

# Existence of Traveling wave solutions of parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis systems

Rachidi B. Salako and Wenxian Shen  
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
 Auburn University  
 Auburn University, AL 36849  
 U.S.A.

## Abstract

The current paper is devoted to the study of traveling wave solutions of the following parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v) + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $u(x, t)$  represents the population density of a mobile species and  $v(x, t)$  represents the population density of a chemoattractant, and  $\chi$  represents the chemotaxis sensitivity.

In an earlier work ([32]) by the authors of the current paper, traveling wave solutions of the above chemotaxis system with  $\tau = 0$  are studied. It is shown in [32] that for every  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$ , there is  $c^*(\chi)$  such that for every  $c > c^*(\chi)$  and  $\xi \in S^{N-1}$ , the system has a traveling wave solution  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct; \tau), V(x \cdot \xi - ct; \tau))$  with speed  $c$  connecting the constant solutions  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} c^*(\chi) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if } 0 < a \leq 1 \\ 1 + a & \text{if } a > 1. \end{cases}$$

We prove in the current paper that for every  $\tau > 0$ , there is  $0 < \chi_\tau^* < \frac{b}{2}$  such that for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , there exist two positive numbers  $c^{**}(\chi, \tau) > c^*(\chi, \tau) \geq 2\sqrt{a}$  satisfying that for every  $c \in (c^*(\chi, \tau), c^{**}(\chi, \tau))$  and  $\xi \in S^{N-1}$ , the system has a traveling wave solution  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct; \tau), V(x \cdot \xi - ct; \tau))$  with speed  $c$  connecting the constant solutions  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ , and it does not have such traveling wave solutions of speed less than  $2\sqrt{a}$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} c^{**}(\chi, \tau) = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} c^*(\chi, \tau) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if } 0 < a \leq \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+} \\ \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+} + \frac{a(1-\tau)_+}{1+\tau a} & \text{if } a \geq \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x; \tau)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1,$$

where  $\mu$  is the only solution of the equation  $\mu + \frac{a}{\mu} = c$  in the interval  $(0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\})$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \chi_\tau^* = \frac{b}{2}, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} c^*(\chi; \tau) = c^*(\chi), \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} c^{**}(\chi; \tau) = \infty.$$

**Key words.** parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system, logistic source, spreading speed, traveling wave solution.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 35B35, 35B40, 35K57, 35Q92, 92C17.

## 1 Introduction

At the beginning of 1970s, Keller and Segel (see [20], [21]) introduced systems of partial differential equations of the following form to model the time evolution of the density  $u(x, t)$  of a mobile species and the density  $v(x, t)$  of a chemoattractant,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (m(u)\nabla u - \chi(u, v)\nabla v) + f(u, v), & x \in \Omega \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v + g(u, v), & x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

complemented with certain boundary condition on  $\partial\Omega$  if  $\Omega$  is bounded, where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is an open domain;  $\tau \geq 0$  is a non-negative constant linked to the speed of diffusion of the chemical; the function  $\chi(u, v)$  represents the sensitivity with respect to chemotaxis; and the functions  $f$  and  $g$  model the growth of the mobile species and the chemoattractant, respectively. In literature, (1.1) is called the Keller-Segel model or a chemotaxis model.

Since the works by Keller and Segel, a rich variety of mathematical models for studying chemotaxis has appeared (see [3], [9], [10], [16], [19], [29], [37], [38], [39], [41], [45], [46], [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], and the references therein). In the current paper and the further coming papers, we will investigate various dynamical aspects of the following parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel systems,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u - \chi \nabla(u \nabla v) + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \tau v_t = \Delta v - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Note that, in the absence of the chemotaxis (i.e.  $\chi = 0$ ), the first equation of (1.2) becomes

$$u_t = \Delta u + u(a - bu), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.3)$$

which is referred to as Fisher or KPP equation due to the pioneering works by Fisher ([11]) and Kolmogorov, Petrowsky, Piscunov ([22]). Among important solutions of (1.3) are traveling wave solutions of (1.3) connecting the constant solutions  $a/b$  and 0. It is well known that (1.3) has traveling wave solutions  $u(t, x) = \phi(x - ct)$  connecting  $\frac{a}{b}$  and 0 (i.e.  $\phi(-\infty) = a/b$ ,  $\phi(\infty) = 0$ ) for all speeds  $c \geq 2\sqrt{a}$  and has no such traveling wave solutions of slower speed (see [11, 22, 43]). Moreover, the stability of traveling wave solutions of (1.3) connecting  $\frac{a}{b}$  and 0 has also been studied (see [8], [34], [40], etc.). The above mentioned results for (1.3) have also been well extended to reaction diffusion equations of the form,

$$u_t = \Delta u + uf(t, x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $f(t, x, u) < 0$  for  $u \gg 1$ ,  $\partial_u f(t, x, u) < 0$  for  $u \geq 0$  (see [4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31, 35, 36, 43, 44, 52], etc.).

Similar to (1.3), traveling wave solutions connecting the constant solutions  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  are among most important solutions of (1.2). However, such solutions have been hardly studied. The objective of the current paper is to study the existence of traveling wave solutions

connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . A nonnegative solution  $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$  of (1.2) is called a *traveling wave solution* connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  and propagating in the direction  $\xi \in S^{N-1}$  with speed  $c$  if it is of the form  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V(x \cdot \xi - ct))$  with  $\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} (U(z), V(z)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (U(z), V(z)) = (0, 0)$ .

Observe that, if  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V(x \cdot \xi - ct))$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ) is a traveling wave solution of (1.2) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  and propagating in the direction  $\xi \in S^{N-1}$ , then  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is a traveling wave solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} - \chi(uv_x)_x + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \tau v_t = v_{xx} - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . Conversely, if  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ) is a traveling wave solution of (1.5) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ , then  $(u, v) = (U(x \cdot \xi - ct), V(x \cdot \xi - ct))$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ) is a traveling wave solution of (1.2) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  and propagating in the direction  $\xi \in S^{N-1}$ . In the following, we will then study the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.5) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ .

Observe also that,  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  is a traveling wave solution of (1.5) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  if and only if  $(u, v) = (U(x), V(x))$  is a stationary solution of the following parabolic-parabolic chemotaxis system,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + cu_x - \chi(uv_x)_x + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \tau v_t = v_{xx} + \tau cv_x - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

and  $(u, v) = (U(x), V(x))$  is also a stationary solution of the following parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system,

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + cu_x - \chi(uv_x)_x + u(a - bu), & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = v_{xx} + \tau cv_x - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Clearly, (1.6) and (1.7) have same stationary solutions. In this paper, to study the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.5), we study the existence of constant  $c$ 's so that (1.7) has a stationary solution  $(U(x), V(x))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ .

To this end, we first prove the following two theorems on the global existence of classical solutions of (1.7) and the stability of constant solution  $(a/b, a/b)$ , which are of independent interest. Let

$$C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}) \mid u(x) \text{ is uniformly continuous in } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(x)| < \infty\}$$

equipped with the norm  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(x)|$ . For any  $0 \leq \nu < 1$ , let

$$C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \nu} = \{u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b \mid \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\nu} < \infty\}$$

with norm  $\|u\|_{C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \nu}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(x)| + \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\nu}$ .

Note that, for fixed  $c$ , it can be proved by the similar arguments as those in [33] that for any  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $u_0 \geq 0$ , there is  $T_{\max}(u_0) \in (0, \infty]$  such that (1.7) has a unique classical solution  $(u(x, t; u_0), v(x, t; u_0))$  on  $[0, T_{\max}(u_0))$  with  $u(x, 0; u_0) = u_0(x)$ . Furthermore, if  $T_{\max}(u_0) < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{\max}(u_0)^-} \|u(\cdot, t; u_0)\|_\infty = \infty$ .

**Theorem A.** Assume that  $0 \leq \frac{\chi\tau c}{2} < b - \chi$ . Then for any  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $0 \leq u_0$ ,  $T_{\max}(u_0) = \infty$ . Moreover, the solution  $(u(\cdot, \cdot; u_0), v(\cdot, \cdot; v_0))$  satisfies that

$$\|u(\cdot, t; u_0)\|_{\infty} \leq \max\{\|u_0\|_{\infty}, \frac{a}{b - \chi - \frac{\chi c \tau}{2}}\} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\|v(\cdot, t; u_0)\|_{\infty} \leq \max\{\|u_0\|_{\infty}, \frac{a}{b - \chi - \frac{\chi c \tau}{2}}\} \quad (1.9)$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem B.** Assume that  $0 \leq \chi\tau c < b - 2\chi$ . Then for any  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \|u(\cdot, t; u_0) - \frac{a}{b}\|_{\infty} + \|v(\cdot, t; u_0) - \frac{a}{b}\|_{\infty} \right] = 0.$$

**Remark 1.** Theorem A in the case  $c = 0$  recovers [33, Theorem 1.5] in the case  $b > \chi$  and Theorem B in the case  $c = 0$  recovers [33, Theorem 1.8].

Next, we consider the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions of (1.5). Note that, when  $\tau = 0$ , the following result is proved in [32].

- For any given  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$ , let  $\mu^*(\chi)$  be defined by

$$\mu^*(\chi) = \sup\left\{\mu \mid 0 < \mu < \min\{1, \sqrt{a}\}, \frac{\mu(\mu + \sqrt{1 - \mu^2})}{1 - \mu^2} \leq \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}\right\}.$$

Let

$$c^*(\chi) = \mu^*(\chi) + \frac{a}{\mu^*(\chi)}.$$

Then for any  $c > c^*(\chi)$ , (1.5) with  $\tau = 0$  has a traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct; \tau), V(x - ct; \tau))$  with speed  $c$  connecting the constant solutions  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0^+} c^*(\chi) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if } 0 < a \leq 1 \\ 1 + a & \text{if } a > 1. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we prove the following theorems on the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions of (1.5) with  $\tau > 0$ .

**Theorem C.** For every  $\tau > 0$ , there is  $0 < \chi_{\tau}^* < \frac{b}{2}$  such that for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_{\tau}^*$ , there exist two positive numbers  $0 < c^*(\chi, \tau) < c^{**}(\chi, \tau)$  satisfying that for every  $c \in (c^*(\chi, \tau), c^{**}(\chi, \tau))$ , (1.5) has a traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct; \tau), V(x - ct; \tau))$  with speed  $c$  connecting the constant solutions  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0^+} c^{**}(\chi, \tau) = \infty,$$

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0^+} c^*(\chi, \tau) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if } 0 < a \leq \frac{1 + \tau a}{(1 - \tau)_+} \\ \frac{1 + \tau a}{(1 - \tau)_+} + \frac{a(1 - \tau)_+}{1 + \tau a} & \text{if } a \geq \frac{1 + \tau a}{(1 - \tau)_+}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x; \tau)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1,$$

where  $\mu$  is the only solution of the equation  $\mu + \frac{a}{\mu} = c$  in the interval  $(0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\})$ . Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \chi_\tau^* = \frac{b}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} c^*(\chi, \tau) = c^*(\chi), \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} c^{**}(\chi, \tau) = \infty$$

for every  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$ .

**Theorem D.** For any given  $\tau \geq 0$  and  $\chi \geq 0$ , (1.5) has no traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct; \tau), V(x - ct; \tau))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$ ,  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ , and  $c < 2\sqrt{a}$ .

**Remark 2.**

(i) By Theorem C, when  $0 < a \leq \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}$ , then, as  $\chi \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $c^*(\chi; \tau)$  converges to the minimal speed  $2\sqrt{a}$  of (1.3). Moreover, if  $0 < a < \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}$  and

$$0 < \chi < \frac{b}{1 + \max\{1 + 2\tau\sqrt{a}, \frac{\sqrt{a}(1+2\tau)}{\sqrt{1+2\tau a - a}} + \frac{a(1+2\tau)}{1+2\tau z - a}\}},$$

then  $c^*(\chi; \tau) = 2\sqrt{a}$  (see Remark 4.7).

(ii) For given  $\tau > 0$  and  $\chi \geq 0$ , let  $(c_{\min}^*(\chi, \tau), c_{\max}^*(\chi, \tau))$  be the largest subinterval of  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $(c^*(\chi, \tau), c^{**}(\chi, \tau)) \subset (c_{\min}^*(\chi, \tau), c_{\max}^*(\chi, \tau))$  and for any  $c \in (c_{\min}^*(\chi, \tau), c_{\max}^*(\chi, \tau))$ , (1.5) has a traveling wave solution connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  with speed  $c$ . By Theorems C and D, for  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ ,

$$2\sqrt{a} \leq c_{\min}^*(\chi, \tau) \leq c^*(\chi, \tau) < c^{**}(\chi, \tau) \leq c_{\max}^*(\chi, \tau).$$

It remains also open whether  $c_{\min}^*(\chi, \tau) = 2\sqrt{a}$  and whether  $c_{\max}^*(\chi, \tau) = \infty$ . The first question is about whether the chemotaxis increases the minimal wave speed and the second question is about whether the chemotaxis prevents the existence of traveling wave solutions with large speeds. It is of great theoretical and biological interests to investigate these two questions.

Because of the lack of comparison principle, the proofs of Theorems C is highly non trivial. Note that very few results are known about the dynamics of solutions of (1.2) when  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $\tau > 0$  for initial data which are bounded and uniformly continuous. To our best knowledge, there is no existing results on the stability of the trivial solution  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  of (1.2) when  $\tau > 0$ . This makes the study of traveling wave solutions of (1.2) more complicated.

Our first key step toward the proof Theorem C is the relationship between traveling wave solutions of (1.5) and stationary solutions of (1.7). We have that  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  is traveling wave solution of (1.5) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$  if and only if  $(u, v) = (U(x), V(x))$  is a stationary solution of (1.7) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . This observation

leads to the study of the dynamics of solutions to (1.7). Concerning (1.7), we first establish Theorem A, which provides, for given  $c$ , sufficient conditions for the existence global classical solutions. Next, we establish Theorem B, which provides, for given  $c$ , sufficient conditions for the stability of the constant solution  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  with respect to positive perturbations for (1.7).

The proof of Theorem C involves the proof of the existence of  $c$  such that (1.7) has stationary solutions connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . The proof of which is based on the construction of a bounded convex non-empty subset of  $C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ , called  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \tau}(C_0)$  (see (3.6)), and a continuous and compact function  $U : \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \tau}(C_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ . Any fixed point of this function, whose existence is guaranteed by the Schauder's fixed theorem, becomes a stationary solution of (1.7) connecting  $(a/b, a/b)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . The construction of the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  itself is also based on the construction of two special functions. These two special functions are sub-solution and sup-solution of a collection of parabolic equations. The proof of Theorem D utilizes some principal eigenvalue theory for elliptic equations.

In our future works, we plan to study local/global existence of classical solutions, asymptotic behaviors, and spatial spreading speeds of classical solutions of (1.2) with  $\tau > 0$  for nonnegative, bounded and uniformly continuous initial. These questions are very important in the understanding of dynamics of (1.2). When  $\tau = 0$ , we refer the reader to [33] and the references therein.

It should be mentioned that there are several studies of (1.2) on bounded domains (see [48] and the references therein). When  $\Omega$  is a convex bounded domain, it is known that when the logistic damping coefficient is large enough, then the constant solution  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  is stable (see [48]). It should be also pointed out that there are many studies on traveling wave solutions of several other types of chemotaxis models, see, for example, [1, 2, 15, 18, 23, 26, 28, 42], etc. In particular, the reader is referred to the review paper [42].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is to study the dynamics of the induced parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system (1.7) and prove Theorems A and B. Section 3 is to establish the tools that will be needed in the proof of Theorem C. It is here that we define the two special functions, which are sub-solution and sup-solution of a collection of parabolic equations, and the non-empty bounded and convex subset  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ . In section 4, we study the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions and prove Theorems C and D.

## 2 Dynamics of the induced parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system

In this section, we study the global existence of classical solutions of (1.7) with given nonnegative initial functions and the stability of the constant solution  $(a/b, a/b)$  of (1.7), and prove Theorems A and B.

For fixed  $c$ , it can be proved by the similar arguments as those in [33] that for any  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $u_0 \geq 0$ , there is  $T_{\max}(u_0) \in (0, \infty]$  such that (1.7) has a unique classical solution  $(u(x, t; u_0), v(x, t; u_0))$  on  $[0, T_{\max}(u_0))$  with  $u(x, 0; u_0) = u_0(x)$ .

Observe that, (1.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x)u_x + u(a - \chi(v - \tau cv_x) - (b - \chi)u), & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 = v_{xx} + \tau cv_x - v + u, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof of Theorem A.* For given  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T > 0$ , choose  $C_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq u_0 \leq C_0$

and  $C_0 \geq \frac{a}{b-\chi-\frac{\chi\tau c}{2}}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{E}(u_0, T) := \{u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]) \mid u(x, 0) = u_0(x), 0 \leq u(x, t) \leq C_0\}.$$

For given  $u \in \mathcal{E}(u_0, T)$ , let  $v(x, t; u)$  be the solution of the second equation in (2.1). Then for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}(u_0, T)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ , we have that

$$v(x, t; u) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} u(z + \tau cs, t) dz ds, \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_x(x, t; u_0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z-x)e^{-s}}{2s\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} u(x + \tau cs, t) dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{ze^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-z^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t) dz ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{ze^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-z^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t) dz ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{ze^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-z^2} u(x - 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t) dz ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Using the fact that  $\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} ds = \sqrt{\pi}$  and  $\int_0^\infty ze^{-z^2} dz = \frac{1}{2}$  it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$|v(x, t; u)| \leq C_0, \quad |v_x(x, t; u)| \leq \frac{C_0}{2} \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

For given  $u \in \mathcal{E}(u_0, T)$ , let  $\tilde{U}(x, t; u)$  be the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{U}_t(x, t) = \tilde{U}_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x(x, t; u))\tilde{U}_x \\ \quad + \tilde{U}((a - \chi(v(x, t; u) - \tau cv_x(x, t; u))) - (b - \chi)\tilde{U}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \tilde{U}(x, 0; u) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $u_0 \geq 0$ , comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that  $\tilde{U}(x, t) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T]$ . Using the fact that  $v(\cdot, \cdot, u) \geq 0$ , it follows from (2.4) that

$$\tilde{U}_t(x, t) \leq \tilde{U}_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x(x, t; u))\tilde{U}_x + \tilde{U}((a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2}) - (b - \chi)\tilde{U}).$$

Hence, comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$\tilde{U}(x, t, u) \leq \tilde{u}(t, \|u_0\|_\infty), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is the solution of the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t = \tilde{u}(a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2} - (b - \chi)\tilde{u}) & t > 0 \\ \tilde{u}(0) = \|u_0\|_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Since  $b - \chi > 0$ , the function  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, \|u_0\|_\infty)$  is defined for all time and satisfies  $0 \leq \tilde{u}(t, \|u_0\|_\infty) \leq \max\{\|u_0\|_\infty, \frac{a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2}}{b - \chi}\}$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . This combined with (2.6) yield that

$$0 \leq \tilde{U}(x, t; u) \leq \max\{\|u_0\|_\infty, \frac{a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2}}{b - \chi}\} \leq C_0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that the second inequality in (2.7) follows from the fact  $\frac{a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2}}{b - \chi} \leq C_0$  whenever  $C_0 \geq \frac{a}{b - \chi - \frac{\chi\tau c}{2}}$ . It then follows that  $\tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}(u_0, T)$ .

Following the proof of Lemma 4.3 in [32], we can prove that the mapping  $\mathcal{E}(u_0, T) \ni u \mapsto \tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}(u_0, T)$  has a fixed point  $\tilde{U}(x, t; u) = u(x, t)$ . Note that if  $\tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot; u) = u$ , then  $(u(\cdot, \cdot), v(\cdot, \cdot; u))$  is a solution of (1.7). Since  $u(\cdot, \cdot; u_0)$  is the only solution of (1.7), thus  $u(\cdot, \cdot; u_0) = u(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Hence, it follows from (2.7) that for any  $T > 0$ ,

$$0 \leq u(x, t; u_0) \leq \max\{\|u_0\|, \frac{a + \frac{\chi\tau c C_0}{2}}{b - \chi}\} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.8)$$

This implies that  $T_{\max}(u_0) = \infty$ . Inequalities (1.8) and (1.9) follow from (2.8) with  $C_0 = \max\{\|u_0\|_\infty, \frac{a}{b - \chi - \frac{\chi\tau c}{2}}\}$ .  $\square$

In the next result, we prove the stability of the positive constant solution  $(a/b, a/b)$  of (1.7).

*Proof of Theorem B.* Let  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u_0(x) > 0$  be given. By Theorem A,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0} u(x, t; u_0) < \infty$ . Hence

$$M := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0} (v(x, t, u_0) + |v_x(x, t; u_0)|) < \infty.$$

Thus, we have that

$$u_t \geq u_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x)u_x + (a - \chi(1 + \tau c)M - (b - \chi)u), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

Therefore, comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$u(x, t; u_0) \geq U^l(t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \geq 0,$$

where  $U^l(t)$  is the solution of the ODE

$$\begin{cases} U_t = U(a - \chi(1 + \tau c)M - (b - \chi)U) \\ U(0) = \inf_x u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\inf_x u_0(x) > 0$ , we have that  $U^l(t) > 0$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . Thus

$$0 < U^l(t) \leq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, t; u_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Next, let us define

$$\bar{u} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, t; u_0), \quad \underline{u} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, t; u_0).$$

Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $T_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\underline{u} - \epsilon < u(x, t; u_0) \leq \bar{u} + \epsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  we have that

$$v(x, t; u_0) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} u(z + \tau cs, t; u_0) dz ds. \quad (2.11)$$



Thus it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\underline{u} - \varepsilon \leq v(x, t, u_0) \leq \bar{u} + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq T_\varepsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

Using again (2.11), for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $t > 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v_x(x, t; u_0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-s} e^{-z^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t; u_0) dz ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{z}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-s} e^{-z^2} u(x - 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t; u_0) dz ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{z}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-s} e^{-z^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau cs, t; u_0) dz ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.13), for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq T_\varepsilon$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} v_x(x, t, u_0) &\geq -\frac{(\bar{u} + \varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \int_0^\infty z e^{-z^2} dz \right] ds + \\ &\quad + \frac{(\underline{u} - \varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \int_0^\infty z e^{-z^2} dz \right] ds \\ &= -\frac{(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon)}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Similarly, combining (2.10) and (2.13), for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq T_\varepsilon$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} v_x(x, t, u_0) &\leq -\frac{(\underline{u} - \varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \int_0^\infty z e^{-z^2} dz \right] ds + \\ &\quad + \frac{(\bar{u} + \varepsilon)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \int_0^\infty z e^{-z^2} dz \right] ds \\ &= \frac{(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon)}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, using inequalities (2.12) and (2.15), we have that

$$u_t \leq u_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x)u_x + (a - \chi(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon) - (b - \chi)u), \quad t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

Thus, comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$u(x, t; u_0) \leq \bar{U}^\varepsilon(t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \geq T_\varepsilon, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $\bar{U}^\varepsilon(t)$  is the solution of the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \bar{U}_t = \bar{U} \left( (a - \chi(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon))_+ - (b - \chi)\bar{U} \right) & t > T_\varepsilon \\ \bar{U}(T_\varepsilon) = \|u(\cdot, T_\varepsilon; u_0)\|_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\|u(\cdot, T_\varepsilon; u_0)\|_\infty > 0$  and  $b - \chi > 0$ , we have that  $\bar{U}^\varepsilon(t)$  is defined for all time and satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{U}^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{(a - \chi(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon))_+}{b - \chi}$ . Thus, it follows from (2.16) that

$$\bar{u} \leq \frac{(a - \chi(\underline{u} - \varepsilon) + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon))_+}{b - \chi}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0 in the last inequality, we obtain that

$$\bar{u} \leq \frac{(a - \chi \underline{u} + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u}))_+}{b - \chi}$$

But, note that  $(a - \chi \underline{u} + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u}))_+ = 0$  would implies that  $\underline{u} = \bar{u} = 0$ . Which in turn yields that

$$0 = (a - \chi \underline{u} + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u}))_+ = a.$$

This is impossible since  $a > 0$ . Hence  $(a - \chi \underline{u} + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u}))_+ > 0$ . Whence

$$\bar{u} \leq \frac{a - \chi \underline{u} + \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u})}{b - \chi}. \quad (2.17)$$

Next, using again inequalities (2.12) and (2.14), we have that

$$u_t \geq u_{xx} + (c - \chi v_x)u_x + (a - \chi(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) - \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon) - (b - \chi)u), \quad t \geq T_\varepsilon.$$

Thus, comparison principle for parabolic equations implies that

$$u(x, t; u_0) \geq \underline{U}^\varepsilon(t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \geq T_\varepsilon, \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\underline{U}^\varepsilon(t)$  is the solution of the ODE

$$\begin{cases} \underline{U}_t^\varepsilon = \underline{U}^\varepsilon(a - \chi(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) - \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon) - (b - \chi)\underline{U}^\varepsilon) & t > T_\varepsilon \\ \underline{U}^\varepsilon(T_\varepsilon) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, T_\varepsilon, u_0). \end{cases}$$

From (2.9) we know that  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} u(x, T_\varepsilon, u_0) > 0$ . Thus, using the fact  $b - \chi > 0$ , we have that  $\underline{U}^\varepsilon$  is defined for all time and satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \underline{U}^\varepsilon = \frac{(a - \chi(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) - \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon))_+}{b - \chi}$ . Thus, it follows from (2.18) that

$$\underline{u} \geq \frac{(a - \chi(\bar{u} + \varepsilon) - \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u} + 2\varepsilon))_+}{b - \chi}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0 in the last inequality, we obtain that

$$\underline{u} \geq \frac{(a - \chi \bar{u} - \frac{\chi \tau c}{2}(\bar{u} - \underline{u}))_+}{b - \chi}. \quad (2.19)$$

It follows from inequality (2.17) and (2.19) that

$$(b - \chi)(\bar{u} - \underline{u}) \leq \chi(1 + \tau c)(\bar{u} - \underline{u}).$$

Which is equivalent to

$$(b - \chi - \chi(1 + \tau c))(\bar{u} - \underline{u}) \leq 0.$$

Since  $b - \chi - \chi(1 + \tau c) > 0$ , then  $\bar{u} = \underline{u}$ . Thus it follows from (2.17) and (2.19) that  $\bar{u} \leq \frac{a}{b}$  and  $\bar{u} \geq \frac{a}{b}$  respectively. That is  $\bar{u} = \underline{u} = \frac{a}{b}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Super- and sub-solutions

In this section, we will construct super- and sub-solutions of some related equations of (1.7), which will be used to prove the existence of traveling wave solutions of (1.7) in next section. Throughout this section we suppose that  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  are given positive real numbers.

Note that, for given  $c$ , to show the existence of a traveling wave solution of (1.5) connecting  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$  with speed  $c$  is then equivalent to show the existence of a stationary solution of (1.7) connecting  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ .

For every  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \mu \leq \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ , define

$$c_\mu = \mu + \frac{a}{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) = e^{-\mu x} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that for every fixed  $\tau > 0$  and  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ ,  $1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2 > 0$  and the function  $\varphi_{\tau, \mu}$  is decreasing, infinitely many differentiable, and satisfies

$$\varphi_{\tau, \mu}''(x) + c_\mu \varphi_{\tau, \mu}'(x) + a\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}''(x) + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}'(x) - \frac{1}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) = -\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

For every  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ , define

$$U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x) = \min\{C_0, \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)\} = \begin{cases} C_0 & \text{if } x \leq \frac{-\ln(C_0)}{\mu} \\ e^{-\mu x} & \text{if } x \geq \frac{-\ln(C_0)}{\mu}. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x) = \min\{C_0, \frac{1}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\varphi_{\tau, \mu}$  is decreasing, then the functions  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$  and  $V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$  are both non-increasing. Furthermore, the functions  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$  and  $V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$  belong to  $C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \delta}(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ , and  $C_0 > 0$ .

Let  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ , and  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  be fixed. Next, let  $\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\{2\mu, \sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $d > \max\{1, C_0^{\frac{\mu - \tilde{\mu}}{\mu}}\}$ . The function  $\varphi_{\tau, \mu} - d\varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}$  achieved its maximum value at  $\bar{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} := \frac{\ln(d\tilde{\mu}) - \ln(\mu)}{\tilde{\mu} - \mu}$  and takes the value zero at  $\underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} := \frac{\ln(d)}{\tilde{\mu} - \mu}$ . Define

$$U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x) := \max\{0, \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) - d\varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x)\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} \\ \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) - d\varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x) & \text{if } x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

From the choice of  $d$ , it follows that  $0 \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+ \leq C_0$  and  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \in C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \delta}(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Finally, let us consider the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0) = \{u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R}) \mid U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \leq u \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+\}. \quad (3.6)$$

It should be noted that  $U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  all depend on  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $d$ . Later on, we shall provide more information on how to choose  $d$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  whenever  $\tau$ ,  $\mu$  and  $C_0$  are given.

For every  $u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ , consider

$$U_t = U_{xx} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(x; u))U_x + (a - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(x; u)) - (b - \chi)U)U, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$V(x; u) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} u(z + \tau c_\mu s) dz ds. \quad (3.8)$$

It is well known that the function  $V(x; u)$  is the solution of the second equation of (1.7) in  $C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  with given  $u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ .

For given open intervals  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $U(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{2,1}(D \times I, \mathbb{R})$  is called a *super-solution* or *sub-solution* of (3.7) on  $D \times I$  if

$$U_t \geq U_{xx} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(x; u))U_x + (a - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(x; u)) - (b - \chi)U)U \quad \text{for } x \in D, \quad t \in I$$

or

$$U_t \leq U_{xx} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(x; u))U_x + (a - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(x; u)) - (b - \chi)U)U \quad \text{for } x \in D, \quad t \in I.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy*

$$1 + \tau c_\mu < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \leq \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then the following hold :

- (1) *There is a positive real number  $\tilde{C}_0 > 0$ ,  $\tilde{C}_0 = \tilde{C}_0(\tau, \mu, \chi)$ , such for every  $C_0 \geq \tilde{C}_0$ , and for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$ , we have that  $U(x, t) = C_0$  is super-solutions of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (2) *For every  $C_0 > 0$  and for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$ ,  $U(x, t) = \varphi_{\tau,\mu}(x)$  is a super-solutions of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (3) *For every  $C_0 > 0$ , there is  $d_0 > \max\{1, C_0^{\frac{\mu - \tilde{\mu}}{\mu}}\}$ ,  $d_0 = d_0(\tau, \mu, \chi)$ , such that for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$ , we have that  $U(x, t) = U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-(x)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  for all  $d \geq d_0$  and  $\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}, 2\mu, \mu + \frac{1}{\mu + \sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}}\}$ .*
- (4) *Let  $\tilde{C}_0$  be given by (1), then for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(\tilde{C}_0)$ ,  $U(x, t) = U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  for  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ , where  $x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} + \delta$ .*

To prove Theorem 3.1, we first establish some estimates on  $V(\cdot; u)$  and  $V'(\cdot; u)$ .

It follows from (3.8), that

$$\max\{\|V(\cdot; u)\|_\infty, \|V'(\cdot; u)\|_\infty\} \leq \|u\|_\infty \quad \forall u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.10)$$

Furthermore, let

$$C_{\text{unif}}^{2,b}(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R}) \mid u'(\cdot), u''(\cdot) \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

For every  $u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , we have that  $V(\cdot; u) \in C_{\text{unif}}^{2,b}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $V(\cdot; u) \geq 0$  and

$$\|V''(\cdot; u)\|_{\infty} = \|V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_{\mu} V'(\cdot; u) - u\|_{\infty} \leq \max\{\|V(\cdot; u)\|_{\infty}, \|\tau c_{\mu} V'(\cdot; u) + u\|_{\infty}\}.$$

Combining this with inequality (3.10), we obtain that

$$\max\{\|V(\cdot; u)\|_{\infty}, \|V'(\cdot; u)\|_{\infty}, \|V''(\cdot; u)\|_{\infty}\} \leq (\tau c_{\mu} + 1)\|u\|_{\infty} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0). \quad (3.11)$$

The next Lemma provide a pointwise estimate for  $|V(\cdot; u)|$  whenever  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For every  $C_0 > 0$ ,  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ , let  $V(\cdot; u)$  be defined as in (3.8), then*

$$0 \leq V(\cdot; u) \leq V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot). \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* For every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ , since  $0 \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \leq u \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$  then

$$0 \leq V(\cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-) \leq V(\cdot; u) \leq V(\cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+).$$

Hence it is enough to prove that  $V(\cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot)$ . For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(z + \tau c_{\mu} s)}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} dz \right) ds &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-z^2} e^{-\mu(x-2\sqrt{s}z+\tau c_{\mu}s)} dz \right) ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-\mu x}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(1+\tau\mu c_{\mu})s} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|z-\mu\sqrt{s}|^2} e^{\mu^2 s} dz \right) ds \\ &= \frac{e^{-\mu x}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(1+\mu\tau c_{\mu}-\mu^2)s} \underbrace{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|z-\mu\sqrt{s}|^2} dz \right)}_{=\sqrt{\pi}} ds \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)}{1 + \tau\mu c_{\mu} - \mu^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(x; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) &= \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}} U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(z + \tau c_{\mu} s)}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} dz \right) ds \\ &\leq \min \left\{ C_0 \underbrace{\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} dz ds}_{=1}, \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-s} e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{4s}}}{\sqrt{4\pi s}} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(z + \tau c_{\mu} s) dz \right) ds \right\} \\ &= V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we present a pointwise estimate for  $|V'(\cdot; u)|$  whenever  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\tau > 0$ ,  $C_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  be fixed. Let  $u \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $V(\cdot; u) \in C_{\text{unif}}^{2,b}(\mathbb{R})$  be the corresponding function satisfying the second equation of (1.7). Then

$$|V'(x; u)| \leq \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \varphi_\mu(x) \quad (3.14)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  and fix any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |V'(x; u)| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|z|e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-z^2} u(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau c_\mu s) dz ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|z|e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-z^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x + 2\sqrt{s}z + \tau c_\mu s) dz ds \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|z|e^{-(1+\mu\tau c_\mu - \mu^2)s}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-(z+\mu\sqrt{s})^2} dz ds \\ &\leq \frac{\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-(1+\mu\tau c_\mu - \mu^2)s}}{\sqrt{s}} \right) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|z| + \mu\sqrt{s}) e^{-z^2} dz \right] ds \\ &= \frac{\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 + \mu\sqrt{\pi s}) e^{-(1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2)s}}{\sqrt{s}} ds \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The Lemma is thus proved.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* For every  $U \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ , let

$$\mathcal{L}U = U_{xx} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(\cdot; u))U_x + (a - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)U)U. \quad (3.16)$$

(1) First, using inequality (2.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(C_0) &= (a - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)C_0)C_0 \\ &= -\chi C_0 V(\cdot, u) + (a - (b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})))C_0 C_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Observe that  $\frac{\tau c_\mu}{2} < \frac{b-\chi}{\chi}$  is equivalent to  $b > \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}) > 0$ . Thus taking  $\tilde{C}_0 := \frac{a}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})}$ , it follows from inequality (3.17) that for every  $C_0 \geq \tilde{C}_0$ , we have that  $\mathcal{L}(C_0) \leq 0$ . Hence, for every  $C_0 \geq \tilde{C}_0$ , we have that  $U(x, t) = C_0$  is a super-solution of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

(2) It follows from Lemma 3.3 and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\varphi_{\tau, \mu}) &= \varphi_{\tau, \mu}''(x) + (c_\mu - \chi V'(\cdot; u))\varphi_{\tau, \mu}'(x) + (a - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)\varphi_{\tau, \mu})\varphi_{\tau, \mu} \\ &= \underbrace{(\varphi_{\tau, \mu}'' + c_\mu \varphi_{\tau, \mu}' + a\varphi_{\tau, \mu})}_{=0} + (\mu\chi V'(\cdot; u) - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)\varphi_{\tau, \mu})\varphi_{\tau, \mu} \\ &\leq \chi \left[ \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) - \frac{b - \chi}{\chi} \right] \varphi_\mu^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $U(x, t) = \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)$  is also a super-solution of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

(3) Let  $C_0 > 0$  and  $O = (\underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}, \infty)$ . Then for  $x \in O$ ,  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x) > 0$ . For  $x \in O$ , it follows from Lemma 3.3 and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-) \\
&= \mu^2 \varphi_{\tau, \mu} - \tilde{\mu}^2 d \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(\cdot; u))(-\mu \varphi_{\tau, \mu} + d \tilde{\mu} \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}) \\
&\quad + (a - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-)U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \\
&= \underbrace{(\mu^2 - \mu c_\mu + a)}_{=0} \varphi_{\tau, \mu} + d \underbrace{(\tilde{\mu} c_\mu - \tilde{\mu}^2 - a)}_{=A_0} \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - \chi V'(\cdot; u)(-\tau c_\mu + \mu) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} \\
&\quad + d(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu}) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - (\chi V + (b - \chi)U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-)U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- \\
&\geq dA_0 \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - \chi |V'(\cdot; u)|((\tau c_\mu + \mu) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} + d(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu}) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}) - \chi V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+ U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- - (b - \chi)[U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-]^2 \\
&\geq dA_0 \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - \chi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) ((\tau c_\mu + \mu) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} + d(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu}) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} \\
&\quad - \chi V_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+ U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- - (b - \chi)[U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-]^2 \\
&\geq dA_0 \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - \chi \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) ((\tau c_\mu + \mu) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} + d(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu}) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} \\
&\quad - \frac{\chi}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu} U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^- - (b - \chi)[U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-]^2 \\
&= dA_0 \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} - \underbrace{\left( \chi \left( \frac{(\tau c_\mu + \mu)}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\tau c_\mu + \mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) + \frac{\chi}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} + (b - \chi) \right)}_{=A_1} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}^2 \\
&\quad + d \left( 2(b - \chi) + \frac{\chi}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} - \chi \left( \frac{(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu})}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu})}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right) \varphi_{\tau, \mu} \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}} \\
&\quad - d^2(b - \chi) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x) > 0$  is equivalent to  $\varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) > d \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x)$ , which is again equivalent to

$$d(b - \chi) \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x) > d^2(b - \chi) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}^2(x).$$

Since  $A_1 > 0$ , thus for  $x \in O$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x) &\geq dA_0 \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x) - A_1 \varphi_{\tau, \mu}^2(x) \\
&\quad + d \underbrace{\left( b - \chi + \frac{\chi}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} - \chi \left( \frac{(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu})}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\tau c_\mu + \tilde{\mu})}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right)}_{A_2} \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x) \\
&= A_1 \left[ \frac{dA_0}{A_1} e^{(2\mu - \tilde{\mu})x} - 1 \right] \varphi_{\tau, \mu}^2(x) + dA_2 \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) \varphi_{\tau, \tilde{\mu}}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note also that, by (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \chi \left( \frac{b-\chi}{\chi} - \left( \frac{\tau c_\mu + \mu}{\sqrt{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\tau c_\mu + \mu)}{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right) + \chi \left( \frac{1 - (\tilde{\mu} - \mu)(\mu + \sqrt{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2})}{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \\
&\geq \chi \left( \frac{1 - (\tilde{\mu} - \mu)(\mu + \sqrt{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2})}{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

whenever  $\tilde{\mu} \leq \mu + \frac{1}{\mu + \sqrt{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}}$ . Observe that

$$A_0 = \frac{(\tilde{\mu} - \mu)(a - \mu\tilde{\mu})}{\mu} > 0, \quad \forall 0 < \mu < \tilde{\mu} < \sqrt{a}.$$

Furthermore, we have that  $U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-(x) > 0$  implies that  $x > 0$  for  $d \geq \max\{1, C_0^{\frac{\mu-\tilde{\mu}}{\mu}}\}$ . Thus, for every  $d \geq d_0 := \max\{1, \frac{A_1}{A_0}, C_0^{\frac{\mu-\tilde{\mu}}{\mu}}\}$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{L}U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-(x) > 0 \tag{3.19}$$

whenever  $x \in O$  and  $\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}, 2\mu, \mu + \frac{1}{\mu + \sqrt{1+\tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}}\}$ . Hence  $U(x, t) = U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-(x)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $(\underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d}, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

(4) Observe that  $1 + \tau c_\mu < \frac{b-\chi}{\chi}$  is equivalent to  $b - 2\chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}) > 0$ . Thus, it follows from (3.9) that

$$a - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})\tilde{C}_0 = \frac{a(b - 2\chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}))}{b - \chi(1 + \tau c_\mu)} > 0.$$

Hence, for  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(x, u)) - (b - \chi)U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta))U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta) \\
&\geq (a - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})\tilde{C}_0 - (b - \chi)U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta))U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta) \\
&> 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu,\tilde{\mu},d} + \delta$ . This implies that  $U(x, t) = U_{\tau,\mu,\tilde{C}_0}^-(x_\delta)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Traveling wave solutions

In this section we study the existence and nonexistence of traveling wave solutions of (1.7) connecting  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$ , and prove Theorems C and D.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem C

In this subsection, we prove Theorem C. To this end, we first prove the following important result.



**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  be given. Suppose that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \chi < 1$  and  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  satisfy (3.9). Then (1.5) has a traveling wave solution  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x - c_\mu t), V(x - c_\mu t))$  satisfying*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1$$

where  $c_\mu = \mu + \frac{a}{\mu}$ .

Our key idea to prove the above theorem is to prove that, for any  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfying (3.9), there is  $u^*(\cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(\tilde{C}_0)$  such that  $(U(\cdot), V(\cdot)) = (u^*(\cdot), V(\cdot; u^*))$  is a stationary solution of (1.7) with  $c = c_\mu$ , where  $V(\cdot; u^*)$  is given by (3.8), and  $u^*(-\infty) = \frac{a}{b}$  and  $u^*(\infty) = 0$ , which implies that  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (u^*(x - c_\mu t), V(x - c_\mu t; u^*))$  is a traveling wave solution of (1.5) connecting  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$  with speed  $c = c_\mu$ .

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we first prove some lemmas. These Lemmas extend some of the results established in [32], so some details might be omitted in their proofs. The reader is referred to the proofs of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.5 and 3.6 in [32] for more details.

In the remaining part of this subsection we shall suppose that (3.9) holds and  $\tilde{\mu}$  is fixed, where  $\tilde{\mu}$  satisfies

$$\mu < \tilde{\mu} < \min\left\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}, 2\mu, \mu + \frac{1}{\mu + \sqrt{1 + \tau\mu c_\mu - \mu^2}}\right\}.$$

Furthermore, we choose  $C_0 = \tilde{C}_0$  and  $d = d_0(\tau, \mu, \chi, \tilde{C}_0)$  to be the constants given by Theorem 3.1 and to be fixed. Fix  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ . For given  $u_0 \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $U(x, t; u_0)$  be the solution of (3.7) with  $U(x, 0; u_0) = u_0(x)$ . By the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 in [33], we have  $U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+)$  exists for all  $t > 0$  and  $U(\cdot, \cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \in C([0, \infty), C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1((0, \infty), C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$  satisfying

$$U(\cdot, \cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+), U_x(\cdot, \cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+), U_{xx}(\cdot, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+), U_t(\cdot, \cdot; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \in C^\theta((0, \infty), C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \nu}(\mathbb{R})) \quad (4.1)$$

for  $0 < \theta, \nu \ll 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ ,  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy (3.9). Then for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ , the following hold.*

- (i)  $0 \leq U(\cdot, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $U(\cdot, t_2; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq U(\cdot, t_1; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+)$  for every  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ .

*Proof.* (i) Note that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot) \leq C_0$ . Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations and Theorem 3.1(1), we have

$$U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq C_0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0.$$

Similarly, note that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x) \leq \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x)$ . Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations and Theorem 3.1(2) again, we have

$$U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq \varphi_{\tau, \mu}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0.$$

Thus  $U(\cdot, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$ . This complete of (i).

(ii) For  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ , since

$$U(\cdot, t_2; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) = U(\cdot, t_1, U(\cdot, t_2 - t_1; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+))$$

and by (i),  $U(\cdot, t_2 - t_1; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+$ , (ii) follows from comparison principle for parabolic equations.  $\square$

Let us define  $U(x; u)$  to be

$$U(x; u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) = \inf_{t > 0} U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+). \quad (4.2)$$

By the a priori estimates for parabolic equations, the limit in (4.2) is uniform in  $x$  in compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $U(\cdot; u) \in C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$ . Next we prove that the function  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0) \rightarrow U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ ,  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy (3.9). Then,*

$$U(x; u) \geq \begin{cases} U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x), & x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} \\ U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta), & x \leq x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} + \delta \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  be fixed. Let  $O = (\underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}, \infty)$ . Note that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(\underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}) = 0$ . By Theorem 3.1(3),  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $O \times (0, \infty)$ . Note also that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x) \geq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x)$  for  $x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}$  and  $U(\underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations, we have that

$$U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \geq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x) \quad \forall x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Now for any  $0 < \delta \ll 1$ , by Theorem 3.1(3),  $U(x, t) = U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta)$  is a sub-solution of (3.7) on  $\mathbb{R} \times R$ . Note that  $U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(x) \geq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta)$  for  $x \leq x_\delta$  and  $U(x_\delta, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \geq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Then by comparison principle for parabolic equations again,

$$U(x, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \geq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta) \quad \forall x \leq x_\delta, \quad t > 0.$$

The lemma then follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** *It follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 that if (3.9) holds, then*

$$U_{\tau, \mu, C_0, \delta}^-(\cdot) \leq U(\cdot, t; U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+) \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \delta \ll 1$ , where

$$U_{\tau, \mu, C_0, \delta}^-(x) = \begin{cases} U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x), & x \geq \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} + \delta, \\ U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^-(x_\delta), & x \leq x_\delta = \underline{a}_{\mu, \tilde{\mu}, d} + \delta. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$U_{\tau, \mu, C_0, \delta}^-(\cdot) \leq U(\cdot; u) \leq U_{\tau, \mu, C_0}^+(\cdot)$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ . Hence  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0) \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Assume that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ ,  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy (3.9). Then for every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  the associated function  $U(\cdot; u)$  satisfied the elliptic equation,*

$$0 = U_{xx} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(x; u))U_x + (a - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (b - \chi)U)U \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* The following arguments generalized the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [32] to cover the case  $\tau > 0$ . Hence we refer to [32] for the proofs of the estimates stated below.

Let  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to  $\infty$ . For every  $n \geq 1$ , define  $U_n(x, t) = U(x, t + t_n; u)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . For every  $n$ ,  $U_n$  solves the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_n = \partial_{xx} U_n + (c_\mu - \chi V'(x; u))\partial_x U_n + (1 - \chi(V(x; u) - \tau c_\mu V'(\cdot, u)) - (1 - \chi)U_n)U_n & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ U_n(\cdot, 0) = U(\cdot, t_n; u). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the analytic semigroup on  $C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  generated by  $\Delta - I$  and let  $X^\beta = \text{Dom}((I - \Delta)^\beta)$  be the fractional power spaces of  $I - \Delta$  on  $C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  ( $\beta \in [0, 1]$ ).

The variation of constant formula and the fact that  $V''(x; u) + \tau c_\mu V'(x; u) - V(x; u) = -u(x)$  yield that

$$\begin{aligned} U(\cdot, t; u) &= \underbrace{T(t)U_\mu^+}_{I_1(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)((c_\mu - \chi V'(\cdot; u))U_x)ds}_{I_2(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)(1 + a - \chi u)U(\cdot, s; u)ds}_{I_3(t)} \\ &\quad - (b - \chi) \underbrace{\int_0^t T(t-s)U^2(\cdot, s; u)ds}_{I_4(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Let  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$  be fixed. There is a positive constant  $C_\beta$ ,  $C_\beta = C_\beta(N)$  such that

$$\|I_1(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta t^{-\beta} e^{-t}}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})}, \quad \|I_2(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})} (c_\mu + \frac{a\chi}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta),$$

and

$$\|I_3(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{aC_\beta((1+a)(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})) + a\chi)}{(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}))^2} \Gamma(1 - \beta), \quad \|I_4(t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{a^2 C_\beta}{(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}))^2} \Gamma(1 - \beta).$$

Therefore, for every  $T > 0$  we have that

$$\sup_{t \geq T} \|U(\cdot, t; u)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_T < \infty, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$M_T = \frac{aC_\beta}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})} \left[ T^{-\beta} e^{-T} + (c_\mu + \frac{(1+2a)(b+1) + \chi}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})}) (\Gamma(1 - \beta) + \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta)) \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence it follows that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1, t \geq 0} \|U_n(\cdot, t)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_{t_1} < \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

Next, for every  $t, h \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have that

$$\|I_1(t+h+t_n) - I_1(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq C_\beta h^\beta (t+t_n)^{-\beta} e^{-(t+t_n)} \|U_\mu^+\|_\infty \leq C_\beta h^\beta t_1^{-\beta} e^{-t_1} \|U_\mu^+\|_\infty, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_2(t+h+t_n) - I_2(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \\ & \leq \frac{aC_\beta}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})} (c_\mu + \frac{a\chi}{b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})}) \left[ h^\beta \Gamma(1 - \beta) + \frac{h^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta}}{\frac{1}{2} - \beta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_3(t+h+t_n) - I_3(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \\ & \leq \frac{aC_\beta((1+a)(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2})) + a\chi)}{(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}))^2} \left[ h^\beta \Gamma(1 - \beta) + \frac{h^{1 - \beta}}{1 - \beta} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\|I_4(t+h+t_n) - I_4(t+t_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq \frac{a^2 C_\beta}{(b - \chi(1 + \frac{\tau c_\mu}{2}))^2} \left[ h^\beta \Gamma(1 - \beta) + \frac{h^{1 - \beta}}{1 - \beta} \right]. \quad (4.12)$$

It follows from inequalities (4.8), (4.9), (4.11), (4.10) and (4.12), the functions  $U_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X^\beta$  are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Since  $X^\beta$  is continuously imbedded in  $C_{\text{unif}}^{b, \nu}(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $0 \leq \nu < 2\beta$  (See [17]), therefore, the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem and Theorem 3.15 in [14], imply that there is a function  $\tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot; u) \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$  and a subsequence  $\{U_{n'}\}_{n' \geq 1}$  of  $\{U_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that  $U_{n'} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\tilde{U}(\cdot, \cdot; u)$  solves the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{U} = \partial_{xx} \tilde{U} + (c_\mu - \chi V'(\cdot; u)) \partial_x \tilde{U} + (a - \chi(V(\cdot; u) - \tau V'(\cdot; u)) - (b - \chi) \tilde{U}) \tilde{U} & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ \tilde{U}(x, 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(x, t_{n'}; u). \end{cases}$$

But  $U(x; u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(x, t; u)$  and  $t_{n'} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $\tilde{U}(x, t; u) = U(x; u)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Hence  $U(\cdot; u)$  solves (4.4).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Assume that  $\tau > 0$ ,  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$ ,  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy (3.9). Then, for any given  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$ , (4.4) has a unique bounded non-negative solution satisfying that*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1. \quad (4.13)$$

The proof of Lemma 4.6 follows from [32, Lemma 3.6].

We now prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [32], let us consider the normed linear space  $\mathcal{E} = C_{\text{unif}}^b(\mathbb{R})$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|u\|_{L^\infty([-n, n])}.$$

For every  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \mu}(C_0)$  we have that

$$\|u\|_* \leq C_0 = \frac{a}{b - \chi(1 + \tau c_\mu)}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  is a bounded convex subset of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Furthermore, since the convergence in  $\mathcal{E}$  implies the pointwise convergence, then  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  is a closed, bounded, and convex subset of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Furthermore, a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  converges with respect to norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  if and only if it converges locally uniformly convergence on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We prove that the mapping  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0) \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  has a fixed point. We divide the proof in two steps.

**Step 1.** In this step, we prove that the mapping  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0) \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  is compact.

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$ . Since  $U(\cdot; u_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  for every  $n \geq 1$  then  $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is clearly uniformly bounded by  $C_0$ . Using inequality (4.6), we have that

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|U(\cdot, t; u_n)\|_{X^\beta} \leq M_1$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  where  $M_1$  is given by (4.7). Therefore there is  $0 < \nu \ll 1$  such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 1} \|U(\cdot, t; u_n)\|_{C_{\text{unif}}^{b,\nu}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \tilde{M}_1 \quad (4.14)$$

for every  $n \geq 1$  where  $\tilde{M}_1$  is a constant depending only on  $M_1$ . Since for every  $n \geq 1$  and every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $U(x, t; u_n) \rightarrow U(x; u_n)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then it follows from (4.14) that

$$\|U(\cdot; u_n)\|_{C_{\text{unif}}^{b,\nu}} \leq \tilde{M}_1 \quad (4.15)$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Which implies that the sequence  $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is equicontinuous. The Arzela-Ascoli's Theorem implies that there is a subsequence  $\{U(\cdot; u_{n'})\}_{n \geq 1}$  of the sequence  $\{U(\cdot; u_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and a function  $U \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\{U(\cdot; u_{n'})\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $U$  locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, the function  $U$  satisfies inequality (4.15). Combining this with the fact  $U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^-(x) \leq U(x; u_{n'}) \leq U_{\tau,\mu,C_0}^+(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , by letting  $n$  goes to infinity, we obtain that  $U \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$ .

**Step 2.** In this step, we prove that the mapping  $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0) \ni u \mapsto U(\cdot; u) \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  is continuous. This follows from the arguments used in the proof of Step 2, Theorem 3.1, [32]

Now by Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem, there is  $U \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\mu}(C_0)$  such that  $U(\cdot; U) = U(\cdot)$ . Then  $(U(x), V(x; U))$  is a stationary solution of (2.1) with  $c = c_\mu$ . It is clear that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b}.$$

For otherwise, we may assume that there is  $x_n \rightarrow -\infty$  such that  $U(x_n) \rightarrow \lambda \neq \frac{a}{b}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $U_n(x) = U(x + x_n)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . By observing that  $U_n = U(\cdot; U_n)$  for every  $n$ , hence it follows from the step 1, that there is a subsequence  $\{U_{n'}\}_{n \geq 1}$  of  $\{U_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and a function  $U^* \in \mathcal{E}_\mu$  such that  $\|U_{n'} - U^*\|_* \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Next, it follows from step 2 that  $(U^*, V(\cdot; U^*))$  is also a stationary solution of (2.1).

**Claim.**  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} U^*(x) > 0$ . Indeed, let  $0 < \delta \ll 1$  be fixed. For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there  $N_x \gg 1$  such that  $x + x_{n'} < x_\delta$  for all  $n \geq N_x$ . Hence, It follows from Remark 4.4 that

$$0 < U_{\tau,\mu,C_0,\delta}^-(x_\delta) \leq U(x + x_{n'}) \quad \forall n \geq N_x.$$

Letting  $n$  goes to infinity in the last inequality, we obtain that  $U_{\tau,\mu,C_0,\delta}^-(x_\delta) \leq U^*(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The claim thus follows.

Since  $0 < \mu < \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $0 < \chi < 1$  satisfy (3.9), then we have that  $b - \chi - \chi(1 + \tau c_\mu) > 0$ . Thus, it follows from Theorem B that  $U^*(x) = V(x; U^*) = \frac{a}{b}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that  $\lambda = U^*(0) = \frac{a}{b}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b}$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem C.

*Proof of Theorem C.* First, for each  $\tau > 0$ , let us define  $\mu_\tau := \min\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{\frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}}\}$  and  $\chi_\tau^* := \frac{b}{1+m_\tau}$  where  $m_\tau$  is given by

$$m_\tau := \inf \left\{ \max \left\{ 1 + \tau c_\mu, \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right\} \mid \mu \in (0, \mu_\tau) \right\},$$

with  $c_\mu = \mu + \frac{a}{\mu}$ . Observe that  $m_\tau = \frac{b - \chi_\tau^*}{\chi_\tau^*}$  for every  $\tau > 0$ . Let  $\tau > 0$  be fixed. Since the function  $(0, \infty) \ni \chi \mapsto \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}$  is continuous and strictly decreasing, then for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$  we have that  $m_\tau < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}$ . Hence, it follows from the continuity of the function

$$(0, \mu_\tau) \ni \mu \mapsto \max \left\{ 1 + \tau c_\mu, \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right\}$$

that for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , there is a nonempty open interval  $I_\chi \subset (0, \mu_\tau)$  such that

$$\max \left\{ 1 + \tau c_\mu, \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \right\} < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}, \quad \forall \mu \in I_\chi. \quad (4.16)$$

Next, for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$  define  $\tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi; \tau)$  and  $E_{\chi; \tau}$  by

$$\tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi; \tau) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in (0, \mu_\tau) \mid \max \left\{ 1 + \tau c_\mu, \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right\} < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi} \right\}. \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$E_{\chi; \tau} := \left\{ \mu \in (0, \mu_\tau) \mid \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) = \frac{b - \chi}{\chi} \right\}.$$

We note that

$$1 + \tau c_\mu < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi} \quad \forall \mu \in (\tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi; \tau), \mu_\tau). \quad (4.18)$$

We also note that

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) = \infty, \quad (4.19)$$

and that the set  $E_{\chi; \tau}$  has at most finitely many elements. It follows from (4.16) and (4.19) that for every  $\chi < \chi_\tau^*$ ,  $E_{\chi; \tau}$  is nonempty. Hence, for every  $\chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , there is  $n = n(\chi, \tau) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \mu_{\chi; \tau}^1 < \dots < \mu_{\chi; \tau}^n < \mu_\tau$  with

$$\frac{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i + \tau c_{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i}}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu_{\chi; \tau}^i c_{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i} - (\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i)^2}} + \frac{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i (\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i + \tau c_{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i})}{1 + \tau \mu_{\chi; \tau}^i c_{\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i} - (\mu_{\chi; \tau}^i)^2} = \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let us set  $\mu_{\chi;\tau}^0 = 0$  and  $\mu_{\chi;\tau}^{1+n(\chi;\tau)} = \mu_\tau$ . It follows from (4.19) that for every  $\chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , we have  $\mu_{\chi;\tau}^1 \leq \tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi; \tau)$ . Note that the function  $(0, \mu_\tau) \ni \mu \mapsto \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}$  has constant sign on each of the open intervals  $(\mu_{\chi;\tau}^i, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^{i+1})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n(\chi; \tau)$ . Since  $n(\chi; \tau)$  is finite, and the intervals  $(0, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^1), [\mu_{\chi;\tau}^1, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^2), [\mu_{\chi;\tau}^2, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^3), \dots, [\mu_{\chi;\tau}^{n(\chi;\tau)}, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^{1+n(\chi;\tau)})$  partition  $(0, \mu_\tau)$  into disjoint sets, then there is at least one  $1 \leq i \leq n(\chi; \tau)$ , such that  $\tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi, \tau) \leq \mu_{\chi;\tau}^{i+1}$ , and

$$\frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} < \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}, \quad \forall \mu \in (\mu_{\chi;\tau}^i, \mu_{\chi;\tau}^{i+1}). \quad (4.20)$$

Let  $i^*$  be the largest  $i$  satisfying (4.20). For every  $\chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , define

$$\mu^*(\chi; \tau) = \mu_{\chi;\tau}^{i^*+1}, \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\mu^{**}(\chi; \tau) = \inf \left\{ \bar{\mu} \in (\tilde{\mu}^{**}(\chi; \tau), \mu^*(\chi; \tau)) \mid \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) \leq \frac{b - \chi}{\chi}, \forall \bar{\mu} \leq \mu \leq \mu^*(\chi; \tau) \right\}. \quad (4.22)$$

Thus, it follows from (4.22) that  $0 \leq \mu^{**}(\chi; \tau) < \mu^*(\chi; \tau) \leq \mu_\tau$  for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ . Finally, for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , we set

$$c^*(\chi; \tau) = c_{\mu^*(\chi; \tau)},$$

and

$$c^{**}(\chi; \tau) := c_{\mu^{**}(\chi; \tau)}.$$

We claim now that for every  $0 < \chi < \chi_\tau^*$ , and  $c \in (c^*(\chi; \tau), c^{**}(\chi; \tau))$ , (1.5) has a traveling wave solution connecting  $(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{a}{b})$  and  $(0, 0)$  with speed  $c$ . Indeed, let  $c \in (c^*(\chi; \tau), c^{**}(\chi; \tau))$  be given. Since the function  $(0, \mu_\tau) \ni \mu \mapsto c_\mu$  is strictly decreasing, there is a unique  $\mu^{**}(\chi; \tau) < \mu(c) < \mu^*(\chi; \tau)$  such that  $c = c_{\mu(c)}$ . Observe that  $\mu(c)$  and  $\chi$  satisfy (3.9). Thus it follows from Theorem 4.1 that (1.5) has a traveling wave solution  $(u(x, t), v(x, t)) = (U(x - c_\mu t), V(x - c_\mu t))$  satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x)}{e^{-\mu x}} = 1.$$

It is clear from (4.17), (4.21), and (4.22) that for every  $\tau > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} \mu^*(\chi; \tau) = \mu_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} \mu^{**}(\chi; \tau) = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} c^*(\chi; \tau) = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a} & \text{if } 0 < a \leq \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}, \\ \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+} + \frac{a(1-\tau)_+}{1+\tau a} & \text{if } a \geq \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+} \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\chi \rightarrow 0+} c^{**}(\chi; \tau) = \infty.$$

Finally, we consider the limits of  $\chi_\tau^*$ ,  $c^*(\chi; \tau)$ , and  $c^{**}(\chi; \tau)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0+$ . From the definition of  $m_\tau$ , we have that

$$1 \leq \liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0+} m_\tau.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} m_\tau \leq \inf\{\max\{1, \left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu^2}{1-\mu^2}\right)\} \mid \mu \in (0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, 1\})\} = 1.$$

Hence we have that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} m_\tau = 1$ , which implies that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \chi_\tau^* = \frac{b}{2}$ .

For every  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$ , let  $\mu^*(\chi)$  be given by

$$\mu^*(\chi) := \sup\{\mu \in (0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, 1\}) \mid \left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu^2}{1-\mu^2}\right) \leq \frac{b-\chi}{\chi}\}.$$

Note that  $\mu^*(\chi) < 1$  for every  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$ . Let  $0 < \chi < \frac{b}{2}$  be given. Using the fact that the function  $(0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, 1\}) \ni \mu \mapsto \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu^2}{1-\mu^2}$  is increasing, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{\mu + \tau c_\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2}} + \frac{\mu(\mu + \tau c_\mu)}{1 + \tau \mu c_\mu - \mu^2} \right) &= \left( \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}} + \frac{\mu^2}{1-\mu^2} \right) \\ &< \left( \frac{\mu^*(\chi)}{\sqrt{1-(\mu^*(\chi))^2}} + \frac{(\mu^*(\chi))^2}{1-(\mu^*(\chi))^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{b-\chi}{\chi} \quad \text{for } \mu \in (0, \mu^*(\chi)), \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is uniform in any closed interval of  $(0, \min\{\sqrt{a}, 1\})$ . It then follows that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \mu^{**}(\chi; \tau) = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \mu^*(\chi; \tau) = \mu^*(\chi).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} c^*(\chi; \tau) = c^*(\chi), \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} c^{**}(\chi; \tau) = \infty.$$

□

**Remark 4.7.** If  $0 < a < \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}$ , then  $\mu_\tau = \sqrt{a}$ . If  $0 < a < \frac{1+\tau a}{(1-\tau)_+}$  and

$$0 < \chi < \frac{b}{1 + \max\{1 + 2\tau\sqrt{a}; \frac{\sqrt{a}(1+2\tau)}{\sqrt{1+2\tau a-a}} + \frac{a(1+2)}{1+2\tau a-a}\}},$$

then

$$\max\left\{1 + \tau c_{\mu_\tau}, \frac{\mu_\tau + \tau c_{\mu_\tau}}{\sqrt{1 + \tau \mu_\tau c_{\mu_\tau} - \mu_\tau^2}} + \frac{\mu_\tau(\mu_\tau + \tau c_{\mu_\tau})}{1 + \tau \mu_\tau c_{\mu_\tau} - \mu_\tau^2}\right\} < \frac{b-\chi}{\chi}, \quad (4.23)$$

and hence  $\mu^*(\chi; \tau) = \mu_\tau$  and  $c^*(\chi; \tau) = 2\sqrt{a}$ .

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem D

In this subsection, we prove Theorem D. To do so, we first prove the following two lemmas.



**Lemma 4.8.** (1) Let  $0 \leq c < 2\sqrt{a}$  be fixed and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  be such that  $c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0 < 0$ . Let  $\lambda_D(L)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + c\phi_x + a\phi = \lambda\phi, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

Then there is  $L > 0$  such that  $\lambda_D(L) = \lambda_0$ .

(2) Let  $c$  and  $L$  be as in (1). Let  $\lambda_D(L; b_1, b_2)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

where  $b_1(x)$  and  $b_2(x)$  are continuous functions. If there is a  $C^2$  function  $\phi(x)$  with  $\phi(x) > 0$  for  $0 < x < L$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi \leq 0, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi(0) \geq 0, \quad \phi(L) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

Then  $\lambda_D(L, b_1, b_2) \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $L > 0$  be such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{4a - 4\lambda_0 - c^2}}{2}L = \pi.$$

Then  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  is the principal eigenvalue of (4.24) and  $\phi(x) = e^{-\frac{c}{2}x} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4a - 4\lambda_0 - c^2}}{2}x\right)$  is a corresponding positive eigenfunction. Hence  $\lambda_D(L) = \lambda_0$  and (1) follows.

(2) Consider

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))u_x + (a + b_2(x))u, & 0 < x < L \\ u(x, 0) = u(x, L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

Let  $u(x, t; u_0, b_1, b_2)$  be the solution of (4.27) with  $u(x, 0; u_0, b_1, b_2) = u_0(x)$  for  $u_0 \in L^2(0, L)$ . Then we have

$$\lambda_D(L; b_1, b_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|u(\cdot, t; u_0, b_1, b_2)\|_{L^2}}{t}$$

for any  $u_0 \in L^2(0, L)$  with  $u_0 \geq 0$  and  $u_0 \neq 0$ . By comparison principle for parabolic equations,  $u(x, t; \phi, b_1, b_2) \leq \phi(x)$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $0 < x < L$ . It then follows that

$$\lambda_D(L; b_1, b_2) \leq 0.$$

□

**Lemma 4.9.** (1) Let  $c < 0$  be fixed and let  $\lambda_0 > 0$  be such that  $0 < \lambda_0 < a$ . Let  $\lambda_{N,D}(L)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + c\phi_x + a\phi = \lambda\phi, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) = \phi(L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

Then there is  $L > 0$  such that  $\lambda_{N,D}(L) = \lambda_0$ .

(2) Let  $c$  and  $L$  be as in (1). Let  $\lambda_{N,D}(L; b_1, b_2)$  be the principal eigenvalue of

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) = \phi(L) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

where  $b_1(x)$  and  $b_2(x)$  are continuous functions. If there is a  $C^2$  function  $\phi(x)$  with  $\phi(x) > 0$  for  $0 < x < L$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c + b_1(x))\phi_x + (a + b_2(x))\phi \leq 0, & 0 < x < L \\ \phi_x(0) \leq 0, \quad \phi(L) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.30)$$

Then  $\lambda_{N,D}(L, b_1, b_2) \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Fix  $c < 0$  and  $0 < \lambda_0 < a$  with  $4a - 4\lambda_0 < c^2$ . Let

$$L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}} \ln \frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}}{-c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}}.$$

Then  $L > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{N,D}(L) = \lambda_0$  is the principal eigenvalue of (4.28), and  $\phi(x)$  is a corresponding positive eigenfunction, where

$$\phi(x) = -e^{\frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}}{2}x} + \frac{-c + \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}}{-c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}} e^{\frac{-c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0}}{2}x}.$$

(1) then follows.

(2) It can be proved by the similar arguments as those in Lemma 4.8(2).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem D.* We first consider the case that  $0 \leq c < 2\sqrt{a}$ . Then there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$c^2 - 4a + 4\lambda_0 < 0.$$

By Lemma 4.8(1), there is  $L > 0$  such that  $\lambda_D(L) = \lambda_0 > 0$ .

Fix  $0 \leq c < 2\sqrt{a}$  and the above  $L$ . Assume that (1.5) has a traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ . Then (2.1) has a stationary solution  $(u, v) = (U(x), V(x))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ . Moreover, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , this is  $x_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$0 < U(x) < \epsilon, \quad 0 < V(x) < \epsilon, \quad |V_x(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \geq x_\epsilon.$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c - \chi V_x(x))\phi_x + (a - \chi(V(x) - \tau c V_x) - (b - \chi)U(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, & x_\epsilon < x < x_\epsilon + L \\ \phi(x_\epsilon) = \phi(x_\epsilon + L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.31)$$

Let  $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L)$  be the principal eigenvalue of (4.31). By Lemma 4.8(1) and perturbation theory for principal eigenvalues of elliptic operators,  $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L) > 0$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ .

Note that

$$U_{xx} + (c - \chi V_x)U_x + (a - \chi(V(x) - \tau c V_x) - (b - \chi)U(x))U = 0 \quad \forall x_\epsilon \leq x \leq x_\epsilon + L$$

and  $U(x_\epsilon) > 0$ ,  $U(x_\epsilon + L) > 0$ . Then, by Lemma 4.8(2),  $\lambda_D^\epsilon(L) \leq 0$ . We get a contradiction. Therefore, (1.5) has no traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$  and  $0 \leq c < 2\sqrt{a}$ .

Next, we consider the case that  $c < 0$ . Let  $\lambda_0$  and  $L$  be as in Lemma 4.9(1). Then  $\lambda_{N,D}(L) = \lambda_0 > 0$ .

Fix  $c < 0$  and the above  $L$ . Assume that (1.5) has a traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ . Then (2.1) has a stationary solution  $(u, v) = (U(x), V(x))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$ . Similarly, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , this is  $x_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$0 < U(x) < \epsilon, \quad 0 < V(x) < \epsilon, \quad |V_x(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \geq x_\epsilon.$$

Moreover, since  $U(\infty) = 0$ , there is  $\tilde{x}_\epsilon > x_\epsilon$  such that

$$U_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) < 0.$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{xx} + (c - \chi V_x(x))\phi_x + (a - \chi(V(x) - \tau c V_x) - (b - \chi)U(x))\phi = \lambda\phi, & \tilde{x}_\epsilon < x < \tilde{x}_\epsilon + L \\ \phi_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) = \phi(\tilde{x}_\epsilon + L) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

Let  $\lambda_{N,D}^\epsilon(L)$  be the principal eigenvalue of (4.32). By Lemma 4.9(1) and perturbation theory for principal eigenvalues of elliptic operators,  $\lambda_{N,D}^\epsilon(L) > 0$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ .

Note that

$$U_{xx} + (c - \chi V_x)U_x + (a - \chi(V(x) - \tau c V_x) - (b - \chi)U(x))U = 0 \quad \forall \tilde{x}_\epsilon \leq x \leq \tilde{x}_\epsilon + L$$

and  $U_x(\tilde{x}_\epsilon) < 0$ ,  $U(\tilde{x}_\epsilon + L) > 0$ . Then, by Lemma 4.9(2),  $\lambda_{N,D}^\epsilon(L) \leq 0$ . We get a contradiction. Therefore, (1.5) has no traveling wave solution  $(u, v) = (U(x - ct), V(x - ct))$  with  $(U(-\infty), V(-\infty)) = (a/b, a/b)$  and  $(U(\infty), V(\infty)) = (0, 0)$  and  $c < 0$ .

Theorem D is thus proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Ai, W. Huang, and Z.-A. Wang, Reaction, diffusion and chemotaxis in wave propagation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **20** (2015), no. 1, 1-21.
- [2] S. Ai and Z.-A. Wang, Traveling bands for the Keller-Segel model with population growth, *Math. Biosci. Eng.* **12** (2015), no. 4, 717-737.
- [3] N. Bellomo, A. Bellouquid, Y. Tao, and M. Winkler, Toward a mathematical theory of Keller-Segel models of pattern formation in biological tissues, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **25** (2015), 1663-1763.
- [4] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel and G. Nadin, Asymptotic spreading in heterogeneous diffusive excita media, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **255** (2008), 2146-2189.
- [5] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, and N. Nadirashvili, The speed of propagation for KPP type problems, I - Periodic framework, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **7** (2005), 172-213.

- [6] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, and N. Nadirashvili, The speed of propagation for KPP type problems, II - General domains, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (2010), no. 1, 1-34.
- [7] H. Berestycki and G. Nadin, Asymptotic spreading for general heterogeneous Fisher-KPP type, preprint.
- [8] M. Bramson, Convergence of solutions of the Kolmogorov equation to traveling waves, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **285** (1983).
- [9] J.I. Diaz and T. Nagai, Symmetrization in a parabolic-elliptic system related to chemotaxis, *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, **5** (1995), 659-680.
- [10] J.I. Diaz, T. Nagai, J.-M. Rakotoson, Symmetrization Techniques on Unbounded Domains: Application to a Chemotaxis System on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *J. Differential Equations*, **145** (1998), 156-183.
- [11] R. Fisher, The wave of advance of advantageous genes, *Ann. of Eugenics*, **7** (1937), 355-369.
- [12] M. Freidlin, On wave front propagation in periodic media. *In: Stochastic analysis and applications*, ed. M. Pinsky, *Advances in probability and related topics*, 7:147-166, 1984.
- [13] M. Freidlin and J. Gärtner, On the propagation of concentration waves in periodic and random media, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **20** (1979), 1282-1286.
- [14] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equation of Parabolic Type*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
- [15] M. Funaki, M. Mimura and T. Tsujikawa, Travelling front solutions arising in the chemotaxis-growth model, *Interfaces Free Bound.*, **8** (2006), 223-245.
- [16] E. Galakhov, O. Salieva and J. I. Tello, On a Parabolic-Elliptic system with Chemotaxis and logistic type growth, *J. Differential Equations*, **261** (2016), no. 8, 4631-4647.
- [17] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1981.
- [18] D. Horstmann and A. Stevens, A constructive approach to traveling waves in chemotaxis, *J. Nonlin. Sci.*, **14** (2004), 1-25.
- [19] Kyungkeun Kanga, Angela Steven Blowup and global solutions in a chemotaxis-growth system, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **135** (2016), 57-72.
- [20] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **26** (1970), 399-415.
- [21] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, A Model for chemotaxis, *J. Theoret. Biol.*, **30** (1971), 225-234.
- [22] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrowsky, and N. Piscunov, A study of the equation of diffusion with increase in the quantity of matter, and its application to a biological problem, *Bjul. Moskovskogo Gos. Univ.*, **1** (1937), 1-26.
- [23] J. Li, T. Li, and Z.-A. Wang, Stability of traveling waves of the Keller-Segel system with logarithmic sensitivity, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **24** (2014), no. 14, 2819-2849.

- [24] X. Liang and X.-Q. Zhao, Asymptotic speeds of spread and traveling waves for monotone semiflows with applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **60** (2007), no. 1, 1-40.
- [25] X. Liang and X.-Q. Zhao, Spreading speeds and traveling waves for abstract monostable evolution systems, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **259** (2010), 857-903.
- [26] B. P. Marchant, J. Norbury, and J. A. Sherratt, Travelling wave solutions to a haptotaxis-dominated model of malignant invasion, *Nonlinearity*, **14** (2001), 1653-1671.
- [27] G. Nadin, Traveling fronts in space-time periodic media, *J. Math. Pures Anal.*, **92** (2009), 232-262.
- [28] G. Nadin, B. Perthame, and L. Ryzhik, Traveling waves for the Keller-Segel system with Fisher birth terms, *Interfaces Free Bound.* **10** (2008), no. 4, 517-538.
- [29] T. Nagai, T. Senba and K. Yoshida, Application of the Trudinger-Moser Inequality to a Parabolic System of Chemotaxis, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **40** (1997), 411-433.
- [30] J. Nolen, M. Rudd, and J. Xin, Existence of KPP fronts in spatially-temporally periodic advection and variational principle for propagation speeds, *Dynamics of PDE*, **2** (2005), 1-24.
- [31] J. Nolen and J. Xin, Existence of KPP type fronts in space-time periodic shear flows and a study of minimal speeds based on variational principle, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **13** (2005), 1217-1234.
- [32] R. Salako and W. Shen, Spreading Speeds and Traveling waves of a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , preprint, arXiv:1609.05387.
- [33] R. Salako and W. Shen, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of classical solutions to a parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , preprint, arXiv:1608.02031.
- [34] D.H. Sattinger, On the stability of waves of nonlinear parabolic systems, *Advances in Math.* **22** (1976), no. 3, 312-355.
- [35] W. Shen, Variational principle for spatial spreading speeds and generalized propagating speeds in time almost and space periodic KPP models, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362** (2010), 5125-5168.
- [36] W. Shen, Existence of generalized traveling waves in time recurrent and space periodic monostable equations, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.*, **1** (2011), 69-93.
- [37] Y. Sugiyama, Global existence in sub-critical cases and finite time blow up in super critical cases to degenerate Keller-Segel systems, *Differential Integral Equations*, **19** (2006), no. 8, 841-876.
- [38] Y. Sugiyama and H. Kunii, Global Existence and decay properties for a degenerate keller-Segel model with a power factor in drift term, *J. Differential Equations*, **227** (2006), 333-364.
- [39] J. I. Tello and M. Winkler, A Chemotaxis System with Logistic Source, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, **32** (2007), 849-877.

- [40] K. Uchiyama, The behavior of solutions of some nonlinear diffusion equations for large time, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1978), 453-508.
- [41] L. Wang, C. Mu, and P. Zheng, On a quasilinear parabolic-elliptic chemotaxis system with logistic source, *J. Differential Equations*, **256** (2014), 1847-1872.
- [42] Z.-A. Wang, Mathematics of traveling waves in chemotaxis review paper, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* **18** (2013), no. 3, 601-641.
- [43] H. F. Weinberger, Long-time behavior of a class of biology models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **13** (1982), 353-396.
- [44] H. F. Weinberger, On spreading speeds and traveling waves for growth and migration models in a periodic habitat, *J. Math. Biol.*, **45** (2002), 511-548.
- [45] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **248** (2010), 2889-2905.
- [46] M. Winkler, Blow-up in a higher-dimensional chemotaxis system despite logistic growth restriction, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **384** (2011), 261-272.
- [47] M. Winkler, Finite-time blow-up in the higher-dimensional parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **100** (2013), 748-767.
- [48] M. Winkler, Global asymptotic stability of constant equilibria in a fully parabolic chemotaxis system with strong logistic dampening, *J. Differential Equations* **257** (2014), no. 4, 1056-1077.
- [49] M. Winkler, How far can chemotactic cross-diffusion enforce exceeding carrying capacities? *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **24** (2014), 809-855.
- [50] T. Yokota and N. Yoshino, Existence of solutions to chemotaxis dynamics with logistic source, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 2015, Dynamical systems, differential equations and applications. 10th AIMS Conference. Suppl., 1125-1133.
- [51] P. Zheng, C. Mu, X. Hu, and Y. Tian, Boundedness of solutions in a chemotaxis system with nonlinear sensitivity and logistic source, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **424** (2015), 509-522.
- [52] A. Zlatoš, Transition fronts in inhomogeneous Fisher-KPP reaction-diffusion equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **98** (2012), no. 1, 89-102.