

Generalized K -Shift Forbidden Substrings in Permutations

Enrique Navarrete*

In this note we continue the analysis started in [2] and generalize propositions regarding permutations that avoid substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n$, (and others) to permutations that for fixed k , $k < n$, avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, as well as substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, (ie. k -shifts in general, as defined in Section 2).

Keywords: k -shifts k -successions, permutations, linear arrangements, forbidden patterns substrings \pmod{n} , fixed points, bijections.

1. Introduction and Previous Results

In this section we summarize some results obtained in [2] and we recall the following definitions:²

d_n := the number of permutations on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n$.

D_n := the number of permutations on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n, n1$.

Der_n := the n th derangement number, ie.

$$Der_n = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}. \quad (1.1)$$

In [2] we discussed the existing result

$$d_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1}{j} (n-j)!. \quad (1.2)$$

*Grupo ANFI, Universidad de Antioquia.

²Note: In [2], the term “linear arrangement” was used instead of “permutation”, and “pattern” instead of “substring”. Here we use the more conventional terminology. Permutations are meant to be in one-line notation.

We also proved (Equation 2.1)

$$D_n = n! \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}, \quad (1.3)$$

which is equivalent to

$$D_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j)!. \quad (1.4)$$

Finally in Proposition 2.4, we proved that $D_n = Der_n + (-1)^{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$, which we called the “alternating derangement sequence” since these numbers alternate plus or minus one from the derangement sequence itself. This is sequence A000240 in OEIS [3].

Now we extend the results to forbidden substrings that are not one space apart but k spacings apart (what we call “ k -shifts” in the following section).

We define a minimal forbidden substring as two consecutive elements jk . We assign to this minimal substring a length equal to one. Hence the length of forbidden substrings will be one less than the number of elements.

2. Main Lemmas and Propositions

2.1 Results for $\{d_n\}$ and $\{d_n^k\}$

For the sake of compactness, we define $\{d_n\}$ as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n$, with d_n being the number of such permutations.

We generalize to $\{d_n^k\}$, $k < n$, defined as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that for fixed k , avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$. We will refer to these substrings that are k spacings apart as “ k -shifts”, or “ k -successions”. We let d_n^k be the number of such permutations (the reason for power notation will become apparent in the next section).

The forbidden substrings in these permutations can be pictured as a diagonal running k places to the right of the main diagonal of an $n \times n$ chessboard (hence the term “ k -shifts”) as can be seen in Figure 1 below.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1			×			
2				×		
3					×	
4						×
5						
6						

Figure 1: Forbidden positions in $\{d_6^2\}$.

Figure 1 shows the forbidden positions on a 6×6 chessboard that correspond to forbidden substrings of permutations in $\{d_6^2\}$. The forbidden substrings are $\{13, 24, 35, 46\}$. Note that there are $n - k$ forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^k\}$.

The permutations that avoid these substrings are not too difficult to handle, and in fact we can count them for any k , as we show in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For any fixed k , $0 < k < n$, if d_n^k denotes the number of permutations that avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, then*

$$d_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^j \binom{n-k}{j} (n-j)! \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. For any fixed n and k , there are a total of

$$\binom{n-k}{j} (n-j)!$$

forbidden substrings of length j since the combinatorial term counts the number of ways to get such substrings while the term $(n-j)!$ counts the permutations of the substrings and the remaining elements. Using inclusion-exclusion we get the result. \square

We note that the case $k = 1$ is just the result we had for d_n in Equation 1.2.

For example, consider permutations in $\{d_5^3\}$. The $n - k$ forbidden substrings are $\{14, 25\}$. For $j = 0$ we get the $5!$ permutations in S_5 . For $j = 1$ there are $\binom{2}{1}$ ways to choose one forbidden substring, and we can permute it with the remaining elements in $4!$ ways (for example, select the forbidden substring 14 and permute the 4 blocks 14, 2, 3, 5). For $j = 2$ there are $\binom{2}{2}$ ways to choose two forbidden substrings and we can permute them with the remaining elements in $3!$ ways (that is, permute the 3 blocks 14, 25, 3). Hence $d_5^3 = 78$, so there are 78 permutations in S_5 that avoid substrings $\{14, 25\}$.

Corollary 2.2. *The following relation holds for d_n^k :*

$$d_n^{k+1} = d_n^k + d_{n-1}^k \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. By Equation 2.1 and elementary methods. \square

Now we define $d_n^0 := Der_n$, which makes sense since in a chessboard of forbidden positions, a derangement is represented by an X in the position (j, j) , *ie.* a 0-shift.

Note that Equation 2.2 generalizes the relation in Lemma 2.3 in [2], and we have the following equations starting at $n = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &= d_n^1 = Der_n + Der_{n-1} \\ d_n^2 &= d_n + d_{n-1} \\ d_n^3 &= d_n^2 + d_{n-1}^2 \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using the initial condition condition $d_2^1 = Der_2$, Equation 2.2 defines a binomial-type relation, which, upon iteration, gives us the triangle in Table 1 in the Appendix. Note in particular that for $k = n - 1$, $d_n^k = n! - k!$.³

Note from the triangle that we may get d_n^k starting only from derangement numbers. For example, to get d_8^5 , *ie.* the number of permutations of length 8 with forbidden substrings $\{16, 27, 38\}$, we can start from the upper-left corner of the table and by successive addition along the triangle we can reach cell $d_8^5 = 27,240$ (or we can obviously use Equation 2.1). Hence there are 27,240 permutations in S_8 that avoid substrings $\{16, 27, 38\}$.

For further references, the sequence $\langle d_n^k \rangle$ is available in OEIS. For example, for $k = 3$, the sequence is now A277609 [4].

2.2 Results for $\{D_n\}$ and $\{D_n^k\}$

Now we define $\{D_n\}$ as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n, n1$, with D_n being the number of such permutations.

We generalize to define permutations without k -shifts (or k -successions) $(\text{mod } n)$, $\{D_n^k\}$, as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that for fixed k , $k < n$, avoid substrings $j(j+k)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, and avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod n$ for $n-k < j \leq n$. Note that we can summarize in the single definition “avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod n$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ ” if we agree to write n instead of 0 when doing addition $(\text{mod } n)$.

We let D_n^k be the number of such permutations. These forbidden substrings are easily seen along an $n \times n$ chessboard, where for $j > n - k$, the forbidden

³This triangle follows the same recurrence as the so-called Euler’s Difference Table, which originally had no combinatorial interpretation. Euler’s Table also has a $k!$ term in each column, terms that don’t apply in our context of k -shifts.

positions start again from the first column along a diagonal $(n - k)$ places below the main diagonal as in Figure 2 below.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1			×			
2				×		
3					×	
4						×
5	×					
6		×				

Figure 2: Forbidden positions in $\{D_6^2\}$.

Figure 2 shows the forbidden positions on a 6×6 chessboard that correspond to forbidden substrings of permutations in $\{D_6^2\}$. These forbidden substrings are $\{13, 24, 35, 46; 51, 62\}$. The forbidden substrings below the diagonal are separated by a semicolon; these are the forbidden substrings $j(j + k) \pmod n$ for $n - k < j \leq n$. Note that while there are only $n - k$ forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^k\}$, there are n forbidden substrings in $\{D_n^k\}$.

It turns out that the numbers D_n^k are more difficult to get. They depend on whether n is prime, and more generally, on whether n and k are relatively prime.

Proposition 2.3. *In the set of permutations $\{D_n^k\}$ with $0 < k < n$, k relative prime to n , $n \geq 2$, we can form a forbidden substring of length $j = n - 1$.*

Proof. Start with forbidden substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n - 1)n$ in $\{D_n\}$ and form the permutation $(12 \dots n)$ in cycle notation. Since k -powers of the permutation produce forbidden k -shifts (or k -successions), we see that the longest cycle of forbidden substrings will have length $n/(n, k)$, where (n, k) stands for the greatest common divisor. Hence the longest cycle length of forbidden substrings will be achieved for $(n, k) = 1$, and in this case we will have a cycle of length n , which represents a forbidden substring of length $n - 1$. \square

Note that the proof of the proposition justifies the power notation in $\{D_n^k\}$ (and in k -shifts in general). Note also that for $n \geq 2$, Proposition 2.3 implies that we will always have the longest forbidden substrings of length $n - 1$ in $\{D_n\}$, (i.e. $k = 1$), as well as in some other $\{D_n^k\}$ whenever $(n, k) = 1$. Moreover, Proposition 2.5 will show that the number of permutations in these sets are equal.

As an example, Figure 3 shows the maximum cycle lengths achieved for the case $n = 6$ and all its possible k -shifts (or k -successions), $k = 1, \dots, 5$. In this case there exist maximum length substrings in $\{D_6\}$ and $\{D_6^5\}$.

Note that Proposition 2.3 is not true if k is not relative prime to n , for example in $\{D_6^2\}$. In this case, the forbidden substrings are $\{13, 24, 35, 46; 51, 62\}$, and the maximum cycle length is 3, which means we can have forbidden substrings

k	Forbidden Substrings	Permutation	k th-power	max cycle length
$k = 1$	12, 23, 34, 45, 56; 61	(123456)	(123456)	6
$k = 2$	13, 24, 35, 46; 51, 62	(123456)	(135)(246)	3
$k = 3$	14, 25, 36; 41, 52, 63	(123456)	(14)(25)(36)	2
$k = 4$	15, 26; 31, 42, 53, 64	(123456)	(153)(264)	3
$k = 5$	16; 21, 32, 43, 54, 65	(123456)	(165432)	6

Figure 3: k -shifts and maximum cycle lengths for $n = 6$.

of length at most 2 (such as 135 or 246). (Recall that our minimal forbidden substring consists of two elements jk , with length equal to one).

Corollary 2.4. *In the set of permutations $\{D_n^k\}$ with k relative prime to n , $n \geq 2$, there exist forbidden substrings of any length j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.*

Proof. By the previous proposition, for $(n, k) = 1$ we can get the longest forbidden substring of length $j = n - 1$. Note that it can be considered either a single substring of length $n - 1$ or $n - 1$ substrings of length 1. Hence once this substring is obtained, we can split it to get forbidden substrings of any length j , $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *The number of permutations in $\{D_n^k\}$ with $0 < k < n$, k relative prime to n , $n \geq 2$, is the same as the number of permutations in $\{D_n\}$.*

Proof. By the previous Corollary and Proposition, since for k 's such that $(n, k) = 1$, we can have forbidden substrings of any length, $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. It is easy to count that there are exactly $\binom{n}{j}$ ways to get j forbidden substrings (either disjoint or overlapping), and $(n - j)!$ permutations of these substrings and the remaining elements. Then by inclusion-exclusion we have that

$$D_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n - j)!. \quad (2.3)$$

But this is the same as Equation 1.4, which counts the number of permutations in $\{D_n\}$. \square

As an example of the previous proposition, consider again $n = 8$, $k = 5$. In this case, forbidden substrings in $\{D_8^5\}$ are $\{16, 27, 38; 41, 52, 63, 74, 85\}$. It is easy to count, for instance, that there are $\binom{8}{4}$ forbidden substrings of length $j = 4$ and $(8 - 4)!$ permutations of these substrings and the remaining elements. For example, a disjoint substring of length 4 (alternatively, four substrings of length 1) is given by 1638, 74 and we count $(8 - 4)! = 4!$ permutations of the four blocks 1638, 74, 2, 5. Another substring of length 4 is given by 16385 and we count $4!$ permutations of the blocks 16385, 2, 4, 7. Note that since $(8, 5) = 1$, there are $\binom{8}{7}$ substrings of maximum length $j = n - 1 = 7$ (for example, 16385274),

and we can permute these single blocks in $(8 - 7)! = 1$ way since there are no remaining elements to permute them with. Then, by Equation 2.3, we see that $D_8^5 = 14,832$ so there are this number of permutations in S_8 that avoid substrings $\{16, 27, 38; 41, 52, 63, 74, 85\}$. Note that $D_8^5 < d_8^5$ since there are more forbidden substrings in $\{D_8^5\}$ than in $\{d_8^5\}$.

Corollary 2.6. *In the set of permutations $\{D_p^k\}$ with p prime, we can form a substring of length $j = p - 1$ for any k -shift, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.*

Proof. $(p, k) = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$. □

For example, for $p = 5$, we can get longest forbidden substrings of length 4 for all k -shifts, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ by taking powers of the permutation (12345) , as done in Figure 3 above. For $k = 3$, for instance, a longest forbidden substring is given by $(12345)^3 = (14253) \rightarrow 14253$. This substring in $\{D_5^3\}$ corresponds to forbidden positions along a 5×5 chessboard for the k -shift $k = 3$, as can be seen in Figure 4.

	1	2	3	4	5
1				×	
2					×
3	×				
4		×			
5			×		

Figure 4: Forbidden positions in $\{D_5^3\}$.

Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 in turn imply:

Corollary 2.7. *The number of permutations in $\{D_p^k\}$ for any k -shift, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, is the same as the number of permutations in $\{D_p\}$, p prime.*

One can see from Table 2 in the Appendix, for instance, that for $p = 5$ there are 45 permutations in $\{D_5^k\}$ for any k -shift $k = 1, 2, \dots, 4$.

The maximum cycle length achieved for a particular n and k is a very important statistic. In fact, for any fixed n , k -shifts that have the same maximum cycle length will produce the same number of permutations, as can be seen in Table 2 in the Appendix.⁴ This table shows that there will be the same number of permutations in $\{D_n^{k_1}\}$ and $\{D_n^{k_2}\}$ whenever $(n, k_1) = (n, k_2)$, since then the maximum cycle lengths will be equal.

⁴No similar table appears in other references to our knowledge.

References

- [1] R.A. Brualdi, Introductory Combinatorics (1992), 2nd edition.
- [2] E. Navarrete, Forbidden Patterns and the Alternating Derangement Sequence, 2016. arXiv:1610.01987 [math.CO], 2016.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A000240.
- [4] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A277609.
- [5] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1 (2011), 2nd edition.

APPENDIX

n	Der_n	d_n	d_n^2	d_n^3	d_n^4	d_n^5
1	0					
2	1	1				
3	2	3	4			
4	9	11	14	18		
5	44	53	64	78	96	
6	265	309	362	426	504	600
7	1,854	2,119	2,428	2,790	3,216	3,720
8	14,833	16,687	18,806	21,234	24,024	27,240

Table 1: Some values for d_n^k .

	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$	$k = 6$
$n = 2$	0					
$n = 3$	3	3				
$n = 4$	8	8	8			
$n = 5$	45	45	45	45		
$n = 6$	264	270	240	270	264	
$n = 7$	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855
$n = 8$	14,832	14,816	14,832	13,824	14,832	14,816
$n = 9$	133,497	133,497	134,298	133,497	133,497	134,298

Table 2: Some values for D_n^k .