POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATION IN HIGHER DIMENSION: FROM SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES TO GC SETS

NATHAN FIELDSTEEL AND HAL SCHENCK

ABSTRACT. Geometrically characterized (GC) sets were introduced by Chung-Yao in their work on polynomial interpolation in \mathbb{R}^d . Conjectures on the structure of GC sets have been proposed by Gasca-Maeztu for the planar case, and in higher dimension by de Boor and Apozyan-Hakopian. We investigate GC sets in dimension three or more, and show that one way to obtain such sets is from the combinatorics of simplicial complexes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a set of points $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, one goal of interpolation is to find a set of functions which separate the points; that is, so that for each point $p \in X$, there is a unique function which vanishes on $X \setminus p$ but not at p. Perhaps the most studied case occurs when the functions are polynomials.

Definition 1.1. [6] A set of points $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is n-correct if the evaluation map on the set of polynomials of degree at most n is an isomorphism onto $\mathbb{R}^{|X|}$; note that to be n-correct X must consist of $\binom{d+n}{n}$ points. A k-dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^d is maximal if it contains a subset of X of cardinality $\binom{k+n}{n}$.

For example, a hyperplane is maximal if it contains a subset of X of cardinality $\binom{d-1+n}{n}$. Chung-Yao introduced the geometrically characterized property:

Definition 1.2. [12], A set X of $\binom{n+d}{d}$ points in \mathbb{R}^d is called a $GC_{d,n}$ set if for each point $p \in X$, there exists a product

$$Q_p = \prod_{k=1}^n l_k$$

of linear forms l_k such that $Q_p(q) = \delta_{pq}$ for all $p, q \in X$.

Clearly a $GC_{d,n}$ set is n-correct. In [16], Gasca-Maeztu conjectured that in \mathbb{R}^2 , every $GC_{2,n}$ set contains a line with n + 1 points of X, which is a maximal hyperplane. In [8], Busch shows the conjecture holds for $n \leq 4$. The last 30 years have seen much additional work on the conjecture; see [7], [9], [10], [11], [17], [18], [20], [22]. In [9] Carnicer-Gasca showed that the Gasca-Maeztu conjecture implies that a $GC_{2,n}$ set in \mathbb{R}^2 contains 3 maximal lines. Building on this, in [6], de Boor proposed two generalizations of the Gasca-Maeztu conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. A $GC_{d,n}$ set contains a maximal hyperplane.

Conjecture 1.4. A $GC_{d,n}$ set contains at least d+1 maximal hyperplanes.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A05, Secondary 41A10, 41A65.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial interpolation, Simplicial complex, Bi-Cohen Macaulay. Schenck supported by NSF 1312071.



FIGURE 1. Four general lines in the plane, and their intersection points

de Boor shows that Conjecture 1.4 will require some additional hypothesis: he constructs a $GC_{3,2}$ set which does not have four maximal hyperplanes. Apozyan [1] used this to construct a $GC_{6,2}$ set with no maximal hyperplane, so Conjecture 1.3 fails as stated. On the other hand, [3] shows Conjecture 1.3 holds for $GC_{3,2}$ sets. Apozyan-Hakopian conjecture in [1] that a $GC_{d,n}$ set contains at least $\binom{d+1}{2}$ maximal lines, which is proved for d = 3, n = 2 in [2]. We study $GC_{d,n}$ sets, focussing mainly on the case $d \ge 3$ and $n \ge 2$. Our starting point is work of Sauer-Xu in [25] showing that the ideal I_X of a $GC_{d,n}$ set X is minimally generated in degree n + 1by $\binom{n+d}{n+1}$ products of linear forms.

The central idea of this paper is to lift the ideal I_X of polynomials vanishing on X to a monomial ideal: by replacing the generators $\prod l_i$ of I_X with monomials $\prod y_i$ with a new variable y_i for each distinct linear form, we obtain insight into the combinatorial structure of GC sets: the new monomial ideal is squarefree, so corresponds via Stanley-Reisner theory to a simplicial complex Δ . The core of the paper is §3, where we apply Stanley-Reisner theory to analyze these ideals. Theorem 3.12 shows that Bi-Cohen Macaulay squarefree monomial ideals of codimension d and degree $\binom{d+n}{n}$ always specialize to n-correct sets of points.

As our goal is to obtain examples of GC sets, we reverse engineer this process, by starting with a Bi-Cohen Macaulay monomial ideal. While specializing yields a *n*-correct set, the GC condition is quite restrictive: most *n*-correct sets are not GC. To overcome this obstacle, we introduce an analog of the GC property for monomial ideals. In Theorem 3.13, we prove a combinatorial criterion for a component of a monomial ideal to be GC. Example 1.5 below illustrates our results in the d = 2 case; additional examples appear in §4.

Example 1.5. A Chung-Yao *natural lattice* of six points in \mathbb{R}^2 consists of the intersection points of four general lines $\{l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4\}$ in the plane. The ideal of $I_X = \langle l_1 l_2 l_3, l_1 l_2 l_4, l_1 l_3 l_4, l_2 l_3 l_4 \rangle$, so replacing l_i with y_i gives rise to the ideal $I_{\Delta} = \langle y_1 y_2 y_3, y_1 y_2 y_4, y_1 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4 \rangle$. The ideal I_{Δ} has a decomposition

(1)
$$I_{\Delta} = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \cap \langle y_1, y_3 \rangle \cap \langle y_1, y_4 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle \cap \langle y_2, y_4 \rangle \cap \langle y_3, y_4 \rangle.$$

The results in §3 show Δ consists of 4 vertices and 6 edges connecting them.

For this example a component $F = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle$ in Equation 1 satisfies the monomial version of the GC condition appearing in Definition 3.9 if there is a quadratic monomial f such that $f \notin F$ but $f \cdot F \in I_\Delta$. For example when $F = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$, choosing $f = y_3y_4$ satisfies the condition, and an easy check shows for the other components $\langle y_i, y_j \rangle$ choosing $f = y_ky_l$ with $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ works. Each of the hyperplanes y_i appears in 3 of the $\langle y_i, y_j \rangle$; the y_i are monomial versions of maximal hyperplanes. Specializing $y_i \mapsto l_i$ preserves these properties, and reproves the well known fact that a Chung-Yao configuration of n + 2 lines in the plane is $GC_{2,n}$ and has n + 2 maximal hyperplanes.

2. The vanishing ideal of a $GC_{d,n}$ set

In this section, we show that a set of points X having the $GC_{d,n}$ property is very special from an algebraic standpoint. Recall that for a set of points X, the set of polynomial functions vanishing on all $p \in X$ is the vanishing ideal I_X of X, and is closed under addition, as well as under multiplication by arbitrary polynomials.

The first step in our analysis of GC sets is to streamline the algebra by homogenizing the problem. Geometrically, this means we consider affine space \mathbb{R}^d as a subset of projective space $\mathbb{P}^d_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $\mathbb{P}^d_{\mathbb{R}}$ may be thought of as $\mathbb{R}^d \bigcup \mathbb{P}^{d-1}_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the hyperplane at infinity and $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $X \cap \mathbb{P}^{d-1}_{\mathbb{R}} = \emptyset$. We now demonstrate the utility of this construction.

Example 2.1. Suppose

$$X = (0,0) \cup (1,0) \cup (0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

 I_X consists of the intersections of the ideal of the three points, so is

$$(x_0, x_1) \cap (x_0 - 1, x_1) \cap (x_0, x_1 - 1).$$

If we embed $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{R}}$ as the plane with $x_2 = 1$, the points become

 $(0:0:1)\cup(1:0:1)\cup(0:1:1),$ where the colon denotes projective coordinates;

since projective points can scale by any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$, the corresponding ideal is

$$(x_0, x_1) \cap (x_0 - x_2, x_1) \cap (x_0, x_1 - x_2) = \langle x_0 x_1, x_0 (x_0 - x_2), x_1 (x_1 - x_2) \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x_0, x_1, x_2].$$

Why do this? The answer is that the ideal $\langle x_0 x_1, x_0(x_0 - x_2), x_1(x_1 - x_2) \rangle$ is determinantal, that is, the generators are the 2 × 2 minors of the matrix

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_0 - x_2 & x_1 - x_2 \\ -x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_0 \end{array}$$

This is not an accident: it can be shown that after homogenizing, any $GC_{2,n}$ set is generated by the maximal minors of a $n + 2 \times n + 1$ matrix of homogeneous linear forms. In Example 1.5, I_X is generated by the 3×3 minors of

$$d_2 = \begin{bmatrix} l_4 & 0 & 0\\ -l_3 & l_3 & 0\\ 0 & -l_2 & l_2\\ 0 & 0 & -l_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

However, there is even more structure here: the columns of the matrix d_2 are generators (over the polynomial ring) for the kernel of the matrix

$$d_1 = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} l_1 l_2 l_3 & l_1 l_2 l_4 & l_1 l_3 l_4 & l_2 l_3 l_4 \end{array} \right].$$

Relations on a matrix with polynomial entries are called *syzygies*. They can be represented by a vector of polynomials, and were systematically studied by Hilbert. For a $GC_{2,n}$ set X, there are three points to highlight:

- The generators for I_X are products of linear forms.
- The first syzygies of I_X are generated by vectors of linear forms.
- The maximal minors of the syzygy matrix generate I_X .

The second two points are consequences of a famous theorem in commutative algebra, the *Hilbert-Burch* theorem, which describes the behavior of ideals which define sets of points in the projective plane. Most of the remainder of this section is devoted to defining these objects, and to understanding what happens for GC sets in higher dimensions.

By our earlier remarks, we may assume the GC set X consists of points in $\mathbb{P}^d_{\mathbb{R}}$; R will denote the ring $\mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_d]$. For a point $p \in X$,

$$I_p = \langle l_{p,1}, \dots, l_{p,d} \rangle$$

is generated by d independent homogeneous linear forms. We use \mathcal{Q} to denote the ideal $\langle Q_p, p \in X \rangle$, with Q_p as in Definition 1.2.

In algebraic geometry, a set of points X imposes independent conditions on polynomials of degree n if the rank of the evaluation map is equal to |X|. So an n-correct set in \mathbb{R}^d is a set of $\binom{d+n}{n}$ points which imposes independent conditions in degree n. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d$ be a set of $N = \binom{d+n}{n}$ distinct points having property $GC_{d,n}$.

Lemma 2.2. The ideal Q is of the form $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_d \rangle^n$.

Proof. Since the Q_p are all of degree n, clearly $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \langle x_0, \ldots, x_d \rangle^n$. The condition that $Q_p(q) = \delta_{pq}$ means that the Q_p are linearly independent; since the dimension of $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_d \rangle^n$ is $\binom{n+d}{d}$, equality holds. this means $GC_{d,n}$ sets are *n*-correct. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Suppose X has the $GC_{d,n}$ property. Then for each $p \in X$, there are d linearly independent linear forms $l_{p,1}, \ldots, l_{p,d}$ with each $l_{p,j}$ dividing some Q_q , $p \neq q$, such that $l_{p,j}(p) = 0$.

Proof. The $GC_{d,n}$ property implies that each Q_p with $p \neq q$ has a factor which is a linear form passing thru p. Let L be the vector space generated by all such linear factors, and suppose L has dimension less than d. Changing coordinates, we can suppose $L = \{x_0, \ldots, x_m\}$ with $m \leq d-2$. But then

$$\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q_p \rangle + \langle P \rangle,$$

where $\langle P \rangle = Q_n \cap \langle x_0, \dots, x_m \rangle$. This is impossible, because by Lemma 2.2, $Q = \langle x_0, \dots, x_d \rangle^n$ and

$$\{x_{d-1}^n, x_{d-1}^{n-1}x_d, \dots, x_{d-1}x_d^{n-1}, x_d^n\} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_n$$

is n + 1 dimensional and disjoint from the degree n component of the subideal of \mathcal{Q} generated by $\langle P \rangle$, and clearly cannot be spanned by Q_p .

2.1. Minimal free resolutions. The polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{R}[x_0, \ldots, x_d]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring: R_i is the vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree i, and if $r_j \in R_j$ and $r_i \in R_i$ then $r_i \cdot r_j \in R_{i+j}$. As $R_0 = \mathbb{R}$, this means each R_i has the structure of an $R_0 = \mathbb{R}$ vector space, of dimension $\binom{n+d}{d}$, and $R = \bigoplus_i R_i$. A finitely generated graded R-module N admits a similar decomposition; if $s \in R_p$

and $n \in N_q$ then $s \cdot n \in N_{p+q}$. In particular, each N_q is a $R_0 = \mathbb{R}$ -vector space. A graded map of graded modules $M \to N$ preserves the grading, so takes $M_i \to N_i$.

Definition 2.4. For a finitely generated graded S-module N, the Hilbert function is $HF(N,t) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N_t$, and the Hilbert series is $HS(N,t) = \sum \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N_q t^q$.

For $t \gg 0$, the Hilbert function of N is a polynomial in t, called the Hilbert polynomial HP(N,t), of degree at most d ([26], Theorem 2.3.3). For $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d$, we define $\operatorname{codim}(I_X)$ as $d - \deg(HP(R/I_X,t))$. The degree of $HP(R/I_X,t)$ is the dimension of X. When X is a set of points in \mathbb{P}^d , $I_X = \cap P_i$ with $P_i = \langle l_{i1}, \ldots, l_{id} \rangle$ and the codimension of I_X is d.

Definition 2.5. A free resolution for an *R*-module *N* is an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{F}: \dots \to F_i \stackrel{d_i}{\to} F_{i-1} \to \dots \to F_0 \to N \to 0,$$

where the F_i are free *R*-modules.

If N is graded, then the F_i are also graded, so letting R(-m) denote a rank one free module generated in degree m, we may write $F_i = \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{a_{i,j}}$. By the Hilbert syzygy theorem [26] a finitely generated, graded R-module N has a free resolution of length at most d + 1, with all the F_i of finite rank. Since

$$\begin{array}{lll} HS(R(-i),t) &=& \frac{t^i}{(1-t)^{d+1}} \\ HP(R(-i),t) &=& \binom{t+d-i}{d} \end{array}$$

this means we can read off the Hilbert series, function and polynomial from a free resolution as an alternating sum, which is illustrated in Example 2.7.

Definition 2.6. For a finitely generated graded *R*-module *N*, a free resolution is minimal if for each *i*, $\operatorname{Im}(d_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_0, \ldots, x_d \rangle$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of *N* is $\max_{i,j} \{a_{i,j} - i\}$. The projective dimension $\operatorname{pdim}(N)$ of *N* is the length of a minimal free resolution of *N*.

Example 2.7. For the $R = \mathbb{R}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ module $R/\langle x_0^2, x_1^2 \rangle$, the graded free resolution is

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-4) \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c} -x_1^2 \\ x_0^2 \end{array}\right]} R(-2)^2 \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{array}{c} x_0^2 & x_1^2 \end{array}\right]} R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

and for I_X of Example 1.5, the free resolution is

$$0 \to R(-4)^3 \xrightarrow{d_2} R^4(-3) \xrightarrow{d_1} R \to R/I \to 0,$$

with d_i as in Example 2.1; the d_1 map is a 1×4 matrix with cubic entries, giving a map $R^4 \to R^1$. Because we want graded maps, the generators of R^4 must appear in degree 3, explaining the module $R^4(-3)$. So for X the Chung-Yao set of Example 1.5, we see that the Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial are

$$HS(R/I_X, t) = \frac{1 - 4t^3 + 3t^4}{(1-t)^3} HP(R/I_X, t) = {\binom{t+2}{2}} - 4{\binom{t+2-3}{2}} + 3{\binom{t+2-4}{2}} = 6,$$

as expected, since the Hilbert polynomial of a $GC_{d,n}$ set X is $|X| = \binom{d+n}{n}$.

While the differentials which appear in a minimal free resolution of N are not unique, the ranks and degrees of the free modules which appear are unique.

Definition 2.8. An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is Cohen-Macaulay if $\operatorname{codim}(I) = \operatorname{pdim}(R/I)$.

Example 2.9. The two ideals in Example 2.7 both have pdim(R/I) = 2; because the ideals define zero dimensional subsets of the plane they are codimension two, so both ideals are Cohen-Macaulay. This is a general phenomenon: the ideal I_X of a set of points $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^d$ is Cohen-Macaulay, of codimension d.

Definition 2.8 is hard to digest, but the Cohen-Macaulay condition has many useful consequences, see Chapter 10 of [26]. The Hilbert-Burch theorem states that a codimension two Cohen-Macaulay ideal $\mathcal{I} = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$ is generated by the maximal minors of an $m \times m - 1$ matrix, whose columns are a basis for the syzygies on \mathcal{I} . To generalize the Hilbert-Burch theorem to codimension greater than two, we need the *Eagon-Northcott* complex:

Definition 2.10. Let $R^m \simeq F \xrightarrow{\phi} G \simeq R^n$ be a homomorphism of *R*-modules, with $m \ge n$. Then ϕ induces a homomorphism

$$\Lambda^n(F) \xrightarrow{\Lambda\phi} \Lambda^n(G) = R,$$

where the entries of $\Lambda\phi$ are the $n \times n$ minors of ϕ . With suitable conditions (see [23]) on ϕ , the ideal I_{ϕ} of $n \times n$ minors has a minimal free resolution, in which the free modules are tensor products of exterior and symmetric powers:

 $\cdots \longrightarrow S_2(G^*) \otimes \Lambda^{n+2}(F) \longrightarrow S_1(G^*) \otimes \Lambda^{n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^n(F) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I_{\phi} \longrightarrow 0.$ The key map is d_1 : since $\phi^* : G^* \to F^*$, for $\alpha \in G^*$, $\phi^*(\alpha) \in F^*$, and

$$d_1(\alpha \otimes e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^j (\phi^*(\alpha)(e_j)) \cdot e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1},$$

with higher differentials defined similarly.

2.2. The ideal of a $GC_{d,n}$ set is generated by products of linear forms. We start with an algebraic proof of the following key result of Sauer-Xu [25], which is a main ingredient in this paper.

Theorem 2.11. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a $GC_{d,n}$ set, then the ideal I_X is generated in degree n+1 by $\binom{n+d}{n+1}$ products of linear forms.

Proof. Let $I_C = \langle Q_p \cdot l_{pj}, p \in X, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \rangle$, with $l_{p,j}$ as in Lemma 2.3. Because X is a set of distinct points in \mathbb{P}^d , I_X is Cohen-Macaulay and codimension d. Since $Q_p(q) = \delta_{pq}$, the points of X impose independent conditions (see [26], Chapter 7) on polynomials of degree n, so I_X is generated in degree > n. As dim_{\mathbb{R}} $R_{n+1} = \binom{n+1+d}{d}$ and the $\binom{n+d}{d}$ points impose independent conditions, by Theorem 7.1.8 of [26], I_X is generated by

$$\binom{n+1+d}{d} - \binom{n+d}{d} = \binom{n+d}{n+1}$$

polynomials of degree n + 1.

By construction, every polynomial in I_C is a product of linear forms of degree n+1 and vanishes on X, so $I_C \subseteq I_X$. It suffices to show that the dimension of I_C in degree n+1 is $\binom{n+d}{n+1}$. There are relations among the generators of I_C :

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i (\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{i_j} l_{i_j}) = 0,$$

with the $a_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a relation is a *linear syzygy* on $\mathcal{Q} = \langle x_0, \ldots, x_d \rangle^n$. By [14], \mathcal{Q} has a minimal free resolution of Eagon-Northcott type; in particular, \mathcal{Q} is generated by the $n \times n$ minors of an $(n + d) \times n$ matrix whose entries are the variables of R. As a consequence, all linear syzygies are Eagon-Northcott type syzygies, that is, the image of the leftmost map below:

$$S_1(R^n) \otimes \Lambda^{n+1}(R^{n+d}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^n(R^{n+d}) \longrightarrow \Lambda^n(R^n) = R \longrightarrow R/\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0.$$

So there are $n \cdot \binom{n+d}{n+1}$ minimal linear first syzygies on \mathcal{Q} . The minimal value for $\dim(I_C)_{n+1}$ is achieved if these syzygies occur in Equation 2, so

$$\dim(I_C)_{n+1} \geq d \cdot N - n \cdot \binom{n+d}{n+1} \\ = d \cdot \binom{n+d}{n} - n \cdot \binom{n+d}{n+1} \\ = \binom{n+d}{n+1} \\ = \dim(I_X)_{n+1}$$

Since $I_C \subseteq I_X$ and both are generated in degree n + 1, we have $I_C = I_X$.

An important related result is the next proposition; while the proof is technical the meaning is very concrete: if X is a $GC_{d,n}$ set, then all the matrices in the minimal free resolution have entries of degree at most one: that is, they are matrices of linear forms, just as in the case where d = 2.

Proposition 2.12. The minimal free resolution of I_X has the same graded free modules as an Eagon-Northcott resolution of a generic $(n + d) \times (n + 1)$ matrix.

Proof. By Theorem 7.1.8 of [26], the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I_X is the smallest i such that $H^1(\mathcal{I}_X(i-1)) = 0$; because the points impose independent conditions and $H^1(\mathcal{I}_X(i-1))$ is the cokernel of the evaluation map on polynomials of degree i-1, the $GC_{d,n}$ property means X is n+1 regular. Therefore the minimal free resolution of I_X has the form

$$0 \to R^{a_d}(-d-n) \to R^{a_{d-1}}(-d-n+1) \to \cdots R^{a_1}(-n-1) \to R \to R/I_X \to 0,$$

so every differential is a matrix of linear forms. Since the points impose independent conditions, comparing to the Hilbert series yields the result. $\hfill \Box$

Definition 2.13. We call an ideal I determinantal if I is generated by the $r \times r$ minors of a $m \times r$ matrix, with $m \ge r \ge 2$.

Example 2.14. For a set of points $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$, the Hilbert-Burch theorem [23] shows that I_X is determinantal, with m = n+2, r = n+1. This fails in higher dimension: the ideal for ten general points in \mathbb{P}^3 has a minimal free resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-5)^6 \longrightarrow R(-4)^{15} \longrightarrow R(-3)^{10} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0.$$

So I_X has 10 cubic generators, 15 linear first syzygies, and 6 linear second syzygies. However, it is not determinantal [19]. By Proposition 2.12 the graded free modules are the same as those of a $GC_{3,2}$ set; by Theorem 3.12 I_X is determinantal if X is Chung-Yao. Question: are $GC_{d,n}$ sets always determinantal?

3. BI-COHEN MACAULAY SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

By Theorem 2.11, the ideal I_X can be generated by products of linear forms, and our strategy is to relate I_X to a monomial ideal. Because the forms appearing in any generator F of I_X are distinct, the monomial ideal is actually squarefree. Such ideals are related to the combinatorics of simplicial complexes.



FIGURE 2. One skeleton of a three simplex

3.1. Simplicial complexes and Stanley-Reisner ring.

Definition 3.1. [26] A simplicial complex Δ on a vertex set V is a collection of subsets σ of V, such that if $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$, then $\tau \in \Delta$. If $|\sigma| = i + 1$ then σ is called an *i*-face.

Let $f_i(\Delta)$ be the number of *i*-faces of Δ , and $\dim(\Delta) = \max\{i \mid f_i(\Delta) \neq 0\}$. If $\dim(\Delta) = n - 1$, let $f_{\Delta}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i-1}t^{n-i}$, with $f_{-1} = 1$ for the empty face. The reverse ordered list of coefficients of $f_{\Delta}(t)$ is the *f*-vector $f(\Delta)$ of Δ .

Definition 3.2. The Alexander dual Δ^{\vee} of Δ is the simplicial complex

 $\Delta^{\vee} = \{ \tau^{\vee} \mid \tau \notin \Delta \}, \text{ where } \tau^{\vee} \text{ denotes the complement } V \setminus \tau.$

Definition 3.3. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on vertices $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$. The Stanley-Reisner ideal I_{Δ} is

 $I_{\Delta} = \langle y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_i} \mid \{ y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_i} \} \text{ is not a face of } \Delta \rangle \subseteq S = \mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n],$

and the Stanley-Reisner ring is $\mathbb{R}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/I_{\Delta}$.

The Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta^{\vee}}$ of Δ^{\vee} is obtained by monomializing the primary decomposition of I_{Δ} : for each primary component P_i in the primary decomposition, take the product of the terms in the component. So if

$$I_{\Delta} = \bigcap_{j} P_{j}$$
 with $P_{j} = \langle y_{j_{1}}, \dots, y_{j_{d}} \rangle$,

then the minimal generators of $I_{\Delta^{\vee}}$ are of the form $y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_d}$.

Definition 3.4. The j-1 skeleton of a i-1 simplex has as maximal faces all j tuples on a set of i vertices. Denote this complex by $\Delta(i, j)$. The Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta(i,j)}$ is generated by all square-free monomials of degree j+1 in i variables. **Example 3.5.** Figure 2 shows $\Delta(4, 2)$. Δ consists of 4 vertices and 6 edges, so $\Delta = \{\emptyset, \{x_i\}, \{x_i, x_j\} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4 \text{ and } i < j \leq 4\}$ and $f(\Delta) = (1, 4, 6)$. Every maximal nonface of Δ is a triangle, so $I_{\Delta} = \langle x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1 x_2 x_4, x_1 x_3 x_4, x_2 x_3 x_4 \rangle$. The complements of the four triangles are the four vertices, so $\Delta^{\vee} = \Delta(4, 1)$, the four

Definition 3.6. A regular sequence on S/I is a sequence $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k\} \subseteq S = \mathbb{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ such that each f_i is not a zero divisor on $S' = S/(I, f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1});$ alternatively, the map $S' \xrightarrow{f_i} S'$ is injective. The depth of S/I is the length of a maximal regular sequence. It is a theorem [26] that the Cohen-Macaulay condition is equivalent to depth(S/I) = n - codim(I).

vertices. Specializing $x_i \mapsto l_i$ yields the Chung-Yao set of Example 1.5.

3.2. The simplicial complex of a GC set. We return to the study of I_X . Let $S = \mathbb{R}[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, with a variable for each distinct (ignore scaling) linear form which is a factor of one of the $\prod l_i$ which generate I_X , and let $\phi : S \to R$ via $y_i \mapsto l_i$. The kernel L of ϕ is an ideal generated by m - d - 1 linear forms. Let I' be the ideal in S obtained by substituting y_i for l_i in I_X , so ϕ induces a surjective map $\psi : S \xrightarrow{\phi} R \xrightarrow{\pi} R/I_X$. Since

$$\frac{S}{I'+L} \simeq \frac{S/L}{(I'+L)/L} \simeq \frac{\phi(S)}{\phi(I')} \simeq \frac{R}{I_X} = R/\bigcap_{i=1}^{|X|} \langle l_{i_1}, \dots, l_{i_d} \rangle,$$

 $I' + L = \ker(\psi)$. Let $J = \bigcap_{i=1}^{|X|} \langle y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_d} \rangle$. If J has $\binom{n+d}{n+1}$ generators in degree n+1 then I' + L = J + L with J a codimension d squarefree monomial ideal. Since $S/(J+L) \simeq R/I_X$, J + L is of codimension m-1 and depth one, the m-d-1 linear forms of L are a regular sequence on S/J; because depth(S/(J+L)) = 1, we can find an additional nonzero divisor on S/(J+L). Thus S/J has depth m-d so is Cohen-Macaulay.

Definition 3.7. For a $GC_{d,n}$ set X with defining ideal I_X , write $J_{\Delta(X)}$ for the squarefree monomial ideal J appearing above, with $\Delta(X)$ the simplicial complex.

Theorem 3.8. If I' = J then the ideal $J_{\Delta(X)}$ is Bi-Cohen Macaulay: both $J_{\Delta(X)}$ and the Alexander dual $J_{\Delta(X)^{\vee}}$ are Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. The Eagon-Reiner theorem [13] states that a Stanley-Reisner ideal I_{Δ} is Cohen-Macaulay iff the Alexander dual ideal $I_{\Delta^{\vee}}$ has a minimal free resolution where all the matrices representing the maps have only linear forms as entries. The remarks above show that if $I' = J_{\Delta(X)}$ then the ideal is Cohen-Macaulay and by Proposition 2.12 has a linear minimal free resolution, so the result follows.

Even in algebraic geometry, Bi-Cohen Macaulay simplicial complexes are esoteric objects. In [15], Fløystad-Vatne note that if Δ is a simplicial complex on m vertices, then the face vectors of Δ and Δ^{\vee} satisfy the relation

(3)
$$f_i(\Delta^{\vee}) + f_{m-i-2}(\Delta) = \binom{m}{i+1}$$

Since $J_{\Delta(X)^{\vee}}$ has $\binom{n+d}{d}$ generators in degree d, letting $i^* = m - i - 2$ we have

i	0	1	2	 n-1	n		m - d - 1	 m-3	m-2
$\binom{m}{i+1}$	m	$\binom{m}{2}$	$\binom{m}{3}$	 $\binom{m}{n}$	$\binom{m}{n+1}$		$\binom{m}{d}$	 $\binom{m}{2}$	m
$f_i(\Delta)$	m	$\binom{m}{2}$	$\binom{m}{3}$	 $\binom{m}{n}$	$\binom{m}{n+1} - \binom{n+d}{n+1}$	• • •	$\binom{n+d}{d}$	 0	0
$f_{i^*}(\Delta^{\vee})$	0	0	0	 0	$\binom{n+d}{n+1}$		$\binom{m}{d} - \binom{n+d}{d}$	 $\binom{m}{2}$	m

Proposition 3.1 of [15] gives a complete characterization of the f-vectors that are possible if Δ is Bi-Cohen Macaulay: any such f-vector is of the form

(4)
$$(1+t)^i \cdot \left(1+mt+\binom{m}{2}t^2+\dots+\binom{m}{k}t^k\right).$$

The key definition of this paper is a version of the GC property for monomial ideals:

Definition 3.9. Let I_{Δ} be a squarefree Bi-Cohen Macaulay monomial ideal of codimension d and degree $\binom{n+d}{d}$. A primary component P of I_{Δ} is monomial GC if there is a degree n monomial f with $f \in I_{\Delta}$: P and $f \notin P$. If every primary component P of I_{Δ} is monomial GC, then I_{Δ} is a monomial $GC_{d,n}$ ideal. $V(y_i)$ is a maximal monomial hyperplane if $V(y_i)$ contains $\binom{n+d-1}{d-1}$ components of $V(I_{\Delta})$.

3.3. The simplicial complex of a Chung-Yao set. In certain cases, the $GC_{d,n}$ property is a consequence of combinatorics: it is inherited from a monomial $GC_{d,n}$ ideal. Suppose there is no overlap between the nonzero entries of $f(\Delta^{\vee})$ and $f(\Delta)$:

$$f_i(\Delta^{\vee}) \cdot f_{m-i-2}(\Delta) = 0$$
 for all *i*.

As $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$, the assumption above implies that

$$\binom{m}{d} - \binom{n+d}{d} = 0$$
, so $m = n+d$

Lemma 3.10. If $f_j(\Delta^{\vee}) \cdot f_{m-j-2}(\Delta) = 0$ for all j, then $J_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta(d+n,n)}$

Proof. By our observation above, $f_j(\Delta^{\vee}) \cdot f_{m-j-2}(\Delta) = 0$ for all j implies that m = n + d. Therefore i = 0 in Equation 4, so $\Delta = \Delta(m, n)$, with m = d + n. By Theorem 2.11 J_{Δ} has $\binom{m}{n+1} = \binom{n+d}{n+1}$ generators, which is exactly the number of squarefree monomials of degree n + 1 on n + d vertices, hence $J_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta(d+n,n)}$. \Box

In Lemma 2.8 of [19], Gorla shows that the ideal $I_{\Delta(d+n,n)}$ is determinantal, and has an Eagon-Northcott resolution. The construction is as follows: take an $(n+d) \times (n+1)$ matrix M of constants, with no minor vanishing. Let M' be the result of multiplying the i^{th} column of M by the variable y_i . Then

$$I_{n+1}(M') = I_{\Delta(d+n,n)}$$

The primary decomposition of $I_{\Delta(d+n,n)}$ is straightforward. Because $\Delta(d+n,n)$ consists of all *n* tuples on a groundset of size n + d,

$$I_{\Delta(d+n,n)} = \bigcap_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d \le n+d} \langle y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_d} \rangle.$$

For any of the coordinate hyperplanes y_i , it is clear that there are $\binom{n+d-1}{d-1}$ terms in the primary decomposition which contain the fixed linear form y_i . For each component in the primary decomposition, $V(\langle y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_d} \rangle)$ is a codimension d linear subspace, and the count above shows that every coordinate hyperplane contains $\binom{n+d-1}{d-1}$ such components of $V(I_{\Delta(d+n,n)})$.

Theorem 3.11. If I_{Δ} is a squarefree Bi-Cohen Macaulay monomial ideal of codimension d and degree $\binom{n+d}{d}$, then a specialization by a regular sequence $\phi : y_i \mapsto l_i$ yields a n-correct set. If in addition I_{Δ} is a monomial $GC_{d,n}$ ideal, then the specialization is also a $GC_{d,n}$ set. If I_{Δ} has a maximal hyperplane, so does $\phi(I_{\Delta})$.

Proof. As I_{Δ} is Cohen-Macaulay, specialization by a regular sequence preserves the primary decomposition, hence the $GC_{d,n}$ and maximal hyperplane properties. The fact that the specialization is *n*-correct follows because specializing by a regular sequence preserves the minimal free resolution, and Proposition 2.12.

Continuing with the example where m = d + n, for m generic linear forms $l_i \in R$,

$$\mathbb{R}[y_1,\ldots,y_m] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_d], \ y_i \mapsto l_i$$

yields the $GC_{d,n}$ sets of [12], which contain n + d maximal hyperplanes. The argument above shows that they also have additional algebraic structure:

Theorem 3.12. If X is a $GC_{d,n}$ set of Chung-Yao type, then I_X is determinantal.

3.4. Constructing GC sets from I_{Δ} . One way to construct $GC_{d,n}$ sets is to start with a squarefree Bi-Cohen Macaulay monomial ideal of codimension d and degree $\binom{n+d}{d}$, which is not a $GC_{d,n}$ monomial ideal, but which has many GC components. Any specialization will preserve the GC properties; if I_{Δ} has a maximal monomial hyperplane, specialization also preserves it. The next theorem is crucial: it gives a necessary and sufficient combinatorial condition for a primary component to be monomial GC:

Theorem 3.13. Let I_{Δ} be a squarefree Bi-Cohen Macaulay monomial ideal of degree $\binom{n+d}{d}$ and codimension d:

$$I_{\Delta} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\binom{n+d}{d}} P_i, \text{ with } P_i = \langle x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_d} \rangle \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$$

A primary component $P_i = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_d} \rangle$ is monomial GC iff there is $\tau \in \Delta_{n-1}$ such that for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, $\overline{\tau v_{i_i}} \notin \Delta_n$, where $\overline{\tau v_{i_i}}$ is the join of τ with v_{i_j} .

Proof. From Definition 3.9, a primary component P of I_{Δ} is monomial GC if there is a degree n monomial (necessarily squarefree) f with $f \in I_{\Delta} : P_i$ and $f \notin P_i$. As I_{Δ} is generated in degree n + 1, Δ contains the n - 1 skeleton $\Delta(m, n)$; in particular, f corresponds to a face $\tau \in \Delta_{n-1}$. But $f \in I_{\Delta} : P_i$ iff $f \cdot x_{i_k} \in I_{\Delta}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ iff for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, \overline{\tau v_{i_j}} \notin \Delta$. Finally, the monomial f is in P_i iff for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, x_{i_j} \mid f$, which would imply there is a nonsquarefree monomial generator of I_{Δ} , a contradiction.

4. Examples

We close with a pair of three dimensional examples.

Example 4.1. Consider the integral points of the tetrahedron with vertices at

$$(0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 2)$$

This is a Berzolari-Radon configuration of ten points in \mathbb{R}^3 . Lifting to \mathbb{P}^3 , we find the ideal I_X is generated by the ten products of linear forms below:

 $\begin{array}{ll} x_2 \cdot (x_2 - x_3) \cdot (x_2 - 2 \cdot x_3), & x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_2 - x_3), \\ (x_2 - x_3) \cdot x_2 \cdot x_0, & x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_1 - x_3), \\ x_0 \cdot (x_0 - x_3) \cdot (x_0 - 2 \cdot x_3) & x_0 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_0 - x_3), \\ x_1 \cdot (x_1 - x_3) \cdot (x_1 - 2 \cdot x_3), & x_0 \cdot x_1 \cdot (x_1 - x_3) \\ x_0 \cdot x_1 \cdot (x_0 - x_3), & x_0 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2. \end{array}$

We replace this with the monomial ideal J_{Δ} generated by ten cubics:

$y_2 y_6 y_7,$	$y_1y_2y_6$,
$y_0y_2y_6,$	$y_1y_2y_5,$
$y_0 y_4 y_9$	$y_0y_2y_4,$
$y_1y_5y_8$,	$y_0y_1y_5,$
$y_0y_1y_4,$	$y_0y_1y_2.$

Substituting $y_i \mapsto x_i$ if $i \in \{0, \ldots, 3\}$ and

sends $J_{\Delta} \to I_X$. The simplicial complex Δ has ten vertices, and is six dimensional, with ten faces of top dimension, and f-vector

$$f(\Delta) = (1, 10, 45, 110, 155, 126, 55, 10).$$

By construction, the specialization by Equation 5 yields the original $GC_{3,2}$ set. However, this is not very satisfying: we knew the specialization would result in a GC set because we reverse engineered it to do so.

The next example is really the punchline of the paper: it shows that specialization can cause non-GC components to become GC components.

Example 4.2. The monomial ideal J_{Δ} generated by

 $\langle y_1y_5y_6, y_2y_6y_7, y_3y_7y_8, y_4y_5y_8, y_1y_5y_7, y_2y_6y_8, y_5y_6y_7, y_5y_6y_8, y_5y_7y_8, y_6y_7y_8 \rangle$

is the Stanley-Reisner ideal for a simplicial complex Δ on 8 vertices, with

$$f(\Delta) = (1, 8, 28, 46, 35, 10) = f(\Delta^{\vee}).$$

A computation shows that 6 of the 10 components are monomial GC; and that Δ has four maximal monomial hyperplanes: $\{y_5, y_6, y_7, y_8\}$. I_{Δ} is codimension three, and specializing yields a $GC_{3,2}$ set, which is a one-lattice.

4.1. Summary. This paper gives a combinatorial recipe for constructing $GC_{d,n}$ sets from simplicial complexes of a special type. By Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 3.12, a quotient of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a Bi-Cohen Macaulay simplicial complex Δ of degree $\binom{n+d}{d}$ and codimension d by a regular sequence is n-correct. The GC property is very special, and so in Definition 3.9 we give a monomial version of the GC property. Theorem 3.13 then gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a component P_i in the primary decomposition of I_{Δ} to have the monomial GC property. Theorem 3.12 shows the GC property is preserved under specialization.

A test case are Chung-Yao sets, which we show can be obtained by specializing the j-1-skeleton of an i-1 simplex; we also show Chung-Yao sets are always determinantal. Example 4.2 shows that specialization $y_i \mapsto l_i$ can yield GC sets even when I_{Δ} is not monomial GC; it often suffices to start with the weaker condition that I_{Δ} has many primary components which are monomial GC.

Acknowledgements: We thank an anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions. Computations in the software system Macaulay2 were essential; the package is available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2.

References

- A. Apozyan, A six dimensional counterexample for the GM_d conjecture, Jaen J. Approximation, 3 (2011), 161–172.
- [2] A. Apozyan, Conjectures on GC_n sets, J. Contemp. Math. Anal., 46 (2011), 69–76.
- [3] A. Apozyan, G. Avagyan, G. Ktryan, On the Gasca-Maeztu conjecture in ℝ³, East J. Approximation, 16 (2010), 25–33.
- [4] L. Berzolari, Sulla determinazione di una curva o di una superficie algebrica e su alcune questioni di postulazione, Lomb. Ist. Rend., 47 (1914), 556-564.
- [5] A. Björner, I. Peeva, J. Sidman, Subspace arrangements defined by products of linear forms, J. London Math Soc., 71 (2005), 273-288.
- [6] C. de Boor, Multivariate polynomial interpolation: Conjectures concerning GC-sets, Numer. Algorithms, 45 (2007), 113-125.
- [7] C. de Boor, A. Ron, On multivariate polynomial interpolation, Const. Approx., 6, (1990), 287-302.
- [8] J. Busch, Lagrange interpolation on \mathbb{R}^2 , Rev. Union. Math. Argen., 36 (1990), 33-38.
- [9] J. Carnicer, M. Gasca, A conjecture on multivariate polynomial interpolation, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. 95 (2001), 145-153.
- [10] J. Carnicer, M. Gasca, T. Sauer, Interpolation lattices in several variables, Numer. Math., 102 (2006), 559-581.
- [11] J. Carnicer, C. Godes, Classification of sets satisfying the geometric characterization, Numer. Algorithms, 50 (2009), 145-154.
- [12] K. Chung, T. Yao, On lattices admitting unique Lagrange interpolation, SIAM J. Num. Anal., 14 (1977), 735-743.
- [13] J. Eagon, V. Reiner, Resolutions of Stanley-Reisner rings and Alexander duality, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 130 (1998), 265–275.
- [14] S. Eliahou, M. Kervaire, Minimal resolutions of monomial ideals, J. Algebra, 129 (1990), 1-25.
- [15] G. Fløystad, J. Vatne, Bi-Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes and their associated coherent sheaves, Comm. Algebra, 33 (2005), 3121–3136.
- [16] M. Gasca, J. Maeztu, On Lagrange and Hermite interpolation in \mathbb{R}^k , Numer. Math., 39 (1982), 1-14.
- [17] M. Gasca, T. Sauer, On the history of multivariate polynomial interpolation, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 122 (2000), 23-35.
- [18] M. Gasca, T. Sauer, Multivariate polynomial interpolation, Advances in Comp. Math., 12 (2000), 377-410.
- [19] E. Gorla, Lifting the determinantal property. Algebra, geometry and their interactions, Contemp. Math 448, (2007), 69-89.
- [20] H. Hakopian, K. Jetter, G. Zimmermann, A new proof of the Gasca-Maeztu conjecture for n = 4, Journal of Approximation Theory, 159, (2009), 224-242.
- [21] H. Hakopian, K. Jetter, G. Zimmermann, The Gasca-Maeztu conjecture for n = 5, Numer. Math., 127, (2014), 685-713.
- [22] H. Hakopian, L. Refayelyan, On a generalization of the Gasca-Maeztu conjecture, New York J. Mathematics, 21, (2015), 351-367.
- [23] I. Peeva, Graded Syzygies, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2011.
- [24] J. Radon, Zur mechanischen Kubatur, Monatsh. Math. 52 (1948) 286-300.
- [25] T. Sauer, Y. Xu, On multivariate Lagrange interpolation, Math. Comp. 64 (1995) 1147-1170.
- [26] H. Schenck, Computational Algebraic Geometry, Cambridge University Press, (2003).

FIELDSTEEL: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

SCHENCK: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: fieldst2@math.uiuc.edu *E-mail address*: schenck@math.uiuc.edu