

A GEOMETRIC SECOND MAIN THEOREM

JULIEN DUVAL AND DINH TUAN HUYNH

ABSTRACT. We prove a truncated second main theorem in $P^2(\mathbf{C})$ for entire curves which cluster on an algebraic curve.

1. Introduction.

Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow P^2(\mathbf{C})$ be an entire curve (not contained in a line), and L_i be q lines in general position (configuration without triple points). Value distribution theory aims at comparing the growth of f with the number of its impacts on the lines L_i . Let $T(f)$ be the Nevanlinna characteristic function and $N_i(f)$ the counting function (with multiplicities). Precisely

$$T(f, r) = \int_1^r \text{area}(f(D_t)) \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$N_i(f, r) = \int_1^r \text{card}(f(D_t) \cap L_i) \frac{dt}{t}$$

where D_r is the disc centered at 0 of radius r . Cartan second main theorem reads as follows (outside a set of finite length)

$$(q - 3)T(f, r) \leq \sum N_i(f, r) + o(T(f, r)).$$

In fact the multiplicities of intersections can even be truncated at level 2 (see for instance [6]).

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France
 julien.duval@math.u-psud.fr
 dinh-tuan.huynh@math.u-psud.fr

keywords : second main theorem, value distribution theory
 AMS class. : 32H30.

It is natural to try and prove a similar estimate with multiplicities truncated at level 1, meaning that we really count the number of geometric intersections between the entire curve and the lines. Denote by $N_i^{[1]}(f, r)$ such a truncated counting function. This is certainly not true if the entire curve is *degenerate* (contained in some algebraic curve). Indeed consider a conic C , three of its tangents L_1, L_2, L_3 , and the line L_4 joining $L_1 \cap C$ to $L_2 \cap C$. Take a non constant entire curve $f(\mathbf{C})$ in C avoiding L_1, L_2, L_4 . Then $\sum N_i^{[1]}(f, r) = N_3^{[1]}(f, r) = \frac{1}{2}T(f, r) + o(T(f, r))$. Hence the question only makes sense for non degenerate entire curves.

Note that in the context of abelian or semi-abelian varieties such a truncated second main theorem has been proved by K. Yamanoi and his collaborators [8],[5].

Here we focus on entire curves whose cluster set is contained in an algebraic curve. Call such entire curves *asymptotically degenerate*. There are plenty of them, for instance entire curves which cluster on a line L [2]. Indeed observe first that the total space of the line bundle $O(1)$ on L embeds into $P^2(\mathbf{C})$. So it suffices to construct an entire curve in $O(1)$ which clusters on the zero section. For this take a ramified cover $p : E \rightarrow L$ by an elliptic curve. Then $p^*O(1)$ is a positive line bundle on E , it inherits a metric of positive curvature. Consider now the universal cover $\pi : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow E$. So $(p \circ \pi)^*O(1)$ also has a metric of (uniformly) positive curvature and is holomorphically trivial. Therefore it has a trivializing holomorphic section with exponential decay, giving our asymptotically degenerate entire curve. This construction can be modified to produce entire curves which cluster on any rational or elliptic curve in $P^2(\mathbf{C})$.

Here is a consequence of our main result.

Corollary. *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow P^2(\mathbf{C})$ be an entire curve, non degenerate but asymptotically degenerate. Consider q lines L_i in general position. Then (outside a set of finite logarithmic length) $(q - 3)T(f, r) \leq \sum N_i^{[1]}(f, r) + o(T(f, r))$.*

Finite logarithmic length means of finite measure for $\frac{dr}{r \log r}$. We call such a set an *exceptional set*.

This comes from a more general statement best expressed in terms of Nevanlinna currents. We refer to [1] for background on these currents. Let X be a projective surface endowed with a hermitian metric and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ a non degenerate entire curve. Define the Nevanlinna characteristic function $T(f, r)$ as before. Consider the means of currents of integration

$$T_r = \frac{1}{T(f, r)} \int_1^r [f(D_t)] \frac{dt}{t}$$

and their limits as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Then most of these limits are *closed* normalized positive currents. Namely this holds true for limits coming from sequences outside

an exceptional set. Actually $L(r) = o(T(r))$ outside this set, where

$$L(r) = \int_1^r \text{length}(f(\partial D_t)) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Call such a closed limit a *Nevanlinna current* associated to f . Now given an algebraic curve C in X and a Nevanlinna current $T = \lim T_{r_n}$ we may compute two types of intersection, the algebraic intersection $T.C$ between the two homology classes, and the geometric intersection (without multiplicities) defined by (after extraction)

$$i(T, C) = \lim \frac{1}{T(f, r_n)} \int_1^{r_n} \text{card}(f(D_t) \cap C) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

By the non degeneracy of f and Poincaré-Lelong formula we always have

$$(1) \quad i(T, C) \leq T.C.$$

In particular the homology class of T is numerically effective.

Here is our main result.

Theorem. *Let $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be an entire curve, non degenerate but asymptotically degenerate, C an algebraic curve in X with only ordinary double points and T a Nevanlinna current for f . Then $T.(K_X + C) \leq i(T, C)$.*

Here K_X stands for the canonical class of X .

Strictly speaking we have to restrict ourselves to Nevanlinna currents T which are projections of similar currents in some blow-up $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. This can always be achieved at the cost of enlarging the exceptional set. Indeed if $\tilde{f} : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is the lift of f then the limits \tilde{T} of

$$\tilde{T}_r = \frac{1}{T(f, r)} \int_1^r [\tilde{f}(D_t)] \frac{dt}{t}$$

are also closed (non normalized) positive currents if $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside an exceptional set. Therefore we may always consider Nevanlinna currents $T = \lim T_{r_n}$ and $\tilde{T} = \lim \tilde{T}_{r_n}$ for the same sequence, in which case $\pi_* \tilde{T} = T$. The blow-up is determined by the algebraic data. Namely let D be the algebraic curve containing the cluster set of f . By adding extra components we may suppose that D also contains C . Then \tilde{X} is obtained by desingularizing D . We refer to [4] for background in birational geometry.

In case where $X = P^2(\mathbf{C})$ and C is a union of q lines in general position we get $q - 3 \leq i(T, C)$ for any Nevanlinna current coming from a sequence outside an exceptional set. But this is a reformulation of the corollary.

The proof of the theorem goes first by reducing the statement to the case of \tilde{X} and then by using Ahlfors theory of covering surfaces in the spirit of [3]. Let us enter the details.

2. Proof of the theorem.

Reduction to \tilde{X} . The theorem follows from a similar statement in \tilde{X}

$$(2) \quad \tilde{T} \cdot (K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(C)) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(C)).$$

Here $\pi^{-1}(C)$ stands for the geometric preimage of C , meaning the union of the strict transform of C and the exceptional divisors over C . On the other side when we speak of $\pi^*(C)$ we take into account the multiplicities of the exceptional divisors. Note first that $i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(C)) = i(T, C)$. So it is enough to verify that

$$T \cdot (K_X + C) \leq \tilde{T} \cdot (K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(C)).$$

But this is a consequence of the following inequality between classes (meaning that the difference is effective)

$$(3) \quad \pi^*(K_X + C) \leq K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(C),$$

as \tilde{T} is numerically effective and $\pi_*(\tilde{T}) = T$. Let us check (3). The map $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a composition of blow-ups $\pi_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$. Denote by C_i the geometric preimage of C in X_i . Its singularities are ordinary double points. We have $\pi_i^* C_i \leq \pi_i^{-1}(C_i) + E_i$ where E_i is the new exceptional divisor. This comes from the fact that the multiplicity of C_i at the point we blow up is at most 2. We also have $K_{X_{i+1}} = \pi_i^* K_{X_i} + E_i$. So we end up with $\pi_i^*(K_{X_i} + C_i) \leq K_{X_{i+1}} + \pi_i^{-1}(C_i)$ which gives (3) by iterating.

Now (2) follows from the same statement where we enlarge C to D

$$(4) \quad \tilde{T} \cdot (K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(D)) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(D)).$$

Indeed write $\pi^{-1}(D) = \pi^{-1}(C) + F$ where F is effective. We have

$$i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(C) + F) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(C)) + i(\tilde{T}, F) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(C)) + \tilde{T} \cdot F$$

by (1). So we get (2) out of (4).

We translate now the left hand side of (4) in more geometric terms. Remark that the Nevanlinna current \tilde{T} is supported in $\pi^{-1}(D)$ as the cluster set of f is contained in D . So we have $\tilde{T} = \sum \nu(d)[d]$ where the sum runs over the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(D)$ and the weights ν are non negative. Denote by d^* the component d punctured at the intersection points with the other components and $\chi(d^*)$ its (geometric) Euler characteristic. Recall that the components d are smooth and their intersections consist in ordinary double points as we have desingularized D in \tilde{X} . So $-\chi(d^*) = d \cdot (K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(D))$ by the genus formula. Summing up we get

$$\tilde{T} \cdot (K_{\tilde{X}} + \pi^{-1}(D)) = -\chi(\tilde{T})$$

where $\chi(\tilde{T}) = \sum \nu(d)\chi(d^*)$ is the Euler characteristic of \tilde{T} . So it remains to prove

$$(5) \quad -\chi(\tilde{T}) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(D)).$$

Use of Ahlfors theory . For this we rely on the technique of [3].

First note that \tilde{T} is a particular instance of (non normalized) *Ahlfors current* in the sense of [3]. This means that $\tilde{T} = \lim \frac{[\Delta_n]}{t_n}$ where Δ_n is a union of (possibly repeated) holomorphic discs, t_n is comparable to $\text{area}(\Delta_n)$ and $\text{length}(\partial\Delta_n) = o(t_n)$. Indeed it suffices to discretize the logarithmic means involved in the definition of \tilde{T} by Riemann sums with rational coefficients. So by [3] we already know that the components d charged by \tilde{T} are rational or elliptic.

Moreover the technique developed in [3] tells us that Δ_n asymptotically behaves on d as a covering of degree $t_n\nu(d)$ (at least on graphs). Fix from now on $\epsilon > 0$. This means that given a graph γ in d we are able to lift it to a (nearby) graph γ_n in Δ_n so that $|\chi(\gamma_n) - t_n\nu(d)\chi(\gamma)| \leq \epsilon t_n$. We restrict ourselves to the components d with $\chi(d^*) \leq 0$. Define $\chi^-(\tilde{T})$ to be the part of the sum giving $\chi(\tilde{T})$ restricted to these d (and $\chi^+(\tilde{T})$ the other part). Consider γ a bouquet of circles which is a retract of d^* (in case d^* is really punctured). Then $\chi(\gamma) = \chi(d^*)$ and $d^* \setminus \gamma$ consists in discs with one puncture. Putting these graphs together we get Γ which can be lifted to Γ_n in Δ_n with $|\chi(\Gamma_n) - t_n\chi^-(\tilde{T})| \leq c\epsilon t_n$. Here c is the number of irreducible components in $\pi^{-1}(D)$. Now recall the following topological fact. If γ is a graph in a disc Δ (or a union of discs), then $\Delta \setminus \gamma$ contains at least $-\chi(\gamma)$ distinct discs. So Γ_n cuts many holomorphic discs δ_n out in Δ_n .

The point is that most of these discs intersect $\pi^{-1}(D)$. Let us make this precise. Note first that most of the discs δ_n are of area bounded by a sufficiently big constant. Namely $\text{card}\{\delta_n/\text{area}(\delta_n) > A\} \leq \frac{1}{A}\text{area}(\Delta_n) \leq \epsilon t_n$ for A big enough. From now on we restrict ourselves to the discs δ_n with $\text{area}(\delta_n) \leq A$. We analyze their limits. By a classical result (see for instance [7]) such a limit is either a holomorphic disc (*good limit*) or a union of a holomorphic disc and finitely many rational curves (*bubbling limit*). Moreover according to [3] we have a good control of the discs δ_n near their boundaries. We know that $\partial\delta_n$ converges toward an essential loop of (a small perturbation of) the bouquet γ in some component d . So in either case the limit disc δ has to cover one of the components of $d \setminus \gamma$. We infer firstly that $\text{area}(\delta_n)$ is bounded away from 0. Hence the number of the discs δ_n is bounded from above by $O(t_n)$. Secondly δ intersects another component of $\pi^{-1}(D)$ as all the components of $d \setminus \gamma$ do. In the good case this still holds before the limit for δ_n by Hurwitz theorem. Indeed in this case the convergence of δ_n toward δ is good (it is actually uniform in some parametrization). We get intersections with $\pi^{-1}(D)$.

To proceed further we switch back to currents. Denote by \mathcal{P} the compact set of the positive currents V in \tilde{X} of mass $\leq A$. Consider the current $U_n = \frac{1}{t_n} \sum [\delta_n]$ where the sum runs over the discs δ_n defined above. It can also be written as $\int_{\mathcal{P}} V d\mu_n(V)$ where μ_n is a discrete positive measure on \mathcal{P} of bounded mass. We may suppose that μ_n converges toward a positive measure μ . Our discs counting above translates in

$$\text{mass}(\mu) \geq -\chi^-(\tilde{T}) - (c+1)\epsilon.$$

Note that the limit current $U = \int_{\mathcal{P}} V d\mu(V)$ is dominated by \tilde{T} . So the support of μ consists in currents supported in $\pi^{-1}(D)$. By construction it is also built out of limits of sequences of discs δ_n . Denote by μ_g and μ_b the restrictions of μ to the good limits and to the bubbling limits respectively. Our intersection argument above translates in

$$\text{mass}(\mu_g) \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(D)).$$

Let us now look at the bubbling limits. Put $U_b = \int_{\mathcal{P}} V d\mu_b(V)$. We still have $U_b \leq \tilde{T}$. So all the bubbles in the support of μ_b are components of $\pi^{-1}(D)$. Moreover such a component d cannot contain a bouquet γ . Indeed if it did we would have been able to lift γ in δ_n before the limit meaning that δ_n would intersect Γ_n . Hence $\chi(d^*) > 0$. Specializing the inequality $U_b \leq \tilde{T}$ on each such d we get

$$\text{mass}(\mu_b) \leq \chi^+(\tilde{T}).$$

Summing up we have $-\chi(\tilde{T}) - (c+1)\epsilon \leq i(\tilde{T}, \pi^{-1}(D))$ and (5) follows by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brunella, *Courbes entières et feuilletages holomorphes*, *Ens. Math.* **45** (1999), 195–216.
2. B. Freitas Paulo da Costa, *Deux exemples sur la dimension moyenne d'un espace de courbes de Brody*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **63** (2013), 2223–2237.
3. J. Duval, *Singularités des courants d'Ahlfors*, *Ann. Sci. ENS* **39** (2006), 527–533.
4. S. Iitaka, *Algebraic geometry. An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
5. J. Noguchi, J. Winkelmann and K. Yamanoi, *The second main theorem for holomorphic curves into semi-abelian varieties. II.*, *Forum Math.* **20** (2008), 469–503.
6. M. Ru, *Nevanlinna theory and its relation to Diophantine approximation*, World Scientific, River Edge, 2001.
7. J.-C. Sikorav, *Some properties of holomorphic curves in almost complex manifolds*, in *Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 165–189.
8. K. Yamanoi, *Holomorphic curves in abelian varieties and intersections with higher codimensional subvarieties*, *Forum Math.* **16** (2004), 749–788.