

# Monotonicity of $p$ -norms of multiple operators via unitary swivels

Mark M. Wilde\*

July 26, 2016

## Abstract

Following the various statements of [DW16] to their logical conclusion, this note explicitly argues the following statement, implicit in [DW16]: for positive semi-definite operators  $C_1, \dots, C_L$ , a unitary  $V_{C_i}$  commuting with  $C_i$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , the quantity

$$\max_{V_{C_1}, \dots, V_{C_L}} \left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p^p$$

is monotone non-increasing with respect to  $p$ . The idea from [DW16] is that by allowing unitary swivels connecting a long chain of positive semi-definite operators together, we can establish such a statement, which might not hold generally without the presence of the unitary swivels. Other related statements follow directly from [DW16] as well, being implicit there, and are given explicitly in this note.

## 1 Introduction

In this short note, I conduct the exercise of combining the various statements given in [DW16] and taking them to their logical conclusion. The result is a monotonicity inequality regarding  $p$ -norms of multiple operators strung together in a sequence. The only modification I make to the prior statements from [DW16] is to substitute density operators with general positive semidefinite operators. In [DW16], my coauthor and I were motivated by concerns in quantum information theory, and so there we worked exclusively with density operators (positive semi-definite operators with trace equal to one); however, it is obvious that all of the inequalities established there extend to the more general case when the operators are positive semi-definite with no restriction on their trace.

One of the main messages of [DW16] is that it is possible to establish non-trivial orderings of generalized Rényi entropies formed by connecting the marginals of density operators together in a product under a Schatten  $p$ -norm, while at the same time allowing for “unitary swivels” between these operators. In [DW16], my coauthor and I used the phrase “unitary swivels” to describe the method for arriving at the aforementioned inequalities, because, in spite of the fact that straightforward multi-operator extensions of the statements do not appear to be generally true, we showed how they hold if allowing for unitary swivels interleaved in a large chain of operators connected together. The bedrock upon which these results rested is the powerful method of complex

---

\*Hearne Institute for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Center for Computation and Technology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

interpolation [BL76], which has found a number of applications in a variety of areas in mathematics and physics.

To begin with, let us recall the following explicit statement from [DW16, Proposition 18], as specialized in [DW16, Corollary 19]:<sup>1</sup>

$$\max_{V_{\rho_C}} \left\| \rho_{AC}^{1/p} V_{\rho_C} \rho_C^{-1/p} \rho_{BC}^{1/p} \right\|_p^p \text{ is monotone non-increasing for } p \geq 2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho_{ABC}$  is a density operator acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C$ ,  $\rho_{BC} = \text{Tr}_A\{\rho_{ABC}\}$ ,  $\rho_{AC} = \text{Tr}_B\{\rho_{ABC}\}$ , and  $\rho_C = \text{Tr}_{AB}\{\rho_{ABC}\}$  are its marginals,  $V_{\rho_C}$  is a unitary commuting with  $\rho_C$ , and  $\|X\|_p \equiv [\text{Tr}\{|X|^p\}]^{1/p}$  is the Schatten  $p$ -norm of an operator  $X$ . In [DW16, Section 6], it was discussed how one can chain together various density operators acting on tensor-product Hilbert spaces and obtain results similar to those given in the rest of the paper [DW16]. Carrying this through, the conclusion is that the following statement holds

$$\max_{V_{C_1, \dots, V_{C_L}}} \left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} C_2^{1/p} V_{C_2} \dots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p^p \text{ is monotone non-increasing for } p \geq 2, \quad (2)$$

for  $C_1, \dots, C_L$  density operators and  $V_{C_i}$  a unitary commuting with  $C_i$ . In [DW16, Remark 12], it was mentioned how the optimizations over commuting unitaries can be replaced with more explicit bounds found by applying the Stein–Hirschman operator interpolation theorem [Ste56, Hir52]. Carrying this statement through as well, the conclusion is that the following inequality holds for  $2 \leq q \leq p$ :

$$\log \left\| C_1^{1/p} C_2^{1/p} \dots C_L^{1/p} \right\|_p^p \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_{q/p}(t) \log \left\| C_1^{(1+it)/q} C_2^{(1+it)/q} \dots C_L^{(1+it)/q} \right\|_q^q, \quad (3)$$

if  $C_1, \dots, C_L$  are density operators and  $\beta_\theta(t) \equiv \sin(\pi\theta)/(2\theta [\cosh(\pi t) + \cos(\pi\theta)])$ , a probability distribution over  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and with a parameter  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . In [DW16, Section 6], it was also discussed how one can obtain limits of the inequalities presented in the paper by applying the well known Lie-Trotter product formula. Carrying this through (i.e., taking the limit  $p \rightarrow \infty$ ), the conclusion is that the following inequality holds

$$\log \text{Tr} \{ \exp \{ \log C_1 + \dots + \log C_L \} \} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_0(t) \log \left\| C_1^{(1+it)/q} C_2^{(1+it)/q} \dots C_L^{(1+it)/q} \right\|_q^q, \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta_0(t) \equiv \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \beta_\theta(t) = \pi/(2[\cosh(\pi t) + 1])$ . By inspection of the proof given in [DW16, Proposition 18], it is clear that the inequalities in (3)–(4) hold for positive semi-definite operators as well. We can also see from that proof that (3) holds more generally for  $1 \leq q \leq p$  and (4) for  $1 \leq q$ .

## 2 Explicit Proofs of (2)–(4)

In the rest of this note, I give explicit proofs of (2)–(4) for the benefit of the reader, following the steps outlined in [DW16] line by line.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here and throughout, I am following the labeling in the arXiv post for [DW16].

**Theorem 1** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_L$  be positive semi-definite operators, let  $V_{C_i}$  denote a unitary commuting with  $C_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ , and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then the following quantity is monotone non-increasing with respect to  $p$ :*

$$\max_{V_{C_1}, \dots, V_{C_L}} \left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p^p. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** The proof of this statement is essentially identical to the proof of [DW16, Proposition 18]. It is a consequence of a well known complex interpolation theorem recalled as Lemma 4 below. Let  $V_{C_1}, \dots, V_{C_L}$  denote a set of fixed unitaries, where  $V_{C_i}$  commutes with  $C_i$ . Let  $q$  be such that  $1 \leq q < p$  (there is nothing to prove if  $q = p$ ). For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , pick

$$G(z) = C_1^{z/q} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{z/q} V_{C_L}, \quad (6)$$

$$p_0 = \infty, \quad (7)$$

$$p_1 = q, \quad (8)$$

$$\theta = q/p, \quad (9)$$

the choices above being identical to those in [DW16, Eq. (7.6)-(7.9)]. This implies that  $p_\theta = p$ . Applying Lemma 4 gives

$$\|G(\theta)\|_p \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(it)\|_\infty^{1-\theta} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(1+it)\|_q^\theta. \quad (10)$$

Consider that

$$\|G(\theta)\|_p = \left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p, \quad (11)$$

$$\|G(it)\|_\infty = \left\| C_1^{it/q} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{it/q} V_{C_L} \right\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\|G(1+it)\|_q = \left\| C_1^{(1+it)/q} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{(1+it)/q} V_{C_L} \right\|_q \quad (13)$$

$$= \left\| C_1^{1/q} C_1^{it/q} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/q} C_L^{it/q} V_{C_L} \right\|_q \quad (14)$$

$$\leq \max_{W_{C_1}, \dots, W_{C_L}} \left\| C_1^{1/q} W_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/q} W_{C_L} \right\|_q, \quad (15)$$

which are conclusions identical to those in [DW16, Eq. (7.11)-(7.17)]. Putting everything together, we find that, for all  $V_{C_1}, \dots, V_{C_L}$ , the following inequality holds

$$\left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p \leq \max_{W_{C_1}, \dots, W_{C_L}} \left\| C_1^{1/q} W_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/q} W_{C_L} \right\|_q^\theta, \quad (16)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left\| C_1^{1/p} V_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/p} V_{C_L} \right\|_p^p \leq \max_{W_{C_1}, \dots, W_{C_L}} \left\| C_1^{1/q} W_{C_1} \cdots C_L^{1/q} W_{C_L} \right\|_q^q. \quad (17)$$

Since (17) holds for all  $V_{C_1}, \dots, V_{C_L}$ , the statement of the theorem follows. ■

**Theorem 2** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_L$  be positive semi-definite operators, and let  $p > q \geq 1$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\log \left\| C_1^{1/p} C_2^{1/p} \cdots C_L^{1/p} \right\|_p^p \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_{q/p}(t) \log \left\| C_1^{(1+it)/q} C_2^{(1+it)/q} \cdots C_L^{(1+it)/q} \right\|_q^q. \quad (18)$$

**Proof.** Here we directly follow the suggestion from [DW16, Remark 12]. Pick  $G(z)$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ , and  $\theta$  as in (6)–(9), with  $V_{C_1} = \dots = V_{C_L} = I$ . Applying Lemma 5 below, we find that

$$\log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_\theta} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \alpha_\theta(t) \log \|G(it)\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} + \beta_\theta(t) \log \|G(1+it)\|_{p_1}^\theta. \quad (19)$$

After using that

$$\log \|G(it)\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} = \log \left\| C_1^{it/q} \dots C_L^{it/q} \right\|_{\infty}^{1-\theta} \leq 0, \quad (20)$$

as recalled above, we are left with

$$\log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_\theta} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_\theta(t) \log \|G(1+it)\|_{p_1}^\theta. \quad (21)$$

This is then equivalent to the statement of the theorem. ■

**Corollary 3** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_L$  be positive definite operators, and let  $q \geq 1$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\log \operatorname{Tr} \{ \exp \{ \log C_1 + \dots + \log C_L \} \} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_0(t) \log \left\| C_1^{(1+it)/q} C_2^{(1+it)/q} \dots C_L^{(1+it)/q} \right\|_q^q. \quad (22)$$

**Proof.** Consider that

$$\left\| C_1^{1/2p} C_2^{1/2p} \dots C_L^{1/2p} \right\|_{2p}^{2p} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \left[ C_L^{1/2p} \dots C_2^{1/2p} C_1^{1/p} C_2^{1/2p} \dots C_L^{1/2p} \right]^p \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Then by the multioperator Lie–Trotter product formula [Suz85], we have that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \left[ C_L^{1/2p} \dots C_2^{1/2p} C_1^{1/p} C_2^{1/2p} \dots C_L^{1/2p} \right]^p \right\} = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \exp \{ \log C_1 + \dots + \log C_L \} \}. \quad (24)$$

The inequality in the statement of the corollary is then a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and the above. ■

**Lemma 4** *Let  $S \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \{z\} \leq 1\}$ , and let  $L(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of bounded linear operators acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $G : S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H})$  be a bounded map that is holomorphic on the interior of  $S$  and continuous on the boundary.<sup>2</sup> Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and define  $p_\theta$  by*

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad (25)$$

where  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ . For  $k = 0, 1$  define

$$M_k = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(k+it)\|_{p_k}. \quad (26)$$

Then

$$\|G(\theta)\|_{p_\theta} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta. \quad (27)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>A map  $G : S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H})$  is holomorphic (continuous, bounded) if the corresponding functions to matrix entries are holomorphic (continuous, bounded).

The following lemma is based on Hirschman's improvement of the Hadamard three-line theorem [Hir52].

**Lemma 5 (Stein–Hirschman)** *Let  $S \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}\{z\} \leq 1\}$  and let  $G : S \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H})$  be a bounded map that is holomorphic on the interior of  $S$  and continuous on the boundary. Let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and define  $p_\theta$  by*

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad (28)$$

where  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ . Then the following bound holds

$$\log \|G(\theta)\|_{p_\theta} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \alpha_\theta(t) \log \|G(it)\|_{p_0}^{1-\theta} + \beta_\theta(t) \log \|G(1+it)\|_{p_1}^\theta, \quad (29)$$

where  $\alpha_\theta(t)$  and  $\beta_\theta(t)$  are defined by

$$\alpha_\theta(t) \equiv \frac{\sin(\pi\theta)}{2(1-\theta) [\cosh(\pi t) - \cos(\pi\theta)]},$$

$$\beta_\theta(t) \equiv \frac{\sin(\pi\theta)}{2\theta [\cosh(\pi t) + \cos(\pi\theta)]}.$$

**Remark 6** Fix  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Observe that  $\alpha_\theta(t), \beta_\theta(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \alpha_\theta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \beta_\theta(t) = 1, \quad (30)$$

(see, e.g., [Gra08, Exercise 1.3.8]) so that  $\alpha_\theta(t)$  and  $\beta_\theta(t)$  can be interpreted as probability density functions. Furthermore, the following limit holds

$$\lim_{\theta \searrow 0} \beta_\theta(t) = \frac{\pi}{2 [\cosh(\pi t) + 1]} = \beta_0(t), \quad (31)$$

where  $\beta_0$  is also a probability density function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## References

- [BL76] J. Bergh and Jorgen Löfström. *Interpolation Spaces*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1976.
- [DW16] Frédéric Dupuis and Mark M. Wilde. Swiveled Rényi entropies. *Quantum Information Processing*, 15(3):1309–1345, March 2016. arXiv:1506.00981.
- [Gra08] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. Springer, second edition, 2008.
- [Hir52] Isidore Isaac Hirschman. A convexity theorem for certain groups of transformations. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 2(2):209–218, December 1952.
- [Ste56] Elias M. Stein. Interpolation of linear operators. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 83(2):482–492, November 1956.
- [Suz85] Masuo Suzuki. Transfer-matrix method and Monte Carlo simulation in quantum spin systems. *Physical Review B*, 31(5):2957, March 1985.