

On the inverse mean curvature flow in warped product manifolds

Thomas Mullins

Abstract

We consider the warped product manifold, $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{id}} M^n$, with Riemannian metric $\gamma = dr^2 \oplus r^2\sigma$, where (M^n, σ) is a smooth closed Riemannian n -manifold. We investigate what sufficient curvature condition is required of σ to ensure that a solution to the inverse mean curvature flow - commencing with a surface described as the graph of a global $C^{2,\alpha}$ function on M^n - exists for all times $t > 0$.

Contents

1	introduction	2
1.1	notation and conventions	3
2	hypersurface geometry	6
2.1	the fundamental equations	6
2.2	geometry of the warped product	8
2.3	hypersurfaces as graphs of functions	10
3	inverse mean curvature flow for graphs	14
3.1	posing the problem	15
3.2	maximum and comparison principles	16
3.3	short time existence and first order estimates	17
3.4	the evolution equations	19
4	long term behaviour	23
4.1	graphical nature	23
4.2	rescaled hypersurfaces	26
4.3	convergence	28
4.4	asymptotics	30
A	Bibliography	32

1 introduction

The general outward curvature flow (of which the inverse mean curvature flow is a special case) was studied by Gerhardts [7] and Urbas [22] in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for a *starshaped* initial surface S_0 , which is equivalent to there existing a $u_0 : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$S_0 = \text{graph } u_0,$$

where S^n is the unit n -sphere. In both papers, the idea was to use polar coordinates to describe the flow of the surfaces and prove existence for all times $t > 0$. This is equivalent (see Example 2.14) to the flow of surfaces in the warped product $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} S^n$. So it was a natural question to ask: under what conditions on an arbitrary manifold M^n will a solution to this flow in the warped product $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$ also exist for all times $t > 0$?

Indeed, starting out with a sufficiently smooth function u_0 from the compact n -manifold M^n into the positive real numbers, defining an embedded hypersurface M_0 in the warped product $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$ in the obvious manner

$$M_0 \equiv \text{graph } u_0 = \{(u_0(p), p), p \in M^n\},$$

we investigate the curvature conditions required of M^n . We will show that a positive definite Ricci tensor suffices for the solution to indeed exist for all times $t > 0$ and remains graphical throughout the evolutionary process. We also show, via a standard rescaling method, that the solution, in a certain sense, is asymptotically $\{r_\infty\} \times M^n$. We assert the main theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). *Let (M^n, σ) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n , whose curvature satisfies*

$$\text{Ric}_M(X) > 0 \tag{1.1}$$

for all $X \in TM$. Let $u_0 : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ whose corresponding embedding

$$x_0 : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+1}, \quad p \mapsto (u_0(p), p),$$

has strictly positive mean curvature H_0 . Then the evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= H^{-1}v \\ x_0 &= x(0, \cdot), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where v is the outward pointing unit normal to the evolving hypersurfaces $M_t \equiv x(t, M)$, and H is the mean curvature of M_t , has a unique solution of class $H^{2+\beta, \frac{2+\beta}{2}}(Q_\infty) \cap H^{2+m+\gamma, \frac{2+m+\gamma}{2}}(Q_{\epsilon, \infty})$ for any $0 < \beta < \alpha$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 0$ that exists for all times $t > 0$. The rescaled surfaces

$$\tilde{M}_t = \tilde{x}(t, M) = \text{graph } \tilde{u}(t, \cdot),$$

where $\tilde{u} \equiv u e^{-t/n}$, converge exponentially fast to a constant embedding

$$M^n \xrightarrow{r_\infty} \{r_\infty\} \times M^n,$$

with

$$r_\infty = \left[\frac{|M_0|}{|M^n|} \right]^{1/n}.$$

1.1 notation and conventions

We are working primarily with a family of embeddings of an arbitrary n -manifold with a fixed metric (M^n, σ) into an $(n + 1)$ -manifold also equipped with a fixed metric (N^{n+1}, γ) . Coordinates on N^{n+1} will be denoted by $\{x^a\}$ and on M^n as $\{y^i\}$, where the indices from the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ run from 0 to n and the indices of the set $\{i, j, k, l, m, r, s\}$ run from 1 to n throughout this paper. The indices will be raised and lowered (corresponding to contravariant and covariant quantities respectively) with the corresponding metric as is usual practise.

With $g = \{g_{ij}\}$ we denote the induced metric on the embedded manifolds, M_t . Although $g = g(t)$, we suppress this dependence on t to spare the reader a debauch of indices and arguments. We should, however, bear this dependence in mind. The covariant differential operators on M^n , M_t and N^{n+1} are signified either by $D = D(\sigma)$, $\nabla = \nabla(g)$ and $\bar{\nabla} = \bar{\nabla}(\gamma)$; or simply by subscripted indices, from the set from 1 to n for M^n and M_t (it will always be clear from the context whether we are on M^n or M_t), alternatively from the set of indices running from 0 to n for covariant differentiation on N^{n+1} . In situations of potential ambiguity there will occasionally be a subscripted semicolon ‘;’ in front of the index to be covariantly differentiated. For the partial derivative, we either replace the subscripted semicolon with a subscripted comma, as is common in the literature, or include the partial derivative symbol ∂ with appropriate subscripted indices.

We will mainly use tensor notation to describe tensor quantities on M^n , M_t and N^{n+1} . For instance if w is a function from N^{n+1} to \mathbb{R} then $w_{;ab}$ (or simply w_{ab}) would represent the Hessian of w . For an arbitrary tensor \mathcal{T} of valence $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ l \end{bmatrix}$, we define the covariant derivative of that tensor $\nabla \mathcal{T}$ to be the $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ l+1 \end{bmatrix}$ -tensor by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \mathcal{T})(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, X^1, \dots, X^l, Z) &= (\nabla_Z \mathcal{T})(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, X_1, \dots, X_l) \\ &\equiv Z(\mathcal{T}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, X^1, \dots, X^l)) \\ &\quad + \sum_p \mathcal{T}(\omega_1, \dots, \nabla_Z \omega_p, \dots, \omega_k, X^1, \dots, X^l) \\ &\quad - \sum_q \mathcal{T}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k, X^1, \dots, \nabla_Z X^q, \dots, X^l). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Here, the ω_j are covectors and the X^j are vectors. This can be expressed more concisely in index notation as follows

$$\mathcal{T}_{j_1 \dots j_l; p}^{i_1 \dots i_k} = \mathcal{T}_{j_1 \dots j_l, p}^{i_1 \dots i_k} + \sum_{q=1}^k \Gamma_{pe}^{iq} \mathcal{T}_{j_1 \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots e \dots i_k} - \sum_{r=1}^l \Gamma_{pj_r}^e \mathcal{T}_{j_1 \dots e \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

where Γ_{ab}^c are the connection coefficients. The Einstein summation convention will be used throughout.

The norm of a tensor \mathcal{T}_{ij}^k of valence $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the metric σ is defined by

$$|\mathcal{T}|_{\sigma}^2 \equiv \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{kl} \sigma^{rs} \mathcal{T}_{kr}^i \mathcal{T}_{ls}^j.$$

The norms with respect to g and γ are defined analogously, as is the case for a tensor of arbitrary valence.

At times we will need to distinguish between the connection coefficients and other tensor quantities (for instance, curvature) on (M^n, σ) , on (M_t, g) and on (N^{n+1}, γ) . We shall do so by a superscripted index of the metric for M^n and M_t , and a bar for N^{n+1} , for example the Christoffel symbols of the connections ${}^{\sigma}\Gamma_{ij}^k$, ${}^g\Gamma_{ij}^k$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{ab}^c$ for the corresponding quantities on M^n , M_t and N^{n+1} respectively.

We adopt the standard convention for the commutator of the covariant derivative (the Riemann curvature tensor), corresponding to

$$\begin{aligned} R(U, V)X &\equiv \nabla_U \nabla_V X - \nabla_V \nabla_U X - \nabla_{[U, V]} X \\ R(U, V, X, Z) &\equiv \langle R(U, V)X, Z \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

for tangent vectors U, V and vector fields X, Z . In index notation, this can be expressed for a contravariant quantity as

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b X^c - \nabla_b \nabla_a X^c = R_{ab}{}^c{}_d X^d, \tag{1.5}$$

and for a covariant quantity as

$$\nabla_a \nabla_b \varphi_c - \nabla_b \nabla_a \varphi_c = R_{abc}{}^d \varphi_d. \tag{1.6}$$

The Ricci curvature, as remarked in the introduction, is the trace of the Riemann curvature tensor. Formally

$$\text{Ric}(U, V) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n R(U, E_i, V, E_i), \tag{1.7}$$

where $\{E_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ is an orthonormal frame. Equivalently, in index notation can be expressed as

$$\text{Ric}(U, V) = R_{ik} U^i V^k \equiv R_{imk}{}^m U^i V^k.$$

We can also define the quadratic form of the Ricci tensor, a notation which will at times prove useful:

$$\text{Ric}(U) \equiv \text{Ric}(U, U).$$

The *function space*, $H^{2+m+\alpha, \frac{2+m+\alpha}{2}}(Q_T)$, in which our functions reside is defined in (3.1). The definition of the norm can be found in [8, §2.5].

2 hypersurface geometry

2.1 the fundamental equations

Let us assert the fundamental equations from the theory of isometric immersions. These relate the geometry of the submanifold to the geometry of the ambient space via the second fundamental form, $A \equiv \{h_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$. Recall that if

$$x : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+1}$$

is an *embedding* (or *immersion*) of M^n into N^{n+1} , then dx_p has rank n for all $p \in M^n$.

A wide range of literature covers this topic including proofs of the following relations, see for instance [2, 8].

Lemma 2.1 (Gauss formula). *There exists a symmetric $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ -tensor field $h : TM \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies*

$$x_{ij} = -h_{ij}v. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2 (Weingarten equation). *The unit normal v satisfies the identity*

$$v_i = h_i^k x_k. \quad (2.2)$$

Corollary 2.3. *The second derivative of v satisfies*

$$v_{ij} = h_{ij}^k x_k - h_i^k h_{kj} v.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Gauss equation). *The Riemann curvature of the submanifold is related to the curvature of the ambient space by*

$${}^g R_{ijkl} = h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk} + \bar{R}(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l). \quad (2.3)$$

By contracting (2.3) with the inverse metric γ^{ab} of the ambient space, we obtain identities for the Ricci curvature as well as the scalar curvature of the submanifold. These we give explicitly in the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.5. *The Ricci curvature of the submanifold is related to the curvature of the ambient space by*

$${}^g R_{ik} = \bar{\text{Ric}}(x_i, x_k) - \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_k) + H h_{ik} - h_{im} h_k^m$$

Corollary 2.6. *The Scalar curvature of the submanifold is related to the curvature of the ambient space by*

$${}^g R = \bar{R} - 2\bar{\text{Ric}}(v) + H^2 - |A|_g^2, \quad (2.4)$$

where $A \equiv \{h_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ (a notation that we will use on occasion).

Our final equation of the fundamental classical variety relates the ambient curvature to the differential of the second fundamental form.

Lemma 2.7 (Codazzi equation). *The arguments of the $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ -tensor ∇h commute as follows*

$$h_{ij;k} - h_{kij} = \bar{R}(v, x_i, x_j, x_k). \quad (2.5)$$

We now look at how the second derivatives of the second fundamental form commute. These identities were first discovered by Simons [20] and come in very useful.

Lemma 2.8. *The second derivatives of h_{ij} satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij;kl} &= h_{kl;ij} + h_{mj}h_{il}h_k^m - h_{ml}h_{ij}h_k^m + h_{mj}h_{kl}h_i^m - h_{ml}h_{kj}h_i^m \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_k, x_i, x_l, x_m)h_j^m + \bar{R}(x_k, x_i, x_j, x_m)h_l^m + \bar{R}(x_m, x_i, x_j, x_l)h_k^m \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_m, x_k, x_j, x_l)h_i^m + \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j)h_{kl} - \bar{R}(v, x_k, v, x_l)h_{ij} \\ &\quad + (\bar{\nabla} \bar{R})(v, x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l) + (\bar{\nabla} \bar{R})(v, x_k, x_i, x_l, x_j). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and the commutator of covariant tensor quantities (1.6) and the tensor covariant derivative (1.3) (and not forgetting the symmetries of \bar{R})

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij;kl} &= (h_{ki;j} + \bar{R}(v, x_i, x_j, x_k), l) \\ &= h_{ki;jl} + (\bar{\nabla} \bar{R})(v, x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l) \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_m, x_i, x_j, x_k)h_l^m - \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_k)h_{lj} - \bar{R}(v, x_i, x_j, v)h_{kl} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ki;jl} &= h_{ki;l j} + {}^g R_{mijl}h_k^m + {}^g R_{mkjl}h_i^m + \bar{R}(x_m, x_i, x_j, x_l)h_k^m + \bar{R}(x_m, x_k, x_j, x_l)h_i^m \\ &= h_{ki;l j} + h_{mj}h_{il}h_k^m - h_{ml}h_{ij}h_k^m + h_{mj}h_{kl}h_i^m - h_{ml}h_{kj}h_i^m \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_m, x_i, x_j, x_l)h_k^m + \bar{R}(x_m, x_k, x_j, x_l)h_i^m \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ki;l j} &= h_{kl;ij} + (\bar{\nabla} \bar{R})(v, x_k, x_i, x_l, x_j) \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_m, x_k, x_i, x_l)h_j^m - \bar{R}(v, x_k, v, x_l)h_{ij} - \bar{R}(v, x_k, x_i, v)h_{jl}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Plugging (2.9) into (2.8) and (2.8) into (2.7) and using again the symmetries of the full tensor \bar{R} gives the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.9 (Simons' identity). *The Laplacian of the second fundamental form satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_M h_{ij} &= H_{ij} - |A|_g^2 h_{ij} + H h_{im} h_j^m + H \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j) - \bar{\text{Ric}}(v) h_{ij} \\ &\quad + g^{kl} [\bar{R}(x_k, x_i, x_l, x_m) h_j^m + \bar{R}(x_k, x_i, x_j, x_m) h_l^m + \bar{R}(x_m, x_i, x_j, x_l) h_k^m \\ &\quad + \bar{R}(x_m, x_k, x_j, x_l) h_i^m + (\bar{\nabla}_{x_l} \bar{R})(v, x_i, x_j, x_k) + (\bar{\nabla}_{x_j} \bar{R})(v, x_k, x_i, x_l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Contract (2.6) with g^{kl} and use the (anti)symmetric properties of the full tensor \bar{R} . \square

2.2 geometry of the warped product

The *warped product*, $P \times_h S$, of two Riemannian manifolds, $(P^p, \bar{\omega})$ and (S^s, ζ) , with *warping factor* h is the product manifold, $P \times S$, equipped with the metric

$$\gamma^{P \times_h S} = \bar{\omega} \oplus h^2 \zeta,$$

In this manner, providing h is not degenerate, $(P \times_h S, \gamma^{P \times_h S})$ also becomes a Riemannian manifold.

In this section we look at some properties of warped product spaces in a more specialised setting. We consider the warped product $I \times_f M^n$, where I is an arbitrary one dimensional manifold parameterised with r , (M^n, σ) is a Riemannian n -manifold with metric σ , and f is a positive monotonically increasing (preferably differentiable) function of r . Thus we have the metric

$$\gamma = dr^2 \oplus f^2 \sigma. \quad (2.11)$$

Indeed, this metric is conformal to a metric of the form

$$d\rho^2 \oplus \rho^2 \sigma, \quad (*)$$

with conformal factor $\phi(\rho)$ where $dr = \phi(\rho) d\rho$ and $f(r) = \rho\phi(\rho)$, (see [18]).

During the course of this work, we concern ourselves only with metrics of the form (*), or more accurately, with the warped product $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$.

The arbitrarily chosen coordinates $\{y^i\}$ of M^n are extended via the radial function r to coordinates $\{y^a\}$ of $N = \mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$ whereby

$$y^0 \equiv r.$$

In this manner, the basis $\{e_i \equiv \partial/\partial y^i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of TM is extended to a basis, $\{e_a\}_{a=0, \dots, n}$, of TN . This allows for convenient indexing in what follows. Moreover, tensor quantities on N^{n+1} will be dealt with in this basis, for example

$$\bar{R}_{abcd} \equiv \bar{R}(e_a, e_b, e_c, e_d).$$

The level sets, $\{r\} \times M^n \equiv \{r = \text{const}\}$, of the radial function r of the warped product, have the induced metric

$$\alpha_{ij} = r^2 \sigma_{ij}, \quad (2.12)$$

and the second fundamental form, β_{ij} , of the inclusion $M^n \xrightarrow{r} \{r\} \times M^n$ is easily shown to be

$$\beta_{ij} = r \sigma_{ij}. \quad (2.13)$$

We wish to express the connection on N^{n+1} in terms of quantities on M^n and the radial function r , whereby we consider surfaces $\{r\} \times M^n$. Using the well

known formula for the Levi-Civita connection coefficients (see for example [12])

$$\bar{\Gamma}^c_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ce}(\partial_a\gamma_{eb} + \partial_b\gamma_{ae} - \partial_e\gamma_{ab}), \quad (2.14)$$

where $\partial_a \equiv \partial/\partial y^a$, the following fact almost derives itself

$$\bar{\Gamma}^c_{ab} = \begin{cases} \Gamma^k_{ij} & \text{if } (a, b, c) = (i, j, k) \\ -r\sigma_{ij} & \text{if } c = 0, (a, b) = (i, j) \\ r^{-1}\delta_j^k & \text{if } a = 0, (b, c) = (j, k) \vee b = 0, (a, c) = (j, k) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Lemma 2.10. *The Riemann curvature tensor of the warped product $\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$ vanishes in the radial direction, i.e.*

$$\bar{R}_{0bcd} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. It is easily derived from (1.5) that the curvature tensor in terms of $\bar{\Gamma}^c_{ab}$ (see [23, §3]) can be expressed as

$$\bar{R}_{abc}{}^d = \partial_b\bar{\Gamma}^d_{ac} - \partial_a\bar{\Gamma}^d_{bc} + \bar{\Gamma}^d_{be}\bar{\Gamma}^e_{ac} - \bar{\Gamma}^d_{ae}\bar{\Gamma}^e_{bc}. \quad (2.17)$$

Combining (2.15) and (2.17) we deduce (sparing the details)

$$\bar{R}_{0jk}{}^l = \bar{R}_{0jk}{}^0 = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

Lowering the final index with γ_{ab} shows

$$\bar{R}_{0ija} = \gamma_{ab}\bar{R}_{0ij}{}^b = 0.$$

The symmetries of \bar{R} lead to the result. \square

Lemma 2.11. *The embedding $M^n \xrightarrow{r} \{r\} \times M^n$ has constant mean curvature of $r^{-1}n$.*

Proof. Contracting the second fundamental form $\beta = r\sigma$ with the inverse induced metric $\alpha^{-1} = r^{-2}\sigma^{-1}$ gives

$$H = \alpha^{ij}\beta_{ij} = r^{-1}\sigma^{ij}\sigma_{ij} = r^{-1}n. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.12.

$$\text{Ric}_M \geq 0 \iff \bar{\text{Ric}} \geq (1-n)\sigma \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Once again using (2.15) and (2.17), we deduce

$${}^a R_{ijk}{}^l = {}^\sigma R_{ijk}{}^l, \quad (2.20)$$

where ${}^a R_{ijk}{}^l$ is the Riemann curvature tensor with respect to the metric α_{ij} from (2.12) on the level set $\{r\} \times M^n$. Taking the trace over j and l shows

$$\text{Ric}_{\{r\} \times M} = \text{Ric}_M.$$

Using (2.12) and (2.13)

$$\beta_j^i = \alpha^{im} \beta_{mj} = r^{-1} \delta_j^i.$$

Now apply Corollary 2.5, substituting β for h and taking note of (2.16), to reveal

$$\text{Ric}_M = \overline{\text{Ric}} + (n-1)\sigma. \quad (2.21)$$

Remark 2.13. If we contract (2.20) with α_{lm} , we get

$${}^a R_{ijkm} = \alpha_{lm} [{}^\sigma R_{ijk}{}^l] = r^2 \sigma_{lm} [{}^\sigma R_{ijk}{}^l] = r^2 [{}^\sigma R_{ijkm}]. \quad (2.22)$$

This equality shows that as the embedded hypersurface varies in the radial direction with equal magnitude at every point (i.e. rescales), the curvature of the rescaled hypersurface is inversely proportional to the radial distance. Intuitively this can be seen if we consider a family of expanding 2-spheres in \mathbb{R}^3 .

□

Example 2.14 (polar coordinates). The archetypal example of a warped product manifold is $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ equipped with the canonical metric δ_j^i expressed as

$$\mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} S^n$$

with the metric as in (2.11) where σ is now a metric on S^n . This corresponds simply to expressing elements of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ in polar coordinates. As aforementioned, this is how Gerhardt and Urbas tackled the issue of the outward flow of an embedded surface in Euclidean space in [7] and [22] respectively.

2.3 hypersurfaces as graphs of functions

The theory of general hypersurfaces (see eg. [2, §6]) can be easily applied to the special case in which the ambient space is the warped product $N = \mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n$ from §2.2, where the metric γ of N^{n+1} in terms of the radial function r and the metric σ of M^n , is expressed as

$$\gamma = dr^2 \oplus r^2 \sigma$$

and the embedding x can be seen as the graph of a function $u : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, however, not all submanifolds of N^{n+1} can be expressed as such.

In this respect we obtain the induced metric g :

$$g_{ij}(p) \equiv g_p(e_i, e_j) \equiv (x^* \gamma)_p(e_i, e_j) \equiv \gamma_{x(p)=(u(p), p)}(x_*(e_i), x_*(e_j)) \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$x_*(e_i) = dx(e_i) = u_i e_0 + e_i \equiv x_i, \quad (2.24)$$

and $e_i = \partial/\partial y^i$. Thus, we find

$$g_{ij} = \gamma(x_i, x_j) = u_i u_j + u^2 \sigma_{ij} = u^2(\sigma_{ij} + \varphi_i \varphi_j),$$

where $\varphi \equiv \log u$ throughout. Since u takes solely positive values, φ is always well defined. The basis $\{e_i = \partial/\partial y^i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of TM gives rise to a basis of $Tx(M)$ via the differential (or *push forward*, see previous section). These are indeed the vectors $\{x_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ of (2.24).

The outward pointing unit normal vector to $x(M)$, which necessarily satisfies $\gamma(v, x_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, is consequently given by

$$v = (v^a) = \gamma^{ab} v_b$$

where the covector v_a has the components $(1, -Du)$ and the normalisation factor v^{-1} where

$$v^2 \equiv 1 + u^{-2} |Du|_\sigma^2 = 1 + |D\varphi|_\sigma^2. \quad (2.25)$$

Thus,

$$v = (v^a) = v^{-1}(e_0 - u^{-2} u^k e_k). \quad (2.26)$$

It is readily checked that this vector is indeed orthogonal to each x_i with respect to γ . The inverse of the induced metric is

$$g^{ij} = u^{-2}(\sigma^{ij} - \varphi^i \varphi^j / v^2),$$

where $\varphi^i \equiv \sigma^{ij} \varphi_j = \sigma^{ij} D_j \varphi$ and with a quick calculation, is easily seen to be the inverse of g_{ij} .

Proposition 2.15. *The connection of g is related to the connection of σ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_X - D_X \right) Y &= \sigma(D\varphi, X)Y + \sigma(D\varphi, Y)X \\ &+ (D^2\varphi(X, Y) - [uv]^{-2}g(X, Y))D\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

for $X, Y \in TM$. Equivalently

$${}^g \Gamma_{ij}^k = {}^\sigma \Gamma_{ij}^k + \varphi_i \delta_j^k + \varphi_j \delta_i^k - u^{-2} v^{-2} \varphi^k g_{ij} + v^{-2} \varphi^k D_{ij} \varphi. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. Using the formula (2.14), we see

$$\begin{aligned} {}^g \Gamma_{ij}^k &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (g_{lj,i} + g_{il,j} - g_{ij,l}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \{ 2u^{-1} u_i g_{lj} + u^2 \sigma_{lj,i} + u^2 (\varphi_l \varphi_j)_i \\ &\quad + 2u^{-1} u_j g_{il} + u^2 \sigma_{il,j} + u^2 (\varphi_i \varphi_l)_j \\ &\quad - 2u^{-1} u_l g_{ij} - u^2 \sigma_{ij,l} - u^2 (\varphi_i \varphi_j)_l \} \\ &= \varphi_i \delta_j^k + \varphi_j \delta_i^k - \varphi_l g^{kl} g_{ij} + {}^\sigma \Gamma_{ij}^k \\ &\quad - \varphi^k \varphi^l v^{-2} \sigma_{ml} {}^\sigma \Gamma_{ij}^m - \varphi^k \varphi_{j,i} - v^{-2} |D\varphi|_\sigma^2 \varphi^k \varphi_{j,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Using the facts

$$1 - |D\varphi|^2 v^{-2} = v^{-2}$$

and

$$D_{ij}\varphi = \varphi_{j,i} - {}^\sigma\Gamma_{ij}^m \varphi_m$$

leads to the sought after result. \square

Remark 2.16. Notice how the right hand side of (2.27) is tensorial in both X and Y when contracted with a covector. This is consistent with the difference of two torsion free connections being a tensor of valence $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ (in stark contrast to the expression $\omega(\nabla_X Y)$, for covector ω , not being tensorial in Y for an arbitrary connection).

Lemma 2.17. *The second fundamental form, $A \equiv \{h_{ij}\}$, of the embedding x is given as*

$$h_{ij} = uv^{-1}(\sigma_{ij} + \varphi_i \varphi_j - \varphi_{ij}), \quad (2.30)$$

and the Weingarten map is given as

$$h_j^i = [uv]^{-1} (\delta_j^i + (-\sigma^{ik} + \varphi^i \varphi^k v^{-2}) \varphi_{kj}).$$

Proof. Since x_i is covariant with respect to g as well as contravariant with respect to γ (see proof of Lemma 2.4), in the $\{e_a\}_{a=0,\dots,n}$ basis we have,

$$x_{ij}^a = \partial_{ij}^2 x^a + \bar{\Gamma}_{bd}^a x_i^b x_j^d - {}^g\Gamma_{ij}^k x_k^a.$$

and plugging this into the Gauss formula (2.1)

$$h_{ij} = -\gamma((\partial_{ij}^2 x^a + \bar{\Gamma}_{bd}^a x_i^b x_j^d - {}^g\Gamma_{ij}^k x_k^a) e_a, v^b e_b).$$

The partial (not covariant) derivative $x_{,ij} = u_{,ij} e_0$, so the first term is

$$\gamma(x_{,ij}, v) = v^{-1} u_{,ij}.$$

The final term disappears due to orthogonality so we look at the middle term. We notice that $\gamma(e_k, v) = -v^{-1} u_k$ and $\gamma(e_0, v) = v^{-1}$. Thus

$$h_{ij} = -v^{-1}(u_{,ij} + \bar{\Gamma}_{bd}^0 x_i^b x_j^d - \bar{\Gamma}_{bd}^k x_i^b x_j^d u_k). \quad (2.31)$$

With a little computation using (2.15) and (2.24),

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{ab}^c x_i^a x_j^b = \begin{cases} {}^\sigma\Gamma_{ij}^k & \text{if } (a, b, c) = (i, j, k) \\ -u\sigma_{ij} & \text{if } c = 0, (a, b) = (i, j) \\ r^{-1} u_i u_j & \text{if } a = 0, (b, c) = (j, k) \vee b = 0, (a, c) = (j, k) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

Putting it all together we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij} &= -v^{-1} \partial_{ij}^2 u - v^{-1} (-u\sigma_{ij}) + v^{-1} ({}^\sigma\Gamma_{ij}^k u_k + 2u^{-1} u_i u_j) \\ &= uv^{-1} (\sigma_{ij} + \varphi_i \varphi_j - \varphi_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $u_{ij} = \partial_{ij}^2 u - {}^\sigma \Gamma_{ij}^k u_k$ (and seeing as this is a torsion free connection, $u_{ij} = u_{ji}$).

For the second assertion, simply compute $h_j^i = g^{ik} h_{kj}$. □

Corollary 2.18. *The mean curvature of the embedding $x : p \mapsto (u(p), p)$ can be expressed as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} H &= [uv]^{-1} (n - \Delta_\sigma \varphi + D^2 \varphi(D\varphi, D\varphi)v^{-2}) \\ &= [uv]^{-1} (n - \sigma^{ij} \varphi_{ij} + \varphi^i \varphi^j \varphi_{ij} v^{-2}) \\ &= [uv]^{-1} (n - u^2 \Delta_g \varphi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Remark 2.19. Taking the 0th component of (2.1) into consideration, achieves

$$\begin{aligned} -v^{-1} h_{ij} &= u_{,ij} - {}^g \Gamma_{ij}^k u_k + \bar{\Gamma}_{ab}^0 x_i^a x_j^b \\ &= \nabla_j u_i - u \sigma_{ij} \\ &= \nabla_j u_i - \beta_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where β is the second fundamental form as in (2.13) of the level set $\{u(p)\} \times M^n$ and our computations are being carried out at point $p \in M^n$. This can be verified with an elaborate calculation using Proposition 2.15, the details of which, we spare the reader.

3 inverse mean curvature flow for graphs

In §3.1 we assume existence of a solution to (1.2) on a maximal time interval $[0, T^*)$ and adapt this initial problem to the situation at hand to acquire a nonlinear *scalar* parabolic equation that is more manageable to work with. This fact is strengthened in §3.3 where we prove existence of a solution for such an equation. We acquire two forms of this equation. One for the embedding function

$$u : I \times M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

and the other for the natural logarithm of u ,

$$\varphi \equiv \log u : I \times M^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The advantage of the equation for φ , as we shall soon be seeing, is that the corresponding nonlinear operator for fixed t only depends on the first and second derivatives of φ and not on the function itself. This will come in handy for the maximum and comparison principles in §3.2.

Remark 3.1. Since a solution of the equation for one of these functions naturally implies a solution for the equation of the other (by taking logarithm or exponentiating respectively), the equations for u and φ will be interchanged and handled with equality throughout. Note that φ is well defined, since $u_0 > 0$ everywhere on M^n and the a priori estimates of §3.3 deliver us positive lower bounds on u for $t > 0$.

In §3.2 as mentioned, we procure useful maximum and comparison principles for the acquired equations. These estimates are important for proving long time existence later on.

We apply results of [8, 14] in §3.3 to prove existence of a solution, φ , to the adapted problem, then we go on to prove some first order estimates.

To conclude the section, in §3.4, we study the so-called *evolution equations* of some quantities of interest on the evolving hypersurfaces. As we shall see, these are vital to the understanding of the behaviour of such solutions.

We use the notation of [8, §2.5] throughout this section and for the duration of the paper. In particular,

$$Q_{T^*} \equiv [0, T^*) \times M^n$$

denotes the *parabolic cylinder* of existence of the solution to (3.6) and the class of function $H^{2+\beta, \frac{2+\beta}{2}}(\bar{Q}_{T^*})$ to which (as we shall find out) the solution belongs is defined by

$$\varphi \in H^{2+\beta, \frac{2+\beta}{2}}(\bar{Q}_{T^*}) \iff \varphi(t, \cdot) \in C^{2,\beta}(M^n) \wedge \varphi(\cdot, x) \in C^{1, \frac{\beta}{2}}([0, T^*]), \quad (3.1)$$

for $0 < \beta < 1$.

3.1 posing the problem

The family of embeddings $x : Q_{T^*} \equiv [0, T^*) \times M^n \rightarrow N^{n+1}$, of M^n into the warped product $(N^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times_{\text{Id}} M^n, \gamma)$ takes the form

$$x : (t, p) \mapsto (u(t, p(t)), p(t)),$$

so that in local coordinates $\{y^i\}$ on M we can express the evolving hypersurface as

$$x(t, y^i(t)) = (u(t, y^i(t)), y^i(t)). \quad (3.2)$$

We take a total time derivative of (3.2) and substitute it into the original equation (1.2) using (2.26) to get

$$\dot{x}^0 = \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u_i \dot{y}^i = H^{-1} v^0 = v^{-1} H^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

for the radial component and

$$\dot{x}^i = \dot{y}^i = -v^{-1} H^{-1} u^{-2} u^i \quad (3.4)$$

for the components tangential to the level sets of the radial function, $\{r = \text{const}\}$. Plugging (3.4) into (3.3) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u} &= v^{-1} H^{-1} u^{-2} |Du|_\sigma^2 + v^{-1} H^{-1} \\ &= v^{-1} H^{-1} (1 + u^{-2} |Du|_\sigma^2) \\ &= v H^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the dot $\dot{\cdot}$ over u has taken the place of $\partial/\partial t$. Multiplying both sides by u^{-1} and using Corollary 2.18, we come to the conclusion that in this setting, the original problem (1.2) is equivalent to the nonlinear parabolic (of course this still needs to be checked) equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\varphi} - [F(D\varphi, D^2\varphi)]^{-1} &= 0 \\ \varphi_0 &= \varphi(0, \cdot) \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$F \equiv v^{-2} (n - \sigma^{ij} \varphi_{ij} + \varphi^i \varphi^j \varphi_{ij} v^{-2}) = H u v^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark 3.2. Notice how $F = F(D\varphi, D^2\varphi)$ is independent of φ in an undifferentiated guise. This fact will come in useful in the near future.

Remark 3.3. The equation for the scalar quantity u will also be of use in the future. It is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} - H^{-1} v &= 0 \\ u_0 &= u(0, \cdot), \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where $H = H(u, Du, D^2u)$ is expressed as

$$H = [uv]^{-1} (n - (u \Delta_g u - |Du|_g^2)).$$

The final term here, $|Du|_g^2$ is the norm, in terms of g , of the gradient of u .

3.2 maximum and comparison principles

Theorem 3.4. *Let φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ solve (3.6) in Q_T with initial data φ_0 and $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ respectively. Then*

$$\inf_M(\varphi_0 - \tilde{\varphi}_0) \leq \varphi - \tilde{\varphi} \leq \sup_M(\varphi_0 - \tilde{\varphi}_0). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Let $w \equiv (\varphi - \tilde{\varphi})e^{\lambda t}$ for a small λ to be chosen later on. Without loss of generality we assume

$$\sup_M(\varphi_0 - \tilde{\varphi}_0) \geq 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Let $0 < t_0$ be the first time the maximum of w is attained and this occurs at the point $x_0 \in M^n$. At the spacetime point (t_0, x_0) we have

$$0 \leq \dot{w} = \lambda w + (\dot{\varphi} - \dot{\tilde{\varphi}})e^{\lambda t} \quad (3.11)$$

$$= \lambda w + (F^{-1} - \tilde{F}^{-1})e^{\lambda t}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $F \equiv F(D\varphi(t_0, x_0), D^2\varphi(t_0, x_0))$ and $\tilde{F} \equiv F(D\tilde{\varphi}(t_0, x_0), D^2\tilde{\varphi}(t_0, x_0))$. Since w attains its spatial maximum at this point, we have

$$D\varphi = D\tilde{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad D^2\varphi \leq D^2\tilde{\varphi}. \quad (3.13)$$

Using these facts along with (3.7), we infer

$$F \geq \tilde{F}.$$

Thus, at (t_0, x_0) we conclude

$$0 \geq -\lambda w.$$

If we choose $\lambda < 0$ it follows that

$$\sup_{Q_T} w = w(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$$

Letting $\lambda \nearrow 0$, bearing (3.10) in mind, implies the right hand inequality. The left hand inequality is proved analogously. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let φ solve (3.6) on Q_T . Then the following holds*

$$\inf_{M^n} \varphi_0 \leq \varphi - \frac{t}{n} \leq \sup_{M^n} \varphi_0. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Define $\tilde{\varphi} = t/n$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ solves (3.6). Apply Theorem 3.4. \square

Remark 3.6. By exponentiation, this is equivalent to

$$\inf_{M^n} u_0 \leq u e^{-t/n} \leq \sup_{M^n} u_0, \quad (3.15)$$

for the embedding function u , which solves (3.8).

Remark 3.7. The solution $\tilde{\varphi}$ of (3.6) in the proof of Corollary 3.5 corresponds to the solution of (1.2) whereby the initial embedding is given by

$$x_0 : p \mapsto (1, p).$$

Remark 3.8. As we shall be seeing shortly in §4.3, the estimate on u in Remark 3.6 alludes to the fact that if we were to introduce a factor of $e^{-t/n}$, then the function $\tilde{u} = u e^{-t/n}$ remains uniformly bounded from above and below. Thus we will be studying the convergence properties of (1.2) for the corresponding rescaled embedding \tilde{x} in §4.2.

3.3 short time existence and first order estimates

With the help of the parabolic theory [6, 8, 14] we show that a solution to equation (3.6) exists for a short time. Following this, as the heading suggests, we prove some first order estimates on φ .

Lemma 3.9. *Let $F = Huv^{-1}$ as defined in (3.7). Then*

$$a^{ij} \equiv -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_{ij}}$$

is positive definite.

Proof. Since

$$F = v^{-2}(n - \sigma^{ij}\varphi_{ij} + \varphi^i\varphi^j\varphi_{ij}v^{-2}) = v^{-2}(n - u^2g^{ij}\varphi_{ij}),$$

we simply differentiate F with respect to φ_{ij} to find

$$-a^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi_{ij}} = -v^{-2}u^2g^{ij}. \quad (3.16)$$

Since u and v are both positive and g^{ij} is positive definite, having trace $n - 1 + (1 + |D\varphi|^2)^{-1}$ with respect to σ , (see [17, §2.2]), we conclude that a^{ij} is positive definite. \square

Remark 3.10. Since, as remarked upon above,

$$\text{trace}_\sigma\{g^{ij}\} = n - 1 + v^{-2},$$

and v is uniformly bounded from above and below, we conclude that F^{-1} is *uniformly parabolic* on Q_{T^*} . We will also show this more explicitly later (see Theorem 4.3) where we derive an evolution equation for $F^{-1} \equiv \chi H^{-1}$, where χ is to be defined at the beginning of §4.2.

Theorem 3.11. *There exists a unique solution of class $H^{2+\beta, \frac{2+\beta}{2}}(Q_{T^*})$ to (3.6), given $C^{2,\alpha}$ initial data, for $0 < \beta < \alpha$, where T^* depends on β and the initial data.*

Proof. The prerequisites of [8, Theorem 2.5.7] are fulfilled, since we have

$$F^{-2}\alpha^{ij} > 0,$$

i.e. (3.6) is uniformly parabolic on some time interval $[0, T^*)$. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let φ solve (3.6) on Q_{T^*} . Then the following holds*

$$\inf_M \dot{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \leq \dot{\varphi} \leq \sup_M \dot{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. Differentiate (3.6) with respect to t to obtain

$$\ddot{\varphi} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_j\dot{\varphi} + \alpha^iD_i\dot{\varphi}) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\alpha^i = \partial F/\partial\varphi_i$. Applying the parabolic maximum principle (see [6, §7.1 Theorem 8]) yields the result. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let φ solve (3.6) on Q_{T^*} and condition (1.1) hold. Then there exists a $\lambda > 0$, such that*

$$|D\varphi|_\sigma^2 e^{\lambda t} \leq \sup_{M^n} |D\varphi_0|_\sigma^2 \quad (3.19)$$

on Q_{T^*} .

Proof. We aim to derive an evolution equation for the left hand side of (3.19) and apply the maximum principle to deduce the inequality. Firstly, let $w = \frac{1}{2}|D\varphi|^2$. We differentiate (3.6) in the direction of $D\varphi$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma^{kl}\varphi_l D_k(\dot{\varphi} - F^{-1}) \\ &= \dot{w} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_kD_j\varphi_i\varphi_l + \alpha^iD_iw), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $\alpha^i \equiv \partial F/\partial\varphi_i$, having used the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} &= \sigma^{kl}\varphi_l D_k\dot{\varphi}, \\ D_iw &= \sigma^{kl}D_i\varphi_k\varphi_l. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from (1.6) that

$$D_kD_j\varphi_i = D_kD_i\varphi_j = D_iD_k\varphi_j - R_{ikj}{}^m\varphi_m, \quad (3.21)$$

where the first equality is on account of the torsion free connection D on M^n . We also observe

$$D_iD_jw = \sigma^{kl}D_iD_j\varphi_k\varphi_l + \sigma^{kl}D_j\varphi_kD_i\varphi_l. \quad (3.22)$$

Subtracting and adding the quantity $F^{-2}\alpha^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_j\varphi_kD_i\varphi_l$ (i.e. adding a clever 0) to (3.20) and plugging in (3.21) and (3.22) we arrive at

$$\dot{w} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_jw + \alpha^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_j\varphi_kD_i\varphi_l + \alpha^{ij}R_{ikjm}\varphi^m\varphi^k + \alpha^iD_iw) = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

For second term inside the parenthesis we have:

$$\alpha^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_j\varphi_kD_i\varphi_l \geq 0, \quad (3.24)$$

since $\{\alpha^{ij}\}$ and σ^{ij} are both positive definite and symmetric (see [5, §4]). Subtracting this term from (3.23) gives

$$\dot{w} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_jw + \alpha^{ij}R_{ikjm}\varphi^m\varphi^k + \alpha^iD_iw) \leq 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Now multiply (3.25) by $e^{\lambda t}$ and define $\tilde{w} = we^{\lambda t}$, to give

$$\dot{w}e^{\lambda t} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_j\tilde{w} + \alpha^{ij}R_{ikjm}\varphi^k\varphi^me^{\lambda t} + \alpha^iD_i\tilde{w}) \leq 0, \quad (3.26)$$

and finally add and subtract $\lambda\tilde{w}$, leaving us with

$$\dot{\tilde{w}} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_j\tilde{w} + \alpha^{ij}R_{ikjm}\varphi^k\varphi^me^{\lambda t} + \alpha^iD_i\tilde{w} - \lambda F^2\tilde{w}) \leq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Let μ be the smallest eigenvalue of $\{\alpha^{ij}\}$ (in light of Remark 3.10 we have $\mu > 0$ everywhere on Q_{T^*}). Then together with (1.1)

$$\alpha^{ij}R_{ikjm}\varphi^k\varphi^me^{\lambda t} \geq \mu \operatorname{Ric}_M(D\varphi)e^{\lambda t} \geq \mu\delta|D\varphi|_g^2e^{\lambda t} = 2\mu\delta\tilde{w},$$

for a small $\delta > 0$ (the existence of which is ensured in view of (1.1) and the compactness of M^n), which implies

$$\dot{\tilde{w}} + F^{-2}(-\alpha^{ij}D_iD_j\tilde{w} + \alpha^iD_i\tilde{w} + (2\mu\delta - \lambda F^2)\tilde{w}) \leq 0.$$

Thus, by applying the maximum principle (see [6, §7.1 Theorem 9]), the lemma is proved with $\lambda \leq 2\mu\delta F^{-2}$. \square

3.4 the evolution equations

Assuming short time existence of the flow (1.2), we focus our attention on how the solution changes shape, and how the quantities of interest evolve under the flow. The evolution equations are paramount to the study of how solutions behave in the long term, or in the neighbourhood of singularities.

Since we are dealing with quantities that live on the evolving hypersurfaces, we will be covariantly differentiating with respect to the metric g of M_t and the *pushforward basis* of $T_{x(p)}M_t$, thus with the operator ∇ . Furthermore, the derivative with respect to t , designated as both $\dot{}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}$ interchangeably, now refers to the ambient covariant derivative in the direction of ν with a magnitude of H^{-1} , i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{D}{\partial t} = H^{-1}\overline{\nabla}_\nu$$

Lemma 3.14. *The unit normal vector ν evolves according to*

$$\dot{\nu} = H^{-2}\nabla H = H^{-2}H^kx_k \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Since v is of unit length and $\frac{d}{dt}$ is compatible with the metric γ , we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{1} = \frac{d}{dt} \gamma(v, v) = 2\gamma(\dot{v}, v), \quad (3.29)$$

or in other words, \dot{v} resides exclusively in TM_t . We can express \dot{v} thusly

$$\dot{v} = g^{ik} \gamma(\dot{v}, x_i) x_k = -g^{ik} \gamma(v, \dot{x}_i) x_k. \quad (3.30)$$

To see how the basis vector x_i evolves, let $c : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M^n$ be a differentiable curve such that

$$\left. \frac{dc}{ds} \right|_{s=0} = e_i.$$

Per definition

$$x_i = x_*(e_i) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} x(t, c(s)).$$

This shows subsequently that

$$\dot{x}_i = \left. \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} x(t, c(s)) = \left. \frac{D}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x(t, c(s)) = \left. \frac{D}{\partial s} \right|_{s=0} (H^{-1}v)_{x(t, c(s))} = (H^{-1}v)_i \quad (3.31)$$

where we have used [2, §3 Lemma 3.4] to reverse the order of differentiation (for details, see [17]). Once again using (3.29), this time in the second equality of (3.30) with $d = (\bar{\nabla}_{x_i})^\top \equiv \nabla_i$, we conclude

$$\dot{v} = -g^{ik} \gamma(v, (H^{-1}v)_i) x_k = -g^{ik} (H^{-1})_i \gamma(v, v) x_k = H^{-2} g^{ik} H_i x_k.$$

□

Remark 3.15. What equation (3.31) alludes to is the fact that the flows of the vector fields $H^{-1}v$ and x_i commute, i.e.

$$[H^{-1}v, x_i] = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\bar{\nabla}_{H^{-1}v} x_i = \bar{\nabla}_{x_i} (H^{-1}v) \equiv (H^{-1}v)_i.$$

Lemma 3.16. *The metric g_{ij} evolves according to*

$$\dot{g}_{ij} = 2H^{-1} h_{ij}. \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. Using (2.23), (2.2), (3.31), the symmetry of g_{ij} and the fact that $\gamma(v, x_i) = 0$ we obtain

$$\dot{g}_{ij} = 2\gamma(\dot{x}_i, x_j) = 2\gamma((H^{-1}v)_i, x_j) = 2H^{-1} \gamma(v_i, x_j) = 2H^{-1} h_{ij}.$$

□

Corollary 3.17. *The inverse metric fulfills the evolution equation*

$$\dot{g}^{ij} = -2Hh^{ij}, \quad (3.33)$$

where $h^{ij} \equiv g^{ik}g^{jl}h_{kl}$.

Proof. Since $\delta_j^i = g^{ik}g_{kj}$ and $0 = d\delta_j^i/dt$, we have

$$\dot{g}^{ik}g_{kj} = -g^{ik}\dot{g}_{kj}.$$

Now contracting both sides with g^{jl} and plugging in (3.32) for \dot{g}_{kj} we have

$$\dot{g}^{il} = -2g^{ik}g^{jl}Hh_{kj} = -2Hh^{il}.$$

□

Lemma 3.18. *The evolution of the induced measure $d\mu$ satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}(d\mu) = d\mu. \quad (3.34)$$

Proof. In coordinates,

$$d\mu = \sqrt{g} \, dx,$$

where $g = \det g_{ij}$. Jacobi's formula for taking the derivative of a determinant and the product rule give

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{g} = \frac{1}{2}(g)^{-\frac{1}{2}}gg^{ij}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = \sqrt{g}H^{-1}g^{ij}h_{ij} = \sqrt{g}. \quad (3.35)$$

□

Corollary 3.19. *For a surface evolving according to (1.2), as long as the flow exists, the following holds*

$$|M_t| = |M_0|e^t,$$

where M_0 is the initial surface.

Theorem 3.20. *The second fundamental form evolves according to*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dh_{ij}}{dt} &= (H^{-1})_{;ij} + H^{-1} (h_i^m h_{mj} - \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j)) \\ &= 2H_i H_j H^{-3} - H_{ij} H^{-2} + H^{-1} (h_i^m h_{mj} - \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Proof. We utilise (2.1), (3.28), Remark 3.15 and a myriad of additional tricks to derive the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dh_{ij}}{dt} &= -\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(x_{ij}, v) \\ &= -\gamma(\bar{\nabla}_{H^{-1}v}\bar{\nabla}_{x_j}x_i, v) - \gamma(x_{ij}, \dot{v}) \\ &= -\gamma(\bar{\nabla}_{x_j}\bar{\nabla}_{H^{-1}v}x_i, v) - H^{-1}\gamma(\bar{R}(x_i, v)x_j, v) + \gamma(\bar{\nabla}_{[x_i, H^{-1}v]}x_j, v) \\ &= -\gamma(\bar{\nabla}_{x_j}\bar{\nabla}_{x_i}(H^{-1}v), v) - H^{-1}\gamma(\bar{R}(x_i, v)x_j, v) \\ &= -(H^{-1})_{;ij} - H^{-1}\gamma(v, v_{ij}) - H^{-1}\gamma(\bar{R}(x_i, v)x_j, v) \\ &= -(H^{-1})_{;ij} + H^{-1} (h_i^k h_{kj} - \bar{R}(x_i, v, x_j, v)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

□

Corollary 3.21. *The Weingarten map h_j^i satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dh_j^i}{dt} &= -(H^{-1})_j^i - H^{-1} (h_k^i h_j^k + \overline{R}(x_k, \nu, x_j, \nu) g^{ki}) \\ &= 2H^i H_j H^{-3} - H_j^i H^{-2} - H^{-1} (h_k^i h_j^k + \overline{R}(x_k, \nu, x_j, \nu) g^{ki}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Proof. Since

$$\dot{h}_j^i = \frac{d}{dt}(g^{ik} h_{kj}) = \dot{g}^{ik} h_{kj} + g^{ik} \dot{h}_{kj},$$

we can use (3.33) and (3.36) to yield the result. \square

Corollary 3.22. *The mean curvature evolves according to*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= -\Delta_{M_t}(H^{-1}) - H^{-1} (|A|_g^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu)) \\ &= H^{-2} \Delta_{M_t} H - 2H^{-3} |\nabla H|_g^2 - H^{-1} (|A|_g^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. Take the trace of (3.38). \square

Remark 3.23. By application of the maximum principle in the previous equation, in light of the negative sign on the $|A|_g^2$ term, we deduce that the mean curvature H is uniformly bounded from above in terms of the ambient Ricci curvature and the initial embedding.

4 long term behaviour

In order to show that the flow (1.2) exists for all times $t > 0$, we need to bound the mean curvature with an exponential factor, $H e^{\lambda t}$, from above and below by positive constants. In the process, we shall also show that then the surfaces M_t remain as graphs throughout the evolution (see Theorem 4.2) by deriving an evolution equation for the quantity $\chi = \gamma(X, \nu)^{-1}$ where $X = u\partial/\partial r$.

In §4.3 we rescale the embedded hypersurfaces with a factor of $e^{-t/n}$, as already hinted at earlier on.

Throughout this section we shall denote with c and C arbitrary positive constants that can, on occasion, vary from line to line (as contradictory as this sounds).

4.1 graphical nature

The quantities that we will be dealing with reside on the evolving surfaces M_t and as such, we will generally be dealing with the basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of TM_t . Indeed, all covariant derivatives will be carried out with respect to $\nabla(g)$ and indices will be raised and lowered with respect to either g_{ij} or γ_{ab} . The context should be clear.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\chi = \gamma(X, \nu)^{-1}$ where $X = u\partial/\partial r$. Then*

$$(i) \quad X_{;i} = x_i \equiv u_i e_0 + e_i, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \gamma(X_{;ij}, \nu) = \gamma(x_{ij}, \nu) = -h_{ij} \quad (4.2)$$

$$(iii) \quad \chi = \nu u^{-1} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. (i) is derived from (2.15)

$$X_{;i} = X_{\flat}^a x_i^b = (\bar{\nabla}_{u_i e_0 + e_i}(u e_0))^{\top} = u_i e_0 + u \bar{\Gamma}_{i0}^a e_a = u_i e_0 + \delta_i^k e_k = x_i. \quad (4.4)$$

(ii) follows (i) and (2.1), and (iii) is easily seen as a result of $\gamma(\partial/\partial r, \nu) = \nu^{-1}$. \square

The following evolution equation controls the graphical nature of the surfaces M_t .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\chi \equiv \gamma(X, \nu)^{-1}$ as above. Then χ satisfies the evolution equation*

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - H^{-2} \Delta_{M_t} \right) \chi = -2\chi^{-1} H^{-2} |\nabla \chi|_g^2 - \chi H^{-2} (|A|_g^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(\nu)). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. We begin by differentiating χ with respect to t

$$\dot{\chi} = -\chi^2(H^{-1} + \gamma(X, H^{-2}\nabla H)), \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used (3.28). Next we take first and second derivatives of χ in the directions x_i and x_j

$$\chi_{;i} = -\chi^2(\gamma(x_i, v) + \gamma(X, v_i)), \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{ij} &= 2\chi^3(\gamma(x_j, v) + \gamma(X, v_j))(\gamma(x_i, v) + \gamma(X, v_i)) \\ &\quad - \chi^2(\gamma(x_{ij}, v) + \gamma(x_j, v_i) + \gamma(x_i, v_j) + \gamma(X, v_{ij})) \\ &= 2\chi^{-1}\chi_i\chi_j - \chi^2h_{ij} - \chi^2h_{ij}^k\gamma(X, x_k) + \chi h_i^k h_{kj} \\ &= 2\chi^{-1}\chi_i\chi_j - \chi^2h_{ij} + \chi h_i^k h_{kj} \\ &\quad - \chi^2(h_{ij}^k\gamma(X, x_k) + \bar{R}(v, x_i, x_l, x_j)g^{kl}\gamma(X, x_k)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where we have used (2.5) contracted with g^{kl} to get the curvature term. Using the fact that

$$g^{kl}\gamma(X, x_k)x_l = X^\top = X - \gamma(v, X)v,$$

we come to the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(v, x_i, x_l, x_j)g^{kl}\gamma(x, x_k) &= \bar{R}(v, x_i X, x_j) - \bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j)\chi^{-1} \\ &= -\bar{R}(v, x_i, v, x_j)\chi^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $X = u\partial/\partial r$ and in light of (2.16). Contracting χ_{ij} with $H^{-2}g^{ij}$ and subtracting it from $\dot{\chi}$ delivers

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - H^{-2}\Delta_{M_t}\right)\chi = -2\chi^{-1}H^{-2}|\nabla\chi|_g^2 - \chi H^{-2}(|A|_g^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(v)), \quad (4.9)$$

as claimed. \square

Theorem 4.3. *There exist positive constants c and C , depending only on u_0, Du_0, D^2u_0 and n for which during the evolution process up to time T , the following holds*

$$c \leq H e^{t/n} \leq C. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. We shall prove only the lower bound here, the upper bound follows analogously with \inf_M replacing \sup_M in the following argument. We compute the evolution of χH^{-1} , using (4.5) and (3.22).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(\chi H^{-1})}{dt} &= \dot{\chi}H^{-1} - H^{-2}\chi\dot{H} \\ &= H^{-3}\Delta\chi - 2\chi^{-1}H^{-3}|\nabla\chi|_g^2 + \chi H^{-2}\Delta(H^{-1}) \\ &= H^{-2}(H^{-1}\Delta\chi + \chi\Delta(H^{-1}) - 2\chi^{-1}H^{-1}|\nabla\chi|_g^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Let $(t_0, x_0) \in M_t$ be an interior space-time point such that the following holds

$$(\chi H^{-1})(t_0, x_0) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{M_t} (\chi H^{-1}). \quad (4.12)$$

Then at (t_0, x_0) ,

$$0 = (\chi H^{-1})_i = \chi_i H^{-1} + \chi (H^{-1})_i,$$

and also

$$\frac{d(\chi H^{-1})}{dt}(x_0) \geq 0$$

Using these and the fact that

$$\Delta(\eta \xi) = \Delta(\eta) \xi + \eta \Delta(\xi) + 2 \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \xi,$$

for arbitrary real-valued functions η and ξ , we get

$$0 \leq \frac{d(\chi H^{-1})}{dt} = H^{-2} \Delta(\chi H^{-1}) \leq 0,$$

since (t_0, x_0) is a maximum. Adding $t \in$ to χH^{-1} and letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$ derives a contradiction and gives

$$\chi H^{-1} \leq \sup_{M_0} \chi H^{-1}$$

or in other words

$$\chi^{-1} H \geq \inf_{M_0} \chi^{-1} H.$$

Since $\chi^{-1} = u/v$ and $v \geq 1$ we have

$$\inf_{M_0} \chi^{-1} H \leq \chi^{-1} H = uv^{-1} H \leq uH \leq Hc' e^{t/n}$$

where the final inequality comes from (3.15). This subsequently offers

$$H e^{t/n} \geq c.$$

□

Remark 4.4. Since $[F(D\varphi, D^2\varphi)]^{-1} = \chi H^{-1}$, the upper and lower bound from the previous proof shows that (3.6) remains uniformly parabolic on Q_T .

Theorem 4.5. *The solution of (1.2) stays graphical as long as the solution exists.*

Proof. We wish to derive a differential inequality for χ and apply a quasilinear comparison principle (see [15, Corollary 2.5]) to show that χ is bounded from above. From (2.16) we have

$$\overline{\text{Ric}}(e_0, e_a) = \overline{R}_{0a} = \overline{R}_{a0} = 0.$$

Together with (2.21) and the definition of the outward pointing unit normal ν , (2.26) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu) &= [\nu^{-1}u^{-2}]^2 \overline{R}_{ik} u^i u^k \\ &= [u\nu]^{-2} (\text{Ric}_M(D\varphi) - (n-1)|D\varphi|_g^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Using the prerequisite (1.1) along with the lower bound on H , the positivity of χ and $|A|^2$, and Lemma 3.13 we infer

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - H^{-2}\Delta_{M_t}\right)\chi \leq -2\chi^{-1}H^{-2}|\nabla\chi|_g^2 + \chi H^{-2}c e^{-\lambda t}.\tag{4.14}$$

In view of Theorem 4.3 we have

$$H^{-2} \leq C e^{2t/n}.$$

In order to apply a quasilinear comparison principle (see [15, Corollary 2.5]), we need a function that satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t) \geq C e^{(2/n-\lambda)t} f(t),$$

but since we only want to show the boundedness of χ and are not interested in a sharp estimate, a solution to the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(t) = C e^{2t/n} f(t),$$

will suffice. Indeed $f(t) = C e^{n e^{2t/n}/2}$ does the job. We define the quasilinear parabolic operator P by

$$Pw \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dt} - H^{-2}\Delta_{M_t}\right)w + 2w^{-1}H^{-2}|\nabla w|_g^2 - H^{-2}c e^{-\lambda t} w.$$

Then

$$Pf > 0 \geq P\chi.$$

By applying a comparison principle [15, Corollary 2.5], we deduce that χ is bounded from above on \bar{Q}_T by f and is thus bounded on compact intervals, proving the claim. \square

4.2 rescaled hypersurfaces

As alluded to previously, for later contemplations of convergence we must rescale the embedded hypersurfaces so that the solutions remain inside a compact set. In doing this, we can investigate the asymptotics without having to appeal to spatial infinity. We use a factor of $e^{-t/n}$, procured from (3.15). In this section we investigate the a priori effect of rescaling.

Consider the family of rescaled embeddings $\tilde{x}(t, \cdot)$ with

$$\tilde{x}(t, p) = (\tilde{u}(t, p(t)), p(t)),$$

where $\tilde{u} = u e^{-t/n}$. Using this notation we observe the following rescaled quantities:

$$D\tilde{u} = Du e^{-t/n} \tag{4.15}$$

$$\dot{\tilde{u}} = \dot{u} e^{-t/n} - \frac{\tilde{u}}{n} \tag{4.16}$$

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - \frac{t}{n} \tag{4.17}$$

$$D\tilde{\varphi} = D\varphi \tag{4.18}$$

$$\dot{\tilde{\varphi}} = \dot{\varphi} - \frac{1}{n}. \tag{4.19}$$

This shows that the induced metric on the rescaled evolving hypersurfaces satisfies

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = \gamma(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j) = e^{-2t/n} g_{ij}, \tag{4.20}$$

while the inverse metric satisfies

$$\tilde{g}^{ij} = e^{2t/n} g^{ij}. \tag{4.21}$$

Thus, the rescaled second fundamental form satisfies

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = h_{ij} e^{-t/n}, \tag{4.22}$$

and the mean curvature as well as the Weingarten map rescale as expected

$$\tilde{h}_j^i = h_j^i e^{t/n} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\tilde{H} = H e^{t/n}. \tag{4.24}$$

The rescaled hypersurfaces must now solve the equations: for $\tilde{\varphi}$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\tilde{\varphi}} - [F(\cdot, D\varphi, D^2\varphi)]^{-1} + n^{-1} & = 0 \\ \tilde{\varphi}_0 & = \varphi(0, \cdot), \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

in light of (4.18), and for \tilde{u}

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\tilde{u}} - v\tilde{H}^{-1} + \tilde{u}n^{-1} & = 0 \\ \tilde{u}_0 & = \tilde{u}(0, \cdot), \end{cases} \tag{4.26}$$

Both equations are obviously still uniformly parabolic on Q_T^* .

Lemma 4.6. *The rescaled quantity $|D\tilde{u}|$ decays exponentially fast to 0.*

Proof. From $\varphi = \log u$, we deduce

$$|D\tilde{u}|^2 = |D\varphi|^2 e^{-2t/n} u^2,$$

and using Lemma 3.13 we have

$$|D\tilde{u}|^2 \leq C e^{-\lambda t - 2t/n} u^2. \quad (4.27)$$

Taking the root from both sides gives

$$|D\tilde{u}| \leq C e^{-\lambda t/2} u e^{-t/n} \leq C' e^{-\lambda t/2},$$

where, for the final inequality, we have once again used (3.15). \square

4.3 convergence

Let us summarise the results we have gathered thus far. In §3.1 we transformed the initial problem (1.2) into two versions of a scalar problem, (3.6) and (3.8) respectively. In §3.3 we proved existence of a solution φ to (3.6), which is equivalent to existence of a solution $u = e^\varphi$ to (3.8), on the parabolic cylinder Q_{T^*} for $0 < T^* \leq \infty$.

We had derived a priori estimates for $\varphi - t/n$ ($\equiv \tilde{\varphi}$) and $u e^{-t/n}$ ($\equiv \tilde{u}$) in §3.2, then we went on to bound $\tilde{\varphi}$ from above and below with its initial data and $|D\varphi|_\sigma^2$ from above with an exponentially decaying factor (which is equivalent to $1 < v \leq 1 + C e^{-\lambda t}$).

We showed that the *starshapedness* of the solution as a hypersurface is maintained throughout the evolution in §4.2 and then proved bounds on $H e^{t/n}$ ($\equiv \tilde{H}$) from above and below. These bounds show that the nonlinear operator $G = F^{-1} = v[uH]^{-1}$ of (3.6) is uniformly bounded from above and below up to time T^* .

With these bounds, we then rescaled the surfaces in §4.2 to acquire a solution \tilde{u} to the uniformly parabolic equation (4.26) which remains inside a compact set during its existence. We also showed that $|D\tilde{u}|$ decays exponentially fast.

Now we wish to achieve higher order estimates for φ independent of t . The notation we use throughout this section in terms of norms and spaces can be found in [8, §2.5] and also in [14].

Theorem 4.7. *Let φ solve (3.6) in Q_{T^*} . Then for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\delta \in (0, T^*)$ and $m \geq 1$,*

$$|\varphi|_{m+\alpha, Q_{\delta, T^*}} \leq C, \quad (4.28)$$

where C does not depend on t and $Q_{\delta, T^*} \equiv [\delta, T^*) \times M^n$.

Proof. Since M^n is smooth and F^{-1} is C^∞ in its arguments, we can apply [8, Theorem 2.5.10] to our situation, which states that under the above prerequisites, φ is of class $H^{2+m+\alpha, \frac{2+m+\alpha}{2}}(Q_{\delta, T^*})$ for $m \geq 1$. We differentiate (3.6) in the direction of e_k , using (1.6) to get

$$0 = e_k (\dot{\varphi} - F^{-1}) = \dot{\varphi}_k + F^{-2} \{-\alpha^{ij} D_i D_j \varphi_k + \alpha^{ij} [{}^\sigma R_{ikj}{}^m] \varphi_m + \alpha^i D_i \varphi_k\}. \quad (4.29)$$

Since $\alpha^{ij} = [u/v]^2 g^{ij} = v^{-2}(\sigma^{ij} - \varphi^i \varphi^j v^{-2})$, using again the symmetries of R_{ijkl} , this transforms into

$$0 = \dot{\varphi}_k + F^{-2} \{-\alpha^{ij} D_i D_j \varphi_k + v^{-2} \text{Ric}_M(D\varphi, e_k) + \alpha^i D_i \varphi_k\} \quad (4.30)$$

In addition to this, we once again differentiate (3.6) with respect to t (see proof of Lemma 3.13) to achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\varphi} + F^{-2} \{-\alpha^{ij} \dot{\varphi}_{ij} + \alpha^i \dot{\varphi}_i\} &= 0 \\ \dot{\varphi}_1 + F^{-2} \{-\alpha^{ij} D_i D_j \varphi_1 + v^{-2} \text{Ric}_M(D\varphi, e_1) + \alpha^i D_i \varphi_1\} &= 0 \\ \vdots & \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ \dot{\varphi}_n + F^{-2} \{-\alpha^{ij} D_i D_j \varphi_n + v^{-2} \text{Ric}_M(D\varphi, e_n) + \alpha^i D_i \varphi_n\} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

which is a system of $(n+1)$ linear parabolic equations of second order for the first derivatives of φ on the interval $[\delta, T^*]$. We may now apply [14, Theorem 5.1], which delivers uniform bounds on $|\varphi_k|_{2+\alpha, Q_{\delta, T^*}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Using an induction argument achieves the result. \square

Lemma 4.8. $|D^2\varphi|$ decays exponentially fast to 0.

Proof. An interpolation inequality due to Hamilton [9, Cor. 12.7] reads

$$\int |D^2\varphi|^2 d\mu \leq C \left\{ \int |D^3\varphi|^2 d\mu \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \left\{ \int |D\varphi|^2 d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and in light of (4.28) and the compactness of M^n we infer

$$\int |D^2\varphi|^2 d\mu \leq C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

\square

Remark 4.9. This result implies

$$|D^2\tilde{u}| \leq C e^{-\beta t}, \quad (4.32)$$

for a constant $\beta > 0$ as one can easily see, using the fact that

$$D^2\varphi = u^{-1} D^2 u - u^{-2} Du \otimes Du,$$

together with our known estimates, provides

$$|D^2\tilde{u}| \leq c u^{-1} |D^2 u| = c (|D^2\varphi| + |D\varphi|^2) \leq C e^{-\beta t}.$$

Corollary 4.10. *The non-linear parabolic equation (3.6) has a solution for all times $t > 0$.*

Proof. Arguing as in [8, Lemma 2.6.1], we assume $T^* < \infty$ is the maximal time of existence. Let $m \geq 0$. With the uniform bounds we have obtained for $|\varphi|_{2+m+\alpha, Q_\delta, T^*}$, by applying Arzela-Ascoli, we see that there exists a sequence $t_j \rightarrow T^*$ for which

$$\varphi(t_j, \cdot) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\varphi}, \quad (4.33)$$

in $C^{2+m, \beta}(M^n)$, for $0 < \beta < \alpha$, with $\bar{\varphi} \in C^{2+m, \alpha}(M^n)$. Then choosing $\bar{\varphi}$ as initial value in Theorem 3.11, for which the flow exists at least up to time $\epsilon_1 > 0$, we deduce that there exists an open set $U(\bar{\varphi}) \subset C^{2+m, \beta}(M^n)$, for whose members the flow also exists up to time ϵ_1 . Now choosing j_0 so large that $\varphi(t_{j_0}, \cdot) \in U(\bar{\varphi}) \cap C^{2+m, \alpha}(M^n)$ and that $t_{j_0} + \epsilon_1 > T^*$, we extend the maximal time of existence by once again applying the existence Theorem 3.11, this time with initial data $\varphi(t_{j_0}, \cdot)$, thus contradicting the maximality of T^* . Therefore, a solution exists for all $t > 0$. \square

4.4 asymptotics

From (4.32), since (3.15) shows that \tilde{u} stays inside a compact set during evolution and bearing Lemma 3.13 in mind, we conclude that \tilde{u} is asymptotically constant, we shall call this constant r_∞ . To achieve a value for r_∞ we will need to make some observations.

Lemma 4.11. *The rescaled quantities satisfy*

$$(i) \quad \tilde{g}_{ij} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} r_\infty^2 \sigma_{ij} \quad (4.34)$$

$$(ii) \quad \tilde{h}_j^i \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} r_\infty^{-1} \delta_j^i \quad (4.35)$$

$$(iii) \quad \dot{\tilde{g}}_{ij} = 2\tilde{H}^{-1} \tilde{h}_{ij} - 2n^{-1} \tilde{g}_{ij} \quad (4.36)$$

$$(iv) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (d\tilde{\mu}) = 0 \quad (4.37)$$

Proof. Using the already procured estimates we conclude for (i) and (ii)

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = e^{-2t/n} g_{ij} = \tilde{u}^2 (\sigma_{ij} + \varphi_i \varphi_j) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} r_\infty^2 \sigma_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{h}_j^i = [\tilde{u}v]^{-1} (\delta_j^i - \sigma^{ik} \varphi_{kj} + \varphi^i \varphi^k \varphi_{kj} v^{-2}) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} r_\infty^{-1} \delta_j^i.$$

(iii) can be obtained from the evolution equation (3.32) and the product rule and (iv) is simply a consequence of (iii) and the evolution equation (3.34) (notice how contracting (4.36) with \tilde{g}^{ij} gives 0). \square

What Lemma 4.11(iii) alludes to is that during the evolution process of the surfaces, the volume stays constant. Hence, r_∞ depends only on the initial embedding u_0 .

Corollary 4.12.

$$r_\infty = \left[\frac{|M_0|}{|M^n|} \right]^{1/n}. \quad (4.38)$$

Proof. Equation (2.12) implies that the volume element on the level sets of the radial function r in N^{n+1} and the volume element on M^n , denoted $d\mu^\alpha$ and $d\mu^\sigma$ respectively, are related by

$$d\mu^\alpha = r^n d\mu^\sigma,$$

since, in local coordinates

$$\sqrt{\det\{\alpha_{ij}\}} = r^n \sqrt{\det\{\sigma_{ij}\}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|M_0| = r_\infty^n |M^n|.$$

□

A Bibliography

- [1] L. Cafferelli, L. Nirenberg & J. Spruck *The Dirichlet problem for non-linear second order elliptic equations, III; Functions of the eigenvalue of the Hessian*, Acta Math. **155** (1985) 261-301
- [2] M. do Carmo, *Riemannian geometry. Translated from Portugese by Francis Flaherty*, Birkhäuser Boston 1992
- [3] M. do Carmo, *Differential Forms and Applications*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1994
- [4] J. Clutterbuck, *Parabolic equations with continuous initial data*, [arXiv:math/0504455v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0504455v1) [math.AP], 2004
- [5] Qi Ding, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*, Chin. Ann. Math. **32B**(1) (2011) 27-44
- [6] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate studies in mathematics, Volume 19, American Mathematical Society, 1998
- [7] C. Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geometry **32** (1990) 299 - 314
- [8] C. Gerhardt, *Curvature Problems*, Series in Geometry and Topology **39**, International Press 2006
- [9] R. Hamilton, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17**, Number 2 (1982), 255-306
- [10] G. Huisken *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geometry **20** (1984) 237 - 266
- [11] G. Huisken & A. Polden, *Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces*, Calc. of Var. and Geom. Ev. Problems, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1713** (1999) 45-84
- [12] J. Jost, *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002
- [13] N.V. Krylov, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987
- [14] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov & N. N. Ura'ceva *Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type. Translated from the Russian by S.Smith*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs. **23** American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1968
- [15] G.M. Lieberman, *Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations*, World Scientific, 1996

A Bibliography

- [16] T. Marquardt, *The inverse mean curvature flow for hypersurfaces with boundary*, Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin 2012
- [17] T. Mullins, *On minimal submanifolds*, Freie Universität Berlin 2012
- [18] P. Petersen, *Warped products*, Petersen's UCLA webpage, <http://www.math.ucla.edu/~petersen/warpedproducts.pdf>
- [19] R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, Jonathan Cape, London, 2004
- [20] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968) 62 - 105
- [21] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Volume Three*, Publish or Perish Inc., 1990
- [22] J.I.E. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Zeitschrift **205** (1990) 355-372
- [23] R.M. Wald, *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984

T. Mullins, R3S, FRAUNHOFER IZM, GUSTAV-MEYER-ALLEE 25, 13355 BERLIN, GERMANY
thomas.mullins@tu-berlin.de