

# DIFFERENTIAL-FREE CHARACTERISATION OF SMOOTH MAPPINGS WITH CONTROLLED GROWTH

MARIJAN MARKOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give some generalizations and improvements of the Pavlović result on the Holland-Walsh type characterization of the Bloch space of continuously differentiable (smooth) functions in the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULT

We consider the space  $\mathbf{R}^m$  equipped with the standard norm  $|\zeta|$  and the scalar product  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^m$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{B}^m$  the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$  be a domain. For a differentiable mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , denote by  $Df(\zeta)$  its differential at  $\zeta \in \Omega$ , and by

$$\|Df(\zeta)\| = \sup_{\ell \in \partial \mathbf{B}^m} |Df(\zeta)\ell|$$

the norm of the linear operator  $Df(\zeta) : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ .

This paper is mainly motivated by the following surprising result of Pavlović [4].

**Proposition 1.1** (Cf. [4]). *A continuously differentiable complex-valued function  $f(\zeta)$  in the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^m$  is a Bloch function, i.e.,*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m} (1 - |\zeta|^2) \|Df(\zeta)\|$$

*is finite, if and only if the following quantity is finite:*

$$\sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \mathbf{B}^m, \zeta \neq \eta} \frac{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2} |f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|}.$$

*Moreover, these numbers are equal.*

As Pavlović observed in [4], the above result is actually two-dimensional. Namely, if one proves it for continuously differentiable functions  $\mathbf{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , then the general case (the case of continuously differentiable functions  $\mathbf{B}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ) follows from it. We give a proof of Proposition 1.1 in the next section following our main result.

Since for an analytic function  $f(z)$  in the unit disc  $\mathbf{B}^2$  we have  $\|Df(z)\| = |f'(z)|$  for every  $z \in \mathbf{B}^2$ , the first part of Proposition 1.1 (without the equality statement) is the Holland-Walsh characterization of analytic functions in the Bloch space in the unit disc. See Theorem 3 in [3] which says that  $f(z)$  is a Bloch function if and only if

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2} \sqrt{1 - |w|^2} |f(z) - f(w)|}{|z - w|}$$

is bounded as a function of two variables  $z \in \mathbf{B}^2$  and  $w \in \mathbf{B}^2$  for  $z \neq w$ . This characterization of analytic Bloch functions in the unit ball is given by Ren and Tu in [5].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A18; Secondary 30D45.

*Key words and phrases.* Bloch type spaces, Lipschitz type spaces, Holland-Walsh characterisation, hyperbolic distance, analytic function, Möbius transforms.

Our aim here is to obtain a characterisation result similar as in Proposition 1.1 of continuously differentiable mappings that satisfy a certain growth condition. Before we formulate our main theorem we need to introduce some notation.

Let  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  be an everywhere positive continuous function in a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$  (a weight function in  $\Omega$ ). We will consider continuously differentiable mappings in  $\Omega$  that map this domain into  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and satisfy the following growth condition

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}} := \sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} \mathbf{w}(\zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\| < \infty.$$

We say that  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}}$  is the  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch semi-norm of the mapping  $f$  (it is easy to check that it has indeed all semi-norm properties). We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{w}}$  the space of all continuously differentiable mappings  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with the finite  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch semi-norm. The space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{w}}$  we call  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch space. If  $\Omega = \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = 1 - |\zeta|^2$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ , we just say the Bloch space, and denote it by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In the sequel we will consider the  $\mathbf{w}$ -distance between  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$ , which is obtained in the following way:

$$d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves  $\gamma \subseteq \Omega$  connecting  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ . It is well known that  $d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)$  is a distance function in the domain  $\Omega$ .

One of our aims in this paper is to give a differential-free description of the  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch space and a differential-free expression for  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch semi-norm. In order to do that, for a given  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  in a domain  $\Omega$ , we now introduce a new everywhere positive function  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  on the product domain  $\Omega \times \Omega$  that satisfies the following four conditions. For every  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$ ,

- (W<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \mathbf{W}(\eta, \zeta)$ ;
- (W<sub>2</sub>)  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \zeta) = \mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ ;
- (W<sub>3</sub>)  $\liminf_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \geq \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \zeta) = \mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ ;
- (W<sub>4</sub>)  $d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta) \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \leq |\zeta - \eta|$ .

We say that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is admissible for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ .

Of course, one can pose the existence question concerning  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  if  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  is given. In the sequel we will prove that the following functions  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  are admissible for the given functions  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ .

- (1) The function

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{w}(\zeta), & \text{if } \zeta = \eta, \\ |\zeta - \eta|/d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta), & \text{if } \zeta \neq \eta. \end{cases}$$

in  $\Omega \times \Omega$  is admissible for any given  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  in  $\Omega$ .

- (2) If  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = 1 - |\zeta|^2$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ , then  $d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)$  is the hyperbolic distance in the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^m$ . One of the admissible functions is

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}.$$

This is shown in the next section. From this fact we deduce the Pavlović result stated in the above proposition.

- (3) If  $\Omega$  is a convex domain and if  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  is a decreasing function in  $|\zeta|$ , then

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \min\{\mathbf{w}(\zeta), \mathbf{w}(\eta)\}$$

is admissible for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . It would be of interest to find such simple admissible functions for more general domains  $\Omega$  and/or more general functions  $\mathbf{w}$ .

For a mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  introduce now the quantity

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1 := \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|}.$$

We call it the  $\mathbf{W}$ -Lipschitz semi-norm (it is also an easy task to check that it is indeed a semi-norm). The space of all continuously differentiable mappings  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  for which its  $\mathbf{W}$ -Lipschitz semi-norm  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1$  is finite is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{W}}$ . Note that if  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is not symmetric, we can replace it by  $\bar{\mathbf{W}}(\zeta, \eta) = \max\{\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta), \mathbf{W}(\eta, \zeta)\}$  which produces the same Lipschitz type semi-norm.

Our main result in this paper shows that for any continuously differentiable mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b = \|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1$ ; i.e., the  $\mathbf{w}$ -Bloch semi-norm is equal to the  $\mathbf{W}$ -Lipschitz semi-norm of the mapping  $f$ . As a consequence we have the coincidence of the two spaces  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{W}}$ . Thus, the space  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{w}}$  may be described as

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{w}} = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n : \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is any admissible function for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . This is the content of the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$  be a domain and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a continuously differentiable mapping. Let  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  be positive and continuous in  $\Omega$ , and let  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  be an admissible function for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . If one of the numbers  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b$  and  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1$  is finite, then both numbers are finite and equal.*

*Proof.* For one direction, assume that  $\mathbf{W}$ -Lipschitz semi-norm of the mapping  $f$  is finite, i.e., that the quantity

$$\sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|}$$

is finite. We will show that  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1$ , which implies that  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b$  is also finite.

If we have in mind that

$$\limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\omega)|}{|\zeta - \omega|} = \|Df(\zeta)\|$$

for every  $\zeta \in \Omega$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1 &= \sup_{\eta, \omega \in \Omega, \eta \neq \omega} \mathbf{W}(\eta, \omega) \frac{|f(\eta) - f(\omega)|}{|\eta - \omega|} \geq \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \zeta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \omega) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\omega)|}{|\zeta - \omega|} \\ &\geq \liminf_{\omega \rightarrow \zeta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \omega) \limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\omega)|}{|\zeta - \omega|} = \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\| \\ &= \mathbf{w}(\zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1 \geq \sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} \mathbf{w}(\zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\| = \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b,$$

which we aimed to prove.

Assume now that  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b$  is finite. We will prove the reverse inequality  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^1 \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^b$ . Let  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$  be arbitrary and different and let  $\gamma \subseteq \Omega$  be any piecewise smooth

curve parameterized by  $t \in [0, 1]$  that connects  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ , i.e., for which  $\gamma(0) = \zeta$  and  $\gamma(1) = \eta$ . Since  $\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}}$  is finite, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta) - f(\eta)| &= |(f \circ \gamma)(1) - (f \circ \gamma)(0)| = \left| \int_0^1 ((f \circ \gamma)(t))' dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 Df(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 |Df(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|Df(\gamma(t))\| |\gamma'(t)| dt \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\gamma'(t)| dt}{\mathbf{w}(\gamma(t))} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take infimum over all such curves  $\gamma$  we obtain

$$|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta).$$

Because of our conditions posed on the function  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|} \leq \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)}{|\zeta - \eta|} \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{l}} = \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|} \leq \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{b}},$$

which we wanted to prove.  $\square$

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  be a weight in a domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ . Observe that we have

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} \mathbf{w}(\zeta) = \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta),$$

where  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is admissible for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . This remark is a direct consequence of the fact that we can set the identity  $f(\zeta) = \text{Id}(\zeta)$  in Theorem 1.2.

## 2. ON THE PAVLOVIĆ RESULT

As we have already said, if we take  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = 1 - |\zeta|^2$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ , then  $\mathbf{w}$ -distance is the hyperbolic distance. For the hyperbolic distance between  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  we will use the usual notation  $\rho(\zeta, \eta)$ .

It is well known that the hyperbolic distance is invariant under Möbius transforms of the unit ball; i.e., if  $T : \mathbf{B}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^m$  is a Möbius transform, then we have

$$\rho(T(\zeta), T(\eta)) = \rho(\zeta, \eta)$$

for every  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ .

Up to an orthogonal transform, a Möbius transform of the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^m$  onto itself can be represented as

$$T_{\zeta}(\eta) = \frac{-(1 - |\zeta|^2)(\zeta - \eta) - |\zeta - \eta|^2 \zeta}{[\zeta, \eta]^2}, \quad \eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$$

for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ , where

$$[\zeta, \eta]^2 = 1 - 2\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle + |\zeta|^2 |\eta|^2.$$

It is known that

$$|T_{\zeta} \eta| = \frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{[\zeta, \eta]} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - |T_{\zeta} \eta|^2 = \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)(1 - |\eta|^2)}{[\zeta, \eta]^2}$$

for every  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ .

Particularly, one easily calculates

$$\rho(0, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\omega|}{1 - |\omega|}$$

for  $\omega \in \mathbf{B}^m$ . Because of the invariance with respect to the group of Möbius transforms of the unit ball, the hyperbolic distance between  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  can be expressed as

$$\rho(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |T_\zeta \eta|}{1 - |T_\zeta \eta|} = \operatorname{atanh} |T_\zeta(\eta)|.$$

For all mentioned facts and identities above we refer the reader to Ahlfors [1] or Vuorinen [7].

Proposition 1.1 can be seen as a consequence of our main result and the following elementary lemma which proves that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}$  has  $W_4$ -property, and therefore it is admissible for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = 1 - |\zeta|^2$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The function  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}$  satisfies the inequality*

$$\rho(\zeta, \eta) \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \leq |\zeta - \eta|$$

for every  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$ .

*Proof.* We will first establish the following special case of the inequality we need:

$$\rho(0, \omega) \sqrt{1 - |\omega|^2} \leq |\omega|$$

for  $\omega \in \mathbf{B}^m$ .

Since

$$\rho(0, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + |\omega|}{1 - |\omega|},$$

if we take  $t = |\omega|$ , the above inequality is equivalent to the following one:

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+t}{1-t} \leq \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}},$$

where  $0 \leq t < 1$ . Denote the difference of the left-hand side minus the right-hand side by  $F(t)$ . Then we have

$$F'(t) = -\frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{1-t^2}, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Since  $F'(t) < 0$  for  $0 < t < 1$ , it follows that  $F(t)$  is a decreasing function in  $[0, 1)$ . Therefore,  $F(t) \leq F(0) = 0$ , which implies the inequality we aimed to prove.

It the inequality we have just proved, let us take  $\omega = T_\zeta \eta$ , where  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  and  $\eta \in \mathbf{B}^m$  are arbitrary. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(0, \omega) &= \rho(T_\zeta \zeta, T_\zeta \eta) = \rho(\zeta, \eta), \\ \sqrt{1 - |\omega|^2} &= \sqrt{1 - |T_\zeta \eta|^2} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2} \sqrt{1 - |\eta|^2}}{[\zeta, \eta]}, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$|\omega| = |T_\zeta \eta| = \frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{[\zeta, \eta]}.$$

If we substitute all above expressions, we obtain the inequality in the statement of our lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** One more expression for the hyperbolic distance in the unit ball is given by

$$\sinh^2 \rho(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{|\zeta - \eta|^2}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)(1 - |\eta|^2)}$$

(see [7]). Using the elementary inequality  $t \leq \sinh t$ , as suggested by the referee, one deduces the inequality in the above lemma.

### 3. SOME OTHER CONSEQUENCES OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we will derive some new consequences of our main result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  be an everywhere positive, continuous and decreasing function of  $|\zeta|$  in a convex domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ . Then we have*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} \mathbf{w}(\zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\| = \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \min\{\mathbf{w}(\zeta), \mathbf{w}(\eta)\} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|}$$

for every continuously differentiable mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \min\{\mathbf{w}(\zeta), \mathbf{w}(\eta)\},$$

for  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ . We have only to check if  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  satisfies conditions  $(W_1) - (W_4)$  and to apply our main theorem.

It is clear that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is symmetric, and that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \zeta) = \mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . Since  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is continuous in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ , the  $(W_3)$ -condition for  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  obviously holds. Therefore, it remains to check if the following inequality is true:

$$d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta) \min\{\mathbf{w}(\zeta), \mathbf{w}(\eta)\} \leq |\zeta - \eta|$$

for every  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ .

Let  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$  be arbitrary and fixed and let  $\gamma \subseteq \Omega$  be among piecewise smooth curves that joint  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta) &= \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} \leq \int_{[\zeta, \eta]} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} \leq \int_{[\zeta, \eta]} \max_{\omega \in [\zeta, \eta]} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} \right\} |d\omega| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\zeta)}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\eta)} \right\} \int_{[\zeta, \eta]} |d\omega| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\zeta)}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\eta)} \right\} |\zeta - \eta| \\ &= \min\{\mathbf{w}(\zeta), \mathbf{w}(\eta)\}^{-1} |\zeta - \eta|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the fourth step our assumption that  $\mathbf{w}(\omega)$  is decreasing in  $|\omega|$  and that the maximum modulus of points on a line segment is attained at an endpoint. The inequality we need follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Since the function  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = 1 - |\zeta|^2$  is decreasing in  $|\zeta|$  in the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^m$ , the above corollary produces a new Holland-Walsh type characterisation of continuously differentiable Bloch mappings. Notice that  $\min\{A, B\} \leq \sqrt{A}\sqrt{B}$  for all non-negative numbers  $A$  and  $B$ . Because of this inequality, it seems that Corollary 3.1 improves the Pavlović result stated at the beginning of the paper as Proposition 1.1.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$  be an everywhere positive and continuous function in a domain  $\Omega$  and let  $d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)$  be the  $\mathbf{w}$ -distance in  $\Omega$ . Then we have*

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \Omega} \mathbf{w}(\zeta) \|Df(\zeta)\| = \sup_{\zeta, \eta \in \Omega, \zeta \neq \eta} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)}$$

for any continuously differentiable mappings  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* For  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$  let

$$\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{w}(\zeta), & \text{if } \zeta = \eta, \\ |\zeta - \eta|/d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta), & \text{if } \zeta \neq \eta. \end{cases}$$

It is enough to show that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is admissible for  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is symmetric. The  $(W_4)$ -condition for  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  is obviously satisfied, and here it is optimal in some sense. Therefore, we have only to check if  $\mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta)$  satisfies the  $(W_3)$ -condition:

$$\liminf_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \eta) \geq \mathbf{W}(\zeta, \zeta).$$

This means that we need to show that

$$\liminf_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{|\zeta - \eta|}{d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)} \geq \mathbf{w}(\zeta).$$

If we invert both sides, we obtain that we have to prove

$$\limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{d_{\mathbf{w}}(\zeta, \eta)}{|\zeta - \eta|} \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\zeta)}.$$

for every  $\zeta \in \Omega$ .

Since this is a local question, we may assume that  $\eta$  is in a convex neighborhood of  $\zeta$ . Let  $\gamma$  be among piecewise smooth curves in  $\Omega$  connecting  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1}{|\zeta - \eta|} \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} &\leq \limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1}{|\zeta - \eta|} \int_{[\zeta, \eta]} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} \\ &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{1}{|\zeta - \eta|} \int_{[\zeta, \eta]} \frac{|d\omega|}{\mathbf{w}(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}(\zeta)}, \end{aligned}$$

which we wanted to prove. The equalities above follow because of continuity of the function  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** In the case  $\mathbf{w}(\zeta) = (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\alpha$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^2$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is a constant, Corollary 3.3 is proved by Zhu in [8] for analytic functions (see Theorem 19 in [8]). A variant of this corollary is obtain in [6] (see also Theorem 1 there for analytic functions).

As a special case of the above corollary, we have the following one (certainly very well known for analytic Bloch functions in the unit disc).

**Corollary 3.5.** *A continuously differentiable mapping  $f : \mathbf{B}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a Bloch mapping (i.e.,  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ ) if and only if it is a Lipschitz mapping with respect to the Euclidean and hyperbolic distance in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{B}^m$ . In other words, for the mapping  $f$ , there holds*

$$|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)| \leq C\rho(\zeta, \eta)$$

for a constant  $C$ , if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, the optimal constant  $C$  is

$$C = \sup\{(1 - |\zeta|^2)\|Df(\zeta)\| : \zeta \in \mathbf{B}^m\}$$

(for a given  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ )

**Remark 3.6.** The result of the last corollary is proved for harmonic mappings of the unit disc into itself by Colonna in [2], where it is also found that the constant  $C$  is always less or equal to  $4/\pi$  for such type of mappings.

**Acknowledgments.** I am thankful to the referee for providing constructive comments and help in improving the quality of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors, *Möbius transformations in several dimensions*, University of Minnesota, School of Mathematics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Ordway Professorship Lectures in Mathematics (1981)
- [2] F. Colonna, *The Bloch constant of bounded harmonic mappings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 829–840
- [3] F. Holland and D. Walsh, *Criteria for membership of Bloch space and its subspace, BMOA*, Math. Ann. **273** (1986), 317–335
- [4] M. Pavlović, *On the Holland–Walsh characterization of Bloch functions*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **51** (2008), 439–441
- [5] G. Ren and C. Tu, *Bloch space in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 719–726
- [6] K. Zhu, *Distances and Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on complex domains*, J. London Math. Soc. **49** (1994), 163–182
- [7] M. Vuorinen, *Conformal geometry and quasiregular mappings*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1988
- [8] K. Zhu, *Bloch Type Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), 1143–1177

FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO  
CETINJSKI PUT B.B.  
81000 PODGORICA  
MONTENEGRO  
*E-mail address:* marijanmarkovic@gmail.com