

A CRITERION FOR A DEGREE-ONE HOLOMORPHIC MAP TO BE A BIHOLOMORPHISM

GAUTAM BHARALI, INDRANIL BISWAS, AND GEORG SCHUMACHER

ABSTRACT. Let X and Y be compact connected complex manifolds of the same dimension with $b_2(X) = b_2(Y)$. We prove that any surjective holomorphic map of degree one from X to Y is a biholomorphism. A version of this was established by the first two authors, but under an extra assumption that $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. We show that this condition is actually automatically satisfied.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X and Y be compact connected complex manifolds of dimension n . Let

$$f : X \longrightarrow Y$$

be a surjective holomorphic map such that the degree of f is one, meaning that the pullback homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z} \simeq H^{2n}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

is the identity map of \mathbb{Z} . It is very natural to ask, “Under what conditions would f be a biholomorphism?” An answer to this was given by [2, Theorem 1.1], namely:

Result 1 ([2, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X and Y be compact connected complex manifolds of dimension n , and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map such that the degree of f is one. Assume that*

- (i) *the \mathcal{C}^∞ manifolds underlying X and Y are diffeomorphic, and*
- (ii) *$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.*

Then, the map f is a biholomorphism.

In the proof of Result 1, the condition (i) is used *only* in concluding that $\dim H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \dim H^2(Y, \mathbb{R})$. In other words, the proof of [2, Theorem 1.1] establishes that if

$$\dim H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \dim H^2(Y, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y),$$

then — with X , Y , and f as above — f is a biholomorphism.

There is some cause to believe that the condition (ii) in Result 1 might be superfluous (which we shall discuss presently). It is the basis for our main theorem, which gives a simple, purely topological, criterion for a degree-one map to be a biholomorphism:

Theorem 2. *Let X and Y be compact connected complex manifolds of dimension n , and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map of degree one. Then, f is a biholomorphism if and only if the second Betti numbers of X and Y coincide.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H02, 32J18.

Key words and phrases. Bimeromorphic maps, degree-one maps.

If X and Y were assumed to be Kähler, then Theorem 2 would follow from Result 1. This is because, by the Hodge decomposition, $\dim H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = \frac{1}{2} \dim H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ for any compact Kähler manifold M . We shall show that this observation—i.e., that condition (ii) in Result 1 is automatically satisfied under the hypotheses therein—holds true in the general, *analytic* setting. In more precise terms, we have:

Proposition 3. *Let the manifolds X and Y and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as in Result 1. Then, f induces an isomorphism between $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. In particular, $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.*

The above proposition might be unsurprising to many. It is well known when X and Y are projective. Since we could not find an explicit statement of Proposition 3—and since certain supplementary details are required in the analytic case—we provide a proof of it in Section 2. The *non-trivial* step in proving Theorem 2 uses Result 1: given Proposition 3, our theorem follows from Result 1 and the comment above upon its proof.

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3

We begin with a general fact that we shall use several times below. For any proper holomorphic map $F : V \rightarrow W$ between complex manifolds, the Leray spectral sequence gives the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(W, F_*\mathcal{O}_V) \xrightarrow{\theta_F} H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^0(W, R^1F_*\mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (2.1)$$

With our assumptions on X, Y and f , the map f^{-1} (which is defined outside the image in Y of the set of points at which f fails to be a local biholomorphism) is holomorphic on its domain. Thus f is bimeromorphic.

We note that any bimeromorphic holomorphic map of connected complex manifolds has connected fibers, because it is biholomorphic on the complement of a thin analytic subset. In particular, the fibers of f are connected.

Claim 1. *Let $F : V \rightarrow W$ be a bimeromorphic holomorphic map between compact, connected complex manifolds. The natural homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_V \quad (2.2)$$

is an isomorphism.

By definition, (2.2) is injective. In our case, it is an isomorphism outside a closed complex analytic subset of W , say \mathcal{S} , of codimension at least 2. So, to show that (2.2) is surjective, it suffices to show that given any $w \in \mathcal{S}$, for each open connected set $U \ni w$ and each holomorphic function ψ on $F^{-1}(U)$ there is a function H_ψ holomorphic on U such that

$$\psi = H_\psi \circ F \quad \text{on } F^{-1}(U).$$

Since F^{-1} is holomorphic on $W \setminus \mathcal{S}$, we set

$$H_\psi|_{U \setminus \mathcal{S}} := \psi \circ (F^{-1}|_{U \setminus \mathcal{S}}).$$

This has a unique holomorphic extension to U by Hartogs' theorem (or more accurately: Riemann's second extension theorem), since \mathcal{S} is of codimension at least 2. As F has compact, connected fibers, this extension has the desired properties. This shows that the homomorphism in (2.2) is surjective. Hence the claim.

By Claim 1, (2.1) yields an injective homomorphism

$$\Theta_f : H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X), \quad (2.3)$$

which is the composition of the homomorphism θ_f , as given by (2.1), and the isomorphism induced by (2.2).

There is a commutative diagram of holomorphic maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ g \swarrow & \downarrow h & \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

where h is a composition of successive blow-ups with smooth centers, such that the subset of Y over which h fails to be a local biholomorphism (i.e., the image in Y of the exceptional locus in Z) coincides with the subset of Y over which f fails to be a local biholomorphism. This fact (also called “Hironaka’s Chow Lemma”) can be deduced from Hironaka’s Flattening Theorem [4, p. 503], [4, p. 504, Corollary 1]. We recollect briefly the argument for this. The set \mathcal{A} of values of f in Y at which f is not flat coincides with the set of points over which f is not locally biholomorphic. Hironaka’s Flattening Theorem states that there exists a sequence of blow-ups of Y with smooth centers over \mathcal{A} amounting to a map

$$h : Z \longrightarrow Y$$

such that — with \tilde{Z} denoting the proper transform of Y in $X \times_Y Z$ and pr_Z denoting the projection $X \times_Y Z \longrightarrow Z$ — the map $\tilde{f} := \text{pr}|_{\tilde{Z}}$ is flat. In our case this implies that $\tilde{f} : \tilde{Z} \longrightarrow Z$ is a biholomorphism. The map $g = \text{pr}_X \circ (\tilde{f})^{-1}$ and has the properties stated above.

The maps h and g above are proper modifications. Thus, all the assumptions in Claim 1 hold true for $g : Z \longrightarrow X$. Hence, we conclude that the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow g_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is an isomorphism. By (2.1) applied now to $(V, W, F) = (Z, X, g)$, the homomorphism

$$\Theta_g : H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z), \quad (2.5)$$

which is analogous to Θ_f above, is injective.

Similarly, the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow h_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is an isomorphism. Since (2.1), an exact sequence, is natural, we would be done — in view of (2.3), (2.5) and the diagram (2.4) — if we show that the homomorphism $\Theta_h : H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, (given by applying (2.1) to $(V, W, F) = (Z, Y, h)$) is an isomorphism.

To this end, we will use the following:

Claim 2. *For a complex manifold W of dimension n , if*

$$\sigma : S \longrightarrow W$$

is a blow-up with smooth center, then the direct image $R^1\sigma_\mathcal{O}_S$ vanishes.*

This claim is familiar to many. However, since it is not so easy to point to one *specific* work for a proof in the *analytic* case, we indicate an argument. We first study the blow-up $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{W}$ of a point $0 \in \tilde{W}$ with exceptional divisor $\tilde{E} = \sigma^{-1}(0)$.

We use the “Theorem on formal functions” [3, Theorem 11.1], and the “Grauert comparison theorem” [1, Theorem III.3.1] for the analytic case. Let $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}$ be the maximal

ideal sheaf for the point $0 \in \widetilde{W}$. Then the completion $((R^1\tilde{\sigma}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}})_0)^\vee$ of $(R^1\tilde{\sigma}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}})_0$ in the \mathfrak{m}_0 -adic topology is equal to

$$\varprojlim_k H^1(\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(0), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0^k)).$$

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0^{k+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0^k) \longrightarrow 0$$

of sheaves with support on

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(0) = \tilde{E} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

so that the cohomology groups $H^q(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(k))$ vanish for all $k \geq 0$, and $q > 0$. In particular the maps

$$H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0^{k+1})) \longrightarrow H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0^k))$$

are isomorphisms for $k \geq 1$, and furthermore we have

$$H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{\sigma}^*(\mathfrak{m}_0)) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) = 0.$$

This shows that $R^1\tilde{\sigma}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}$ vanishes. This establishes the claim for blow-up at a point.

Now consider the case where the center of the blow-up σ is a smooth submanifold A of positive dimension. Since the claim is local with respect to the base space W , we may assume that W is of the form $A \times \widetilde{W}$, where both A and \widetilde{W} are small open subsets of complex number spaces, e.g. polydisks. Denote by $\pi : W \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$ the projection. We identify A with $A \times \{0\} = \pi^{-1}(0) \subset W$ as a submanifold.

Note that the blow-up

$$\sigma : S \longrightarrow W$$

of W along A is the fiber product $\tilde{S} \times_{\widetilde{W}} W \rightarrow W$. The exceptional divisor E of σ can be identified with $A \times \tilde{E}$.

In the above argument we replace the maximal ideal sheaf \mathfrak{m}_0 by the vanishing ideal \mathcal{I}_A of A . Now $\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}_A^k)/\sigma^*(\mathcal{I}_A^{k+1}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(k)$, and by [1, Theorem III.3.4] we have

$$R^1(\sigma|_E)_*\mathcal{O}_E \simeq \pi^*R^1(\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{E}})_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}} = 0$$

so that the earlier argument can be applied. Hence the claim.

Now, let

$$Z = Z_N \xrightarrow{\tau_N} Z_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{N-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_2} Z_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1} Z_0 = Y$$

be the sequence of blow-ups that constitute $h : Z \rightarrow Y$. We have $\tau_{j*}\mathcal{O}_{Z_j} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}}$ and $R^1\tau_{j*}\mathcal{O}_{Z_j} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \tau_N$. Combining these with (2.1) yields a canonical injective homomorphism

$$H^1(Z_j, \mathcal{O}_{Z_j}) \longrightarrow H^1(Z_{j-1}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_{j-1}})$$

that is an isomorphism for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Hence, by naturality, the homomorphism $\Theta_h : H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ is an isomorphism. By our above remarks, this establishes the result.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second-named author thanks the Philipps-Universität, where the work for this paper was carried out, for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bănică and O. Stănășila: *Algebraic Methods in the Global Theory of Complex Spaces*, John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney-Toronto, 1976.
- [2] G. Bharali and I. Biswas: Rigidity of holomorphic maps between fiber spaces. *Internat. J. Math.* **25** (2014) 1450006, 8pp.
- [3] R. Hartshorne: *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [4] H. Hironaka: Flattening theorem in complex-analytic geometry. *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), 503–547.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA

E-mail address: `bharali@math.iisc.ernet.in`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, PHILIPPS-UNIVERSITÄT MARBURG, LAHNBERGE, HANS-MEERWEIN-STRASSE, D-35032 MARBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: `schumac@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`