

# LOGARITHM LAWS FOR UNIPOTENT FLOWS ON HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

SHUCHENG YU

ABSTRACT. We prove logarithm laws for unipotent flows on non-compact finite-volume hyperbolic manifolds. Our method depends on the estimate of norms of certain incomplete Eisenstein series.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  denote a connected real semisimple Lie group with no compact factors and  $\Gamma \subset G$  be a non-uniform irreducible lattice, that is,  $\Gamma$  is discrete, the homogeneous space  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is non-compact and has finite co-volume with respect to the Haar measure of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the right  $G$ -invariant probability measure on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . Any unbounded one-parameter subgroup  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset G$  acts on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  by right multiplication. By Moore's Ergodicity Theorem this action is ergodic with respect to  $\sigma$ , hence for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$  the orbit  $\{xg_t\}$  is dense. In particular, these orbits will make excursions into the cusp(s) of  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . A natural question to ask is at what rate these cusp excursions occur.

We first fix some notations throughout this paper. We write  $A \asymp B$  if there is some constant  $c > 1$  such that  $c^{-1}A \leq B \leq cA$ . And we write  $A \lesssim B$  or  $A = O(B)$  to indicate that  $A \leq cB$  for some positive constant  $c$ . We will use subscripts to indicate the dependence of the constant on some parameters.

The above question can be restated as a shrinking target problem. Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Gamma \backslash G$  has a naturally defined distance function,  $\text{dist}$ , induced from a left  $G$ -invariant and bi- $K$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $G$ . For a fixed  $o \in \Gamma \backslash G$  we define the cusp neighborhoods by

$$B_r := \{x \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid \text{dist}(o, x) > r\}$$

for any  $r > 0$ . By [13] there exists a constant  $\varkappa > 0$  such that  $\sigma(B_r) \asymp e^{-\varkappa r}$ . For  $\{r_\ell\}$  a sequence of positive real numbers with  $r_\ell \rightarrow \infty$ , consider the family of shrinking cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$ , we define a corresponding sequence of random variables on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  by

$$X_\ell(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } xg_\ell \in B_{r_\ell} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $X_\ell(x) = 1$  if and only if the  $\ell$ -th orbit of  $x$  makes excursion into the  $\ell$ -th cusp neighborhood  $B_{r_\ell}$ . In this setting, one can vary the sequence  $\{r_\ell\}$  to enlarge or shrink the family of cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$ , and then ask whether the events  $X_\ell(x) = 1$  happen finitely or infinitely many times for a generic point  $x$ . We note that the first half of Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that if  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sigma(B_{r_\ell}) < \infty$ , then for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$  the events  $X_\ell(x) = 1$  happen for finitely many  $\ell$ . Thus  $\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_\ell)}{r_\ell} \leq 1$  for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ . In particular, for any small positive number  $\epsilon$ , choosing  $r_\ell = \frac{(1+\epsilon)\log(\ell)}{\varkappa}$ , a standard continuity

argument implies that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{\varkappa}$  for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ . Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log(t)} \leq \frac{1}{\varkappa}$  for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ . If the bound is sharp,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log(t)} = \frac{1}{\varkappa},$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ , we say that the flow  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  satisfies the *logarithm law*. Following [2], we say a sequence of cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$  is *Borel-Cantelli* for  $\{g_t\}$  if  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sigma(B_{r_\ell}) = \infty$  and for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$   $X_\ell(x) = 1$  for infinitely many  $\ell$ . Note that  $\{g_t\}$  satisfying logarithm law is equivalent to the statement that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , any sequence of cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$  with  $\sigma(B_{r_\ell}) \asymp \frac{1}{\ell^{1-\epsilon}}$  is Borel-Cantelli for  $\{g_t\}$ .

The problem of logarithm laws in the context of homogeneous space was first studied by Sullivan [18] where he proved logarithm laws for geodesic flows on non-compact finite-volume hyperbolic manifolds. The general case of one-parameter diagonalizable flows on non-compact finite-volume homogeneous spaces was proved by Kleinbock and Margulis [13]. The main ingredient of their proof is the exponential decay of matrix coefficients of diagonalizable flows, from which they deduced the quasi-independence of the above events  $X_\ell$ . Then the logarithm law follows from a quantitative Borel-Cantelli lemma.

The problem of logarithm laws for unipotent flows is more subtle since the matrix coefficients of unipotent flows only decay polynomially. Nevertheless, using a random analogy of Minkowski's theorem Athreya and Margulis [4] proved logarithm laws for one-parameter unipotent subgroups on the space of lattices  $\mathcal{X}_d := SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL_d(\mathbb{R})$ . Later Kelmer and Mohammadi [12] proved the case when  $G$  is a product of copies of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\Gamma$  is any irreducible non-uniform lattice. We note that in the above two cases, their methods are closely related and both rely on the estimate of  $L^2$ -norms of certain transform functions.

In [3], Athreya studied the cusp excursion of the full horospherical group with respect to some one-parameter diagonalizable subgroup on  $\mathcal{X}_d$ . Surprisingly, he was able to relate the cusp excursion rates for diagonalizable and horospherical actions and certain Diophantine properties for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}_d$ . In particular, his result implies logarithm laws for unipotent flows on  $\mathcal{X}_2$ . The most general result known for unipotent flows was obtained by Athreya and Margulis [5]. More precisely, for  $G$  a semisimple Lie group without compact factors,  $\Gamma \subset G$  an irreducible non-uniform lattice in  $G$  and  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a one-parameter unipotent subgroup in  $G$ , they proved that for any  $o \in \Gamma \backslash G$  and  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ , there exists  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  such that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log(t)} = \frac{\beta}{\varkappa}$ . Moreover, they asked whether such  $\beta$  can always attain 1, which is the upper bound coming from the first half of Borel-Cantelli lemma.

In this paper, we generalize the approach in [4] and [12] to give a positive answer to this question when  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is the frame bundle of hyperbolic manifolds. Before stating our main result, we first fix some notations. Let  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  be the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional real hyperbolic space with  $n \geq 2$  and  $\text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  denote the orientation preserving isometry group of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  and identify  $G/K$  with  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G = \text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma \subset G$  a non-uniform lattice and  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the distance function obtained*

from hyperbolic metric on the hyperbolic manifold  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . Then for any fixed  $o \in \Gamma \backslash G$ ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} = \frac{1}{n}, \quad (1.1)$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ .<sup>1</sup>

We give a brief outline of our proof here. We first note that if (1.1) holds for  $\Gamma$ , then it also holds for any  $\Gamma'$  conjugate to  $\Gamma$  (see section 5). Hence after suitable conjugation we can assume  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$  (see section 2.3 for the definition of cusps).

The upper bound, as mentioned above, follows from the first half of the Borel-Cantelli lemma. For the lower bound, we first note that it suffices to show that the set

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon := \left\{ x \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} \geq \frac{1-\epsilon}{n} \right\}$$

has positive measure for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . This is because  $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$  is invariant under the action of  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , hence by ergodicity, if  $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$  is of positive measure it must have full measure. Then the theorem follows by letting  $\epsilon$  approach zero.

Next, in order to show that  $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$  has positive measure, we construct a subset  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{A}_\epsilon$  which we show has positive measure. To describe our construction, we need some additional notations. Fix an Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$  with the maximal unipotent subgroup  $N$  fixing  $\infty$ . Let  $M \subset K$  be the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ ,  $P = NAM$  the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in  $G$  and  $\Gamma_\infty = \Gamma \cap P$  the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in  $\Gamma$ . Let  $Q = NM$  be the maximal subgroup of  $P$  containing  $\Gamma_\infty$  such that  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash Q$  is relatively compact. See section 2.1 for explicit descriptions of these groups. For any  $\mathfrak{D} \subset Q \backslash G$  we let

$$Y_{\mathfrak{D}} = \{ \Gamma g \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid Q\gamma g \in \mathfrak{D} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$$

In section 5.1, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we construct a sequence of sets  $\mathfrak{D}_m \subset Q \backslash G$  explicitly by taking unions of certain translations of cusp neighborhoods and we show that  $\{\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m})\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded from below and each  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  satisfies

$$\forall x \in Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m} \exists \ell \geq m \text{ such that } \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_\ell)}{\log \ell} \geq \frac{1-\epsilon}{n}. \quad (1.2)$$

By (1.2) it is clear that the limit superior set  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon := \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=l}^{\infty} Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$ . Moreover, since  $\{\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m})\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded from below,  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon$  has positive measure. Hence  $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon$  is of positive measure.

To show that  $\{\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m})\}$  has a uniform lower bound, we find nice subsets  $\mathfrak{D}'_m \subset \mathfrak{D}_m$  with  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m| \asymp |\mathfrak{D}_m|$  (here  $|\cdot|$  denotes a right  $G$ -invariant measure on  $Q \backslash G$ ) and we show  $\{\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m})\}$  is uniformly bounded from below. One standard way to handle  $\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m})$  is to use the incomplete Eisenstein series to relate  $\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m})$  to  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m|$ . More precisely, for any compactly supported function  $f$  on  $Q \backslash G$  the corresponding incomplete Eisenstein series  $\Theta_f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  attached to  $f$  is defined by

$$\Theta_f(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} f(\gamma g).$$

<sup>1</sup>Here by abuse of notation, for  $o, xg_t \in \Gamma \backslash G$ , we write  $\text{dist}(o, xg_t)$  for the distance between their projections to  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .

Note that if  $f$  is supported on  $\mathfrak{D}$ , then  $\Theta_f$  is supported on  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}}$ . To show that  $\{\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m})\}$  is bounded from below, it is enough to show that the  $L^2$ -norm (with respect to the measure  $\sigma$ ) of the incomplete Eisenstein series  $\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}$  is not too large compared to the measure of  $\mathfrak{D}'_m$ , where  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{D}'_m$ . To show this, we bound  $\|\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}\|_2^2$  in terms of  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m|$ . In fact, for any parameter  $\lambda > 0$  we define a family of functions  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \subset L^2(Q \backslash G)$  (see description of  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  in section 4.2) and we prove the following bound for functions in  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G = \text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  and  $\Gamma \subset G$  a non-uniform lattice with a cusp at  $\infty$ . For any parameter  $\lambda > 0$  there exists some constant  $C$  (depending on  $\Gamma$  and  $\lambda$ ) such that*

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 \leq C (\|f\|_1^2 + \|f\|_2^2) \quad (1.3)$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ , where the norms on the right are with respect to the right  $G$ -invariant measure on  $Q \backslash G$ .

Our construction of  $\mathfrak{D}'_m$  yields that we can take functions in  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  (for some  $\lambda$ ) to approximate  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}$ , then we can use Theorem 1.2 to bound  $\|\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}\|_2^2$  in terms of  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m|$ .

We note that our strategy of proving (1.3) is similar to the one used in [13]. To prove Theorem 1.2, we work out an explicit constant term formula for certain non-spherical Eisenstein series (for arbitrary  $n \geq 2$ ). With this constant term formula, a formal computation ensures that we can bound the  $L^2$ -norm of any incomplete Eisenstein series by the right-hand side of (1.3), together with a third term expressed in terms of the exceptional poles of Eisenstein series. Thus (1.3) follows if we can bound this third term by the right-hand side of (1.3). However, to prove this bound, we need to assume the functions are from  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ .

**Remark 1.** An interesting question is whether (1.3) holds uniformly for any  $f \in C_c^\infty(Q \backslash G)$ . In particular, for our purpose if one can prove (1.3) uniformly for linear combinations of nonnegative functions in  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ , then the same method implies a stronger Borel-Cantelli law: every sequence of nested cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$  with  $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \sigma(B_{r_\ell}) = \infty$  is Borel-Cantelli for unipotent flows. Such a result was obtained in [12, Remark 8] by proving (1.3) for all nonnegative functions in  $C_c^\infty(Q \backslash G)$  when  $G$  is a product of copies of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  ( $\cong \text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ ) and  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  ( $\cong \text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ ) and  $\Gamma$  is any arithmetic irreducible lattice. Their proof of (1.3) is indirect and depends on the existence of a family of lattices for which the Eisenstein series have no exceptional poles. We note that in [11] Gritsenko gave an example of such a lattice in  $\text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^4)$ . Hence using the general constant term formula we get, one can prove the above Borel-Cantelli law (for unipotent flows) for this specific lattice (and its commensurable lattices) in  $\text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^4)$ .

**Remark 2.** We end the introduction by remarking that the sets  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  are constructed by taking unions of translations of the neighborhoods at the cusp  $\infty$  (along the unipotent flow), hence our method implies a slightly stronger result: logarithm laws for excursions of unipotent flows into any individual cusp (for other cusps, the result can be obtained by conjugating this cusp to  $\infty$ ).

**Acknowledgements.** I am very grateful to my advisor Dubi Kelmer for his guidance and all the discussions and useful comments. I would like to thank the anonymous referee for many helpful comments that made this paper more readable. This work is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1401747.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

**2.1. Vahlen group.** Let  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional real hyperbolic space and  $G = \text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  be its orientation preserving isometry group. There are various hyperbolic models of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  and each model gives an explicit description of  $G$ . In this paper, we choose the upper half space model and realize  $G$  via the Vahlen group (see [1] [7] and [8] for more details about Vahlen group).

We first briefly recall some facts about Clifford algebra. The Clifford algebra  $C_n$  is an associative algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $n$  generators  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  satisfying relations  $e_i^2 = -1, e_i e_j = -e_j e_i, i \neq j$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the set of subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $I \in \mathcal{P}_n, I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_r$  we define  $e_I := e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r}$  and  $e_\emptyset = 1$ . These  $2^n$  elements  $e_I (I \in \mathcal{P}_n)$  form a basis of  $C_n$ . The Clifford algebra  $C_n$  has a main anti-involution  $*$  and a main involution  $'$ . Explicitly, their actions on the basis elements are given by  $(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r})^* = e_{i_r} \cdots e_{i_1}$  and  $(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r})' = (-1)^r e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_r}$ . Their composition  $\bar{e}_I := (e_I')^*$  gives the conjugation map on  $C_n$ .

For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\mathbb{V}^i$  denote the real vector space spanned by  $1, e_1, \dots, e_i$ . Note that  $\dim \mathbb{V}^i = i + 1$ . The Clifford group  $T_i$  is defined to be the collection of all finite products of non-zero elements from  $\mathbb{V}^i$  with group operation given by multiplication. There is a well-defined norm on  $\mathbb{V}^n$  given by  $|v| = \sqrt{v\bar{v}}$  and it extends multiplicatively to a norm on  $T_n$ .

In this setting, the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional hyperbolic space model is the upper half space

$$\mathbb{H}^{n+1} := \{x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n \in \mathbb{V}^n \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_n > 0\} \quad (2.1)$$

endowed with the Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx_0^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $M_2(C_n)$  be the set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $C_n$ . The Vahlen group  $SL(2, T_{n-1})$  is defined by

$$SL(2, T_{n-1}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(C_n) \mid \begin{array}{l} a, b, c, d \in T_{n-1} \cup \{0\}, \\ ab^*, cd^* \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}, \\ ad^* - bc^* = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

An element  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $SL(2, T_{n-1})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  as an isometry via the Möbius transformation

$$g \cdot v = (av + b)(cv + d)^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

This gives a surjective homomorphism from  $SL(2, T_{n-1})$  to  $\text{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$  with kernel  $\pm I_2$ . Hence  $G$  is realized as  $PSL(2, T_{n-1}) := SL(2, T_{n-1}) / \{\pm I_2\}$ . Here  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix

We fix an Iwasawa decomposition

$$PSL(2, T_{n-1}) = NAK,$$

with

$$N = \left\{ u_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \mathbf{x} = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1} \right\},$$

$$A = \left\{ a_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

and

$$K = \{g \in SL(2, T_{n-1}) \mid g \cdot e_n = e_n\} / \{\pm I_2\}$$

is the stabilizer of  $e_n$ . From this we can identify  $G/K$  with  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  by sending  $gK$  to  $g \cdot e_n$ .

An element in  $K$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} q'_2 & -q'_1 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $|q_1|^2 + |q_2|^2 = 1$  and  $q_1 q_2^* \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}$ . Let  $M$  be the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ , that is,  $M$  is the subgroup of  $K$  consisting of diagonal matrices. For later use we note that  $K$  is isomorphic to  $SO(n+1)$ ,  $M$  is isomorphic to  $SO(n)$  and  $M \backslash K$  can be identified with the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  via the map

$$\begin{aligned} M \backslash K &\longrightarrow S^n := \{x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} q'_2 & -q'_1 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix} &\longmapsto 2\overline{q_1}q_2 + (|q_2|^2 - |q_1|^2) e_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here this map is well-defined since  $q_1 q_2^* \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}$  if and only if  $\overline{q_1}q_2 \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}$  ([16, Corollary 7.15]).

**2.2. Coordinates and normalization.** Let  $G = NAK$  be the fixed Iwasawa decomposition as above and let  $Q = NM$ . Under the coordinates  $g = u_{\mathbf{x}} a_t k$ , the Haar measure of  $G$  is given by

$$dg = e^{-nt} d\mathbf{x} dt dk,$$

where  $d\mathbf{x}$  is the usual Lebesgue measure on  $N$  (identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ),  $dk$  is the probability Haar measure on  $K$ . Hence the probability Haar measure  $\sigma = \sigma_{\Gamma}$  on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is given by

$$d\sigma(g) = \frac{1}{\nu_{\Gamma}} e^{-nt} d\mathbf{x} dt dk \tag{2.4}$$

with  $\nu_{\Gamma} = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}} dg$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash G$ .

We normalize the measures on various spaces as following. First for  $\phi \in L^2(M \backslash K)$ , we view  $\phi$  as a left  $M$ -invariant function on  $K$  and normalize the Haar measure on  $M \backslash K$  (also denoted by  $dk$ ) such that

$$\int_{M \backslash K} \phi(k) dk = \int_K \phi(k) dk.$$

Next we identify  $Q \backslash G = A \times M \backslash K$  and we normalize the Haar measure on  $Q \backslash G$  so that for any  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(Q \backslash G)$  we have

$$\int_{Q \backslash G} f(g) dg = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{M \backslash K} f(a_t k) e^{-nt} dt dk. \tag{2.5}$$

We then normalize the Haar measure on  $Q$  so that for any  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$  we have

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{Q \backslash G} \int_Q f(qg) dq dg. \tag{2.6}$$

**2.3. Cusps and reduction theory.** Fix the notations as above. Let

$$\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} := \{x_0 + x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}e_{n-1} \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1} \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

denote the boundary of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . The action (2.3) extends naturally to  $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  by the same formula. Let  $P = NAM$  be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in  $G$ . We note that  $P$  is the stabilizer of  $\infty$ . Let  $\Gamma \subseteq G$  be a non-uniform lattice in  $G$ . Define

$$\Gamma_\infty = \Gamma \cap P$$

and

$$\Gamma'_\infty = \Gamma \cap N.$$

Note that  $\Gamma_\infty$  is the stabilizer of  $\infty$  in  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Gamma'_\infty$  consists the identity and unipotent elements in  $\Gamma_\infty$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$  if  $\Gamma'_\infty$  is nontrivial. Note that if  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$ , then  $\Gamma \backslash G$  having finite co-volume implies that  $\Gamma'_\infty$  is a lattice (free abelian and of full rank) in  $N$  (see [9, Definition 0.5 and Theorem 0.7]). Moreover, we note that by discreteness,  $\Gamma_\infty \cap A = \{I_2\}$ . Thus the conjugation action of  $\Gamma_\infty$  on  $N (= \mathbb{V}^{n-1})$  and  $\Gamma'_\infty$  induces an injection

$$\Gamma_\infty / \Gamma'_\infty \hookrightarrow SO(\mathbb{V}^{n-1}) \cap GL(\Gamma'_\infty).$$

Hence  $\Gamma'_\infty$  is a finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma_\infty$ . Denote by  $[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty]$  this index.

For any  $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , there exists some  $g \in G$  such that  $g \cdot \xi = \infty$ . We say  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\xi$  if  $g\Gamma g^{-1}$  has a cusp at  $\infty$ . And we say two cusps  $\xi, \xi'$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivalent if there exists some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \xi = \xi'$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$ , define the lattice

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1} \mid u_{\mathbf{x}} \in \Gamma'_\infty\}$$

in  $\mathbb{V}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{V}^{n-1}$  be a fundamental domain for  $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ . One easily sees that the set

$$\mathcal{F}'_\infty = \{u_{\mathbf{x}} a_t k \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}, t \in \mathbb{R}, k \in K\} \quad (2.7)$$

is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma'_\infty \backslash G$ . It contains  $[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty]$  copies of  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash G$ . For later use, we note that since  $\Gamma'_\infty$  is a lattice in  $N$ ,  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash Q$  is relatively compact, hence

$$\omega_\Gamma := \int_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash Q} dq$$

is finite.

For any  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us denote  $A(\tau) = \{a_t \in A \mid t \geq \tau\}$ . Recall that a Siegel set is a subset of  $G$  of the form  $\Omega_{\tau,U} = UA(\tau)K$  where  $U$  is an open, relatively compact subset of  $N$ . Since  $G$  is of real rank one, we can apply the reduction theory of Garland and Raghunathan ([9, Theorem 0.6]). That is, there exists  $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , an open, relatively compact subset  $U_0 \subset N$ , a finite set  $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_h\} \subset G$  (corresponding to a complete set of  $\Gamma$ -inequivalent cusps) and an open, relatively compact subset  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $G$  such that the Siegel fundamental domain

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0} = \mathfrak{C} \cup \left( \bigcup_{\xi_j \in \Xi} \xi_j \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\Gamma \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0} = G$ ;
- (2) the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite;
- (3)  $\gamma \xi_i \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0} \cap \xi_j \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0} = \emptyset$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  whenever  $\xi_i \neq \xi_j \in \Xi$ .

In other words, the restriction to  $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0}$  of the natural projection of  $G$  onto  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is surjective, at most finite-to-one and the cusp neighborhood of each cusp of  $\Gamma \backslash G$  can be taken to be disjoint. We will fix this Siegel fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0}$  throughout the paper. For further use, we note that  $U_0$  contains a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma'_\infty \backslash N$ .

**2.4. The distance function.** Fix a non-uniform lattice  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ . Let  $\text{dist}_G$  and  $\text{dist} = \text{dist}_\Gamma$  denote the hyperbolic distance functions on  $G/K = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  and  $\Gamma \backslash G/K = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  respectively. By slightly abuse of notation, we also denote  $\text{dist}_G$  and  $\text{dist}$  to be their lifts to  $G$  and  $\Gamma \backslash G$  respectively. In particular,  $\text{dist}_G$  is left  $G$ -invariant and satisfies  $\text{dist}_G(I_2, a_t k) = t$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $k \in K$ , where  $I_2$  is the identity matrix in  $G$ . Moreover, for any  $g, h \in G$ ,  $\text{dist}_G$  and  $\text{dist}$  satisfy the relation

$$\text{dist}(\Gamma g, \Gamma h) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{dist}_G(g, \gamma h).$$

Clearly,  $\text{dist}(\Gamma g, \Gamma h) \leq \text{dist}_G(g, h)$ . Conversely, if  $g, h$  are from the Siegel set  $\Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$ , then there exists a constant  $D$  such that  $\text{dist}_G(\xi_i g, \gamma \xi_j h) \geq \text{dist}_G(g, h) - D$  for any  $\xi_i, \xi_j \in \Xi$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (see [6, Theorem C]). In particular, this implies

$$\text{dist}(\Gamma \xi_j g, \Gamma \xi_j h) \geq \text{dist}_G(g, h) - D$$

for any  $\xi_j \in \Xi$  and any  $g, h \in \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$ . We then have

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $o \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0}$  fixed, there exists a constant  $D'$  such that*

$$\text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j g) - D' \leq \text{dist}(o, \xi_j g) \leq \text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j g) \quad (2.9)$$

for any  $\xi_j \in \Xi$  and any  $g \in \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$ .

**Remark 3.** We view  $o, \xi_j g$  as elements in  $\Gamma \backslash G$  when we write  $\text{dist}(o, \xi_j g)$ , and as elements in  $G$  when we write  $\text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j g)$ .

*Proof.* Only the first inequality needs a proof. Fix an arbitrary  $h \in \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(o, \xi_j g) &\geq \text{dist}(\xi_j h, \xi_j g) - \text{dist}(o, \xi_j h) \\ &\geq \text{dist}_G(h, g) - D - \text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j h) \\ &= \text{dist}_G(\xi_j h, \xi_j g) - D - \text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j h) \\ &\geq \text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j g) - 2\text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j h) - D. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $D' = 2 \sup_{\xi_j \in \Xi} \text{dist}_G(o, \xi_j h) + D$  satisfies (2.9).  $\square$

Note that any  $g \in \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$  can be written as  $g = ua_t k$  with  $u \in U_0, t \geq \tau_0, k \in K$ . Since  $U_0$  is relatively compact,  $\Xi$  is finite and  $\text{dist}$  is right  $K$ -invariant, in view of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\text{dist}(o, \xi_j g) = \text{dist}_G(o, a_t) + O(1) = t + O(1). \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, if  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$ ,  $\Xi$  can be taken such that it contains the identity element. Hence in this case,

$$\text{dist}(o, g) = t + O(1) \quad (2.11)$$

for any  $g = ua_t k \in \Omega_{\tau_0, U_0}$ . Finally, we note that when  $r$  is sufficiently large,  $B_r$  is a collection of neighborhoods at all cusps. In view of the above reduction theory and the Haar measure (2.4) we have

$$\sigma(B_r) \asymp e^{-nr} \quad (2.12)$$

for any  $r > 0$ .



### 3. INCOMPLETE EISENSTEIN SERIES

Let  $G$  be as before,  $\Gamma \subset G$  be a non-uniform lattice in  $G$  with a cusp at  $\infty$ . Given a compactly supported function  $f \in L^2(Q \backslash G)$ , we define the incomplete Eisenstein series attached to  $f$  by

$$\Theta_f(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} f(\gamma g).$$

Note that  $\Theta_f$  is left  $\Gamma$ -invariant since  $f$  is left  $\Gamma_\infty$ -invariant ( $\Gamma_\infty \subset Q$ ). Moreover, since  $f$  is compactly supported, the summation is a finite sum. Hence it is a well-defined function on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . We first give a simple but useful identity related to  $\Theta_f$  given by the standard unfolding trick.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $\Theta_f$  as above and any  $F \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$*

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Theta_f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g) = \int_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash G} f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash G$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \gamma \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$  form a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash G$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} \Theta_f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} f(\gamma g) F(g) d\sigma(g) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\gamma \mathcal{F}_\Gamma} f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g) \\ &= \int_{\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \gamma \mathcal{F}_\Gamma} f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash G} f(g) F(g) d\sigma(g). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking  $F = \overline{\Theta_f} = \Theta_{\overline{f}}$  we get

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 = \int_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash G} \overline{f(g)} \Theta_f(g) d\sigma(g). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, by (2.4) and (2.7) we have

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \int_K \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(a_t k)} e^{-nt} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}} a_t k) d\mathbf{x} dt dk. \quad (3.2)$$

Next we will compute  $\|\Theta_f\|_2^2$  by expressing the term  $\int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}} a_t k) d\mathbf{x}$  as an integral of certain non-spherical Eisenstein series (see Lemma 4.2). Before we can do that, we need to recall some facts of spherical Eisenstein series of real rank one groups (see [20] for the statements and [15] for the general theory).

**3.1. Spherical Eisenstein series.** Denote by  $C^\infty(Q \backslash G/K)$  the space of smooth left  $Q$ -invariant and right  $K$ -invariant functions on  $G$ . For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , define the function  $\varphi_s \in C^\infty(Q \backslash G/K)$  by

$$\varphi_s(ua_t k) = e^{st}. \quad (3.3)$$

Given a lattice  $\Gamma \subset G$  with a cusp at  $\infty$ , the spherical Eisenstein series (corresponding to the cusp at  $\infty$ ) is defined by

$$E(s, g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_s(\gamma g).$$

This series converges for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > n$ , it is right  $K$ -invariant and satisfies the following differential equation

$$(\Delta + s(n - s)) E(s, g) = 0,$$

where  $\Delta = e^{2t} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{n-1}^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - n \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the upper half space

$$\mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \{x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} e_{n-1} + e^t e_n \mid x_i, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The constant term of the Eisenstein series (corresponding to the cusp at  $\infty$ ) is defined as

$$E^0(s, g) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} E(s, u_{\mathbf{x}} g) d\mathbf{x}.$$

It has the form

$$E^0(s, g) = \varphi_s(g) + \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(s) \varphi_{n-s}(g),$$

where the function  $\mathcal{C}(s) = \mathcal{C}_\Gamma(s)$  can be extended to a meromorphic function on the half plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{n}{2}$  with a simple pole at  $s = n$  and only possibly finitely many simple poles (called exceptional poles) on the interval  $(\frac{n}{2}, n)$ . Finally we note that using the functional equation satisfied by the Eisenstein series and the same argument in [13, p. 10],  $|\mathcal{C}(s)| \leq 1$  for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{n}{2}$ .

**3.2. The raising operator.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $K$  respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  be their complexifications. As a real vector space,  $\mathfrak{k}$  is spanned by the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e_i e_j & 0 \\ 0 & e_i e_j \end{pmatrix} (1 \leq i < j \leq n-1), \quad -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e_l & 0 \\ 0 & -e_l \end{pmatrix} (1 \leq l \leq n-1), \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_m \\ e_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} (1 \leq m \leq n-1), \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$  are elements in the Clifford algebra  $C_n$  as before. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is spanned by the matrices as above and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**3.2.1. Root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a complex semisimple Lie algebra, it has a root-space decomposition with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h})} \mathfrak{k}_{\alpha},$$

where  $\Phi = \Phi(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h})$  is the corresponding set of roots, and for each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  the root-space  $\mathfrak{k}_{\alpha}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\alpha} := \{X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid [H, X] = \alpha(H) X \text{ for any } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Each root-space is one-dimensional and satisfies  $[\mathfrak{k}_\alpha, \mathfrak{k}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\alpha+\beta}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ . Fix a set of simple roots  $\Delta$  and let  $\Phi^+$  denote the corresponding set of positive roots. Then  $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup (-\Phi^+)$ . For backgrounds on complex semisimple Lie algebra, see [14, Chaper II]. In this subsection, we first give an explicit isomorphism between  $K$  and  $SO(n+1)$ , then use this isomorphism and the classical root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  to get an explicit root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Recall the identification

$$M \backslash K \longrightarrow S^n := \{x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} q'_2 & -q'_1 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto 2\overline{q_1}q_2 + (|q_2|^2 - |q_1|^2) e_n.$$

Embed  $S^n$  in  $\mathbb{V}^n$  and fix an inner product on  $\mathbb{V}^n$  such that  $\{1, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{V}^n$ . Then the right regular action of  $K$  on  $M \backslash K = S^n$  induces an isomorphism from  $K$  to  $SO(n+1)$ . In particular, it induces an isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ . Explicitly, for any  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$  define  $L_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  as following:

$$L_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e_i e_j & 0 \\ 0 & e_i e_j \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e_j & 0 \\ 0 & -e_j \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i=0, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_i \\ e_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, j=n \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } i=0, j=n. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

By direct computation, the induced isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  to  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  is given by sending  $L_{i,j}$  to  $E_{i,j}$  for any  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $E_{i,j}$  is the antisymmetric  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix with  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  entry equals one,  $(j, i)^{\text{th}}$  entry equals negative one and zero elsewhere. Using the classical commutator relations of  $E_{i,j}$  we get the commutator relations of  $L_{i,j}$ . To ease the notation, we let  $L_{i,i} = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $L_{i,j} = -L_{j,i}$  for  $0 \leq j < i \leq n$ . Explicitly,  $L_{i,j}$  satisfy the following commutator relations

$$[L_{i,j}, L_{l,m}] = \delta_{jl} L_{i,m} - \delta_{il} L_{j,m} - \delta_{jm} L_{il} + \delta_{im} L_{j,l} \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $0 \leq i, j, l, m \leq n$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol. Moreover, using the root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$  (see [14, p. 127-129]) we get the following root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  depending on the parity of  $n+1$ .

**Case I:**  $n+1 = 2k+1$  *is odd*. For each  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , let

$$H_i = \sqrt{-1} L_{2i, 2i+1}$$

with  $L_{2i, 2i+1}$  defined in (3.4). Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the complex vector space spanned by the set  $\{H_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , let  $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$  characterised by  $\varepsilon_i(H_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Using the above isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and the root-space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{so}(2k+1, \mathbb{C})$ , we know  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra and we can choose the set of simple roots to be

$$\Delta = \{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2} - \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k-1}\}.$$

The corresponding positive roots are given by

$$\Phi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k-1\} \cup \{\varepsilon_l \mid 0 \leq l \leq k-1\}.$$

Moreover, for any  $0 \leq i < j \leq k-1$  and  $0 \leq l \leq k-1$ , the positive root-spaces  $\mathfrak{k}_{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_{\varepsilon_l}$  are given as following:

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{C} \langle (L_{2i,2j} - \sqrt{-1}L_{2i+1,2j}) \mp (L_{2i+1,2j+1} + \sqrt{-1}L_{2i,2j+1}) \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\varepsilon_l} = \mathbb{C} \langle L_{2l,2k} - \sqrt{-1}L_{2l+1,2k} \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

**Case II:  $n+1 = 2k$  is even.** Similar to the odd case, for each  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , let

$$H_i = \sqrt{-1}L_{2i,2i+1}$$

and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the complex vector space spanned by  $\{H_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ . For each  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ , denote  $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$  characterised by  $\varepsilon_i(H_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . The set of simple roots can be chosen to be

$$\Delta = \{\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-2} - \varepsilon_{k-1}, \varepsilon_{k-2} + \varepsilon_{k-1}\}.$$

The corresponding positive roots are given by

$$\Phi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq k-1\},$$

with  $\mathfrak{k}_{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j}$  also given by (3.6).

**Remark 4.** The commutator relations and root-space decomposition above can both be checked directly using the relations  $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{i,j}$  for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

**3.2.2. Spherical principal series representation.** Let  $G = NAK$  be the fixed Iwasawa decomposition and  $M$  be the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$  as before. For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , recall the function  $\varphi_s$  on  $NA$  defined by

$$\varphi_s(ua_t) = e^{st}$$

for any  $u \in N$  and  $a_t \in A$ . Consider the corresponding spherical principal series representation  $I^s = \text{Ind}_{NAM}^G(\varphi_s \otimes 1_M)$ , where  $1_M$  is the trivial representation of  $M$ . Elements in  $I^s$  are measurable functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f(uawg) = \varphi_s(a) f(g) \text{ for } \sigma\text{-a.e. } g \in G, \text{ with } u \in N, a \in A \text{ and } w \in M. \quad (3.8)$$

$G$  acts on  $I^s$  by right regular action. We note that due to condition (3.8),  $f \in I^s$  is a function on  $A \times M \backslash K$ , thus by the identification between  $M \backslash K$  and  $S^n$ ,  $f$  is a functions in coordinates  $(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with the restriction  $x_0^2 + x_1^2 \dots + x_n^2 = 1$ .

Let  $I_\infty^s$  be the space of smooth functions in  $I^s$ . We note that  $I_\infty^s$  is a dense subspace of  $I^s$  and the right regular action of  $G$  on  $I^s$  induces a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure on  $I_\infty^s$ : For any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and any  $f \in I_\infty^s$ , define the Lie derivative,  $\pi(X)$ , by

$$(\pi(X)f)(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dy} f(g \exp(yX)) \right|_{y=0}.$$

We note that the Lie derivative respects the Lie bracket, that is,  $[\pi(X), \pi(Y)] = \pi([X, Y])$  for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where the first Lie bracket is the Lie bracket of endomorphisms. Since functions in  $I_\infty^s$  are complex-valued, we can complexify the Lie derivative by defining

$$\pi(X + \sqrt{-1}Y) := \pi(X) + \sqrt{-1}\pi(Y)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $I_\infty^s$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ -module. In particular,  $I_\infty^s$  is also a  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ -module. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\Phi^+$  be as before. Let  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  denote the complex dual of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Given a  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ -module  $V$  and  $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we say  $v \in V$  is of  $K$ -weight  $\rho$  if  $H \cdot v = \rho(H)v$  for any  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We say  $v \in V$  is a highest weight vector if  $v$  is of  $K$ -weight  $\rho$  for some  $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $X \cdot v = 0$  for any  $X \in \Phi^+$  and any  $X \in \mathfrak{k}_\alpha$ . We note that every irreducible representation of  $K$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ -module by differentiating the group action at the identity, and every finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ -module admits a unique (up to scalars) highest weight vector (see [14, Theorem 5.5 (b)]).

Due to condition (3.8),  $I^s$  is isomorphic to  $L^2(M \setminus K)$  as  $K$ -representations by sending  $f$  to  $f|_K$ . Identify  $M \setminus K$  with  $S^n$  as above, we have the following decomposition of  $L^2(M \setminus K)$  as  $K$ -representations:

$$L^2(M \setminus K) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{m \geq 0} L^2(M \setminus K, m),$$

where  $L^2(M \setminus K, m)$  is the space of degree  $m$  harmonic polynomials in  $n+1$  variables restricted to  $S^n$  (see [10, Corollary 5.0.3]) and  $\hat{\bigoplus}$  denote the Hilbert direct sum. Moreover, let  $\mathcal{H}^m$  be the space of degree  $m$  harmonic polynomials in coordinates  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{V}^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is an irreducible  $K$ -representation and is isomorphic to  $L^2(M \setminus K, m)$  via the map  $\phi \mapsto \phi|_{S^n}$  ([19, Theorem 0.3 and 0.4]). Finally, we note that  $(x_0 - \sqrt{-1}x_1)^m \in \mathcal{H}^m$  is of  $K$ -weight  $m\varepsilon_0$  ([14, p. 277-278]) and  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is of highest weight  $m\varepsilon_0$  ([14, p. 339 Problem 9.2]). Hence  $(x_0 - \sqrt{-1}x_1)^m$  is the unique (up to scalars) highest weight vector in  $\mathcal{H}^m$ .

Correspondingly, let  $I_\infty^s(K, m) := \{f \in I_\infty^s \mid f|_K \in L^2(M \setminus K, m)\}$ . Then we have a decomposition of  $I_\infty^s$

$$I_\infty^s = \hat{\bigoplus}_{m=0}^{\infty} I_\infty^s(K, m).$$

Moreover,  $I_\infty^s(K, m)$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$ -module of highest weight  $m\varepsilon_0$ , and the highest weight vector is given by

$$\varphi_{s,m}(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) := e^{st} (x_0 - \sqrt{-1}x_1)^m.$$

Now we define the raising operator  $R^+ \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} R^+ &= \frac{1}{2}\pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 + \sqrt{-1}e_1 \\ -1 - \sqrt{-1}e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 \\ -e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To compute  $R^+$  explicitly, we use the spherical coordinates on  $S^n$ : Let  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the coordinates on  $S^n$  as above, define  $(\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \in [0, 2\pi]^{n-1} \times [0, \pi)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \cos \theta_0, \\ x_1 &= \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1, \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} &= \sin \theta_0 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \cos \theta_{n-1}, \\ x_n &= \sin \theta_0 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin \theta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence under the coordinates  $(t, \theta_i)$ ,  $\varphi_{s,m}$  is given by

$$\varphi_{s,m}(t, \theta_i) = e^{st} (\cos \theta_0 - \sqrt{-1} \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1)^m.$$

Moreover, in these coordinates, for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the Lie derivative  $\pi(X)$  is a first order differential operator of the form

$$\pi(X) = F \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i},$$

where  $F, F_i$  are functions in  $(t, \theta_i)$ . For our purpose, we define

$$\widetilde{\pi(X)} := F \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + F_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} + F_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}.$$

Since  $\varphi_{s,m}$  only depends on the variables  $(t, \theta_0, \theta_1)$ ,  $\pi(X) \varphi_{s,m} = \widetilde{\pi(X)} \varphi_{s,m}$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Now we describe the strategy to compute the Lie derivatives. We first show how to extract the coordinates  $(t, \theta_i)$  from a given element  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$ . Write

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q'_2 & -q'_1 \\ q_1 & q_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.9)$$

by Iwasawa decomposition. Comparing the second row of the matrices on both sides, we get

$$e^{-t} = |c|^2 + |d|^2 \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$x_0 + x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_n e_n = \frac{2\bar{c}d + (|d|^2 - |c|^2) e_n}{|c|^2 + |d|^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where  $x_i$  are expressed by  $\theta_i$  as above. Fix an element  $g \in G$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the coordinates  $(t, \theta_i)$  of  $g \exp(yX)$  can be viewed as functions in  $y$  as  $y$  varies. Denote  $(t(y), \theta(y))$  to indicate this dependence on  $y$ . Then the Lie derivative  $\widetilde{\pi(X)}$  is exactly given by

$$\widetilde{\pi(X)} = t'(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \theta'_0(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} + \theta'_1(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 \\ -e_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then*

$$\widetilde{\pi(B_1)} = -2 \cos \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2 \sin \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\pi(B_2)} = -2 \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2 \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} - 2 \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}.$$

*Proof.* Using the formula  $\exp(yB_1) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(yB_1)^i}{i!}$  we get

$$\exp(yB_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & \sinh y \\ \sinh y & \cosh y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & \sinh y \\ \sinh y & \cosh y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \star & \star \\ c \cosh y + d \sinh y & c \sinh y + d \cosh y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (3.10) we get

$$e^{-t(y)} = |\cosh y + d \sinh y|^2 + |c \sinh y + d \cosh y|^2 = e^{-t} (\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \cos \theta_0).$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $y$  and evaluating at 0 on both sides we get

$$t'(0) = -2 \cos \theta_0.$$

Similarly, using (3.11) and comparing the constant term and coefficient of  $e_1$ , we get

$$\cos (\theta_0 (y)) = \frac{\sinh (2y) + \cosh (2y) \cos \theta_0}{\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \cos \theta_0}$$

and

$$\sin (\theta_0 (y)) \cos (\theta_1 (y)) = \frac{\sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1}{\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \cos \theta_0}.$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $y$  and evaluating at 0 we get

$$\theta'_0 (0) = -2 \sin \theta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta'_1 (0) = 0.$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\pi (B_1)} = -2 \cos \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2 \sin \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0}$ .

Similarly, for  $B_2$  we have  $\exp (y B_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & \sinh y e_1 \\ -\sinh y e_1 & \cosh y \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh y & \sinh y e_1 \\ -\sinh y e_1 & \cosh y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \star & \star \\ c \cosh y - d e_1 \sinh y & c e_1 \sinh y + d \cosh y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (3.10) and (3.11), after some tedious but straightforward computations we get

$$e^{-t(y)} = e^{-t} (\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1),$$

$$\cos (\theta_0 (y)) = \frac{\cos \theta_0}{\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1},$$

and

$$\sin (\theta_0 (y)) \cos (\theta_1 (y)) = \frac{\sinh (2y) + \cosh (2y) \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1}{\cosh (2y) + \sinh (2y) \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1}.$$

Hence by taking derivatives with respect to  $y$  and evaluating at 0 we get

$$t'(0) = -2 \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1, \quad \theta'_0 (0) = 2 \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta'_1 (0) = -2 \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_0}.$$

Hence  $\widetilde{\pi (B_2)} = -2 \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2 \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} - 2 \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}$ . □

In view of Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\widetilde{R}^+ = (\cos \theta_0 - \sqrt{-1} \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\sin \theta_0 + \sqrt{-1} \cos \theta_0 \cos \theta_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\sin \theta_1}{\sin \theta_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}.$$

Since  $R^+ \varphi_{s,m} = \widetilde{R}^+ \varphi_{s,m}$ , applying  $\widetilde{R}^+$  to  $\varphi_{s,m}$  we get

$$R^+ \varphi_{s,m} = (s + m) \varphi_{s,m+1}. \tag{3.12}$$

**Remark 5.** We note that using the explicit root-space decomposition described as above, one can directly check that the raising operator  $R^+$  (more explicitly, the matrix representing  $R^+$ ) satisfies

$$[H, R^+] = \varepsilon_0(H) R^+ \quad \text{and} \quad [R^+, \mathfrak{k}_\alpha] = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

for any  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  and any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ . The first part of (3.13) implies that  $R^+$  sends a vector of  $K$ -weight  $\rho$  to a vector of  $K$ -weight  $\rho + \varepsilon_0$  and the second part of (3.13) implies that  $R^+$  sends a highest weight vector to either zero or another highest weight vector. Since  $\varphi_{s,m}$  is a highest weight vector of  $K$ -weight  $m\varepsilon_0$ ,  $R^+\varphi_{s,m}$  is either zero or a highest weight vector of  $K$ -weight  $(m+1)\varepsilon_0$ . But since  $I_\infty^s = \bigoplus_{m=0}^\infty I_\infty^s(K, m)$  and each  $I_\infty^s(K, m)$  has a unique (up to scalars) highest weight vector  $\varphi_{s,m}$ , the set of highest weight vectors in  $I_\infty^s$  is exactly  $\{\varphi_{s,m} \mid m \geq 0\}$ . Thus  $R^+\varphi_{s,m}$  is a multiple of  $\varphi_{s,m+1}$ . In fact, (3.13) is the characterization we used to find  $R^+$ . However, once we have found  $R^+$ , (3.13) is no longer essential for our proof, since we get (3.12) (which trivially implies that  $R^+\varphi_{s,m}$  is a multiple of  $\varphi_{s,m+1}$ ) by explicit computation.

**3.3. Non-spherical Eisenstein series.** Given  $\phi \in L^2(M \backslash K, m)$ , we view  $\phi$  as a function on  $G$  by setting  $\phi(uak) = \phi(k)$  for any  $u \in N$  and any  $a \in A$ . We define the non-spherical Eisenstein series by

$$E(\phi, s, g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \varphi_s(\gamma g) \phi(\gamma g).$$

Note that  $E(\phi, s, g)$  is no longer right  $K$ -invariant. Its constant term (corresponding to the cusp at  $\infty$ ) is defined by

$$E^0(\phi, s, g) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} E(\phi, s, u_{\mathbf{x}}g) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Now using (3.12) we can get an explicit formula for  $E^0(\phi, s, g)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any  $\phi \in L^2(M \backslash K, m)$ ,*

$$E^0(\phi, s, g) = (\varphi_s(g) + P_m(s) \mathcal{C}(s) \varphi_{n-s}(g)) \phi(g), \quad (3.14)$$

where  $P_0(s) = 1$  and  $P_m(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n-s+k}{s+k}$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $m \geq 0$ , let  $h_m$  be the highest weight vector in  $L^2(M \backslash K, m)$ . We first prove (3.14) for  $h_m$ . We prove by induction. If  $m = 0$ , then (3.14) follows from the constant term formula for spherical Eisenstein series. Assume that it holds for some integer  $m \geq 0$ , we want to show it also holds for  $m+1$ . We apply the raising operator  $R^+$  to the constant term  $E^0(h_m, s, g)$ . On the one hand, by induction,

$$E^0(h_m, s, g) = \varphi_{s,m}(g) + P_m(s) \mathcal{C}(s) \varphi_{n-s,m}(g).$$

Hence by (3.12) we get

$$R^+ E^0(h_m, s, g) = (s+m) \varphi_{s,m+1}(g) + (n-s+m) P_m(s) \mathcal{C}(s) \varphi_{n-s,m+1}(g).$$



On the other hand, since  $R^+$  commutes with the left regular action, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^+ E^0 (h_m, s, g) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} R^+ \varphi_{s,m} (\gamma u_{\mathbf{x}} g) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{s+m}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \varphi_{s,m+1} (\gamma u_{\mathbf{x}} g) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= (s+m) E^0 (h_{m+1}, s, g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E^0 (h_{m+1}, s, g) &= \frac{1}{s+m} ((s+m) \varphi_{s,m+1} (g) + (n-s+m) P_m (s) \mathcal{C} (s) \varphi_{n-s,m+1} (g)) \\ &= \varphi_{s,m+1} (g) + \frac{n-s+m}{s+m} P_m (s) \mathcal{C} (s) \varphi_{n-s,m+1} (g) \\ &= \varphi_{s,m+1} (g) + P_{m+1} (s) \mathcal{C} (s) \varphi_{n-s,m+1} (g). \end{aligned}$$

Now for general  $\phi \in L^2 (M \setminus K, m)$ . Since  $L^2 (M \setminus K, m)$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module,  $\phi$  can be written as  $\phi = \mathcal{D}h_m$  with  $\mathcal{D}$  some differential operator on  $L^2 (M \setminus K, m)$  generated by  $\pi (\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Since  $\pi (\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$  acts trivially on the character  $\varphi_s$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}\varphi_{s,m} = \varphi_s \mathcal{D}h_m = \varphi_s \phi$ . Hence on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}E^0 (h_m, s, g) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \mathcal{D}\varphi_{s,m} (\gamma u_{\mathbf{x}} g) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \varphi_s (\gamma u_{\mathbf{x}} g) \phi (\gamma u_{\mathbf{x}} g) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= E^0 (\phi, s, g). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.14) for  $h_m$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}E^0 (h_m, s, g) &= \mathcal{D} ((\varphi_s (g) + P_m (s) \mathcal{C} (s) \varphi_{n-s} (g)) h_m (g)) \\ &= (\varphi_s (g) + P_m (s) \mathcal{C} (s) \varphi_{n-s} (g)) \phi (g). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

#### 4. BOUNDS FOR INCOMPLETE EISENSTEIN SERIES

4.1. **Explicit formula.** For each  $L^2 (M \setminus K, m)$  we fix an orthonormal basis

$$\{\psi_{m,l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq \dim L^2 (M \setminus K, m)\}.$$

For any  $f \in C_c^\infty (Q \setminus G)$  let

$$\hat{f}_{m,l} (a) = \int_K f (ak) \overline{\psi_{m,l} (k)} dk,$$

and we define the following function

$$M_f (s) = \sum_{m,l} P_m (s) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}_{m,l} (a_t) e^{-st} dt \right|^2,$$

with  $P_m (s)$  as in Proposition 3.3. We then have

**Proposition 4.1.** (cf. [12, Proposition 2.3]) Let  $\frac{n}{2} < s_p < \dots < s_1 < s_0 = n$  denote the poles of  $\mathcal{C}(s)$  and let  $c_j = \text{Res}_{s=s_j} \mathcal{C}(s)$  be the residue of  $\mathcal{C}(s)$  at  $s_j$  for  $0 \leq j \leq p$ . Then for any  $f \in C_c^\infty(Q \setminus G)$  we have

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \left( 2\|f\|_2^2 + c_0\|f\|_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p c_j M_f(s_j) \right).$$

Note that  $f \in C_c^\infty(Q \setminus G)$  can be written as  $f = \sum_{m,l} f_{m,l}$  with  $f_{m,l}(ak) = \hat{f}_{m,l}(a) \psi_{m,l}(k)$ . We first prove a preliminary estimate for each  $f_{m,l}$  and then deduce the proposition from this estimate.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(Q \setminus G)$  be of the form  $f(ak) = v(t) \phi(k)$  where  $v(t) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi \in L^2(M \setminus K, m)$  for some  $m$ . Let  $s_j$  and  $c_j$  be as above, we then have

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \left( 2\|f\|_2^2 + \sum_{j=0}^p c_j P_m(s_j) \|\phi\|_2^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-s_j t} dt \right|^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{v}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-irt} dt$  denote the Fourier transform of  $v$ . Note that  $\hat{v}(r)$  extends to an entire function in  $r$  since  $v$  is smooth and compactly supported. Recall the Fourier inversion formula

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}(r) e^{itr} dr.$$

Making the substitution  $s = ir$  we get

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{0-i\infty}^{0+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) e^{st} ds.$$

For any  $\sigma > n$  shifting the contour of integration to the line  $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$  we get

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) e^{st} ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \varphi_s(at) ds.$$

Consequently we can write

$$f(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \varphi_s(g) \phi(g) ds$$

and summing over  $\Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_f(g) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \varphi_s(\gamma g) \phi(\gamma g) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) E(\phi, s, g) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this over  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}} ak) d\mathbf{x} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} E(\phi, s, u_{\mathbf{x}} ak) d\mathbf{x} ds \\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) E^0(\phi, s, ak) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula (3.14) for  $E^0(\phi, s, g)$  we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}}ak) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}| \phi(k)}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \left( \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \varphi_s(a) ds + \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \mathcal{C}(s) P_m(s) \varphi_{n-s}(a) ds \right).$$

Now shift the contour of integration to the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{n}{2}$  (picking up possible poles) to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}}ak) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}| \phi(k)}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \left( \int_{\frac{n}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \varphi_s(a) ds + \int_{\frac{n}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \mathcal{C}(s) P_m(s) \varphi_{n-s}(a) ds + 2\pi i \sum_{j=0}^p c_j P_m(s_j) \hat{v}(-is_j) \varphi_{n-s_j}(a) \right),$$

where  $c_j = \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_j} \mathcal{C}(s)$  is the residue of  $\mathcal{C}(s)$  at the pole  $s_j$ . Now applying formula (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta_f\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \int_K \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{f(atk)} e^{-nt} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}} \Theta_f(u_{\mathbf{x}}atk) d\mathbf{x} dt dk \\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \int_K |\phi(k)|^2 dk \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \left( \int_{\frac{n}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{v(t)} e^{-nt} \varphi_s(a_t) dt ds + \int_{\frac{n}{2}-i\infty}^{\frac{n}{2}+i\infty} \hat{v}(-is) \mathcal{C}(s) P_m(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{v(t)} e^{-nt} \varphi_{n-s}(a_t) dt ds + 2\pi i \sum_{j=0}^p c_j P_m(s_j) \hat{v}(-is_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{v(t)} e^{-nt} \varphi_{n-s_j}(a_t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{v(t)} e^{-nt} \varphi_s(a_t) dt = \overline{\hat{v}(i(\bar{s} - n))}.$$

Hence (use the substitution  $s = \frac{n}{2} + ir$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta_f\|_2^2 &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}(r - \frac{n}{2}i)|^2 dr + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}(r - \frac{n}{2}i) \overline{\hat{v}(-r - \frac{n}{2}i)} \mathcal{C}(\frac{n}{2} + ir) P_m(\frac{n}{2} + ir) dr + 2\pi \sum_{j=0}^p c_j P_m(s_j) |\hat{v}(-is_j)|^2 \right) \frac{|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_\Gamma}|}{[\Gamma_\infty : \Gamma'_\infty] \nu_\Gamma} \|\phi\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now for the first term, applying Plancherel's theorem for  $v(t) e^{-\frac{n}{2}t}$  we get

$$\|\phi\|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}(r - \frac{n}{2}i)|^2 dr = \|\phi\|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v(t)|^2 e^{-nt} dt = \|f\|_2^2.$$

For the second term, using the fact that  $|\mathcal{C}(\frac{n}{2} + ir)| \leq 1$ ,  $|P_m(\frac{n}{2} + ir)| = 1$  and Cauchy-Schwarz, we see that its absolute value is bounded by the first term. Finally, for the last term we have for each pole  $2\pi|\hat{v}(-is_j)|^2 = |\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-s_j t} dt|^2$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

We can now give the proof of Proposition 4.1. Note that for  $f = \sum_{m,l} f_{m,l}$  as above, by orthogonality we have

$$\|\Theta_f\|_2^2 = \sum_{m,l} \|\Theta_{f_{m,l}}\|_2^2.$$

We can use Lemma 4.2 to estimate each of the terms  $\|\Theta_{f_{m,l}}\|_2^2$  separately and sum all the contributions.

First, for the  $L^2$ -norms we have  $\sum_{m,l} \|f_{m,l}\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2$ . Next, the contribution of the exceptional poles  $\frac{n}{2} < s_j < n$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^p c_j M_f(s_j)$ . Finally, for the pole  $s_0 = n$ , note that  $P_m(n) = 0$  except  $m = 0$ . Thus its contribution is

$$c_0 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}_{0,0}(a_t) e^{-nt} dt \right|^2 = c_0 \left| \int_{Q \backslash G} f(g) dg \right|^2 \leq c_0 \|f\|_1^2.$$

**Remark 6.** We note that if  $f$  is of the form  $f(a_t k) = v(t) \phi(k)$ , with  $v$  smooth, compactly supported and  $\phi$  any function in  $L^2(M \backslash K)$ , then Proposition 4.1 still holds by exactly the same computation.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Fix a parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , define  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda \subset L^2(Q \backslash G)$  to be the set of functions of the form

$$f(a_t k) = v(t) \phi(k)$$

with  $v$  smooth, nonnegative, compactly supported and satisfying

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-nt} dt\right)^{\frac{2s}{n}-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2(t) e^{-nt} dt\right)^{1-\frac{s}{n}}} \leq \lambda \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ , and  $\phi$  any function in  $L^2(M \backslash K)$ . In this section we will show that for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ ,

$$M_f(s) \lesssim_{s,\lambda} \|f\|_1^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ . In particular, this bound holds at the finitely many exceptional poles  $s_j$  determined by  $\Gamma$ . Hence in view of Proposition 4.1 it implies Theorem 1.2.

To show (4.2), we first give two preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $f \in L^2(Q \backslash G)$  is of the form  $f(a_t k) = v(t) \phi(k)$ , then  $M_f(s)$  can be written as*

$$M_f(s) = \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(s) \|\phi_m\|_2^2 \right) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right|^2,$$

where  $\phi_m$  denotes the projection of  $\phi$  into  $L^2(M \backslash K, m)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $m \geq 0$ , let

$$\{\psi_{m,l} \mid 1 \leq l \leq \dim L^2(M \backslash K, m)\}$$

be the fixed orthonormal basis of  $L^2(M \backslash K, m)$  as before. Then we have

$$\phi_m(k) = \sum_l c_{m,l} \psi_{m,l}(k)$$

with  $c_{m,l} = \int_K \phi(k) \overline{\psi_{m,l}(k)} dk$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|\phi_m\|_2^2 = \sum_l |c_{m,l}|^2$$

and

$$\hat{f}_{m,l}(a_t) = v(t) \int_K \phi(k) \overline{\phi_{m,l}(k)} dk = c_{m,l} v(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(s) &= \sum_{m,l} P_m(s) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}_{m,l}(a_t) e^{-st} dt \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(s) \sum_l |c_{m,l}|^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right|^2 \\ &= \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(s) \|\phi_m\|_2^2 \right) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.4.** For any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ ,  $P_m(s) \asymp_s (m+1)^{(n-2s)}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P_m(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{n-s+k}{s+k}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(P_m(s)) &= \log\left(\frac{n-s}{s}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left( \log\left(1 + \frac{n-s}{k}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{s}{k}\right) \right) \\ &= (n-2s) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k} + O_s(1) = (n-2s) \log(m+1) + O_s(1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Thus for  $f(a_t k) = v(t) \phi(k) \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  we define

$$\tilde{M}_f(s) := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)},}$$

where  $\phi_m$  is the projection of  $\phi$  into  $L^2(M \setminus K, m)$ . In view these two lemmas it suffices to prove  $\tilde{M}_f(s) \lesssim_{s,\lambda} \|f\|_1^2 + \|f\|_2^2$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We first prove for  $f = v\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  with  $\|\phi\|_2 \leq \|\phi\|_1$ . We first recall a simple inequality that for any  $y_1, y_2 > 0$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,  $y_1^\eta y_2^{1-\eta} \leq \max(y_1, y_2) \leq y_1 + y_2$ . Hence in view of (4.1), for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ , since  $(\frac{2s}{n} - 1) + (2 - \frac{2s}{n}) = 1$ , we have

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right)^2 \leq \lambda^2 \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2(t) e^{-nt} dt \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_f(s) &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} \\
&\leq \lambda^2 \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2(t) e^{-nt} dt \right) \|\phi\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \lambda^2 \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^2 \|\phi\|_1^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2(t) e^{-nt} dt \|\phi\|_2^2 \right) \\
&= \lambda^2 (\|f\|_1^2 + \|f\|_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the case  $\|\phi\|_2 > \|\phi\|_1$ . Let  $\iota := \frac{\|\phi\|_2}{\|\phi\|_1} > 1$ . We separate the summation into two parts:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} = \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor} + \sum_{m=\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}}.$$

For the first part, we invoke an estimate from spherical harmonic analysis ([17, inequality (4.4)]).<sup>2</sup> Namely, for any  $\phi \in L^2(M \setminus K)$  and  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\phi_m\|_2^2 \lesssim (m+1)^{n-1} \|\phi\|_1^2$$

with the implicit constant only depends on the dimension of  $M \setminus K$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor} \frac{\|\phi\|_1^2}{(m+1)^{1-2(n-s)}} \\
&\asymp_s \left( \iota \frac{2}{n} \right)^{2(n-s)} \|\phi\|_1^2 \quad (\text{since } 1 - 2(n-s) < 1) \\
&= \|\phi\|_1^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|\phi\|_2^{4\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} &\leq \frac{1}{\left( \iota \frac{2}{n} \right)^{(2s-n)}} \sum_{m=\lfloor \iota \frac{2}{n} \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \|\phi_m\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \iota^{-2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|\phi\|_2^2 \\
&= \|\phi\|_1^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|\phi\|_2^{4\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} \lesssim_s \|\phi\|_1^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|\phi\|_2^{4\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)}. \quad (4.3)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The exact form of inequality (4.4) in [17] is  $\|\phi_m\|_2 \lesssim m^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|\phi\|_1$ . Here we square both sides and replace  $m$  by  $m+1$  to cover the case  $m=0$ .

Thus by (4.1) and (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{M}_f(s) &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-st} dt \right)^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\phi_m\|_2^2}{(m+1)^{(2s-n)}} \\
&\lesssim_{s,\lambda} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^{2-\frac{2s}{n}} \|\phi\|_1^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|\phi\|_2^{4\left(1-\frac{s}{n}\right)} \\
&= \|f\|_1^{2\left(\frac{2s}{n}-1\right)} \|f\|_2^{2\left(2-\frac{2s}{n}\right)} \\
&\leq \|f\|_1^2 + \|f\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. LOGARITHM LAWS

Fix  $o \in \Gamma \backslash G$  and let  $\{g_t\} \subset G$  be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup in  $G$ . Let  $\text{dist}_G$  and  $\text{dist}_{\Gamma}$  be the hyperbolic distance functions on  $G/K$  and  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$  respectively. In this section we will prove logarithm laws for the unipotent flow  $\{g_t\}$ , that is

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}_{\Gamma}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} = \frac{1}{n} \quad (5.1)$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ . First we note that if (5.1) holds for  $\Gamma$ , then it also holds for any  $\Gamma' = g^{-1}\Gamma g$ . This follows from the following identity

$$\text{dist}_{\Gamma}(\Gamma h, \Gamma h') = \text{dist}_{\Gamma'}(\Gamma' g^{-1}h, \Gamma' g^{-1}h'),$$

where  $h, h'$  are any two elements in  $G$  and  $\text{dist}_{\Gamma'}$  is the hyperbolic distance function on  $\Gamma' \backslash G/K$ . Hence we can assume that  $\Gamma$  has a cusp at  $\infty$ . Fix this  $\Gamma$  and we denote  $\text{dist} = \text{dist}_{\Gamma}$  without ambiguity. Next note that  $\{g_t\}$  can be replaced by a new flow  $\{\tilde{g}_t\}$  with  $\tilde{g}_t = k^{-1}g_{\eta t}k$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $\eta > 0$ . This is because

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, x\tilde{g}_t)}{\log t} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, x'g_t)}{\log t}$$

with  $x' = xk^{-1}$ . For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}$ , denote  $u_{\mathbf{x}}^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  to be the corresponding lower triangular unipotent matrix.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Every unipotent element in  $G$  is  $K$ -conjugate to  $u_x^-$  for some  $x > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be an unipotent element in  $G$ . We first note that it suffices to show  $g$  is  $K$ -conjugate to  $u_{-x}$  for some  $x > 0$ . This is because  $u_x^-$  is conjugate to  $u_{-x}$  via  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in K$ . Next we note that since  $g$  is unipotent,  $g$  is conjugate to some element in  $N$ .

By Iwasawa decomposition and the fact that  $NA$  normalizes  $N$ ,  $g$  is  $K$ -conjugate to some element in  $N$ . Hence we can assume  $g$  is contained in  $N$ . Finally, we note that any element in  $N$  is conjugate to some  $u_{-x}$  with  $x > 0$  via the group  $M$  since the conjugation action of  $M$  on  $N$  realizes  $M$  as the rotation group of  $N$ .  $\square$

Since we can conjugate  $\{g_t\}$  by some element in  $K$  and rescale it by a positive number, in view of Lemma 5.1 we can assume the unipotent flow is given by  $\{g_t = u_t^-\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ .

5.1. **Technical lemmas.** For any  $\mathfrak{D} \subset Q \backslash G$ , we denote  $|\mathfrak{D}|$  to be its measure with respect to the right  $G$ -invariant measure on  $Q \backslash G$  as fixed in (2.5). We define the set  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}} \subset \Gamma \backslash G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{D}$  by

$$Y_{\mathfrak{D}} := \{ \Gamma g \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid Q\gamma g \in \mathfrak{D} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$$

Let  $\{r_\ell\}$  be any sequence of positive numbers such that  $r_\ell \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-nr_\ell} = \infty$ . For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , let  $p(m) > m$  be an integer such that  $\sum_{\ell=m}^{p(m)} e^{-nr_\ell} \geq 1$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $N^-$  be the subgroup of lower triangular unipotent matrices and

$$B^- = \{ u_{\mathbf{x}}^- \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}^{n-1}, |\mathbf{x}| < \frac{1}{2} \}$$

be the open ball with radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ , centered at the identity in  $N^-$ . We define the set

$$\mathfrak{D}_m := Q \backslash \bigcup_{\ell=m}^{p(m)} QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell} \subset Q \backslash G,$$

where  $A(\tau) = \{a_t \mid t \geq \tau\}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.**  $\{|\mathfrak{D}_m|\}_{m \geq 1}$  is uniformly bounded from below.

*Proof.* Note that every matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$  with  $d \neq 0$  can be written uniquely as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bd^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d'}{|d|} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d}{|d|} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |d|^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & |d| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d^{-1}c & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $NMAN^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G \mid d \neq 0 \right\}$  is a Zariski open dense subset of  $G$ . Thus there is a Zariski open dense subset in  $Q \backslash G$  which can be expressed by the coordinates  $Qg = Qa_t u_{\mathbf{x}}^-$ . We note that in these coordinates, the right  $G$ -invariant measure on  $Q \backslash G$  (up to scalars) is given by  $e^{-nt} dt d\mathbf{x}$  since this is the right Haar measure for the group  $AN^-$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}$  since  $B^- g_{-\ell_1} \cap B^- g_{-\ell_2} = \emptyset$  whenever  $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ . Hence one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{D}_m| &= \sum_{\ell=m}^{p(m)} \int_{r_\ell}^{\infty} e^{-nt} dt \int_{B^- g_{-\ell}} 1 d\mathbf{x} \\ &\asymp \sum_{\ell=m}^{p(m)} e^{-nr_\ell} \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *There is some sufficiently large integer  $L$  such that for any  $m \geq L$  and any  $x \in Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  there exists  $m \leq \ell \leq p(m)$  such that*

$$\text{dist}(o, xg_\ell) \geq r_\ell + O(1).$$

*Proof.* First recall the Siegel fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma, \tau_0, U_0}$  we fixed in (2.8), take  $L$  such that  $r_\ell - \log 2 \geq \tau_0$  for all  $\ell \geq L$ . Next using (3.10) we have that for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$QA(\tau) B^- \subset QA(\tau - \log 2) K = NA(\tau - \log 2) K. \quad (5.2)$$



Hence for  $m \geq L$ ,  $x \in Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  can be written as  $x = \Gamma g g_{-\ell}$  for some  $m \leq \ell \leq p(m)$  with  $g \in QA(r_\ell) B^- \subset NA(r_\ell - \log 2) K$ . After left multiplying by some  $\gamma \in \Gamma'_\infty$  we can assume that  $g = ua_t k$  is contained in the Siegel set  $U_0 A(r_\ell - \log 2) K$  (we can do this since  $U_0$  contains a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma'_\infty \backslash N$ ). Since  $\ell \geq m \geq L$ ,  $r_\ell - \log 2 \geq \tau_0$ . By (2.11) we have

$$\text{dist}(o, x g_\ell) = \text{dist}(o, ua_t k) = t + O(1) \geq r_\ell - \log 2 + O(1) = r_\ell + O(1). \quad \square$$

The next lemma shows that there exists a nice set sitting inside  $\mathfrak{D}_m$ . We first identify  $Q \backslash G$  with  $A \times M \backslash K$ . Let  $\text{Pr} : Q \backslash G \rightarrow M \backslash K$  be the natural projection map and

$$K(\ell) := \text{Pr}(Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell})$$

be the  $K$ -part of  $Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}$ . We note that  $K(\ell)$  is independent of  $r_\ell$ , that is,  $K(\ell) = \text{Pr}(Q \backslash QA(\tau) B^- g_{-\ell})$  for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $\ell \geq 1$ , let  $\tau_\ell = r_\ell - 2 \log(\ell) + \log 2$ . Then*

$$A(\tau_\ell) \times K(\ell) \subset Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad |A(\tau_\ell) \times K(\ell)| \asymp |Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}|$$

with the implicit constant independent of  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* For each  $k \in K(\ell)$ , define

$$I(k) := \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid Qa_t k \in Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}\}$$

and

$$t(k) := \inf I(k).$$

We first note that if  $t \in I(k)$  (that is,  $a_t k = qa_{t_0} u_{\mathbf{x}-\ell}^-$  for some  $q \in Q$ ,  $t_0 \geq r_\ell$  and  $|\mathbf{x}| < \frac{1}{2}$ ), then  $[t, \infty) \subset I(k)$ . This is because for any  $t' > t$  we have

$$a_{t'} k = a_{t'-t} a_t k = a_{t'-t} q a_{t_0} u_{\mathbf{x}-\ell}^- = q' a_{t'-t+t_0} u_{\mathbf{x}-\ell}^-,$$

and  $t' - t + t_0 > t_0 \geq r_\ell$ . Here  $q' = a_{t'-t} q a_{t-t'} \in Q$ . This implies that

$$Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell} = \bigcup_{k \in K(\ell)} A(t(k)) \times \{k\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, by (3.10), the relation  $a_t k = qa_{t_0} u_{\mathbf{x}-\ell}^-$  implies

$$t = t_0 - \log(1 + |\mathbf{x} - \ell|^2). \quad (5.4)$$

In particular, the minimality of  $t(k)$  implies that

$$t(k) = r_\ell - \log(1 + |\mathbf{x} - \ell|^2)$$

for some  $\mathbf{x}$  (determined by  $k$ ). As  $k$  ranges over  $K(\ell)$  (that is,  $\mathbf{x}$  ranges over  $B^-$ ),  $t(k)$  attains the maximal value when  $\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2}$  and the minimal value when  $\mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $t_{\ell, \pm \frac{1}{2}} = r_\ell - \log(1 + |\ell \mp \frac{1}{2}|^2)$ , then in view of (5.3) we have

$$A\left(t_{\ell, \frac{1}{2}}\right) \times K(\ell) \subset Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell} \subset A\left(t_{\ell, -\frac{1}{2}}\right) \times K(\ell).$$

Next, note that  $e^{-nt_{\ell, \frac{1}{2}}} \asymp e^{-nt_{\ell, -\frac{1}{2}}} \asymp e^{-nr_\ell \ell^{2n}}$ , hence

$$\left|A\left(t_{\ell, \frac{1}{2}}\right) \times K(\ell)\right| \asymp |Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}| \asymp \left|A\left(t_{\ell, -\frac{1}{2}}\right) \times K(\ell)\right|.$$

Finally, note that  $t_{\ell, \frac{1}{2}} \leq \tau_\ell$  and  $e^{-nt_{\ell, \frac{1}{2}}} \asymp e^{-n\tau_\ell}$ , hence

$$A(\tau_\ell) \times K(\ell) \subset Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad |A(\tau_\ell) \times K(\ell)| \asymp |Q \backslash QA(r_\ell) B^- g_{-\ell}|. \quad \square$$

**Remark 7.** Later we will take  $r_\ell = \frac{1+\epsilon}{n} \log \ell$  with  $\epsilon$  some fixed small positive number. We note that in this case we can take  $p(m) = 2m$ . Moreover, since  $\tau_m \geq \tau_\ell$  and  $e^{-n\tau_m} \asymp e^{-n\tau_\ell} \asymp m^{(2n-1+\epsilon)}$  for all  $m \leq \ell \leq 2m$ , in view of Lemma 5.5 we have

$$A(\tau_m) \times K_m \subset \mathfrak{D}_m \quad \text{and} \quad |A(\tau_m) \times K_m| \asymp |\mathfrak{D}_m|,$$

where  $K_m := \cup_{\ell=m}^{2m} K(\ell)$ .

**5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Now we can give the proof of logarithm laws.

**Upper bound.** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $r_\ell = \frac{1+\epsilon}{n} \log(\ell)$ . By (2.12) the sets

$$\{x \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid xg_\ell \in B_{r_\ell}\} = B_{r_\ell} g_{-\ell}$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sigma(B_{r_\ell} g_{-\ell}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sigma(B_{r_\ell}) \asymp \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^{1+\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

Hence by Borel-Cantelli lemma the set

$$\{x \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid xg_\ell \in B_{r_\ell} \text{ for finitely many } \ell\}$$

has full measure. This implies that

$$\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_\ell)}{\log \ell} \leq \frac{1+\epsilon}{n}$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ . Moreover, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\ell = \lfloor t \rfloor$ , we have

$$|\text{dist}(o, xg_t) - \text{dist}(o, xg_\ell)| \leq \text{dist}(xg_t, xg_\ell) \leq \text{dist}_G(e, g_{t-\ell}) = O(1),$$

hence we can replace the discrete limit over  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with a continuous limit over  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} \leq 1$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ .

**Lower bound.** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $r_\ell = \frac{1-\epsilon}{n} \log \ell$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  and  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  be as above. Note that in this case, for the definition of  $\mathfrak{D}_m$  we can take  $p(m) = 2m$ . We first prove the following

**Lemma 5.5.** *There is a constant  $\kappa_\Gamma > 0$  depending only on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}) \geq \kappa_\Gamma$  for all  $m \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_m = r_m - 2 \log(m) + \log 2$  be as above. Let  $T$  be a sufficiently large integer such that

$$\frac{1}{2n} e^{-n\tau_m} \leq \int_{\tau_m}^T e^{-nt} dt \leq \frac{2}{n} e^{-n\tau_m} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2n} e^{-s\tau_m} \leq \int_{\tau_m}^T e^{-st} dt \leq \frac{4}{n} e^{-s\tau_m} \quad (5.5)$$

for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$  and  $m \geq 1$ . We identify the subgroup  $A$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  by sending  $a_t$  to  $t$ . For every  $m \geq 1$  define the set

$$\mathfrak{D}'_m := [\tau_m, T] \times K_m,$$

where  $K_m = \cup_{\ell=m}^{2m} K(\ell)$ . By Remark 7 we have  $\mathfrak{D}'_m \subset \mathfrak{D}_m$  and  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m| \asymp |\mathfrak{D}_m|$ . In particular, by Lemma 5.2,  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m| \gtrsim 1$  are uniformly bounded from below for all  $m \geq 1$ . Using the same unfolding trick as we did in Lemma 3.1, one has the following identity

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}(g) d\sigma(g) = \frac{\omega_\Gamma}{\nu_\Gamma} \int_{Q \backslash G} \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}(g) dg$$

where  $\omega_\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma_\infty \backslash Q} dq$  and  $\nu_\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} dg$ . By Cauchy-Schwartz and the fact that  $\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}$  is supported on  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\omega_\Gamma}{\nu_\Gamma} \right)^2 |\mathfrak{D}'_m|^2 &= \left( \int_{Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}} \Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}(g) d\sigma(g) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}) \|\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now in view of (5.5) we can take  $f_m = v_m \mathbb{1}_{K_m}$  with  $\mathbb{1}_{K_m}$  the characteristic function of  $K_m$  and  $v_m$  approximating  $\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_{2m}, T]}$  from above sufficiently well such that

- (1)  $v_m$  is smooth, compactly supported and takes values in  $[0, 1]$ ;
- (2)  $\frac{1}{3n} \leq \frac{\int v_m(t) e^{-nt} dt}{e^{-n\tau_m}}, \frac{\int v_m^2(t) e^{-nt} dt}{e^{-n\tau_m}} \leq \frac{3}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{3n} \leq \frac{\int v_m(t) e^{-st} dt}{e^{-s\tau_m}} \leq \frac{5}{n}$  for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$ ;
- (3)  $\|f_m\|_1 \leq 2|\mathfrak{D}'_m|$ .

In particular, for any  $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$

$$\frac{\int v_m(t) e^{-st} dt}{\left( \int v_m(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^{\frac{2s}{n}-1} \left( \int v_m^2(t) e^{-nt} dt \right)^{1-\frac{s}{n}}} \leq \frac{\frac{5}{n} e^{-s\tau_m}}{\left( \frac{1}{3n} e^{-n\tau_m} \right)^{\frac{2s}{n}-1+1-\frac{s}{n}}} < 15.$$

Hence  $\{f_m\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{15}$  and by Theorem 1.2 we can bound

$$\|\Theta_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}}\|_2^2 \leq \|\Theta_{f_m}\|_2^2 \lesssim_\Gamma \|f_m\|_1^2 + \|f_m\|_2^2.$$

Next, since  $\|f_m\|_2^2 \leq \|f_m\|_1$ ,  $\|f_m\|_1 \leq 2|\mathfrak{D}'_m|$  and  $|\mathfrak{D}'_m| \gtrsim 1$ , we can bound

$$\|f_m\|_1^2 + \|f_m\|_2^2 \leq \|f_m\|_1^2 + \|f_m\|_1 \leq 4|\mathfrak{D}'_m|^2 + 2|\mathfrak{D}'_m| \lesssim |\mathfrak{D}'_m|^2.$$

Finally, since  $Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m} \subset Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$ , we conclude that there is a constant  $\kappa_\Gamma > 0$  (independent of  $m$ ) such that  $\sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}) \geq \sigma(Y_{\mathfrak{D}'_m}) \geq \kappa_\Gamma$  for any  $m \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Now consider the set  $\mathcal{B}_\epsilon := \cap_{\ell=L}^\infty \cup_{m=\ell}^\infty Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$ , where  $L$  is as in Lemma 5.3. Then  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_\epsilon) \geq \kappa_\Gamma > 0$  by Lemma 5.5. Moreover, by Lemma 5.3, for any  $m \geq L, x \in Y_{\mathfrak{D}_m}$  there is some  $\ell \geq m$  such that  $\text{dist}(o, xg_\ell) \geq r_\ell + O(1)$ . Hence for any  $x \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$  there is a sequence  $\ell_m \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\text{dist}(o, xg_{\ell_m}) \geq r_{\ell_m} + O(1)$ . Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_\epsilon \subset \left\{ x \in \Gamma \backslash G \mid \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} \geq \frac{1-\epsilon}{n} \right\}.$$

Since the latter set is invariant under the action of  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , by ergodicity it has full measure. Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{dist}(o, xg_t)}{\log t} \geq \frac{1}{n}$$

for  $\sigma$ -a.e.  $x \in \Gamma \backslash G$ .

**Remark 8.** The same argument works for  $r_\ell = \frac{1}{n} \log \ell + O(1)$  with taking  $p(m) = 2m$ . Hence we can show that the sequence of nested cusp neighborhoods  $\{B_{r_\ell}\}$  with  $\sigma(B_{r_\ell}) \asymp \frac{1}{\ell}$  is Borel-Cantelli for unipotent flows in this setting.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, On the fixed points of Möbius transformations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Annales Academiae*, 1985, 15-27.
- [2] J. Athreya, Logarithm laws and shrinking target properties, *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences* 119.4 (2009): 541-557.
- [3] J. Athreya, Cusp excursions on parameter spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2013) 87 (3): 741-765.
- [4] J. Athreya and G. Margulis, Logarithm laws for unipotent flows, I. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 3 (2009), no. 3, 359-378.
- [5] J. Athreya and G. Margulis. Logarithm laws for unipotent flows, II. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, Volume 11 (2017), 1-16.
- [6] A. Borel, Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem. *J. Differential Geometry* 6 (1972), 543-560.
- [7] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke, Vahlens group of Clifford matrices and spingroups. *Math. Z.* 196 (1987), 369-390.
- [8] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke, Kloosterman sums for Clifford algebras and a lower bound for the positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian for congruence subgroups acting on hyperbolic spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 101 (3) (1990) 641-668.
- [9] H. Garland and M. S. Raghunathan, Fundamental domains for lattices in  $(\mathbb{R})$ -rank 1 semi-simple groups. *Ann. of Math.*, 92 (1970), 279-326.
- [10] P. Garrett, Harmonic analysis on spheres.  
[http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes\\_2013-14/09\\_spheres.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes_2013-14/09_spheres.pdf).
- [11] V. Gritsenko, Arithmetic of quaternions and Eisenstein Series. Translation in *J. Soviet Math.*, 52 (1990), no. 3, 3056-3063.
- [12] D. Kelmer and A. Mohammadi, Logarithm laws for one parameter unipotent flows. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22, no. 3, 756-784 (2012).
- [13] D. Y. Kleinbock and G. A. Margulis, Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 138 no. 3 (1999), 451-494.
- [14] A. W. Knap, Lie groups beyond an introduction, second edition, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 140, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [15] R.P. Langlands, On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, SLN 544, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976. MR 58:28319.
- [16] J. R. Parker, Hyperbolic spaces. <http://maths.dur.ac.uk/~dma0jrp/img/HSjyvaskyla.pdf>.
- [17] C. D. Sogge, Oscillatory integrals and spherical harmonics. *Duke Math. J.*, 53 (1986), 43-65.
- [18] D. Sullivan, Disjoint spheres, approximation by imaginary quadratic numbers, and the logarithm law for geodesics. *Acta Math.*, 149 (1982), no. 3-4, 215-237.
- [19] T. Ton-That, Lie group representations and harmonic polynomials of a matrix variable. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 216 (1976), 1-46.
- [20] G. Warner, Selberg's trace formula for non-uniform lattices: The  $\mathbb{R}$ -rank one case. *Studies in algebra and number theory*, *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud.*, 6, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON COLLEGE, CHESTNUT HILL MA 02467-3806, USA  
*E-mail address:* shucheng.yu@bc.edu