

DIRAC EQUATION IN FOUR TIME AND FOUR SPACE DIMENSIONS

J. A. Nieto¹ and M. Espinoza

Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas de la Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, 80010, Culiacán Sinaloa, México.

Abstract

The Dirac equation in four time and four space dimensions (or (4+4)-dimensions) is considered. Step by step we show that such an equation admits Majorana and Weyl solutions. In order to obtain the Majorana or Weyl spinors we used a method based on the construction of Clifford algebra in terms of 2x2-matrices. We argue that our approach can be useful in supergravity, superstrings and qubit theory.

Keywords: Dirac equation, qubit theory, Clifford algebra
Pacs numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.65.+e, 11.15.-q, 11.30.Ly
September, 2016

¹niet@uas.edu.mx; janieto1@asu.edu

In this work, we explore a number of features of the Dirac equation [1] in four time and four space dimensions (or $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions). There are a number of physical reasons to be interested in such a quest. First of all, consider the splitting of the $(4 + 4)$ -signature in the form $(4 + 4) = (3 + 1) + (1 + 3)$. One notes that $(3 + 1)$ is mere a changing signature of our ordinary $(1 + 3)$ -world. So, even from the beginning this splitting seems to contain some kind of duality between the two signatures $(3 + 1)$ and $(1 + 3)$. Assuming that an electron "lives" in $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions one wonders one could be the corresponding dual electron in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions. At this respect, it is worth mentioning that using a signature reversal a relation between $(3 + 1)$ and $(1 + 3)$ signatures has already been investigated in the context of string theory [2]-[3]. Secondly, in $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions there exist Majorana-Weyl spinors [4]-[7] (see also Ref. [8]) and therefore the 16 spinors complex components of the Dirac equation can be reduced to 4 complex spinor components: the same number than an ordinary $\frac{1}{2}$ -fermion in four dimensions. Analogue motivation may arise by considering the splitting $(4 + 4) = (2 + 2) + (2 + 2)$. It has been shown that the $(2 + 2)$ -signature is exceptional [9] and has interesting features such as Majorana-Weyl spinors. Another source of physical interest emerges from the fact that the $(4 + 4)$ -dimensional theory may be obtained from dimensional reduction to a $(5 + 5)$ -dimensional theory which originates from the so-called M' -theory [10]-[11] (see also Refs. [12]-[15]) which is defined in $(5 + 6)$ -dimensions. In fact, upon spacelike compactification the $(5 + 6)$ -dimensional theory leads to one Type II A' and two Type II B' string theories which "live" in $(5 + 5)$ -dimensions [11]. Of course, in this case, one must properly impose Majorana-Weyl constraints as in the case of superstrings [16] and supergravity [17]. Moreover, it is interesting that massless Dirac equation formulated in flat $(5 + 5)$ (or $(4 + 4)$) dimensions may lead to massive spinors in $(1 + 3)$ -dimensions [14]. In this context, it has been shown that the triality automorphisms of $Spin(8)$ act on Majorana-Weyl representations leading to relations among $(1 + 9) \leftrightarrow (5 + 5) \leftrightarrow (9 + 1)$ signatures, as well as their corresponding transverse signatures $(0 + 8) \leftrightarrow (4 + 4) \leftrightarrow (8 + 0)$ [13]. Finally, since the Dirac equation is a hidden root of supersymmetry which, recently, in turn has been linked to qubit theory [18] via the superqubits [19] (see also Refs. [20]-[25]) one may expect that there must exist a description of the Dirac equation in terms of qubit notion. In turn, $(4 + 4)$ -dimensions have an interesting connection with qubits and chirotopes [26]-[31]. So, we believe that eventually the $(4 + 4)$ -dimensional Dirac equation may shed some light on the superqubit notion.

Here, we show that, in fact, the $(4 + 4)$ -dimensional Dirac equation can be linked to the qubit theory. Our strategy rests on the use of a basic basis

set of 2×2 -matrices elements of $M(2, R)$. We show that from this structure one may obtain the Dirac gamma matrices and therefore the physical states associated with the Dirac equation can be written in terms of qubit sates.

Let us start considering the Dirac equation in any $(t+s)$ -signature, namely

$$(\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} + m)\psi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ are the gamma matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra

$$\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} + \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = 2\eta^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}. \quad (2)$$

Here, $\eta^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ is a $(t+s)$ -dimensional flat diagonal metric which depends on the signature $(t+s)$ (t times and s space dimensions). For instance in $(1+3)$ -dimensions, one has $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, while in contrast in $(3+1)$ -dimensions one gets $\eta^{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 1)$. Note that (1) depends on the signature via the expression (2).

In $(1+3)$ -dimensions, the three more common representations of the gamma matrices γ^{μ} are the Weyl (γ^{μ}_W), Dirac (γ^{μ}_D) and Majorana (γ^{μ}_M) representations. Explicitly, considering the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

one has

$$\gamma^1_W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2_W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma^3_W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4_W \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\gamma^1_D \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2_D \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^1 \\ -\sigma^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma^3_D \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4_D \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^3 \\ -\sigma^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma^1_M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2_M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma^3_M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4_M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma^1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying the unitary transformation

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

to γ_W^μ one can obtain γ_D^μ from $\gamma_D^\mu = V\gamma_W^\mu V^{-1}$. Correspondingly, one can get $\psi_D = V\psi_W$. Similarly, by applying the unitary transformation

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

one has $\gamma_M^\mu = W\gamma_D^\mu W^{-1}$ and $\psi_M = W\psi_D$. Moreover, by combining these two results one can go from Weyl to Majorana representation in the form $\gamma_M^\mu = WV\gamma_W^\mu V^{-1}W^{-1}$ and $\psi_M = WV\psi_W$. Observe that the Pauli matrix σ^2 plays an important role in these transformations.

When one changes the signature from $(1+3)$ to $(2+2)$ one notes that one may simply change σ^2 by $\rho^2 \equiv i\sigma^2$ and everything is modified accordingly. Of course, the difference is determined by the flat metric in Clifford algebra (2) by changing $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ for $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, -1, 1)$. However something peculiar happens when this change is performed. In the first case, the physical state ψ is complex but in the second case one may choose ψ to be real. Further, in the first case, one may impose either Majorana or Weyl conditions, but in the second case one finds that ψ can be both Majorana and Weyl spinor.

In order to consider the Dirac equation (1) in higher dimensions let us introduce the basic 2×2 -matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ij} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \varepsilon_{ij} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \eta_{ij} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_{ij} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These matrices determine, in fact, a basis for any 2×2 -matrix belonging to the set of 2×2 -matrices which we have denoted by $M(2, R)$. In fact, an arbitrary 2×2 -matrix can be written as

$$\Omega_{ij} = x\delta_{ij} + y\varepsilon_{ij} + r\eta_{ij} + s\lambda_{ij}. \quad (10)$$

A complex structure $\Omega_{ij} \rightarrow z_{ij} = x\delta_{ij} + y\varepsilon_{ij}$ is obtained from (10) by setting $r = 0$ and $s = 0$. In fact, by setting $\delta_{ij} \rightarrow 1$ and $\varepsilon_{ij} \rightarrow i$ one obtains a typical notation for a complex number, namely $z = x + iy$. Note also that if $ad - bc \neq 0$, that is if $\det \Omega \neq 0$, then the matrices in $M(2, R)$ can be

associated with the group $GL(2, R)$. If we further require $ad - bc = 1$, then one gets the elements of the subgroup $SL(2, R)$. It is worth mentioning that since one has the isomorphisms $M(2, R) \sim C(2, 0) \sim C(1, 1)$ the fundamental matrices $\delta_{ij}, \eta_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}$ and ε_{ij} given in (9) not only form a basis for $M(2, R)$ but also determine a basis for the Clifford algebras $C(2, 0)$ and $C(1, 1)$. Moreover, one can show that $C(0, 2)$ can be constructed using the fundamental matrices (9) and the well known Kronecker product \otimes . It turns out that $C(0, 2)$ is isomorphic to the quaternion algebra H . Indeed, there exist a theorem that establishes that all the others higher dimensional Clifford algebras of arbitrary signature $C(a, b)$ can be constructed from the building blocks $C(2, 0)$, $C(1, 1)$ and $C(0, 2)$ (see Refs. [4]-[8]).

Using the Kronecker product \otimes one can write the Pauli sigma matrices (3) in the form

$$\sigma_{10} = \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \quad \sigma_{22} = \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \quad \sigma_{30} = \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \quad (11)$$

In this expression, the numbers 1, 2, *etc* in the indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n indicate the level 2^n of the corresponding index, in other words, such numbers denote whether the matrices are $2 \times 2, 4 \times 4, 8 \times 8, 16 \times 16, \dots$ *etc*. For instance, the Pauli matrices in (11) are, indeed, 4×4 -matrices.

One can now write a representation of the Dirac gamma matrices in terms of σ_{10}, σ_{22} and σ_{30} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{300} &= \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{210} &= \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\ \gamma_{222} &= \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{230} &= \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Up to a sign, a Majorana representation is obtained multiplying each component in (12), on the left, by $(\gamma_{000} + \gamma_{222})$ and, simultaneously on the right, by the inverse $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{000} - \gamma_{222})$. In fact, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{122} &= \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{032} &= \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\ \gamma_{222} &= \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{012} &= \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Comparing (12) and (13) one notes that, in the first 2×2 -level, in the Dirac representation there are a combined terms of $\delta_{i_1 j_1}$ and $\varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}$, while in the Majorana representation there are only terms with $\varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}$. In the traditional notation of the gamma matrices this means that the Dirac representation is complex, while the Majorana representation is pure imaginary (or pure real).

It turns out that the procedure can be generalized to any signature $d = t+s$. For instance, in $(1 + 5)$ -dimensions, (13) can be extended in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{3000} &= \eta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{2300} &= \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{2210} &= \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{2222} &= \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{2230} &= \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, & \gamma_{2100} &= \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

While in $(3 + 5)$ -dimensions the expressions (14) become

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{30000} &= \eta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{23000} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \eta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22300} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22210} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22222} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22230} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22100} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{21000} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \lambda_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In this case the Majorana representation is obtained by multiplying, each component in (15), in left and right, by $(\gamma_{00000} + \gamma_{22222})$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{00000} - \gamma_{22222})$, respectively. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{12222} &= \lambda_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{01222} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \lambda_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{00122} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{00032} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22222} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{00012} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{00322} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{03222} &= \delta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \eta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Note that in this case once again the 2×2 -level involves only $\varepsilon_{i_1 j_1}$ which means that the Majorana representation is pure imaginary.

By convenience we wrote the gamma matrices without indices. But, in fact, in four dimensions one can use the prescription

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{300} &\rightarrow \gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}^{(0)}, & \gamma_{210} &\rightarrow \gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}^{(1)}, \\
\gamma_{222} &\rightarrow \gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}^{(2)}, & \gamma_{230} &\rightarrow \gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}^{(3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Hence, one finds that the Dirac equation (1) can be written as

$$(\gamma_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}^{(\mu)} \hat{p}_\mu + m_0 \delta_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3}) \psi^{j_1 j_2 j_3} = 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\delta_{i_1 i_2 i_3 j_1 j_2 j_3} = \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}$. From this expression, it is evident that one can generalize the procedure to any signature $d = t + s$ in the form

$$(\gamma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{(\hat{\mu})} \hat{p}_{\hat{\mu}} + m_0 \delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}) \psi^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} = 0, \tag{19}$$

where the indices $(\hat{\mu})$ run from 1 to $d = t + s$ and $\delta_{i_1 \dots i_n j_1 \dots j_n} = \delta_{i_n j_n} \otimes \dots \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}$. One requires, of course, that the quantities $\gamma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{(\hat{\mu})}$ satisfy the Clifford algebra, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{(\hat{\mu})} \gamma_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^{(\hat{\nu})} &+ \gamma_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{(\hat{\nu})} \gamma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{(\hat{\mu})} \\
&= 2\eta^{(\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu})} \delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Here, $\eta^{(\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu})} = \text{diag}(-1 - 1, \dots, -1, +1, +1, \dots, +1)$ is a flat metric in $(t + s)$ -dimensions.

Let us introduce the basis

$$|j_1 j_2 \dots j_n \rangle = |j_1 \rangle \otimes |j_2 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |j_n \rangle . \quad (21)$$

Thus, in general any physical state satisfying (19) can be written as (see Refs. [18] and references therein)

$$|\Psi \rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n=0}^1 \psi^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} |j_1 j_2 \dots j_n \rangle . \quad (22)$$

So, one has discovered that the spinors $\psi^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}$ in the Dirac equation (for any signature) may be considered as qubit states. For 3-qubit one has

$$|\Psi \rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3=0}^1 \psi^{j_1 j_2 j_3} |j_1 j_2 j_3 \rangle , \quad (23)$$

while for 4-qubit one finds

$$|\Psi \rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4=0}^1 \psi^{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} |j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 \rangle . \quad (24)$$

Comparing (18) with (23) one sees that the state associated with $\frac{1}{2}$ -spin particles (for instance, the electron state) in four dimensions can be identified with a 3-qubit state.

In order to obtain representation for the $(4+4)$ -signature one may multiply the term γ_{22222} in (15) by γ_{00002} . One obtains the representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{30000} &= \eta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{23000} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \eta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22300} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22210} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22220} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22230} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{22100} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}, \\
\gamma_{21000} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \lambda_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2} \otimes \delta_{i_1 j_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

One notes that in the first level of (25) all quantities contain $\delta_{i_1 j_1}$. So, in fact, $\delta_{i_1 j_1}$ is mere a amplification of (25) and therefore can be dropped leaving

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{3000} &= \eta_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \delta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2300} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \eta_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2230} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \eta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2221} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \lambda_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2222} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2223} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \eta_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2210} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \varepsilon_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \lambda_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2}, \\
\gamma_{2100} &= \varepsilon_{i_5 j_5} \otimes \lambda_{i_4 j_4} \otimes \delta_{i_3 j_3} \otimes \delta_{i_2 j_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In turn this means that the physical states $\psi^{j_1 j_2 \cdot j_3 j_4 j_5}$ can be reduced to $\psi^{j_2 j_3 \cdot j_4 j_5}$. In the usual notation, this is equivalent to reduce the complex spinor to real spinor. Moreover, observe that the matrices in (26) are now 16×16 instead of the 32×32 as in (15). Correspondingly $\psi^{j_2 j_3 \cdot j_4 j_5}$ has now only 16 components. This means that if in addition one imposes the Weyl

condition on $\psi^{j_2 j_3 \cdot j_4 j_5}$ then one obtains only 8 real components; surprisingly the same number of components of the Dirac spinor in (1 + 3)-dimensions. So one wonders, as in in Ref. [14], whether a massless Majorana-Weyl fermion in (4 + 4)-dimensions corresponds to a massive fermion in (1 + 3)-dimensions.

One can further clarify our approach by the following arguments. Suppose one starts with the two matrices

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= \lambda, \\ \gamma_2 &= \varepsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

(By convenience we shall not write the indices in the matrices $\delta, \varepsilon, \eta$ and λ given in (9).) These two matrices can be associated with the (1 + 1)-signature because $(\gamma_1)^2 = \gamma_0 = \delta$ and $(\gamma_2)^2 = -\gamma_0 = -\delta$ and $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_1 = 0$. One can move to the (1 + 2)-signature using in addition to γ_1 and γ_2 the matrix $\gamma_3 = \gamma_2 \gamma_1$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 &= \lambda, \\ \gamma_2 &= \varepsilon, \\ \gamma_3 &= \eta.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Now, consider the extended structure

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{10} &= \lambda \otimes \delta, \\ \gamma_{21} &= \varepsilon \otimes \lambda, \\ \gamma_{22} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon, \\ \gamma_{23} &= \varepsilon \otimes \eta,\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

obtained by introducing γ_{10} in the first row and putting a 2-index (or a ε) on the left hand side of each term in (28). One can check that all matrices γ in (29) satisfy the Clifford algebra (2) with flat (2 + 2)-signature metric.

For the (2+3)-signature, one now considers the product $\gamma_{30} = \gamma_{02} \gamma_{10} \gamma_{21} \gamma_{22} \gamma_{23}$ (Notes that in this expression γ_{02} acts as the imaginary unit i in the usual notation) and writes

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{10} &= \lambda \otimes \delta, \\ \gamma_{21} &= \varepsilon \otimes \lambda, \\ \gamma_{22} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon, \\ \gamma_{23} &= \varepsilon \otimes \eta, \\ \gamma_{30} &= \eta \otimes \delta.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

While for (3 + 3)-signature one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{100} &= \lambda \otimes \delta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{210} &= \varepsilon \otimes \lambda \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{221} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \lambda, \\
\gamma_{222} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon, \\
\gamma_{223} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \eta, \\
\gamma_{230} &= \varepsilon \otimes \eta \otimes \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Following similar procedure, for $(3 + 4)$ -signature one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{100} &= \lambda \otimes \delta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{210} &= \varepsilon \otimes \lambda \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{221} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \lambda, \\
\gamma_{222} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon, \\
\gamma_{223} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \eta, \\
\gamma_{230} &= \varepsilon \otimes \eta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{300} &= \eta \otimes \delta \otimes \delta,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

While for $(4 + 4)$ -signature one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1000} &= \lambda \otimes \delta \otimes \delta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{2100} &= \varepsilon \otimes \lambda \otimes \delta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{2210} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \lambda \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{2221} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \lambda, \\
\gamma_{2222} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon, \\
\gamma_{2223} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \eta, \\
\gamma_{2230} &= \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \eta \otimes \delta, \\
\gamma_{2300} &= \varepsilon \otimes \eta \otimes \delta \otimes \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The method can be extended to $(n + n)$ -dimensions. Simply, in the case of $(2n + (2n + 1))$ -signature one adds the gamma matrices $\gamma_{30\dots 0}$ at the end of the previous arrange of $(2n + 2n)$ -signature. While going from $(2n + (2n + 1))$ -signature to $((2n + 1) + (2n + 1))$ one adds in the first row a $\gamma_{10\dots 0}$ and in all the other terms one inserts on the left level a 2-index (or ε) of the previous signature. Observe that the signature in all these cases is obtained by considering that if the number of ε is even the square of the specific gamma matrices is $+\delta$ and if the number of ε is odd then the square of the gamma matrices is $-\delta$. Here, one should remember that the quantities are multiplied according to the level. Moreover, to calculate the complete Clifford algebra (2) one notes that any two different gamma matrices commute if the number of anticommuting terms is odd.

If instead of (27) one starts with

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_3 &= \eta, \\ \gamma_2 &= \varepsilon,\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

and follow similar procedure inserting the gamma matrices $\gamma_{30\dots 0}$ and $\gamma_{10\dots 0}$ at the beginning and at the end respectively and in all the other terms one inserts a 2-index (or ε) in left level of the previous signature one may prove that in $(4 + 4)$ -signature one obtains the result (26). In fact, in this case (26) corresponds to the Dirac representation, while (33) refers to the Weyl representation. Note that in each given $(n + n)$ dimension the $\gamma_{22\dots 2}$ plays a key role, in a sense it is the equilibrium gamma term between $\gamma_{10\dots 0}$ and $\gamma_{30\dots 0}$. Moreover, any other representation of the gamma matrices satisfying (2) can be obtained from the Weyl, Dirac or Majorana representation by similarity transformation.

One physical reason to be interested in these developments emerges from the observation that massless fermions in $(4+4)$ -dimension can lead to massive one in $(1 + 3)$ -dimension. In order to clarify this observation let us write the massless Dirac equation (1) as

$$(\gamma^\mu \hat{p}_\mu + \gamma^a \hat{p}_a)\psi = 0.\tag{35}$$

Here, the terms $\gamma^\mu \hat{p}_\mu$ and $\gamma^a \hat{p}_a$ refer to $(1 + 3)$ - and $(3 + 1)$ -signature, respectively. Note that if $\gamma^a \hat{p}_a$ determines a mass m in the form

$$\gamma^a \hat{p}_a \psi = m\psi,\tag{36}$$

then (35) becomes the massive Dirac equation in $(1+3)$ -dimensions and therefore in the world of $(1 + 3)$ -signature one has massive fermions. But since (36) can also be written as

$$(\gamma^a \hat{p}_a - m)\psi = 0,\tag{37}$$

one discovers that the in the mirror $(3+1)$ -world one also has massive fermions, but with opposite signed mass. So, the mass m is the quantity linking the two scenarios with $(1 + 3)$ and $(3 + 1)$ signatures: In one case m is positive and in the other is negative, respectively.

It is interesting that three index object η_{ijk} with components

$$\eta_{1ij} = \delta_{ij}; \quad \eta_{2ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}.\tag{38}$$

satisfies

$$\eta_{ij1} = \eta_{ij}; \quad \eta_{ij2} = \lambda_{ij}.\tag{39}$$

In Refs [26] and [27], in analogy with the curved metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^i e_\nu^j \eta_{ij}$, where η_{ij} is a flat metric and e_μ^i is a *zweibeins*, the three-index curved metric was proposed

$$g_{\mu\nu\lambda} = e_\mu^i e_\nu^j e_\lambda^k \eta_{ijk}. \quad (40)$$

Since the matrices (38) and (39) are linked to Clifford algebras a gravitational theory based on (40) may determine automatically a spin structure, which is necessary for supersymmetric scenarios.

In turn, such a gravitational theory may be linked to oriented matroid theory via the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ij} &\rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}^1, \mathbf{V}^2\}, & \eta_{ij} &\rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}^1, \mathbf{V}^3\}, \\ \lambda_{ij} &\rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}^2, \mathbf{V}^4\}, & \varepsilon_{ij} &\rightarrow \{\mathbf{V}^3, \mathbf{V}^4\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $\mathbf{V}^1, \mathbf{V}^2, \mathbf{V}^3$ and \mathbf{V}^4 are the columns of the matrix

$$V_i^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

with the index A taking values in the set $E = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. It turns out that the subsets $\{\mathbf{V}^1, \mathbf{V}^2\}$, $\{\mathbf{V}^1, \mathbf{V}^3\}$, $\{\mathbf{V}^2, \mathbf{V}^4\}$ and $\{\mathbf{V}^3, \mathbf{V}^4\}$ are bases over the real of the matrix (42). One can associate with these subsets the collection

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}, \quad (43)$$

which can be understood as a family of subsets of E . It is not difficult to show that the pair $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{B})$ is a 2-rank self-dual matroid (see Refs. [27] and [28] and references therein). The fact that we can express \mathcal{M} in the matrix form (42) means that this matroid is representable (or realizable). Moreover, one can show that this matroid is graphic and oriented. In the later case, the corresponding chirotope (see [29] and references therein) is given by

$$\chi^{AB} = \varepsilon^{ij} V_i^A V_j^B. \quad (44)$$

Thus, we get, as nonvanishing elements of the chirotope χ^{AB} , the combinations

$$12+, \quad 13-, \quad 24-, \quad 34+. \quad (45)$$

The signs in (45) correspond to the determinants of the matrices δ_{ij} , η_{ij} , λ_{ij} and ε_{ij} , which can be calculated using (44). Therefore, what we have shown is that the basis of $M(2, R)$ as given in (9) admits an oriented matroid interpretation (see Refs. [26]-[31] and references therein).

We believe that wherever one uses gamma matrices as supergravity and superstrings our approach can be useful and therefore a link between these physical scenarios with qubit theory can be established. In particular, a search for a connection between the present developments and the black-hole/qubit correspondence [20]-[25] may provide a source of motivation for further investigation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank to C. Pereyra for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by PROFAPI 2013.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. A: Math., Phys. Eng. Sci. **117** (778) (1928) 610.
- [2] M. J. Duff, J. Kalkkinen, Nucl. Phys. B **758** (2006) 161; hep-th/0605273.
- [3] M. J. Duff, J. Kalkkinen, Nucl. Phys. B **760** (2007) 64; hep-th/0605274.
- [4] C. Chevalley, *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors* (Columbia University Press, 1954).
- [5] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and C. DeWitt-Morette, *Analysis, Manifolds and Physics. Part II, Applications* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989).
- [6] P. Deligne, *Notes on Spinors, in : Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians* (American Mathematical Society, 1999).
- [7] R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, M. A. Lledo and V. S. Varadarajan, *Spinor algebras*, J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001) 101.
- [8] P. G. O. Freund, *Introduction to Supersymmetry* (Cambridge University Press, 1988).
- [9] J. A. Nieto, Nuovo Cim. B **120** (2005) 135; e-Print: hep-th/0410003.
- [10] C. Hull, JHEP **9811** (1998) 017; hep-th/9807127.

- [11] C. Hull, JHEP **9807** (1998) 021; hep-th/9806146.
- [12] C. Hull and R. R. Khuri, Nucl. Phys. B **536** (1998) 219; e-Print: hep-th/9808069.
- [13] M. A. De Andrade, M. Rojas and F. Toppan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 4453; hep-th/0005035.
- [14] M. Rojas, M. A. De Andrade, L. P. Colatto, J. L. Matheus-Valle, L. P. G. De Assis and J. A. Helayel-Neto, “Mass Generation and Related Issues from Exotic Higher Dimensions”: arXiv: 1111.2261 [hep-th].
- [15] M. A. De Andrade and I.V. Vancea., “Action for spinor fields in arbitrary dimensions”, e-Print: hep-th/0105025.
- [16] M. Green, J. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory* (Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1987).
- [17] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Supergravity*, Phys. Rep. **68** (1981) 189.
- [18] P. L evay, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38** (2005) 9075.
- [19] L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M. J. Duff and W. Rubens, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 105023; arXiv:0908.0706 [quant-ph].
- [20] L. Borsten, M. J. Duff and P. L evay, Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012) 224008; arXiv:1206.3166 [hep-th].
- [21] M. J. Duff and S. Ferrara, Lect. Notes Phys. **755** (2008) 93; arXiv: hep-th/0612036.
- [22] L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M. J. Duff, H. Ebrahim, W. Rubens, Phys. Rept. **471** (2009) 113; arXiv: hep-th/0809.4685.
- [23] M. J. Duff, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **462** (2013) 012012
- [24] M. J. Duff, and S. Ferrara, Subnucl. Ser. **44** (2008) 101.
- [25] L. Borsten, M. J. Duff, A. Marrani and W. Rubens, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **126** (2011) 37; arXiv:1101.3559 [hep-th].
- [26] J. A. Nieto and E. A. Leon, Braz. J. Phys. **40** (2010) 383; arXiv:0905.3543 [hep-th].
- [27] J. A. Nieto, Nucl. Phys. B **883** (2014) 350; arXiv:1402.6998 [hep-th].

- [28] J. A. Nieto, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006) 747; hep-th/0506106.
- [29] J. A. Nieto, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004) 177; hep-th/0310071.
- [30] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2013) 1543; arXiv:1210.0928 [hep-th].
- [31] J. A. Nieto, Phys. Lett. B **692** (2010) 43; arXiv:1004.5372 [hep-th].