

Reproducing pairs and Gabor systems at critical density

Michael Speckbacher and Peter Balazs*

Abstract

We use the concept of reproducing pairs to study Gabor systems at critical density. First, we present a generalization of the Balian-Low theorem to the reproducing pairs setting. Then, we prove our main result that there exists a reproducing partner for the Gabor system of integer time-frequency shifts of the Gaussian. In other words, the coefficients for this Gabor expansion of a square integrable function can be calculated using inner products with an unstructured family of vectors in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This solves the possibly last open question for this system.

MSC2010: 42C15, 42C40

Keywords: Gabor systems, reproducing pairs, critical density, Zak transform, Balian-Low theorem

1 Introduction

The main objective of Gabor analysis is to understand the conditions and obstructions on the family $G(g, \Lambda) := \{T_{\lambda_1} M_{\lambda_2} g\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to be a frame. There exists, however, a great abundance of windows g and lattices Λ generating Gabor families which are, on the one hand, complete and, on the other hand, violate at least one of the frame bounds. The well-known Balian-Low theorem, for example, states that the window function of a Gabor frame at critical density ($\Lambda = a\mathbb{Z} \times a^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$) cannot be well localized on the time-frequency plane. In fact, there are many more properties of a window function that prevent a system from being a Gabor frame, see [16] for an overview. It is therefore reasonable to change perspective and apply approaches beyond frame theory to Gabor families at critical density. Several generalizations of frames, such as semi-frames or reproducing pairs have been introduced. A reproducing pair [24] consists of two vector families

*Acoustics Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Wohllebengasse 12-14, 1040 Vienna, Austria, E-mail: speckbacher@kfs.oeaw.ac.at, peter.balazs@oeaw.ac.at

(not necessarily frames) instead of a single one that generates a bounded and invertible analysis/synthesis process in a Hilbert space. Observe that, for Gabor families, there is a conceptual similarity to weakly dual Gabor systems [13], where the analysis/synthesis process is considered in terms of a Gelfand triplet.

The main incentive for this paper is to investigate whether the obstructions for Gabor frames at critical density still hold for reproducing pairs. That is, is there a reproducing pair where one of the two families is a Gabor system generated by a well localized window? First, we will consider the case of reproducing pairs consisting of two Gabor systems and derive a Balian-Low like result. Then we will turn our focus on the study of the Gabor family of integer time-frequency shifts of the Gaussian φ which is probably the most studied object in Gabor analysis.

Already in 1932, von Neumann [25] claimed without proof that the system $\mathcal{G} := \{T_k M_l \varphi\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is complete in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It was only in the 1970's that Perelomov [22], Bargmann et al. [7] and Bacry et al. [6] presented rigorous proofs of the completeness in the context of coherent states.

A second problem was formulated by Gabor [14] in 1946 when he asked if there exists a linear coefficient map $A : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}$, such that the expansion

$$f = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} (Af)(k,l) T_k M_l \varphi, \quad (1)$$

converges for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The answer to this question is more subtle. Janssen [18] showed that such a coefficient map exists. The coefficients, however, grow polynomially and (1) converges only in the sense of tempered distributions.

As the Balian-Low theorem tells us that \mathcal{G} cannot be a frame one might ask if there is a dual window $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that $(Af)(k,l) = \langle f, T_k M_l \gamma \rangle$ in (1). The answer is "no". This can easily be seen using Zak transform methods which results in Bastiaans' dual window [8], a bounded function that is not in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, it also follows from the Balian-Low like result that we will show in Section 3 or from a result by Daubechies and Janssen [10].

In this paper, we will consider a problem which is intermediate to the second and third question and that is yet unsolved: can the coefficient map A be calculated using inner products with an unstructured family in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, that is, is there a system $\Psi = \{\psi_{k,l}\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that $(Af)(k,l) = \langle f, \psi_{k,l} \rangle$ with the series (1) converging weakly?

We will use a characterization of reproducing pairs from [5] in our proof to show our main result in Theorem 12: the existence of a reproducing partner. This family of vectors however is totally unstructured and cannot be represented as a shift-invariant system. Our result can be reformulated in several contexts. For example, there is a dual system for the complete

Bessel-sequence \mathcal{G} , or there is a family of vectors Ψ making (\mathcal{G}, Ψ) a reproducing pair.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the basics of reproducing pairs and Gabor theory needed in the course of this article. Section 3 is devoted reproducing pairs of two Gabor systems and a generalization of the Balian-Low theorem. In Section 4 we investigate the existence of a reproducing partner for the system \mathcal{G} .

2 Preliminaries and notation

Let $\omega \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We denote the Fourier transform of a function $f : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)[k] = \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d} f(\omega) e^{-2\pi i k \cdot \omega} d\omega,$$

and the discrete-time Fourier transform of a sequence $c : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_d(c)(\omega) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c[k] e^{-2\pi i k \cdot \omega}.$$

2.1 Frames and semi-frames

Frames have been introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaeffer [11] as a generalization of orthonormal bases. A countable family of vectors $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called a frame if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2)$$

For a thorough introduction to frame theory, see [9]. Frame theory has proven its usefulness in many different fields like sampling theory [1] or theoretical physics [2]. However, it is sometimes impossible to satisfy both frame bounds at the same time. We will see an example of this situation in Section 2.3. Hence, several generalizations of frames like reproducing pairs (see Section 2.2) or semi-frames [3, 4] have been introduced. The basic idea of semi-frames is to consider complete families $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ that only satisfy one of the inequalities in (2). In particular, $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called a lower semi-frame if

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (3)$$

and is called an upper semi-frame if

$$0 < \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} |\langle f, \psi_k \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (4)$$

An upper semi-frame is often also called a complete Bessel sequence. Many results from frame theory can be extended to the setting of semi-frames. The following Lemma can be found in [3, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 1 *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ be an upper semi-frame with bound B , and $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of vectors satisfying*

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \langle \psi_k, g \rangle, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

then Ψ is a lower semi-frame with lower bound B^{-1} .

2.2 Reproducing pairs

The concept of reproducing pairs has been introduced recently in [24] and studied in more detail in [5]. The main idea is to omit both frame bounds and to consider two vector families (instead of a single one) that generate a bounded and boundedly invertible analysis/synthesis process.

Although the general definition in [5] is given with respect to arbitrary Borel measures, we will only present the discrete setting here as we will study exclusively discrete Gabor systems throughout this paper.

Definition 1 *Let $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$, $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ be two families in \mathcal{H} . The pair (Ψ, Φ) is called a reproducing pair for \mathcal{H} if the operator $S_{\Psi, \Phi} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, weakly given by*

$$\langle S_{\Psi, \Phi} f, g \rangle := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k, g \rangle, \quad (5)$$

is bounded and boundedly invertible.

Given a family Ψ , any system Φ for which (Ψ, Φ) is a reproducing pair is called a reproducing partner for Ψ .

Let $\mathcal{V}_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$ be the space of all sequences $\xi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \xi[k] \langle \phi_k, g \rangle \right| \leq c \|g\|, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence, Riesz representation theorem guarantees that the synthesis operator $D_\Phi : \mathcal{V}_\Phi(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, weakly given by

$$\langle D_\Phi \xi, g \rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \xi[k] \langle \phi_k, g \rangle,$$

is well-defined. By definition, $\mathcal{V}_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$ is the most general domain such that the synthesis operator converges weakly. The proof of the following result can be found in [5, Theorem 4.1]. It answers the question of the existence of a reproducing partner for a given family in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2 Let $\Phi = \{\phi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a family of vectors and $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . There exists another family Ψ , such that (Ψ, Φ) is a reproducing pair if, and only if,

(i) $\text{Ran } D_\Phi = \mathcal{H}$ and

(ii) there exists a family $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \subset \mathcal{V}_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$ such that

$$D_\Phi \xi_k = e_k, \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} |\xi_k[n]|^2 < \infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (6)$$

A possible reproducing partner $\Psi = \{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ is then given by

$$\psi_n := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \overline{\xi_k[n]} e_k.$$

The conditions (i) and (ii) can be interpreted in several ways. First, Property (i) ensures the existence of a linear operator $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\Phi(\mathcal{I})$ satisfying $f = D_\Phi A(f)$, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. For an example of a complete system that does not satisfy (i), see [5, Section 6.2.3]. Property (ii) guarantees that $A(f)$ can be calculated by taking inner products of f with another family $\Psi \subset \mathcal{H}$. Second, (i) and (ii) guarantee that $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an orthonormal basis of its closed linear span with respect to the inner product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_\Phi := \langle D_\Phi \xi, D_\Phi \eta \rangle$. The second condition of (ii) then assures that this space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

2.3 Gabor analysis

Let $\lambda = (x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be a point on the time-frequency plane, a time-frequency shift of a function g by λ is given by

$$\pi(\lambda)g(t) := T_x M_\omega g(t) = e^{2\pi i \omega(t-x)} g(t-x).$$

The short-time Fourier transform V_g of a function f is given by

$$V_g f(\lambda) := \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle.$$

A Gabor system is a discrete family of functions generated by time-frequency shifts of a single window function $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$G(g, \Lambda) := \{\pi(\lambda)g\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Let $a, b > 0$, the Gabor system using a rectangular lattice is given by

$$G(g, a, b) := \{\pi(an, bm)g\}_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

The product $(ab)^{-1}$ is called the density or redundancy of the Gabor system. If $G(g, a, b)$ is a frame, then $ab \leq 1$ necessarily holds, see [15, Corollary

7.5.1]. The case $ab = 1$ is called critical density. To keep notation simple, we will write for short $g_{an,bm} := T_{an}M_{bm}g$.

The Balian-Low theorem states that, at critical density, there are no Gabor frames using a window which is well-localized both in time and frequency.

Theorem 3 (Amalgam Balian-Low Theorem) *Let $ab = 1$ and the Gabor system $G(g, a, b)$ be a frame, then both $g \notin W_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{g} \notin W_0(\mathbb{R})$, where*

$$W_0(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ess sup}_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x+n)| < \infty\}.$$

This obstruction motivates our approach to use reproducing pair methods to study Gabor systems generated by well localized windows at critical density. First, we will investigate if it is possible to choose another Gabor system as the reproducing partner. Such a family necessarily satisfies the lower but not the upper frame bound by Lemma 1. Thus, the generating window function cannot be well localized. Second, we will use Theorem 2 to check the existence of unstructured reproducing partners.

The analysis of the conditions of Theorem 2 heavily depends on the particular window function. Thus, we will focus on the system of integer time-frequency shift ($a = b = 1$) of the normalized Gaussian

$$\varphi_\sigma(t) = (2/\sigma)^{1/4} e^{-\pi t^2/\sigma}.$$

However, we are convinced that the recipe for our proof also works for other window functions. As in [19, Section 2.2] we will assume that $\sigma = 1$ and use the notation $\varphi := \varphi_1$ and $\mathcal{G} := G(\varphi, 1, 1)$.

We end this introductory part to Gabor system with defining the modulation spaces M_s^p . Let $v_s(x, \omega) := (1 + |x| + |\omega|)^s$, $s > 0$, and g be some nonzero Schwartz function, then M_s^p is defined as follows

$$M_s^p := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : V_g f \cdot v_s \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

In particular, the spaces M_s^1 are commonly seen as the appropriate class of window functions for Gabor analysis. For an overview on modulation spaces, see [12].

3 A Balian-Low like theorem for reproducing pairs

The Zak transform of a function f is given by

$$Z_a f(x, \omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x - ak) e^{2\pi i a \omega k}.$$

We list some of its most important properties. An extensive description can be found for example in [15, Chapter 8]. It is easy to see that $Z_a f$ is periodic in the second variable and quasiperiodic in the first variable, that is, for $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$Z_a f(x + ak, \omega + l/a) = e^{2\pi i ak\omega} Z f(x, \omega).$$

Moreover, $Z_a : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{Q}_a)$ is a unitary operator, where $\mathcal{Q}_a := [0, a] \times [0, 1/a]$. Regularity of a function is preserved by the Zak transform. To be more precise, if $f \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$, then $Z_a f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ if, and only if, $Z_a f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The most important property of the Zak transform in the context of Gabor analysis is, however, that it diagonalizes the Gabor frame operator. For $ab = 1$, $f, g, \gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$Z_a(S_{g,\gamma}f) = a \cdot \overline{Z_a g} \cdot Z_a \gamma \cdot Z_a f. \quad (7)$$

Hence, $(G(g, a, 1/a), G(\gamma, a, 1/a))$ is a reproducing pair if, and only if,

$$0 < m \leq |Z_a g \cdot Z_a \gamma| \leq M < \infty, \text{ almost everywhere,} \quad (8)$$

and $S_{g,\gamma} = I$ if, and only if, $\overline{Z_a g} \cdot Z_a \gamma = 1/a$, almost everywhere.

There is a close connection to Gabor Schauder bases. Heil and Powell [17, Theorem 5.10] have shown that $G(g, 1, 1)$ is a Gabor Schauder basis if, and only if, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any intervals $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\frac{1}{|I|^2|J|^2} \cdot \int_{I \times J} |Zg(x, \omega)|^2 dx d\omega \cdot \int_{I \times J} \frac{1}{|Zg(x, \omega)|^2} dx d\omega \leq C.$$

In particular, any Schauder basis $G(g, 1, 1)$ and its dual basis $G(\gamma, 1, 1)$ form a reproducing pair.

Example 1 *We try to "trick" the Amalgam Balian-Low theorem by constructing a reproducing pair using window functions g, γ such that $g \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$, that is, g is well localized on the time-frequency plane. Observe that γ is then necessarily badly localized. Define $\vartheta(t) := t^{1/4}(1-t)^{1/4}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and*

$$Z_a g_a(x, \omega) := e^{2\pi i x/a \cdot (a \cdot \omega \bmod 1)} \vartheta(a \cdot \omega \bmod 1),$$

then $Z_a g_a$ is quasiperiodic in x , periodic in ω and continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 . Moreover, $g_a \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\widehat{g}_a(\omega) = \vartheta(a\omega) \cdot \chi_{[0, 1/a]}(\omega) \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\gamma_a := Z_a^{-1}(1/\overline{Z_a g_a}) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $(G(g_a, a, 1/a), G(\gamma_a, a, 1/a))$ is a reproducing pair for every $a > 0$.

However, it turns out that already rather mild decay conditions on the time-frequency distribution of the windows exclude the possibility of reproducing pairs using two Gabor systems. Daubechies and Janssen [10] obtained a first result in this direction.




Figure 1: Plot of the functions g_1 (left) and γ_1 (right) in Example 1. The solid (resp. dashed) line shows the real (resp. imaginary) part of the functions g_1 and γ_1 .¹

Theorem 4 *Let $(G(g, 1, 1), G(\gamma, 1, 1))$ be a reproducing pair, then neither $g \in M_2^2$ nor $\gamma \in M_2^2$.*

In this paper we will show a similar result where we replace the modulation space M_2^2 by M_1^1 . Note that this is a new result as both spaces do not embed into each other.

The following Proposition is a simple consequence of Janssen's characterization of the modulation space M^1 via the Zak transform [21].

Proposition 5 *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f \in M_s^1$, then $Z_a f \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Proof: Let $f \in M_s^1$ and $g_1, g_2 \in M_s^1$ such that $Z_a g_1$ and $Z_a g_2$ have no common zeros. Adapting the argument of [21, Theorem 4.1] yields that $Z_a f \cdot \overline{Z_a g_n}$, $n = 1, 2$, can be expressed by an Fourier series

$$(Z_a f \cdot \overline{Z_a g_n})(x, \omega) = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n(k, l) e^{2\pi i k x / a + 2\pi i a l \omega}, \quad n = 1, 2,$$

with coefficients $\{c_n(k, l)\}_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_{v_s}^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. Hence, if $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq s$, $\{k^{\beta_1} l^{\beta_2} c_n(k, l)\}_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ which in turn implies that the Fourier series of the derivative

$$D^\beta (Z_a f \cdot \overline{Z_a g_n})(x, \omega) = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} (2\pi i)^{|\beta|} (k/a)^{\beta_1} (a l)^{\beta_2} c_n(k, l) e^{2\pi i k x / a + 2\pi i a l \omega},$$

converges absolutely for all $|\beta| \leq s$. Hence, $Z_a f \cdot \overline{Z_a g_n} \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for $n = 1, 2$. We may choose g_1, g_2 to be Schwartz functions which guarantees that $Z_a g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. As $Z_a g_1$ and $Z_a g_2$ have no common zeros, it follows that $Z_a f \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$. \square

¹In the spirit of reproducible research we provide a Matlab/Octave script at https://www.kfs.oeaw.ac.at/doc/RepPairGabor/rep_pair_gabor.m which generates the plots of Figure 1.

Lemma 6 *Let $F \in L^2(\mathcal{Q}_a)$ be Lipschitz and assume that there exists $z^* \in \mathcal{Q}_a$ with $F(z^*) = 0$, then $1/F \notin L^2(\mathcal{Q}_a)$.*

Proof: Let L be the Lipschitz constant of F and $F(z^*) = 0$, then

$$|F(z)|^2 \leq L^2 \|z - z^*\|^2, \quad \forall z \in B_\delta(z^*).$$

Hence, $1/F \notin L^2([0, 1]^2)$, as $1/|F(z)|^2 \geq L^{-2} \|z - z^*\|^{-2}$ on $B_\delta(z^*)$. \square

Corollary 7 *Let $(G(g, a, 1/a), G(\gamma, a, 1/a))$ be a reproducing pair, then both $g \notin M_1^1$ and $\gamma \notin M_1^1$.*

Proof: Assume without loss of generality that $g \in M_1^1$, then $Z_a g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by Proposition 5, $|Z_a \gamma| \geq m/|Z_a g|$ by (8) and there exists $z^* \in \mathcal{Q}_a$ such that $Z_a g(z^*) = 0$. However, $Z_a \gamma \notin L^2(\mathcal{Q}_a)$ by Lemma 6 and consequently $\gamma \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a contradiction. \square

4 In quest of a reproducing partner for \mathcal{G}

For the rest of this paper, we focus on the study of \mathcal{G} . As already mentioned in the introduction, \mathcal{G} is a complete Bessel family but not a frame. By Corollary 7, there is no dual Gabor system for \mathcal{G} . We will use Theorem 2 to show that the expansion coefficients can be calculated via inner products. Finally, we show that any reproducing partner for \mathcal{G} cannot have shift-invariant structure in time or frequency domain.

4.1 The range of $D_{\mathcal{G}}$

Condition (i) in Theorem 2 is satisfied. This is a consequence of [18, Theorem 4.7], which states that for every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a sequence ξ such that $f = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi[n, m] T_n M_m \varphi$ with convergence in \mathcal{S}' -sense. Consequently, the series converges weakly for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, that is, $\text{Ran } D_{\mathcal{G}} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In order to verify condition (ii), that is, that there exists $\{\xi_{k,l}\}_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ such that $D_{\mathcal{G}} \xi_{k,l} = e_{k,l}$ and $\sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 < \infty$, for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we need some auxiliary results.

4.2 Solving $D_{\mathcal{G}} \xi = e_{k,l}$

Lemma 8 *Let $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The sequence ξ_0 is a (weak) solution of $D_{\mathcal{G}} \xi = \gamma$ if, and only if, $S_{k,l} \xi_0$ is a (weak) solution to $D_{\mathcal{G}} \xi = \gamma_{k,l}$, where $S_{k,l}$ denotes the index-shift operator*

$$S_{k,l} c[n, m] := c[n - k, m - l].$$

Proof: Let ξ_0 be a (weak) solution to $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi = \gamma$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{k,l} &= T_k M_l \gamma = T_k M_l (T_{\mathcal{G}} \xi_0) = T_k M_l \left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_0[n,m] T_n M_m \varphi \right) \\ &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_0[n,m] T_{k+n} M_{l+m} \varphi = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_0[n-k, m-l] T_n M_m \varphi \\ &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}_{k,l} \xi_0)[n,m] T_n M_m \varphi = D_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{S}_{k,l} \xi_0).\end{aligned}$$

The reversed implication follows with the same argument. \square

Hence, in order to find all solutions of $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi = \gamma_{k,l}$, it remains to find one particular weak solution of $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi = \gamma$ and characterize the kernel of $D_{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}^2)$.

4.2.1 Characterizing the kernel of $D_{\mathcal{G}}$

In this section, we will see that any weak solution of $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi = 0$ is given by a two dimensional polynomial evaluated on \mathbb{Z}^2 times an oscillating sign factor. This result can already be found in [18].

As \mathcal{G} is total in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that $\xi \in \text{Ker } D_{\mathcal{G}}$ if, and only if, $\langle D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi, \varphi_{n,m} \rangle = 0$, for every $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. It holds

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi, \varphi_{n,m} \rangle &= \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi[k,l] \langle T_k M_l \varphi, T_n M_m \varphi \rangle = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi[k,l] \langle T_{k-n} M_{l-m} \varphi, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi[k,l] \vartheta[n-k, m-l] = (\xi * \vartheta)[n,m],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vartheta[n,m] := \langle T_{-n} M_{-m} \varphi, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{nm} e^{-\pi(n^2+m^2)/2},$$

and the last equality holds by [15, Lemma 1.5.2].

Let $\xi * \vartheta = 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_d(\xi) \cdot \mathcal{F}_d(\vartheta) = 0$ holds at least in the sense of periodic distributions. Hence, we intend to characterize those periodic distributions Λ such that $\Lambda \cdot \Theta = 0$, where $\Theta := \mathcal{F}_d(\vartheta)$. The function Θ can be expressed analytically as

$$\Theta(\omega) = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{nm} e^{-\pi(n^2+m^2)/2} e^{-2\pi i(n\omega_1+m\omega_2)} \quad (9)$$

$$= \theta_3(\pi\omega_1, e^{-\pi/2}) \cdot \theta_3(2\pi\omega_2, e^{-2\pi}) + \theta_4(\pi\omega_1, e^{-\pi/2}) \cdot \theta_2(2\pi\omega_2, e^{-2\pi}),$$

where $\omega \in [0,1)^2$ and θ_k denotes the k -th Jacobi theta function, see for example [26]. This function has been studied in [18, Theorem 3.5]. We will state the results in the following Lemma.

Lemma 9 Set $\omega_0 := (1/2, 1/2)$. The function $\Theta \in C^\infty([0, 1]^2)$ has the following properties:

- (i) $\Theta(\omega) \geq 0, \forall \omega \in [0, 1]^2$,
- (ii) $\Theta(\omega) = 0$ if, and only if, $\omega = \omega_0$,
- (iii) $D^{(2,0)}\Theta(\omega_0) = D^{(0,2)}\Theta(\omega_0) > 0$.

A particular consequence of Lemma 9 is that any periodic distribution Λ satisfying $\Lambda \cdot \Theta = 0$ is supported on $\{\omega_0\}$. Thus, by [23, Theorem 6.25] there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and coefficients $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, such that

$$\Lambda = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} c_\alpha D^\alpha \delta_{\omega_0}, \quad (10)$$

Applying the inverse Fourier transform to Λ immediately shows the following result.

Corollary 10 Every sequence $p \in \text{Ker } D_{\mathcal{G}}$ can be written as

$$p[n, m] = (-1)^{n+m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} c_\alpha \cdot n^{\alpha_1} m^{\alpha_2}.$$

4.2.2 Calculation of ξ_0

We choose the orthonormal basis $\{e_{n,m}\}_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Theorem 2 to be the Gabor system $G(\gamma, 1, 1)$ with $\gamma := \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}$. By Lemma 8 it remains to find a weak solution of $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi = \gamma$.

A first attempt in finding the expansion coefficients for \mathcal{G} can be found in [8]. In this paper, Bastiaans constructed a window that yields the expansion coefficients for a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see also [20, Section 4.4]. Bastiaans' dual window [8] is analytically given by

$$\psi(t) = C_\psi e^{\pi t^2} \sum_{n > |t| - 1/2} (-1)^n e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2}, \quad (11)$$

for some constant $C_\psi > 0$. The function ψ is defined as $\psi := Z^{-1}(1/\overline{Z(\varphi)})$ and has the property that ψ is bounded but not contained in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, that is, $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Janssen showed in [20, Section 4.4] that, for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $|\langle f, T_k M_l \psi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$, for every fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|k| \rightarrow \infty$, the following series converges in the sense of tempered distributions

$$f = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, T_k M_l \psi \rangle T_k M_l \varphi. \quad (12)$$

We will prove that this condition implies weak convergence for a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 11 For every function $f \in \mathcal{M}$, where

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : f = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} c[k,l] T_k M_l \gamma, \|c\|_1 < \infty \right\},$$

equality (12) holds weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\xi_0[k,l] := \langle \gamma, T_k M_l \psi \rangle$ is a weak solution of $T_g \xi = \gamma$.

Proof: Recall that $G(\gamma, 1, 1)$ is an orthonormal basis. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c[k,l]| \|T_k M_l \gamma\|_1 = \|c\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c[k,l]|^2 \leq \|c\|_1^2.$$

Let $k \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \gamma, T_k M_l \psi \rangle| &\leq \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |\psi(t-k)| dt \leq C \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi(t-k)^2} dt \sum_{n \geq |k|} e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2} \\ &= C \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi(t-|k|)^2 - \pi(|k|+1/2)^2} dt \sum_{n \geq |k|} e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2 + \pi(|k|+1/2)^2} \\ &\leq C \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi(t^2 - 1/4 - |k|(2t+1))} dt \leq C \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{-\pi|k|(2t+1)} dt \\ &= \frac{C}{2\pi|k|} (1 - e^{-2\pi|k|}) \leq \frac{C}{2\pi(1+|k|)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $e^{\pi t^2}$ is even and that $t^2 - 1/4 < 0$ on $(-1/2, 1/2)$. Observe that the constant C is independent of k as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq |k|} e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2 + \pi(|k|+1/2)^2} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\pi(n+|k|+1/2)^2 + \pi(|k|+1/2)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\pi(n^2 + 2n|k| + n)} \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-\pi(n^2 + n)} = C < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let now $f = \sum_{n,m} c[n,m] T_n M_m \gamma$ with $c \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. By Young's inequality for convolutions and previous calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, T_k M_l \psi \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} c[n,m] \langle \gamma, T_{k-n} M_{l-m} \psi \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} |c[n,m]| (1+|k-n|)^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &= C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c[n,m] \right) (1+|k-n|)^{-1} \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \|c\|_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+|k|)^{-2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate finally implies that for fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|\langle f, T_k M_l \psi \rangle| \rightarrow 0$, as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Let us investigate the behavior of ξ_0 in more detail. Thereby, we will use the notation $g(t) := C_\psi e^{\pi t^2}$,

$$G_k := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n e^{-\pi(n^2 + 2|k|n + n + 1/4)},$$

and $\mu_k(t) := (-1)^k e^{-\pi|k|} G_k e^{-2\pi kt}$. It holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0[k, l] &= \langle \gamma, T_k M_l \psi \rangle = C_\psi \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi(t-k)^2} e^{-2\pi i l t} dt \sum_{n \geq |k|} (-1)^n e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2} \\ &= C_\psi \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi kt} e^{-2\pi i l t} dt \sum_{n \geq |k|} (-1)^n e^{-\pi(n+1/2)^2} e^{\pi k^2} \\ &= C_\psi \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi kt} e^{-2\pi i l t} dt \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+k} e^{-\pi(n^2 + 2|k|n + |k| + n + 1/4)} \\ &= C_\psi (-1)^k e^{-\pi|k|} G_k \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{\pi t^2} e^{-2\pi kt} e^{-2\pi i l t} dt \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} g(t) \mu_k(t) e^{-2\pi i l t} dt = \mathcal{F}(g \cdot \mu_k)[l]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For $k = 0$, the Fourier transform of μ_0 is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu_0)[l] = G_0 \delta_0[l]. \quad (14)$$

Let $k \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mu_k)[l] &= (-1)^k e^{-\pi|k|} G_k \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{-2\pi(k+il)t} dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k+1} e^{-\pi|k|} G_k}{2\pi(k+il)} (e^{-\pi(k+il)} - e^{\pi(k+il)}) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l+k+1}}{2\pi(k+il)} (e^{-\pi(|k|+k)} - e^{-\pi(|k|-k)}) G_k \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l+k}}{2\pi(k+il)} \operatorname{sgn}(k) (1 - e^{-2\pi|k|}) G_k \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{l+k}}{2\pi(k+il)} H_k, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $H_k := \operatorname{sgn}(k)(1 - e^{-2\pi|k|}) G_k$. Observe that $H_k \rightarrow \pm e^{-\pi/4}$ as $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

4.3 Existence of reproducing partners

We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 12 *There exists a system Ψ making (Ψ, \mathcal{G}) a reproducing pair. In other words, there exists a dual system for the complete Bessel sequence \mathcal{G} .*

Proof: Set

$$\xi_{k,l} = \mathcal{S}_{k,l}\xi_0 + p_{k,l}, \quad (16)$$

where $p_{k,l} \in \text{Ker } D_{\mathcal{G}}$, then $D_{\mathcal{G}}\xi_{k,l} = \gamma_{k,l}$. Let us assume that there exist a reproducing partner for \mathcal{G} . By Theorem 2, we can choose $\{\xi_{k,l}\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in such a way that

$$\sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 < \infty, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (17)$$

Recall that, by Corollary 10, $p_{k,l}$ is given by

$$p_{k,l}[n, m] = (-1)^{n+m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} c_{\alpha}[k, l] \cdot n^{\alpha_1} m^{\alpha_2}.$$

In the following we will choose $N = 0$ for every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, that is, $p_{k,l}[n, m] = (-1)^{n+m} c[k, l]$. As we assume (17), we can apply Parseval's formula to the summation with respect to $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using (13) thus yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{S}_{k,l}\xi_0[n, m] + p_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_0[n-k, m-l] + p_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \mathcal{F}(g \cdot \mu_{n-k})[m-l] + (-1)^{n+m} c[k, l] \right|^2 \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left| M_m(g \cdot \mu_{n-k})(-\omega) + (-1)^{n+m} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(c[k, \cdot])(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \\ &\leq |g(1/2)|^2 \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left| M_m \mu_{n-k}(-\omega) + (-1)^{n+m} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}(c[k, \cdot])/g)(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \\ &= C \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \mathcal{F}(\mu_{n-k})[m-l] + (-1)^{n+m} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(c[k, \cdot])/g)[l] \right|^2 =: (*). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If $k \neq n$ it follows by (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= C \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+k+l+m} H_{n-k}}{2\pi(n-k+i(m-l))} + (-1)^{n+m} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(c[k, \cdot])/g)[l] \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{C}{4\pi^2} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|H_{n-k} - \beta[k, l] \cdot (1 - \frac{n+im}{k+il})|^2}{(n-k)^2 + (m-l)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\beta[k, l] := 2\pi(-1)^{k+l}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(c[k, \cdot])/g)[l] \cdot (k + il).$$

If $k = n$, then by (14) and (18) we get the estimate

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{n,l}[n, m]|^2 \leq C \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |G_0 \delta_0[m - l] - 2\pi^{-1}(-1)^{m+l} \beta[n, l]/(n + il)|^2. \quad (20)$$

Let us choose $c_{k,l}$ such that $c_{0,l} := 0$ for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$c[k, l] := (2\pi)^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(k) e^{-\pi/4} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_k) \cdot g)[l], \text{ if } k \neq 0,$$

where $h_k[l] := (-1)^{k+l}(k + il)^{-1}$. Then $\beta[k, l] = \operatorname{sgn}(k) e^{-\pi/4}$ and therefore the right hand side of (20) converges for every $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq n}} |\xi_{k,l}[n, m]|^2 \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{|H_{k-n} - \operatorname{sgn}(k) e^{-\pi/4}|^2}{(n-k)^2 + (m-l)^2} + \frac{e^{-\pi/4} \cdot (1 - \delta_0[k]) \cdot (n^2 + m^2)}{(k^2 + l^2) \cdot ((n-k)^2 + (m-l)^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the second series is finite and the first one can be estimated as follows

$$\sum_{\substack{k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq n}} \frac{|H_{k-n} - \operatorname{sgn}(k) e^{-\pi/4}|^2}{(n-k)^2 + (m-l)^2} \leq \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k \neq n}} |H_{k-n} - \operatorname{sgn}(k) e^{-\pi/4}|^2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + l^2},$$

which is finite for every $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. All in all, we have shown that (17) holds for every $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This concludes the proof. \square

4.4 Non-existence of shift-invariant reproducing partners

We conclude this paper by showing that any reproducing partner for \mathcal{G} is necessarily unstructured in the sense that it cannot be written as a shift-invariant system neither in time nor in frequency domain.

Proposition 13 *There exists no shift-invariant dual system for \mathcal{G} , that is, any reproducing partner Ψ for \mathcal{G} cannot be written as $\psi_{n,m} = T_n \psi_m$ or $\psi_{n,m} = M_m \psi_n$.*

Proof: Let us write $\psi_{n,m}$ as a Gabor expansion with respect to the orthonormal basis $G(\gamma, 1, 1)$ and assume that $\psi_{n,m} = T_n \psi_m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{0,m} &= T_0 \psi_m = T_{-n} \psi_{n,m} = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\xi_{k,l}[n, m]} T_{k-n} M_l \gamma \\ &= \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\xi_{k+n,l}[n, m]} T_k M_l \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\xi_{k,l}[0, m] = \xi_{k+n,l}[n, m]$, for all $(n, m, k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Since, by (16),

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{k+n,l}[n, m] &= \mathcal{S}_{k+n,l}\xi_0[n, m] + p_{k+n,l}[n, m] \\ &= \xi_0[-k, m-l] + p_{k+n,l}[n, m] = \mathcal{S}_{k,l}\xi_0[0, m] + p_{k+n,l}[n, m],\end{aligned}$$

it thus follows that

$$p_{k,l}[0, m] = p_{k+n,l}[n, m], \quad \forall n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (21)$$

In the following, we will denote $c_0 := c_{0,0}$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. By Corollary 10 and (21), one has

$$(-1)^m \sum_{\substack{|\beta| \leq N \\ \beta_1=0}} c_\beta[k, l] \cdot m^{\beta_2} = (-1)^{n+m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} c_\alpha[k+n, l] \cdot n^{\alpha_1} m^{\alpha_2}.$$

Setting $k = s - n$, $m = 0$ then yields

$$c_0[s-n, l] = (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ \alpha_2=0}} c_\alpha[s, l] \cdot n^{\alpha_1}.$$

If there exists $(s, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, such that

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha| \leq N \\ \alpha_2=0}} c_\alpha[s, l] \cdot n^{\alpha_1} \neq 0, \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (22)$$

then either $|c_0[s-n, l]| \rightarrow \infty$, as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, or $c_0[s-n, l] = (-1)^n c_0[s, l] \neq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies $|c_0[\cdot, l]| \equiv C > 0$. As $|\xi_0[k, l]| \rightarrow 0$, for $|(k, l)| \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain for both cases that

$$\sum_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{k,l}[0, 0]|^2 = \infty. \quad (23)$$

If there exist no $(s, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, such that (22) holds, then $p_{k,l}[0, 0] \equiv 0$ and consequently $\xi_{k,l}[0, 0] = \xi_0[-k, -l]$. Hence, (23) holds and $\psi_{0,0}$ is not well defined.

An analogous argument can be used to show that $\psi_{n,m}$ cannot be given by $M_m \psi_n$. \square

5 Conclusion

It appears that the obstructions to Gabor frames as portrayed for example in [16] are preserved if one leaves the setup of frame theory and considers reproducing pairs consisting of two Gabor families instead. Already minor

decay conditions on the window functions exclude the possibility to form reproducing pairs.

We have seen that the concept of reproducing pairs provides new insights on complete vector systems. In particular, the characterization given in Theorem 2 has proven to be a useful tool for different vector families, see also [5].

Here, we used Theorem 2 to show the existence of dual systems for the system of integer time-frequency shifts of the Gaussian. The crucial point in our argument is to estimate the behaviour of ξ_0 and choose appropriate elements of the kernel of D_G . We believe that the same recipe will work for other windows, like the Hermite functions.

Acknowledgement

This work was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) START-project FLAME ('Frames and Linear Operators for Acoustical Modeling and Parameter Estimation'; Y 551-N13). The authors would like to thank Tomasz Hrycak and Hartmut Führ for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] A. Aldroubi and K. Gröchenig. Non-uniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces. *SIAM Review*, 43:585–620, 2001.
- [2] S. T. Ali, J-P. Antoine, and J-P. Gazeau. *Coherent States, Wavelets and their Generalizations*. Graduate texts in contemporary physics. Springer, 2nd edition, 2014.
- [3] J-P. Antoine and P. Balazs. Frames and semi-frames. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 44(20):205201, 2011.
- [4] J-P. Antoine and P. Balazs. Frames, semi-frames and Hilbert scales. *Numer. Func. Anal. Opt.*, 33:736–769, 2012.
- [5] J-P. Antoine, M. Speckbacher, and C. Trapani. Reproducing pairs of measurable functions. *submitted to: Acta Appl. Math.*, 2015. arXiv:1505.04187v2.
- [6] H. Bacry, A. Grossmann, and J. Zak. Proof of completeness of lattice states in the kq representation. *Phys. Rev. B*, 12(4), 1975.
- [7] V. Bargmann, P. Butera, L. Girardello, and J. R. Klauder. On the completeness of the coherent states. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 2(4):221–228, 1971.

- [8] M. J. Bastiaans. Gabor's expansion of a signal into Gaussian elementary signals. *Proc. IEEE*, 68(4):538–539, 1980.
- [9] O. Christensen. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [10] I. Daubechies and A. J. E. M. Janssen. Two theorems on lattice expansions. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 39(1):3–6, 1993.
- [11] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:341–366, 1952.
- [12] H. G. Feichtinger. Modulation spaces: Looking back and ahead. *Samp. Theory Signal Image Process.*, 5:109–140, 2006.
- [13] H. G. Feichtinger and G. Zimmermann. A Banach space of test functions for Gabor analysis. In H.G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, editors, *Gabor analysis and Algorithms - Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser Boston, 1998.
- [14] D. Gabor. Theory of communication. Part 1: The analysis of information. *J. Inst. Electr. Eng.* 3, 93:429–441, November 1946.
- [15] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Birkhäuser Boston, 2001.
- [16] K. Gröchenig. The mystery of Gabor frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 20(4):865–895, 2014.
- [17] C. Heil and M. Powell. Gabor Schauder bases and the Balian-Low theorem. *J. Math. Phys.*, 74, 2006.
- [18] A. J. E. M. Janssen. Gabor representation of generalized functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 83:377–394, 1981.
- [19] A. J. E. M. Janssen. Weighted Wigner distributions vanishing on lattices. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 80:156–167, 1981.
- [20] A. J. E. M. Janssen. Bargmann transform, Zak transform, and coherent states. *J. Math. Phys.*, 23(5):720–731, 1982.
- [21] A. J. E. M. Janssen. Zak transform characterization of S_0 . *Samp. Theory Signal Image Process.*, 5(2):141–162, 2006.
- [22] A. M. Perelomov. On the completeness of a system of coherent states. *Theoret. Math. Phys.*, 6(2):156–164, 1971.
- [23] W. Rudin. *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, second edition, 1991.

- [24] M. Speckbacher and P. Balazs. Reproducing pairs and the continuous nonstationary Gabor transform on LCA groups. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 48(395201), 2015.
- [25] J. von Neumann. *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*. Springer, Berlin, 1932. English translation: "Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics," Princeton Univ. Press, 1955.
- [26] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A Course of Modern Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 4th edition, 1996.