COMPACT AND "COMPACT" OPERATORS ON THE STANDARD HILBERT MODULE OVER A *W** ALGEBRA

DRAGOLJUB J. KEČKIĆ AND ZLATKO LAZOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We construct a topology on the standard Hilbert module $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ over a unital W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that any "compact" operator, (i.e. any operator in the norm closure of the linear span of the operators of the form $x \mapsto \langle y, x \rangle z$) maps bounded sets into totally bounded sets.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a unital W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} we consider the standard Hilbert module denoted by $l^2(\mathcal{A})$, (the notation $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also widespread)

$$l^{2}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ x = (\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \dots) \mid \xi_{j} \in \mathcal{A}, \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_{j}^{*} \xi_{j} \text{ converges in the norm topology} \},\$$

equipped with the \mathcal{A} -valued inner product

$$l^{2}(\mathcal{A}) \times l^{2}(\mathcal{A}) \ni (x, y) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_{j}^{*} \eta_{j} \in \mathcal{A}, \qquad x = (\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \dots), \quad y = (\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \dots).$$

Since an arbitrary \mathcal{A} -linear bounded operator on $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ does not need to have an adjoint, the natural algebra of operators is $B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ - the algebra of all \mathcal{A} -linear bounded operators on $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ having an adjoint. It is known that $B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ is a C^* -algebra and also that it is a W^* -algebra whenever \mathcal{A} is of that kind.

Among all operators in $B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$, those that belong to the linear span of the operators of the form $x \mapsto \Theta_{y,z}(x) = z \langle y, x \rangle$ $(y, z \in l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ are called *finite rank operators*. The norm closure of finite rank operators is known as the algebra of all "compact" operators. The quotation marks are usually written in order to emphasize the fact that "compact" operators does not maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets, as it is the case in the framework of Hilbert (and also Banach) spaces, though they share many properties of proper compact operators on a Hilbert space, [5], [6]

For general literature concerning Hilbert modules over more general C^* algebras, including the standard Hilbert module, the reader is referred to [4] or [7].

The aim of this note is to introduce a locally convex topology on $l^2(\mathcal{A})$, where \mathcal{A} is a unital W^* -algebra, such that any "compact" operator maps bounded sets (in the norm) into totally bounded in the introduced topology. In a very special case, where $\mathcal{A} \cong B(H)$ the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space,

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L08, Secondary: 47B07, 54E15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert module, uniform spaces, compact operators.

The authors was supported in part by the Ministry of education and science, Republic of Serbia, Grant #174034.

the converse is also true. Namely, any operator $T \in B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ that maps bounded into totally bounded sets is "compact". Therefore, speaking freely, we can omit the quotation marks.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic definitions and facts concerning uniform spaces. For more details see [1] or [3].

Uniform spaces are those topological spaces in which one can deal with notions such as Cauchy sequence, Cauchy net or uniform continuity. Although it is usual to define them as spaces endowed with a family of sets in $X \times X$ given as some kind of neighborhoods of the diagonal, so called *entourages*, for our purpose it is more convenient to give an equivalent definition, via a family of semimetrics.

Definition 2.1. A nonempty set endowed with a family of semimetrics, functions $d_{\alpha} : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ satisfying (i) $d_{\alpha}(x, y) \ge 0$; (ii) $d_{\alpha}(x, y) = d_{\alpha}(y, x)$; (iii) $d_{\alpha}(x, z) \le d_{\alpha}(x, y) + d_{\alpha}(y, z)$ is called a uniform space.

All d_{α} are metrics except they do not distinguish points, i.e. there might be $d_{\alpha}(x, y) = 0$ for some $x \neq y$. However it is provided that for all $x \neq y$ there is an α such that $d_{\alpha}(x, y) > 0$.

The family of sets $B_{d_{\alpha}}(x;\varepsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d_{\alpha}(x,y) < \varepsilon\}$ makes a basis for some topology. It is well known that a topological space X is a uniform space if and only if it is completely regular.

Let X be a uniform space. For a net $x_i \in X$ we say that it is a Cauchy net if it is a Cauchy net with respect to all d_{α} , i.e. if for all α and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is i_0 such that for all $i, j > i_0$ we have $d_{\alpha}(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$. The notion of a complete uniform space is defined in an obvious way.

A set $A \subseteq X$ is called totally bounded, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all α there is a finite set $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_m \in X$ such that $B_{\alpha}(c_j; \varepsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d_{\alpha}(c_j, y) < \varepsilon\}$ cover A. It is well known that any relatively compact set is totally bounded, and that the converse is true provided that X is complete.

If X is not complete then there are totally bounded sets that are not relatively compact, for instance, $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ as a subset of \mathbb{Q} . (See also [1, Remark 4.2.2])

Any locally convex topological vector space is a uniform space. Indeed, there is a family of seminorms generating its topology. This family can be obtained by Minkowski functionals of basic neighborhoods of zero. And an arbitrary seminorm define a semimetric in a natural way. Conversely, any family of seminorms that distinguishes points leads to a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space. Hence a family of seminorms allows to deal with notions: totally bounded set, complete space, Cauchy net, etc.

3. TOPOLOGY

For an arbitrary Hilbert W^* -module \mathcal{M} , Paschke [8], [9] in his initial works on Hilbert C^* -modules and Frank [2], introduced two topologies, τ_1 and τ_2 , the first of them generated by functionals $x \mapsto \varphi(\langle y, x \rangle), y \in \mathcal{M}, \varphi$ normal state, and the second by seminorms $p(x) = \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle)^{1/2}, \varphi$ normal state. Frank proved that \mathcal{M} is self-dual if and only if the unit ball in \mathcal{M} is complete in τ_1 (and this is equivalent to the completeness in τ_2). Therefore, if $\mathcal{M} = l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is a standard Hilbert module, it is not complete neither in τ_1 nor in τ_2 , since $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is never self-dual, except in the case where \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional algebra. Since obviously $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$, we shall refer to these topologies as to weak PF and strong PF topologies.

However, we need a topology which is between weak and strong PF topology. Namely, on a standard Hilbert module $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is a unital W^* algebra we define a locally convex topology τ by the family of seminorms

(3.1)
$$p_{\varphi,y}(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2},$$

where φ is a normal state, and $y = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of elements in \mathcal{A} such that

(3.2)
$$\sup_{j\geq 1}\varphi(\eta_j^*\eta_j)=1.$$

Proposition 3.1. Seminorms (3.1) are well defined. Also $\tau_1 \subset \tau \subset \tau_2$.

Proof. Since $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto \varphi(\eta^* \xi)$ is a semi inner product, we have $|\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2 \leq \varphi(\xi_j^* \xi_j)\varphi(\eta_j^* \eta_j)$. By this, and by (3.2) we have

(3.3)
$$p_{\varphi,y}(x)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\eta_j^*\xi_j)|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(\xi_j^*\xi_j)\varphi(\eta_j^*\eta_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(\xi_j^*\xi_j) = \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle).$$

This proves that seminorms (3.1) are well defined, and also that $\tau \subset \tau_2$.

To prove $\tau_1 \subset \tau$, pick $y \in l^2(\mathcal{A})$, $y = (\eta_1, \eta_2, ...)$. The sequence ζ_j given by $\zeta_j = \eta_j / \varphi(\eta_j^* \eta_j)^{1/2}$ if $\varphi(\eta_j^* \eta_j) \neq 0$, and $\zeta_j = 0$ otherwise obviously fulfils (3.2). Hence

$$\begin{split} |\varphi(\langle y, x \rangle)| &= \left| \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \eta_j^* \xi_j\right) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(\eta_j^* \eta_j)^{1/2} \varphi(\zeta_j^* \xi_j) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(\eta_j^* \eta_j)\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\zeta_j^* \xi_j)|^2\right)^{1/2} = \varphi(\langle y, y \rangle)^{1/2} p_{\varphi, z}(x), \end{split}$$
whing the proof.

finishing the proof.

Remark 3.1. The dual module of the module \mathcal{M} is defined as the module of all \mathcal{A} -linear and \mathcal{A} -valued bounded functionals. It is denoted by \mathcal{M}' . The module \mathcal{M} always can be embedded in \mathcal{M}' via $\mathcal{M} \ni y \mapsto \Lambda_y \in \mathcal{M}', \Lambda_y(x) = \langle y, x \rangle$. If this embedding is onto, the module \mathcal{M} is called *self-dual*.

It is well known that $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is not self-dual, except the algebra \mathcal{A} is finite dimensional. Namely, $l^2(\mathcal{A})'$ can be described as the module of all sequences $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ such that the sequence of sums $\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^* \xi_j$ is norm bounded, [7, Proposition 2.5.5].

Reading carefully the proof of the preceding proposition, one can see that nothing is changed if we replace $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ by $l^2(\mathcal{A})'$. Indeed, the entire proof does not depend on the norm convergence of the series $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \xi_j^* \xi_j$.

Proposition 3.2. The unit ball in $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is not complete with respect to τ . Its completion is the unit ball in the dual module $l^2(\mathcal{A})'$.

Proof. First, we prove that the unit ball in $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in the unit ball in $l^2(\mathcal{A})'$. Let $x \in l^2(\mathcal{A})'$, $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$. Since the sequence of sums $\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^* \xi_j$ is bounded it is convergent in strong (or weak, or ultraweak etc.) topology. By normality of φ we have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\xi_j^*\xi_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\varphi(\xi_j^*\xi_j),$$

implying that $\varphi(\sum_{j=n}^{+\infty} \xi_j^* \xi_j) \to 0$, as $n \to +\infty$. Thus, by the inequality (3.3) $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n, 0, 0, \ldots) \to x$ in each seminorm of the form (3.1).

Next, we prove that $l^2(\mathcal{A})'$ is complete. Let $x^{\alpha} = (\xi_1^{\alpha}, \xi_2^{\alpha}, \dots)$ be a Cauchy net in the unit ball. Choosing an arbitrary normal state, and $\eta_k = 1$, $\eta_j = 0$ for $j \neq k$ we obtain that ξ_k^{α} is a Cauchy net in weak-* topology in the unit ball in \mathcal{A} . Hence, it is convergent, say $\xi_k^{\alpha} \to \xi_k$ in the weak-* topology.

Since multiplying is ultraweakly continuous, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all η_j which satisfy (3.2) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j^\alpha)|^2 \to \sum_{j=1}^k |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2.$$

Choosing $\eta_j = \xi_j / \varphi(\xi_j^* \xi_j)^{1/2}$ if $\varphi(\xi_j^* \xi_j) \neq 0$ and $\eta_j = 0$ otherwise, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \varphi(\xi_j^* \xi_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{k} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2 = \lim_{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j^\alpha)|^2 \le ||x|| \le 1.$$

Taking the limit as $k \to +\infty$ we conclude that $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots) \in l^2(\mathcal{A})'$. To see that x is the limit of the Cauchy net x^{α} it is enough to take the limit over β in

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |\varphi(\eta_j^*\xi_j^\alpha) - \varphi(\eta_j^*\xi_j^\beta)|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\eta_j^*\xi_j^\alpha) - \varphi(\eta_j^*\xi_j^\beta)|^2 < \varepsilon,$$

and finally the limit as $k \to +\infty$.

Next, we want to study the restriction of τ to module \mathcal{A}^n seen as a submodule of $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of those x for which $\xi_j = 0$ for all j > n.

Proposition 3.3. a) On \mathcal{A}^n the weak PF and our topology coincide, i.e. we have $\tau_1|_{\mathcal{A}^n} = \tau|_{\mathcal{A}^n};$

b) The embedding $i: \mathcal{A}^n \to l^2(\mathcal{A}), \ i(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, 0, \ldots)$ is continuous with respect to $(\tau|_{\mathcal{A}^n}, \tau)$.

Proof. a) We already have $\tau_1 \subseteq \tau$. Let us prove the converse. An arbitrary seminorm of the form (3.1) restricted to \mathcal{A}^n has the form

(3.4)
$$p_{\varphi,y}(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2}.$$

Consider the vectors $y_j = (0, \ldots, 0, \eta_j, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where η_j is the *j*-th entry. Then

$$p_{\varphi,y}(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varphi(\langle y_j, x \rangle)|^2} \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\varphi(\langle y_j, x \rangle)|,$$

$$\Box$$

from which we conclude that $p_{\varphi,y}$ is continuous with respect to τ_1 ;

b) One can easily check that

$$i^{-1}(\{x \mid p_{\varphi,\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_n,\ldots}(x) < \varepsilon\}) = \{(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) \mid p_{\varphi,\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_n}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Proposition 3.4. The unit ball in \mathcal{A}^n is compact with respect to $\tau|_{\mathcal{A}^n}$. Since \mathcal{A}^n is self-dual, the unit ball is also complete and hence totally bounded.

Proof. In the case n = 1, both topologies τ and τ_1 are generated by seminorms $\xi \mapsto |\varphi(\eta^*\xi)|, \eta \in \mathcal{A}, \varphi$ normal state. It is easy to verify that these topologies are exactly the weak-* topology on \mathcal{A} . Therefore, in this special case the conclusion follows by Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

To obtain the result in general case, consider the product topology on $\mathcal{A}^n = \mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}$. Basic neighborhoods of zero have the form $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \mid \forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \mid \varphi_j(\eta_j^*\xi_j) \mid < \varepsilon_j\}$. Due to the inequalities

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)| \le \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|^2} \le \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} |\varphi(\eta_j^* \xi_j)|,$$

the topology τ is weaker than the product topology. Since the product of unit balls is compact in stronger, product topology, and since τ is Hausdorff, we conclude that τ coincides with the product topology on the product of unit balls.

Therefore, it remains to show that the unit ball in \mathcal{A}^n is closed in the product of n unit balls in \mathcal{A} , i.e. that its complement is open.

Let $z = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$, ||z|| > 1 be arbitrary. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a number less then $(||z||^2 - ||z||)/\sqrt{n}$, and let φ be the normal state that attains its norm at $\langle z, z \rangle = \zeta_1^* \zeta_1 + \cdots + \zeta_n^* \zeta_n$ up to $\varepsilon \sqrt{n}$, i.e. $\varphi(\langle z, z \rangle) > ||z||^2 - \varepsilon \sqrt{n}$. Consider the seminorm

$$p_{\varphi,z}(x) = \sqrt{|\varphi(\zeta_1^*\xi_1)|^2 + \dots + |\varphi(\zeta_n^*\xi_n)|^2}, \qquad x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n).$$

We claim that the open set

$$G = \{x \mid p_{\varphi,z}(x-z) < \varepsilon\}$$

does not intersect the unit ball B.

Indeed, let $x \in G$. Then by classic Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\varepsilon^{2} > p_{\varphi,z}(x-z)^{2} = |\varphi(\zeta_{1}^{*}\xi_{1}) - \varphi(\zeta_{1}^{*}\zeta_{1})|^{2} + \dots + |\varphi(\zeta_{n}^{*}\xi_{n}) - \varphi(\zeta_{n}^{*}\zeta_{n})|^{2} \ge \frac{1}{n}|\varphi(\zeta_{1}^{*}\xi_{1}) + \dots + \varphi(\zeta_{n}^{*}\xi_{n}) - \varphi(\zeta_{1}^{*}\zeta_{1}) - \dots - \varphi(\zeta_{n}^{*}\zeta_{n})|^{2},$$

or

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon\sqrt{n} &> |\varphi(\zeta_1^*\xi_1 + \dots + \zeta_n^*\xi_n) - ||z||^2 + \varepsilon\sqrt{n}| \ge \\ &\ge ||z||^2 - \varepsilon\sqrt{n} - |\varphi(\zeta_1^*\xi_1 + \dots + \zeta_n^*\xi_n)|, \end{split}$$

i.e.

(3.5)
$$||z||^2 - 2\varepsilon\sqrt{n} < |\varphi(\zeta_1^*\xi_1 + \dots + \zeta_n^*\xi_n)| = |\varphi(\langle z, x \rangle)|.$$

However, $\varphi(\langle z, x \rangle)$ is a semi-inner product and it satisfy Cauchy Schwartz inequality

(3.6)
$$|\varphi(\langle z, x \rangle)|^2 \le \varphi(\langle z, z \rangle)\varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) \le ||z||^2 ||x||^2.$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain

$$||z|| ||x|| > ||z||^2 - 2\varepsilon\sqrt{n},$$

and taking into account how ε is chosen, we have

$$||x|| > \frac{1}{||z||} (||z||^2 - 2\varepsilon\sqrt{n}) > 1.$$

Therefore, $x \notin B$, implying B is a closed set. The proof is complete.

Proposition 3.5. The unit ball in $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ is not totally bounded in τ .

Proof. Let $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots)$, where 1 the unit of the algebra \mathcal{A} stands at the *j*-th entry. Let φ be an arbitrary normal state and consider a seminorm $p = p_{\varphi,1,1,\ldots}$ given by $p(x)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\xi_j)|^2$.

We claim that the sequence e_j is totally discrete in p. Indeed $p(e_i - e_j)^2 = |\varphi(1)|^2 + |\varphi(-1)|^2 = 2$, i.e. $p(e_i - e_j) = \sqrt{2}$. Hence, the set $\{e_j \mid j \geq 1\}$ is not totally bounded in p and also in τ . The same is valid for a larger set - the unit ball.

4. "Compact" operators

Let $y, z \in l^2(\mathcal{A})$. The operator $l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A}), x \mapsto z \langle y, x \rangle$ is adjointable (its adjoint is $x \mapsto y \langle z, x \rangle$) and bounded. The closed linear hull of such operators is called the algebra of "compact" operators.

We say that the operator $T \in B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ is *compact* if its image of any (norm) bounded set is a totally bounded set in topology τ described in the previous section. For the operator $T \in B^a(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$ it is enough to maps the unit ball into a totally bounded set to be a compact operator.

Remark 4.1. Totally bounded and relatively compact sets differ in general case (whenever the unit ball is not complete). Also, throughout the literature, there is a certain ambiguity between terms *completely continuous*, *compact* and *precompact* operators. Although it seems that terms *completely continuous* and *precompact* are more accurate, we found that *compact* is more convenient for our purpose.

Before we prove that any "compact" operator is compact, we need a few lemmata.

Lemma 4.1. For $S, T \subseteq l^2(\mathcal{A})$ and a seminorm p denote

$$d_p(S,T) = \sup_{x \in S} \inf_{y \in T} p(x-y)$$

(and note that d_p is not symmetric). Let $S \subseteq l^2(\mathcal{A})$. If for all seminorms p of the form (3.1) and all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a totally bounded set $S_{p,\varepsilon}$ such that

$$(4.1) d(S, S_{p,\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon.$$

then S is also totally bounded.

Proof. Denote

$$B_p(x;\varepsilon) = \{ y \in l^2(\mathcal{A}) \mid p(x-y) < \varepsilon \}.$$

The condition (4.1) gives

(4.2)
$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in S_{p,\varepsilon}} B_p(x; \varepsilon/2),$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The set $S_{p,\varepsilon/2}$ is totally bounded in p and hence there is a finite set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_m\}$ such that the union of balls $B_p(c_j; \varepsilon/2)$ covers $S_{\varepsilon/2}$. By (4.2) the union of balls $B_p(c_j; \varepsilon)$ covers S.

Lemma 4.2. Let $T_{\alpha} : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a net of compact operators such that $T_{\alpha}x \to Tx$ in τ uniformly with respect to ||x|| < 1. Then T is also compact.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any seminorm p of the form (3.1) there is α such that $\sup_{||x|| \le 1} p(Tx - T_{\alpha}x) < \varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$d_p(T(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1)), T_\alpha(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1))) \le \varepsilon$$

and the conclusion follows from the previous Lemma.

Corollary 4.3. Let $S \subseteq l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a set such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a totally bounded (in τ) set S_{ε} such that

(4.3)
$$d(S, S_{\varepsilon}) = \sup_{x \in S} \inf_{y \in S_{\varepsilon}} ||x - y|| < \varepsilon$$

Then S is also totally bounded in τ .

Also, let $T_n : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a sequence of compact operators that converges to T in the operator norm. Then T is also compact.

Proof. Both conclusions follows from the fact that τ is coarser then the norm topology.

Lemma 4.4. Let T_1 and T_2 be compact operators, and let $u_1, u_2 \in A$. Then $T_1u_1 + T_2u_2$ is also compact.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since T_1 and T_2 are compact there is a finite $\varepsilon/2||u_1||$ net for $T_1(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1))$, say c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n , and a finite $\varepsilon/2||u_2||$ net for $T_2(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1))$, say d_1, \ldots, d_m . Then the set $\{c_iu_1 + d_ju_2 \mid 1 \le i \le i, 1 \le j \le m\}$ is a finite ε net for $(T_1u_1 + T_2u_2)(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1))$. Indeed, if $x \in B_{||\cdot||}(0;1)$, then there is i and j such that $||T_1x - c_i|| < \varepsilon/2||u_1||$ and $||T_2x - d_j|| < \varepsilon/2||u_2||$. Hence

$$||(T_1xu_1 + T_2xu_2) - (c_iu_1 + d_ju_2)|| \le ||T_1x - c_i|| ||u_1|| + ||T_2x - d_j|| ||u_2|| < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $T : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a "compact" operator. Then T is compact. Proof. In view od Lemmata 4.2 and 4.4, it is enough to prove that operators of the

Proof. In view of Lemmata 4.2 and 4.4, it is enough to prove that operators of the form $x \mapsto \Theta_{y,z}(x) = z \langle y, x \rangle$ are compact.

In the special case, where $z = e_j \zeta$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$ it immediately follows from Proposition 3.4. Indeed, then $\Theta_{y,e_j\zeta}(B_{||\cdot||}(0;1))$ is contained in the ball of radius ||T|| in \mathcal{A}^1 which is totally bounded.

In general case, let $z = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ...)$. Then $z = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} e_j \zeta_j$ where the series converges in the norm. Since $||\Theta_{y,z} - \Theta_{y,z'}|| \le ||y|| ||z - z'||$, we have

$$\Theta_{y,z} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Theta_{y,e_j\zeta_j}$$

and the required follows from the special case and Lemmata 4.2 and 4.4.

The converse is true in the special case where $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$ is the full algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. Before we prove such result we need a technical Lemma.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$ and let $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$, $j \geq 1$ be positive elements with $||a_j|| > \delta$. There is a normal state φ and unitary elements u_j , $v_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\varphi(v_j^*a_ju_j)| > \delta$.

Remark 4.2. Actually, we can choose φ to be a vector state, and also we can choose $u_j = v_j$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in H$ be a unit vector, and let φ be the corresponding vector state, i.e. $\varphi(a) = \langle a\psi, \psi \rangle$. For all a_j let h_j be a unit vector such that $\langle a_j h_j, h_j \rangle > \delta$. As it is easy to see, there is a unitary u_j such that $u_j \psi = h_j$. Thus, we have $\varphi(u_j^* a_j u_j) = \langle u_j^* a_j u_j \psi, \psi \rangle = \langle a_j h_j, h_j \rangle > \delta$.

Theorem 4.7. Let $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$ and let $T : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be a compact operator. Then T is "compact".

Proof. Let P_k denote the projection to the first k coordinates, i.e. $P_k(\xi_1, \xi_2, ...) = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_k, 0, 0, ...)$. It is well known that all P_k are "compact".

Suppose T is not "compact". Then

$$\delta = \inf_{n \ge 1} ||(I - P_k)T|| > 0.$$

Indeed, otherwise either for some k we have $(I - P_k)T = 0$ and hence $T = P_kT$ is "compact", or there is a sequence of positive integers k_n such that $||T - P_{k_n}T|| \to 0$ from which it follows that T is "compact".

To simplify the calculations assume ||T|| = 1. Then immediately, $\delta \leq 1$.

Define the sequence of projections $Q_n \in \{P_1, P_2, ...\}$ and the sequences of vectors x_n , y_n and $z_n \in l^2(\mathcal{A})$ in the following way. Let $Q_0 = 0$. If Q_{n-1} is already defined, there is $x_n \in l^2(\mathcal{A})$ such that $||x_n|| = 1$ and $||(I - Q_{n-1})Tx_n|| > \delta/2$. Denote $y_n = Tx_n$. Then, by $||I - Q_{n-1}|| = 1$,

$$||y_n|| \ge ||(I - Q_{n-1})y_n|| > \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Since $\lim_{k\to+\infty} ||(I-P_k)(I-Q_{n-1})y_n|| = 0$, there is a positive integer k_n such that $||(I-P_{k_n})(I-Q_{n-1})y_n|| < \delta^2/8 \le \delta/8$. Define $Q_n = P_{k_n}$ and

(4.4)
$$z_n = Q_n (I - Q_{n-1}) y_n.$$

The sequences y_n and z_n have the following properties: Firstly, by definition, there hold the inequalities

(4.5)
$$||(I-Q_n)(I-Q_{n-1})y_n|| < \frac{\delta^2}{8} \le \frac{\delta}{8},$$

(4.6)
$$||z_n|| \le ||y_n|| \le ||T|| \, ||x_n|| = 1,$$

(4.7)
$$||z_n|| \ge ||(I - Q_{n-1})y_n|| - ||(I - Q_n)(I - Q_{n-1})y_n|| > \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{\delta}{8} = \frac{3\delta}{8}.$$

Secondly,

(4.8)
$$\langle z_n, y_n \rangle = \langle z_n, z_n \rangle$$

Indeed, since $z_n = Q_n(I - Q_{n-1})y_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle Q_n (I - Q_{n-1}) y_n, y_n \rangle = \\ &= \langle Q_n (I - Q_{n-1}) y_n, (I - Q_{n-1}) Q_n y_n \rangle = \langle z_n, z_n \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, for m > n we have

$$(4.9) || \langle z_m, y_n \rangle || < \frac{\delta}{8}$$

Indeed, for such m and n we have $Q_{n-1} \leq Q_n \leq Q_{m-1}$, i.e. $I - Q_{m-1} \leq I - Q_n \leq I - Q_{n-1}$, implying $I - Q_{m-1} = (I - Q_{m-1})(I - Q_n)(I - Q_{n-1})$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_m, y_n \rangle &= \langle (I - Q_{m-1}) z_m, y_n \rangle = \\ &= \langle z_m, (I - Q_{m-1}) (I - Q_n) (I - Q_{n-1}) y_n \rangle = \\ &= \langle z_m, (I - Q_n) (I - Q_{n-1}) y_n \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.5) and (4.7)

$$||\langle z_m, y_n \rangle|| \le ||z_m|| ||(I - Q_n)(I - Q_{n-1})y_n|| \le \frac{\delta^2}{8}.$$

Let us construct a seminorm p, continuous in τ , and a totally discrete sequence from $T(\overline{B_{||\cdot||}(0;1)})$. Since by (4.7) $||z_n||^2 = ||v_n^* \langle z_n, z_n \rangle \nu_n|| > (3\delta/8)^2$, we can choose φ and $v_j, \nu_j \in \mathcal{A}$ according to Lemma 4.6, such that

(4.10)
$$\varphi(v_n^* \langle z_n, z_n \rangle \nu_n) > \frac{9\delta^2}{64}.$$

Consider the seminorm p given by

$$p(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\langle z_j \upsilon_j, x \rangle)|^2}$$

By (4.4) there is a sequence $\zeta_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$z_k = (0, \dots, 0, \zeta_{k_{n-1}+1}, \dots, \zeta_{k_n}, 0, \dots).$$

Define $\omega_n = \zeta_n v_n / \varphi(v_n^* \zeta_n^* \zeta_n v_n)^{1/2}$. Obviously $\varphi(\omega_n^* \omega_n) = 1$. Also, for $x = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ we have

$$|\varphi(\langle z_n v_n, x \rangle)|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \varphi(v_n^* \zeta_j^* \zeta_j v_n)^{1/2} \varphi(\omega_j^* \xi_j) \right|^2 \le \\ \le \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \varphi(v_n \zeta_j^* \zeta_j v_n) \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} |\varphi(\omega_j^* \xi_j)|^2 = \\ = \varphi(v_n^* \langle z_n, z_n \rangle v_n) \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} |\varphi(\omega_j^* \xi_j)|^2$$

Including (4.6) we obtain $\varphi(v_n^* \langle z_n, z_n \rangle v_n) \leq ||v_n^* \langle z_n, z_n \rangle v_n|| = ||z_n||^2 \leq 1$ and hence

$$p(x)^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\langle z_{n}, x \rangle)|^{2} \le \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\omega_{j}^{*}\xi_{j})|^{2} = p_{\varphi,\omega_{1},\dots,\omega_{n},\dots}(x)^{2}.$$

Thus, we conclude that p is well defined and also that it is continuous with respect to τ .

Also, $||x_n\nu_n|| = ||x_n||$, i.e. $y_n\nu_n = Tx_n\nu_n \in T(\overline{B(0;1)})$. Finally we shall prove that $y_n\nu_n$ is a totally discrete sequence. Indeed, for m > n we have

$$p(y_m\nu_m - y_n\nu_n) \ge |\varphi(\langle z_m\nu_m, y_m\nu_m - y_n\nu_n\rangle)| \ge \\ \ge |\varphi(v_m^* \langle z_m, y_m \rangle \nu_m)| - |\varphi(v_m^* \langle z_m, y_n \rangle \nu_n)|.$$

However, by (4.8) and (4.10),

$$\varphi(\upsilon_m \langle z_m, z_m \rangle \, \nu_m)| > \frac{9\delta^2}{64}$$

and, by (4.9)

$$|\varphi(v_m^*\langle z_m, y_n\rangle\,\nu_n)| \le ||\langle z_m, y_n\rangle\,|| < \frac{\delta^2}{8}.$$

Therefore

$$p(y_m\nu_m - y_n\nu_n) > \frac{9\delta^2}{64} - \frac{\delta^2}{8} = \frac{\delta^2}{64}.$$

5. An example and a comment

The proof of Theorem 4.7 depends on Lemma 4.6. Hence it is valid for all unital W^* -algebras that satisfy the mentioned Lemma. We do not know how to describe such algebras, but it should be mentioned that Lemma 4.6 does not hold for infinite dimensional commutative W^* -algebras.

Example 5.1. In any infinite dimensional commutative W^* algebra \mathcal{A} , there is a sequence p_j of nontrivial mutually orthogonal projections. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$ is an increasing sequence, $p = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} p_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore, for an arbitrary normal state φ the series $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \varphi(p_j)$ is convergent. The algebra is commutative, and for all unitary v_j , ν_j we have

$$|\varphi(v_j p_j \nu_j)| = |\varphi(p_j v_j \nu_j)| \le \varphi(p_j)^{1/2} \varphi(\nu_j^* v_j^* v_j \nu_j)^{1/2} \to 0.$$

Thus, Lemma 4.6 is not valid for commutative W^* algebras.

Moreover, we can use this sequence of projection to construct an operator which is compact but is not "compact". Indeed, let $T : l^2(\mathcal{A}) \to l^2(\mathcal{A})$ be the operator defined by

(5.1)
$$Tx = T(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) = (p_1\xi_1, p_2\xi_2, \dots).$$

Then, T is not "compact". Indeed, if it is "compact", for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an operator S of the form $S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \Theta_{y_j, z_j}$ such that $||T - S|| < \varepsilon/3$. Since $P_k z_j - z_j \to 0$, as $k \to +\infty$ for all $1 \le j \le n$ implies $||P_k S - S|| \to 0$, there is k large enough such that $||P_k S - S|| < \varepsilon/3$ and then $||T - P_k T|| \le ||T - S|| + ||S - P_k S|| + ||P_k(S - T)|| < \varepsilon$. However, as it is easy to see $||T - P_k T|| \ge ||Te_k - P_k Te_k|| = ||1 - p_k|| = 1$.

On the other hand, for an arbitrary semi norm of the form (3.1) we have $p((T - P_kT)x) \to 0$, uniformly with respect to $x \in B_{||\cdot||}(0,1)$. Indeed, \mathcal{A} is commutative and therefore $\xi_j^*\xi_j\eta_j^*\eta_j \leq ||\xi_j||^2\eta_j^*\eta_j$, and further $\varphi(\xi_j^*\xi_j\eta_j^*\eta_j) \leq ||\xi_j||^2 \sup_j \varphi(\eta_j^*\eta_j) \leq 1$, by ||x|| < 1 and (3.2). Thus, we have

$$p((T - P_k T)x)^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\eta_j^* p_j \xi_j)|^2 \le \sum_{j>k} \varphi(p_j)\varphi(\xi_j^* \xi_j \eta_j^* \eta_j) \le \sum_{j>k} \varphi(p_j) \to 0.$$

Hence, T is compact by Lemma 4.2

Remark 5.1. Topology τ defined in this note highly depends on coordinates, and therefore it is inappropriate for Hilbert modules other then $l^2(\mathcal{A})$. One might try to define a topology by semi norms

(5.2)
$$p_{\varphi,z_j}(x) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\langle z_j, x \rangle)|^2},$$

where φ is a normal state, and z_j is an orthogonal sequence, that satisfies $\sup_{j\geq 1} \varphi(\langle z_j, z_j \rangle) =$ 1. These semi norms are generalization of those given by (3.1). Indeed, semi norm (5.2) become semi norms (3.1) by choosing $z_j = e_j \eta_j$.

However, such new topology is in the case of $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ larger then τ , even if we suppose that z_j is even more orthonormal. Namely, if $\mathcal{A} = B(H)$, H infinite dimensional, there is a Cuntz ∞ -tuple, i.e. a sequence of isometries v_j satisfying $v_j^* v_j = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} v_j v_j^* = 1$. Then, it is easy to see that $x_j = (v_j, 0, 0, ...)$ is orthonormal. But, in the semi norm p_{φ, x_j} of the form (5.2) the sequence x_j itself is totally discrete.

Acknowledgement. The authors was supported in part by the Ministry of education and science, Republic of Serbia, Grant #174034.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, General Topology, chapters 1-4, Springer, 1987, ISBN-13: 978-3-540-64241-1
- [2] M. Frank, Self-duality and C*-reflexivity of Hilbert C*-modules, Z Anal. Anwendungen, 9 (1990), 165–176
- [3] J. L. Kelley General Topology D. Van Nostrand Company Inc. 1955
- [4] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C*-Modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists, Cambridge University Press, 1995
- [5] V. M. Manuilov, Diagonalization of compact operators in Hilbert modules over finite W^{*}algebras, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 13-3 (1995), 207–226.
- [6] V. M. Manuilov, Diagonalization of compact operators on Hilbert modules over C*-algebras of real rank zero, Math. Notes, 62-6 (1997), 726–730.
- [7] V. M. Manuilov, E. V. Troitsky, Hilbert C*-Modules, American Mathematical Society, 2005
- [8] W. L. Paschke, Inner product modules over B*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 182 (1973), 443–468
- W. L. Paschke, Inner product modules arising from compact automorphism groups of von Neumann algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 224 (1976), 87–102

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16-18, 11000 Beograd, Serbia

E-mail address: keckic@matf.bg.ac.rs

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16-18, 11000 Beograd, Serbia

E-mail address: zlatkol@matf.bg.ac.rs