

PURELY SINGULAR CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM FOR LIMIT-PERIODIC CMV OPERATORS WITH APPLICATIONS TO QUANTUM WALKS

JAKE FILLMAN AND DARREN C. ONG

ABSTRACT. We show that a generic element of a space of limit-periodic CMV operators has zero-measure Cantor spectrum. We also prove a Craig–Simon type theorem for the density of states measure associated with a stochastic family of CMV matrices and use our construction from the first part to prove that the Craig–Simon result is optimal in general. We discuss applications of these results to a quantum walk model where the coins are arranged according to a limit-periodic sequence. The key ingredient in these results is a new formula which may be viewed as a relationship between the density of states measure of a CMV matrix and its Schur function.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	4
2. Results	4
3. Periodic CMV Matrices with Phases	9
4. A Formula for the DOS	12
5. Periodic Operators with Exponentially Thin Spectra	16
6. Craig–Simon for CMV Matrices	20
7. Optimality of Log-Hölder Continuity of the DOS	21
8. Limit-Periodic CMV Matrices with Zero-Measure Cantor Spectrum	22
9. CMV Operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and Their Schur Functions	24
Appendix A. A Discrete-Time RAGE Theorem	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a discrete one-dimensional random walk model on the integers, where each integer location is assigned a weighted coin. At each time step, the walker flips the coin at her location, and moves one integer to the left if the coin lands heads, or one integer to the right if the coin lands tails. There are several interesting questions one can ask about the long-term behavior of the walker; for example, one may ask whether the walker remains close to the starting position or goes off to infinity in either direction, given particular weightings of the coins at each location.

There has been a lot of recent interest among physicists in a quantum mechanical analogue of this classical random walk, known as a *discrete-time quantum walk*. In this model, the walker (which we imagine as a quantum particle) possesses a spin (either \uparrow or \downarrow) as well as an integer location; moreover, the walker may be in a superposition of pure states, rather than being purely localized at a particular site with a definite spin.

J. F. was supported in part by an AMS-Simons travel grant, 2016–2018.

- (2) If the spectral measure is singular continuous, the wavepacket eventually leaves any bounded region, if we calculate in a time-averaged sense. It may or may not leave the a compact region without time-averaging.
- (3) If the spectral measure is absolutely continuous, most of the wavepacket eventually leaves any bounded region. In fact, it is known that (in dimension one) we have ballistic spreading in a time-averaged sense in this case [12]. One can prove ballistic spreading without averaging in time in some specific quantum-walk scenarios in the absolutely continuous regime [1, 17], but it is unclear whether one can do this for all 1D quantum walks with purely a.c. spectrum.

The second setting gives us the most interesting behavior. For singular continuous spectrum [11] shows that we have the possibility of *anomalous transport*, that is where the wavepacket leaves any bounded region but at sub-ballistic speed. This approach actually provided the first explicit demonstration of anomalous transport in a quantum walk with temporally homogeneous coins; for a nonlinear, temporally inhomogeneous example, see [31]. To understand better the spreading of the quantum walk in this situation, it will thus be useful to collect more examples of CMV operators where we also have singular continuous spectrum.

In this paper we produce some examples in the form of limit-periodic CMV operators. We say a sequence is *limit-periodic* if it is a uniform limit of periodic sequences. For example,

$$a(m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi m}{n!}\right)$$

is a limit-periodic function of m . Our main result is a proof that for generic limit-periodic CMV operators, the spectrum of the operator is purely singular continuous, supported on a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure.

This has direct implications on understanding quantum walks whose coins are arranged according to a such a limit-periodic pattern. Almost-periodic quantum walks have received substantial interest both from the perspective of mathematics [18] and of physics [30, 39].

It is also demonstrated in [11] and [12] that understanding the fractal characteristics of an operator's singular continuous spectrum can give us more precise information about the rate of spreading of the wavepacket of the corresponding quantum walk (in particular, the two papers provide explicit lower bounds in terms of the Hausdorff dimension of the spectral measure and explicit upper bounds in terms of an associated transfer matrix cocycle). To this end, we include a result, Theorem 2.2 about the Hausdorff dimension of a limit-periodic CMV operator's spectrum. We show that a dense set of limit-periodic operators have spectrum of Hausdorff dimension zero. Unfortunately, the formulae in [11, 12] do not give us any useful bounds when the spectrum has Hausdorff dimension zero beyond what we already know from the RAGE theorem. However, we see this result as a possible starting point for future research, since discovering limit-periodic CMV operators with suitably continuous spectral measure will indeed produce examples with nice explicit bounds on spreading.

In order to prove the previous theorem, we had to develop new tools concerning the density of states measure for periodic CMV operators. Roughly speaking, the density of states measure is formed by considering the operator \mathcal{E} restricted to length- n subintervals of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. We can assign a weight of $1/n$ to each eigenvalue of the restricted operator to obtain a normalized eigenvalue-counting measure. The density of states (henceforth: DOS) is then the weak* limit of such measures as n tends to infinity (whenever such a limit exists), and it is an important object from the point of view of statistical mechanics.

We will also include a few results about the density of states measure that have applications beyond that of quantum walks, in the spirit of [4, Section 2] and [14]. In Theorem 9.2 we demonstrate an inequality relating the DOS with the Schur function of a periodic CMV operator. The Schur function is one of the many CMV analogues of the Weyl-Titchmarsh m -function for Jacobi operators. Each CMV matrix on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ corresponds to a Schur function, an analytic function from the open unit disk to itself. For further information, see [35, Section 1.3] for a discussion about the Schur function of a CMV operator.

This connection is useful because it bridges two different perspectives on the CMV operator: the DOS, which emerges from dynamical systems and orthogonal polynomials; and the Schur function, which is central when one instead takes a more complex-analytic approach to the CMV operator. One immediate application of this useful connection is our Theorem 2.1, although the Schur function only appears implicitly.

Furthermore, this theorem is especially interesting because there are actually several different CMV analogues to the Weyl-Titchmarsh m -function for Jacobi operators. See [32] for a discussion of five of these analogues. Because of this, the complex-analytic m -function approach is a lot more difficult for the CMV operator compared to the Jacobi operator, and thus having a new tool is especially useful. In fact, in the course of our proof of Theorem 9.2, we see that the Schur function plays yet another role played by the m -function, namely, by supplying the invariant section of the Möbius action of the transfer matrix cocycle (though this is already in some sense implicit in, e.g. [32, Equation (5.14)]).

To the best of our knowledge, this connection between the DOS and the Schur function has not been elucidated for periodic CMV matrices, and hence, it should be of interest independently of the remainder of the paper, particularly among communities who encounter CMV matrices through avenues other than spectral theory (e.g. quantum walks, Ising model, and orthogonal polynomials). Concretely, variants of this connection are highly useful in the study of Schrödinger operators and Jacobi matrices.

Our paper is structured as follows. In the first portion, we describe the aforementioned connection between the DOS of a periodic CMV matrix and its Schur function. In the second part of the paper, we describe a few applications of this connection to the spectral theory of CMV matrices with limit-periodic coefficients. Concretely, we show that purely singular continuous zero-measure Cantor spectrum is generic in a suitable class of limit-periodic CMV matrices and we prove optimality of a Craig–Simon-type result for the modulus of continuity of the DOS of a CMV matrix.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Fritz Gesztesy, Andy Putman, and Maxim Zinchenko for helpful conversations. J.F. is grateful to the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics for hospitality during the program “Between Dynamics and Spectral Theory”, during which portions of this work were completed. D.O. is grateful to Christian Remling for a helpful answer [29] to a relevant question on MathOverflow.

2. RESULTS

2.1. CMV Operators with Phase Factors. Let us now describe our results more precisely. For some of the applications that we have in mind, the structure of CMV matrices is a little restrictive, so we will work in a slightly more flexible class of operators in which we incorporate a phase factor into the usual CMV construction. More precisely, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and $\lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, we define a 2×2 unitary matrix by

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta(\alpha, \lambda) = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & \rho \\ \rho & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho = \rho_\alpha = \sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^2}.$$

Notice that $\text{LP}(r)$ is a complete metric space with respect to the metric $d(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'\|$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$, and similarly for LP .

There is considerable interest in determining the spectrum and spectral type of almost-periodic Schrödinger operators, Jacobi matrices, and CMV matrices. In this paper, we prove that zero-measure Cantor spectrum with purely singular continuous spectral type is topologically generic in the class of limit-periodic pCMV operators.

Theorem 2.1. *For Baire-generic $\mathcal{E} \in \text{LP}$, \mathcal{E} has purely singular continuous spectrum supported on a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure.*

By suitably using the construction from the previous theorem, one can also construct limit-periodic pCMV matrices with spectra of zero Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a dense set of $\mathcal{E} \in \text{LP}$ such that \mathcal{E} has purely singular continuous spectrum supported on a Cantor set of zero Hausdorff dimension.*

We should mention here that Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 have analogs for discrete Schrödinger operators [3] and for continuous Schrödinger operators [8]. While we follow the approach of these papers, the unitary setting provides new challenges. In particular, the connection between the DOS and the Schur function, the most crucial component of the proof requires a substantially more complicated approach in the unitary setting, due to how differently m -functions for the Schrödinger operator and CMV operator behave.

2.2. Quantum Walks. Now, let us precisely describe quantum walks on \mathbb{Z} and how they may be related to CMV matrices. The state space for such quantum walks is

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2,$$

where the first factor corresponds to the spatial variable, and the second factor corresponds to the internal variable, the “spin”. The elementary tensors of the form $\delta_n^\pm \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta_n \otimes e_\pm$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ comprise an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} , where $\{e_+, e_-\}$ denotes the usual basis of \mathbb{C}^2 :

$$e_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A time-homogeneous quantum walk scenario is completely specified by a choice of local interactions given by 2×2 unitaries, called the *coins*:

$$(2.7) \quad Q_n = \begin{bmatrix} q_n^{11} & q_n^{12} \\ q_n^{21} & q_n^{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{U}(2), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

To avoid degeneracies, we will always assume that $q_n^{11}, q_n^{22} \neq 0$. The *update rule* of the quantum walk, which updates the system by one time step, is given as $U = SC$, where S is the conditional shift $S : \delta_n^\pm \mapsto \delta_{n\pm 1}^\pm$, and C applies the coins coordinatewise:

$$C\delta_n^+ = q_n^{11}\delta_n^+ + q_n^{21}\delta_n^-, \quad C\delta_n^- = q_n^{12}\delta_n^+ + q_n^{22}\delta_n^-.$$

Thus, U acts as follows on pure states:

$$(2.8) \quad \delta_n^+ \mapsto q_n^{11}\delta_{n+1}^+ + q_n^{21}\delta_{n-1}^-, \quad \delta_n^- \mapsto q_n^{12}\delta_{n+1}^+ + q_n^{22}\delta_{n-1}^-$$

Since S and C are clearly unitary, this defines a unitary operator U on \mathcal{H} . Next, order the basis of \mathcal{H} as $\varphi_{2m} = \delta_m^+$, $\varphi_{2m+1} = \delta_m^-$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this ordered basis, the matrix

then the DOS of $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$ exists for *all* $\omega \in \Omega$; this follows from the equivalence of unique ergodicity of S and uniform convergence of Birkhoff averages of continuous functions to constants (see, e.g. [38, Theorem 6.19]).

For the DOS, we will prove a Craig–Simon-type result on the modulus of continuity; compare [6]. Here, and throughout the paper, we use $\text{Leb}(A)$ to denote the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $A \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ (which we also refer to as the arc length measure). In particular, we have $\text{Leb}(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 2\pi$.

Theorem 2.4. *The DOS of an ergodic family of extended pCMV matrices is log-Hölder continuous. That is, there exists a constant $c > 0$ for which*

$$\nu(A) \leq -c(\log \text{Leb}(A))^{-1}$$

for every arc $A \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ with $\text{Leb} A < 1/2$, where Leb denotes the arc length measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

Our construction of limit-periodic pCMV matrices with very thin spectra allows us to show that the previous result is optimal in general.

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is any increasing function that satisfies*

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} h(\delta) \log(\delta^{-1}) = 0.$$

Then there exists a dense set of $\mathcal{E} \in \text{LP}$ so that the associated density of states ν satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{\nu([z, z_0])}{h(|z - z_0|)} = +\infty$$

for any $z_0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})$, where $[z, z_0]$ denotes the shortest closed subarc of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ containing z and z_0 . In particular, for such \mathcal{E} , the DOS is not α -Hölder continuous for any $\alpha > 0$.

Since it is critical to our construction, let us briefly comment on why we need the additional flexibility supplied by pCMV matrices for some parts of the paper. Broadly speaking, one does this so that one may “move” the spectrum a small amount by a uniformly small change in operator data. Concretely, if we want to rotate the spectrum of a CMV matrix \mathcal{E} by a phase $\lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then one clearly has

$$\sigma(\lambda\mathcal{E}) = \lambda\sigma(\mathcal{E}).$$

However, this is problematic for ordinary CMV matrices, as $\lambda\mathcal{E}$ is not a genuine CMV matrix unless $\lambda = 1$. One can resolve this by conjugating $\lambda\mathcal{E}$ by a suitable diagonal unitary Λ as above to obtain an honest CMV matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \lambda\Lambda^*\mathcal{E}\Lambda$ with $\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \lambda\sigma(\mathcal{E})$. However, while $\|\lambda\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}\| = |\lambda - 1|$, one completely loses control over $\|\mathcal{E} - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\|$. Thus, our desire to incorporate phases is motivated precisely by our need to force small perturbations at the level of spectral data correspond to uniformly small perturbations in the coefficient data.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 3, we collect some general facts regarding the spectral analysis of periodic pCMV matrices. In Section 4, we establish a useful connection between the DOS measure and a type of Weyl–Titchmarsh m -function of a periodic CMV matrix. This connection is then used in Section 5 to construct periodic CMV matrices with exponentially thin spectra. We prove the Craig–Simon result (Theorem 2.4) in Section 6 and prove that it is optimal (Theorem 2.5) in Section 7. We prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in Section 8. We discuss some applications to spectral theory for OPUC in Section 9. In addition, we include a proof of a discrete-time RAGE theorem in Appendix A for the convenience of the reader.

3. PERIODIC CMV MATRICES WITH PHASES

In the present section, we will briefly describe some aspects regarding the spectral analysis of pCMV matrices.

3.1. A Gesztesy–Zinchenko Formalism. First, we will briefly outline how to work out a transfer-matrix formalism for CMV matrices with phase factors as in (2.3). The overall approach follows the constructions of and is very similar to [19].

Suppose $\mathcal{E}u = zu$ and let $v = \mathcal{M}u$. Then, one has $\mathcal{L}v = \mathcal{E}u = zu$, so we have

$$(3.1) \quad \Theta(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) \begin{bmatrix} v_{2n} \\ v_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} = z \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n} \\ u_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Theta(\alpha_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n-1}) \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n-1} \\ u_{2n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{2n-1} \\ v_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Rearranging (3.1), one obtains

$$(3.2) \quad v_{2n-1} = \lambda_{2n-1}(\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}}u_{2n-1} + \rho_{2n-1}u_{2n}),$$

$$(3.3) \quad v_{2n} = \lambda_{2n-1}(\rho_{2n-1}u_{2n-1} - \alpha_{2n-1}u_{2n}).$$

Multiplying (3.3) by ρ_{2n-1} , we get

$$\rho_{2n-1}v_{2n} = \lambda_{2n-1}(u_{2n-1} - \alpha_{2n-1}(\overline{\alpha_{2n-1}}u_{2n-1} + \rho_{2n-1}u_{2n})),$$

which, by (3.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.4) \quad \rho_{2n-1}v_{2n} = \lambda_{2n-1}u_{2n-1} - \alpha_{2n-1}v_{2n-1}.$$

Together, (3.2) and (3.4) give us

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n} \\ v_{2n} \end{bmatrix} = P(\alpha_{2n-1}, \lambda_{2n-1}; z) \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n-1} \\ v_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad P(\alpha, \lambda; z) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} -\overline{\alpha} & \lambda^{-1} \\ \lambda & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n+1} \\ v_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} = Q(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}; z) \begin{bmatrix} u_{2n} \\ v_{2n} \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad Q(\alpha, \lambda; z) = \frac{1}{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha & \lambda z^{-1} \\ \lambda^{-1} z & -\overline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is helpful to identify these transfer matrices with suitable conformal transformations of the unit disk, \mathbb{D} . In particular, for every choice of $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda, \mu, z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, one has

$$P(\alpha, \lambda; z)Q(\beta, \mu; z) \in \mathcal{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{M \in \mathbb{U}(1, 1) : \det M = 1\},$$

where

$$\mathbb{U}(1, 1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ M \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} : M^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

This is helpful, as the group $\mathcal{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ is quite well-understood. An inspired reference is [36, Section 10.4]. For example, from [36, (10.4.16)] we know that a matrix is in $\mathcal{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ if and only if it can be written in the form

$$A(p, q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ \overline{q} & \overline{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|p|^2 - |q|^2 = 1$. Additionally, each $A \in \mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ acts on \mathbb{D} by Möbius transformations, namely:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Now, define $Y(n; z) = P(\alpha_n, \lambda_n; z)$ whenever n is odd and $Y(n; z) = Q(\alpha_n, \lambda_n; z)$ whenever n is even, and put

$$Z(n, m; z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} Y(n-1; z) \cdots Y(m; z) & n > m \\ \mathbb{I} & n = m \\ Z(m, n; z)^{-1} & n < m. \end{cases}$$

In view of (3.5) and (3.7), this definition yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = Z(n, m; z) \begin{bmatrix} u_m \\ v_m \end{bmatrix} \text{ for every } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

3.2. Spectrum, Lyapunov Exponent, and DOS of Periodic pCMV Matrices. In the present subsection, we will briefly describe a few aspects of the spectral analysis of periodic pCMV matrices. Although the propositions that we quote are generally only proved for ordinary CMV matrices in the literature, the arguments carry over *mutatis mutandis* for pCMV matrices, so we do not supply detailed proofs.

Suppose \mathcal{E} is q -periodic and q is even (we do not require a minimal period, so this is no loss). The associated *monodromy matrix* is

$$\Phi(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z(q, 0; z)$$

and the *discriminant* is defined by

$$\Delta(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{tr } \Phi(z).$$

It is straightforward to identify the spectrum of \mathcal{E} in terms of the Lyapunov behavior of Φ .

Proposition 3.1. *If \mathcal{E} is q -periodic, the limit*

$$L(z) = L(z, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Z(n, 0; z)\|$$

exists for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and it satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad L(z) = \frac{1}{q} \log \text{spr } \Phi(z),$$

where $\text{spr } A$ denotes the spectral radius of A . Moreover, the spectrum of \mathcal{E} is given by

$$(3.11) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \{z : L(z) = 0\} = \{z : \Delta(z) \in [-2, 2]\}.$$

Proof. For standard CMV matrices, this is contained in [36, Theorems 11.1.1 and 11.1.2]. We supply a brief sketch of an argument that proves the indicated statements; notice that, since we prove fewer statements than in [36], we follow a somewhat different path. First, existence of the limit defining L and the equality in (3.10) are immediate from periodicity and Gelfand's formula for the spectral radius. Since $|\det \Phi| = 1$, the second equality in (3.11) follows from (3.10).

Next, if $\Delta(z) \in [-2, 2]$, then $\Phi(z)$ has at least one unimodular eigenvalue, which may be used to construct a nontrivial bounded solution ψ to $\mathcal{E}\psi = z\psi$ (in fact, *all* solutions are bounded whenever $\Delta(z) \in (-2, 2)$). Normalized cutoffs of ψ produce a Weyl sequence for \mathcal{E} at spectral parameter z , whence $z \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})$; cf. [10]. Conversely, if $\Delta(z) \notin [-2, 2]$, then

$\Phi(z)$ has eigenvalues λ_{\pm} with $|\lambda_+| < 1 < |\lambda_-|$. One may use the associated eigenvectors to construct solutions u_{\pm} to $\mathcal{E}u = zu$ that decay exponentially quickly at $\pm\infty$. One may use u_{\pm} to construct $(\mathcal{E} - z \cdot \mathbb{I})^{-1}$, and thus $z \notin \sigma(\mathcal{E})$. \square

The function L from Proposition 3.1 is called the *Lyapunov exponent*.

Next, we relate the DOS of \mathcal{E} to a “rotation number.” Concretely, for $z = e^{i\tau} \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})$, one may choose $\theta = \theta(\tau)$ so that

$$\Delta(z) = 2 \cos \theta \text{ and } 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

Theorem 3.2. *If \mathcal{E} is q -periodic, then ν , the DOS of \mathcal{E} , exists and is absolutely continuous with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Moreover, on the interior of each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$, one has*

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d\nu}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\pi q} \left| \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right|.$$

Proof. This is essentially [36, Equation (11.1.28)]; we supply a sketch that follows the rough outline of the proof of [37, Theorem 5.4.5].

Let $\mathcal{E}_{nq}^{\text{per}}$ denote the restriction of \mathcal{E} to $\ell^2([0, nq] \cap \mathbb{Z})$ with periodic boundary conditions and denote the corresponding eigenvalues by $z_{n,1}^{\text{per}}, \dots, z_{n,nq}^{\text{per}}$ listed according to multiplicity. Then, the probability measures

$$\nu_{nq}^{\text{per}} = \frac{1}{nq} \sum_{j=1}^{nq} \delta_{z_{n,j}^{\text{per}}}$$

defined by uniformly distributing point masses on the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{E}_{nq}^{\text{per}}$ converge weakly to ν as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, one can easily check that w is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}_{nq}^{\text{per}}$ if and only if 1 is an eigenvalue of $Z(nq, 0; w) = \Phi(w)^n$, which holds if and only if the monodromy matrix $\Phi(w)$ has an n th root of unity as an eigenvalue. Thus, w is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}_{nq}^{\text{per}}$ if and only if

$$\Delta(w) = 2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi j}{n} \right)$$

for some integer $0 \leq j \leq n/2$. Notice that any such eigenvalue with $0 < j < n/2$ is necessarily of multiplicity two, while the eigenvalues corresponding to $j = 0$ and $j = n/2$ (for even n) can have multiplicity one or two.

Let B denote any band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$, and $\Delta_B = \Delta|_B$ the restriction of Δ to B so that Δ_B^{-1} is a continuous map $[-2, 2] \rightarrow B$. Given a continuous compactly supported function g , the previous discussion implies

$$\int_B g(z) d\nu_{nq}^{\text{per}}(z) = \frac{2}{nq} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} g \left(\Delta_B^{-1} \left(2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi j}{n} \right) \right) \right) + O(1) \right).$$

The $O(1)$ term is bounded in absolute value by $2\|g\|_{\infty}$ and arises from the fact that the extremal terms may have multiplicity one. Sending $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_B g(z) d\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi q} \int_0^{\pi} g(\Delta_B^{-1}(2 \cos(\theta))) d\theta.$$

The conclusion of the theorem follows from the change of variables theorem. \square

Theorem 3.2 has a useful consequence for the DOS of periodic pCMV operators, which we note here for future use; compare [36, Theorem 11.1.3(3)].

Proposition 3.3. *Let B be any band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is a q -periodic pCMV operator. Then $\nu(B) = \frac{1}{q}$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.2 and the fact that θ varies between 0 and π in a monotone fashion on each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$. \square

4. A FORMULA FOR THE DOS

On the interior of a band of the spectrum of a periodic pCMV matrix, the monodromy matrices are elliptic, hence conjugate to rotations. The goal of the present section is to elucidate a connection between the the DOS and the $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ matrices that conjugate the monodromy matrices to rotations.

Concretely, suppose \mathcal{E} is q -periodic (with q even), and let us define for even j

$$(4.1) \quad T_j = T_j(\zeta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z(j+2, j; \zeta) = P(\alpha_{j+1}, \lambda_{j+1}; \zeta)Q(\alpha_j, \lambda_j; \zeta).$$

For most of what follows, we will suppress the dependence on ζ to simplify the exposition. Put $A_0 = \mathbb{I}$, and, for even $k \geq 2$, let

$$(4.2) \quad A_k = T_{k-2}T_{k-4} \cdots T_2T_0.$$

Finally, put

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi_k = A_k A_q A_k^{-1} = T_{k-2}T_{k-4} \cdots T_2T_0T_{q-2} \cdots T_k.$$

The diagonal subgroup of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ will play a key role:

$$\mathbb{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ R_\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

Notice that R_θ acts on the unit disk by a counterclockwise rotation of 2θ radians. For this reason, we will call members of \mathbb{K} *rotation matrices*. We can easily characterize rotation matrices as the stabilizer of zero in $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$, that is:

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{K} = \{A \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1) : A \cdot 0 = 0\},$$

where $A \cdot z$ denotes the Möbius action of A as in (3.9). Our first goal is to discuss the conjugation of elliptic $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ matrices to rotations. This is well-known; we describe it both for the reader's convenience and to help elucidate the Möbius-action perspective that will be helpful to us.

Lemma 4.1 ([36], Theorem 10.4.7(i)). *Let $A \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ with $\text{tr}A \in (-2, 2)$. Then there exists $M \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ such that*

$$A = MR_\theta M^{-1}$$

for some θ . Any such M is unique modulo right-multiplication by an element of \mathbb{K} .

Proof. If $A \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ has $\text{tr}(A) \in (-2, 2)$, then the Möbius action of A on \mathbb{D} has a unique fixed point, which can be seen from straightforward algebra (see, e.g. [33, Theorem 7.4.4]). We will call this fixed point ξ and write $A \cdot \xi = \xi \in \mathbb{D}$. One can easily choose an element of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ that moves zero to ξ , say

$$(4.5) \quad M = M_\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|\xi|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ \bar{\xi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, $M^{-1}AM$ fixes zero, so $M^{-1}AM \in \mathbb{K}$ as noted above in (4.4). Naturally, such a conjugacy is unique modulo right-multiplication by an element of the stabilizer of zero, which is exactly \mathbb{K} , as noted in (4.4). \square

For z in the interior of a band, we have that the corresponding monodromy matrices Φ_j , $j = 0, 2, 4, \dots, q-2$ have trace in $(-2, 2)$ and are therefore elliptic. Thus the previous theorem applies, and each Φ_j can be conjugated to an element of \mathbb{K} ; for each j , we denote by M_j an element of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ with

$$(4.6) \quad M_j^{-1}\Phi_j M_j \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Our main result is the following lower bound for the derivative of the DOS, inspired by the general tools used to analyze Schrödinger operators with absolutely continuous spectrum; cf. [4] and [14].

Theorem 4.2. *With setup as above, the derivative of the DOS satisfies*

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\tau} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi q} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(q-2)/2} \|M_{2\ell}\|_2^2$$

on the interior of each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$.

We will need to introduce a variant of the trace, which we will call the iTrace.

$$\text{Itr} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \frac{a-d}{2i}.$$

The iTrace is clearly linear, but not cyclic. However it is easy to check that we have the following weakened variant of cyclicity:

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Itr}(R^{-1}AR) = \text{Itr}(A) \text{ for all } A \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}, R \in \mathbb{K}.$$

The motivation behind this definition is that if θ is a smooth function of $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(4.8) \quad \text{Itr}(R_\theta^{-1}\partial R_\theta) = \partial\theta.$$

The iTrace arises here as a cousin of the Pfaffian, which is defined for skew-symmetric matrices by $\text{Pf}(A)^2 = \det(A)$. For 2×2 skew-symmetric matrices, the Pfaffian takes a particularly simple form:

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Pf}(A) = \frac{1}{2}(a_{21} - a_{12});$$

cf. [2]. Of course, (4.9) makes sense for any 2×2 matrix, and so may be viewed as defining a functional on, e.g. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The iTrace is precisely the functional that arises by pushing this functional forward from $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}(2, \mathbb{R})$ to $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ via the standard unitary equivalence between the two.

Here, and in what follows, ∂ denotes differentiation with respect to τ . Our goal is to establish an analogue of (4.8) for general one-parameter families of elliptic $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ matrices. That is, if $A(\tau)$ is a smooth family of elliptic $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ matrices with $M^{-1}AM = R_\theta$, we will find a simple formula for $\partial\theta$ in terms of the iTrace of the constituent matrices.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $\tau \mapsto A(\tau)$ is a smooth map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ such that $\text{tr}(A(\tau)) \in (-2, 2)$ for every τ . Then, there exist smooth choices of $M = M(\tau)$ and $\theta = \theta(\tau)$ such that*

$$(4.10) \quad M^{-1}AM \equiv R_\theta.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.11) \quad \partial\theta = \text{Itr}(M^{-1}A^{-1}\partial AM).$$

Proof. One can readily check that $\xi = \xi(\tau)$, the fixed point of $A(\tau)$ in \mathbb{D} varies smoothly with τ . As noted above, we may choose

$$M(\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |\xi(\tau)|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \xi(\tau) \\ \xi(\tau) & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then we have (4.10). Differentiating (4.10) with respect to τ , we find

$$(\partial M^{-1})AM + M^{-1}\partial AM + M^{-1}A\partial M = iR \begin{bmatrix} \partial\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, left-multiply both sides by R^{-1} and apply (4.10) to simplify:

$$(4.12) \quad R^{-1}(\partial M^{-1})MR + M^{-1}A^{-1}\partial AM + M^{-1}\partial M = i \begin{bmatrix} \partial\theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

By linearity and cyclicity of Itr (as in (4.7)), we have

$$\text{Itr}(R^{-1}(\partial M^{-1})MR + M^{-1}\partial M) = \text{Itr}((\partial M^{-1})M + M^{-1}\partial M) = \text{Itr}(\partial(M^{-1}M)) = 0.$$

Thus, (4.11) follows from (4.12) by taking the $i\text{Trace}$ of both sides. \square

Before we prove the main theorem, we will record a couple of calculations. Firstly, in light of Theorem 4.3, we are interested in quantities of the form $\text{Itr}(M^{-1}AM)$, where $A = T_j^{-1}\partial T_j$. Since $T_j \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$, it follows that $A \in \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$, the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$, which we may parameterize as follows:

$$(4.13) \quad \mathfrak{su}(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} iw & z \\ \bar{z} & -iw \end{bmatrix} : w \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.4. *For all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$, one has*

$$(4.14) \quad \text{Itr} \left(M_\xi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} M_\xi \right) = \frac{1}{2i(1 - |\xi|^2)} ((1 + |\xi|^2)(a - d) + 2b\bar{\xi} - 2c\xi).$$

Consequently, for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} iw & z \\ \bar{z} & -iw \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(1, 1),$$

we have

$$(4.15) \quad \text{Itr} \left(M_\xi^{-1} A M_\xi \right) = \frac{1}{1 - |\xi|^2} ((1 + |\xi|^2)w + 2\text{Im}(z\bar{\xi})).$$

Proof. One has

$$\begin{aligned} M_\xi^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} M_\xi &= \frac{1}{1 - |\xi|^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\xi \\ -\bar{\xi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ \bar{\xi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - |\xi|^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\xi \\ -\bar{\xi} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a + b\bar{\xi} & a\xi + b \\ c + d\bar{\xi} & c\xi + d \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - |\xi|^2} \begin{bmatrix} a + b\bar{\xi} - c\xi - d|\xi|^2 & * \\ * & -a|\xi|^2 - b\bar{\xi} + c\xi + d \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.14) holds. Of course, (4.15) follows immediately from (4.14). \square

Now, we want to apply this to $A = T^{-1}\partial T$ with $T = PQ$ (a product of GZ matrices), so we now compute T and $T^{-1}\partial T$ explicitly.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ be given, and define*

$$T = T(\zeta) = P(\alpha, \lambda; \zeta)Q(\beta, \mu; \zeta).$$

Let $\zeta = e^{i\tau}$ and denote by ∂ differentiation with respect to τ as usual. We have

$$T = \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\alpha}\beta + \frac{\zeta}{\mu\lambda} & -\frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu}{\zeta} - \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\lambda} \\ -\beta\lambda - \frac{\alpha\zeta}{\mu} & \frac{\mu\lambda}{\zeta} + \alpha\bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T^{-1}\partial T = \frac{i}{\rho_\beta^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\bar{\beta}\mu/\zeta \\ \beta\zeta/\mu & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\alpha, \lambda; \zeta)Q(\beta, \mu; \zeta) &= \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{\alpha} & 1/\lambda \\ \lambda & -\alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\beta & \mu/\zeta \\ \zeta/\mu & -\bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\alpha}\beta + \frac{\zeta}{\mu\lambda} & -\frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu}{\zeta} - \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\lambda} \\ -\beta\lambda - \frac{\alpha\zeta}{\mu} & \frac{\mu\lambda}{\zeta} + \alpha\bar{\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Since $\zeta = e^{i\tau}$, we have $\partial\zeta = i\zeta$ and $\partial(1/\zeta) = -i/\zeta$. Consequently,

$$\partial T = \frac{i}{\rho_\alpha \rho_\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\zeta}{\mu\lambda} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu}{\zeta} \\ -\frac{\alpha\zeta}{\mu} & -\frac{\mu\lambda}{\zeta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}\partial T &= \frac{i}{\rho_\alpha^2 \rho_\beta^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu\lambda}{\zeta} + \alpha\bar{\beta} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu}{\zeta} + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\lambda} \\ \beta\lambda + \frac{\alpha\zeta}{\mu} & \bar{\alpha}\beta + \frac{\zeta}{\mu\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\zeta}{\mu\lambda} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu}{\zeta} \\ -\frac{\alpha\zeta}{\mu} & -\frac{\mu\lambda}{\zeta} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i}{\rho_\alpha^2 \rho_\beta^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{\alpha\bar{\beta}\zeta}{\mu\lambda} - |\alpha|^2 - \frac{\alpha\bar{\beta}\zeta}{\mu\lambda} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu^2\lambda}{\zeta^2} + \frac{|\alpha|^2\bar{\beta}\mu}{\zeta} - \frac{\bar{\alpha}\mu^2\lambda}{\zeta^2} - \frac{\bar{\beta}\mu}{\zeta} \\ \frac{\beta\zeta}{\mu} + \frac{\alpha\zeta^2}{\mu^2\lambda} - \frac{|\alpha|^2\beta\zeta}{\mu} - \frac{\alpha\zeta^2}{\mu^2\lambda} & \frac{\bar{\alpha}\beta\mu\lambda}{\zeta} + |\alpha|^2 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}\beta\mu\lambda}{\zeta} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i}{\rho_\alpha^2 \rho_\beta^2} \begin{bmatrix} \rho_\alpha^2 & -\rho_\alpha^2 \frac{\bar{\beta}\mu}{\zeta} \\ \rho_\alpha^2 \frac{\beta\zeta}{\mu} & -\rho_\alpha^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i}{\rho_\beta^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\bar{\beta}\mu/\zeta \\ \beta\zeta/\mu & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Apply Proposition 4.5 to $T = T_j$ to get

$$T_j^{-1}\partial T_j = \frac{i}{\rho_j^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\bar{\alpha}_j\lambda_j/\zeta \\ \alpha_j\zeta/\lambda_j & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for every $j \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then, applying Proposition 4.4 (and denoting the fixed point of Φ_j on \mathbb{D} by ξ_j), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Itr}(M_j^{-1}T_j^{-1}\partial T_j M_j) &= \frac{1}{\rho_j^2(1 - |\xi_j|^2)} (1 + |\xi_j|^2 + 2 \text{Im}(-i\bar{\alpha}_j\lambda_j\zeta^{-1}\bar{\xi}_j)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho_j^2(1 - |\xi_j|^2)} (1 + |\xi_j|^2 - 2|\alpha_j||\xi_j|). \end{aligned}$$

By an easy calculus exercise, we may bound the final expression from below by

$$\frac{1}{\rho_j^2(1 - |\xi_j|^2)} (1 + |\xi_j|^2 - 2|\alpha_j||\xi_j|) \geq \frac{1}{\rho_j^2(1 - |\xi_j|^2)} (1 - |\alpha_j|^2) = \frac{1}{1 - |\xi_j|^2}.$$

In light of (4.5), we have

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{1 - |\xi_j|^2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \|M_j\|_2^2.$$

Now, one combining all of this with Theorem 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\theta &= \text{Itr}(M_0^{-1}\Phi_0^{-1}\partial\Phi_0M_0) \\ &= \text{Itr}\left(M_0^{-1}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{(q-2)/2} A_{2\ell}^{-1}T_{2\ell}^{-1}(\partial T_{2\ell})A_{2\ell}\right)M_0\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{2\ell}^{-1}\Phi_{2\ell}A_{2\ell} = \Phi_0$, it follows that $A_{2\ell}M_0$ conjugates $\Phi_{2\ell}$ to a rotation. Then, by uniqueness (cf. Lemma 4.1) $A_{2\ell}M_0 = M_{2\ell}R_{2\ell}$ for some $R_{2\ell} \in \mathbb{K}$. Thus, by cyclicity of the iTrace as in (4.7), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Itr}\left(M_0^{-1}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{(q-2)/2} A_{2\ell}^{-1}T_{2\ell}^{-1}(\partial T_{2\ell})A_{2\ell}\right)M_0\right) &= \text{Itr}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{(q-2)/2} M_{2\ell}^{-1}T_{2\ell}^{-1}(\partial T_{2\ell})M_{2\ell}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\ell=0}^{(q-2)/2} \|M_{2\ell}\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is from (4.16). By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\partial\nu = \frac{1}{\pi q} \partial\theta,$$

so the theorem is proved. \square

5. PERIODIC OPERATORS WITH EXPONENTIALLY THIN SPECTRA

In the present section, we use the DOS formula from the previous section to perform our key perturbative construction. The first step is to show that we may perturb the phases to open all spectral gaps.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose α is q -periodic, that q is even, and give $(\partial\mathbb{D})^q$ the topology induced by the uniform metric*

$$\|\lambda - \lambda'\|_\infty = \max_j |\lambda_j - \lambda'_j|.$$

There is a dense open set $G \subseteq (\partial\mathbb{D})^q$, such that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\lambda})$ has q connected components for every $\lambda \in G$. (NB: We use λ both for the element of $(\partial\mathbb{D})^q$ and the q -periodic extension to all of \mathbb{Z}).

Remark. This was proved for continuum Schrödinger operators in [34]. The following proof is based on a different version of that argument given in [29].

Proof. Let μ be a q -periodic sequence in $(\partial\mathbb{D})^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For n even let $T_n(\mu)$ refer to the 2-step transfer matrix corresponding to multiplying the P and Q Gesztesy-Zinchenko matrices (3.6) and (3.8) corresponding to (α, μ) , as in (4.1), that is,

$$T_n(\mu) = T_n(\mu; z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(\alpha_{n+1}, \mu_{n+1}; z)Q(\alpha_n, \mu_n; z).$$

Throughout the argument, let us suppress the z -dependences of the transfer matrices to make things a little easier to read. Similarly, let $T_n(\lambda)$ refer to the 2-step transfer matrix corresponding to the perturbed sequence (α, λ) , and define the n -step transfer matrices $A_n(\mu)$ and $A_n(\lambda)$ as in (4.2). As before, let $A_0(\lambda)$ be the identity matrix. Then, we have

$$A_n(\lambda) = T_{n-2}(\lambda)A_{n-2}(\lambda)$$

for each $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now, assume that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\mu})$ has a closed gap at the implicit spectral parameter, z . Then, $A_q(\mu)$ must be $\pm\mathbb{I}$, by Floquet theory (compare [36, Theorem 11.2.3]). Let us consider

$$(5.1) \quad W_n(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_n(\mu)^{-1}A_n(\lambda),$$

and note that $W_0(\lambda)$ is the identity matrix. Let θ_j be the argument of $\lambda_j\bar{\mu}_j - 1$, so that

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_j\bar{\mu}_j - 1 = |\mu_j - \lambda_j|e^{i\theta_j}.$$

Our goal is to compute an expansion of $\text{tr} W_q$ in powers of $|\lambda_j - \mu_j|$, which we will accomplish by inductively peeling off factors of the form $T_n(\mu)^{-1}T_n(\lambda)$. Concretely, first notice that

$$P(\alpha, \mu; z)^{-1}P(\alpha, \lambda; z) = \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha^2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\bar{\mu} - |\alpha|^2 & \alpha(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}) \\ \bar{\alpha}(\lambda - \mu) & \mu\bar{\lambda} - |\alpha|^2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha^2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\bar{\mu} - 1 & \alpha(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}) \\ \bar{\alpha}(\lambda - \mu) & \mu\bar{\lambda} - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In light of this, we denote by

$$R(\alpha, \mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda\bar{\mu} - 1 & \alpha(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}) \\ \bar{\alpha}(\lambda - \mu) & \mu\bar{\lambda} - 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and we note that (5.2) implies that

$$(5.3) \quad \text{tr} R(\alpha_j, \mu_j, \lambda_j) = 2\rho_j^{-2}|\mu_j - \lambda_j| \cos \theta_j \text{ for every } j.$$

Now, pick any $\lambda \in (\partial\mathbb{D})^q$ such that $\lambda_j = \mu_j$ for every *even* $1 \leq j \leq q$, and denote by

$$Q_j = Q(\alpha_j, \mu_j; z) = Q(\alpha_j, \lambda_j; z)$$

for each even j . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} W_q(\lambda) &= A_q(\mu)^{-1}A_q(\lambda) \\ &= A_{q-2}(\mu)^{-1}Q_{q-2}^{-1}(\mathbb{I} + R(\alpha_{q-1}, \mu_{q-1}, \lambda_{q-1}))Q_{q-2}A_{q-2}(\lambda) \\ &= A_{q-2}(\mu)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{I} + Q_{q-2}^{-1}R(\alpha_{q-1}, \mu_{q-1}, \lambda_{q-1})Q_{q-2}\right)A_{q-2}(\mu)W_{q-2}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

We can then apply a similar calculation to W_{q-2} in the last equation. Repeating the process by using (5.1) with $n = q - 2, q - 4, \dots$ until we reach $W_0 = \mathbb{I}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_q(\lambda) &= \prod_{j \text{ even}, j=q-2}^0 A_j(\mu)^{-1} \left(\mathbb{I} + Q_j^{-1}R(\alpha_{j+1}, \mu_{j+1}, \lambda_{j+1})Q_j \right) A_j(\mu) \\ &= \prod_{j \text{ even}, j=q-2}^0 \left(\mathbb{I} + A_j(\mu)^{-1}Q_j^{-1}R(\alpha_{j+1}, \mu_{j+1}, \lambda_{j+1})Q_j A_j(\mu) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the cyclicity of the trace and (5.3), this implies that

$$\text{tr}(W_q(\lambda)) = 2 + 2 \sum_{j \text{ odd}, j=1}^{q-1} \rho_j^{-2}|\mu_j - \lambda_j| \cos(\theta_j) + O(\|\lambda - \mu\|_\infty^2).$$

Note that for $\lambda_j\bar{\mu}_j$ on the unit circle and close to 1, $\cos(\theta_j) > 0$. Thus for $\|\lambda - \mu\|_\infty$ sufficiently small (and nonzero), it must be true that $\text{Tr}(W_q(\lambda)) > 2$. Since $A_q(\mu) = \pm\mathbb{I}$, it follows that

$$\text{tr} A_q(\lambda) = \text{tr}(A_q(\mu)W_q(\lambda)) = \pm \text{tr} W_q(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-2, 2],$$

and thus our implicit spectral parameter z is in an open gap of $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\lambda})$. Thus, G is dense, as claimed. To see that G is open in $(\partial\mathbb{D})^q$, simply note that the edges of the bands of

the spectrum may move by no more than ε in the usual metric on \mathbb{C} if μ is perturbed by an amount ε in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$; this follows from standard eigenvalue perturbation theory. \square

Remark 5.2. Notice that the argument still works if we only perturb some of the phases (in other words, there is a subset S of $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$ such that $\lambda_j = \mu_j$ for all $j \notin S$, and we use the topology generated by the norm $\|\lambda\| = \max_{j \in S} |\lambda_j|$). In particular, Lemma 5.1 holds if we only perturb one phase à la [3, Claim 3.4].

Using our work thus far, we may now perform our key construction: a dense set of periodic CMV operators with exponentially thin spectra.

Lemma 5.3. *Given $q \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, $r \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(q, r)$, and $\delta > 0$, there exist constants $c = c(\mathcal{E}, \delta)$, $N_0 = N_0(\mathcal{E}, \delta) > 0$ such that, for any $n \geq N_0$, there exists $\mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{P}(nq, r)$ such that $\|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'\| < \delta$, and*

$$\text{Leb}(\sigma(\mathcal{E}')) \leq e^{-cnq}.$$

Proof. The broad strokes of the proof follow [8], which refined the techniques of [3]. Let $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(q, r)$ and $\delta > 0$ be given. Fix n' large enough that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{4\pi}{n'q} < \frac{\delta}{6}$$

By Lemma 5.1, there exists $\mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{P}(n'q, r) \cap B_{\delta/2}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}')$ has exactly $n'q$ connected components. Furthermore, the Lebesgue measure of each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E}')$ is at most $\frac{4\pi}{n'q}$ by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.2. This implies that the Lebesgue measure of each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E}')$ is less than $\delta/6$.

Claim 1. *There is a finite set $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{E}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}'_\ell\} \subseteq B_\delta(\mathcal{E}) \cap \mathcal{P}(n'q, r)$ such that*

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell} \sigma(\mathcal{E}'_j) = \emptyset.$$

Proof of claim. Let γ_0 denote the minimum arc length of a gap of $\sigma(\mathcal{E}')$, and define

$$\gamma = \min\left(\frac{\delta}{6}, \frac{\gamma_0}{2}\right), \quad k = \left\lceil \frac{\delta}{6\gamma} \right\rceil.$$

Then, we construct a family of pCMV operators U_{-k}, \dots, U_k by $U_j = e^{ij\gamma} \mathcal{E}'$. Since each band of $\sigma(\mathcal{E}')$ has arc length less than $\delta/6$, it is clear that the resolvent sets of these operators cover the unit circle; moreover, using the inequality

$$|e^{i\theta} - 1| \leq |\theta|$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $U_j \in B_{\delta/2}(\mathcal{E}') \cap \mathcal{P}(n'q, r)$ for each j . Thus, the collection $\mathcal{F} = \{U_j : |j| \leq k\}$ fulfills the claim. \square

By Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_z \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} L(z, \mathcal{E}'_j) > 0.$$

Now, let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $n > 4\ell n'$ be given, and choose $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ maximally subject to the constraint

$$(5.6) \quad \ell n'(\tilde{n} + 1) \leq n.$$

We generate an nq -periodic CMV operator $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by concatenating the Verblunsky coefficients $\alpha'^{(j)}$ and phases $\lambda'^{(j)}$ of the \mathcal{E}'_j operators in Claim 1. We concatenate each pair $(\alpha'^{(j)}, \lambda'^{(j)})$ at least $\tilde{n} + 1$ times.

More precisely, let us denote $s_\ell = nq$, $s_j := j(\tilde{n} + 1)n'q$ for each integer $0 \leq j < \ell$, and define $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ to be the nq -periodic CMV operator with coefficients $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\lambda})$ defined by

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_m = \alpha_m^{(j)}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_m = \lambda_m^{(j)}, \quad m \in [s_{j-1}, s_j - 1], \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

Now suppose z is chosen so that at $\tilde{\Delta}(z) \in (-2, 2)$, where $\tilde{\Delta}$ denotes the discriminant of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. By (5.5) there is a $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $L(z, \mathcal{E}'_j) \geq \eta$. But the associated transfer matrices over subintervals of $[s_{j-1}, s_j - 1]$ of length $\tilde{n}n'q$ are exponentially large. More explicitly, denote the GZ transfer matrices associated to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ by $\tilde{Z}(n, m; z)$, and those associated to \mathcal{E}'_j by $Z_j(n, m; z)$. Then, for $k \in [0, n'q - 1]$ we have

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{Z}(k + s_j - n'q, k + s_{j-1}; z)\| &\geq \text{spr} \left(Z_j(k + n'q, k; z)^{\tilde{n}} \right) \\ &= \text{spr} \left(Z_j(k + n'q, k; z) \right)^{\tilde{n}} \\ &= \exp(\tilde{n}n'qL(z, \mathcal{E}'_j)) \\ &\geq \exp(nq\eta/2\ell) \end{aligned}$$

For the last step, we used that \tilde{n} is chosen maximal so that (5.6) holds, and hence

$$(5.9) \quad \ell n'(\tilde{n} + 2) > n.$$

Since we chose $n > 4\ell n'$, (5.9) yields

$$n < 2\ell n'(\tilde{n} + 2) - n < 2\ell n'(\tilde{n} + 2) - 4\ell n' = 2\ell n'\tilde{n},$$

which gives us (5.8).

The estimate implies lower bounds on the norms of the matrices that conjugate the monodromy matrices into rotations. Specifically, let \tilde{T} , $\tilde{\Phi}$, and \tilde{M} be the matrices defined in (4.1), (4.3), and (4.6) and associated with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Then, for each even k , we have $X^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}_{k+s_{j-1}}X \in \mathbb{K}$, where

$$X = \tilde{M}_{k+s_{j-1}} \text{ and } X = \tilde{Z}(k + s_j - n'q, k + s_{j-1})^{-1} \tilde{M}_{k+s_j-n'q},$$

by nq -periodicity of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and the definition of \tilde{M} . By Lemma 4.1, the \tilde{M} 's are unique modulo right-multiplication by diagonal unitary elements. In other words,

$$\tilde{Z}(k + s_j - n'q, k + s_{j-1})^{-1} \tilde{M}_{k+s_j-n'q} = \tilde{M}_{k+s_{j-1}} U$$

for some $U \in \mathbb{K}$. Using (5.8), we have that

$$\max(\|M_{k+s_j-n'q}\|, \|\tilde{M}_{k+s_{j-1}}\|) \geq \exp(nq\eta/4\ell).$$

Notice that this bound is uniform over $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ with $\tilde{\Delta}(z) \in (-2, 2)$. Thus for any band J of $z \in \sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$, we have

$$\text{Leb}(J) \leq 4\pi e^{-nq\eta/2\ell}$$

by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.2. There are nq bands in $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$, which then implies

$$\text{Leb}(\sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})) \leq 4\pi nq e^{-nq\eta/2\ell}.$$

The desired estimate then follows for $c < \frac{\eta}{2\ell}$ and sufficiently large n . \square

Using the previous theorem, we get generic zero-measure Cantor spectrum, almost for free.

Theorem 5.4. *Given $\delta > 0$, let M_δ denote the set of limit-periodic p CMV operators whose spectra have Lebesgue measure less than δ . For all $\delta > 0$, M_δ is a dense, open subset of LP.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, M_δ is dense, so it suffices to show that it is open. To that end, suppose that $\mathcal{E} \in M_\delta$. We will show that there exists an $r > 0$ such that

$$\text{Leb}(\sigma(\mathcal{E}')) < \delta$$

whenever $\|\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'\| < r$. Let

$$B_\varepsilon = B_\varepsilon(\sigma(\mathcal{E})) = \left\{ z \in \partial\mathbb{D} : \inf_{w \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})} |z - w| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We can clearly find an ε so that $\text{Leb}(B_\varepsilon) < \delta$. We simply set $r = \varepsilon$, and we are done by standard perturbative arguments. \square

6. CRAIG–SIMON FOR CMV MATRICES

In the present section, we will prove Theorem 2.4. The key fact here is known as the *Thouless formula* (See for instance [36, Equation (10.16.5)]). One has

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log |z - w| d\nu(w) = L(z) + \log \rho_\infty \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\log \rho_\infty = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \log(1 - |f(\omega)|^2) d\mu(\omega),$$

and $L(z)$ denotes the *Lyapunov exponent* of the transfer matrix cocycle, defined by

$$L(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega} \log \|Z_\omega(n, 0; z)\| d\mu(\omega),$$

where Z_ω denotes the family of transfer matrices associated to $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$. Since the transfer matrices satisfy $\det Z_\omega(n, 0; z) = (-1)^n$, we clearly have $L(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $A \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$ be an arc with $\text{Leb}(A) < 1/2$, and denote $A = [z, z']$, i.e., A is the shortest arc joining z to z' . Define A_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ by $A_1 = A$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \{w \in \partial\mathbb{D} : d(w, z) \leq 1, \text{ and } w \notin A_1\} \\ A_3 &= \{w \in \partial\mathbb{D} : d(w, z) > 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Thouless formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq L(z) \\ &= \log \rho_\infty^{-1} + \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \log |z - w| d\nu(w) \\ &= \log \rho_\infty^{-1} + \int_{A_1} \log |z - w| d\nu(w) + \int_{A_2} \log |z - w| d\nu(w) + \int_{A_3} \log |z - w| d\nu(w). \end{aligned}$$

The second integral is negative, so, upon rearrangement, we have

$$-\int_{A_1} \log |z - w| d\nu(w) \leq \log \rho_\infty^{-1} + \int_{A_3} \log |z - w| d\nu(w).$$

Since $\log |z - w| \leq \log |z - z'|$ for $w \in A_1$ and $\log |z - w| \leq \log 2$ for all $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, we obtain

$$(6.2) \quad -\nu(A_1) \cdot \log |z - z'| \leq \log 2 + \log \rho_\infty^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $\text{Leb}(A) \geq |z - z'|$, so we get

$$(6.3) \quad -\nu(A_1) \cdot \log |z - z'| \geq -\nu(A_1) \log \text{Leb}(A).$$

Combining (6.2) and (6.3), we obtain

$$-\nu(A_1) \log \text{Leb}(A) \leq \log(2\rho_\infty^{-1}),$$

which yields the statement of Theorem 2.4 with $c = \log(2/\rho_\infty)$.

□

7. OPTIMALITY OF LOG-HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE DOS

Using the construction from Section 5, we are able to show that the Craig–Simon theorem (Theorem 2.4) is optimal. Our approach is inspired by [25]; however, we note that by making Lemma 5.3 explicit, we are able to streamline their construction substantially.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We will prove this theorem by constructing a sequence of periodic approximants whose spectra grow small exponentially quickly. This exponential decay is precisely the phenomenon which causes the DOS to have a poor modulus of continuity. To begin, let us write

$$g(\delta) = h(\delta) \log(\delta^{-1})$$

and note that $g(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ by assumption. Let a q -periodic \mathcal{E}_0 (with q even) and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be given. We will construct a sequence of periodic operators $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots$ so that $\mathcal{E}_\infty = \lim \mathcal{E}_j$ exists, $\mathcal{E}_\infty \in B_{\varepsilon_0}(\mathcal{E}_0)$, and so that the DOS of \mathcal{E}_∞ satisfies (2.12) at every point of its spectrum. Throughout the proof, we denote

$$\Sigma_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{E}_j), \quad 0 \leq j \leq \infty.$$

Take $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0/2$, and let $0 < c_1 < c(\mathcal{E}_0, \varepsilon_1)$, where $c(\mathcal{E}_0, \varepsilon_1)$ is the constant from Lemma 5.3, and choose \mathcal{E}_1 to be ε_1 -close to \mathcal{E}_0 and of period q_1 such that

$$\text{Leb}(\Sigma_1) \leq \frac{1}{3} e^{-c_1 q_1}.$$

Inductively, having defined a q_{n-1} periodic \mathcal{E}_{n-1} and tolerances $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$, let

$$(7.1) \quad \varepsilon_n = \min \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{2}, \frac{\text{Leb}(\Sigma_{n-1})}{3} \right).$$

By Lemma 5.3, choose a q_n -periodic operator \mathcal{E}_n such that $\|\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - \mathcal{E}_n\| < \varepsilon_n$ and

$$(7.2) \quad \text{Leb}(\Sigma_n) \leq \frac{1}{3} e^{-c_n q_n},$$

where $0 < c_n < c(\mathcal{E}_{n-1}, \varepsilon_n)$. We further insist that q_n is taken large enough that

$$g(e^{-c_n q_n}) \leq \frac{c_n}{2n}.$$

Evidently $\mathcal{E}_\infty = \lim \mathcal{E}_j$ exists and is ε_0 -close to \mathcal{E}_0 . Let $z_\infty \in \Sigma_\infty$ be given. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we may choose $z_\ell \in \Sigma_\ell$ with

$$(7.3) \quad |z_\infty - z_\ell| \leq \|\mathcal{E}_\infty - \mathcal{E}_\ell\| \leq \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq 2\varepsilon_{\ell+1} \leq \frac{2}{9} e^{-c_\ell q_\ell},$$

where the third inequality follows from (7.1) and the final inequality follows from (7.1) and (7.2). Suppose that the band of Σ_ℓ containing z_ℓ is $[\alpha_\ell, \beta_\ell] \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ (with the band running counterclockwise from α_ℓ to β_ℓ). Then, since the DOS of \mathcal{E}_ℓ gives weight $1/q_\ell$ to $[\alpha_\ell, \beta_\ell]$ and $\|\mathcal{E}_\ell - \mathcal{E}_\infty\| < 2\varepsilon_{\ell+1}$, there exists a choice of $z'_\ell \in \{\alpha_\ell e^{-\pi i \varepsilon_{\ell+1}}, \beta_\ell e^{\pi i \varepsilon_{\ell+1}}\}$ such that

$$\nu([z_\infty, z'_\ell]) \geq \frac{1}{2q_\ell}.$$

By (7.2), (7.3), and our choice of z'_ℓ we have

$$|z_\ell - z'_\ell| \leq \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\pi}{9} \right) e^{-c_\ell q_\ell}.$$

Combining this with (7.3), we have

$$|z_\infty - z'_\ell| \leq \frac{5 + \pi}{9} e^{-c_\ell q_\ell} < e^{-c_\ell q_\ell}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu([z_\infty, z'_\ell])}{h(|z_\infty - z'_\ell|)} &\geq \frac{1}{2q_\ell h(e^{-c_\ell q_\ell})} \\ &= \frac{c_\ell}{2g(e^{-c_\ell q_\ell})} \\ &\geq \ell, \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow z_\infty} \frac{\nu([z_\infty, z])}{h(|z_\infty - z|)} = \infty,$$

as desired. \square

8. LIMIT-PERIODIC CMV MATRICES WITH ZERO-MEASURE CANTOR SPECTRUM

8.1. Zero Lebesgue Measure.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let M_δ denote the set of limit-periodic CMV matrices whose spectra have measure at most δ . By Theorem 5.4, M_δ is a dense open subset of LP for every δ , and hence

$$\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathcal{E} \in \text{LP} : \text{Leb}(\sigma(\mathcal{E})) = 0\} = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} M_\delta$$

is a dense G_δ set by the Baire category theorem.

Since the spectrum lacks isolated points by ergodicity, it suffices to show that the limit-periodic CMV matrices with no eigenvalues contain a dense G_δ set. However, this is clear from Gordon-type arguments. Concretely, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{LP}$ denote the set of *Gordon operators* in LP. More specifically, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $\mathcal{E} \in \text{LP}$ and there exist $q_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C^{q_k} \max_{-q_k + 1 \leq n \leq q_k} (|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+q_k}| + |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+q_k}|) = 0 \text{ for all } C > 0.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{G} is dense in LP (as it contains all periodic operators), and that it is a G_δ set. Since $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(\mathcal{E}) = \emptyset$ for every $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{G}$ [16],¹ we obtain the desired result with $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{M}$, by the Baire Category Theorem. \square

8.2. Zero Hausdorff Dimension. Here, we will prove Theorem 2.2. To establish notation, let us briefly recall the definitions of Hausdorff measures and dimension on the circle; for further details, see [15].

Given $S \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\delta > 0$, a δ -*cover* of S is a countable collection of arcs $\{I_j\}$ with the property that $S \subseteq \bigcup_j I_j$ and $\text{Leb}(I_j) < \delta$ for each j . Then, for each $\gamma \geq 0$, one defines the γ -dimensional *Hausdorff measure* of S by

$$h^\gamma(S) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \inf_{\delta\text{-covers}} \sum_j \text{Leb}(I_j)^\gamma.$$

For each $S \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$, there is a unique $\gamma_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$h^\gamma(S) = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 \leq \gamma < \gamma_0 \\ 0 & \gamma_0 < \gamma \end{cases}$$

¹[16] works with ordinary CMV operators, *sans* phases, but it is easy to see that those arguments generalize to the present setting.

We denote $\gamma_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(S)$ and refer to this as the *Hausdorff dimension* of the set S .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{E}_0 \in \text{LP}$ be q_0 -periodic, and suppose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. We will construct a sequence $(\mathcal{E}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ consisting of periodic operators so that $\mathcal{E}_{\infty} = \lim_n \mathcal{E}_n$ satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_{\infty}\| < \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } h^{\gamma}(\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{\infty})) = 0$$

for all $\gamma > 0$; evidently, this suffices to show that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{\infty})$ has Hausdorff dimension zero. Throughout the proof, $\Sigma_n = \sigma(\mathcal{E}_n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq \infty$.

Take $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0/2$. By Lemma 5.3, we may construct a q_1 -periodic operator \mathcal{E}_1 with $\|\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_1\| < \varepsilon_1$, and for which

$$\delta_1 := \text{Leb}(\Sigma_1) < e^{-q_1^{1/2}}.$$

Having constructed \mathcal{E}_{n-1} and ε_{n-1} such that $\delta_{n-1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Leb}(\Sigma_{n-1}) < \exp(-q_{n-1}^{1/2})$, let

$$(8.1) \quad \varepsilon_n = \min \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{2}, \frac{1}{2} n^{-q_{n-1}}, \frac{\delta_{n-1}}{4} \right).$$

By Lemma 5.3, we may construct a $q_n := N_n q_{n-1}$ -periodic operator \mathcal{E}_n with $\|\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{n-1}\| < \varepsilon_n$ such that

$$(8.2) \quad \delta_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Leb}(\Sigma_n) < e^{-q_n^{1/2}}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{E}_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_n$ exists and is limit-periodic. From the first condition in (8.1), we deduce

$$\|\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_{\infty}\| < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0,$$

so $\mathcal{E}_{\infty} \in B_{\varepsilon_0}(\mathcal{E}_0)$. Similarly, using the first and second conditions in (8.1), we observe that

$$\|\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{\infty}\| < n^{-q_n}$$

for each $n \geq 2$. From this, it is easy to see that \mathcal{E}_{∞} is a Gordon operator in the sense of [16], and hence, \mathcal{E}_{∞} has purely continuous spectrum. Thus, it remains to show that the spectrum has Hausdorff dimension zero. The key observation in this direction is that (8.1) yields

$$(8.3) \quad \|\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{\infty}\| \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \delta_n = \delta_n/2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now, let $\delta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ be given. Choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for which $2\delta_n < \delta$. Then, by (8.3), the $\delta_n/2$ -neighborhood of Σ_n comprises a δ -cover of Σ_{∞} ; denote this cover by \mathcal{J}_n . By (8.2), we have

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}_n} \text{Leb}(I)^{\gamma} \leq q_n 2^{\gamma} e^{-\gamma q_n^{1/2}}$$

Sending $\delta \downarrow 0$ (and hence $n \rightarrow \infty$), we have $h^{\gamma}(\Sigma_{\infty}) = 0$. Since this holds for all $\gamma > 0$, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\Sigma_{\infty}) = 0$, as desired. \square

9. CMV OPERATORS ON $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ AND THEIR SCHUR FUNCTIONS

We consider a CMV operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ rather than $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Specifically, we define

$$\mathcal{L}_+ = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \Theta(\alpha_{2j}, \lambda_{2j}), \quad \mathcal{M}_+ = 1 \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \Theta(\alpha_{2j+1}, \lambda_{2j+1}),$$

where Θ is defined as in (2.1). To clarify, 1 is not the 2×2 identity matrix, but rather the identity map from the 0th vector entry to itself. We can then define a CMV matrix $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{L}_+ \mathcal{M}_+$ and calculate that

$$(9.1) \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 \overline{\alpha_0} & \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \overline{\alpha_1} \rho_0 & \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \rho_1 \rho_0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_0 \rho_0 & -\lambda_1 \lambda_0 \overline{\alpha_1} \alpha_0 & -\lambda_1 \lambda_0 \rho_1 \alpha_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \overline{\alpha_2} \rho_1 & -\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \overline{\alpha_2} \alpha_1 & \lambda_3 \lambda_2 \overline{\alpha_3} \rho_2 & \lambda_3 \lambda_2 \rho_3 \rho_2 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \rho_2 \rho_1 & -\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \rho_2 \alpha_1 & -\lambda_3 \lambda_2 \overline{\alpha_3} \alpha_2 & -\lambda_3 \lambda_2 \rho_3 \alpha_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

Here, we have the same 4×2 block pattern we had in (2.3), except that the first block is truncated; in essence, this arises when α_{-1} is set to be -1 .

When all the λ 's are equal to 1, this matrix is of crucial importance in the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (OPUC). It arises when we have a probability measure μ on the unit circle with infinite support. We can then perform a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process on the sequence $1, z^{-1}, z, z, z^{-2}, z^2, \dots$ with inner product

$$\langle f(z), g(z) \rangle = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \overline{f(z)} g(z) d\mu(z),$$

which gives us a basis of the space of continuous functions on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. The CMV matrix (9.1) represents the multiplication by z operator on this basis. Thus, the CMV operator allows us to use spectral theoretic techniques to handle problems in orthogonal polynomials. Please consult [35],[36] for an extensive discussion of this point of view.

Of course, we can define limit-periodic \mathcal{C} the same way we defined limit-periodic \mathcal{E} , as the closure of the space of periodic CMV operators under the operator norm topology.

All of our results for the $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ operator \mathcal{E} also hold for the $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ operator \mathcal{C} , with one exception. The Gordon lemma argument given in [16] and [28] no longer holds, and therefore we cannot rule out the possibility of point spectrum.

Theorem 9.1. *There is a dense G_δ set of limit-periodic pCMV operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ which enjoy purely singular spectrum of zero Lebesgue measure. Furthermore, a dense set of such operators possess spectrum of zero Hausdorff dimension.*

Our results in Section 4 also implicitly give us results connecting the Schur function of μ to the DOS of the associated CMV matrix, \mathcal{C} ; in particular, we will see below that (modulo multiplication by z and conjugation), the ξ from Section 4 is the Schur function in disguise! Let us now make this more explicit. Each CMV matrix \mathcal{C} is associated with a Schur function s , (i.e. an analytic function from the open unit disk to itself). This function is a cousin of the Weyl-Titchmarsh m -function for Jacobi and Schrödinger operators, in that its limiting behaviour on the boundary gives us information about the spectrum of \mathcal{C} . Letting μ denote the spectral measure of \mathcal{C} , its associated Schur function s can be defined as

$$(9.2) \quad s(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{F(z) - 1}{F(z) + 1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $F(z)$ is the Carathéodory function, defined by

$$F(z) = \int \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu(\theta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Theorem 9.2. *Consider a q -periodic CMV matrix \mathcal{C} on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with q even. Let*

$$\Delta(z) = \text{tr}(\Phi(z)), \quad \Phi(z) = Z(q, 0; z)$$

be its discriminant and monodromy matrix, respectively and denote by $d\nu(\tau)$ its density of states measure, parameterized in the usual way by $\tau \in [0, 2\pi)$. For each $j \geq 0$, let $s_j(z)$ be the Schur function corresponding to the q -periodic Verblunsky coefficient sequence starting with $\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}, \dots, \alpha_{q-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}$. Then,

$$\frac{d\nu(\tau)}{d\tau} \geq \frac{1}{\pi q} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - |s_{2j}(e^{i\tau})|^2},$$

whenever $\Delta(e^{i\tau}) \in (-2, 2)$.

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, we may construct a Weyl solution to $\mathcal{C}\psi = z\psi$. More precisely, with $u = (\mathcal{C} - z\mathbb{I})^{-1}\delta_0$ and $v = \mathcal{M}_+u$, we have

$$(9.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ v(n) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{F(z) + 1}{2z} Z(n, 0; z) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ zs(z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

by (9.2) and [7, Proposition 2.3] (compare [35, Theorem 3.2.11]). On the other hand, since \mathcal{C} is q -periodic, the space of initial conditions that lead to square-summable solutions is simply the contracting eigenspace of $\Phi(z)$, so $(1, zs(z))^\top$ spans the contracting eigenspace of $\Phi(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Now, fix $\tau \in [0, 2\pi)$ with $\Delta(e^{i\tau}) \in (-2, 2)$. By [36, Section 11.3], the real part of the Carathéodory function has a boundary value at $w = e^{i\tau}$ with

$$\text{Re } F(w) > 0,$$

and hence, (9.2) implies that s has a boundary value with $|s(w)| < 1$. Since $|s| < 1$, the discussion above implies that the Möbius action of $\Phi(w)$ fixes the point

$$\frac{1}{ws(w)} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

On the other hand, $\Phi(w) \in \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(1, 1)$ by assumption, so by (4.13), there are complex numbers p, q with

$$\Phi(w) = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ \bar{q} & \bar{p} \end{bmatrix}, \quad |p|^2 - |q|^2 = 1.$$

Hence, by simple algebra, it follows that $\Phi(w)$ also fixes the point $\overline{ws(w)}$; cf. [37, Theorem 9.3.4]. Consequently, since $|s(w)| < 1$, it follows that the fixed point of the Möbius action of $\Phi(w)$ on \mathbb{D} is precisely

$$\xi = \overline{ws(w)}.$$

Consequently, by shifting, we get $|\xi_{2j}| = |s_{2j}|$ for each j , so, by the proof of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\frac{d\nu(\tau)}{d\tau} \geq \frac{1}{\pi q} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - |\xi_{2j}(e^{i\tau})|^2} = \frac{1}{\pi q} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - |s_{2j}(e^{i\tau})|^2},$$

which proves Theorem 9.2. □

APPENDIX A. A DISCRETE-TIME RAGE THEOREM

We will present a discrete-time variant of the RAGE theorem. A partial version has been given in [20]. The proof for singular continuous and absolutely continuous spectrum that we give follows the arguments in the continuous-time (self-adjoint) setting in [24].

Our proof will rely on Wiener's theorem on the circle.

Theorem A.1 (Unitary Wiener's Theorem [36, Theorem 12.4.7]). *Let μ be a probability measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and let c_n be its moments,*

$$c_n = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^n d\mu(z).$$

Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |c_n|^2 = \sum_{z \in \partial\mathbb{D}} |\mu(\{z\})|^2.$$

In particular, $\mu_{\text{pp}} = 0$ if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N |c_n|^2 = 0.$$

Theorem A.2 (Discrete-time RAGE theorem). *Let U be a unitary operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\mu = \mu_{\psi,U}$ the associated spectral measure.*

(a) *If $\mu = \mu_{\text{pp}}$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$(A.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 < \epsilon$$

(b) *If $\mu = \mu_c$ (i.e. $\mu_{\text{pp}} = 0$) then for any $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have*

$$(A.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \sum_{j=-J}^J |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 = 0.$$

(c) *If $\mu = \mu_{\text{ac}}$ then for any $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$(A.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=-J}^J |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 = 0.$$

Proof.

(a) If ψ is a finite linear combination of eigenfunctions of U , say

$$\psi = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \psi_\ell,$$

with $U\psi_\ell = z_\ell\psi_\ell$, then (A.1) obviously holds. Let us consider now an arbitrary ψ with $\mu = \mu_{\text{pp}}$. Since finite linear combinations of eigenfunction are dense in the pure point subspace, there exists ψ' , a finite linear combination of eigenfunctions such that $\|\psi - \psi'\|^2 < \epsilon/4$ (using the ℓ^2 norm). Thus, we may choose $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi' \rangle|^2 < \epsilon/4.$$

We then have

$$\sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi' \rangle|^2 + 2 \sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n(\psi - \psi') \rangle|^2.$$

Using this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|j| \geq J} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U^n(\psi - \psi')\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2\|\psi - \psi'\|^2 \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let μ_j denote the spectral measure corresponding to the pair (δ_j, ψ) . Since $\mu_j \ll \mu$, it follows that μ_j has no pure points, and hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|n| \leq N} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|n| \leq N} |\widehat{\mu}_j(n)|^2 = 0$$

by Wiener's theorem. Thus, for each fixed $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|j| \leq J} \sum_{|n| \leq N} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 = \sum_{|j| \leq J} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|n| \leq N} |\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle|^2 = 0,$$

which gives (A.2).

- (c) Let μ_j be as in part (b). Since μ_j is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , it is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Consequently, we can define an $L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ function h_j with the property that

$$\langle \delta_j, U^n \psi \rangle = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^n d\mu_j(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^n h_j(z) dz.$$

The terminal expression is the n th Fourier coefficient of the L^1 function $h_j(z)$, which goes to zero as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ by the Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma. Thus, (A.3) follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ahlbrecht, H. Vogts, A. Werner, R. Werner, Asymptotic evolution of quantum walks with random coin, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), 042201, 36 pp.
- [2] H. Aslaksen, $SO(2)$ invariants of a set of 2×2 matrices, *Math. Scand.* **65** (1989), 59–66.
- [3] A. Avila, On the spectrum and Lyapunov exponent of limit periodic Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **288** (2008) 907–918.
- [4] A. Avila, D. Damanik, Absolute continuity of the integrated density of states for the almost Mathieu operator with non-critical coupling, *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), 439–453.
- [5] M.-J. Cantero, A. Grünbaum, L. Moral, L. Velázquez, Matrix-valued Szegő polynomials and quantum random walks, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **63** (2010), 464–507.
- [6] W. Craig, B. Simon, Log Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for stochastic Jacobi matrices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983), 207–218.
- [7] D. Damanik, J. Erickson, J. Fillman, G. Hinkle, A. Vu, Quantum intermittency for sparse CMV matrices with an application to quantum walks on the half-line, *J. Approx. Th.* **208** (2016), 59–84.
- [8] D. Damanik, J. Fillman, M. Lukic, Limit-periodic continuum Schrödinger operators with zero measure Cantor spectrum, *J. Spectral Th.*, in press.
- [9] D. Damanik, J. Fillman, M. Lukic, W. Yessen, Uniform hyperbolicity for Szegő cocycles and applications to random CMV matrices and the Ising model, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 7110–7129.
- [10] D. Damanik, J. Fillman, M. Lukic, W. Yessen, Characterizations of uniform hyperbolicity and spectra of CMV matrices, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems – Series S*, **9** (2016), 1009–1023.
- [11] D. Damanik, J. Fillman, D. C. Ong, Spreading estimates for quantum walks on the integer lattice via power-law bounds on transfer matrices, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **105** (2016), 293–341.

- [12] D. Damanik, J. Fillman, R. Vance, Dynamics of unitary operators, *J. Fractal Geom.* **1** (2014), 391–425.
- [13] D. Damanik, P. Munger, W. Yessen, Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with Fibonacci Verblunsky coefficients, II. Applications, *J. Stat. Phys.* **153** (2013), 339–362.
- [14] P. Deift, B. Simon, Almost periodic Schrödinger operators III. The absolutely continuous spectrum in one dimension, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983), 389–411.
- [15] K. Falconer, *Techniques in Fractal Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1997.
- [16] J. Fillman, Purely singular continuous spectrum for Sturmian CMV matrices via strengthened Gordon Lemmas, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press.
- [17] J. Fillman, Ballistic transport for limit-periodic Jacobi matrices with applications to quantum many-body problems, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, in press.
- [18] J. Fillman, D. C. Ong, Z. Zhang, Spectral Characteristics of the Unitary Critical Almost-Mathieu Operator, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, in press. arXiv:1512.07641 [math.SP]
- [19] F. Gesztesy, M. Zinchenko, Weyl-Titchmarsh theory for CMV operators associated with orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle *Journal of Approximation Theory* **139** (2006) 172–213.
- [20] E. Hamza, A. Joye, G. Stolz, Dynamical localization for unitary Anderson models *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry* **12** (2009) 381–444.
- [21] A. Joye, Random time-dependent quantum walks *Commun. Math. Phys.* **307** (2011) 65–100.
- [22] A. Joye, Dynamical localization for d-dimensional random quantum walks *Quantum Inf. Process.* **11** (2012) 1251–1269.
- [23] A. Joye, M. Merkli Dynamical localization of quantum walks in random environments *J. Stat. Phys.* **140** (2010) 1025–1053.
- [24] W. Kirsch, An invitation to random Schrödinger operators, arXiv:0709.3707v1 [math-ph] (2007)
- [25] H. Krüger, Z. Gan, Optimality of log Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states, *Math. Nachr.* **284** (2011), 1919–1923.
- [26] C. N. Yang, T. D. Lee, Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. I. Theory of condensation, *Phys. Rev.* **87** (1952), 404–409.
- [27] C. N. Yang, T. D. Lee, Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. II. Lattice gas and Ising model, *Phys. Rev.* **87** (1952), 410–419.
- [28] D. C. Ong, Limit-periodic Verblunsky coefficients for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **394** (2012) 633–644.
- [29] C. Remling, Gap-opening perturbations of the periodic Schrödinger operator, URL (version: 2016-07-19): <http://mathoverflow.net/q/244663>
- [30] P. Ribeiro, P. Milman, R. Mosseri, Aperiodic quantum random walks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004), 190503.
- [31] Y. Shikano, T. Wada, J. Horikawa, Discrete-time quantum walk with feed-forward quantum coin, *Sci. Rep.* **4** (2014), 04427.
- [32] B. Simon, Analogs of the m -function in the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **171** (2004) 411 – 424
- [33] B. Simon, *Basic Complex Analysis: A Comprehensive Course in Analysis, Part 2A*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2015).
- [34] B. Simon, On the genericity of nonvanishing instability intervals in Hills equation *Annales de l'I.H.P. Physique théorique*, **24** no. 1 (1976) 91–93
- [35] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1. Classical Theory*, Colloquium Publications, 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2005).
- [36] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 2. Spectral Theory*, Colloquium Publications, 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence (2005).
- [37] B. Simon, *Szegő's Theorem and its Descendants: Spectral Theory for L^2 Perturbations of Orthogonal Polynomials*, M.B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 2011.
- [38] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982
- [39] P. Xue, H. Qin, B. Tang, B. C. Sanders, Observation of quasiperiodic dynamics in a one-dimensional quantum walk of single photons in space, *New J. Phys.* **16** (2014), 053009.

VIRGINIA TECH

E-mail address: `fillman@vt.edu`

XIAMEN UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

E-mail address: `darrenong@xmu.edu.my`