

A SUPPORT FUNCTION OF THE WEIGHTED L^2 INTEGRATIONS ON THE SUPERLEVEL SETS OF THE WEIGHTS

QI'AN GUAN*, ZHENQIAN LI, AND JIAFU NING*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish a support function of the weighted L^2 integrations on the superlevel sets of the weights with optimal asymptoticity near the positive infinity, which is an analogue of the truth of Demailly's strong openness conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on X . The multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ can be defined as the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|^2 e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable (see [1], [6]). It's well-known that $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ is a coherent analytic sheaf (see [2]). Let $\mathcal{I}_+(\varphi) := \cup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{I}((1 + \varepsilon)\varphi)$. In [4], Guan and Zhou proved Demailly's strong openness conjecture, i.e., $\mathcal{I}_+(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$.

Let z_0 be a point in a pseudoconvex domain $D \subset X$, and let F be a holomorphic function near z_0 . Let $D_t = \{z \in D \mid \varphi \geq -t\}$ the superlevel set of weight φ , and let $C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0)$ be the infimum of $\int_{D_t} |F_1|^2 d\lambda_n$ for all $F_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ satisfying condition $(F_1 - F, z_0) \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_{z_0}$, where $d\lambda_n$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n .

When $C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0) = 0$ or $+\infty$, set $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0)} = +\infty$. Then the truth of the strong openness conjecture is equivalent to the statement that for any pseudoconvex domain $D \subset X$, any point $z_0 \in D$ and any holomorphic F on D , if $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)} = +\infty$ holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)} \right) = +\infty \quad (1.1)$$

(for details see Section 2.1).

In the present article, we establish the following support function of $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0)}$ (independent of D , φ and F) with optimal asymptoticity.

Date: November 1, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32D15, 32E10, 32L10, 32U05, 32W05.

Key words and phrases. multiplier ideal sheaf, strong openness conjecture, weighted L^2 integration, support function.

The first author was partially supported by NSFC-11522101 and NSFC-11431013, the third author was partially supported by NSFC-11501058 and NSFC-11501059.

* The first author and the third author are both the corresponding authors.

Theorem 1.1. *For any pseudoconvex domain D in \mathbb{C}^n , and any holomorphic function F and negative plurisubharmonic function φ on D ,*

$$\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0)} \geq h^{-1}(t-3) \quad (1.2)$$

holds for any $t \in (0, +\infty)$, where $h(x) = x + \log x$, and $h^{-1}(t-3)$ is the support function.

The following remark gives the optimal asymptoticity near $+\infty$ of the support function $h^{-1}(t-3)$ in inequality 1.2.

Remark 1.2. *Take $D = \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$, $z_0 = o$ the origin of \mathbb{C} , $F \equiv 1$ and $\varphi = 2 \log |z|$. Note that $C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0) = \pi(1 - e^{-t})$ and $\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n = t\pi$, then $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,\varphi,t}(z_0)} = \frac{t}{1-e^{-t}}$. One can obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h^{-1}(t-3)}{1-e^{-t}} = 1$, which implies the optimal asymptoticity of the support function $h^{-1}(t-3)$ in inequality 1.2, when t goes to $+\infty$.*

By equality 1.1, it follows that Theorem 1.1 implies the truth of Demailly's strong openness conjecture.

Corollary 1.3. [4] $\mathcal{S}_+(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}(\varphi)$.

2. PREPARATIONS

2.1. The equivalent statement of the truth of Demailly's strong openness conjecture. By the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda_n = \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n. \quad (2.1)$$

By the definition of $C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)$, it follows that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)$ = the infimum of $\int_{D_t} |F_1|^2 d\lambda_n$ for all $F_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ satisfying condition $(F_1 - F, z_0) \in \mathcal{S}_+(\varphi)_{z_0}$. Then it suffices to consider that equality 1.1 implies the truth of Demailly's strong openness conjecture.

If not, i.e., there exists $z_0 \in X$ satisfying $\mathcal{S}_+(\varphi)_{z_0} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\varphi)_{z_0}$, then there exists holomorphic function F near z_0 such that $(F, z_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)_{z_0}$ and $(F, z_0) \notin \mathcal{S}_+(\varphi)_{z_0}$. Choosing D small enough, it follows from $(F, z_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)_{z_0}$ that $\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n < +\infty$, which implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n = \int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n < +\infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)$ is upper bounded with respect to t for D small enough, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)} = +\infty$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. As $(F, z_0) \notin \mathcal{S}_+(\varphi)_{z_0}$, it follows that the infimum of $\int_{D_t} |F_1|^2 d\lambda_n$ for all $F_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ satisfying condition $(F_1 - F, z_0) \in \mathcal{S}_+(\varphi)_{z_0}$ is bigger than a positive constant C_0 for t large enough. Combining with inequality 2.2, one can obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F,(1+\varepsilon)\varphi,t}(z_0)} \right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_0} = \frac{\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_0} < +\infty,$$

which contradicts equality 1.1. Then equality 1.1 implies the truth of Demailly's strong openness conjecture.

2.2. $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with L^2 estimates. We prove Proposition 2.3 by the following Lemma, whose various forms have already appeared in [3, 5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $B_0 \in (0, 1]$ be arbitrarily given. Let U_v be a strongly pseudoconvex domain relatively compact in pseudoconvex domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ containing o . Let F be a holomorphic function on D . Let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D , such that $u(o) = -\infty$. Then there exists a holomorphic function F_{v,t_0} on U_v , such that,*

$$(F_{v,t_0} - F, o) \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U_v} |F_{v,t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\varphi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \\ & \leq (1 - e^{-(t_0+B_0)}) \int_{U_v} \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \varphi) |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $b_{t_0}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{B_0} \mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < s < -t_0\}} ds$, and t_0 is a positive number.

Remark 2.2. *Replacing the strong pseudoconvexity of U_v by pseudoconvexity, and o by $z_0 \in U_v$ for any v , Lemma 2.1 also holds. We may take $U_v \subset\subset U_{v+1}$ and $\cup U_v = D$. In the following, by approximation, we may take D instead of U_v .*

2.3. A useful proposition. Inspired by the proof of the main result in [5] and making some modifications, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let C_1, C_2 be two positive constants and $t \geq \log \frac{C_1}{C_2}$. Let $p > 0$.*

We consider the set of (F, φ, p) satisfying

$$(1) \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq C_1; \quad (2) C_{F,p\varphi,t}(z_0) \geq C_2;$$

where $C_{F,p\varphi,t}(z_0)$ is the infimum of $\int_{D_t} |F_1|^2 d\lambda_n$ for all $F_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ satisfying condition $(F_1 - F, z_0) \in \mathcal{I}(p\varphi)_{z_0}$, and $D_t = \{z \in D \mid \varphi \geq -t\}$.

Then, for $p \neq 1$ and $1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{1}{p})^{-1} (\frac{C_2}{C_1}) ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-1} - e^{-(p-1)t}) - 2(1 - \frac{1}{2p})^{-1} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-(p-\frac{1}{2})t}) \\ & \quad + ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^p - e^{-pt}) \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For $p = 1$, we have

$$(\frac{C_2}{C_1})(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 4(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}) + ((\frac{C_2}{C_1}) - e^{-t}) \leq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

For $p = 1/2$, we have

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) + (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \leq 1.$$

Proof. As $C_{F,p\varphi,t}(z_0) \geq C_2 > 0$, then we obtain $\varphi(z_0) = -\infty$.

Let $\psi := p\varphi$.

Using Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\int_{D_t} |F_{v,t_0}|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} - \left(\int_{D_t} |(1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
& \leq \int_{D_t} |F_{v,t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \\
& \leq \int_D |F_{v,t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \\
& \leq (1 - e^{-(t_0+B_0)}) \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 e^{-\psi} d\lambda_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - e^{-(t_0+B_0)}) \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 e^{-\psi} d\lambda_n \\
& \leq (e^{t_0+B_0} - 1) \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\int_{D_t} |F_{v,t_0}|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} - \left(\int_{D_t} |(1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
& \leq (e^{t_0+B_0} - 1) \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Note that

$$e^{\frac{1}{p}t_0} \int_{D_t} |(1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \leq e^{\frac{1}{p}t_0} \int_{D_t} |\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{1}{p}\psi \leq -\frac{1}{p}t_0\}} F|^2 d\lambda_n \leq \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{p}\psi} d\lambda_n$$

As

$$C_1 \geq \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\frac{1}{p}\psi} d\lambda_n = \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n.$$

and $\inf\{\int_{D_t} |F_1|^2 d\lambda_n | F_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D), (F_1 - F, z_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\psi)_{z_0}\} \geq C_2$, when

$$e^{\frac{1}{p}t_0} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}t_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
& \leq \left(\left(\int_{D_t} |F_{v,t_0}|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} - \left(\int_{D_t} |(1 - b_{t_0}(\psi))F|^2 d\lambda_n \right)^{1/2} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}t_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
& \leq (e^{t_0+B_0} - 1) \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n \\
& \leq e^{t_0+B_0} \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-t_0-B_0 < t < -t_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Replacing t_0 by kB_0 , and assuming that $e^{\frac{1}{p}kB_0} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\ & \leq e^{(k+1)B_0} \int_D \frac{1}{B_0} (\mathbb{1}_{\{-(k+1)B_0 < t < -kB_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{\frac{1}{p}kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\ & \leq e^{\frac{1}{p}kB_0} \int_D (\mathbb{1}_{\{-(k+1)B_0 < t < -kB_0\}} \circ \psi) |F|^2 d\lambda_n \\ & \leq \int_D (\mathbb{1}_{\{-(k+1)B_0/p < t < -kB_0/p\}} \circ \varphi) |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

the last inequality holds because $\psi = p\varphi$.

Taking k_0 , such that

$$e^{\frac{1}{p}k_0 B_0} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq e^{\frac{1}{p}(k_0-1)B_0},$$

and taking sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=k_0}^{[pt/B_0]-1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{\frac{1}{p}kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{[pt/B_0]-1} \int_D (\mathbb{1}_{\{-(k+1)B_0/p < t < -kB_0/p\}} \circ \varphi) |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\ & \leq \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \leq C_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Note that when $p > 0$, $p \neq 1$ and $p \neq 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=k_0}^{[pt/B_0]-1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{\frac{1}{p}kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{p}kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\ = & \sum_{k=k_0}^{[pt/B_0]-1} B_0 e^{-B_0} (e^{(\frac{1}{p}-1)kB_0} C_2 - 2e^{(\frac{1}{2p}-1)kB_0} C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} + e^{-kB_0} C_1) \\ = & B_0 e^{-B_0} \left(C_2 \frac{e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})k_0 B_0} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})B_0}} \right. \\ & \left. - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{e^{-k_0(1-\frac{1}{2p})B_0} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-k_0 B_0} - e^{[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}} \right) \\ \geq & B_0 e^{-B_0} \left(C_2 \frac{e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-1} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})B_0}} \right. \\ & \left. - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p(1-\frac{1}{2p})} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^p - e^{[pt/B_0] B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Take limitation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{B_0 \rightarrow 0} B_0 e^{-B_0} \left(C_2 \frac{e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-1} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})[\frac{pt}{B_0}]B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})B_0}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p(1-\frac{1}{2p})} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})[\frac{pt}{B_0}]B_0}}{1 - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^p - e^{[\frac{pt}{B_0}]B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}} \right) \\
& = C_2 \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-1} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})pt}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p(1-\frac{1}{2p})} - e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2p})pt}}{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} + C_1 \left((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^p - e^{-pt} \right) \\
& = C_2 \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-1} - e^{-(p-1)t}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{p-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-(p-\frac{1}{2})t}}{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} + C_1 \left((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^p - e^{-pt} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

By inequality 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{p-1} - e^{-(p-1)t} \right) - 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-(p-\frac{1}{2})t} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^p - e^{-pt} \right) \leq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

When $p = 1$, we can take $p = 1$ to (2.13), by the same way, with some changes, and we can get (2.5). We write down the details in the following.

Taking k_0 , such that

$$e^{k_0 B_0} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq e^{(k_0-1)B_0}.$$

Let $p = 1$ in (2.12), and take sum, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=k_0}^{[t/B_0]-1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{[t/B_0]-1} \int_D (\mathbb{1}_{\{-(k+1)B_0 < t < -kB_0\}} \circ \varphi) |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\
& \leq \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \leq C_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lceil t/B_0 \rceil - 1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lceil t/B_0 \rceil - 1} B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 - 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}kB_0} C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} + e^{-kB_0} C_1) \\
&= B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 (\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil - k_0) \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_0 B_0} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-k_0 B_0} - e^{-\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}) \\
&\geq B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 (\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil + \frac{1}{B_0} \log \frac{C_2}{C_1} - 1) \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} \frac{C_2}{C_1} - e^{-\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Take limitation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{B_0 \rightarrow 0} B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 (\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil + \frac{1}{B_0} \log \frac{C_2}{C_1} - 1) \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} \frac{(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}B_0}} + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} \frac{C_2}{C_1} - e^{-\lceil \frac{t}{B_0} \rceil B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}) \\
&= C_2 (C_2 t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) \\
&\quad - 4C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}pt}) + C_1 (\frac{C_2}{C_1} - e^{-t}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

By inequalities (2.17) (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$(\frac{C_2}{C_1})(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 4(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}}((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}) + ((\frac{C_2}{C_1}) - e^{-t}) \leq 1.$$

When $p = 1/2$, take k_0 , such that

$$e^{2k_0 B_0} \geq \frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq e^{2(k_0-1)B_0}.$$

Let $p = 1/2$ in (2.12), and take sum, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lceil \frac{t}{2B_0} \rceil - 1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{2kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-2kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lceil \frac{t}{2B_0} \rceil - 1} \int_D (\mathbb{1}_{\{-2(k+1)B_0 < t < -2kB_0\}} \circ \varphi) |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \\
&\leq \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n \leq C_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor - 1} B_0 e^{-(k+1)B_0} e^{2kB_0} (C_2^{1/2} - (C_1 e^{-2kB_0})^{1/2})^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=k_0}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor - 1} B_0 e^{-B_0} (e^{kB_0} C_2 - C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} + C_1 e^{-kB_0}) \\
&= B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 \frac{e^{B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{B_0}} \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} (\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor - k_0) + C_1 \frac{e^{-k_0 B_0} - e^{-\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}) \\
&\geq B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 \frac{e^{B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{B_0}} \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} (\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Take limitation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{B_0 \rightarrow 0} B_0 e^{-B_0} (C_2 \frac{e^{B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{B_0}} \\
&\quad - 2C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} (\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) + C_1 \frac{e^{-B_0} (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\lfloor \frac{t}{2B_0} \rfloor B_0}}{1 - e^{-B_0}}) \\
&= C_2 (e^{\frac{t}{2}} - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - 4C_2^{1/2} C_1^{1/2} (t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) + C_1 ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Combine inequalities (2.20) (2.21) and (2.22), then we have

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) + (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \leq 1.$$

□

Remark 2.4. For $p = 1$, let $p_j > 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j = 1$, then from $C_{F, p_j \varphi, t} \geq C_{F, \varphi, t} \geq C_2$. Therefore, inequality (2.4) with $p = p_j$. Taking $j \rightarrow \infty$, we can get

$$(\frac{C_2}{C_1}) (t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 4(\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} ((\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}) + ((\frac{C_2}{C_1}) - e^{-t}) \leq 1.$$

For $p = 1/2$, let $p_j > 1/2$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j = 1/2$, then from $C_{F, p_j \varphi, t} \geq C_{F, \frac{1}{2} \varphi, t} \geq C_2$. Therefore, inequality (2.4) with $p = p_j$. Taking $j \rightarrow \infty$, we can get

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} (t + \log t) + (\frac{C_2}{C_1})^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \leq 1.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

It suffices to prove the following proposition

Proposition 3.1. *Let C_1, C_2 be two positive constants and $t > \log \frac{C_1}{C_2}$. If the set of (F, φ) satisfying*

$$(1) \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} = C_1; \quad (2) C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0) = C_2;$$

Then $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0)} \geq h^{-1}(t-3)$, where $h(x) = x + \log x$.

Note that

(1) when $t > \log \frac{C_1}{C_2}$ and $C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0) > 0$, Proposition 3.1 implies $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0)} \geq h^{-1}(t-3)$;

(2) when $t \leq \log \frac{C_1}{C_2}$ and $C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0) > 0$, it follows that $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0)} = \frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq e^t \geq h^{-1}(t-3)$,

(3) when $C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0) = 0$, set $\frac{\int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda_n}{C_{F, \varphi, t}(z_0)} = +\infty$, then Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1.1.

Proof. (Proof of Proposition 3.1) By Proposition 2.3 (case $p = 1$), we have

$$\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 4\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}\right) + \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) - e^{-t}\right) \leq 1, \quad (3.1)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 4\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}}\right) + \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right) - e^{-t}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{C_2}{C_1}(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 3\frac{C_2}{C_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

combining (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\frac{C_2}{C_1}(t + \log \frac{C_2}{C_1}) - 3\frac{C_2}{C_1} \leq 1, \quad (3.3)$$

hence

$$\frac{C_1}{C_2} + \log \frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq t - 3.$$

Set $h(x) = x + \log x$ for $x > 0$, it is easy that $h(x)$ is increasing. By (3.3), we get $\frac{C_1}{C_2} \geq h^{-1}(t-3)$. We may take $C_1 = \int_{D_t} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi}$, then the proposition follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34 (2001), no. 4, 525–556.
- [2] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [3] Q. A. Guan, X. Y. Zhou, *Optimal constant in L^2 extension and a proof of a conjecture of Ohsawa*, Sci. China. Math., 58 (2014), 1-25.
- [4] Q. A. Guan, X.Y. Zhou, *A proof of Demailly's strong openness conjecture*, Ann. of math., 182 (2015), 1-12, see also arXiv:1311.3781.
- [5] Q. A. Guan, X.Y. Zhou, *Effectiveness of Demailly's strong openness conjecture and related problems*, Invent. Math. 202 (2015), no. 2, 635–676.
- [6] A. Nadel, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*. Ann. of Math. (2) 132 (1990), no. 3, 549–596.

QI'AN GUAN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AND BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA.

E-mail address: `guanqian@math.pku.edu.cn`

ZHENQIAN LI: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA.

E-mail address: `lizhenqian@amss.ac.cn`

JIAFU NING: COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 401331, CHINA.

E-mail address: `jfning@cqu.edu.cn`