THE ELECTROSTATIC LIMIT FOR THE 3D ZAKHAROV SYSTEM

PAOLO ANTONELLI AND LUIGI FORCELLA

ABSTRACT. We consider the vectorial Zakharov system describing Langmuir waves in a weakly magnetized plasma. In its original derivation [Z] the evolution for the electric field envelope is governed by a Schrödinger type equation with a singular parameter which is usually large in physical applications. Motivated by this, we study the rigorous limit as this parameter goes to infinity. By using some Strichartz type estimates to control separately the fast and slow dynamics in the problem, we show that the evolution of the electric field envelope is asymptotically constrained onto the space of irrotational vector fields

1. Introduction.

In this paper we consider the vectorial Zakharov system [Z] describing Langmuir waves in a weakly magnetized plasma. After a suitable rescaling of the variables it reads [SS]

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u + \nabla(\operatorname{div} u) = nu \\ \frac{1}{c_s^2} \partial_{tt} n - \Delta n = \Delta |u|^2 \end{cases}$$

subject to initial conditions

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad n(0) = n_0, \quad \partial_t n(0) = n_1.$$

Here $u: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ describes the slowly varying envelope of the highly oscillating electric field, whereas $n: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the ion density fluctuation. The rescaled constants in (1.1) are $\alpha = \frac{c^2}{3v_e^2}$, c being the speed of light and $v_e = \sqrt{\frac{T_e}{m_e}}$ the electron thermal velocity, while c_s is proportional to the ion acoustic speed. In many physical situations the parameter α is relatively large, see for example table 1, p. 47 in [TtH], hence hereafter we will only consider $\alpha \geq 1$. In the large α regime, the electric field is almost irrotational and in the electrostatic limit $\alpha \to \infty$ the dynamics is asymptotically described by

(1.2)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = \mathbf{Q}(nu) \\ \frac{1}{c_s^2} \partial_{tt} n - \Delta n = \Delta |u|^2 \end{cases},$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = -(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla$ div is the Helmholtz projection operator onto irrotational vector fields. By further simplifying (1.1) it is possible to consider the so called scalar Zakharov system

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = nu \\ \frac{1}{c_s^2} \partial_{tt} n - \Delta n = \Delta |u|^2 \end{cases},$$

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ {\it Primary:}\ 35{\it Q55},\ 35{\it L70}.$

Key words and phrases. Zakharov system; singular limit, dispersive equations.

which retains the main features of (1.2). In the subsonic limit $c_s \to \infty$ we find the cubic focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^2 u = 0.$$

The Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system has been extensively studied in the mathematical literature. For the local and global well-posedness, see [SS2, OT1, OT2, KPV, BC] and the recent results concerning low regularity solutions [GTV, BH]. In [M] formation of blow-up solutions is studied by means of virial identities, see also [GM] where self-similar solutions are constructed in two space dimensions. The subsonic limit $c_s \to \infty$ for (1.3) is investigated in [SW]. Furthermore, some related singular limits are also studied in [MN], considering the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system. Here in this paper we do not consider such limits, hence without loss of generalities we can set $c_s = 1$.

The aim of our research is to rigorously study the electrostatic limit for the vectorial Zakharov equation, namely we show that mild solutions to (1.1) converge towards solutions to (1.2) as $\alpha \to \infty$.

As we will see below, we will investigate this limit by exploiting two auxiliary systems associated to (1.1), (1.2), namely systems (3.1) and (4.1) below. Those are obtained by considering $v = \partial_t u$ as a new variable and by studying the Cauchy problem for the auxiliary system describing the dynamics for (v, n) and a state equation for u (see Section 3 for more details). This approach, already introduced in [OT1, OT2] to study local and global well-posedness for the Zakharov system (1.3), overcomes the problem generated by the loss of derivatives on the term $|u|^2$ in the wave equation, but in our context it introduces a new difficulty. Indeed the initial data v(0) is not uniformly bounded for $\alpha \geq 1$, see also the beginning of Section 4 below for a more detailed mathematical discussion.

For this reason we will need to consider a family of well-prepared initial data; more precisely we will take a set u_0^{α} of initial states for the Schrödinger part in (1.1) which converges to an irrotational initial datum for (1.2).

We consider initial data $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) =: \mathcal{H}_2$ for (1.1), converging in the same space to a set of initial data $(u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$, with u_0^{∞} an irrotational vector field, and we show the convergence in the space

$$\mathcal{X}_T := \left\{ (u, n) : u \in L^q(0, T; W^{2, r}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \ \forall \ (q, r) \text{ admissible pair}, \\ n \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1, \infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \right\}.$$

For a more detailed discussion about notations and the spaces considered in this paper we refer the reader to Section 2.

Before stating our main result we first recall the local well-posedness result in \mathcal{H}_2 for system (1.2).

Theorem 1.1 ([OT1]). Let $(u_0, n_0, n_1) \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then there exist a maximal time $0 < T_{max} \le \infty$ and a unique solution (u, n) to (1.2) such that $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_{max}); H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_{max}); L^2)$, $n \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_{max}); H^1) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_{max}); L^2)$. Furthermore the solution depends continuously on the initial data and the standard blow-up alternative holds true: either $T_{max} = \infty$ and the solution is global or $T_{max} < \infty$ and we have

$$\lim_{t \to T_{max}} \|(u, n, \partial_t n)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \infty.$$

Analogously we are going to prove the same local well-posedness result for system (1.1). Moreover, despite the fact that the initial datum for (3.1) is not uniformly

bounded for $\alpha \geq 1$ (see the discussion at the beginning of Section 3), we can anyway infer some a priori bounds in α for the solution (u^{α}, n^{α}) to (1.1).

Theorem 1.2. Let $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then there exist a maximal time $T_{max}^{\alpha} > 0$ and a unique solution (u^{α}, n^{α}) to (1.1) such that

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ u^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}([0,T_{max}^{\alpha});H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_{max}^{\alpha});L^2), \\ \bullet \ n^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}([0,T_{max}^{\alpha});H^1) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0,T_{max}^{\alpha});L^2). \end{array}$

Furthermore the existence times T_{max}^{α} are uniformly bounded from below, $0 < T^* \le$ T_{max}^{α} for any $\alpha \geq 1$, and we have

$$\|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{H}_2)} + \|\partial_t u^{\alpha}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6)} \le C(T, \|u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}),$$

for any $0 < T < T_{max}^{\alpha}$, where the constant above does not depend on $\alpha \geq 1$.

Our main result in this paper is the following one.

Theorem 1.3. Let $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and let (u^{α}, n^{α}) be the maximal solution to (1.1) defined on the time interval $[0, T_{max}^{\alpha})$. Let us assume that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) - (u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty})\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = 0,$$

for some $(u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $u_0^{\infty} = \mathbf{Q} u_0^{\infty}$, and let (u^{∞}, n^{∞}) be the maximal solutions to (1.2) in the interval $[0, T_{max}^{\infty})$ with such initial data. Then

$$\liminf_{\alpha \to \infty} T_{max}^{\alpha} \ge T_{max}^{\infty}$$

and we have the following convergence

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}) - (u^{\infty}, n^{\infty})\|_{\mathcal{X}_T} = 0,$$

for any $0 < T < T_{max}^{\infty}$

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we fix some notations and give some preliminary results which will be used in the analysis of the problem below. In Section 3 we show the local well-posedness of system (1.1) in the space \mathcal{H}_2 . Finally in Section 4 we investigate the electrostatic limit and prove the main theorem.

Acknowledgements. This paper and its project originated after many useful discussions with Prof. Pierangelo Marcati, during second author's M. Sc. thesis work. We would like to thank P. Marcati for valuable suggestions.

2. Preliminary results and tools.

In this section we introduce notations and some preliminary results which will be useful in the analysis below. The Fourier transform of a function f is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) \, dx,$$

with its inverse

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Given an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $L^q(I;L^r)$ the Bochner space equipped with the norm defined by

$$||f||_{L^q(I;L^r)} = \left(\int_I ||f(s)||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)}^q ds\right)^{1/q},$$

where f = f(s, x). When no confusion is possible, we write $L_t^q L_x^r = L^q(I; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Given two Banach spaces X, Y, we denote $||f||_{X \cap Y} := \max\{||f||_X, ||f||_Y\}$ for $f \in X \cap Y$. With $W^{k,p}$ we denote the standard Sobolev spaces and for p = 2 we write $H^k = W^{k,2}$. $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a universal constant C such that $A \leq CB$ and in general in a chain of inequalities the constant may change from one line to the other.

As already said in the Introduction, given a vector field F, we denote by $\mathbf{Q}F = -(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla \operatorname{div} F$ its projection into irrotational fields, moreover $\mathbf{P} = 1 - \mathbf{Q}$ is its orthogonal projection operator onto solenoidal fields. Let us just recall that $\nabla \times F$ is the standard curl operator on \mathbb{R}^3 .

The space of initial data is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_2 := H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. A pair of Lebesgue exponents is called *Schrödinger admissible* (or simply admissible) if $2 \le q \le \infty$, $2 \le r \le 6$ and they are related through

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right).$$

Given a time interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ we denote the Strichartz space $S^0(I)$ to be the closure of the Schwartz space with the norm

$$||u||_{S^0(I)} := \sup_{(q,r)} ||u||_{L^q(I;L^r(\mathbb{R}^3))},$$

where the sup is taken over all admissible pairs; furthermore we write

$$S^{2}(I) = \{ u \in S^{0}(I) : \nabla^{2}u \in S^{0}(I) \}.$$

We define moreover the space

$$W^{1}(I) = \{ n : n \in L^{\infty}(I; H^{1}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(I; L^{2}) \}$$

endowed with the norm

$$||n||_{\mathcal{W}^1(I)} = ||n||_{L^{\infty}(I \cdot H^1)} + ||\partial_t n(t)||_{L^{\infty}(I \cdot L^2)}.$$

The space of solutions we consider in this paper is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_T = \{(u, n) : u \in S^2([0, T]), n \in \mathcal{W}^1([0, T])\}.$$

We will also use the following notation:

$$C([0,T); \mathcal{H}_2) = \{(u,n) : u \in C([0,T); H^2) \cap C^1([0,T); L^2), \\ n \in C([0,T); H^1) \cap C^1([0,T); L^2)\}.$$

Here in this paper we only consider positive times, however the same results are valid also for negative times.

We now introduce some basic preliminary results which will be useful later in the analysis.

First of all we consider the linear propagator related to (1.1), namely

(2.1)
$$i\partial_t u = \alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u - \nabla \operatorname{div} u.$$

Lemma 2.1. Let u solve (2.1) with initial datum $u(0) = u_0$, then

(2.2)
$$u(t) = U_Z(t)u_0 = [U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P} + U(t)\mathbf{Q}]u_0,$$

where $U(t) = e^{it\Delta}$ is the Schrödinger evolution operator.

Proof. By taking the Fourier transform (2.1) we have

$$i\partial_t \hat{u} = -\alpha \xi \times \xi \times \hat{u} + \xi(\xi \cdot \hat{u})$$
$$= |\xi|^2 \left(\alpha \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) + \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)\right) \hat{u}(\xi),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi)$, $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)$ are two (3×3) -matrices defined by $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) = \frac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|^2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) = \mathbf{1} - \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity matrix . Hence we may write

$$\hat{u}(t) = e^{-i\alpha t|\xi|^2 \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) - it|\xi|^2 \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)} \hat{u}_0(\xi).$$

It is straightforward to see that $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)$ is a projection matrix, $0 \leq \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) \leq 1$, $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) = \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2(\xi)$, hence $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi)$ is its orthogonal projection. Consequently we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{u}(t) &= e^{-i\alpha t|\xi|^2 \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi)} e^{-it|\xi|^2 \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi)} \hat{u}_0(\xi) \\ &= \left(e^{-i\alpha t|\xi|^2} \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) + \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) \right) \left(e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) + \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) \right) \hat{u}_0(\xi) \\ &= \left(e^{-i\alpha t|\xi|^2} \hat{\mathbf{P}}(\xi) + e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}(\xi) \right) \hat{u}_0(\xi). \end{split}$$

By taking the inverse Fourier transform we find (2.2).

By the dispersive estimates for the standard Schrödinger evolution operator (see for example [C],[GV],[Y]), we have

(2.3)
$$||U(t)\mathbf{Q}f||_{L^{p}} \lesssim |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} ||\mathbf{Q}f||_{L^{p'}}$$

$$||U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}f||_{L^{p}} \lesssim |\alpha t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} ||\mathbf{P}f||_{L^{p'}},$$

for any $2 \le p \le \infty$, $t \ne 0$. These two estimates together give

$$||U_Z(t)f||_{L_x^p} \lesssim |t|^{-3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)} ||f||_{L_x^{p'}},$$

for $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let us notice that the dispersive estimate for $p = \infty$ does not hold for $U_Z(t)$ anymore because the projection operators \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P} are not bounded from L^1 into itself. Nevertheless by using the dispersive estimates in (2.3) and the result in [KT] we infer the whole set of Strichartz estimates for the irrotational and solenoidal part, separately. By summing them up we thus find the Strichartz estimates for the propagator in (2.2).

Lemma 2.2. Let (q,r), (γ,ρ) be two arbitrary admissible pairs and let $\alpha \geq 1$, then we have

(2.4)
$$\|U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}f\|_{L_{t}^{q}(I;L_{x}^{r})} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{q}} \|f\|_{L_{x}^{2}},$$

$$\|\int_{0}^{t} U(\alpha(t-s))\mathbf{P}F(s) ds\|_{L_{t}^{q}(I;L_{x}^{r})} \leq C\alpha^{-\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)} \|F\|_{L_{t}^{\gamma'}(I;L_{x}^{\rho'})}.$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|U(t)\mathbf{Q}f\|_{L^q_t(I;L^r_x)} &\leq C\|f\|_{L^2_x},\\ \left\|\int_0^t U(t-s)\mathbf{Q}F(s)\,ds\right\|_{L^q_t(I;L^r_x)} &\leq C\|F\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t(I;L^{\rho'}_x)}, \end{split}$$

Consequently we also have

(2.6)
$$\left\| \int_0^t U_Z(t-s)F(s) \, ds \right\|_{L^q_t(I;L^r_x)} \le C \|F\|_{L^{\gamma'}_t(I;L^{\rho'}_x)}.$$

Remark 2.3. The following remarks are in order.

- From the estimates in the Lemma above it is already straightforward that, at least in the linear evolution, we can separate the fast and slow dynamics and that the fast one is asymptotically vanishing. This is somehow similar to what happens with rapidly varying dispersion management, see for example [ASS].
- Let us notice that the constants in (2.5) and (2.6) are uniformly bounded for $\alpha \geq 1$. This is straightforward but it is a necessary remark to infer that the existence time in the local well-posedness section is uniformly bounded from below for any $\alpha \geq 1$.

3. Local existence theory.

In this Section we study the local well-posedness of (1.1) in the space \mathcal{H}_2 . We are going to perform a fixed point argument in order to find a unique local solution in the time interval [0,T], for some $0 < T < \infty$. By standard arguments it is then possible to extend the solution up to a maximal time T_{max} for which the blow-up alternative holds. However, due to the loss of derivatives on the term $|u|^2$, we cannot proceed in a straightforward way, thus we follow the approach in [OT1] where the authors use an auxiliary system to overcome this difficulty. More precisely, let us define $v := \partial_t u$, then by differentiating the Schrödinger equation in (1.1) with respect to time, we write the following system

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v - \alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times v + \nabla \operatorname{div} v = nv + \partial_t nu \\ \partial_{tt} n - \Delta n = \Delta |u|^2 \\ iv - \alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u = nu \end{cases}.$$

Differently from [OT1], here we encounter a further difficulty. Indeed we have that the initial datum for v is given by

(3.2)
$$v(0) = -i\alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u_0 + i\nabla \operatorname{div} u_0 - in_0 u_0,$$

which in general is not uniformly bounded in L^2 for $\alpha \geq 1$. Hence the standard fixed point argument applied to the integral formulation of (3.1) would give a local solution on a time interval $[0, T^{\alpha}]$, where T^{α} goes to zero as α goes to infinity. For this reason we introduce the alternative variable

(3.3)
$$\tilde{v}(t) := v(t) - U(\alpha t) \mathbf{P}(i\alpha \Delta u_0),$$

for which we prove that the existence time T^{α} is uniformly bounded from below for $\alpha \geq 1$. The main result of this Section concerns the local well-posedness for (3.1).

Proposition 3.1. Let $(u_0, n_0, n_1) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ be such that

$$M := \|(u_0, n_0, n_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}$$

Then, for any $\alpha \geq 1$ there exists $\tau = \tau(M)$ and a unique local solution $(u, n) \in \mathcal{C}([0, \tau]; \mathcal{H}_2)$ to (1.1) such that

$$\sup_{[0,\tau]} \|(u,n,\partial_t n)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \le 2M$$

and

$$||v||_{L^2_t L^6_x} \le CM,$$

where C does not depend on $\alpha \geq 1$.

By standard arguments we then extend the local solution in Proposition 3.1 to a maximal existence interval where the standard blow-up alternative holds true.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(u_0, n_0, n_1) \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then for any $\alpha \geq 1$ there exists a unique maximal solution $(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha})$ to (3.1) with initial data $(u_0, v(0), n_0, n_1), v(0)$ given by (3.2), on the maximal existence interval $I_{\alpha} := [0, T_{max}^{\alpha})$, for some $T_{max}^{\alpha} > 0$. The solution satisfies the following regularity properties:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ u^{\alpha} \in \, \mathcal{C}(I_{\alpha};H^2), \ u^{\alpha} \in S^2([0,T]), \ \forall \ 0 < T < T^{\alpha}_{max}, \\ \bullet \ v^{\alpha} \in \, \mathcal{C}(I_{\alpha};L^2), \ v^{\alpha} \in S^0([0,T]), \ \forall \ 0 < T < T^{\alpha}_{max}, \end{array}$
- $n^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}(I_{\alpha}; H^{1}) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}(I_{\alpha}; L^{2}).$

Moreover, the following blow-up alternative holds true: $T_{max}^{\alpha} < \infty$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t \to T^{\alpha}} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha})(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \infty.$$

Finally, the map $\mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{C}([0, T_{max}); \mathcal{H}_2)$ associating any initial datum to its solution is a continuous operator.

Remark 3.3. The blow-up alternative above also implies in particular that the family of maximal existence times T^{α} is strictly bounded from below by a positive constant, i.e. there exists a $T^* > 0$ such that $T^* \leq T^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.2 yields in a straightforward way from Theorem 3.2 above.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha})$ be the solution to (3.1) constructed in Theorem 3.2, then to prove the Theorem 1.2 we only need to show that we identify $\partial_t u^{\alpha} = v^{\alpha}$ in the distribution sense. Let us differentiate with respect to t the equation

$$(1 - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{Q})u = iv - (n-1)\left(u_0 + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds\right)$$

obtaining

(3.4)
$$(1 - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{Q}) \partial_t u = i \partial_t v - (n-1)v - \partial_t n \left(u_0 + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds \right),$$

this equation holding in H^{-2} , while the first equation of (3.1) gives us

$$(1 - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{Q})v = i\partial_t v - (n - 1)v - \partial_t n \left(u_0 + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds\right).$$

Also the equation above is satisfied in H^{-2} and therefore in the same distributional sense we have

$$\partial_t u = v.$$

Moreover from (3.4) we get

$$\partial_t u = (1 - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} \left(i \partial_t v - (n - 1)v - \partial_t n \left(u_0 + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds \right) \right) \in \mathcal{C}(I; L^2)$$

therefore $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(I; L^2)$. It is straightforward that $u^{\alpha}(0, x) = u_0$ and so the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. As discussed above, we are going to prove the result by means of a fixed point argument. Let us define the function

$$\tilde{v}(t) := v(t) - U(\alpha t) \mathbf{P}(i\alpha \Delta u_0).$$

We look at the integral formulation for (3.1), namely

(3.5)
$$v(t) = U_Z(t)v(0) - i \int_0^t U_Z(t-s) (nv + \partial_t nu) (s) ds$$

$$n(t) = \cos(t|\nabla|)n_0 + \frac{\sin(t|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}n_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}\Delta|u|^2 ds,$$

with u determined by the following elliptic equation

$$-\alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u = n \left(u_0 + \int_0^t v(s) \, ds \right) - iv,$$

and v(0) is given by (3.2). This implies that \tilde{v} must satisfy the following integral equation

$$\tilde{v}(t) = U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(-in_0u_0) + U(t)\mathbf{Q}(i\Delta u_0 - in_0u_0)$$
$$-i\int_0^t U_Z(t-s)\left(\tilde{v}n + nU(\alpha \cdot)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha\Delta u_0) + \partial_t nu\right)(s) ds.$$

Let us consider the space

$$X = \{(\tilde{v}, n) : \tilde{v} \in S^2([0, T]), n \in \mathcal{W}^1([0, T]), \\ \|\tilde{v}\|_{S^2(I)} \le M, \|n\|_{\mathcal{W}^1(I)} \le M \},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(\tilde{v},n)\|_X := \|\tilde{v}\|_{S^2(I)} + \|n\|_{W^1(I)}$$

Here $0 < T \le 1, M > 0$ will be chosen subsequently and I := [0, T]. From the third equation in (3.1) and the definition of \tilde{v} we have

(3.6)
$$-\alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u + \nabla \operatorname{div} u = -i\tilde{v} - iU(\alpha t)(i\alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} u_0) \\ -in\left(u_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{v}(s) + U(\alpha s)(i\alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} u_0) ds\right),$$

thus it is straightforward to see that given n, \tilde{v} , then u is uniquely determined. Furthermore, by applying the projection operators \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} , respectively, to (3.6) we obtain

$$\alpha \Delta \mathbf{P} u = -i \mathbf{P} [\tilde{v} + U(\alpha t) \mathbf{P} (i\alpha \Delta u_0)] + \mathbf{P} \left[n \left(u_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{v}(s) + U(\alpha s) \mathbf{P} (i\alpha \Delta u_0) \, ds \right) \right]$$

and

$$\Delta \mathbf{Q}u = -i\mathbf{Q}\tilde{v} + \mathbf{Q}\left[n\left(u_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{v}(s) + U(\alpha s)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha\Delta u_0)\,ds\right)\right].$$

We now estimate the irrotational and solenoidal parts of Δu separately. Let us start with $\mathbf{Q}\Delta u$: by Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding we obtain

$$\|\Delta \mathbf{Q} u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} + \|n\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}} + T^{1/2} \|n\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} \|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + T^{1/2} \|n\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} \|U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha\Delta u_{0})\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}}.$$

To estimate the last term, we use the Strichartz estimate in (2.4); let us notice that by choosing the admissible exponents (q, r) = (2, 6) we obtain a factor α^{-1} in the estimate, which balances the term α appearing above. We thus have

$$\|\Delta \mathbf{Q}u\|_{L^{\infty}_{*}L^{2}_{x}} \lesssim (\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}} + 1)M + M^{2}.$$

By similar calculations, we also obtain an estimate for $\mathbf{P}\Delta u$,

$$\|\mathbf{P}\Delta u\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}M + M^{2}.$$

We then sum up the contributions given by the irrotational and solenoidal parts to get

$$(3.7) ||u||_{L^{\infty}_{L^{2}}H^{2}} \lesssim ||u_{0}||_{H^{2}}^{2} + ||u_{0}||_{H^{2}}M + M^{2} \leq C(||u_{0}||_{H^{2}})(1 + M^{2}).$$

Similar calculations also give

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u'\|_{L^{\infty}(I;H^{2})} &\lesssim \|\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}'\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}L^{2}_{x}} + \|n - n'\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}H^{1}_{x}} \\ &+ M(\|n - n'\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}H^{1}_{x}} + \|\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}'\|_{L^{2}_{t}L^{6}_{x}}) \\ &\leq C(1 + M)\|(\tilde{v}, n) - (\tilde{v}', n')\|_{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Given $(\tilde{v}, n) \in X$ we define the map $\Phi: X \to X$, $\Phi(\tilde{v}, n) = (\Phi_S, \Phi_W)(\tilde{v}, n)$ by

(3.8)
$$\Phi_{S} = U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(-in_{0}u_{0}) + U(t)\mathbf{Q}(i\Delta u_{0} - in_{0}u_{0})$$

$$-i\int_{0}^{t} U(\alpha(t-s))\mathbf{P}(\tilde{v}n + nU(\alpha \cdot)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha\Delta u_{0}) + \partial_{t}nu)(s) ds$$

$$-i\int_{0}^{t} U(t-s)\mathbf{Q}(n\tilde{v} + nU(\alpha \cdot)(i\alpha\Delta u_{0}) + \partial_{t}nu)(s) ds$$

(3.9)
$$\Phi_W = \cos(t|\nabla|)n_0 + \frac{\sin(t|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}n_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}\Delta|u|^2(s) ds,$$

where u in the formulas above is given by (3.6) and its $L_t^{\infty} H_x^2$ norm is bounded in (3.7). Let us first prove that, by choosing T and M properly, Φ maps X into itself. Let us first analyze the Schrödinger part (3.8), by the Strichartz estimates in Lemma 2.2, Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding we have

$$||U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(-in_0u_0) + U(t)\mathbf{Q}(i\Delta u_0 - in_0u_0)||_{L^qL^r} \lesssim ||u_0||_{H^2} + ||n_0||_{H^1}||u_0||_{H^2}$$

We treat the inhomogeneous part similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} U_{Z}(t-s) \left(n\tilde{v} + nU(\alpha s)(i\alpha \mathbf{P}\Delta u_{0}) \right)(s) ds \right\|_{L_{t}^{q}L_{x}^{r}} \lesssim \|n\tilde{v} + nU(\alpha \cdot)(i\alpha \Delta \mathbf{P}u_{0})\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim T^{1/2} \|n\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} (\|\tilde{v}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + \|U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha \Delta u_{0})\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}}) \lesssim T^{1/2} M(M + \|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}).$$

where in the last inequality we again used (2.4) with (2,6) as admissible pair. Similarly,

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} U_{Z}(t-s) \left(\partial_{t} n u \right)(s) ds \right\|_{L_{t}^{q} L_{x}^{r}} \lesssim T \| \partial_{t} n \|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}} \| u \|_{L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\lesssim C(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}}) T M(1+M^{2}),$$

where in the last line we use the bound (3.7). Collecting these estimates we get

For the wave component we use formula (3.9) and Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi_{W}(v,n)\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1}(I)} &\leq C(1+T)\|n_{0}\|_{H^{1}} + \|n_{1}\|_{L^{2}} + \|\Delta|u|^{2}\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}} \\ &\leq C\left(\|n_{0}\|_{H^{1}} + \|n_{1}\|_{L^{2}}\right) + T\|u\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}}^{2}, \end{split}$$

where we used the fact that $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra. From (3.7) we infer

The bounds (3.10) and (3.11) together yield

$$\|\Phi(\tilde{v},n)\|_X \le C(\|(u_0,n_0,n_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}) + CT^{1/2}M(1+M^3).$$

Let us choose M such that

$$\frac{M}{2} = C(\|(u_0, n_0, n_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2})$$

and T such that

$$CT^{1/2}(1+M^3) < \frac{1}{2},$$

we then obtain $\|\Phi(\tilde{v}, n)\|_X \leq M$. Hence Φ maps X into itself. It thus remains to prove that Φ is a contraction. Arguing similarly to what we did before we obtain

$$\|\Phi_{S}(\tilde{v},n) - \Phi_{S}(\tilde{v}',n')\|_{L_{t}^{q}L_{x}^{r}} \leq CT^{1/2}(1+M)\|(\tilde{v},n) - (\tilde{v}',n')\|_{L_{t}^{q}L_{x}^{r}}$$
$$\|\Phi_{W}(\tilde{v},n) - \Phi_{W}(\tilde{v}',n')\|_{W^{1}(I)} \leq CT(1+M^{3})\|(\tilde{v},n) - (\tilde{v}',n')\|_{W^{1}(I)}.$$

By possibly choosing a smaller T>0 such that $CT^{1/2}(1+M^3)<1$ then we see that $\Phi:X\to X$ is a contraction and consequently there exists a unique $(\tilde{v},n)\in X$ which is a fixed point for X. Let us notice that the time T depends only on M, hence $T=T(\|(u_0,n_0,n_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2})$. Furthermore from the definition of \tilde{v} it follows that (u,v,n) is a solution to (3.1), where $v=\tilde{v}+U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}(i\alpha\Delta u_0)$. From (3.7) we also see that the $L_t^\infty H_x^2$ norm of u is uniformly bounded in α .

Finally, from standard arguments we extend the solution on a maximal time interval, on which the standard blow-up alternative holds true and we can also infer the continuous dependence on the initial data. \Box

4. Convergence of solutions.

Given the well-posedness results of the previous Section, we are now ready to study the electrostatic limit for the vectorial Zakharov system (1.1). In order to understand the effective dynamics we consider the system (1.1) in its integral formulation, by splitting the Schrödinger linear propagator in its fast and slow dynamics, i.e. $U_Z(t) = U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P} + U(t)\mathbf{Q}$. In particular for u^{α} we have

$$u^{\alpha}(t) = U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}u_0 + U(t)\mathbf{Q}u_0 - i\int_0^t U(\alpha(t-s))\mathbf{P}(nu)(s) ds - i\int_0^t U(t-s)\mathbf{Q}(nu)(s) ds.$$

Due to fast oscillations, we expect that the terms of the form $U(\alpha t)f$ go weakly to zero as $\alpha \to 0$. This fact can be quantitatively seen by using the Strichartz estimates in (2.4). However, while for the third term we can choose (γ, ρ) in a suitable way such that it converges to zero in every Strichartz space, by the unitarity of $U(\alpha t)$ we see that $||U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}u_0||_{L^\infty_t L^2_x}$ cannot converge to zero, while $||U(\alpha t)\mathbf{P}u_0||_{L^q_t L^r_x} \to 0$ for any admissible pair $(q, r) \neq (\infty, 2)$.

This is indeed due to the presence of an initial layer for the electrostatic limit for (1.1) when dealing with "ill-prepared" initial data. In general, for arbitrary initial data, the right convergence should be given by

$$\tilde{u}^{\alpha}(t) := u^{\alpha}(t) - U(\alpha t) \mathbf{P} u_0 \to u^{\infty}$$

in all Strichartz spaces, where u^{∞} is the solution to (1.2). Let us notice that \tilde{u}^{α} is related to the auxiliary variable \tilde{v}^{α} defined in (3.3) and used to prove the local well-posedness results in Section 3, since we have $\tilde{v}^{\alpha} = \partial_t \tilde{u}^{\alpha}$.

Our strategy to prove the electrostatic limit goes through studying the convergence of $(v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, u^{\alpha})$, studied in the previous Section, towards solutions to

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t v^{\infty} + \Delta v^{\infty} = \mathbf{Q}(n^{\infty}v^{\infty} + \partial_t n^{\infty}u^{\infty}) \\ \partial_{tt} n^{\infty} - \Delta n^{\infty} = \Delta |u^{\infty}|^2 \\ iv^{\infty} + \Delta u^{\infty} = \mathbf{Q}(n^{\infty}u^{\infty}), \end{cases}$$

which is the auxiliary system associated to (1.2). Again, we exploit such auxiliary formulations in order to overcome the difficulty generated by the loss of derivatives on the terms $|u^{\alpha}|^2$ and $|u^{\infty}|^2$.

Unfortunately our strategy is not suitable to study the limit in the presence of an initial layer. Indeed for ill-prepared data we should consider \tilde{u}^{α} and consequently \tilde{v}^{α} defined in (3.3) for the auxiliary system. This means that when studying the auxiliary variable v^{α} the initial layer itself becomes singular. For this reason here we restrict ourselves to study the limit with well-prepared data. More specifically, we consider $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$(4.2) ||(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha}) - (u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty})||_{\mathcal{H}_2} \to 0$$

for some $(u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and

This clearly implies that the initial datum for the limit equation (1.2) is irrotational, i.e. $\mathbf{P}u_0^{\infty} = 0$.

Remark 4.1. In view of the above discussion, it is reasonable to think about studying the initial layer by considering the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system in low regularity spaces, by exploiting recent results in [BC, GTV, BH]. However this goes beyond the scope of our paper and it could be the subject of some future investigations.

To prove the convergence result stated in Theorem 1.3 we will study the convergence from (3.1) to (4.1). The main result of this Section is the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and let $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha})$, $(u_0^{\infty}, n_0^{\infty}, n_1^{\infty}) \in \mathcal{H}_2$ be initial data such that (4.2) and (4.3) hold true. Let $(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha})$ be the maximal solution to (3.1) with Cauchy data $(u_0^{\alpha}, n_0^{\alpha}, n_1^{\alpha})$ given by Theorem 3.2 and analogously let $(u^{\infty}, v^{\infty}, n^{\infty})$ be the maximal solution to (4.1) in the interval $[0, T_{max}^{\infty})$ accordingly to Theorem 1.1. Then for any $0 < T < T_{max}^{\infty}$ we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}) - (u^{\infty}, v^{\infty}, n^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{H}_2)} = 0.$$

The proof of the Theorem above is divided in two main steps. First of all we prove in Lemma 4.3 that, as long as the \mathcal{H}_2 norm of $(u^{\alpha}(T), n^{\alpha}(T), \partial_t n^{\alpha}(T))$ is bounded, then the convergence holds true in [0, T]. The second one consists in proving that the \mathcal{H}_2 bound on $(u^{\alpha}(T), n^{\alpha}(T), \partial_t n^{\alpha}(T))$ holds true for any 0 < T < 0

 T_{max}^{∞} . A similar strategy of proof is already exploited in the literature to study the asymptotic behavior of time oscillating nonlinearities, see for example [CS] where the authors consider a time oscillating nonlinearity or [AW] where in a system of two nonlinear Schrödinger equations a rapidly varying linear coupling term is averaging out the effect of nonlinearities. We also mention [CPS] where a similar strategy is also used to study a time oscillating critical Korteweg-de Vries equation.

Lemma 4.3. Let $(u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha})$, $(u^{\infty}, v^{\infty}, n^{\infty})$ be defined as in the statement of Theorem 4.2 and let us assume that for some $0 < T_1 < T_{max}^{\infty}$ we have

$$\sup_{\alpha>1} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T_1; \mathcal{H}_2)} < \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \left(\|u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}\|_{L_t^{\infty} H_x^2} + \|v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^6} + \|n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}\|_{\mathcal{W}^1} \right) = 0,$$

where all the norms are taken in the space-time slab $[0,T_1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. In particular we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha}) - (u^{\infty}, n^{\infty}, \partial_t n^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T_1; \mathcal{H}_2)} = 0.$$

We assume for the moment that Lemma 4.3 holds true, then we first show how this implies Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $0 < T < T_{max}^{\infty}$ be fixed and let us define

$$N := 2\|(u^{\infty}, n^{\infty}, \partial_t n^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{H}_2)}.$$

From the local well-posedness theory, see Proposition 3.1, there exists $\tau = \tau(N)$ such that the solution $(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_n^{\alpha})$ to (3.1) exists on $[0, \tau]$ and we have

$$\|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T_1;\mathcal{H}_2)} < \infty.$$

We observe that, because of what we said before, the choice $T_1 = \tau$ is always possible. By the Lemma 4.3 we infer that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha}) - (u^{\infty}, n^{\infty}, \partial_t n^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T_1; \mathcal{H}_2)} = 0.$$

On the other hand by the definition of N we have that, for $\alpha \geq 1$ large enough,

$$||(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_{t} n^{\alpha})(T_{1})||_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} \leq ||(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_{t} n^{\alpha})(T_{1}) - (u^{\infty}, n^{\infty}, \partial_{t} n^{\infty})(T_{1})||_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} + ||(u^{\infty}, n^{\infty}, \partial_{t} n^{\infty})(T_{1})||_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} \leq N.$$

Consequently we can apply Proposition 3.1 to infer that (u^{α}, n^{α}) exists on a larger time interval $[0, T_1 + \tau]$, provided $T_1 + \tau \leq T$, and again

$$\|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T_1 + \tau; \mathcal{H}_2)} \le 2N.$$

We can repeat the argument iteratively on the whole interval [0,T] to infer

$$||(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{H}_2)} \le 2N.$$

By using Lemma 4.3 this proves the Theorem.

It only remains now to prove Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let us fix

$$M := \sup_{\alpha} \sup_{[0,T_1]} \|(u^{\alpha}, n^{\alpha}, \partial_t n^{\alpha})(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}.$$

By using the integral formulation for (3.1) and (4.1) we have

$$v^{\alpha}(t) - v^{\infty}(t) = U(\alpha t) \mathbf{P}(\alpha \Delta u_0^{\alpha} - i u_0^{\alpha} n_0^{\alpha}) + U(t) \mathbf{Q}(v_0^{\alpha} - v_0^{\infty})$$
$$- i \int_0^t U(\alpha (t - s)) [\mathbf{P}(\partial_t (n^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}))](s) ds$$
$$- i \int_0^t U(t - s) [\mathbf{Q}(\partial_t (n^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}) - \partial_t (n^{\infty} u^{\infty}))](s) ds.$$

Now we use the Strichartz estimates in Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{split} \|v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}\|_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1}\|n_{0}^{\alpha}\|_{H^{1}}\|u_{0}^{\alpha}\|_{H^{2}} + \|v_{0}^{\alpha} - v_{0}^{\infty}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &+ \alpha^{-1/2}\|n^{\alpha}v^{\alpha} + \partial_{t}n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha}\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}} \\ &+ \|n^{\alpha}v^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}v^{\infty}\|_{L^{1}L^{2}} + \|\partial_{t}n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha} - \partial_{t}n^{\infty}u^{\infty}\|_{L^{1}L^{2}}. \end{split}$$

It is straightforward to check that, by Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{split} \|n^{\alpha}v^{\alpha} + \partial_{t}n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha}\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}} &\leq C(T, M), \\ \|n^{\alpha}v^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}} &\lesssim T^{1/2}(\|n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} + \|v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}}), \\ \|\partial_{t}n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha} - \partial_{t}n^{\infty}u^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{1}L_{x}^{2}} &\lesssim T\left(\|\partial_{t}n^{\alpha} - \partial_{t}n^{\infty}\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} + \|u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}}\right). \end{split}$$

By putting all the estimates together we obtain

$$||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} \lesssim ||\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1}||n_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{1}}||u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}}||u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1/2} + ||n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{1}} + T^{1/2}(||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{x}^{\infty}H^{2}} + ||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{x}^{2}L^{6}} + ||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}}).$$

To estimate the wave part in (3.1) and (4.1), we write

$$n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty} = \cos(t|\nabla|)(n_0^{\alpha} - n_0^{\infty}) - \frac{\sin(t|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|}(n_1^{\alpha} - n_1^{\infty})$$
$$+ \int_0^t \frac{\sin((t-s)|\nabla|)}{|\nabla|} \Delta(|u^{\alpha}|^2 - |u^{\infty}|^2) ds,$$

whence, by using again that $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra,

$$||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} \lesssim ||n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{1}} + ||n_{1}^{\alpha} - n_{1}^{\infty}||_{L^{2}} + T||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{*}^{\infty} H_{*}^{2}}.$$

The estimate for the difference $u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}$ is more delicate. From the third equations in (3.1) and (4.1) we have

$$-\alpha \nabla \times \nabla \times u^{\alpha} + \nabla \operatorname{div}(u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}) = i(v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}) - n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha} + \mathbf{Q}(n^{\infty}u^{\infty}).$$

Again, here we estimate separately the irrotational and solenoidal parts of the difference. For the solenoidal part we obtain

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{P}\Delta u^{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}_{+}L^{2}_{x}} \lesssim \|v^{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}_{+}L^{2}_{x}} + \|n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}_{+}L^{2}_{x}}.$$

To estimate the $L^{\infty}_t L^2_x$ norm of v^{α} on the right hand side we use (3.5) and Strichartz estimates to infer

$$||v^{\alpha}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \alpha ||\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}}||u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}}||n_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{1}} + 1.$$

Hence

$$\alpha \|\mathbf{P}\Delta u^{\alpha}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \alpha \|\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}\|_{H^{2}} + \|u_{0}^{\alpha}\|_{H^{2}} \|n_{0}\|_{H^{1}} + 1.$$

For the irrotational part

$$(4.4) \quad \|\mathbf{Q}\Delta(u^{\alpha}-u^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}L^{2}_{x}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{Q}(v^{\alpha}-v^{\infty})\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}L^{2}_{x}} + \|n^{\alpha}-u^{\alpha}-n^{\infty}u^{\infty}\|_{L^{\infty}_{t}L^{2}_{x}}.$$

By using (3.5), the analogue integral formulation for v^{∞} and by applying the Helmholtz projection operator \mathbf{Q} to their difference we have that the first term on the right hand side is bounded by

$$\|\mathbf{Q}(v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \|u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}\|_{H^{2}} + \|n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}\|_{H^{1}}$$
$$+ T^{1/2} \left(\|u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} + \|v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + \|n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} \right).$$

The second term on the right hand side of (4.4) is estimated by

$$\begin{split} \|n^{\alpha}u^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}u^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} &\lesssim \|n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \|u^{\alpha}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} \\ &+ \|n^{\infty}(u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} + \left\|n^{\infty}\int_{0}^{t}(v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty})\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}\|_{L^{2}} + T\|\partial_{t}n^{\alpha} - \partial_{t}n^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}}\right)M \\ &+ \|n^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \|u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}\|_{H_{x}^{2}} \\ &+ T^{1/2}\|n^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} \|v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{0}^{6}}. \end{split}$$

By summing up the two contribution in (4.4) we then get

$$\|\mathbf{Q}\Delta(u^{\alpha}-u^{\infty})\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \|u_{0}^{\alpha}-u_{0}^{\infty}\|_{H^{2}} + \|n_{0}^{\alpha}-n_{0}^{\infty}\|_{H^{1}} + T^{1/2}\left(\|u^{\alpha}-u^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} + \|v^{\alpha}-v^{\infty}\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + \|n^{\alpha}-n^{\infty}\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1}}\right).$$

Finally, we notice that, by using the Schrödinger equations in (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{2}} \lesssim T(||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{1}} + ||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}}),$$

so that

$$||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{2}} \lesssim ||u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{2}} + ||n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{1}} + ||\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1}$$
$$+ T^{1/2} \left(||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty} H_{x}^{2}} + ||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{6}} + ||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} \right).$$

Now we put everything together, we finally obtain

$$||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + ||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} + ||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} \lesssim$$

$$\lesssim ||\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1} + ||u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{2}} + ||n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{1}} + ||n_{1}^{\alpha} - n_{1}^{\infty}||_{L^{2}}$$

$$+ T^{1/2} \left(||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} + ||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + ||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} \right).$$

By choosing T small enough depending on M we can infer

$$||v^{\alpha} - v^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} + ||n^{\alpha} - n^{\infty}||_{\mathcal{W}^{1}} + ||u^{\alpha} - u^{\infty}||_{L_{t}^{\infty}H_{x}^{2}} \lesssim \lesssim ||\mathbf{P}u_{0}^{\alpha}||_{H^{2}} + \alpha^{-1} + ||u_{0}^{\alpha} - u_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{2}} + ||n_{0}^{\alpha} - n_{0}^{\infty}||_{H^{1}} + ||n_{1}^{\alpha} - n_{1}^{\infty}||_{L^{2}}.$$

This proves the convergence in the time interval [0,T], for T>0 small enough. Let now $0 < T_1$ be as in the statement of Lemma, we can divide $[0,T_1]$ into many subintervals of length T such that the convergence holds in any small interval. By gluing them together we prove the Lemma.

References

- [ASS] Antonelli P., Saut J.-C., Sparber C., Well-Posedness and averaging of NLS with time-periodic dispersion management, Adv. Diff. Eqns. 18, no. 1/2 (2013), 49–68.
- [AW] Antonelli P., Weishaeupl R., Asymptotic Behavior of Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems with Linear Coupling, JHDE 11, no. 1 (2014), 159–183.
- [BC] BOURGAIN, J., COLLIANDER, J., On wellposedness of the Zakharov system, International Mathematics Research Notices, 1996, no. 11.
- [BH] Bejenaru, I. Herr, S., Convolutions of singular measures and applications to the Zakharov system, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 2, 478-506.
- [CPS] CARVAJAL X., PANTHEE M., SCIALOM M., On the critical KdV equation with time-oscillating nonlinearity, Diff. Int. Eqns. 24, no. 5/6 (2011), 541–567.
- [C] CAZENAVE, T., Semilinear Schrödinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10. Ams.
- [CS] CAZENAVE T., SCIALOM M. A Schrödinger equation with time-oscillating nonlinearity, Rev. Mat. Comp. 23, no. 2 (2010), 321–339.
- [GM] GLANGETAS, L., MERLE, F., Existence of self-similar blowup solutions for Zakharov equations in dimension 2. Part I and II, Commun. Math. Phys. 160, 173-215 (1994).
- [GTV] GINIBRE, J., TSUTSUMI, Y., VELO, G., On the Cauchy problem for the Zakharov system J. Funct. Anal. 151 (1997), 384-436.
- [GV] GINIBRE, J., VELO, G., The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation revisited, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 2: 309-327 (1985).
- [KPV] KENIG, C. E., PONCE, G. AND VEGA, L., On the Zakharov and Zakharov-Schulman systems, J. Funct. Anal. 127 (1995) no. 1, 204-234.
- [KT] KEEL, M., TAO, T., Endpoint Strichartz inequalities, Amer. Jour. Math. 120 (1988) 955-980.
- [M] MERLE, F., Blow-up results of Viriel Type for Zakharov System, Comm. Math. Phys. 175 (1996), 433-455.
- [MN] MASMOUDI, N., NAKANISHI, K., Energy convergence for singular limits of Zakharov type systems, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), no. 3, 535-583.
- [OT1] Ozawa, T., Tsutsumi, Y., Existence of smoothing effect of solutions for the Zakharov equations, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 28 (1992), no. 3, 329-361.
- [OT2] Ozawa, T., Tsutsumi, Y., Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Zakharov equations in three space dimensions, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 3 (1993/94), Special Issue, 301-334.
- [SW] SCHOCHET, S.H., WEINSTEIN, M.I., The nonlinear Schrödinger limit of the Zakharov equations governing Langmuir turbulence, Comm. Math. Phys., 106 (1986), 569-580.
- [SS] SULEM, C., SULEM, P.L., The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Self-Focusing and Wave Collapse, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 139. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [SS2] C. Sulem, P.L. Sulem, Quelques résultats de régularité pour les équations de la turbulence de Langmuir, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 289 (1979), 173–176.
- [TtH] THORNHILL S.G., TER HAAR D., Langmuir Turbulence and Modulational Instability, Phys. Reports 43 (1978), 43-99.
- [Y] Yajima, K., Existence of solutions for Schrödinger evolution equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 110 (1987), no. 3, 415-426.
- [Z] ZAKHAROV, V.E., Collapse of Langmuir waves, Sov. Phys. JETP, 35 (1972), 908-914.

GSSI, Gran Sasso Science Institute, Viale F. Crispi 7, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy *E-mail address*: paolo.antonelli@gssi.infn.it

Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ luigi.forcella@sns.it}$