

# Bounding the distance among longest paths in a connected graph

Jan Ekstein\*    Shinya Fujita†    Adam Kabela\*    Jakub Teska\*

September 10, 2018

## Abstract

It is easy to see that in a connected graph any 2 longest paths have a vertex in common. For  $k \geq 7$ , Skupień in 1966 obtained a connected graph in which some  $k$  longest paths have no common vertex, but every  $k - 1$  longest paths have a common vertex. It is not known whether every 3 longest paths in a connected graph have a common vertex and similarly for 4, 5, and 6 longest path. Fujita et al. in 2015 give an upper bound on distance among 3 longest paths in a connected graph. In this paper we give a similar upper bound on distance between 4 longest paths and also for  $k$  longest paths, in general.

## 1 Introduction

In 1966 Gallai in [4] asked whether all longest paths in a connected graph have a vertex in common. Couple of years later, several counterexamples were found, see [9], [10], and [11]. In 1976 Thomassen in [8] showed that there exist infinitely many counterexamples to Gallai's question.

On the other hand, if we restrict to a special class of graphs, the answer to Gallai's question may become positive. For example in a tree, all longest paths must have a vertex in common. Klavžar and Petkovšek in [6] proved that it is also true for split graphs and cacti and Balister et al. in [2] proved it for the class of circular arc graphs.

Another approach to Gallai's question is to ask, what happens if we consider a fixed number of longest paths. It is easy to see that every 2 longest paths in a connected graph have a common vertex. For 3 longest paths, the question remains open. This has been originally asked by Zamfirescu in [12].

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Institute for Theoretical Computer Science, and European Centre of Excellence NTIS - New Technologies for the Information Society, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of West Bohemia, Pilsen, Technická 8, 306 14 Plzeň, Czech Republic, e-mail: {ekstein,kabela,teska}@kma.zcu.cz.

†International College of Arts and Sciences, Yokohama City University, 22-2 Seto, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027, Japan, e-mail: shinya.fujita.ph.d@gmail.com.

**Conjecture 1.** [12] *For every connected graph, any 3 of its longest paths have a common vertex.*

There are few results dealing with this conjecture. Axenovich in [1] proved that it is true for connected outerplanar graphs and de Rezende et al. in [3] showed that Conjecture 1 is true for connected graphs in which all nontrivial blocks are hamiltonian.

For  $k \geq 7$ , Skupień in [7] obtained a connected graph in which some  $k$  longest paths have no common vertex, but every  $k - 1$  longest paths have a common vertex. Regarding this, it is still valid to ask whether not only 3 but also 4, 5, and 6 longest path in a connected graph have a common vertex.

In [5] the authors introduced a parameter to measure the distance among the longest paths in a connected graph and proved an upper bound of this parameter for 3 longest paths. To state their result we give some definitions first.

Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Let  $\ell(G)$  be the length of any longest path in  $G$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{P \mid P \text{ is a path in } G \text{ with } |V(P)| = \ell(G) + 1\}$  be a set of longest paths of  $G$ . For  $x, y \in V(G)$ , let  $d_G(x, y)$  be the distance between  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ . For a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  and a subset  $U \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $d_G(x, U) = \min\{d_G(x, y) \mid y \in U\}$ . For  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  we call *path-distance-function*  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) = \min\{\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} d_G(v, V(P)) \mid v \in V(G)\}$ .

For a class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  and an integer  $k$ , we introduce *path-distance-ratio*  $d_k(\mathcal{G}) = \max_{\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)} \frac{f(G, \mathcal{P})}{|V(G)|}$ , where the maximum is taken over all the graphs of  $\mathcal{G}$  and their sets of longest paths  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}_c$  be a class of connected graphs. The question whether for every connected graph any 3 longest paths have a vertex in common translates into the question whether  $d_3(\mathcal{G}_c) = 0$ . On the other hand, Skupień in [7] constructed a graph on 17 vertices, in which there are 7 longest paths without a common vertex, this graph implies that  $d_7(\mathcal{G}_c) \geq \frac{1}{17}$ .

Now we can state the result by Fujita et al. from [5].

**Theorem 2.** [5] *Let  $\mathcal{G}_c$  be a class of connected graphs. Then  $d_3(\mathcal{G}_c) \leq \frac{1}{17}$ .*

In this paper we prove similar results for 4 longest path and also for  $k$  longest paths, in general.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_c$  be a class of connected graphs. Then  $d_4(\mathcal{G}_c) \leq \frac{3}{16}$ .*

By picking any vertex of a connected graph  $G$ , we see that  $d_k(\mathcal{G}_c)$  can be bounded by  $k$ . We show that it can be improved as roughly  $\frac{k}{6}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_c$  be a class of connected graphs and let  $k \geq 3$  be an integer. Then  $d_k(\mathcal{G}_c) \leq \frac{k^3 - 4k^2 + 5k - 2}{6k^2 - 8k}$ .*

## 2 Proofs

In our proofs, we adapt ideas of [5]. We start by giving several technical definitions.

Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two sets of vertices of  $G$ , let  $P$  be a path in  $G$  and  $Q$  be a subpath of  $P$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the end-vertices of  $Q$ , we say  $Q$  is a  $U - V$  path on  $P$  if  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$ . A vertex of a path which is not its end-vertex is an *int-vertex* of the path. Let  $uPv$  denote the  $\{u\} - \{v\}$  path on  $P$ . Furthermore, let  $\check{u}Pv = uPv - u$ ,  $uP\check{v} = uPv - v$  and  $\check{u}P\check{v} = uPv - \{u, v\}$ . For a set  $\mathcal{P} = \{P, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1}\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  and  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ , a  $V(P_i) - V(P_j)$  path  $Q$  on  $P$  is *good* if  $V(Q) \cap V(P_m) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$  and neither  $P_i$  nor  $P_j$  contain an int-vertex of  $Q$ . Let  $t_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  be the number of all good paths of  $P$  and  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  be the maximum number of all non-intersecting (no edge in common) good paths on  $P$ . By Proposition 3 in [5], every 2 longest paths intersect. Thus, we have that  $t_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \geq t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \geq 1$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . For a path  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $X_{\mathcal{P}}^i(P)$  denote the set of all vertices of  $P$  which are exactly on  $i$  paths from  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $n_i = |\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^i(P)|$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = k \geq 3$ . If  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) > 0$ , then*

$$n \geq \frac{k \cdot \ell(G) + k + (k-2)n_1 + (k-3)n_2 + \dots + n_{k-2}}{k-1}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly  $n \geq n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{k-1} + n_k$ , where  $n_k = 0$ , and  $n \geq k(\ell(G) + 1) - n_2 - 2n_3 - \dots - (k-3)n_{k-2} - (k-2)n_{k-1}$ . Hence  $n \geq k \cdot \ell(G) + k - n_2 - 2n_3 - \dots - (k-3)n_{k-2} - (k-2)(n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_{k-2})$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = k$ . If there exists a path  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = 1$ , then  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* To the contrary, we suppose there is a path  $P = v_1v_2\dots v_{\ell(G)+1}$  with  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = 1$  and  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) > 0$ . By  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) > 0$ , every good path on  $P$  contains an edge. We consider the 'left-most' good path  $Q$  on  $P$ ; more formally, we consider the good path  $Q = v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_j$  such that there is no good path on  $P$  containing a vertex  $v_k$  with  $k < i$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_j$  denote the set of paths of  $\mathcal{P}$  which contain  $v_j$ . By the choice of  $Q$ , some path of  $\mathcal{P}_j$  contains no vertex  $v_k$  with  $k < j$ , and thus the length of  $v_1v_2\dots v_j$  is at most  $\frac{1}{2}\ell(G)$ . Similarly, we consider the 'right-most' good path  $Q' = v_{i'}v_{i'+1}\dots v_{j'}$  and we see that the length of  $v_{i'}v_{i'+1}\dots v_{\ell(G)+1}$  is at most  $\frac{1}{2}\ell(G)$ . By the assumption  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = 1$ , the paths  $Q$  and  $Q'$  have an edge in common, so  $j > i'$ , hence the length of  $P$  is shorter than  $\ell(G)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = k \geq 3$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and let  $Q$  be a good path on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then the following two statements hold:*

$$(i) \quad f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq \frac{|V(Q)|-1}{2}(k-1);$$

$$(ii) \quad |X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) \cup \dots \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P)| \geq t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \left( \frac{2}{k-1} f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1 \right).$$

*Proof.* Note that if  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ , then the statement holds. Suppose  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \geq 1$ . In particular, every good path on  $\mathcal{P}$  contains at least two vertices. Let  $x \in V(Q)$  such that

$\sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} d_G(x, P') \leq \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} d_G(y, P')$  for every  $y \in V(Q)$ . Then

$$f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} d_G(x, P') \leq \frac{|V(Q)| - 1}{2}(k - 1).$$

For any path  $P$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  and any good path  $Q'$  on  $P$ , no int-vertex of  $Q'$  is in  $X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-1}(P)$ , therefore  $|V(Q') \cap (X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) \cup \dots \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P))| \geq |V(Q')| - 2 \geq \frac{2}{k-1}f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a maximum set of non-intersecting good paths on  $P$ . By the definition,  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = |\mathcal{Q}|$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) \cup \dots \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P)| &\geq |\cup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} (V(Q) \cap (X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) \cup \dots \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P)))| \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} (|V(Q)| - 2) \geq t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \left( \frac{2}{k-1}f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| = 4$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  and let  $Q$  be a good path on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then the following two statements hold:*

- (i)  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq |V(Q)| - 1$ ;
- (ii)  $|X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P)| \geq t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P)(f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 7 with respect to the following. Let  $u, v$  be end-vertices of  $Q$ . Assume that  $Q$  is a  $V(P_1) - V(P_2)$  path on  $P$  (otherwise we renumber the paths) and we consider a vertex  $x \in V(Q) \cap V(P_3)$ . Then

$$f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} d_G(x, P) = d_G(x, P_1) + d_G(x, P_2) \leq d_G(u, v) \leq |V(Q)| - 1.$$

Then we use Corollary 8(i) instead of Lemma 7(i) and the result follows. □

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Suppose that  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \geq 1$ . Hence  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \geq 2$  by Lemma 6. Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  be a path minimizing  $|X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) \cup \dots \cup X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P)|$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} - \{P\} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1}\}$  and  $u_i, v_i$  be the end-vertices of  $P_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ . Assume that  $Q$  is a good  $V(P_1) - V(P_2)$  path on  $P$  with end-vertices  $u, v$  (otherwise we renumber paths  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1}$ ). Let  $R$  be the shortest  $\{u\} - V(P_2)$  path on  $P_1$  and  $x \in V(R) \cap V(P_2)$ . We may assume that  $|V(u_2P_2v)| \leq |V(u_2P_2x)|$  (see Figure 1).

We have  $|V(R)| \geq 2$  from  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \geq 1$  and  $|V(Q)| \geq \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + 1$  from Lemma 7(i). Since  $vQ\check{u}$  contains no vertex of  $V(P_1)$ ,  $vQuRx$  is a path in  $G$ . Furthermore, since  $\check{v}QuP_1\check{x}$  contains no vertex of  $V(P_2)$ ,  $S_1 = v_2P_2vQuR\check{x}$ ,  $S_2 = u_2P_2vQuRxP_2v_2$ , and  $S_3 = u_2P_2xRuQ\check{v}$  are paths in  $G$  (see Figure 2).

By comparing the lengths of  $P_2$  and  $S_1$  and using Lemma 7(i) and  $|V(R)| \geq 2$ , we have

$$|V(u_2P_2v)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 2 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 \geq \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1}.$$




Figure 1: A good  $V(P_1) - V(P_2)$  path  $Q$  and path  $R$

Similarly for  $P_2$  and  $S_2$ , we have

$$|V(vP_2x)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| \geq \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + 1.$$

Also for  $P_2$  and  $S_3$ , we have

$$|V(xP_2v_2)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 2 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 \geq \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1}.$$

Therefore all together we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(G) &= |V(P_2)| - 1 = |V(u_2P_2v)| - 1 + |V(vP_2x)| - 1 + |V(xP_2v_2)| - 1 \geq \\ &\geq \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + 1 + \frac{2f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} = \frac{6f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Clearly  $n_i = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^i(P')$ . By the choice of  $P$  and  $t'_{\mathcal{P}}(P') \geq 2$  for every  $P' \in \mathcal{P}$  together with  $(*)$ , Lemma 5, and Lemma 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \frac{k \cdot \ell(G) + k + (k-2) \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P') + \frac{k-3}{2} \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P') + \dots + \frac{1}{k-2} \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P')}{k-1} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{k \cdot \ell(G) + k + \frac{1}{k-2} (\sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P') + \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P') + \dots + \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P'))}{k-1} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{k \cdot \ell(G) + k + \frac{k}{k-2} (X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P) + X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P) + \dots + X_{\mathcal{P}}^{k-2}(P))}{k-1} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{k(\frac{6f(G, \mathcal{P})}{k-1} + 1) + k + \frac{2k}{k-2} (\frac{2}{k-1} f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1)}{k-1} = \frac{(6k^2 - 8k)f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 2k^3 - 8k^2 + 6k}{(k-2)(k-1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: Paths  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$

and hence  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq \frac{(k^3 - 4k^2 + 5k - 2)n - 2k^3 + 8k^2 - 6k}{6k^2 - 8k}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4 and use Corollary 8(i) instead of Lemma 7(i).

By comparing the lengths of  $P_2$  and  $S_1$  and using Corollary 8(i) and  $|V(R)| \geq 2$ , we have

$$|V(u_2 P_2 v)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 2 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 \geq f(G, \mathcal{P}).$$

Similarly for  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , we have

$$|V(v P_2 x)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| \geq f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 1,$$

$$|V(x P_2 v_2)| - 1 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 + |V(R)| - 2 \geq |V(Q)| - 1 \geq f(G, \mathcal{P}).$$

Therefore all together we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(G) &= |V(P_2)| - 1 = |V(u_2 P_2 v)| - 1 + |V(v P_2 x)| - 1 + |V(x P_2 v_2)| - 1 \geq \\ &\geq f(G, \mathcal{P}) + f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 1 + f(G, \mathcal{P}) = 3f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 1. \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of  $P$  and  $t'_P(P') \geq 2$  for every  $P' \in \mathcal{P}$  together with (\*\*), Lemma 7, and Lemma 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \frac{4\ell(G) + 4 + 2 \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^1(P') + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P' \in \mathcal{P}} X_{\mathcal{P}}^2(P')}{3} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{4(3f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 1) + 4 + 4(f(G, \mathcal{P}) - 1)}{3} = \frac{16f(G, \mathcal{P}) + 4}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq \frac{3n-4}{16}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

As it was mentioned in Introduction, we extend Conjecture 1 to Conjecture 9.

**Conjecture 9.** *For every connected graph, any  $k$  of its longest paths have a common vertex for  $3 \leq k \leq 6$ .*

Conjecture 10 is an extension of a Conjecture stated in [5] for 3 longest paths. We prove that Conjecture 10 is equivalent with Conjecture 9.

**Conjecture 10.** *There exists a sublinear function  $g$  such that for every connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and every subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  with  $3 \leq |\mathcal{P}| \leq 6$ ,  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) \leq g(n)$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be a class of connected graphs of order at least  $n$ . In other words, using  $d_k(\mathcal{G}_n)$  with  $3 \leq k \leq 6$ , Conjecture 10 translates into the following statement. The path distance ratio  $d_k(\mathcal{G}_n)$  goes to 0 as  $n$  goes to infinity.

**Theorem 11.** *Conjecture 9 is true if and only if Conjecture 10 is true.*

*Proof.* Suppose Conjecture 9 holds. For every set  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $k$  longest paths ( $3 \leq k \leq 6$ ) of every connected graph  $G$ , we have  $f(G, \mathcal{P}) = 0$ . Thus any non-negative sublinear function implies that Conjecture 10 holds.

Suppose Conjecture 10 holds. We prove the contrapositive statement, that is, if Conjecture 9 is not true, then neither is Conjecture 10. For  $3 \leq k \leq 6$ , we consider a connected graph  $G$  and a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of its  $k$  longest paths so that they have no common vertex. We extend  $G$  by adding a pendant edge to every vertex, which is an end-vertex of a path of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and we note that each path of  $\mathcal{P}$  prolonged with two of these new edges is a longest path in the extended graph. For a non-negative integer  $t$ , we subdivide every edge of the extended graph  $t$  times and we observe that the corresponding  $k$  paths, say  $\mathcal{P}_t$ , are longest paths in the resulting graph  $G_t$ . Let  $n$  be the number of vertices and  $m$  the number of edges of  $G$ . We see that  $G_t$  has at most  $n + t(m + 2k)$  vertices. By construction,  $f(G_t, \mathcal{P}_t) \geq t$ . We consider the sequence of graphs  $(G_t)_{t=1}^{\infty}$  and we note that  $f(G_t, \mathcal{P}_t)$  cannot be bounded from above by a sublinear function.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the project LO1506 of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports.

The first and third authors were supported by project GA14-19503S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

The second author's research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (15K04979). Also, this work was partially completed during a visit of the second author to the University of West Bohemia. He wishes to express his thanks for the generous hospitality.

## References

- [1] M. Axenovich, When do 3 longest paths have a common vertex? *Discrete Math. Alg. Appl.* 1 (2009) 115-120.
- [2] P. Balister, E. Györi, J. Lehel, R. Schelp, Longest paths in circular arc graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 13 (2004) 311-317.
- [3] S. F. de Rezende, C. G. Fernandes, D. M. Martin, Y. Wakabayashi, Intersecting longest paths, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013) 1401-1408.
- [4] P. Erdős, G. Katona (Eds.), *Theory of Graphs, Proceedings of the Colloquium Hald at Tihany, Hungary, 1966*, Academic Press, New York, 1968, Problem 4 (T. Gallai), p.362.
- [5] S. Fujita, M. Furuya, R. Naserasr, K. Ozeki, A New Approach Towards a Conjecture on Intersecting Three Longest Paths, arXiv:1503.01219v2, 2015.
- [6] S. Klavžar, M. Petkovšek, Graphs with nonempty intersection of longest paths, *Ars Combin.* 26 (1990) 43-52.
- [7] Z. Skupień, Smallest sets of longest paths with empty intersection. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 5 (1996), no. 4, 429-436.
- [8] C. Thomassen, Planar and infinite hypohamiltonian and hypotraceable graphs, *Discrete Math.* 14 (1976) 377-389.
- [9] H. Walther, Über die Nichtexistenz eines Knotenpunktes durch den allen längsten Wege eines Graphen gehen, *J. Combin. Theory* 6 (1969) 1-6.
- [10] H. Walther, H. J. Voss, *Über Kreise in Graphen*, VED Deutcher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1974.
- [11] T. Zamfirescu, On longest path and circuits in graphs, *Math. Scand.* 38 (1976) 211-239.



- [12] T. Zamfirescu, Intersecting longest path or cycles: short survey, An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform. 28 (2001) 1-9.