

Random-Walks on Graphs and Approximation of l_2 -Invariants

Zenas Wong, Andrew Kricker

Abstract

Right multiplication operators $R_w : l_2G \rightarrow l_2G$, $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, are interpreted as random-walk operators on labelled graphs that are analogous to Cayley graphs. Applying a generalization of the graph convergence defined by R. Grigorchuk and A. Żuk [5] gives a new proof and interpretation of a special case of W. Lück's famous Theorem on the Approximation of l_2 -Betti numbers for countable residually finite groups. In particular, using this interpretation, the proof follows quickly from standard theorems about the weak convergence of probability measures that are characterized by their moments.

1 Introduction

A key area of interest in the theory of l_2 -invariants is the study of the spectral density function $F(R_w) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of a G -equivariant bounded operator $R_w : l_2G \rightarrow l_2G$, given by right multiplication of w (an element of the complex group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$) on the Hilbert space l_2G of square summable formal sums:

$$l_2G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g : \lambda_g \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{g \in G} |\lambda_g|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

$F(R_w)$ is used to define l_2 -invariants of R_w , such as the l_2 -Betti number, Novikov-Shubin invariant, and Fuglede-Kadison determinant.

In general, $F(R_w)$ is difficult to compute, and there are few instances of actual computations of the l_2 -invariants of right multiplication operators. For example, for any $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ with $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, recent work by W. Lück [4] provided bounds on the spectral density function of R_w , and established that the Novikov-Shubin invariant and Fuglede-Kadison determinant of R_w are both positive. In [10], L. Grabowski discovered particular groups G for which certain elements $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ are such that the l_2 -Betti number of R_w is irrational.

This paper studies the spectral density function of R_w using the theory of random walk (or Markov) operators of groups. This relies on [5], where R. Grigorchuk and A. Żuk defined convergence of sequences of locally finite marked graphs, and showed that the spectral measure associated to the random-walk (or Markov) operator of such a graph is continuous with respect to this type of graph convergence. This was subsequently used in [6] to explicitly compute the spectrum of a Markov operator of the lamplighter group, and the approach

was further extended in [8] to Markov operators of groups generated by Cayley automata.

An important connection between these 2 theories is that Markov operators of a finitely generated group G set up the following Correspondence (\star) between:

1. Random walks on the Cayley graph $\Gamma_{G,S}$ of G with respect to a finite symmetric generating set S , and
2. G -equivariant bounded operators $R_m : l_2G \rightarrow l_2G$, given by right multiplication of the self-adjoint element $m = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} s \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. R_m is known as the random-walk operator (or Markov operator) associated to the Cayley graph $\Gamma_{G,S}$.

In particular, computing the spectral measures of random walk operators on the Cayley graph $\Gamma_{G,S}$ gives information on the spectral density function of R_m and the associated l_2 -invariants. For example, the main result of [6] was used to give a counter-example [9] to the Strong Atiyah Conjecture concerning the range of values of l_2 -Betti numbers.

The aim of this paper is to extend this correspondence to self-adjoint elements $z = ww^* \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ for an arbitrary element w of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, and use it to study $F(R_w)$. The approach is to introduce a graph Γ that is analogous to Cayley graphs, such that right multiplication by w is the random walk operator associated to Γ . In keeping with Correspondence (\star), R_w will be called the Markov-type operator associated to Γ . When G is a finitely generated residually finite group, this approach yields a new proof for the pointwise almost-everywhere convergence to $F(R_w)$ by means of finite-dimensional analogues. To explain this, one will fix the following notations:

Setting. *Let G be a finitely generated residually finite group. Suppose that G has a nested sequence of finite index normal subgroups:*

$$\{K_n \triangleleft G : [G : K_n] < \infty, K_n \supseteq K_{n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n = \{1\}$. For $A \in M_{a \times b}(\mathbb{C}[G])$, let $R_A : (l_2G)^a \rightarrow (l_2G)^b$ be the operator given by right multiplication by A . Set:

$$A_n = \pi_n(A) \in M_{a \times b}(\mathbb{C}[G/K_n]),$$

obtained by applying the canonical projection $\pi_n : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G/K_n]$ to the entries of A , and let $R_{A_n} : l_2(G/K_n)^a \rightarrow l_2(G/K_n)^b$ be the associated right multiplication operator.

The main result is a new proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (Pointwise a.e. Convergence of Spectral Density Functions). *Let G be a countable residually finite group as described in the Setting, and let A and A_n be given by $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ and $w_n = \pi_n(w)$ respectively (i.e. when $a = b = 1$). Let $F(R_w)(\lambda)$ and $F(R_{w_n})(\lambda)$ be the spectral density functions of R_w and R_{w_n} respectively. Then for all $\lambda \geq 0$ at which $F(R_w)$ is continuous, one has that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(R_{w_n})(\lambda) = F(R_w)(\lambda).$$

A corollary of Theorem 1 is a special case (for $a = b = 1$) of a famous theorem of W. Lück [2, 3] concerning the approximation of the l_2 -Betti number $b^{(2)}(R_A)$ by the sequence of l_2 -Betti numbers $b^{(2)}(R_{A_n})$ arising from the finite dimensional operators R_{A_n} .

Theorem 2 (W. Lück). *Let G be as described in the Setting. For $A \in M_{a \times b}(\mathbb{Q}[G])$, let $b^{(2)}(R_A)$ and $b^{(2)}(R_{A_n})$ be the l_2 -Betti numbers of R_A and R_{A_n} respectively. Then:*

$$b^{(2)}(R_A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{(2)}(R_{A_n}).$$

Note Theorem 1 has already been proved by W. Lück in Theorem 16.3 of [3] by means of a functional analytic proof. While the techniques behind the new proof of Theorem 1 constitute well-known results, they are nonetheless part of an important and increasingly useful approach of applying tools from geometric group theory to give insights into conjectures involving spectral density functions of arbitrary right multiplication operators and their associated l_2 -invariants. Examples of the usefulness of this approach can be seen in [18, 11].

This paper grew out of our attempts to understand the methods to compute l_2 -Alexander invariant for knots K , which are such that the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus K)$ (of the complement of K in the 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3) is a countable residually finite group. This is an l_2 -invariant analogue of twisted Alexander polynomials that was introduced by W. Li and W. Zhang in [19]. In particular, knots such as 2-bridge knots K are such that $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus K)$ admits a deficiency 1 presentation, and therefore the l_2 -Alexander invariant of K can, in principle, be computed from an element w of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ (although for most cases, direct computations are difficult to perform). The l_2 -Alexander invariant is an example of an l_2 -Alexander torsion; l_2 -Alexander torsions were studied by J. Dubois, S. Friedl, and W. Lück in [20, 21].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents relevant theory on l_2 -invariants of right multiplication operators, and the weak convergence of measures. Section 3 presents the main geometric group theoretic tool of labelled connected marked graphs (denoted *lcmg*'s) and the corresponding concept of convergence. Section 4 gives the proof of the main result.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 l_2 -Invariants

For details on the theory of l_2 -invariants, see [1], Chapters 1, 2, and 13. Let G be a discrete group. The group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$ comes with an involution $*$, such that for $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{C}$, $(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g)^* = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\lambda_g} g^{-1}$, where $\overline{\lambda}$ is the complex conjugate of λ . One says that $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ is *self-adjoint* if $w^* = w$.

Let $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, and let $R_w : l_2 G \rightarrow l_2 G$ be the associated right multiplication operator. The adjoint of R_w is

$$(R_w)^* = R_{w^*} : l_2 G \rightarrow l_2 G,$$

which is also a right multiplication operator. R_w is self-adjoint (i.e. $(R_w)^* = R_w$) if and only if w is self-adjoint. R_w is itself an element of the group von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}(G)$ consisting of all G -equivariant bounded linear operators $l_2G \rightarrow l_2G$; $\mathcal{N}(G)$ has a finite faithful normal trace:

$$\text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(T) = \langle T(1), 1 \rangle \quad , \quad T \in \mathcal{N}(G),$$

where $1 \in l_2G$ is the identity element of G .

For an arbitrary $T \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, $T^* \circ T$ is self-adjoint, and therefore has a family of spectral projections:

$$\{E_B^{T^* \circ T} = \chi_B(T^* \circ T)\}_B,$$

where the collection is taken over all Borel subsets B of $[0, \|T\|^2]$, and χ_B is the characteristic function of B .

The *spectral density function* $F(T)(\lambda)$ is given by:

$$F(T)(\lambda) = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(E_{[0, \lambda^2]}^{T^* \circ T}).$$

This is a monotonically increasing right continuous function. The *l_2 -Betti number* of T is defined to be $b^{(2)}(T) := F(T)(0)$. Roughly, $b^{(2)}(T)$ is a measure of the size of the kernel of T ; one also has that $b^{(2)}(T) = 0$ if and only if T is injective.

2.2 Weak Convergence of Probability Measures

For details, see Chapter 13 of [14], Section 11.4 of [15], and also [13]. Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of probability measures on a metric space X (with Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$). One says that $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to a probability measure μ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\mu(\partial B) = 0$, where ∂B is the boundary of B . The limit measure of weak convergence can be shown to be unique.

Now for $X = \mathbb{R}$, let $\mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} . The *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) $F_\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ associated to μ is $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$. Note that the intervals $(-\infty, x]$ have measure zero boundary.

Proposition 1 (Theorem 13.23, [14]). *For probability measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and μ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the following are equivalent:*

1. $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to μ .
2. For all x such that F_μ is continuous, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_n}(x) = F_\mu(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of Borel probability measures on \mathbb{R} such that all polynomials with real coefficients (denoted $\mathbb{R}[x]$) belong to $L^1(\mu)$; define an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$: $\mu_1 \sim \mu_2$ if and only if for every $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p d\mu_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p d\mu_2$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ and a measurable function f on \mathbb{R} , the *expected value* of f is $E_\mu[f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d\mu(x)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k th moment of μ is $\mu^{(k)} = E_\mu[x^k]$. μ is

said to be *characterized by its moments* if the equivalence class of μ consists of a single measure. By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, any compactly supported measure is characterized by its moments.

The following result is a classical result in the theory of probability measures.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 11.4.1, [15]). *Suppose that $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a sequence of measures such that:*

1. *For every $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p \, d\mu_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p \, d\mu$.*
2. *μ is characterized by its moments.*

Then $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to μ .

3 Labelled Connected Marked Graphs

This section develops the notion of convergence of labelled connected marked graphs (denoted lcmg) (X, v) , where v is a distinguished vertex of X . This is a slight extension of the convergence of connected marked graphs as described in [5]. The set of all lcmg's forms a metric space, and will be denoted by \mathcal{LCMG} . For each lcmg (X, v) , one obtains an operator $M_{X,v}$ that describes a random walk on the lcmg; $M_{X,v}$ will be called the Markov-type operator associated to X . To $M_{X,v}$ will be associated a probability measure μ_v^X , which will be called the Kesten spectral measure of X at v . These spectral measures generalize the Kesten spectral measures that occur in [7], which are defined for Markov operators of Cayley graphs; see also [8]. The key result is that the map $(X, v) \mapsto M_{X,v} \mapsto \mu_v^X$ is weakly continuous with respect to convergence of lcmg's.

A *labelled connected marked graph* (lcmg) is a connected directed graph $X = (V, E)$ with a distinguished (or marked) vertex $v \in V(X)$, such that:

1. $V(X)$ and $E(X)$ are both countable.
2. Each vertex has finite in and out degrees.
3. Edges of X carry labels in \mathbb{C} , which are determined by a map $L_X : E(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Edges are allowed to have label $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
4. For each vertex, at most one self-loop is allowed at each vertex.
5. For any 2 vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V$, there are no multiple directed edges from v_1 to v_2 ; the unique directed edge $v_1 \rightarrow v_2$ will be denoted by (v_1, v_2) .

By ignoring the \mathbb{C} -labels on edges and taking the underlying graph, there is a metric d_X on V , where $d_X(v_1, v_2)$ is given by the minimum length of a directed path in X from v_1 to v_2 , where each edge is assigned length 1.

Given lcmg's (X_1, v_1) and (X_2, v_2) , say that (X_1, v_1) is lcmg *isomorphic* to (X_2, v_2) if there exists a bijection $f : V(X_1) \rightarrow V(X_2)$ such that:

1. Basepoint-preserving: $f(v_1) = v_2$.

2. Edge-preserving: $(u, v) \in E(X_1)$ if and only if $(f(u), f(v)) \in E(X_2)$.
3. Label-preserving: $L_{X_1}((u, v)) = L_{X_2}((f(u), f(v)))$.

Let \mathcal{LCMG} denote the set of all lcmg's. For every $(X, v) \in \mathcal{LCMG}$, let $B(X, v, r)$ be the ball of radius $r > 0$ in X centred at v ; this is precisely the full subgraph of (X, v) with vertices $u \in V(X)$ such that $d_X(u, v) \leq r$. Then define $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{LCMG} \times \mathcal{LCMG} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, by:

$$\mathcal{D}((X_1, v_1), (X_2, v_2)) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} : B(X_1, v_1, n) \text{ and } B(X_2, v_2, n) \text{ are lcmg isomorphic} \right\}.$$

It is then easy to see that \mathcal{D} is a metric on \mathcal{LCMG} . Thus, one says that a sequence of lcmg's $\{(X_n, v_n)\}$ converges to a lcmg (X, v) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}((X_n, v_n), (X, v)) = 0.$$

Up to lcmg isomorphism, the limit graph (X, v) is unique.

The following lemma follows immediately from the definitions.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{(X_n, v_n)\}$ be a sequence of lcmg's. The following are equivalent:*

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}((X_n, v_n), (X, v)) = 0$.
2. For every $r > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, then $B(X_n, v_n, r)$ is lcmg isomorphic to $B(X, v, r)$.

It is also possible to define an involution $*$ on \mathcal{LCMG} . For any lcmg (X, v) , define $(X, v)^* := (X^*, v)$ to have basepoint v , and for each edge $(v_1, v_2) \in E(X)$ with label $L_X((v_1, v_2))$, replace it with an edge (v_2, v_1) with label $\overline{L_X((v_1, v_2))}$. Since X is connected, (X^*, v) is also a lcmg. (X, v) is said to be *self-involutive* if $(X, v)^* = (X, v)$.

For $(X, v) \in \mathcal{LCMG}$, define the *Markov-type operator associated to (X, v)* , $M_{X,v} : l_2(V(X)) \rightarrow l_2(V(X))$, as follows:

$$M_{X,v}(f)(u) = \sum_{(w,u) \in E(X)} L_X((w,u)) \cdot f(w) \quad , \quad f \in l_2(V(X)), \quad u \in V(X).$$

Note that $M_{X,v}$ is a well-defined linear operator due to conditions 2, 4 and 5 in the definition of a lcmg.

The inner product on $l_2(V(X))$ is given by:

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \sum_{x \in V(X)} f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)}.$$

Taking the standard orthonormal basis $\{\delta_x \in l_2(V(X)) : x \in V(X)\}$, where $\delta_x(u) = 1$ when $u = x$ and is 0 otherwise:

$$\langle M_{X,v}(\delta_x), \delta_y \rangle = \begin{cases} L_X((x,y)) & , (x,y) \in E(X) \\ 0 & , o.w. \end{cases}.$$

In general, $M_{X,v}$ may not be a self-adjoint bounded operator, but will be for special choices of lcmg's, as the next result shows.

Proposition 2. *If $(X, v) \in \mathcal{LCMG}$ is self-involutive, then $M_{X,v}$ is a self-adjoint bounded linear operator.*

Proof. First check that $M_{X,v}^* = M_{X,v}$ on the \mathbb{C} -basis $\{\delta_x\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_x, M_{X,v}(\delta_y) \rangle &= \sum_{v \in V(X)} \delta_x(v) \overline{M_{X,v}(\delta_y)(v)} \\ &= \overline{M_{X,v}(\delta_y)(x)} = M_{X,v}(\delta_x)(y) = \langle M_{X,v}(\delta_x), \delta_y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M_{X,v}$ is symmetric, but since it is also everywhere-defined, it follows from the Hellinger-Toeplitz theorem ([17], Section 3.5) that $M_{X,v}$ is self-adjoint and bounded. \square

So consider a self-involutive lcmg (X, v) , with associated Markov-type operator $M = M_{X,v}$. Let $\{E_B^M\}_B$ be the family of spectral projections determined by M , where $\|M\| < \infty$ is the operator norm of M , and B is a Borel subset of $[-\|M\|, \|M\|]$. For $x, y \in V(X)$, one obtains a collection of measures on the Borel σ -algebra of $[-\|M\|, \|M\|]$:

$$\mu_{x,y}^X(B) = \langle E_B^M(\delta_x), \delta_y \rangle.$$

The probability measures:

$$\{\mu_x^X = \mu_{x,x}^X : x \in V(X)\}$$

will be called the *Kesten spectral measures* of X ; individually, μ_x^X will be called the Kesten spectral measure of X at x . For the case of the Markov type operator M , denote $E_\lambda^M = E_{(-\infty, \lambda]}^M$. The moments of the Kesten spectral measures are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_a^X)^{(k)} &= \int_{-\|M\|}^{\|M\|} \lambda^k d\mu_a^X(\lambda) = \int_{-\|M\|}^{\|M\|} \lambda^k d\langle E_\lambda^M(\delta_a), \delta_a \rangle \\ &= \langle M^k(\delta_a), \delta_a \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following result that generalizes Lemma 4 of [5].

Theorem 4. *Let $\{(X_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of lcmg's that converges to a lcmg (X, v) . Then the sequence of Kesten spectral measures $\{\mu_{v_n}^{X_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to $\{\mu_v^X\}$.*

Proof. By the computation above, the k th moment of μ_v^X is given by the sum, over all possible directed walks ω in X , starting at v and of metric length $d_X(x, v) = k$, of the product of the \mathbb{C} -labels of the edges along each such ω ; by a walk, it is meant that any directed edge may appear more than once in ω . But since the sequence $\{(X_n, v_n)\}$ converges to (X, v) , for n sufficiently large, $B(X_n, v_n, k)$ is lcmg isomorphic to $B(X, v, k)$, so that $(\mu_{v_n}^{X_n})^{(k)} = (\mu_v^X)^{(k)}$. Because the measure μ_v^X is compactly supported, it is characterized by its moments, and hence the convergence of the moments of the measures implies the weak convergence of the measures in this case, by Theorem 3. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, the concept of a Cayley lcmg is introduced; these lcmg's will serve as the analogue of Cayley graphs for arbitrary self-adjoint elements of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. To this end, consider a self-adjoint element $z \in \mathbb{C}[G]$. Then one may express z in the form:

$$z = \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s s,$$

where $S \subseteq G$ is a finite symmetric subset (i.e. $s \in S \implies s^{-1} \in S$), such that $\lambda_s \neq 0$, and all elements of S are pairwise distinct. If necessary, proceed to extend S to a symmetric set of generators of G . Therefore, without loss of generality, S may be taken to be a finite symmetric set of generators of G , where the coefficients λ_s are allowed to take value 0 for some of the generators s . Note that because $z = z^*$, $\lambda_s = \overline{\lambda_{s^{-1}}}$ for all $s \in S$; it may also happen that the identity element $1 \in G$ belongs to S .

Let $\Gamma_{G,S}$ be the Cayley graph of G with respect to S . Edges will be made to correspond to right multiplication of generators. Modify $\Gamma_{G,S}$ as follows. If $1 \in S$, then at each vertex of $\Gamma_{G,S}$, there is a single self-loop. For each directed edge $x \xrightarrow{s} xs$ attach a label λ_s ; for self-loops, attach the label λ_1 . With basepoint taken as $1 \in G$, the resultant graph is a self-involutive lcmg, and will be called the *Cayley lcmg of w with respect to S* , denoted $\Gamma_{z,S}$.

Further, suppose that G is countable residually finite, as described in the Setting. Then $\pi_n(S) = \{sK_n : s \in S\}$ is a finite symmetric set of generators of G/K_n . Note that elements of $\pi_n(S)$ are taken without repetition, i.e. $\pi_n(S)$ is the collection of pairwise distinct cosets of G/K_n obtained from the action of π_n on S . Then $z_n = \pi_n(z)$ can be expressed in the form:

$$z_n = \sum_{s_n \in \pi_n(S)} \lambda_{s_n} s_n.$$

In a similar way, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one obtains the Cayley lcmg $\Gamma_{z_n, \pi_n(S)}$ of z_n with respect to $\pi_n(S)$, where the basepoint is taken to be the identity element $1_n \in G/K_n$.

Proposition 3. *The sequence of lcmg's $\{(\Gamma_{z_n, \pi_n(S)}, 1_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\Gamma_{z,S}$.*

Proof. The aim is to apply Lemma 1. Let $r > 0$. The property that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n = \{1\}$ implies that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $V(B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, 2r + 1)) \cap K_n = \{1\}$. This implies that:

1. The generating set $\pi_n(S)$ for G/K_n contains $|S|$ pairwise distinct cosets (if $1 \in S$, then the identity coset $1K_n$ is also included in this collection of cosets).
2. If $g \in K_n$ is such $g \neq 1$, then any word w in the generators S that represents g must be of length greater than $2r + 1$. Equivalently, any directed path in $\Gamma_{w,S}$ starting at 1 and ending at $g \in K_n$ must be of length greater than $2r + 1$.

One must show that the map

$$\alpha_n : V(B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)) \rightarrow V(B(\Gamma_{z_n, \pi_n(S)}, 1_n, r)),$$

given by $\alpha_n : x \mapsto \pi_n(x)$ is a lcmg isomorphism for $n \geq N$.

α_n is a bijection. That α_n is surjective holds since π_n is a projection. To prove injectivity, consider vertices $x, y \in B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)$. Then x and y can be expressed as words in the generators S , each of length at most r ; each of these words would correspond to a path of length at most r in $B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)$. If one has $\pi_n(x) = \pi_n(y)$, then $x^{-1}y \in K_n$ can be expressed as a word (in the generators S) of length at most $2r$. This means that there is a directed path in $\Gamma_{z,S}$ starting at 1 and ending at an element of K_n of length $2r$. By the choice of N , one must have $x^{-1}y = 1 \iff x = y$.

α_n is basepoint-preserving. This follows from $\pi_n(1) = 1_n$.

α_n is edge-preserving. Note that in $\Gamma_{z,S}$ and Γ_{z_n, S_n} , two vertices $x, y \in G$ (respectively xK_n and yK_n) are adjacent by means of a labelled directed edge $x \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} y$ (respectively $xK_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} yK_n$) if and only if $y = xs$ (respectively $yK_n = xK_n \cdot sK_n = xsK_n$). Since $\pi_n(xs) = \pi_n(x)\pi_n(s)$, an edge $x \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} xs$ in $B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)$ gives rise to an edge $xK_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} xsK_n$ in $B(\Gamma_{z_n, \pi_n(S)}, 1_n, r)$. Conversely, suppose vertices $x, y \in B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)$ are such that there is an edge $xK_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} yK_n$ in $\Gamma_{z_n, \pi_n(S)}$. Then $yK_n = xsK_n \iff y^{-1}xs \in K_n$. Now express x and y as words of length at most r in the generators S . Then $y^{-1}xs \in K_n$ can be expressed as a word of length at most $2r + 1$ in the generators S . This would imply that there is a path of length at most $2r + 1$ in $\Gamma_{z,S}$ starting at 1 and ending at an element of K_n . By the choice of N , one must have that $y^{-1}xs = 1 \iff y = xs$, which shows that there is a labelled directed edge $x \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} y$ in $\Gamma_{z,S}$.

α_n is label-preserving. This is a direct consequence of the proof that α_n is edge-preserving; each labelled directed edge $x \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} xs$ in $B(\Gamma_{z,S}, 1, r)$ gives rise to a labelled directed edge $xK_n \xrightarrow{\lambda_s} xsK_n$.

Therefore, the proof is completed. \square

One may now prove the main result:

Proof. (of Theorem 1)

Let $w \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, and $w_n = \pi_n(w) \in \mathbb{C}[G/K_n]$. The spectral density function of R_w is given by:

$$F(R_w)(\lambda) = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(E_{\lambda^2}^{(R_w)^* \circ R_w}) = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(E_{\lambda^2}^{R_w w^*})$$

The second equality follows from observations that:

$$(R_w)^* = R_w^* \text{ and } R_{w_1} \circ R_{w_2} = R_{w_2 w_1},$$

for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}[G]$.

Therefore, let $z = ww^*$ and $z_n = \pi_n(z) = w_n w_n^*$, both of which are self-adjoint. Consider the Markov-type operators $M = M_{\Gamma_{z,S},1}$ and $M_n = M_{\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)},1_n}$ associated to the Cayley lcmg's $\Gamma_{z,S}$ and $\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}$ respectively. With this set-up, one checks that under the identifications $V(\Gamma_{z,S}) = G$ and $V(\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}) = G/K_n$:

1. $M = R_z$ and $M_n = R_{z_n}$.
2. $\delta_1 \in l_2(V(\Gamma_{z,S}))$ and $\delta_{1_n} \in l_2(V(\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}))$ are identified with $1 \in l_2 G$ and $1_n \in l_2(G/K_n)$ respectively.

By Proposition 3, the sequence $\{(\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}, 1_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\Gamma_{z,S}$. Theorem 4 then shows that the sequence of Kesten spectral measures $\{\mu_{1_n}^{\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to the Kesten spectral measure $\mu_1^{\Gamma_{z,S}}$. By Corollary 1, this implies that for all $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $\mu_1^{\Gamma_{z,S}}(\lambda)$ is continuous, the sequence of cumulative distribution functions $\{\mu_{1_n}^{\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}}(\lambda)\}$ converges pointwise to $\mu_1^{\Gamma_{z,S}}(\lambda)$.

Therefore, what remains is to compute the $\mu_{1_n}^{\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}}(\lambda)$ and $\mu_1^{\Gamma_{z,S}}(\lambda)$, and it will be shown that they coincide with $F(R_{w_n})(\lambda)$ and $F(R_w)(\lambda)$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1^{\Gamma_{z,S}}(\lambda) &= \langle E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^M(\delta_1), \delta_1 \rangle = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^M) \\ &= \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G)}(E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^{R_z}) = F(R_w)(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1_n}^{\Gamma_{z_n,\pi_n(S)}}(\lambda) &= \langle E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^{M_n}(\delta_{1_n}), \delta_{1_n} \rangle = \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G/K_n)}(E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^{M_n}) \\ &= \text{trace}_{\mathcal{N}(G/K_n)}(E_{[0,\lambda^2]}^{R_{z_n}}) = F(R_{w_n})(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

References

- [1] W. Lück, L^2 -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K -Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2004
- [2] W. Lück, Approximating L^2 -invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues, Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA 4.4 (1994): 455-481.
- [3] W. Lück, Survey on Approximating L^2 -Invariants by their Classical Counterparts: Betti Numbers, Torsion Invariants, and Homological Growth, preprint
- [4] W. Lück, Estimates for Spectral Density Functions of Matrices over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^d]$, Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 22 no. 1

- [5] R. Grigorchuk and A. Żuk, On the asymptotic spectrum of random walks on infinite families of graphs, *Random walks and discrete potential theory (Cortona, 1997)*, *Sympos. Math* 39 (1999): 188-204.
- [6] R. Grigorchuk and A. Żuk, The lamplighter group as a group generated by a 2-state automaton, and its spectrum. *Geometriae Dedicata* 87.1-3 (2001): 209-244.
- [7] R. Grigorchuk and A. Żuk, The Ihara zeta function of infinite graphs, the KNS spectral measure and integrable maps. *Random Walk and Geometry, Proc. Workshop (Vienna, 2001)*, VA Kaimanovich et al., eds., de Gruyter, Berlin. 2004.
- [8] Kambites, Mark, Pedro V. Silva, and Benjamin Steinberg. The spectra of lamplighter groups and Cayley machines. *Geometriae Dedicata* 120.1 (2006): 193-227.
- [9] R. Grigorchuk, A. Żuk, P. Linnell, T. Schick, On a question of Atiyah, *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics* 331.9 (2000): 663-668.
- [10] L. Grabowski, On Turing dynamical systems and the Atiyah problem, *Inventiones mathematicae* 198.1 (2014): 27-69.
- [11] L. Grabowski, Group ring elements with large spectral density, *Mathematische Annalen* 363.1-2 (2015): 637-656.
- [12] P. Billingsley, *Probability and measure*, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [13] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. 2, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [14] A. Klenke, *Probability theory: a comprehensive course*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [15] J. S. Rosenthal, *A first look at rigorous probability theory*. World Scientific, 2006.
- [16] F. B. Arbi, The L^2 -Alexander invariant detects the unknot, arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.7342 (2013).
- [17] Reed, Michael. B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, 2. (1980).
- [18] R. Sauer, *L2-invariants of groups and discrete measured groupoids*. Diss. 2002.
- [19] W. Li and W. Zhang, An L2-Alexander invariant for knots, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* 8.02 (2006): 167-187.
- [20] J. Dubois, S. Friedl, and W. Lück. The L2-Alexander torsions of 3-manifolds, *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 353.1 (2015): 69-73.
- [21] J. Dubois, S. Friedl, and W. Lück. The L2-Alexander torsion is symmetric, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* 15.6 (2016): 3599-3612.