

Spatial Patterns of Wind Speed Distributions in Switzerland

Mohamed Laib and Mikhail Kanevski

*Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics,
Faculty of Geosciences and Environment,
University of Lausanne, CH1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
Email: mohamed.laib@unil.ch*

Abstract

This paper presents an initial exploration of high frequency records of extreme wind speed in two steps. The first consists in finding the suitable extreme distribution for 120 measuring stations in Switzerland, by comparing three known distributions: Weibull, Gamma, and Generalized extreme value. This comparison serves as a basis for the second step which applies a spatial modelling by using Extreme Learning Machine. The aim is to model distribution parameters by employing a high dimensional input space of topographical information. The knowledge of probability distribution gives a comprehensive information and a global overview of wind phenomena. Through this study, a flexible and a simple modelling approach is presented, which can be generalized to almost extreme environmental data for risk assessment and to model renewable energy.

Key words: Wind speed, Extreme values, Machine learning algorithms, Spatial modelling, Switzerland.

1. Introduction

Wind can be regarded as either a positive or negative phenomenon. The positive aspect is the renewable energy it produces which has encouraged the Swiss Federation to expand the proportion of power produced by wind speed [1]. On the other hand, enormous losses have been caused by extremely violent wind-storms in the country [2,3], an excellent catalogue of which has been produced by Stucki et al. 2014 [4], and Usbeck et al.[5].

The first wind energy facility in Switzerland was started in 1986 with an energy output of 28 kilowatts. According to the Swiss Federation reports in 2015, there are 34 wind

power plants which produce around 110 gigawatts of electricity. The largest wind park is on Mont Crosin in the Bernese Jura. This facility comprises 16 wind turbines with a total output of 29.2 megawatts [6]. To improve the use of this environmental source, a well-developed statistical field for this type of analysis has been proposed. Most methods used to analyse wind data deal with semi-parametric approaches begin by finding the best probability distribution and then confirm results with parametric and non-parametric tools.

Since wind data is known for the presence of extremes, the modelling of these data requires extreme probability distribution in order to study the behaviour of tail in data. Extreme value theory (EVT) has been the most frequently applied modelling approach. The main purpose is to find estimators of the suitable distribution for the studied data [7–9].

There are many areas where EVT plays an indispensable role for modelling rare events, such as environmental risk (wind, temperature, rainfall, etc.) [10–13]. Numerous existing parametric and non-parametric estimation methods are used to find estimators. This work uses the maximum likelihood as estimation method. Besides the method mentioned above, machine learning algorithms (MLA) [14,15] are rapidly gaining popularity in modelling environmental phenomena [16–18]. Machine learning is a part of artificial intelligence. Whose objective is to find non-linear dependencies observed in data, and to understand better the structure between the input and the output.

Several papers propose different approaches to make use of the performance of machine learning in wind speed modelling. One use more information such as environmental data [19], therefore the parameters of the proposed extreme probability distribution are modelled by using other environmental variables as input data. Many algorithms are used for this purpose notably random forest [20]. A comparison between extreme learning machine, support vector machine, and artificial neural networks has been carried out [21,22]. This comparison favours Extreme Learning Machine for its quality of modelling, its rapidity and simplicity. This study uses the Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) proposed by Huang et al., 2006. Its structure is similar to that of a classical multilayer perceptron (MLP). Moreover, ELM has one parameter to optimise which is the number of hidden nodes. This parameter makes ELM easy to apply and to control the complexity of the phenomenon under study. Its main advantage is the speed of the training step, and the capacity to learn complex data. The techniques of cross-validation and data splitting help to avoid overfitting, and also to test the accuracy of the model [15]. Furthermore, the use of ELM requires a consistent methodology to take into account the randomness in generating the weights.

The aim of this study is to find a flexible approach to understand the behaviour of extreme wind speed in Switzerland. The proposed approach combines two steps: The first uses a parametric method called maximum likelihood, to estimate probability distribution parameters. The selection of the best extreme distribution is based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and the quantile-quantile plot. These two tools are commonly used to compare if the data are well modelled by the proposed probability distribution.

The second step of this work applies the Extreme Learning Machine to model the estimated parameters of the first step.

The main results are presented as probability maps, and parameters are also mapped to visualise them. In addition, the ELM results are quantified to show their efficiency to model different distribution parameters. All of these steps are carried out by using

extRemes and *elmNN* packages of the R language [23].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents an exploratory analysis of the used data. Section 3 explains the first step which consists in finding the suitable distribution. Section 4 gives a brief introduction to ELM, and the proposed spatial modelling. In section 5, the main results are discussed, and in the last section the conclusions are given with suggestions for future research.

2. Study Area and Dataset

2.1. Study Area

This study was performed in Switzerland, which has a total area of $41,285 \text{ km}^2$ with three basic topographical area: the Jura mountain on the west, the central plateau, and the Swiss Alps to the south which comprise almost all the highest mountains of the Alps. The altitudes varie between: 198 m in canton Ticino, to 4634 m in canton Valais [24]. All this information is summarized in an input space of thirteen variables, including coordinates (X, Y) at 250 m resolution (Table 1, see details and descriptions in [25]). These variables are used to model parameters provided from each measuring stations. Fig.1 shows some of variables used as input space.

Table 1
Input space variables generated from digital elevation model.

ID	Name of the variable	Scale
X	X coordinate	
Y	Y coordinate	
Z	Z (elevation)	
dog_s	Diff. of Gauss. at small scale	$\sigma_1 = 0.25 \text{ km}$ $\sigma_2 = 0.5 \text{ km}$
dog_m	Diff. of Gauss. at medium scale	$\sigma_1 = 1.75 \text{ km}$ $\sigma_2 = 2.25 \text{ km}$
dog_l	Diff. of Gauss. at large scale	$\sigma_1 = 3.75 \text{ km}$ $\sigma_2 = 5 \text{ km}$
S_s	Slopes at small scale	$\sigma = 0.2 \text{ km}$
S_m	Slopes at medium scale	$\sigma = 1.75 \text{ km}$
S_l	Slopes at large scale	$\sigma = 3.75 \text{ km}$
dns	Dir. deriv. in South–North dir. at small scale	$\sigma = 0.25 \text{ km}$
dws	Dir. deriv. in East–West dir. at small scale	$\sigma = 0.25 \text{ km}$
d_{nm}	Dir. deriv. in South–North dir. at medium scale	$\sigma = 1.75 \text{ km}$
d_{wm}	Dir. deriv. in East–West dir. at medium scale	$\sigma = 1.75 \text{ km}$

2.2. Wind Data

Wind data used in this work were collected from the website of the Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology of Switzerland (IDAWEB, MeteoSwiss). They present




Fig. 1. Scatter-plot of some variables from the input space used for training ELM scaled in the $[0,1]$ interval.

wind speed measurements at weather stations distributed in all Switzerland (fig.2), at different elevations, from 203 m to 3580 m . In total there are more than 148 stations. However, some stations were eliminated because they contain an important number of missing values. The final dataset contains measurements of 120 stations for two years (2012 and 2013), taken at 10 minutes intervals. This important high frequency allows us to obtain good approximation of wind speed distribution, even the behaviour of extremes.

Fig. 3,4 show examples of some measuring stations. The time series plots do not indicate any significant increasing or decreasing trends. Fig. 4 shows the presence of extreme wind speed, which leads to propose extreme distributions to model the data.

3. Wind Speed Distribution

As mentioned above, this work leads off with a parametric estimation using maximum likelihood.

Maximum likelihood is a very simple tool to find estimators. It chooses the value of the parameter which maximizes the following likelihood function [26]:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \tag{1}$$

where x_i are independent realizations of a random variable with a probability density function $f(x_i; \theta)$. As is known, it is more convenient to work with the log-likelihood function:

$$\log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i; \theta) \tag{2}$$




Fig. 2. Locations of MeteoSwiss stations.




Fig. 3. Observations of some measuring stations.




Fig. 4. Boxplot of some measuring stations.

The log-likelihood takes its maximum at the same point as the likelihood function, and it is found by differentiating the log-likelihood and equating to zero.

The parameters of each proposed distribution are found in order to compare. Several papers link wind data with the following extreme distributions:

3.1. Weibull Distribution

Proposed as a wind speed distribution [27], Weibull distribution is a two parameters distribution, with the following density function [28]:

$$f(x; \lambda, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^k} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where k is the shape parameter and λ is the scale. This function is a continuous probability distribution and mostly used to describe wind data.

3.2. Gamma distribution

Gamma distribution is also a two parameter distribution. It used to present several phenomena especially those that varies over time, and it is defined by the following density function:

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x\beta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha, \beta > 0 \quad (4)$$




Fig. 5. The three subfamilies of the GEV with $\mu = 0$, $\sigma = 1$. And $\xi = -0.5, 0, 0.5$ for Weibull, Gumbel, Fréchet respectively.

where α is the shape and β is the rate [29].

3.3. Generalized Extreme Values

It combines three families of distributions: Gumbel, Fréchet and Weibull. The GEV distribution is used to model the maxima of long sequences of random variables, and the treatment of risk [26]. It is defined as follow:

$$F(x; \mu, \sigma, \xi) = \exp\left\{-\left[1 + \xi\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{\xi}}\right\} \quad (5)$$

for $1 + \xi(x - \mu)/\sigma > 0$, where μ is the location parameter, σ is the scale parameter and ξ the shape. The latter indicates the tail behaviour of the distribution. Fig 5 shows the different forms of the probability density for each subfamilies according to the value of the shape parameter ξ , and the subfamilies are defined as following:

- Gumbel distribution or type I when $\xi = 0$.
- Fréchet or type II when $\xi > 0$.
- Weibull distribution or type III when $\xi < 0$.

The comparison between these distributions is based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and a graphical method called quantile-quantile plot with a visual statistical protocol, as it is proposed in [30].




Fig. 6. Wind Speed at *Matro* station (2171 meters). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives the following values: 0.1229, 0.1655, 0.1102 for Weibull, Gamma, and Generalized Extreme values respectively.

Comparison tools

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of fit test

There are a number of tests to check the goodness of fit for a probability distribution. Among the most used is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, which can be applied on continuous distribution. This test is based on the maximum difference of the empirical and the proposed theoretical distribution [31]. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness of test statistic is defined as follows:

$$D = \text{Max} \left| F(X_i) - \frac{i}{N} \right| \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \quad (6)$$

The smaller the value of Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic is, the better the goodness-of-fits is. In order to confirm the results given by the early goodness of fit, the quantile-quantile plot is proposed.

Quantile-quantile plot

Shortly QQ-plot, which is a graphical method to compare distributions. based on the observation of the quantiles of each distribution. The linearity in the graph is easily verified, or furthermore, it can be quantified by the correlation coefficient [32].

In this case of study, the data are well-modelled by the Generalized Extreme Value. As described by the QQplot (Figs. 6-7 as examples), extremes are well fitted by the GEV. Furthermore, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test confirms that the best probability distribution, for the used data, is the GEV (Fig. 8). According to this comparison, the




Fig. 7. Wind Speed at *Gornergrat* station (3129 meters). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test gives the following values: 0.1984, 0.2023, 0.1026 for Weibull, Gamma, and Generalized Extreme values respectively.

remain work is based on the GEV. Therefore these parameters (μ, σ, ξ) are modelled by using ELM.

4. Spatial Modelling

The second step of this study deals with a spatial modelling using Extreme Learning Machine [33]. ELM is inspired by the multi-layer perceptron (MLP) with one hidden layer. For a fixed number of hidden nodes N , ELM generates randomly the weights and the biases of each node. Then the result passes through a differentiable activation function g which gives the matrix H where each row corresponds to the output of hidden layer for one input data vector:

$$H_{ij} = g(x_i \cdot w_j + b_j) \quad (7)$$

where $x_i = x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^d$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the input data, w_j ($j = 1, \dots, N$) are the vectors of weights, and b_j are the biases of each node.

To get the connection vector β between the hidden layer and the output layer, ELM uses the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of the matrix H :

$$\beta = H^\dagger y$$

These operations give at the end new predicted data points as well as the validation and the testing errors. And for more efficiency and clarity, the following methodology is used to validate the given model:

- Data are projected into the interval $[0,1]$, and then are split into training and testing set, in total 30 measuring stations are assigned as testing set and 90 as training set.
- The remain data are used to train ELM with N number of hidden nodes where $N \in \{1, \dots, 100\}$




Fig. 8. Values of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for each probability distribution.

- The optimal number of nodes N is selected by using k -fold cross-validation with respect to the mean square error ($k = 6$).
- Then the optimal model is generated and evaluated according to the mean square error for the testing set.
- This process of learning is repeated 20 times, with random splitting of the data, and at the end the mean of repetition is taken.

The same process is carried out for all parameters of the GEV.

Fig.9 shows that the estimated parameters by maximum likelihood are adequate with the predicted by ELM models.

5. Results and discussions

The presented work allows us to visualize better each parameter of the GEV distribution. Moreover, the produced maps are coherent with the topographical information in Switzerland. The very important parameter of the GEV is the shape ξ , it defines which subfamily fits the used data. A visualization of the shape on the map of Switzerland can help to answer to the question (fig. 10): which subfamily is used in a random place? Such information is important for making useful analysis regarding risk assessment, and renewable energy produced by wind speed.




Fig. 9. Comparison between predicted and estimated distribution for *Jungfraujoch* (3580 meters) taken from the testing set.




Fig. 10. On the left: Visualisation of the location parameter of GEV distribution. On the right: The shape parameter, the dominated subfamilies in this case of study are more or less the Gumbel and the Fréchet subfamilies.

Furthermore, the advantage of knowing the extreme distribution allows us to predict the probability for a given wind speed and vice versa, fig. 11 presents probability map that a wind speed exceeds 15 m/s.

Finally, this work used ELM to model each parameter of the GEV. This modelling approach deals with the repetition of prediction 20 times to consider the randomness of ELM when it generates the weights. In order to compare the values given by ELM, fig. 12 shows different densities generated by the minimum values, the maximum, and the mean of the predicted parameters after the 20 repetition. This difference is checked by using QQ-plot between the predicted values and the testing data. As expected, ELM shows its efficiency to model, by the insignificant different between results. However, repeat ELM several times improves the quality of results, and helps to obtain optimal model.

One of the most important result in this work is the possibility to predict extreme wind speed in new places without measuring stations. Furthermore, the comparison between the three proposed distributions offers more precision to the study.




Fig. 11. Probability map for wind speed greater than or equal to 15 m/s.

6. Conclusion

Wind energy remains the most attractive resource for providing sustainable power. The research presented here gives an initial overview of wind speed data, and a global idea about extremes of this phenomenon in Switzerland. Such modelling can be useful in developing intelligent decisions for wind-powered electrical generators. Spatial modelling of distributions can be used to optimize existing network and to propose new places for the aeolian energy production. The developed methodology provides more efficiency. The combination of parametric estimation and extreme learning machine offers more rapidity to obtain good results. Furthermore, the results are focused on extremes which is important for natural hazards and risk assessments. This methodology could be applied to other extreme environmental phenomena, e.g. precipitation. Further developments could be in application of this methodology for spatio-temporal environmental data and quantification of the uncertainties.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Jean Golay and Michael Leuenberger for many fruitful discussions about machine learning and extreme values. The authors thank MeteoSuisse for giving access to the data via IDAWEB server. This research was partly supported by the Swiss Government Excellence Scholarships for Foreign Scholars.




Fig. 12. Comparison between different results given by ELM.

References

- [1] L. Eymann, M. Stucki, A. Fürtholz, A. König, Ökobilanzierung von schweizer windenergie, Bundesamt für Energie BFE.
- [2] M. Beniston, Linking extreme climate events and economic impacts: Examples from the swiss alps, *Energy Policy Journal* 35 (2007) p. 5384–5392.
- [3] S. Braun, C. Schindler, R. Volz, W. Flückiger, Forest damages by the storm 'lothar' in permanent observation plots in switzerland: The significance of soil acidification and nitrogen deposition, *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution Journal* 142 (2003) p. 327–340.
- [4] P. Stucki, S. Brönnimann, O. Martius, C. Welker, M. Imhof, N. von Wattenwyl, N. Philipp, A catalog of high-impact windstorms in switzerland since 1859, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Journal* 14 (2014) p. 2867–2882.
- [5] T. Usbeck, T. Wohlgemuth, M. Dobbertin, C. Pfister, A. Bürgi, M. Rebetez, Increasing storm damage to forests in switzerland from 1858 to 2007, *Agric. Forest Meteorol. Journal* 150 (2010) p. 47–55.
- [6] Swiss Federal Office of Energy SFOE, Wind energy in Switzerland, Federal Department of the Environment, Transport, Energy and Communications DETEC, Bern, Switzerland (2015). URL <http://www.bfe-gis.admin.ch>
- [7] W. Weibull, A statistical distribution function of wide applicability, *J. Appl. Mech.* 18 (1951) p. 293–297.
- [8] J. Galambos, *The asymptotic theory of extreme order statistics*, Krieger Pub. Co. (1987) p. 264.
- [9] E. Castillo, *Extreme value theory in engineering*, Academic Press, Boston (1988) p. 380.
- [10] P. Patlakas, G. Galanis, N. Barranger, G. Kallos, Extreme wind events in a complex maritime environment: Ways of quantification, *Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. Journal* 149 (2016) p. 89–101.

- [11] H. Mo, H. Hong, F. Fan, Estimating the extreme wind speed for regions in china using surface wind observations and reanalysis data, *Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. Journal* 143 (2015) p. 19–33.
- [12] A. Naess, O. K. and, Statistics of bivariate extreme wind speeds by the acer method, *Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. Journal* 139 (2015) p. 82–88.
- [13] G. D’Amico, F. Petroni, F. Prattico, Wind speed prediction for wind farm applications by extreme value theory and copulas, *Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. Journal* 145 (2015) p. 229–236.
- [14] V. Vapnik, *Statistical Learning Theory*, Wiley New York, 1998.
- [15] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, J. Friedman, *The Elements of Statistical Learning*, Springer, 2009.
- [16] M. Kanevski, A. I. Podzdnoukhov, V. Timonin, *Machine Learning of Spatial Environmental Data*, EPFL Press, 2009.
- [17] M. Leuenberger, M. Kanevski, Extreme learning machines for spatial environmental data, *Computers and Geosciences* 85 (2015) p. 64–73.
- [18] D. Petkovic, S. Shamshirband, N. Anuar, S. Naji, M. Kiah, A. Gani, Adaptive neuro-fuzzy evaluation of wind farm power production as function of wind speed and direction, *Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess* 29 (2015) p. 793–802.
- [19] I. Munteanu, A. I. Bratcu, N.-A. Cutululis, E. Ceanga, *Optimal Control of Wind Energy Systems*, Springer, 2008.
- [20] F. Veronesi, S. Grassi, M. Raubal, Statistical learning approach for wind resource assessment, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 56 (2016) p. 836–850.
- [21] K. Mohammadi, S. Shamshirband, P. L. Yee, D. Petkovic, M. Zamani, S. Ch, Predicting the wind power density based upon extreme learning machine, *Energy* 86 (2015) p. 232–239.
- [22] S. Shamshirband, K. Mohammadi, C. W. Tong, D. Petkovic, E. Porcu, A. Mostafaeipour, S. Ch, A. Sedaghat, Application of extreme learning machine for estimation of wind speed distribution, *Climate Dynamics* 46 (2016) p. 1893–1907.
- [23] R Core Team, *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2015).
URL <https://www.R-project.org>
- [24] Swiss Federal Statistical Office, Neuchâtel, Switzerland, Map Gallery Switzerland: Physical Geography of Switzerland (12 October 2014).
- [25] S. Robert, L. Foresti, M. Kanevski, Spatial prediction of monthly wind speeds in complex terrain with adaptive general regression neural networks, *International Journal of Climatology* 33 (2013) p. 1793–1804.
- [26] S. Coles, *An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values*, Springer, 2001.
- [27] A. K. Azad, M. G. Rasul, R. Islam, I. R. Shishir, Analysis of wind energy prospect for power generation by three weibull distribution methods, *Energy Procedia* (2015) p. 722–727.
- [28] R.-D. Reiss, M. Thomas, *Statistical analysis of extreme values*, Birkhauser, 2002.
- [29] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegebeur, J. Teugels, *Statistics of Extremes Theory and Applications*, Wiley, 2004.
- [30] A. Buja, D. Cook, H. Hofmann, M. Lawrence, E. Lee, D. Swayne, H. Wickham, Statistical inference for exploratory data analysis and model diagnostics, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 367 (2009) p. 4361–4383, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2009.0120.
- [31] J. Frank, J. Massay, The kolmogorov-smirnov test for goodness of fit, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 46 (1951) p. 68–78.
- [32] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegebeur, J. Teugels, *Statistics of Extremes Theory and Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, 2004.
- [33] G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, C.-K. Siew, *Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications*, *Neurocomputing* (2006) p. 489–501.
- [34] I. Usta, Y. M. Kantar, Analysis of some flexible families of distributions for estimation of wind speed distributions, *Applied Energy* (2012) p. 355–367.
- [35] L. Telesca, M. Lovallo, M. Kanevski, Power spectrum and multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis of high-frequency wind measurements in mountainous regions, *Applied Energy* (2016) p. 1052–1061.
- [36] F. Ziel, C. Croonenbroeck, D. Ambach, Forecasting wind power – modeling periodic and non-linear effects under conditional heteroscedasticity, *Applied Energy* (2016) p. 285–397.
- [37] A. Marvuglia, A. Messineo, Monitoring of wind farms’ power curves using machine learning techniques, *Applied Energy* (2012) p. 574–583.
- [38] S. Lou, D. H. Li, J. C. Lam, W. W. Chan, Prediction of diffuse solar irradiance using machine learning and multivariable regression, *Applied Energy* (2016) p. 367–374.

- [39] A. Gosso, elmNN: Implementation of ELM (Extreme Learning Machine) algorithm for SLFN (Single Hidden Layer Feedforward Neural Networks), r package version 1.0 (2012).
URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=elmNN>
- [40] E. Gilleland, R. W. Katz, New software to analyze how extremes change over time, Eos 92 (2) (2011) 13–14.