}, \quad b_{\tau(1)} \geq b_{\tau(2)} \geq \dots \geq b_{\tau(n)}$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=1}^k a_{\sigma(i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_{\tau(i)} \text{ for any } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $a_i, b_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \preceq_w (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is infinitely divisible.

Proof. It suffices to show that the function

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is infinitely divisible if $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We prove this statement using induction on n .

When $n = 1$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 since $a_1 \leq b_1$.

We assume the statement is valid for some n and $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq a_{n+1}$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n \geq b_{n+1}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$. If $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is infinitely divisible by Lemma 2.1(3) and Lemma 2.2. If $a_j > b_j$ for some j , then we may assume that

$$a_k \leq b_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, j-1) \text{ and } a_j > b_j.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x} &= \prod_{i=1}^{j-2} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x} \times \frac{\sinh a_{j-1} x}{\sinh(b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j)x} \times \prod_{i=j+1}^{n+1} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\sinh a_j x \sinh(b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j)x}{\sinh b_{j-1} x \sinh b_j x}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of induction, we can see

$$\prod_{i=1}^{j-2} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x} \times \frac{\sinh a_{j-1} x}{\sinh(b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j)x} \times \prod_{i=j+1}^{n+1} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is infinitely divisible and by Lemma 2.3

$$\frac{\sinh a_j x \sinh(b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j)x}{\sinh b_{j-1} x \sinh b_j x}$$

is also infinitely divisible since $b_{j-1} \geq \max\{a_j, b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j\}$ and $b_{j-1} + b_j = a_j + (b_{j-1} + b_j - a_j)$. By Lemma 2.1 we can prove

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\sinh a_i x}{\sinh b_i x}$$

is infinitely divisible. □

Example 2.9. Let $a_1 = 8, a_2 = 6, a_3 = 3, b_1 = 9, b_2 = 4, b_3 = 4$. It does not satisfy the assumption of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.8. We can show the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\sinh 8x \sinh 6x \sinh 3x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh 4x}$$

is not positive definite.

We remark that $f(0) = 1$ and $f(x) = f(-x)$. We can get the following approximation values:

$$|f(1/3) - 0.9780192940| \leq 10^{-10}, \quad |f(2/3) - 0.9908829679| \leq 10^{-10},$$

$$\text{and } |f(1) - 0.9981846167| \leq 10^{-10}.$$

Since $|f(0)|, |f(1/3)|, |f(2/3)|, |f(1)| \leq 1$, we can get the following estimation:

$$\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} f(0) & f(1/3) & f(2/3) & f(1) \\ f(1/3) & f(0) & f(1/3) & f(2/3) \\ f(2/3) & f(1/3) & f(0) & f(1/3) \\ f(1) & f(2/3) & f(1/3) & f(0) \end{pmatrix} - (-0.0000095) \right| \leq 10^{-7}$$

by using these approximation values. This means that the 4×4 matrix $(f(\frac{i-j}{3}))_{i,j=1}^4$ is not positive. So we have f is not positive definite.

Example 2.10. Let $a_1 = 8$, $a_2 = 6$, $a_3 = 1$, $b_1 = 9$, $b_2 = 4$, $b_3 = 4$. It also does not satisfy the assumption of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.8. But we can show the function

$$\frac{\sinh 8x \sinh 6x \sinh x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh 4x}$$

is infinitely divisible.

We also use the following integral expression:

$$\log \frac{b \sinh ax}{a \sinh bx} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ixt} - 1 - ixt) \frac{\sinh((1/a - 1/b)\pi t/2)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/2a) \sinh(\pi t/2b)} dt$$

by Kosaki([9]: Corollary 3). Since

$$\log \frac{3 \sinh 8x \sinh 6x \sinh x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh 4x} = \log \frac{9 \sinh 8x}{8 \sinh 9x} - \log \frac{6 \sinh 4x}{4 \sinh 6x} + \log \frac{4 \sinh x}{\sinh 4x},$$

we have

$$\log \frac{3 \sinh 8x \sinh 6x \sinh x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh 4x} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ixt} - 1 - ixt}{t^2} F(t) dt,$$

where $F(t) = f_1(t) - f_2(t) + f_3(t)$ and f_i 's are non-negative integrable functions as follows:

$$f_1(t) = \frac{t^2 \sinh(\pi t/144)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/16) \sinh(\pi t/18)}, \quad f_2(t) = \frac{t^2 \sinh(\pi t/24)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/12) \sinh(\pi t/8)},$$

$$f_3(t) = \frac{t^2 \sinh(3\pi t/8)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/2) \sinh(\pi t/8)}.$$

We set

$$F(t) = \frac{t^2 g(t)}{2t \sinh(\pi t/18) \sinh(\pi t/16) \sinh(\pi t/12) \sinh(\pi t/8) \sinh(\pi t/2)},$$

where

$$g(t) = \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{144}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{12}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{8}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)$$

$$- \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{24}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{16}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{18}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sinh\left(\frac{3\pi t}{8}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{16}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{18}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{12}\right).$$

If we show that $g(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then so is $F(t)$. This implies the infinite divisibility of the function

$$\frac{\sinh 8x \sinh 6x \sinh x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh 4x}.$$

By the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh a \sinh b &= \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(a+b) - \cosh(a-b)), \\ \cosh a \cosh b &= \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(a+b) + \cosh(a-b)),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sinh a \sinh b \sinh c \sinh d &= \frac{1}{8}(\cosh(a+b+c+d) + \cosh(a+b-c-d) \\ &\quad - \cosh(a-b+c+d) - \cosh(a-b-c-d) \\ &\quad - \cosh(a+b+c-d) - \cosh(a+b-c+d) \\ &\quad + \cosh(a-b+c-d) + \cosh(a-b-c+d)).\end{aligned}$$

Using this relation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}g(144s/\pi) &= (\sinh(s) \sinh(12s) \sinh(18s) \sinh(72s) \\ &\quad - \sinh(6s) \sinh(9s) \sinh(8s) \sinh(72s) \\ &\quad + \sinh(54s) \sinh(9s) \sinh(8s) \sinh(12s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(\cosh 103s + 2 \cosh 83s + 2 \cosh 77s + 2 \cosh 49s + 2 \cosh 43s \\ &\quad - \cosh 101s - \cosh 95s - 3 \cosh 67s - \cosh 65s \\ &\quad - \cosh 61s - \cosh 59s - \cosh 25s) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{(2k)!} s^{2k},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}c_k &= 103^{2k} + 2 \cdot 83^{2k} + 2 \cdot 77^{2k} + 2 \cdot 49^{2k} + 2 \cdot 43^{2k} \\ &\quad - 101^{2k} - 95^{2k} - 3 \cdot 67^{2k} - 65^{2k} - 61^{2k} - 59^{2k} - 25^{2k}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_9}{103^{18}} &\geq 1 - \left(\frac{101^{18} + 95^{18} + 3 \cdot 67^{18} + 65^{18} + 61^{18} + 59^{18} + 25^{18}}{103^{18}} \right) (\approx 0.062) \\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

we have $c_k > 0$ if $k \geq 9$. By the direct computation, we can get

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_8 \geq 0.$$

So $g(t)$ is non-negative for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. We have already shown in Lemma 2.3 that the function

$$f(x) = \frac{bd \sinh ax \sinh cx}{ac \sinh bx \sinh dx}$$

is infinitely divisible for any positive numbers a, b, c, d with $b > \max\{a, c\}$ and $a + c = b + d$. As stated in [9]:Theorem 5, the density function F appeared in the integral expression as below becomes even, positive and integrable (i.e., $F(t)$ admits a finite limit at the origin and rapidly decreasing at ∞) :

$$\log f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ixt} - 1 - ixt)F(t)dt$$

and

$$F(t) = \frac{\sinh((b-a)\pi t/(2ab))}{2t \sinh(\pi t/2a) \sinh(\pi t/2b)} - \frac{\sinh((c-d)\pi t/(2cd))}{2t \sinh(\pi t/2c) \sinh(\pi t/2d)}.$$

When $n \geq 2$ and two sequences $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ of positive numbers satisfy the following condition:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i,$$

the function

$$g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i \sinh a_i x}{a_i \sinh b_i x}$$

is also infinitely divisible by Theorem 2.8. By the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we can see that $g(x)$ is written by the product $f_1(x)f_2(x) \cdots f_{n-1}(x)$ of $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$, and $f_{n-1}(x)$, where each $f_i(x)$ has the form

$$f(x) = \frac{bd \sinh ax \sinh cx}{ac \sinh bx \sinh dx} \quad (b > \max\{a, c\} \text{ and } a + c = b + d).$$

For examples, we have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sinh 6x \sinh 5x \sinh 3x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x \sinh x} &= \frac{\sinh 6x \sinh 3x}{\sinh 8x \sinh x} \times \frac{\sinh 5x \sinh 8x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 4x}, \\ \frac{\sinh 7x \sinh 5x \sinh 4x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 6x \sinh x} &= \frac{\sinh 7x \sinh 5x}{\sinh 9x \sinh 3x} \times \frac{\sinh 4x \sinh 3x}{\sinh 6x \sinh x}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that, the density function G appeared in the integral expression as below is also even, positive and integrable:

$$\log g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{ixt} - 1 - ixt)G(t)dt.$$

Since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} tG(t)dt = 0$, the function $g(x)^r$ has the following form for any $r > 0$:

$$g(x)^r = e^{r\varphi(x)}C^r,$$

where $\varphi(x) = \hat{G}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt}G(t)dt$ and $C = \exp(-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t)dt)$. We can also see that $g(x)$ is infinitely divisible by Lemma 2.1(4).

3 Proof of Theorems and Applications

For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$f_{a,b}(t) = t^{\gamma(a,b)} \frac{b(t^a - 1)}{a(t^b - 1)}, \quad \gamma(a, b) = \frac{1 - a + b}{2},$$

where we use the notation $(t^a - 1)/a = \log t$ if $a = 0$. Then the function $f_{a,b} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous with $f_{a,b}(1) = 1$ (i.e., $f_{a,b} \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$) and symmetric ($f_{a,b}(t) = tf_{a,b}(1/t)$). It is clear that

$$f_{a,b}(t) = f_{-a,b}(t) = f_{a,-b}(t) = f_{-a,-b}(t) \text{ and } f_{a,a}(t) = \sqrt{t}.$$

So we only consider the case $a, b \geq 0$.

For $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the function as follows:

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = t^{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)},$$

where $\gamma = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i))/2$ and we also use the notation $(t^a - 1)/a = \log t$ if $a = 0$. Then the function $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ also satisfies $f_{\alpha,\beta} \in C(0, \infty)_1^+$ and $f_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = tf_{\alpha,\beta}(1/t)$. If we define $\tilde{\alpha} = (-a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, that is, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is replaced a_1 by $-a_1$ in α , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\tilde{\alpha},\beta}(t) &= t^{\gamma(\tilde{\alpha},\beta)} \frac{b_1(t^{-a_1} - 1)}{(-a_1)(t^{b_1} - 1)} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)} \\ &= t^{\gamma(\tilde{\alpha},\beta)} t^{-a_1} \frac{b_1(t^{a_1} - 1)}{a_1(t^{b_1} - 1)} \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)} \\ &= t^{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(t^{a_i} - 1)}{a_i(t^{b_i} - 1)} = f_{\alpha,\beta}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This means $f_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = f_{|\alpha|,|\beta|}(t)$, where $|\alpha| = (|a_1|, |a_2|, \dots, |a_n|)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, it suffices to show that the function

$$h : \mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \frac{f_{\alpha,\beta}(e^{2x})}{f_{\alpha',\beta'}(e^{2x})} \in (0, \infty)$$

is positive definite. By Lemma 2.1(2) and the fact $f_{\alpha,\beta} = f_{|\alpha|,|\beta|}$, we may assume that each component of α, β, α' and β' is positive.

By the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha,\beta}(e^{2x}) &= e^{2\gamma(\alpha,\beta)x} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(e^{2a_i x} - 1)}{a_i(e^{2b_i x} - 1)} \\ &= e^{2\gamma(\alpha,\beta)x} e^{(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i))x} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(e^{a_i x} - e^{-a_i x})}{a_i(e^{b_i x} - e^{-b_i x})} \\ &= e^x \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i \sinh a_i x}{a_i \sinh b_i x}, \end{aligned}$$

the function $h(x)$ has the following form:

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i \sinh a_i x}{a_i \sinh b_i x} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{c_j \sinh d_j x}{d_j \sinh c_j x}.$$

By Theorem 2.8, $h(x)$ is infinitely divisible, in particular positive definite if $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) \preceq_w (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$. \square

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we set $M_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t) = t f_{\alpha,\beta}(s/t)$. Then $M_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t)$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha,\beta}(s, t) &= (st)^{\gamma(\alpha,\beta)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i(s^{a_i} - t^{a_i})}{a_i(s^{b_i} - t^{b_i})} \\ &= (st)^{1/2} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i \sinh(a_i(\log s - \log t)/2)}{a_i \sinh(b_i(\log s - \log t)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let k be a positive integer smaller than n . For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, we define $\alpha \setminus (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ by deleting the i_1 -th, i_2 -th, \dots and i_k -th components from α . If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the relation $|\alpha| \preceq_w |\beta|$, then we have

$$\left(f_{\alpha \setminus (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k), \beta \setminus (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)}(t) \right)^r \preceq \left(f_{(b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, \dots, b_{j_k}), (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k})}(t) \right)^r$$

for any $r > 0$ by Theorem 1.1, where it is also assumed $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq n$. In the case $r = 1$, we can get the following operator norm inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| M_{\alpha \setminus (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k), \beta \setminus (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)}(L_H, R_K)X \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \left\| M_{(b_{j_1}, b_{j_2}, \dots, b_{j_k}), (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k})}(L_H, R_K)X \right\| \right\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $H, K, X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H, K > 0$.

As an example, we consider $\alpha = (8, 8, 7, 5, 3)$ and $\beta = (10, 9, 6, 4, 2) \in \mathbb{R}^5$. It is clear $\alpha \preceq_w \beta$. If we choose as $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 4, j_1 = 2$ and $j_2 = 5$, then we have

$$\left\| \left\| M_{(8,7,3),(10,6,4)}(L_H, R_K)X \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| M_{(9,2),(8,5)}(L_H, R_K)X \right\| \right\|.$$

By using our method, if we choose $i_1 = 2$ and $j_1 = 2$ for $\alpha = (1, 1) \preceq_w (1, 2) = \beta$, then we can get McIntosh's inequality

$$\left\| \left\| H^{1/2} X K^{1/2} \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| H X + X K \right\| \right\|$$

for all $H, K, X \in \mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with $H, K > 0$, because $t^{1/2} = f_{(1),(1)}(t) \preceq f_{(2),(1)}(t) = (1+t)/2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 At first, we show that, for $a_1 \geq a_2 > 0$ and $b_1 \geq b_2 > 0$,

$$f_{a_1, b_1} \preceq f_{b_2, a_2} \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq b_1 \text{ and } a_1 + a_2 \leq b_1 + b_2.$$

We have already seen that $f_{a_1, b_1} \preceq f_{b_2, a_2}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sinh a_1 x \sinh a_2 x}{\sinh b_1 x \sinh b_2 x}$$

is positive definite. The implication (\Leftarrow) follows from Theorem 2.8. If $a_1 > b_1$ or $a_1 + a_2 > b_1 + b_2$, $\frac{\sinh a_1 x \sinh a_2 x}{\sinh b_1 x \sinh b_2 x}$ is not positive definite by Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 2.6. So the reverse implication (\Rightarrow) is valid.

(1) When $a \geq b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b} \preceq f_{c,d} & \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sinh ax \sinh dx}{\sinh bx \sinh cx} \text{ is positive definite.} \\ & \Leftrightarrow a \leq c \text{ and } a + d \leq b + c. \\ & \Leftrightarrow (c, d) \in \{(x, y) : x \geq a, 0 \leq y \leq x - a + b\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) When $a < b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,b} \preceq f_{c,d} & \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sinh ax \sinh dx}{\sinh bx \sinh cx} \text{ is positive definite.} \\ & \Leftrightarrow (d \leq c) \text{ or } (d \leq b \text{ and } a + d \leq b + c). \\ & \Leftrightarrow (c, d) \in \{(x, y) : 0 \leq y \leq x\} \\ & \quad \cup \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq y \leq x - a + b, y \leq b\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 169, Springer-Verlag NewYork, 1997.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
- [3] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Addison-Wesley, 1954.
- [4] F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, *Means for matrices and comparison of their norms*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48(1999), 899–936.
- [5] F. Hiai and H. Kosaki, *Means of Hilbert Space Operators*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1820, Springer, 2003.
- [6] F. Hiai, H. Kosaki, D. Petz and M. B. Ruskai, *Families of completely positive maps associated with monotone metrics*, Linear Algebra Appl. 439(2013), 1749–1791.
- [7] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *Introduction to matrix analysis and applications*, Springer, 2014.
- [8] K. Kanemitsu, *Symmetric operator monotone functions and their order* (in Japanese), Master Thesis in Chiba University, 2016.
- [9] H. Kosaki, *Strong monotonicity for various means*, J. Funct. Anal. 267(2014), 1917–1958.
- [10] A. McIntosh, *Heinz inequalities and perturbation of spectral families*, Macquarie Mathematical Reports, 79-0006, 1979.
- [11] M. Nagisa and S. Wada, *Operator monotonicity of some functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. 486(2015), 389–408.

Imam Nugraha Albania
Department of Mathematics Education
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (UPI)
Jl. Dr Setia Budhi 229 Bandung 40154
Indonesia
e-mail address: albania@upi.edu

[Current Address]
Graduate School of Science
Chiba University
Chiba 263-8522
Japan
e-mail address: phantasion@gmail.com

Masaru NAGISA
Graduate School of Science
Chiba University
Chiba 263-8522
Japan
e-mail address: nagisa@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

MSC primary: 47A53, 47A64, secondary: 15A42, 15A45, 15A60

Keywords: McIntosh's inequality; Operator norm inequality; Unitarily invariant norm; Positive definite function; Infinitely divisible function