

GENERALISED QUADRATIC FORMS AND THE u -INVARIANT

ANDREW DOLPHIN

ABSTRACT. The u -invariant of a field is the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic quadratic forms over the field. We define corresponding u -invariants for hermitian and generalised quadratic forms over a division algebra with involution in characteristic 2 and investigate the relationships between them. We investigate these invariants in particular in the case of a quaternion algebra and further when this quaternion algebra is the unique quaternion division algebra over a field.

1. INTRODUCTION

The u -invariant is a classical invariant of a field. For a field F , the invariant $u(F)$ is defined as the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic quadratic forms over F . The u -invariant is a much studied topic, and results include the celebrated proof of Merkurjev in [21] that for every even number there exists a field with u -invariant of that value, disproving a long standing conjecture of Kaplansky that the u -invariant must be a 2-power or infinite. See [13] for a survey of this and related questions.

The u -invariants of fields of characteristic 2 have also been studied. It was noted in [2] that it is convenient to consider two different u -invariants, one related to anisotropic quadratic forms in general, and one related to the anisotropy of nonsingular quadratic forms. In characteristic different from 2 these invariants are equal, as any singular quadratic forms over such fields are isotropic. In characteristic 2, however, they can hold very different values, see for example [20]. In characteristic 2, one can also consider similar invariants related to the anisotropy of symmetric bilinear forms, rather than quadratic forms. Again, this invariant is equal to the usual u -invariant for nonsingular quadratic forms in characteristic different from 2, as here symmetric bilinear forms and quadratic forms are equivalent objects.

In [19] a hermitian version of the u -invariant was introduced in characteristic different from 2. If (D, θ) is a division algebra over F with involution θ , then $u(D, \theta)$ is the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic hermitian forms over (D, θ) . This definition can also be applied in characteristic 2. However, as for fields, there is a large variety of invariants analogous to this u -invariant to investigate in characteristic 2. These include other invariants related to hermitian forms, but also invariants related to generalised quadratic forms. Generalised quadratic forms (also known as pseudo-quadratic forms) are an extension of the concept of quadratic forms over a field to the setting of central simple division algebras with involution, first introduced in [29]. They generalise quadratic forms to this setting in an analogous manner to the generalisation of symmetric bilinear forms to hermitian forms (see

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E04, 11E39, 11E81, 12F05, 19G12. *Key words and phrases.* Central simple algebras, involutions, generalised quadratic forms, hermitian forms, u -invariant, characteristic two, quaternion algebras.

Section 3). In particular, they are used to study twisted orthogonal groups in characteristic 2 much as hermitian forms are used to study twisted orthogonal groups in characteristic different from 2. So far the study of generalised quadratic forms has been largely restricted to nonsingular quadratic forms, with singular forms mainly only being considered over fields (see, for example, [15]). Here we study u -invariants related both to nonsingular generalised quadratic forms as well as to forms that may be singular, following on from work begun in [9]. It is also convenient to consider u -invariants related to hermitian forms of specific types, specifically alternating and direct hermitian forms (the latter were introduced in [6]).

After some general preliminary results, we introduce various invariants for generalised quadratic and hermitian forms in characteristic 2 in Section 4 and investigate their properties and interrelations. In Section 5 we calculate our u -invariant related to direct hermitian forms for division algebras that are a tensor product of quaternion algebras, generalising a result for symmetric bilinear forms over fields (see Remark 4.5). We consider the values of our invariants for F -quaternion algebras in Section 6 and determine them when there is a unique square class in F . We further investigate our invariants in the case where the given quaternion algebra is the unique division algebra over a field in Section 7. We aim to give bounds on our invariants in terms of the degree of the field extension F/F^2 (cf. [2, (1.2)]).

While our definitions for the invariants we study are still valid with no assumption on the characteristic of the underlying field, we always assume that we are in characteristic 2. This is mainly for clarity's sake, as many of the different u -invariants are equivalent in characteristic different from 2 and yet can have wildly different values in characteristic 2. Moreover several of our main results are substantially different from their general characteristic different from 2 analogues (see for example Remark 4.10).

2. ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTION

For two objects α and β in a certain category, we write $\alpha \simeq \beta$ to indicate that they are isomorphic, i.e. that there exists an isomorphism between them. This applies to algebras with involution, but also to quadratic and hermitian forms, where the corresponding isomorphisms are called isometries.

Throughout let F be a field of characteristic 2. We refer to [23] as a general reference on finite-dimensional algebras over fields, and for central simple algebras in particular, and to [18] for involutions. Let A be an (associative) F -algebra. We denote the group of invertible elements in A by A^\times . If $A^\times = A \setminus \{0\}$ we say that A is *division*. We denote the centre of A by $Z(A)$. For a field extension K/F , the K -algebra $A \otimes_F K$ is denoted by A_K . An F -*involution on A* is an F -linear map $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(y)\sigma(x)$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{id}_A$.

Assume now that A is finite-dimensional and simple (i.e. it has no non-trivial two-sided ideals). By Wedderburn's Theorem (see [18, (1.1)]), $A \simeq \text{End}_D(V)$ for a finite-dimensional F -division algebra D and a finite-dimensional right D -vector space V . The centre of A is a field and $\dim_{Z(A)}(A)$ is a square number, whose positive square root is called the *degree of A* and is denoted $\text{deg}(A)$. The degree of D is called the *index of A* and denoted $\text{ind}(A)$. We call A *split* if $\text{ind}(A) = 1$, that is $A \simeq \text{End}_F(V)$ for some finite-dimensional right F -vector space V . The *coindex of A* is $\text{deg}(A)/\text{ind}(A)$ and is denoted $\text{coind}(A)$. If $Z(A) = F$, then we call the F -algebra A *central simple*. Two central simple F -algebras A and A' are

called *Brauer equivalent* if A and A' are isomorphic to endomorphism algebras of two right vector spaces over the same F -division algebra. In this case we write $A \sim_B A'$.

Let Ω be an algebraic closure of F . By Wedderburn's Theorem, under scalar extension to Ω every central simple F -algebra of degree n becomes isomorphic to $M_n(\Omega)$, the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over Ω . Therefore if A is a central simple F -algebra we may fix an F -algebra embedding $A \rightarrow M_n(\Omega)$ and view every element $a \in A$ as a matrix in $M_n(\Omega)$. The characteristic polynomial of this matrix has coefficients in F and is independent of the embedding of A into $M_n(\Omega)$ (see [23, §16.1]). We call this polynomial the *reduced characteristic polynomial of A* and denote it by $\text{Prd}_{A,a} = X^n - s_1(a)X^{n-1} + s_2(a)X^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n s_n(a)$. We call $s_1(a)$ the *reduced trace of a* and $s_n(a)$ the *reduced norm of a* and denote them by $\text{Trd}_A(a)$ and $\text{Nrd}_A(a)$ respectively. We also denote $s_2(a)$ by $\text{Srd}_A(a)$.

By an *F -algebra with involution* we mean a pair (A, σ) of a finite-dimensional central simple F -algebra A and an F -involution σ on A (note that we only consider involutions that are linear with respect to the centre of A , that is involutions of the first kind, here. See Remark 3.3). We use the following notation:

$$\text{Sym}(A, \sigma) = \{a \in A \mid \sigma(a) = a\} \text{ and } \text{Alt}(A, \sigma) = \{a - \sigma(a) \mid a \in A\}.$$

These are F -linear subspaces of A . For a field extension K/F we write $(A, \sigma)_K = (A \otimes_F K, \sigma \otimes \text{id})$. We call (A, σ) *isotropic* if there exists an element $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sigma(a)a = 0$, and *anisotropic* otherwise. In particular if A is division, then (A, σ) is anisotropic. We call (A, σ) *direct* if for $a \in A$, $\sigma(a)a \in \text{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ implies $a = 0$. Note that direct F -algebras with involution are always anisotropic as $0 \in \text{Alt}(A, \sigma)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([6, (9.3)]). *Let (A, σ) be an F -algebra with involution and let K/F be a separable algebraic field extension such that A_K is split. Then (A, σ) is direct if and only if $(A, \sigma)_K$ is anisotropic.*

Let (A, σ) and (B, τ) be F -algebras with involution. By a *homomorphism of algebras with involution* $\Phi : (A, \sigma) \rightarrow (B, \tau)$ we mean an F -algebra homomorphism $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ satisfying $\Phi \circ \sigma = \tau \circ \Phi$. If there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : (A, \sigma) \rightarrow (B, \tau)$, then we say the F -algebras with involution (A, σ) and (B, τ) are *isomorphic*. Letting $(\sigma \otimes \tau)(a \otimes b) = \sigma(a) \otimes \tau(b)$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ determines an F -involution $\sigma \otimes \tau$ on the F -algebra $A \otimes_F B$. We denote the pair $(A \otimes_F B, \sigma \otimes \tau)$ by $(A, \sigma) \otimes (B, \tau)$.

3. QUADRATIC AND HERMITIAN FORMS

In this section we recall the basic terminology and results we use from hermitian and quadratic form theory. We refer to [17, Chapter 1] as a general reference on hermitian and quadratic forms.

Let (D, θ) be an F -division algebra. A *hermitian form over (D, θ)* is a pair (V, h) where V is a finite-dimensional right D -vector space and h is a bi-additive map $h : V \times V \rightarrow D$ such that $h(xd_1, yd_2) = \theta(d_1)h(x, y)d_2$ and $h(y, x) = \theta(h(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in V$ and $d_1, d_2 \in D$. The *radical of (V, h)* is the set

$$\text{rad}(V, h) = \{x \in V \mid h(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in V\}.$$

We say that (V, h) is *degenerate* if $\text{rad}(V, h) \neq \{0\}$ and *nondegenerate* otherwise.

Let $\varphi = (V, h)$ be a hermitian form over (D, θ) . We call $\dim_D(V)$ the *dimension of φ* and denote it by $\dim_D(\varphi)$. We say φ *represents an element $a \in D$* if $h(x, x) = a$ for some $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. We call φ *isotropic* if it represents 0 and *anisotropic* otherwise.

We say that φ is *alternating* if $h(x, x) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x \in V$ and *direct* if $h(x, x) \notin \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Note that direct hermitian forms are always anisotropic. For $u \in D^\times$ we write uh for the map $(x, y) \mapsto uh(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and if $u \in F^\times$ we write $u\varphi$ for the hermitian form (V, uh) over (D, θ) .

Let $\varphi_1 = (V, h_1)$ and $\varphi_2 = (W, h_2)$ be hermitian forms over (D, θ) . By an *isometry of hermitian forms* $\phi : \varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2$ we mean an isomorphism of D -vector spaces $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ such that $h_1(x, y) = h_2(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ for all $x, y \in V$. We say that φ_1 and φ_2 are *similar* if $\varphi_1 \simeq c\varphi_2$ for some $c \in F^\times$. The *orthogonal sum* of φ_1 and φ_2 is defined to be the pair $(V \times W, h)$ where the F -linear map $h : (V \times W) \times (V \times W) \rightarrow D$ is given by $h((v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2)) = h_1(v_1, v_2) + h_2(w_1, w_2)$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$; we denote it by $\varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2$.

Proposition 3.1 ([6, (5.6)]). *Let φ and ψ be anisotropic hermitian forms over (D, θ) such that φ is direct and ψ is alternating. Then $\varphi \perp \psi$ is anisotropic.*

For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in D \cap \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$, we denote by $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$ the hermitian form (D^n, h) over (D, θ) where $h : D^n \times D^n \rightarrow D$ is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) a_i y_i.$$

A *symmetric bilinear form over F* is a hermitian form over (F, id) . Let $\varphi = (V, b)$ be a symmetric bilinear form over F and $\psi = (W, h)$ be a hermitian form over (D, θ) . Then $V \otimes_F W$ is a finite dimensional right D -vector space. Further, there is a unique F -bilinear map $b \otimes h : (V \otimes_F W) \times (V \otimes_F W) \rightarrow F$ such that $(b \otimes h)((v_1 \otimes w_1), (v_2 \otimes w_2)) = b(v_1, v_2) \cdot h(w_1, w_2)$ for all $w_1, w_2 \in W, v_1, v_2 \in V$. We call the hermitian form $(V \otimes_F W, b \otimes h)$ over (D, θ) the *tensor product of φ and ψ* , and denote it by $\varphi \otimes \psi$ (cf. [17, Chapter 1, §8]). Let K/F be a field extension. Then we write $(V, b)_K = (V \otimes_F K, b_K)$ where b_K is given by $b_K(v \otimes k, w \otimes k') = kk' b(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$ and $k, k' \in K$. For a positive integer m , by an *m -fold bilinear Pfister form over F* we mean a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form over F that is isometric to

$$\langle 1, a_1 \rangle_{(F, \text{id})}^h \otimes \dots \otimes \langle 1, a_m \rangle_{(F, \text{id})}^h$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F^\times$ and we denote this form by $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle\rangle$. We call $\langle 1 \rangle_{(F, \text{id})}^h$ the *0-fold bilinear Pfister form*.

Let (V, h) be a nondegenerate hermitian form over (D, θ) . By [18, (4.1)], there is a unique F -involution σ on $\text{End}_D(V)$ such that

$$h(f(x), y) = h(x, \sigma(f)(y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in V \text{ and } f \in \text{End}_D(V).$$

We call σ the *involution adjoint to h* and denote it by ad_h , and we write $\text{Ad}(V, h) = (\text{End}_D(V), \text{ad}_h)$. By [18, (4.2)], for any F -algebra with involution (A, σ) such that $A \sim_B D$, there exists an F -division algebra with involution (D, θ') and a hermitian form φ over (D, θ') such that $\text{Ad}(\varphi) \simeq (A, \sigma)$. The hermitian form φ over (D, θ') is uniquely determined up to similarity. Let L be a splitting field of the F -algebra A . An involution (A, σ) is said to be *symplectic* if $(A, \sigma)_L \simeq \text{Ad}(\psi)$ for some alternating bilinear form ψ over L , and *orthogonal* otherwise. This definition is independent of the choice of the splitting field L (see [18, Section 2.A]).

Proposition 3.2. *Let φ be a nondegenerate hermitian form over (D, θ) .*

(a) *$\text{Ad}(\varphi)$ is isotropic if and only if φ is isotropic.*

- (b) $\text{Ad}(\varphi)$ is symplectic if and only if φ is alternating. Otherwise $\text{Ad}(\varphi)$ is orthogonal. In particular direct involutions are orthogonal.
- (c) $\text{Ad}(\varphi)$ is direct if and only if φ is direct.
- (d) For any nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form ψ over F we have $\text{Ad}(\psi \otimes \varphi) \simeq \text{Ad}(\psi) \otimes \text{Ad}(\varphi)$.

Proof. For (a) see [3, (3.2)] for the case where $(D, \theta) = (F, \text{id})$. The more general case is similar. For (b), see [18, (4.2)]. For (c) see [6, (7.3)]. For (d) see [8, (3.4)]. \square

A *quadratic form over (D, θ)* is a pair (V, q) where V is a finite-dimensional right D -vector space and q is a map $q : V \rightarrow D/\text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ subject to the following conditions:

- (a) $q(xd) = \theta(d)q(x)d$ for all $x \in V$ and $d \in D$,
- (b) $q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y) = h(x, y) + \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and a hermitian form (V, h) over (D, θ) .

In this case the hermitian form (V, h) is uniquely determined (see [11, (1.1)]) and we call it the *polar form of (V, q)* . Note that it follows from (b) that $h(x, x) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x \in V$, hence the polar form of any quadratic form over (D, θ) is alternating. We call (V, q) *nonsingular* if its polar form is nondegenerate and *singular* otherwise. If the polar form of (V, q) is identically zero we call (V, q) *totally singular*. We call $\dim_D(V)$ the *dimension of (V, q)* and denote it by $\dim_D(V, q)$. We say that (V, q) *represents* an element $a \in D$ if $q(x) = a + \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for some $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. We call (V, q) *isotropic* if it represents 0 and *anisotropic* otherwise.

Remark 3.3. Quadratic and hermitian forms can be similarly defined if the characteristic of the base field is different from 2 or if the involution is not linear with respect to the centre of the division algebra (i.e. the involution is of the second kind). In this case, a quadratic form is uniquely determined by its polar hermitian form, and these two objects are essentially equivalent (see [17, Chapter 1, (6.6.1)]).

Let $\rho_1 = (V, q_1)$ and $\rho_2 = (W, q_2)$ be quadratic forms over (D, θ) . By an *isometry of quadratic forms* $\phi : \rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_2$ we mean an isomorphism of D -vector spaces $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ such that $q_1(x) = q_2(\phi(x)) + \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x \in V$. The *orthogonal sum of ρ_1 and ρ_2* is defined to be pair $(V \times W, q)$ where the map $q : (V \times W) \rightarrow D/\text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ is given by $q((v, w)) = q_1(v) + q_2(w)$ for all $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, and we write $(V \times W, q) = \rho_1 \perp \rho_2$.

Remark 3.4. In the following we refer to [17, Chapter 1] and [9] for results on quadratic forms over a division algebra with involution. Both of these references deal with the slightly more general notion of a unitary space. A quadratic form over (D, θ) in our sense is a (λ, Λ) -unitary space over (D, θ) with $\lambda = 1$ and form parameter $\Lambda = \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ (see [17, Chapter 1, §5] or [9, §4]).

Let ρ be a quadratic form over (D, θ) . By [9, (9.2)] there exists quadratic forms ρ_1 and ρ_2 over (D, θ) with ρ_1 nonsingular and ρ_2 totally singular such that $\rho \simeq \rho_1 \perp \rho_2$. Further, the natural numbers $n = \dim_D(\rho_1)$ and $m = \dim_D(\rho_2)$ are determined by ρ . In this situation we say that ρ is of *type (n, m)* .[†]

[†]Our notion of type is slightly different than that used for fields in, for example, [15]. In the case of a quadratic form over (F, id) , the nonsingular part of the quadratic form is always even dimensional, and in the above situation [15] would write that ρ is of type $(\frac{1}{2}n, m)$. Since we work over division algebras that are not necessarily fields, we may have odd dimensional nonsingular quadratic forms.

For $a_1, \dots, a_n \in D \setminus \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$, we denote by $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$ the quadratic form (D^n, q) over (D, θ) where $q : D^n \rightarrow D$ is given by

$$x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + \text{Alt}(D, \theta).$$

We drop the algebra with involution (D, θ) from the notation when it is clear from context. We call such a form a *diagonal form*. We call a quadratic form *diagonalisable* if it is isometric to a diagonal form.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume D is not a field. Then every quadratic form over (D, θ) is diagonalisable. Further, for $a, a_1, \dots, a_n \in D \setminus \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ we have*

- (a) $\langle a + d \rangle_{(D, \theta)} \simeq \langle a \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$ for all $d \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$.
- (b) $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$ is nonsingular if and only if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in D \setminus \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$.
- (c) $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$ is totally singular if and only if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$.

Proof. Every quadratic form over (D, θ) is diagonalisable by [9, (6.3)]. For all $x \in D$ and $d \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ we have $\theta(x)dx \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$. Hence $\theta(x)(a + d)x = \theta(x)ax + \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$. Statement (a) follows. Statements (b) and (c) follow from [9, (7.5)] as the orthogonal sum of nonsingular (resp. totally singular) forms is nonsingular (resp. totally singular). \square

Let $\varphi = (V, h)$ be a hermitian form over (D, θ) . Then the pair (V, q_h) where $q_h : D \rightarrow D/\text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ is the map given by $q_h(x) = h(x, x) + \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ for all $x \in V$ is quadratic form over (D, θ) . We call (V, q_h) the *quadratic form associated to φ* . For all $x, y \in V$ we have

$$q_h(x + y) - q_h(x) - q_h(y) = h(x, y) + \theta(h(x, y)) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta).$$

Hence the polar form of (V, q_h) is identically zero. That is, (V, q_h) is totally singular.

Proposition 3.6. *Let φ be a hermitian form over (D, θ) and let ρ be its associated quadratic form. Then φ is direct if and only if ρ is anisotropic. Moreover, any anisotropic totally singular quadratic form over (D, θ) is the associated quadratic form to some direct hermitian form over (D, θ)*

Proof. Let $\varphi = (V, h)$ and $\rho = (V, q_h)$. Then φ is not direct if and only if there exists an $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ such that $h(x, x) = q_h(x) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$. This shows the first statement. Let ρ' be an anisotropic totally singular quadratic form over (D, θ) . Then by Lemma 3.5 there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\rho' \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$. Let $\varphi \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$. Then the quadratic form associated to φ is ρ' and in particular φ is direct by the first part of the proof. \square

For $u \in D^\times$, let $\text{Int}(u)$ denote the inner automorphism determined by u , that is the F -linear map $D \rightarrow D$ given by $a \mapsto uau^{-1}$ for all $a \in D$.

Proposition 3.7. *For $i = 1, 2$ let (D, θ_i) be an F -division algebra with involution.*

- (i) *There exists an element $u \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta_1) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\theta_2 = \text{Int}(u) \circ \theta_1$.*
- (ii) *For every hermitian form (V, h) over (D, θ_2) , the pair (V, uh) is a hermitian form over (D, θ_1) . Further, (V, h) is isotropic (resp. alternating or direct) if and only if (V, uh) is isotropic (resp. alternating or direct).*

(iii) For every quadratic form (V, q) over (D, θ_1) the pair (V, uq) is a quadratic form over (D, θ_2) . Further, (V, q) is isotropic (resp. singular) if and only if (V, uq) is isotropic (resp. singular).

Proof. (i) See [18, (2.7), (2)]. Also by [18, (2.7), (2)] we have

$$\text{Alt}(D, \theta_2) = u \cdot \text{Alt}(D, \theta_1). \quad (3.7.1)$$

(ii) For the proof that (V, uh) is a hermitian form over (D, θ_2) see [6, (3.9)]. That (V, h) is isotropic if and only if (V, uh) is isotropic is clear. That (V, h) is alternating (resp. direct) if and only if (V, uh) is alternating (resp. direct) follows immediately from (3.7.1).

(iii) First note that by (3.7.1) uq is a map from V to $D/\text{Alt}(D, \theta_2)$. Let (V, h) be the polar form of (V, q) . For all $x, y \in V$ and $d \in D$ we have

$$u(q(xd)) = u\theta_1(d)(q(x))d = uu^{-1}\theta_2(d)(uq(x))d = \theta_2(d)(uq(x))d,$$

and

$$uq(x+y) - uq(x) - uq(y) = uh(x, y) + u \cdot \text{Alt}(D, \theta_1).$$

Therefore, as (V, uh) is a hermitian form over (D, θ_2) by (ii), (V, uq) is a quadratic form over (D, θ_2) . It is clear that (V, h) is degenerate if and only if (V, uh) is degenerate and hence (V, q) is singular if and only if (V, uq) is singular. Finally, it follows again by (3.7.1) that for $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ we have $q(x) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta_1)$ if and only if $uq(x) \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta_2)$. Hence (V, q) is isotropic if and only if (V, uq) is isotropic. \square

By a *quadratic form over F* we mean a quadratic form (V, q) over (F, id) . A subspace $U \subseteq V$ is *totally isotropic* (with respect to q) if $q|_U = 0$. If (V, q) is nonsingular, then we call it *hyperbolic* if there exists a totally isotropic subspace U of V such that $\dim_F(U) = \frac{1}{2}\dim_F(V, q)$. A quadratic form (W, q') is a *subform of (V, q)* if there exists an injective map $t : W \rightarrow V$ such that $q'(t(x)) = q(x)$ for all $x \in W$.

For $b, c \in F$, we denote the nonsingular quadratic form (F^2, q) where $q : F^2 \rightarrow F$ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto bx^2 + xy + cy^2$ by $[b, c]$. Recall the concept of a tensor product of a symmetric or alternating bilinear form and a quadratic form (see [10, p.51]). For a positive integer m , by an *m -fold (quadratic) Pfister form over F* we mean a quadratic form that is isometric to the tensor product of a 2-dimensional nonsingular quadratic form representing 1 and an $(m-1)$ -fold bilinear Pfister form over F . For $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in F^\times$ we denote the m -fold Pfister form $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \rangle\rangle \otimes [1, b]$ by $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, b \rangle\rangle$. Pfister forms are either anisotropic or hyperbolic (see [10, (9.10)]).

Let $\rho = (V, q)$ be a quadratic form. For $a \in F^\times$ we write aq for the map $x \mapsto aq(x)$ for all $x \in V$ and we write $a(V, q)$ for the quadratic form (V, aq) over F . Let K/F be a field extension. Then we write $\rho_K = (V \otimes_F K, q_K)$ where q_K is the unique quadratic map such that $q_K(v \otimes b) = b^2q(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $b \in K$.

4. u -INVARIANTS OF QUADRATIC AND HERMITIAN FORMS

We now introduce the various invariants that we study and determine certain relations between them. As we see later, it is convenient to consider not only u -invariants related to hermitian and quadratic forms in general, but also to direct or alternating hermitian forms and nonsingular quadratic forms.

Let (D, θ) be an F -division algebra with involution. Proposition 3.7 immediately gives the following.

Proposition 4.1. *The supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic (direct or alternating) hermitian forms over (D, θ) does not depend on the F -involution θ . Similarly, the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic (nonsingular) quadratic forms over (D, θ) does not depend on the F -involution θ .*

Given Proposition 4.1, we define the following hermitian form u -invariants of the F -division algebra D .

$$\begin{aligned} u^+(D) &= \sup\{\dim_D(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is an anisotropic hermitian form over } (D, \theta)\}, \\ u_d^+(D) &= \sup\{\dim_D(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is a direct hermitian form over } (D, \theta)\}, \\ u^-(D) &= \sup\{\dim_D(\varphi) \mid \varphi \text{ is an anisotropic alternating hermitian} \\ &\quad \text{form over } (D, \theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have the following quadratic form u -invariants.

$$\begin{aligned} u(D) &= \sup\{\dim_D(\rho) \mid \rho \text{ is an anisotropic quadratic form over } (D, \theta)\}, \\ \tilde{u}(D) &= \sup\{\dim_D(\rho) \mid \rho \text{ is an anisotropic nonsingular quadratic} \\ &\quad \text{form over } (D, \theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. Using Proposition 3.2, the invariants $u^+(D)$ (resp. $u^-(D)$ or $u_d^+(D)$) can be naturally reinterpreted as the supremum of all coindices of central simple F -algebras A with $A \sim_B D$ such that there exists an anisotropic F -algebra with orthogonal (resp. symplectic or direct) involution (A, σ) . Similarly, using the analogous relationship between nonsingular quadratic forms over an algebra with involution and quadratic pairs (see [11, §1] and [18, §5]) we can interpret $\tilde{u}(D)$ as the supremum of all coindices of central simple F -algebras A with $A \sim_B D$ such that there exists an anisotropic F -algebra with quadratic pair (A, σ, f) .

Note that we cannot reinterpret $u(D)$ in similar terms as in order to construct an adjoint quadratic pair we must assume our quadratic form is nonsingular (see [11, (1.4)]). There is currently no known notion of a quadratic pair-like object that can be associated with a singular quadratic form.

Remark 4.3. By Proposition 3.6 we may also reinterpret $u_d^+(D)$ as the supremum of the dimensions of anisotropic totally singular quadratic forms over (D, θ) .

Proposition 4.4. *Assume D is not a field. Then $u_d^+(D)$ and $u^-(D)$ are non-zero.*

Proof. By [18, (2.6)] there exists an element $y \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta) \setminus \{0\}$ and an element $x \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta) \setminus \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$. The nondegenerate hermitian form $\langle y \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$ over (D, θ) is anisotropic and alternating, and the nondegenerate hermitian form $\langle x \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$ over (D, θ) is direct (see [6, (5.4)]). Hence $u_d^+(D)$ and $u^-(D)$ are at least 1. \square

Remark 4.5. For the field F we have $u^-(F) = 0$ as $\text{Alt}(F, \text{id}) = \{0\}$ and hence all alternating bilinear forms over F are isotropic. For any $a \in F^\times$, the symmetric bilinear form $\langle a \rangle_{(F, \text{id})}^h$ is anisotropic, hence we always have that $u_d^+(F) \neq 0$. Further we also have $u_d^+(F) = u^+(F)$, as a symmetric bilinear form over a field is direct if and only if it is anisotropic by [6, (5.1)]. In fact we have $u^+(F) = [F : F^2]$ by Remark 4.3 and [2, (1.2, (c))].

We now show that $u(D)$ is the largest of our invariants. If D is a field, this is clear from Remarks 4.3 and 4.5. However, when D is not a field the relationship between our hermitian u -invariants and $u(D)$ is more subtle.

Proposition 4.6. *Let ρ be a quadratic form over (D, θ) with polar form ψ . Then ψ is anisotropic if and only if ρ does not represent any elements in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$. In particular if ψ is anisotropic, ρ is nonsingular and anisotropic.*

Proof. First note that if $(D, \theta) = (F, \text{id})$ then ψ is always isotropic by Remark 4.5 and any element represented by ρ is in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta) = F$. Assume that D is not a field. By Lemma 3.5 there exists $b_1, \dots, b_n \in D^\times$ such that $\rho \simeq \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$. Let $a_i = b_i + \theta(b_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then by [9, (6.2)], $\psi \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$.

Suppose ψ is anisotropic and that there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in D$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) a_i x_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) (b_i + \theta(b_i)) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) \theta(b_i) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i + \theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the anisotropy of ψ we have that $x_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. That is, ρ does not represent any elements in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$.

Conversely, suppose ψ is isotropic, that is there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in D$ not all zero such that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i + \theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i \right).$$

That is, $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i) b_i x_i \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let ρ be a quadratic form over (D, θ) with $\dim_D(\rho) > u^-(D)$. Then ρ represents an element in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$.*

Proof. As the polar form of ρ is alternating, $\dim_D(\rho) > u^-(D)$ implies that the polar form of ρ must be isotropic. Hence ρ represents an element in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$ by Proposition 4.6. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let D be an F -division algebra.*

- (1) $u^+(D) = u_d^+(D) + u^-(D)$.
- (2) $u^-(D) \leq \tilde{u}(D)$.
- (3) $u^+(D) \leq u(D)$.

Proof. Let (D, θ) be an F -division algebra with involution.

(1) This follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.

(2) If D is a field then $u^-(D) = 0$ by Remark 4.5, and the result is clear. Otherwise let ψ be an anisotropic alternating hermitian form over (D, θ) . By [17, Chapter 1, (6.2.4)] and since ψ is alternating there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Alt}(D, \theta) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\psi \simeq \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}^h$. Let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in D^\times$ be such that $b_i + \theta(b_i) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then by [9, (6.2)], ψ is the polar form of the nonsingular quadratic form $\rho \simeq \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_{(D, \theta)}$ over (D, θ) . Further, ρ is anisotropic by Proposition 4.6.

(3) Assume first that D is a field. Then $u^-(D) = 0$ by Remark 4.5 and hence $u_d^+(D) = u^+(D)$ by (1). The result follows immediately in this case from Proposition 3.6. Suppose now that D is not a field and there exists an n -dimensional

direct hermitian form over (D, θ) . Then Proposition 3.6 gives an n -dimensional anisotropic totally singular quadratic form ρ over (D, θ) . Note that by Lemma 3.5, (c), ρ only represents elements in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$.

Suppose further that there exists an m -dimensional anisotropic alternating hermitian form over (D, θ) . As in (2), using Proposition 4.6, there exists an m -dimensional anisotropic nonsingular quadratic form ρ' over (D, θ) which does not represent any elements in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$. In particular, the form $\rho \perp \rho'$ is anisotropic and of dimension $n + m$. The result then follows from (1). \square

Corollary 4.9. *Assume D is not a field. Then $u^+(D)$ and $u(D) \geq 2$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.8, (1) and (3). \square

Remark 4.10. Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. One can define u -invariants similar to the ones we study for hermitian and quadratic forms over a K -division algebra with involution (E, τ) . Hermitian forms over (E, τ) can be either symmetric or skew-symmetric and generalised quadratic forms are equivalent to one of these types of hermitian form (see [17, Chapter 1, (6.5.2)]). In particular it follows that singular forms are isotropic. This results in two different u -invariants depending on whether one considers symmetric or skew-symmetric forms and on whether τ is orthogonal or symmetric (see [19, (2.3)]). These invariants can be naturally associated with the coindices of either orthogonal or symplectic anisotropic involutions, as in Remark 4.2, and we denote these invariants by $u^+(E)$ and $u^-(E)$ respectively (again see [19, (2.3)]).

In characteristic different from 2 the analogous statements to Theorem 4.8 (1) and (2) do not hold in general. Let Q be the unique \mathbb{R} -quaternion division algebra. Then $u^+(Q) = 1$ by [4, (6.8)]. However, as $u(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ it follows from [26, (10.1.7)] (cf. Proposition 6.2) that $u^-(Q) = \infty$.

Remark 4.11. The inequality $u^-(D) \leq \tilde{u}(D)$ from Theorem 4.8 is a reflection of the fact that in characteristic 2, any (twisted) orthogonal group is contained in an associated (twisted) symplectic group, and an orthogonal group is anisotropic if the associated symplectic group is anisotropic (see [28, (17.3.6)] for the case of an orthogonal group associated to a quadratic form over a field. The general case is similar. See also [22, (2.15)] for details the on correspondence between the isotropy of forms and the associated groups in characteristic different from 2. The result in characteristic 2 is similar).

Further, Proposition 4.6 can be thought of as a classification of those orthogonal groups whose associated symplectic group is anisotropic. That is, the symplectic group associated to an orthogonal group is anisotropic if and only if the orthogonal group is isomorphic to the group of isometries of a nonsingular generalised quadratic form over a division algebra with involution that does not represent any symmetric elements.

We now give an upper bound for $u(D)$ in terms of our hermitian u -invariants.

Theorem 4.12. *Assume D is not a field. Then*

$$u(D) \leq u^+(D) + u_d^+(D).$$

Proof. Let ρ be an anisotropic quadratic form over (D, θ) . Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be anisotropic nonsingular and totally singular forms respectively such that $\rho \simeq \rho_1 \perp \rho_2$.

By Lemma 3.5, (c), there exists $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta) \setminus \text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ such that $\rho_2 \simeq \langle w_1, \dots, w_n \rangle$.

Consider ρ_1 . If ρ_1 represents an element $y \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$ then by [9, (8.2)] there exists an $x \in D \setminus \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$ and a nonsingular quadratic form ρ' over (D, θ) such that $\rho_1 \simeq \rho' \perp \langle x, x + y \rangle$. Repeating this argument, we can assume that

$$\rho_1 \simeq \rho' \perp \langle x_1, x_1 + y_1 \rangle \perp \dots \perp \langle x_m, x_m + y_m \rangle$$

for some quadratic form ρ' over (D, θ) that does not represent any element in $\text{Sym}(D, \theta)$, $x_1, \dots, x_m \in D \setminus \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$ and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \text{Sym}(D, \theta)$. By Corollary 4.7 we have that $\dim_D(\rho') \leq u^-(D)$. For all $d \in D^\times$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$ we have that $\langle x_i, x_i + y_i \rangle$ represents $\theta(d)y_i d$. Therefore by the anisotropy of ρ we have that the totally singular form $\langle y_1, \dots, y_m, w_1, \dots, w_n \rangle$ is anisotropic. That is, $m + n \leq u_d^+(D)$ by Remark 4.3. Therefore

$$\dim_D(\rho) = \dim_D(\rho') + 2m + n \leq u^-(D) + 2u_d^+(D) = u^+(D) + u_d^+(D)$$

by Theorem 4.8, (1). \square

We also record the following upper bound on $u_d^+(D)$, which we further investigate in Section 5.

Proposition 4.13. *Let D be an F -division algebra. Then*

$$u_d^+(D) \leq \frac{[F : F^2]}{\deg(D)}.$$

Proof. Let φ be a direct hermitian form over (D, θ) and let $(A, \sigma) = \text{Ad}(\varphi)$. By [18, (3.1)], D is of order 2 in the Brauer group, and hence by [12, (9.1.4)] splits over a separable algebraic extension K/F . By Proposition 3.2, (c) and Proposition 2.1 we have that $(A, \sigma)_K$ is anisotropic. Therefore by Proposition 3.2, (a) there exists an anisotropic symmetric bilinear form δ over K such that $(A, \sigma)_K \simeq \text{Ad}(\delta)$. As $\dim_F(\delta) = \deg(A)$, by Remark 4.5 we have

$$\dim_D(\varphi) = \text{coind}(A) = \frac{\deg(A)}{\deg(D)} = \frac{\dim_F(\delta)}{\deg(D)} \leq \frac{[K : K^2]}{\deg(D)}.$$

By [2, (1.3)] we have $[F : F^2] = [K : K^2]$, and hence $u_d^+(D) \leq \frac{[F : F^2]}{\deg(D)}$. \square

5. DIRECT FORMS AND TOTALLY DECOMPOSABLE ALGEBRAS

In this section we show that the inequality in Proposition 4.13 is in fact an equality for an important class of division algebras. This generalises the fact that $u^+(F) = [F : F^2]$ from Remark 4.5. First we recall certain facts about quaternion algebras for use in this and following sections. An F -quaternion algebra is a central simple F -algebra of degree 2. It follows that quaternion algebras are either split or division. We call a central simple F -algebra A *totally decomposable* if there exists F -quaternion algebras Q_1, \dots, Q_n such that $A \simeq Q_1 \otimes_F \dots \otimes_F Q_n$.

Let Q be an F -quaternion algebra. By [18, (2.21)], the map $Q \rightarrow Q$, $x \mapsto \text{Trd}_Q(x) - x$ is the unique symplectic involution γ on Q ; it is called the *canonical involution of Q* . For all $x \in Q$ we have $\text{Nrd}_Q(x) = \gamma(x)x$. Direct computation shows that $\text{Alt}(Q, \gamma) = F$. Any F -quaternion algebra has an F -basis $(1, i, j, k)$ such that

$$i^2 - i = a, j^2 = b \text{ and } k = ij = j - ji, \quad (5.0.1)$$

for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ (see [1, Chap. IX, Thm. 26]); such a basis is called an *F-quaternion basis*. Conversely, for $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ the above relations uniquely determine an *F-quaternion algebra* (up to *F*-isomorphism), which we denote by $[a, b]_F$. By the above, up to isomorphism any *F-quaternion algebra* is of this form.

By [18, (7.1)], for any *F*-algebra with orthogonal involution (A, σ) with $\deg(A)$ even and any $x, y \in \text{Alt}(A, \sigma)$ we have $\text{Nrd}_A(x)F^{\times 2} = \text{Nrd}_A(y)F^{\times 2}$. Therefore, as in [18, §7], we may make the following definition. The *determinant* of (A, σ) , denoted $\det(A, \sigma)$, is the square class of the reduced norm of an arbitrary alternating unit, that is $\det(A, \sigma) = \text{Nrd}_A(x) \cdot F^{\times 2}$ in $F^\times / F^{\times 2}$ for any $x \in \text{Alt}(A, \sigma) \cap A^\times$.

Proposition 5.1 ([7, (7.5)]). *Let $(Q_1, \tau_1), \dots, (Q_n, \tau_n)$ be *F*-algebras with orthogonal involution, $b_\ell = \det(Q_\ell, \tau_\ell)$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ and $(A, \sigma) = (Q_1, \tau_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (Q_n, \tau_n)$. Then (A, σ) is anisotropic if and only if $\langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle$ is anisotropic.*

Theorem 5.2. *Let D be a totally decomposable *F*-division algebra. Then*

$$u_d^+(D) = \frac{[F : F^2]}{\deg(D)}.$$

Proof. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_m be *F*-quaternion division algebras such that

$$D \simeq Q_1 \otimes_F \dots \otimes_F Q_m$$

and let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in F^\times$ be such that for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ we have $Q_\ell \simeq [a_\ell, b_\ell]_F$. Further let $j_\ell \in Q_\ell^\times$ be such that $j_\ell^2 = b_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. If $[F : F^2] \leq \infty$ let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $[F : F^2] = 2^n$. In this case we already have $u_d^+(D) \leq \frac{2^n}{\deg(D)}$ by Proposition 4.13. Otherwise take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$ and arbitrarily large.

First we show that the bilinear Pfister form $\varphi = \langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle\rangle$ over *F* is anisotropic, which in particular implies $m \leq n$. For $\ell = 1, \dots, m$, let γ_ℓ be the canonical involution on Q_ℓ and let $\tau_\ell = \text{Int}(j_\ell) \circ \gamma_\ell$. As $j_\ell \in \text{Alt}(Q_\ell, \tau_\ell)$ by (3.7.1) we have $\det(Q_\ell, \tau_\ell) = b_\ell$ for all $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. Let $(D, \tau) = \bigotimes_{\ell=1}^m (Q_\ell, \tau_\ell)$. As D is division (D, τ) is anisotropic. Therefore by Proposition 5.1 we have that φ is anisotropic. If $m = n$ then we are done as $\text{coind}(D) = 1$ and hence by Remark 4.2

$$u_d^+(D) \geq 1 = \frac{2^n}{\deg(D)}.$$

Otherwise, since $[F : F^2] = 2^n$ or ∞ , we can find $b_{m+1}, \dots, b_n \in F^\times$ such that the bilinear Pfister form $\psi = \langle\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle\rangle$ over *F* is anisotropic and $F = F^2(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

For $\ell = m+1, \dots, n$ let $Q_\ell = M_2(F)$ and $j_\ell \in Q_\ell$ such that $j_\ell^2 = b_\ell$. For $\ell = m+1, \dots, n$, let γ_ℓ be the canonical involution on Q_ℓ and let $\tau_\ell = \text{Int}(j_\ell) \circ \gamma_\ell$. As above we have $\det(Q_\ell, \tau_\ell) = b_\ell$ for all $\ell = m+1, \dots, n$. Therefore the *F*-algebra with involution $(A, \sigma) = \bigotimes_{\ell=1}^n (Q_\ell, \tau_\ell)$ is anisotropic by the anisotropy of ψ and Proposition 5.1.

Finally, as D is division and Q_ℓ is split for all $\ell = m+1, \dots, n$ we have $A \sim_B D$ and

$$\text{coind}(A) = \frac{2^n}{2^m} = \frac{2^n}{\deg(D)}.$$

Therefore $u_d^+(D) \geq \frac{2^n}{\deg(D)}$ by Remark 4.2, and hence the result. \square

Note that division algebras in characteristic 2 that are not totally decomposable were constructed in [24, §3].

6. u -INVARIANTS OF QUATERNION ALGEBRAS

In this section we collect several results on our invariants for quaternion algebras and determine them exactly when the degree of the field extension F/F^2 is 2. This in particular includes both local and global fields of characteristic 2.

Recall first that every F -quaternion algebra Q has an associated quadratic form over F . By considering Q as an F -vector space, we can view (Q, Nrd_Q) as a 4-dimensional quadratic form on F , called the *norm form of Q* . If $Q \simeq [a, b]_F$ for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$, we have $(Q, \text{Nrd}_Q) \simeq \langle\langle b, a \rangle\rangle$.

Proposition 6.1 ([10, (12.5)]). *Let Q_1 and Q_2 be quaternion F -algebras and let $\pi_i = (Q_i, \text{Nrd}_{Q_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $Q_1 \simeq Q_2$ if and only if $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$. In particular, Q_i is division if and only if π_i is anisotropic.*

There is a well-known relationship between nonsingular quadratic forms and alternating hermitian forms over a quaternion algebra, from which we derive the following result.

Proposition 6.2. *Let Q be an F -quaternion division algebra. Then*

$$u^-(Q) \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{u}(F).$$

Proof. Let γ be the canonical involution on Q and $\varphi = (V, h)$ be an anisotropic alternating hermitian form over (Q, γ) . Then by [8, (4.1) and (4.2)], if we consider V as a vector space over F , for the map $q_h : x \mapsto h(x, x)$ for $x \in V$ the pair (V, q_h) is an anisotropic nonsingular quadratic form over F of dimension $4 \cdot \dim_D(\varphi)$. Hence $u^-(Q) \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{u}(F)$. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let Q be an F -quaternion division algebra. Then*

$$u^+(Q) \leq [F : F^2] \quad \text{and} \quad u(Q) \leq \frac{3}{2} [F : F^2].$$

Proof. By [2, (1.2, (d))], we have $\tilde{u}(F) \leq 2[F : F^2]$. Therefore by Proposition 6.2 we have $u^-(Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} [F : F^2]$. By Theorem 5.2 we have $u_d^+(Q) = \frac{1}{2} [F : F^2]$. Hence $u^+(Q) \leq [F : F^2]$ by Theorem 4.8, (1). The inequality involving $u(Q)$ follows by Theorem 4.12. \square

By [2, (1.2, (d))] we have $u(F) \leq 3[F : F^2]$. Given this, Corollary 6.3 and Proposition 4.13 we ask the following question.

Question 6.4. Do we have

$$u(D) \leq \frac{3[F : F^2]}{\deg(D)}$$

for D an arbitrary F -division algebra?

Note by Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.13, showing that $u^-(D) \leq \frac{[F:F^2]}{\deg(D)}$ for an arbitrary F -division algebra D would give a positive answer to Question 6.4.

We now recall the definition of the Arf invariant of a nonsingular quadratic form over an F -division algebra with involution (D, θ) , introduced in [29]. We denote the central simple F -algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over D by $M_n(D)$. For a matrix $M \in M_n(D)$, let M^t denote the transpose of M and let M^* denote the image of M under the F -involution on $M_n(D)$ given by

$$((a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n})^* = (\theta(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n})^t.$$

Let $\rho = (V, q)$ be an n -dimensional nonsingular quadratic form over (D, θ) . By [17, Chapter 1, (5.1.1)] one can find a matrix $M \in M_n(D)$ such that $q : V \rightarrow D/\text{Alt}(D, \theta)$ is given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\theta(x_1), \dots, \theta(x_n))M(x_1, \dots, x_n)^t + \text{Alt}(D, \theta).$$

If (V, h) is the polar form of ρ then the map $h : V \times V \rightarrow D$ is given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \times (y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto (\theta(x_1), \dots, \theta(x_n))(M + M^*)(y_1, \dots, y_n)^t,$$

(see [17, Chapter 1, (5.3)]). Let $N = M + M^*$ be a matrix associated to the polar form of ρ . As ρ is nonsingular we have that N is invertible and that at least one of $\deg(D)$ or $\dim_D(\rho)$ is even. Let $2m = \deg(D) \cdot \dim_D(\rho)$. We denote the set $\{a^2 + a \mid a \in F\}$ by $\wp(F)$. The *Arf invariant* of ρ is then defined as the class in $F/\wp F$ given by

$$\text{Srd}_{M_n(D)}(N^{-1} \cdot M) + \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + \wp(F).$$

We denote this class by $\Delta(\rho)$. By [29, Corollaire 4], $\Delta(\rho)$ depends only on the isometry class of ρ and not on the choice of M . Note that for all $\lambda \in F^\times$ we have $\Delta(\rho) = \Delta(\lambda\rho)$.

For a quaternion algebra Q we have that $\text{Srd}_Q = \text{Nrd}_Q$. Further, it is easy to show that for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ we have

$$\Delta(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{(Q, \gamma)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Nrd}_Q(a_i) + \wp(F).$$

We now fix an F -quaternion division algebra Q and $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ such that $Q = [a, b]_F$ and let γ be the canonical involution on Q .

Proposition 6.5. *For any 1-dimensional nonsingular quadratic form ρ over (Q, γ) , $\Delta(\rho)$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we have that $\rho \simeq \langle x \rangle$ for some $x \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$. Since $x \notin \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ we have that $\text{Trd}_Q(x) \neq 0$. Suppose $\Delta(\rho)$ is trivial, that is $\text{Nrd}_Q(x) \in \wp(F)$. First assume that $\text{Trd}_Q(x) = 1$. Let $d \in F$ be such that $\text{Nrd}_Q(x) = d^2 + d$. Then $\text{Nrd}_Q(x + d) = 0$. Since Q is division it follows that $x + d = 0$, and hence $x = d \in F$. Since $\text{Alt}(Q, \gamma) = F$, by Lemma 3.5 this contradicts the nonsingularity of ρ . Now assume that $\text{Trd}_Q(x) \neq 1$. There exists an element $\lambda \in F^\times$ such that $\text{Trd}_Q(\lambda x) = 1$. As $\Delta(\rho) = \Delta(\lambda\rho)$, it follows from the first part of the proof that $\Delta(\rho)$ is non-trivial. \square

In [4, §5] it was shown that in characteristic different from 2 there always exist 3-dimensional anisotropic skew-hermitian forms over a quaternion algebra with canonical involution except in a few exceptional cases. We now show a similar result in characteristic 2 for quadratic forms over (Q, γ) . Here an anisotropic 3-dimensional form always exists, and we give an explicit example.

Proposition 6.6. *Any 3-dimensional nonsingular quadratic form over (Q, γ) with trivial Arf invariant is anisotropic.*

Proof. Let ρ be a 3-dimensional nonsingular quadratic form over (Q, γ) . If ρ is isotropic then there exists an $x \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ such that $\rho \simeq \langle x, y, y \rangle$ for all $y \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ by [17, Chapter 1, (6.5.3)]. It follows that $\Delta(\rho) = \Delta(\langle x \rangle)$, which is non-trivial by Proposition 6.5. \square

Corollary 6.7. $\tilde{u}(Q) \geq 3$.

Proof. Fix an F -quaternion basis $(1, i, j, k)$ of Q satisfying the relations (5.0.1). Take $\rho = \langle i + k, i + \frac{aj}{b}, i + \frac{aj}{b} + k \rangle$. This form is nonsingular by Lemma 3.5, (b). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nrd}_Q(i + k) &= a + ab \\ \text{Nrd}_Q\left(i + \frac{aj}{b}\right) &= a + \frac{a^2}{b} \\ \text{Nrd}_Q\left(i + \frac{aj}{b} + k\right) &= a + \frac{a^2}{b} + a + ab. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these terms together gives 0, hence $\Delta(\rho)$ is trivial. It follows that ρ is anisotropic by Proposition 6.6. \square

Corollary 6.8. *If $[F : F^2] = 2$ then $u^+(Q) = 2$ and $u(Q) = \tilde{u}(Q) = 3$.*

Proof. We have that $u^+(Q) \geq 2$ by Corollary 4.9 and $u^+(Q) \leq [F : F^2] = 2$ by Corollary 6.3. We have that $u(Q) \geq 3$ by Corollary 6.7 and $u(Q) \leq \frac{3}{2}[F : F^2] = 3$ by Corollary 6.3. Also by Corollary 6.7 we have $3 \leq \tilde{u}(Q) \leq u(Q) = 3$. \square

Remark 6.9. Corollary 6.8 shows that the conjectured bound from Question 6.4 is met in the case where $[F : F^2] = 2$. This is perhaps surprising, as in the case where D is a field, this bound is often quite poor. Indeed, it is not hard to show that if $[F : F^2] = 2$ then $u(F) \leq 4$, whereas the bound in Question 6.4 gives $u(F) \leq 6$.

We call a field F of characteristic 2 a *local field* if $F \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2^n}((t))$. That is, a Laurent series in one variable over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , the field with 2^n elements for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [25] it was shown that $u^+(Q) = 2$ in the case where F is a local field. Corollary 6.8 gives a different proof of this fact, as $F = F^2(t)$ and hence $[F : F^2] = 2$. Local fields F also have the property that there is a unique F -quaternion division algebra (this follows easily from, for example, [27, Chapt. XIV, §5, Prop. 12]). In the next section we further investigate fields with this property.

7. KAPLANSKY FIELDS

Following [4, §6], we call a field F a *Kaplansky field* if there is a unique F -quaternion division algebra (up to isomorphism). In [4, §6], the hermitian u -invariant was shown to be always 3 or less for a quaternion algebra over a Kaplansky field of characteristic different from 2. In this section, we consider our u -invariants for a quaternion algebra Q over a Kaplansky field of characteristic 2. We show that while $\tilde{u}(Q) = 3$, $u(Q)$ and $u^+(Q)$ can be arbitrarily large.

For the rest of this section, assume F is a Kaplansky field and for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ let $Q = [a, b]_F$ be the unique F -quaternion division algebra and γ its canonical involution. Further, let $\pi = \langle\langle b, a \rangle\rangle$. Then by Proposition 6.1, π is the unique anisotropic 2-fold Pfister form over F (up to isometry).

We first calculate $\tilde{u}(F)$ and $u^-(Q)$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let ρ be a quadratic form over F of type $(4, 1)$. Then ρ is isotropic.*

Proof. We may scale ρ so that $\rho \simeq c[1, d] \perp e[1, f] \perp \langle 1 \rangle$ for some $c, d, e, f \in F^\times$. Let $\psi_1 = \langle 1 \rangle \perp c[1, d]$ and $\psi_2 = \langle 1 \rangle \perp e[1, f]$. If either ψ_1 or ψ_2 are isotropic then so is ρ .

Assume both ψ_1 and ψ_2 are anisotropic. Note that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are 3-dimensional subforms of the 2-fold Pfister forms $\pi_1 = \langle\langle c, d \rangle\rangle$ and $\pi_2 = \langle\langle e, f \rangle\rangle$ respectively. For $i = 1, 2$, if π_i is isotropic, then it is hyperbolic, and in particular has a totally isotropic subspace of dimension 2. Hence any 3-dimensional subform of π_i would be isotropic. Therefore π_i is anisotropic for $i = 1, 2$. In particular, we must have that $\pi_i \simeq \pi$ for $i = 1, 2$ as π is the unique anisotropic 2-fold Pfister form over F . It follows that ρ is a subform of the hyperbolic 3-fold Pfister form $\pi \perp \pi = \pi_1 \perp \pi_2$. As $\pi \perp \pi$ has a totally isotropic subspace of dimension 4 and ρ is of dimension 5, it follows that ρ is isotropic. \square

Corollary 7.2. $\tilde{u}(F) = 4$ and $u^-(Q) = 1$.

Proof. As π is anisotropic, we have $\tilde{u}(F) \geq 4$. Let ρ be a nonsingular quadratic form over F of dimension 6 or more. Then ρ has a subform of type $(4, 1)$. Therefore ρ is isotropic by Proposition 7.1 and hence $\tilde{u}(F) = 4$. We have $u^-(Q) \geq 1$ by Proposition 4.4. That $u^-(Q) = 1$ follows from $\tilde{u}(F) = 4$ and Proposition 6.2. \square

We now compute $\tilde{u}(Q)$. Generalised quadratic forms were classified over a large class of division algebras in [11], from which the following classification result for Kaplansky fields is easily derived.

Proposition 7.3. *Nonsingular quadratic forms over (Q, γ) are classified by their Arf invariants.*

Proof. As F is a Kaplansky field we have that the 2-torsion part of the Brauer group of F consists only of the class of Q and 0. In particular, any central simple F -algebra of exponent 2 is Brauer equivalent to Q and there is no Cayley division algebra over F (see the comments before [11, (5.1)]). Therefore by [11, (5.2)] we have that quadratic forms over (Q, γ) are classified by their Arf invariants and a relative invariant taking values in the Brauer group of F modulo the class generated by Q . However, since the class of Q is the only non-trivial class in the Brauer group of F , this invariant is always trivial. \square

Corollary 7.4. *For all $x \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ and $c \in F^\times$ we have $\langle x \rangle_{(Q, \gamma)} \simeq \langle cx \rangle_{(Q, \gamma)}$.*

Proof. Note that the forms in the statement are nonsingular by Lemma 3.5, (b). We have $\Delta(\langle x \rangle) = \Delta(\langle cx \rangle)$ and hence the result follows from Proposition 7.3. \square

The following can be seen as a characteristic 2 analogue of [30, Theorem 1].

Proposition 7.5. *Let ρ be a quadratic form over (Q, γ) of type $(4, 0)$ or $(3, 1)$. Then ρ is isotropic.*

Proof. Let $(1, i, j, k)$ be an F -quaternion basis of Q satisfying (5.0.1). By Lemma 3.5 there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in Q \setminus \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ and an element $y \in Q$ such that $\rho \simeq \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, y \rangle$. We may assume that $y \in Q^\times$ and further that x_1, x_2, x_3 and y lie in the 3-dimensional F -subspace of Q generated by i, j and k by Lemma 3.5, (a). As this subspace is 3-dimensional, there exists $c_1, \dots, c_4 \in F$ not all zero such that $\sum_{\ell=1}^3 c_\ell x_\ell + c_4 y = 0$. If $c_4 \neq 0$, then for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ set $d_\ell = \frac{c_\ell}{c_4}$ if $c_\ell \neq 0$ and $d_\ell = 1$ otherwise. By Corollary 7.4, we have that

$$\rho \simeq \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, y \rangle \simeq \langle d_1 x_1, d_2 x_2, d_3 x_3, y \rangle,$$

and hence ρ is isotropic. If $c_4 = 0$, then $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ is isotropic by a similar argument. In either case ρ is isotropic. \square

Corollary 7.6. $\tilde{u}(Q) = 3$.

Proof. We have that $\tilde{u}(Q) \geq 3$ by Corollary 6.7. It follows from Proposition 7.5 that $\tilde{u}(Q) \leq 3$. \square

We now consider $u^+(Q)$ and $u(Q)$ for Kaplansky fields.

Proposition 7.7. $u^+(Q) = \frac{1}{2}[F : F^2] + 1$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.2, Corollary 7.2 and Theorem 4.8, (1). \square

Theorem 7.8. $u(Q) = \sup\{3, \frac{1}{2}[F : F^2] + 1\}$.

Proof. Clearly $u(Q) \geq \tilde{u}(Q)$ and $\tilde{u}(D) = 3$ by Corollary 7.6. We also have that $u(Q) \geq u^+(Q)$ by Theorem 4.8, (3). We now show that $u(Q) \leq \sup\{3, u^+(Q)\}$, which implies the result by Proposition 7.7. By Theorem 4.8, (1) and Corollary 7.2, we also have $u^+(Q) = u_d^+(Q) + 1$.

Let ρ be an anisotropic quadratic form over (Q, γ) such that $\dim_Q(\rho) = u(Q)$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that ρ is of type (n, m) . In particular $n + m = u(Q)$. We have that $n < 4$ by Proposition 7.5. Assume $n = 3$. Then $m = 0$ by Proposition 7.5 and hence $u(Q) = 3$. We must also have $u^+(Q) \leq 3$ as $u^+(Q) \leq u(Q)$. Hence the result in this case.

Assume $n = 2$. Then as $u(Q) \geq 3$ we must have $m \geq 1$. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be respectively nonsingular and totally singular anisotropic forms over (Q, γ) such that $\rho \simeq \rho_1 \perp \rho_2$. Then as $u^-(Q) = 1$ by Corollary 7.2, ρ_1 represents an element $x \in \text{Sym}(Q, \gamma)$ by Corollary 4.7. Since ρ_1 is anisotropic, we have $x \notin \text{Alt}(Q, \gamma)$. It follows from the anisotropy of ρ that the totally singular form $\rho_2 \perp \langle x \rangle_{(Q, \gamma)}$ is anisotropic. Therefore by Proposition 3.6 there exists a direct hermitian form over (Q, γ) with dimension $\dim_Q(\rho_2) + 1$. Therefore $u_d^+(Q) \geq m + 1$ and hence

$$u^+(Q) = u_d^+(Q) + 1 \geq m + 2 = \dim_Q(\rho) = u(Q) \geq 3.$$

Assume now that $n = 1$ or 0 . As we know $u(Q) \geq 3$, in this case we must have $m \geq 2$ or 3 respectively. Then there exists an anisotropic totally singular quadratic form ρ' over (Q, γ) with $\dim_Q(\rho') = u(Q) - 1$ or $u(Q)$ respectively. By Proposition 3.6 we therefore have

$$u^+(Q) = u_d^+(Q) + 1 \geq u(Q) \geq 3,$$

as required. \square

We now show that Kaplansky fields F with unique F -quaternion division algebra Q can be constructed with invariants $u^+(Q)$, $u(Q)$ and $u(F)$ arbitrarily large.

Let $\rho = (V, q)$ be a nonsingular quadratic form over a field K . If $\dim_K(\rho) \geq 3$ or if ρ is anisotropic and $\dim(\rho) = 2$, then we call the function field of the projective quadric over K given by ρ the *function field of ρ* and denote it by $K(\rho)$. In the remaining cases we set $K(\rho) = K$. This agrees with the definition in [10, §22].

Lemma 7.9. *Let K be a field of characteristic 2 such that there exists a K -quaternion division algebra H . Then there exists a field extension L/K such that*

- (i) L is a Kaplansky field with unique L -quaternion division algebra H_L ,
- (ii) $[L : L^2] \geq [K : K^2]$.

Proof. Let ρ be the norm form of H over K . This form is anisotropic by Proposition 6.1. Let S_0 be the set of all anisotropic 2-fold Pfister forms over K . Choose a well-ordering on the set S_0 and index its elements by ordinal numbers. So for some ordinal α , we have $S_0 = \{\psi_i \mid i < \alpha\}$. We construct a field K^1 by transfinite induction as follows: let $K_0 = K$ and define

- $K_i = K_{i-1}(\psi_i)$ if i is not a limit ordinal and $(\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}} \not\approx \rho_{K_{i-1}}$,
- $K_i = K_{i-1}$ if i is not a limit ordinal and $(\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}} \approx \rho_{K_{i-1}}$,
- $K_i = \bigcup_{j < i} K_j$ if i is a limit ordinal.

We then set $K^{[1]} = K_\alpha$. For all i , if φ is an anisotropic symmetric bilinear form over K_{i-1} we have that φ_{K_i} is also anisotropic by [16, (10.2.1)]. Hence it follows by transfinite induction that for all anisotropic symmetric bilinear forms φ over K the form φ_{K^1} is anisotropic. Assume that $\rho_{K_{i-1}}$ is anisotropic. If $(\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}} \approx \rho_{K_{i-1}}$, clearly ρ_{K_i} is anisotropic. If $(\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}} \not\approx \rho_{K_{i-1}}$ then by [10, (23.6)] ρ_{K_i} is isotropic if and only if $\rho_{K_{i-1}} \approx (\lambda\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}}$ for some $\lambda \in K^\times$. It follows from [10, (9.9)] that $\rho_{K_{i-1}} \approx (\lambda\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}}$ if and only if $\rho_{K_{i-1}} \approx (\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}}$. This contradicts $(\psi_i)_{K_{i-1}} \not\approx \rho_{K_{i-1}}$, hence ρ_{K_i} is anisotropic. It follows by transfinite induction that ρ_{K^1} is anisotropic.

Let S_1 be the set of all 2-fold Pfister forms over $K^{[1]}$ and construct $(K^{[1]})^{[1]} = K^{[2]}$ by the same procedure. Repeating this process, for $n \geq 1$, let $K^{[n]} = (K^{[n-1]})^{[1]}$ and let $L = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K^{[n]}$. We have that for all anisotropic symmetric bilinear forms φ over F the form φ_L is anisotropic by the same argument given above for $K^{[1]}$. In particular $[L : L^2] \geq [K : K^2]$. Similarly, ρ_L is anisotropic, and further, is the only anisotropic 2-fold Pfister form over L . Hence by Proposition 6.1, L is a Kaplansky field with unique L -quaternion division algebra H_L . \square

One can also use a similar construction method to that in Lemma 7.9 to prove the following result. As the proof uses the function fields of totally singular quadratic forms there are certain subtleties in this argument that do not occur in Lemma 7.9. However, another similar result to the one below using totally singular forms but giving a field L with $[L : L^2] = 2$ is shown in [5, (6.4)], and the proof is not substantially different. We leave the full details to the reader.

Lemma 7.10. *Let K be a field of characteristic 2. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ there exists a field extension L/K such that $[L : L^2] = 2^n$ and for all anisotropic 2-fold Pfister forms π over K , the form π_L is anisotropic.*

Sketch of proof. If $[K : K^2] < 2^n$ then we can adjoin variables to K until we have a field K' such that $[K' : K'] \geq 2^n$. For any anisotropic 2-fold Pfister forms π over K , $\pi_{K'}$ is anisotropic by [10, (19.6)].

Assume now that $[K : K^2] \geq 2^n$. Then we can construct a field extension $K^{[1]}/K$ using successive function fields of totally singular quadratic forms over K of dimension $2^n + 1$ so that all totally singular quadratic forms over K of dimension $2^n + 1$ or greater are isotropic after extending scalars to $K^{[1]}$ (cf. [5, (6.4)]). Repeating this process and taking the union of the resulting fields similarly to as in Lemma 7.9 we get a field extension L/K such that $[L : L^2] \leq 2^n$. Further, using 2-power separation theorem [14, (1.1)] and transfinite induction we obtain that $[L : L^2] = 2^n$ and for all anisotropic 2-fold Pfister forms π over K , the form π_L is anisotropic. \square

Proposition 7.11. *Let K be a field of characteristic 2 such that there exists a non-trivial quadratic separable extension of K . Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 1$ there*

exists a field extension L/K such that L is a Kaplansky field with $u(L) \geq 2^{n+1}$ and for the unique L -quaternion division algebra H we have $u(H) = u^+(H) = 2^n + 1$.

Proof. Let $c \in K$ be such that $K(x)/K$ is a non-trivial quadratic separable extension where we have $x^2 + x = c$. Take $K' = K(Y)$ for some variable Y and let $H = [c, Y]_{K'}$. This is an K' -division algebra by [10, (19.6)] and Proposition 6.1.

By Lemma 7.10 and Proposition 6.1, for any $n \geq 2$ we can find a field extension L/K' such that H_L is division and $u^+(L) = [L : L^2] = 2^{n+1} \leq u(L)$. If L is a Kaplansky field then $u^+(H) = 2^n + 1$ and $u(H) = 2^n + 1$ by Proposition 7.7 and Theorem 7.8. Otherwise by Lemma 7.9 there exists a field extension L'/L such that L' is a Kaplansky field with $H_{L'}$ as the unique L' -quaternion algebra and $[L' : (L')^2] \geq [L : L^2]$. If $[L' : (L')^2] = [L : L^2]$ then we are done. Otherwise repeating the above constructions inductively gives the required field. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the projects *Explicit Methods in Quadratic Form Theory* and *Automorphism groups of locally finite trees* (G011012), both with the Research Foundation, Flanders, Belgium (F.W.O. Vlaanderen).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Albert. *Structure of Algebras*, vol. 24 of *Colloquium Pub.* American Math. Soc., 1968.
- [2] R. Baeza. Comparing u -invariants of characteristic 2. *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.*, 13:105-114, 1982.
- [3] K.J. Becher and A. Dolphin. Non-hyperbolic splitting of quadratic pairs. *Journal of Algebra and its applications*, 14, 22pp, 2015.
- [4] K.J. Becher and M.G. Mahmoudi. The orthogonal u -invariant of a quaternion algebra. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 17(1):181-192, 2010.
- [5] A. Chapman, A. Dolphin and A. Laghribi. Total linkage of Pfister forms and quaternion algebras in characteristic two. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 220 (2016): 3676-3691
- [6] A. Dolphin. Decomposition of algebras with involution in characteristic 2. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 217(9):1620-1633, 2013.
- [7] A. Dolphin. Orthogonal Pfister involutions in characteristic two. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 218(10):1900-1915, 2014.
- [8] A. Dolphin. Totally decomposable symplectic and unitary involutions. *Manuscripta Math.*, doi:10.1007/s00229-016-0891-6.
- [9] A. Dolphin. Singular and totally singular unitary spaces. *Communications in Algebra*, 43(12):5141-5158, 2015.
- [10] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory Quadratic Forms*, volume 56 of *Colloq. Publ., Am. Math. Soc.* Am. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [11] M.A. Elomary and J.-P. Tignol. Classification of quadratic forms over skew fields of characteristic 2. *Journal of Algebra*, 240:366-392, 2001.
- [12] P. Gille and T. Szamuely. *Central Simple Algebras and Galois Cohomology*. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [13] D. Hoffmann. Isotropy of quadratic forms and field invariants. *Quadratic forms and their applications (Dublin 1999)*, 73-102, Contep. Math., 272, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [14] D. Hoffmann and A. Laghribi. Isotropy of quadratic forms over the function field of a quadric in characteristic 2. *Journal of Algebra*, 295:362-386, 2006.
- [15] D. Hoffmann and A. Laghribi. Quadratic forms and Pfister neighbors in characteristic 2. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(10):4019-4053, 2004.
- [16] M. Knebusch. *Grothendieck und Witttringe von nichtausgearteten symmetrischen Bilinearformen*. Math-natw. Klasse 3. Sitzb. Heidelberg Akademie Wiss., 1969/1970.
- [17] M.-A. Knus. *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms over Rings*, volume 294 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [18] M.-A. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol. *The Book of Involutions*, volume 44 of *Colloq. Publ., Am. Math. Soc.* Am. Math. Soc., 1998.

- [19] M.G. Mahmoudi. Hermitian forms and the u -invariant. *Manuscripta Math.*, 116(4):492-516, 2005.
- [20] P. Mammone, J.-P. Tignol and A. Wadsworth. Fields of characteristic 2 with prescribed u -invariants. *Math. Ann.*, 290:109-128, 1991.
- [21] A. Merkurjev. Simple algebras and quadratic forms. *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 55:218-224, 1991.
- [22] V.P. Platonov and A.S. Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*. Academic Press, Inc. Boston, MA, 1994.
- [23] R. Pierce. *Associative Algebras*. Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [24] L.H. Rowen. Division algebras of exponent 2 and characteristic 2. *Journal of Algebra*, 90(1):71-83, 1984.
- [25] C. M. dos Santos. Tsukamoto's theorem in characteristic two. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. (3)*:155-162, 2014.
- [26] W. Scharlau. *Quadratic and hermitian forms*, volume 270 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [27] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67. New York: Springer, 1979.
- [28] T.A. Springer. *Linear algebraic groups* 2nd edition. Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Boston 2009.
- [29] J. Tits. Formes quadratiques, groupes orthogonaux et algèbres de Clifford. *Invent. Math.*, 5:19-41, 1968.
- [30] T. Tsukamoto. On the local theory of quaternionic anti-hermitian forms. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 387-400, 1961.

DEPARTEMENT WISKUNDE-INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITEIT ANTWERPEN, BELGIUM/
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM
E-mail address: Andrew.Dolphin@uantwerpen.be