

ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FROBENIUS GROUPS

LEI WANG

ABSTRACT. This is one of a series papers which aim towards to solve the problem of determining automorphism groups of Frobenius groups. This one solves the problem in the case where the Frobenius kernels are elementary abelian and Frobenius complements are cyclic.

KEYWORDS. Frobenius group, automorphism group

1. INTRODUCTION

A Frobenius group G is a semidirect product of a normal subgroup V by a subgroup H such that none of the non-identity elements of H centralizes a non-identity element of V , where V is called the *Frobenius kernel* and H is called a *Frobenius complement* of G . Furthermore, by the well-known result of Thompson, the Frobenius kernel is a nilpotent group, and by Burnside's result, each Sylow subgroup of a Frobenius complement is a metacyclic group with restricted properties.

Frobenius groups form an important class of groups, and have been extensively studied in the literature, refer to [5, 9, 11, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. A natural problem arises:

Problem A. Determine automorphism groups of Frobenius groups.

The problem is unsolved yet. This paper solves it in the case where the Frobenius kernels are elementary abelian, and Frobenius complements are cyclic.

Frobenius groups have played an important role not only in group theory, but also in various applications, refer to [13, p.320-324] for the applications in algebraic structures, and see [2, 4, 7, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18] for the applications in algebraic graph theory. In these applications, determining automorphism groups of certain Frobenius groups is a crucial step. This is actually one of our principle motivations for the work of this paper.

In order to state our results, we need to introduce some notation. For a finite group G and a field \mathbb{F} , we denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}G)$ a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules. But we mean by $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}G)$ that V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module for convenience. For a positive integer e and an element $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}G)$, we abuse notation and denote by V^e a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, which is a direct sum of e copies of V . Let $H \leq G$, and let V be an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module. We denote by V_H the $\mathbb{F}H$ -module obtained by restricting the action of $\mathbb{F}G$ on V to $\mathbb{F}H$. For positive integers a, n , we call m the order of a modulo n if n divides $a^m - 1$ but n does not divide $a^i - 1$ for $i < m$, and denote m by $\text{ord}_n(a)$.

Date: January 8, 2019.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20B05, 20C15, 20F28.

This work was supported by NSF of Yunnan Province(Grant No. 2017FD071).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = V:H = C_p^d:C_n$ be a Frobenius group, where p is a prime, and d, n are positive integers. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(G) = V.(((\text{GL}(e_1, p^f)^\ell \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(e_s, p^f)^\ell).C_f).L),$$

where $C_f.L \leq \text{Aut}(H)$, $f = \text{ord}_n(p)$, $|L| = \ell$, and $(e_1 + \cdots + e_s)f\ell = d$.

Remarks on Theorem 1.1.

(a) The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G) = V.\mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(V)}(H)$ by Lemma 2.3.

(b) Let $M := \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(V)}(H)$. View V as an $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. By Lemma 3.8,

$$V_M = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s, \text{ where } V_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p M) \text{ and } V_i \not\cong V_j \text{ (} i \neq j \text{)}.$$

(c) By Lemma 3.13, V_i can be decomposed as

$$(V_i)_H = V_{i1}^{e_i} \oplus V_{i2}^{e_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i\ell}^{e_i},$$

where $V_{ij} \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_{ij} = f$ and $V_{ij} \not\cong V_{ik}$ ($j \neq k$).

(d) The automorphism group C_f is called the field automorphism group of H , which is induced by the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{p^f} .

In what follows, we will adopt the convention: the Frobenius kernel V is viewed as the vector space \mathbb{F}_p^d if V is considered as an $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module, and an elementary abelian group C_p^d otherwise. This conventional device will be extremely useful in passing between the group-theoretic and representation theory points of view.

In subsequent work, we apply Theorem 1.1 to characterise a class of finite groups, and their Cayley graphs.

2. PRELIMINARY

We first define some notation. For a group G , denote by $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ the center of G . For a group T and an integer ℓ , by T^ℓ we mean the direct product of ℓ copies of T . For a positive integer n and a prime p , denote by C_n and C_p^n a cyclic group of order n and an elementary abelian group of order p^n . Given two groups N and K , denote by $N \times K$ the direct product of N and K , by $N.K$ an extension of N by K , and if such an extension is split, then we write $N:K$ instead of $N.K$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group with $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\text{Inn}(G)) = 1$.*

Proof. By our assumption, $G \cong \text{Inn}(G)$. Let ϕ be an isomorphism from G to $\text{Inn}(G)$. Then each element $\phi(g)$ of $\text{Inn}(G)$ acts on G by $x^{\phi(g)} = g^{-1}xg$, where $g, x \in G$.

Let $L := \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\text{Inn}(G))$. Then for $\sigma \in L$, we have

$$\phi(g)^\sigma = \sigma^{-1}\phi(g)\sigma = \phi(g),$$

and hence

$$z^{\sigma^{-1}\phi(g)\sigma} = z^{\phi(g)} \text{ for any } z \in G.$$

Since $z^{\sigma^{-1}\phi(g)\sigma} = (g^\sigma)^{-1}z g^\sigma$, it follows that $(g^\sigma)^{-1}z g^\sigma = g^{-1}z g$ by the previous equation, and so $z^{g^\sigma g^{-1}} = z$. Thus $g^\sigma g^{-1}$ centralises G . So $g^\sigma g^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$, forcing $g^\sigma = g$. Since g is arbitrary, it implies that σ fixes G pointwise, and so $\sigma = 1$. Thus $L = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $G = N:H$ be a finite group, where $\mathbf{C}_H(N) = 1$, $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$ and $\gcd(|N|, |H|) = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, we will identify G with $\text{Inn}(G)$ a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$.

Lemma 2.2. $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}(G)}(N) = \mathbf{Z}(N)$.

Proof. Let $A := \text{Aut}(G)$. By the convention made above, we have

$$N \text{ char } N:H = G \triangleleft A,$$

and hence $N \triangleleft A$. Let $C := \mathbf{C}_A(N)$. Then $\mathbf{Z}(N) \leq C$. We will show that in fact

$$C = \mathbf{Z}(N).$$

Let $\overline{G} = G/\mathbf{Z}(N)$ and $\overline{C} = C/\mathbf{Z}(N)$. Since $N \text{ char } G \triangleleft A$, we have $\mathbf{C}_G(N) \trianglelefteq A$. By our assumption, $\mathbf{C}_G(N) \leq N$, and so $\mathbf{C}_G(N) = \mathbf{Z}(N)$. It is clear that $GC/\mathbf{Z}(N) = \overline{G} \times \overline{C}$. We pick any element

$$\theta \in C = \mathbf{C}_A(N).$$

Notice that for $g \in G$, we have $[\theta, g^{-1}] \in \mathbf{Z}(N)$. It follows that $g^\theta \in \mathbf{Z}(N)g$, that is,

$$g^\theta = x_g g \text{ where } x_g \in \mathbf{Z}(N).$$

If $\mathbf{Z}(N) = 1$, then $g^\theta = g$. Since g is arbitrary, we have $\theta = 1$, and so $C = 1$. Therefore, we may assume that $\mathbf{Z}(N) \neq 1$.

Let $R := \mathbf{Z}(N):H$. By the previous paragraph, we deduce that $R^\theta = R$, and thus $H^\theta \leq R$. Since $\gcd(|N|, |H|) = 1$, it follows that H and H^θ are two Hall subgroups of R . By Schur-Zassenhaus's Theorem, there exists some $y \in \mathbf{Z}(N)$ such that $H^{\theta y^{-1}} = H$. Note that $\mathbf{Z}(N) \cap H = 1$. It is easily shown that θy^{-1} centralises H . Clearly, θy^{-1} centralises N . It follows that θy^{-1} centralises G . Thus $\theta = y$. Since θ is arbitrary, it implies that $C = \mathbf{Z}(N)$, completing the proof. \square

In what follows, we continue to use the notation above. By Lemma 2.2,

$$G/\mathbf{Z}(N) = \overline{G} \trianglelefteq \overline{A} := A/\mathbf{Z}(N) \lesssim \text{Aut}(N).$$

Next identify \overline{G} and \overline{A} with the subgroups of $\text{Aut}(N)$. Since $\gcd(|N|, |H|) = 1$, it follows that $\text{Inn}(N) \text{ char } \overline{G}$, and so $\text{Inn}(N) \trianglelefteq \overline{A}$. Let

$$\overline{\overline{A}} := \overline{A}/\text{Inn}(N) \text{ and } \overline{\overline{H}} := \overline{G}/\text{Inn}(N).$$

Then $\overline{\overline{H}} \triangleleft \overline{\overline{A}} \leq \text{Out}(N)$, and thus $\overline{\overline{A}} \leq \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$. Furthermore, we have

Lemma 2.3.

- (i) $\overline{\overline{A}} = \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$.
- (ii) $\text{Aut}(G) \cong N.\mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$.

Proof. (i) By the above argument, we only need to show

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}}) \leq \overline{\overline{A}}.$$

Let $\overline{\overline{H}} = H\mathbf{Z}(N)/\mathbf{Z}(N)$. Then $\overline{\overline{G}} = \text{Inn}(N):\overline{\overline{H}}$. The holomorph of N is the semidirect product $X := R(N):\text{Aut}(N)$ with $\text{Aut}(N)$ acting naturally on $R(N)$. The subgroup $R(N):\overline{\overline{G}}$ of X has a subgroup $\widehat{G} := R(N):\overline{\overline{H}}$, and $\widehat{G} \cong \text{Inn}(G) \cong G$ because the action of $\overline{\overline{H}}$ on $R(N)$ is by definition the same as the action of H on N .

For any $\overline{\alpha} \in \text{Out}(N)$, denote by α a preimage of $\overline{\alpha}$ in $\text{Aut}(N)$, and on the contrary for any $\beta \in \text{Aut}(N)$, denote by $\overline{\beta}$ the image of β in $\text{Out}(N)$.

Let $\bar{\theta} \in \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$. Since $\overline{\overline{H}}^{\bar{\theta}} = \overline{\overline{H}}$ and $(\text{Inn}(N))^{\theta} = \text{Inn}(N)$, we conclude that $\overline{G}^{\bar{\theta}} = \overline{G}$. Noting that \overline{H} is a Hall subgroup of \overline{G} , it follows from Schur-Zassenhaus's Theorem that $\overline{H}^{\theta\nu} = \overline{H}$, where $\nu \in \text{Inn}(N)$. This implies that $\theta\nu$ normalises \widehat{G} and so induces an automorphism of \widehat{G} by conjugation. Thus $\theta\nu$ induces an automorphism ϑ of G by an isomorphism from G to \widehat{G} , and hence $\vartheta \in A$. It follows that $\theta\nu \in \overline{A}$ because $N^{\theta\nu} = N^{\vartheta}$. Since $\nu \in \text{Inn}(N)$, we have $\overline{\theta\nu} = \bar{\theta}$, belonging to $\overline{\overline{A}}$. Consequently, $\overline{\overline{A}} = \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$, as required.

(ii) Since $\overline{\overline{A}} = \overline{A}/\text{Inn}(N) \cong A/N$, we have $A/N \cong \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$ by part (i). It follows that $A \cong N \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\text{Out}(N)}(\overline{\overline{H}})$. This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we quote a result about Maschke's theorem, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.4. (see [9, p.66]) *Let V be a representation of the finite group G over a field \mathbb{F} in which $|G|$ is invertible. Let U be an invariant subspace of V . Then there exists an invariant subspace W of V such that $V = U \oplus W$ as representations.*

3. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

In this section, we determine the automorphism groups of Frobenius groups

$$G := V:H = C_p^d:C_n, \text{ where } p \text{ is a prime, and } d, n \geq 1.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\text{Aut}(G)/V \cong \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(V)}(H)$. Therefore, in order to determine $\text{Aut}(G)$, we only need to determine the normaliser

$$M := \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}(V)}(H) = \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(d,p)}(H).$$

Now we regard V as a faithful $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. If H is irreducible on V , then the normaliser M has been determined via reference to [11, Theorem 7.3, p.187]:

$$M = \Gamma\text{L}(1, p^d).$$

We thus assume that H is reducible on V . By Lemma 2.4, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_t$$

such that each U_i is a faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. If the U_i are pairwise isomorphic, then we call $V_H = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_t$ a homogeneous decomposition of V .

In the case where H is reducible and homogeneous on V , the normaliser M is determined in the proof of [4, Lemma 4.5] (see the second paragraph of the proof on page 14). For the completeness, we state it in the next lemma and give a short proof here.

By [3], all the faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -modules have equal dimension, and moreover, this dimension is the order of p modulo n . Throughout this article, we use f to denote this same dimension.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that V is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. Then*

$$M = \Gamma\text{L}(t, p^f) \text{ where } d = tf.$$

Proof. By our assumption, V has the decomposition as

$$V_H = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_t \cong U^t,$$

where $U_i \cong U$ is a faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} U = f$ and $tf = d$. We can identify the action of H on each U_i with that of H on U . By [1, 27.14],

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(t, p^f).$$

Since $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H) \trianglelefteq M$, it follows that

$$M \leq \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)) = \mathrm{GL}(t, p^f) \cdot \mathbf{C}_f = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t, p^f),$$

where \mathbf{C}_f is the group of field automorphisms of H . Let $Z \cong \mathbf{C}_{p^f-1}$ be the center of $\mathrm{GL}(t, p^f)$. Then $H \leq Z$, and thus $H \text{ char } \mathrm{GL}(t, p^f)$. It follows that $H \trianglelefteq \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t, p^f)$. Thus $M = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(t, p^f)$. \square

We now assume that the $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module V is not homogeneous. Although H is reducible, the overgroup $M = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ may be irreducible. We proceed our proof in two subsections, which treat the irreducible and reducible cases, respectively.

3.1. The normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ is irreducible. In this subsection, we assume that M is irreducible on V . By Clifford's Theorem, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m, \quad (3.1)$$

such that the W_i are non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -modules. Since H is normal in M , it follows that M preserves this direct sum decomposition of V . Thus

$$M \leq (\mathrm{GL}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(W_m)) : S_m,$$

where $S_m = \mathrm{Sym}(\{1, \dots, m\})$. By Lemma 3.1, all W_i have the same dimension over \mathbb{F}_p , say ef . In what follows, we will see that M can be embedded into $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) \wr S_m$.

Let G_i be the quotient group of G modulo $\prod_{j \neq i} W_j$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $G_i = W_i : H_i$ where $H_i \cong H = C_n$, and it implies that $G_i = \mathbf{C}_p^{ef} : C_n$ is a Frobenius group. Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the group $G = (W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m) : H$ can be embedded into

$$(W_1 : H_1) \times \cdots \times (W_m : H_m), \quad (3.2)$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$.

Recall that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} W_i = ef$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $\mathrm{GL}(W_i) \cong \mathrm{GL}(ef, p)$, we may assume for convenience that $\mathrm{GL}(W_i) = \mathrm{GL}(ef, p)$. Set

$$B := \mathrm{GL}(W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(W_m) = \mathrm{GL}(ef, p)^m.$$

Lemma 3.2. $\mathbf{C}_{B \cap M}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$ where $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. By (3.2), the action of H_i on W_i is equivalent to the action of H on W_i . It follows that W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -module. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

For any $c \in \mathbf{C}_{B \cap M}(H)$, we have

$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \text{ where } c_i \in \mathrm{GL}(W_i).$$

Then

$$(h_1^{c_1}, \dots, h_m^{c_m}) = h^c = h = (h_1, \dots, h_m).$$

It follows that $h_i^{c_i} = h_i$, and so c_i centralises H_i , yielding $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i)$. Thus $c \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$. Consequently, $\mathbf{C}_{B \cap M}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$. \square

Let $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_k$, where $L_i \cong L_j$ for any i, j . We call \widehat{L} a diagonal subgroup of L if

$$\widehat{L} = \{(x_1, x_1^{\varphi_2}, \dots, x_1^{\varphi_k}) \mid x_1 \in L_1\},$$

where φ_i is an isomorphism from L_1 to L_i for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

By Lemma 3.2, H can be embedded as a diagonal subgroup into

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f).$$

Since $B \cap M \trianglelefteq M$, it follows that $\mathbf{C}_{B \cap M}(H) \trianglelefteq M$, and hence

$$\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \leq M \leq \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) \wr S_m. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.3. $B \cap M = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \cdot C_f$ where $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. By (3.2), the action of H_i is equivalent to the action of H on W_i . It follows that W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -module. By Lemma 3.1,

$$M_i := \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(W_i)}(H_i) = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f) \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

We choose an element $\phi_i \in M$ such that $h_i^{\phi_i} = h_i^p$. Then $M_i = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \langle \phi_i \rangle$.

For any $\sigma \in B \cap M$, we have

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \text{ where } \sigma_i \in \mathrm{GL}(W_i).$$

Since σ normalises H , there exists a positive integer λ such that

$$(h_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, h_m^{\sigma_m}) = h^\sigma = h^\lambda = (h_1^\lambda, \dots, h_m^\lambda).$$

It follows that $h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^\lambda$, and hence $\sigma_i \in M_i = \Gamma\mathrm{L}(e, p^f)$. Thus

$$\sigma_i = c_i \phi_i^{k_i}, \text{ where } c_i \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f), \text{ and } k_i \text{ is an integer.}$$

By the above equation, we have

$$h_i^\lambda = h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^{c_i \phi_i^{k_i}} = h_i^{p^{k_i}},$$

and hence

$$\lambda \equiv p^{k_i} \pmod{n} \text{ where } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

It follows that

$$k_i \equiv k_j \equiv k \pmod{f} \text{ for any } i, j.$$

Thus $\sigma = (c_1 \phi_1^k, \dots, c_m \phi_m^k)$. So each element of $B \cap M$ can be written as

$$(c_1 \phi_1^\ell, \dots, c_m \phi_m^\ell), \text{ where } c_i \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f) \text{ and } \ell \geq 0.$$

Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$. Then $\phi \in B \cap M$. Therefore,

$$B \cap M = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \langle \phi \rangle = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \cdot C_f.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For convenience, we continue to use the notation of Lemma 3.3. By (3.3), elements of M can be written as

$$c(\phi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_m^{k_m})\pi, \text{ where } c \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m, k_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \pi \in S_m. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.4. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) = \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m$ where $efm = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. By (3.4), σ can be written as

$$c(\phi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_m^{k_m})\pi^{-1}, \text{ where } c \in \mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m, k_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \pi \in S_m.$$

Suppose, if possible, that $\sigma \notin \mathbf{C}_{B \cap M}(H)$. If $\pi = 1$, then there exists some i_0 for which $k_{i_0} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f}$, where $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$. We calculate that $h_{i_0} = h_{i_0}^{p^{k_{i_0}}}$, which is absurd. Thus $\pi \neq 1$. So π moves at least one point, say r . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r^\pi = s$, where $r \neq s$. Calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^\sigma &= (h_1^{\phi_1^{k_1}}, \dots, h_r^{\phi_r^{k_r}}, \dots, h_m^{\phi_m^{k_m}})^{\pi^{-1}} \\ &= (h_1^{p^{k_1\pi}}, \dots, h_{r^\pi}^{p^{k_r\pi}}, \dots, h_m^{p^{k_m\pi}}) \\ &= (h_1^{p^{k_1\pi}}, \dots, h_s^{p^{k_s}}, \dots, h_m^{p^{k_m\pi}}). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $h^\sigma = h$, we have $h_r = h_s^{p^{k_s}}$.

By (3.2), the action of H_i on W_i is equivalent to the action of H on W_i . It follows that W_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -module. Let $\widehat{H} = H_1 \times \dots \times H_m$. Then W_i is also a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p \widehat{H}$ -module. Let $\hat{h}_i = (1, \dots, 1, h_i, 1, \dots, 1)$ where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$h = (h_1, \dots, h_m) = \hat{h}_1 \cdots \hat{h}_m. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $(W_i)_{\widehat{H}} = U_i^e$, where $U_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p \widehat{H})$. By Lemma 3.1, we may identify each U_i with a field $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ of order p^f , and there exists $\omega_i \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ of order n such that \widehat{H} acts on each $x \in U_i$ by $\hat{h}_j : x \mapsto \omega_i^{\delta_{ij}} x$. Since $h_r = h_s^{p^{k_s}}$, we conclude that $\omega_r = \omega_s^{p^{k_s}}$.

Let χ_i be the character defined by $\mathbb{F}_p \widehat{H}$ -module U_i . By [1, 25.10],

$$\chi_i(\hat{h}_i) = \omega_i + \omega_i^p + \dots + \omega_i^{p^{f-1}}.$$

By the previous paragraph, we have $\chi_r(\hat{h}_r) = \chi_s(\hat{h}_s)$. By (3.2) and (3.5), the action of h on W_i is the same as the action of \hat{h}_i on W_i . It follows that $\chi_r(h) = \chi_s(h)$, and hence $U_r \cong U_s$ ($\mathbb{F}_p H$ -isomorphic). Thus W_r and W_s are isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -modules. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.5. $M = (\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m \cdot C_f) \cdot \overline{L}$, where $C_f \cdot \overline{L} \leq \mathrm{Aut}(H)$, C_f is the group of field automorphisms of H , and \overline{L} is abelian and regular on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. By (3.1), we have

$$V_H = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_m,$$

where the W_i are non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -modules.

Let $N = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H) \cdot C_f$, where C_f is the group of field automorphisms of H . By Lemma 3.3, $N \trianglelefteq M$. For any element $\theta \in M \setminus N$, we will show that

$$W_i^\theta \not\cong W_i \text{ (}\mathbb{F}_p H\text{-isomorphic)}.$$

Let $(W_i)_H = U_i^e$, where $U_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$. Suppose that $W_i^\theta \cong W_i$ ($\mathbb{F}_p H$ -isomorphic). Then $U_i^\theta \cong U_i$ ($\mathbb{F}_p H$ -isomorphic). Let χ_i be the character defined by $\mathbb{F}_p H$ -module U_i . Then χ_i^θ is the character of U_i^θ over \mathbb{F}_p . Arguing as in Lemma 3.4,

$$\chi_i(h) = \sum_{j=1}^f \omega^{p^{j-1}}, \text{ where } \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^f}^\times \text{ with } o(\omega) = n.$$

Let $h^{\theta^{-1}} = h^\lambda$, where $\gcd(\lambda, n) = 1$. Then

$$\chi_i^\theta(h) = \chi_i(h^{\theta^{-1}}) = \sum_{j=1}^f (\omega^\lambda)^{p^{j-1}}.$$

Note that $\chi_i^\theta(h) = \chi_i(h)$. By [12, 9.20], we conclude that $\lambda \equiv p^k \pmod{n}$ for some k . It follows that the action of θ on H is induced by the field automorphism, and so θ belongs to N , which is a contradiction. Thus $W_i^\theta \not\cong W_i$, as required.

Arguing similarly as above, we may show that for $\vartheta \in N$, $W_i^\vartheta \cong W_i$ ($\mathbb{F}_p H$ -isomorphic). It follows that N is the inertia group of W_i in M , where $1 \leq i \leq m$.

By Lemma 3.3, $M = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H).L$ and $\mathbf{C}_f \leq L \leq \mathrm{Aut}(H)$. Let $\bar{L} = M/N$ and $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. By the previous paragraph, M induces a permutation group \bar{L} on $[m]$. Since V is a faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module, we deduce that \bar{L} is regular on $[m]$. By Lemma 3.4, $M = (\mathrm{GL}(e, p^f)^m . \mathbf{C}_f) . \bar{L}$, completing the proof. \square

Remark. Although we have already characterized the normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ (refer to Proposition 3.5), we can not completely determine the structure of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ because there are many different ways to embed H as a subgroup into $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that G is a Frobenius group when $|H|$ is arbitrarily large.

We end this subsection by presenting several groups to explain the above remark.

Example 3.6. Let $G = V:H \cong \mathbf{C}_{31}^4 : \mathbf{C}_{15}$ be a Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.4, V can be decomposed as

$$V = U_1 \times U_2 \times U_3 \times U_4$$

such that H normalises each U_i , and U_i is irreducible relative to the action of H .

Let $H = \langle h \rangle$ with $h = (h_0^{r_1}, h_0^{r_2}, h_0^{r_3}, h_0^{r_4})$, where $o(h_0) = 31$ and $\gcd(r_i, 15) = 1$.

Case 1: Suppose that $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r_4$.

By Lemma 3.1, $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{AGL}(4, 31)$.

Case 2: Suppose that $r_1 = 1, r_2 = 4, r_3 = 11$ and $r_4 = 14$.

Let $\pi_1 = (12)(34)$ and $\pi_2 = (13)(24)$. Calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\pi_1} &= (h_0^4, h_0, h_0^{14}, h_0^{11}) = h^4 \text{ and} \\ h^{\pi_2} &= (h_0^{11}, h_0^{14}, h_0, h_0^4) = h^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\langle \pi_1, \pi_2 \rangle \leq \mathrm{Aut}(H)$. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathbf{C}_{31}^4 : (\mathbf{C}_{30}^4 : \mathbf{C}_2^2)$.

Case 3: Suppose that $r_1 = 1, r_2 = 7, r_3 = 4$ and $r_4 = 13$.

Arguing as in Case 2, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathbf{C}_{31}^4 : (\mathbf{C}_{30} \wr \mathbf{C}_4)$.

3.2. The normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(d,p)}(H)$ is reducible. In this subsection, we begin with considering the case where V is a faithful reducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module.

By Lemma 2.4, V can be decomposed as

$$V_H = U_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_t^{e_t}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $U_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$, $U_i \not\cong U_j$ and $e_i \geq 1$. As already mentioned above, we continue to use f to denote the same dimension of all the U_i .

Remark. According to Proposition 3.5, we obtain that $t \leq \varphi(n)/f$, where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function.

Let G_i be the quotient group of G modulo $\prod_{j \neq i} U_j^{e_j}$, where $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $G_i = U_i^{e_i} : H_i$ where $H_i \cong H = C_n$, and it implies that $G_i = C_p^{e_i f} : C_n$ is a Frobenius group. Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then the group $G = V : H = (U_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times U_t^{e_t}) : H$ can be embedded into

$$(U_1^{e_1} : H_1) \times \cdots \times (U_t^{e_t} : H_t), \quad (3.7)$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \dots, h_t)$.

Lemma 3.7. *With the notation introduced above, the following holds.*

$$\mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_t, p^f) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H).$$

Proof. Let $\hat{h}_i = (1, \dots, 1, h_i, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $h = \hat{h}_1 \cdots \hat{h}_t$. Let $\hat{U}_i = U_i^{e_i}$. By (3.7), we conclude that the action of \hat{h}_i on \hat{U}_i is the same as the action of h on \hat{U}_i . It follows that \hat{U}_i is a homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -module. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(\hat{U}_i)}(H_i) = \mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f)$. Arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we can easily obtain the conclusion of this lemma. \square

Let $X \leq Y$, and let V, U be $\mathbb{F}X$ -modules, where \mathbb{F} is a field.

- (i) Denote by V^Y an induced module from X to Y .
- (ii) Write $U \mid V$ if $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $U \cong V_1$, where the V_i are $\mathbb{F}X$ -submodules of V .
- (iii) Denote by $n_V(U)$ the number of W_i which are isomorphic to U if $V = \oplus W_i$, where the W_i are $\mathbb{F}X$ -submodules of V .

Lemma 3.8. $V_M = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$, where $V_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p M)$ and $V_i \not\cong V_j$ ($i \neq j$).

Proof. By (3.6), we have

$$V_H = U_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_t^{e_t}, \text{ where } U_i \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H), U_i \not\cong U_j \text{ and } e_i \geq 1.$$

Set

$$T_i = \{\theta \in M \mid U_i^\theta \cong U_i \text{ (}\mathbb{F}_p H\text{-isomorphic)}\}.$$

By Mackey's Theorem (see [16, p.174, Theorem 1.10]), $(U_i^{T_i})_H \cong U_i^{f_i}$ where $f_i \leq e_i$. By [1, 12.12], $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ is a subgroup of T_i . Thus, by Lemma 3.7, $\mathrm{GL}(e_i, p^f) \leq T_i$. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p H}(U_i, (U_i^{T_i})_H) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{e_i}$, and hence $f_i = e_i$. It further implies that $U_i^{T_i}$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p T_i$ -module. By [12, 6.11], U_i^M is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. As a convenience, we denote by V_i that module. It is easily shown that V_i is isomorphic to an irreducible submodule of V_M . Thus, without loss of generality, we may identify V_i with a submodule of V_M .

Next we show that

$$\text{if } U_j \mid V_i, \text{ then } n_{V_i}(U_j) = e_j = e_i.$$

By Clifford's Theorem, we conclude that $n_{V_i}(U_j) = e_j$. Arguing similarly as the first paragraph, there exists an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module V_j for which $V_j = U_j^M$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p H}(U_j, (V_j)_H) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{e_j}$. It follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p M}(V_j, V_i) \neq 0$, and so $V_j \cong V_i$ ($\mathbb{F}_p M$ -isomorphic). Thus $n_{V_i}(U_j) = e_j = e_i$, as desired.

By our assumption, V is a faithful reducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -module. Repeating the above process, we obtain all the irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodules of V . Without loss of generality, we may assume that V_1, \dots, V_s are all pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible

$\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodules of V . Let $W = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$. Suppose, if possible, that W is a proper submodule of V . By the second paragraph, we may assume that

$$W_H = U_1^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_k^{e_k}, \text{ where } k < t.$$

Arguing as the first paragraph, there exists an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M$ -submodule of V_M , say U such that $U_t^M = U$. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p H}(U_t, (V_i)_H) = 0$, it follows from Frobenius reciprocity that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p M}(U, V_i) = 0$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$, contrary to our assumption. Thus $V_M = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$. This completes the proof. \square

Let G_i be the factor group of G modulo $\prod_{j \neq i} V_j$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $G_i = V_i : H_i$ where $H_i \cong H = C_n$, and it implies that G_i is a Frobenius group. Let $H_i = \langle h_i \rangle$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the group $G = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_s) : H$ can be embedded into

$$(V_1 : H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_s : H_s),$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle h \rangle$ with $h = (h_1, \dots, h_s)$.

Lemma 3.9. $M \leq \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_1)}(H_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_s)}(H_s)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, $V_M = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s$, where $V_i \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p M)$ and $V_i \not\cong V_j$ for $i \neq j$. Since M fixes each V_i , it follows that

$$M \leq \text{GL}(V_1) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(V_s).$$

For any $\sigma \in M$, we may write $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s)$ where $\sigma_i \in \text{GL}(V_i)$. Then there exists a positive integer λ such that $h^\sigma = h^\lambda$, namely,

$$(h_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, h_s^{\sigma_s}) = h^\sigma = h^\lambda = (h_1^\lambda, \dots, h_s^\lambda).$$

It follows that $h_i^{\sigma_i} = h_i^\lambda$, and so σ_i normalises H_i , forcing that $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. Thus $\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. Therefore, the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.10. $\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H) = \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_1)}(H_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_s)}(H_s)$.

Proof. Take any $c \in \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H)$. By Lemma 3.9,

$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_s) \text{ where } c_i \in \text{GL}(V_i).$$

Then we have

$$(h_1^{c_1}, \dots, h_s^{c_s}) = h^c = h = (h_1, \dots, h_s).$$

It follows that $h_i^{c_i} = h_i$, and so c_i centralises H_i , forcing that $c_i \in \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. Thus $c \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. Since c is arbitrary, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_1)}(H_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_s)}(H_s).$$

It is clear that $\prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i) \leq \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H)$. Thus the lemma holds. \square

Applying Lemmas 3.4 and 3.10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *With the hypothesis of Subsection 3.2, we have*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H) = \text{GL}(e_1, p^f) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(e_t, p^f).$$

Let ρ_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) be the projection map

$$\prod_{j=1}^s \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_j)}(H_j) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i) : (x_1, \dots, x_s) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, x_i, 1, \dots, 1). \quad (3.8)$$

By Lemma 3.9, we use M_i for the image M^{ρ_i} where $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Lemma 3.12. *With the notation above, $M_i = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. Let $N_i = \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $M_i \leq N_i$. By (3.8), the action of M_i on V_i is equivalent to the action of M on V_i . It follows that M_i acts irreducibly on V_i . So does N_i . By Proposition 3.5, $N_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i).L_i$, and $\langle \hat{\phi}_i \rangle \leq L_i \leq \mathbf{Aut}(H_i)$, where $\hat{\phi}_i = (1, \dots, 1, \phi_i, 1, \dots, 1)$ is a field automorphism of H_i of order f . Without loss of generality, we may assume $h_i^{\phi_i} = h_i^p$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$.

For convenience, we again denote by $\hat{\phi}_i$ the preimage of $\hat{\phi}_i$ in N_i under $N_i \rightarrow L_i$. Set $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_s)$. Then

$$h^\phi = (h_1^{\phi_1}, \dots, h_s^{\phi_s}) = (h_1^p, \dots, h_s^p) = h^p.$$

It follows that $\phi \in M$. By (3.8), we obtain $\hat{\phi}_i \in M_i$. Let $K_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)\langle \hat{\phi}_i \rangle$. By Lemma 3.10, $K_i \leq M_i$. By Clifford's Theorem,

$$(V_i)_{H_i} = W_{i1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{i\ell_i},$$

where the W_{ij} are non-isomorphic homogeneous $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -modules. By Proposition 3.5, K_i is the inertia group of W_{ij} in N_i , and $\ell_i = |L_i|/f$. Since M_i is irreducible on V_i , we conclude that M_i induces a transitive permutation group M_i/K_i on $\{W_{i1}, \dots, W_{i\ell_i}\}$. It follows that $|M_i/K_i| = \ell_i$. Thus $M_i = N_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.12. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let V_i be as in Lemma 3.8 where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then*

- (i) $(V_i)_H = V_{i1}^{e_i} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{i\ell_i}^{e_i}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_{ij} = f$, where $V_{ij} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$, $V_{ij} \not\cong V_{ik}$ ($j \neq k$), and $f = \mathrm{ord}_n(p)$;
- (ii) $M = ((\mathrm{GL}(e_1, p^f)^\ell \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(e_s, p^f)^\ell).C_f).L$, where $C_f.L \leq \mathbf{Aut}(H)$, $|L| = \ell$, and $(e_1 + \dots + e_s)f\ell = d$.

Proof. Since $H \trianglelefteq M$, it follows from Clifford's Theorem that

$$(V_i)_H = V_{i1}^{e_i} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{i\ell_i}^{e_i},$$

where $V_{ij} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{F}_p H)$, $V_{ij} \not\cong V_{ik}$ ($j \neq k$) and $\ell_i \geq 1$. By [3], $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_{ij} = f$ for all i, j .

Recall that ρ_i is the projection map defined in the paragraph after Corollary 3.11, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $M_i = M^{\rho_i}$ and $H_i = H^{\rho_i}$. By (3.8), the action of M_i on V_i is equivalent to the action of M on V_i . Therefore, we may identify the V_i with an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p M_i$ -module. Similarly, we may also identify the V_{ij} with non-isomorphic faithful irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p H_i$ -modules. By Lemma 3.12 along with Proposition 3.5,

$$M_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i).L_i \text{ and } \langle \hat{\phi}_i \rangle \leq L_i \leq \mathbf{Aut}(H_i),$$

where $\hat{\phi}_i = (1, \dots, 1, \phi_i, 1, \dots, 1)$ is a field automorphism of H_i of order f , and $|L_i| = f\ell_i$. Let $C = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$ and $C_i = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V_i)}(H_i)$. By Lemma 3.10, $C^{\rho_i} = C_i$, and by definition, $L_i = M_i/C_i = M^{\rho_i}/C^{\rho_i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\overline{M} = M/\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$. Noting that $M \leq M_1 \times \dots \times M_s$, it follows that $\overline{M} \lesssim L_1 \times \dots \times L_s$. Since $\overline{M} \leq \mathbf{Aut}(H)$, we deduce that \overline{M} is isomorphic to a diagonal subgroup of $\prod_{i=1}^s L_i$. It follows that $\overline{M} \cong L_i \cong L_j$, and hence $\ell_i = \ell_j = \ell$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, as in Lemma 3.13 (i).

Let $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_s)$ be as in Lemma 3.12. Then ϕ induces an automorphism of H of order f . Let $N = \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)\langle \phi \rangle$. Then $N \trianglelefteq M$. Let $\overline{N} = N/\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(H)$.

Then $|\overline{N}| = f$. Since $M/N \cong \overline{M}/\overline{N}$ and $|\overline{M}| = f\ell$, it follows that $|M/N| = \ell$. By Proposition 3.4, $\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i) = \text{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell$ where $1 \leq i \leq s$. By Corollary 3.11,

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V)}(H) = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(V_i)}(H_i) = \prod_{i=1}^s \text{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell.$$

Let $M/N = L$. By the previous argument, $M = ((\prod_{i=1}^s \text{GL}(e_i, p^f)^\ell) \cdot \mathbf{C}_f) \cdot L$, where $(\sum_{i=1}^s e_i) f \ell = d$, as in Lemma 3.13 (ii), completing the proof. \square

The assertion of Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.13.

In particular, Theorem 1.1 implies the following consequences.

Corollary 3.14. *Keep the notation and conditions of Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \text{GL}(e_1, p^f)^\ell \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(e_s, p^f)^\ell.$$

For $X \leq Y$ define $\text{Aut}_Y(X) = \mathbf{N}_Y(X)/\mathbf{C}_Y(X)$ to be the automizer in Y of X . Then $\text{Aut}_Y(X) \leq \text{Aut}(X)$, and indeed $\text{Aut}_Y(X)$ is the group of automorphisms induced on X in Y .

Corollary 3.15. *Let $G = V:H = C_p^d:C_{q^\ell}$ be a Frobenius group, where p, q are two distinct primes, and d, ℓ are positive integers. Let $f = \text{ord}_{q^\ell}(p)$. Then the following hold.*

- (i) *If $q = 2$, then $\text{Aut}_{\text{GL}(d,p)}(H) = L$ where $\mathbf{C}_f \leq L \leq \mathbf{C}_2 \times \mathbf{C}_{2^{\ell-2}}$.*
- (ii) *If q is odd, then $\text{Aut}_{\text{GL}(d,p)}(H) = \mathbf{C}_k$ where $\mathbf{C}_f \leq \mathbf{C}_k \leq \mathbf{C}_{q^{\ell-1}(q-1)}$.*

Acknowledgements. This paper is a part of the author's PhD thesis under the supervision of Professor Caiheng Li. The author would like to thank Professor Caiheng Li for his stimulating discussions and many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, Finite Group Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] B. P. Corr, C. E. Praeger, Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **42** (2015) 803-827.
- [3] A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, Nilpotent primitive linear groups over finite fields, *Comm. Algebra.* **33** (2005) 497-505.
- [4] A. Devillers, W. Jin, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, On normal 2-geodesic transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **39** (2014) 903-918.
- [5] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [6] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [7] X. G. Fang, C. H. Li, C. E. Praeger, On orbital regular graphs and frobenius graphs, *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998) 85-99.
- [8] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981) 243-256.
- [9] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Harper and Row, 1968.
- [10] R. M. Guralnick, Frobenius groups as monodromy groups, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **85** (2008) 191-196.
- [11] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [12] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [13] N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra II, Freeman, San Francisco, 1980.
- [14] C. H. Li, J. M. Pan, S. J. Song, D. J. Wang, A characterization of a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* **107** (2014) 12-25.

- [15] C. H. Li, L. Wang, Relative elementary abelian groups, and a class of edge-transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2016) 241-251.
- [16] H. Nagao, Y. Tsushima, Representations of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1987.
- [17] S. J. Song, C. H. Li, D. J. Wang, Classifying a family of edge-transitive metacirculant graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **35** (2012) 497-513.
- [18] S. J. Song, C. H. Li, D. J. Wang, A family of edge-transitive Frobenius metacirculants of small valency, *European J. Combin.* **34** (2013) 512-521.
- [19] A. I. Starostin, On Frobenius groups, *Ukrain. Mat. Ž.* **23** (1971) 629-639.
- [20] J. G. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphisms of prime order, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **45** (1959) 578-581.
- [21] J. G. Thompson, Normal p -complements for finite groups, *Math. Z.* **72** (1960) 332-354.
- [22] J. G. Thompson, Normal p -complements for finite groups, *J. Algebra.* **1** (1964) 43-46.
- [23] H. Zassenhaus, Über endliche Fastkörper, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg. Univ.* **11** (1936) 187-220.

LEI WANG SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY, KUNMING,
YUNNAN 650091, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: wanglei@ynu.edu.cn