

Tables of experimental branching ratios of Auger-type post collisional ionization of rare gases

C C Montanari and J E Miraglia

Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas and Universidad de Buenos Aires, C1428EGA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

E-mail: mclaudia@iafe.uba.ar

Abstract. When an electron is emitted from a sub-valence shell, a vacancy is created and there is a not-null probability for different post collisional ionization (PCI) processes giving rise to a higher target charge state. It is reasonable to consider that PCI is a time-delayed electron emission and, therefore, independent of the projectile. We include the tables of the branching ratios of 0–6 post-collisionally emitted electrons after single ionization of Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe in different inner shells. These values have already been employed with good results in previous calculations of multiple ionization of rare gases by electrons, positron, protons, antiprotons and different positive ions (see the text and references therein). These tables and explanation is included in [Montanari C C and Miraglia J E 2014, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **45**, 105203].

1. Introduction

The experimental and theoretical work on post-collisional electron emission provides detailed distributions of the different channels that contribute to each final charge state. The inclusion of PCI within multiple ionization (MI) calculations needs less detailed information, just the branching ratio of charge state distribution $F_{j,k}$, with j being the orbital of the initial single vacancy and k the number of electrons emitted in PCI.

Following [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], post-collisional MI is included using the accurate experimental data of single-photoionization ending in multiple-charged ions. While collecting these experimental values two points must be ensured: the sub-shell of the initial vacancy and that it is a single ionization followed by PCI (not double or triple photoionization, for example). In this way final target charge states can be attributed to post-collisional events.

As in our previous works [3, 4], we use this unitarity of the branching ratios (i.e. $1 = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{max}} F_{j,k}$) to introduce them in multinomial expression as

$$P_{(q_j)}(b) = \binom{N_j}{q_j} \left[p_j(b) \sum_{i=0}^{i_{max}} F_{j,i} \right]^{q_j} [1 - p_j(b)]^{N_j - q_j}. \quad (1)$$

Table 1. Branching ratios for multiple ionization of Ne and Ar. $F_{j,i}$ is the yield of single photoionization of the j -subshell followed by the post-collisional emission of i electrons from outer shells, with the final charge state being $i + 1$.

	Ne			Ar				
	1s ^a	2s ^b	2p ^b	1s ^c	2s ^d	2p ^d	3s ^{c,d,e}	3p
$F_{j,0}$	0.0193	0.98	0.98	0.070	0.000	0.005	1.00	1.00
$F_{j,1}$	0.921	0.02	0.02	0.105	0.010	0.863	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,2}$	0.0571	0.00	0.00	0.078	0.890	0.128	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,3}$	0.0028	0.00	0.00	0.427	0.100	0.003	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,4}$	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.250	0.000	0.001	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,5}$	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.103	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,6}$	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.024	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00

For Ne: 1s:^a, Landers *et al* [19]; similar experimental data (differences within 2%) can be found in Morgan *et al* [20], Saito *et al* [21] and Carlson *et al* [14] (the latter corrected to exclude L-shell ionization); 2s and 2p:^b, theoretical shake-off contribution [22, 15]. $F_{2s,1} \cong 0.03 - 0.06$ has been suggested by Schmidt *et al* [23].

For Ar: 1s:^c Carlson *et al* [12]; 2s and 2p:^d Brünken *et al* [24]; similar values for 2p-photoionization have been reported by Viefhaus *et al* [25]; 3s: different works,^e Carlson *et al* [12],^d Brünken *et al* [24] and recently^e Karamatskos *et al* [26].

The MI probabilities including direct and PCI are obtained from (1), expanding the different terms and putting together those that end in the same number of final emitted electrons (details in section 2.3 of [3]). This rearrangement gives rise to $P_{(n)}^{PCI}$, the probabilities of exactly n emitted electrons, part of them in direct ionization and part in PCI. This formalism has already been employed with good results in previous calculations of multiple ionization including direct and post-collisional contributions [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

2. Branching ratios

Carlson, Krause and co-workers have been pioneers in the experimental and theoretical studies on multiple photoionization [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. They use X-ray tubes to get photons in certain energy range close to that of the initial vacancies, so these measurements include outer-shell ionization too. The advent of the new experimental techniques in photoionization research brought a breakthrough in this field. Coincident measurements allow to accurately determine the total yield of Auger-electron emission processes for vacancies in different shells of various atoms and to separate multiple-photoionization from single-photoionization followed by PCI (see the compilation of literature in tables 1, 2 and 3). However, it is fair to note that the earlier measurements by Carlson and collaborators in the '60s, corrected to exclude outer-shell ionization, are in good agreement with recent ones using COLTRIMS techniques (see for example the corrected values by Krause *et al* [13] for Kr, which are quite close to recent values by Tamenori *et al* [17] and Armen *et al* [18]).

Table 2. Branching ratios for multiple ionization of Kr. Notation as in table 1.

Kr								
	1s^f	2s^f	2p^g	3s^h	3p^h	3d^h	4s^h	4p
$F_{j,0}$	<0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<0.01	1.00	1.00
$F_{j,1}$	0.01	0.00	0.01	<0.02	<0.02	0.670	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,2}$	0.04	<0.01	0.03	0.12	0.623	0.320	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,3}$	0.19	0.02	0.19	0.66	0.333	<0.01	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,4}$	0.21	0.156	0.35	0.21	<0.02	<0.01	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,5}$	0.17	0.30	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,6}$	0.13	0.35	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

For Kr: **1s^f** El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **2s^f** El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **2p^g** Morishita *et al* [28], other values can be found in Armen *et al* [18] and in El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **3s^h** Tamenori *et al* [17]; **3p^h** Tamenori *et al* [17], the values displayed are weighted mean values of $3p_{3/2}$ and $3p_{1/2}$; alternative values (differences less than 10%) can be found in Brünken *et al* [24], Matsui *et al* [29] and Armen *et al* [18]; **3d^h** Tamenori *et al* [17], other similar data in Brünken *et al* [24] and Saito *et al* [30], recent theoretical values by Zeng *et al* [31] are in good agreement too; **4s**, **4p^h** Tamenori *et al* [17] show that the energy threshold of Kr^{2+} is above $\text{Kr}^+(4s^{-1})$, so the decay from $\text{Kr}^+(4s^{-1})$ to Kr^{2+} is not energetically possible .

In tables 1, 2 and 3 we display the branching ratios used in this work for PCI of 0-6 electrons and all the shells of Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe. These values have already been employed with good results in previous calculations of multiple ionization of rare gases by protons, antiprotons and different positive ions [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. A detailed discussion on the experimental branching ratios and the comparison of the different data available in the literature is included in [3]. This review has been updated and completed in the present contribution. For example in tables 2 and 3 we display the data for the deepest shells of Kr and Xe. The importance of these contributions in MI is analyzed in Tavares *et al* [8].

The selection criteria for the branching ratios takes into account the latest experimental techniques, coincident measurements, the comparison between experimental and theoretical values, and the convergence of the data around a certain value. Only in two cases, $3s$ and $4s$ initial vacancy of Xe, no experimental values were found so we include in table 3 the theoretical results by Kochur *et al* [38]. In this respect, it should be mentioned that the calculated branching ratios for Xe by Kochur *et al* [38] for the other sub-shells are in good agreement with the experimental ones displayed in table 3.

A point of discussion is the possibility of PCI following the initial ionization of a valence electron [4]. Auger emission after ionization of the ns -subshell (with n being the quantum number of the valence shell) is not energetically possible, even when there are 6 outer electrons in the np -subshell [39]. Very recently Karamatskos *et al* [26] underlined this by saying that Ar with a $3s$ vacancy is a no autoionizing state (only spontaneous radiative decay is possible). This is expressed as $F_{n,i} = \delta_{i,0}$ (no electron is

Table 3. Similar to 1 and 2 for Xe target.

Xe											
	1s^f	2s^f	2p^f	3s^k	3p^l	3d^l	4s^k	4p^m	4d^p	5s	5p
$F_{j,0}$	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
$F_{j,1}$	0.019	<0.01	<0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.80	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,2}$	0.011	<0.01	<0.01	0.003	0.00	0.049	0.165	0.89	0.20	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,3}$	0.043	0.012	0.042	0.007	0.00	0.43	0.774	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,4}$	0.058	0.035	0.035	0.024	0.13	0.32	0.051	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,5}$	0.114	0.068	0.063	0.126	0.27	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
$F_{j,6}$	0.143	0.104	0.097	0.426	0.43	0.028	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

For Xe: **1s^f** El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **2s^f** El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **2p^f** El-Shermi *et al* [27]; **3s** and **4s^k** Kochur *et al* [38], theoretical calculation; no experimental data available; **3p^l** Saito and Suzuki [32]; **3d^l** Saito and Suzuki [32]; similar experimental values have been reported in [33]; also in agreement with differential data for $3d_{5/2}$ initial vacancy in [29, 33, 34]. **4p^m** Hikosaka *et al* [35]; the theoretical results by Kochur *et al* [38] are in good agreement with these experimental values; **4d^p** Hayaishi *et al* [36] and Kämmerling *et al* [37]; the Xe N-shell values by Carlson *et al* [12] include O-shell ionization and are not presented in this table.

emitted in PCI) in the last two columns of tables 1, 2 and 3. However the discussion of other possible mechanisms (non-Augere) of second electron emission in valence shell ionization is open, for example shake-off processes due to the change in the target potential [15, 16, 22, 40], or interatomic coulomb decay in dimers [41, 42, 43, 44].

The case of Ne is different from the others in many aspects. In table 1 we display the branching ratios after L-shell ionization (Ne $2s$ and $2p$). These values are based on shake-off calculations by Mukoyama *et al* [22], as explained in [4]. We found that above 1 keV the double ionization cross section of Ne cannot be explained by direct double ionization or by K-shell ionization followed by PCI [4, 3] of one more electrons. This may be an indirect evidence of PCI following valence-shell ionization for this atom. This subject remains open to further research.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the following Argentinean institutions: Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica, and Universidad de Buenos Aires.

References

- [1] Spranger T and Kirchner T 2004 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **37** 4159
- [2] Cavalcanti E G, Sigaud G M, Montenegro E C, Sant 'Anna M M , and Schmidt-Bocking H 2002 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35** 3937
- [3] Montanari C C, Montenegro E C and Miraglia J E 2010, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **43**, 165201

- [4] Montanari C C and Miraglia J E 2012, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **45**, 105201
- [5] M. E. Galassi, R. D. Rivarola, and P. D. Fainstein 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 052708.
- [6] Montanari C C, Wolff W, Luna H, Santos A C F, Montenegro E C and Miraglia J E 2012, *Multiple ionization of atoms including post-collisional contributions, Proc. of the XXVII International Conference on Photonic, Electronic and Atomic Collisions 2011 Belfast, J. Phys: Conf. Series* **388** 012036
- [7] Wolff W, Luna H, Santos A C F, Montenegro E C, DuBois R D, Montanari C C and Miraglia J E 2011, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 042704
- [8] A C Tavares, C C Montanari, J E Miraglia, and G M Sigaud 2014, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* in press
- [9] Archubi C D, Montanari C C, and Miraglia J E 2007, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **40** 943
- [10] Montanari C C and Miraglia J E 2014, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **45**, 105203
- [11] Montanari C C and Miraglia J E 2015, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **48**, 165203
- [12] Carlson T A, Hunt W E and Krause M O 1966 *Phys. Rev.* **151** 41
- [13] Krause M O and Carlson T A 1966 *Phys. Rev.* **149** 52
- [14] Carlson T A and Krause M O 1965 *Phys. Rev.* **140** A1057
- [15] Carlson T A and Nestor Jr. C W 1973 *Phys. Rev A* **8** 2887-2893
- [16] Carlson T A, Nestor Jr. C W, Tucker T C and Malik F B 1968 *Phys. Rev* **169** 27-36
- [17] Tamenori Y, Okada K, Tanimoto S, Ibuki T, Nagaoka S, Fujii A, Haga Y and Suzuki I H 2004 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **37** 117
- [18] Armen G B, Kanter E P, Krässig B, Levin J C, Southworth S H and Young L 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 062710
- [19] Landers A L *et al* 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 223001.
- [20] Morgan D.V, Sagurton M and Bartlett R. J 1997 *Phys. Rev. A* **55** 1113
- [21] Saito N and Suzuki I H 1992 *Phys. Scripta* **45** 253
- [22] Mukoyama T and Taniguchi K 1987 *Phys. Rev A* **36** 693-698
- [23] Schmidt V, Sandner N, Kuntzemüller H, Dhez P, Wuilleumier F and Källne E 1976 *Phys. Rev. A* **13** 1748.
- [24] Brünken S, Gerth Ch, Kanngießer B, Luhmann T, Richter M and Zimmermann P 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* **65** 042708
- [25] Viehhaus J *et al* 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 083001
- [26] Karamatskos E T, Markellos D and Lambropoulos P 2013, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **46** 164011
- [27] El-Shermi A, Lofty Y and Zschornack G 1997 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **30** 237-250
- [28] Morishita Y, Tamenori Y, Okada K, Oyama T, Yamamoto K, Tabayashi K, Ibuki T, Moribayashi K and Suzuki I H 2006 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **39** 1323
- [29] Matsui T, Yoshii H, Tsukamoto K, Kawakita S, Murakami E, Adachi J, Yagishita A, Morioka Y and Hayaishi T 2004 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **37** 3745
- [30] Saito N and Suzuki I H 1997 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **66** 1979
- [31] Zeng J L, Liu P F, Xiang W J, Yuan J M 2013, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **46** 215002
- [32] Saito N and Suzuki I H 1992 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **25** 1785-1793
- [33] Tamenori Y, Okada K, Nagaoka S, Ibuki T, Tanimoto S, Shimizu Y, Fujii A, Haga Y, Yoshida H, Ohashi H and Suzuki I H 2002 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35** 2799
- [34] Partanen L, Sanjari R, Osmekhin S, Hu Z F, Kukk E and Aksela H 2005 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **38** 1881-1893
- [35] Hikosaka Y, Lablanquie P, Penent F, Kaneyasu T, Shigemasa E, Eland J H D, Aoto T and Ito K 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 032708
- [36] Hayaishi T, Yagishita T, Shigemasa E, Murakami E and Morioka Y 1990 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **23** 4431
- [37] Kärmmmerling B, Krässig B and Schmidt V 1992 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **25** 3621
- [38] Kochur A G, Dudenko A I, Sukhorukov V L and Petrov I D 1994 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*

*Tables of experimental branching ratios of Auger-type post collisional ionization of rare gases*6

27 1709

- [39] Kochur A G, Sukhorukov V L, Dudenko A I and Demekhin Ph V 1995 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **28** 387
- [40] Kochur A G and Popov V A 2006 *Rad. Phys. and Chem.* **75** 1525-1528
- [41] Jahnke T *et al* 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett* **93** 063401
- [42] Marburger S *et al* 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett* **90** 203401
- [43] Kim H-K 2013, *Phys. Rev. A* **88** 042707
- [44] Yan S 2013, *Phys. Rev. A* **88** 042712