

Comparison of Compensation Mechanism Between an NMR Gyroscope and an SERF Gyroscope

Haifeng Dong and Yang Gao

Abstract—Through analysis of the compensation mechanism of nuclear-magnetic-resonance (NMR) gyroscope and spin-exchange-relaxation-free (SERF) gyroscope, we demonstrate that there is a common model for these two kinds of an atomic rotation rate sensor. The output signals of NMR and SERF gyroscopes are compared directly, which provides a guidance for the scheme choosing and optimization of atomic gyroscope. The input-output relation of both gyroscopes is given, which can be used to analyze the contributions of different error sources.

Index Terms—Atomic gyroscope, nuclear magnetic resonance, spin exchange relaxation free.

I. INTRODUCTION

GYROSCOPES are used in both practical applications such as navigation and positioning and fundamental physics [1], [2]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and spin exchange relaxation free (SERF) gyroscope are two kinds of actively-developed nuclear-spin gyroscope schemes [3].

In a typical NMR gyroscope configuration, two nuclear spin species are used to suppress magnetic field noise. One of them is typically used to stabilize the magnetic field while the rotation rate is measured through the other [4]. In recent experiments, alkali vapor is used to pump the nuclear spin through spin-exchange and to probe the Larmor precession of the nuclear spin polarization [5]–[7]. The Fermi-contact interaction between alkali atoms and nuclear spin species enhances the signal, while it also leads to the bias drift. The methods to avoid this drift such as pulsed pumping and detection [8], synchronous spin-exchange optical pumping [9] and averaging Rb polarization using π pulse to eliminates the back-polarization [10] are beyond the topic of this paper. Here we focus on the compensation mechanism of NMR as well as SERF gyroscope and provide a direct comparison of these atomic gyroscopes.

For the SERF gyroscope, only one nuclear spin species is used. It is also pumped by spin-exchange with alkali atoms. However, besides the pumping effect, the electron spin of

Manuscript received April 5, 2017; accepted May 8, 2017. Date of publication May 11, 2017; date of current version June 12, 2017. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 51675034 and Grant 61273067 and in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing Municipality under Grant 7172123. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was Dr. Minghong Yang. (Corresponding author: Haifeng Dong.)

The authors are with the School of Instrumentation Science and Opto-Electronics Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China, also with the Science and Technology on Inertial Laboratory, Beijing 100191, China, and also with the Fundamental Science on Novel Inertial Instrument and Navigation System Technology Laboratory, Beijing 100191, China (e-mail: hfdong@buaa.edu.cn; gaoyang17@buaa.edu.cn).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSEN.2017.2703601




Fig. 1. The measurement scheme for a NMR gyroscope. PBS: polarizing beam splitter, PD: photodetector, LIA: lock-in amplifier

alkali atom is also used to sense the rotation directly instead of as a precession prober. Furthermore, unlike in the NMR scheme where the output is the nuclear spin polarization precession rate, the output of this scheme is the steady-state of the alkali polarization projection in the probe direction, which limits its response bandwidth to about $1/T_{2a}$, where T_{2a} is mainly decided by the electron spin destruction relaxation of alkali atom in the SERF regime [11].

Under the superficial difference, two gyroscopes have commonality in essence. In section II, we analyze the compensation mechanism of NMR gyroscope. In section III, we analyze that of SERF gyroscope. In section IV, we compare the compensation equation, enhancement factor and the output signal of two gyroscopes directly. The conclusion is give in section V.

II. NMR GYROSCOPE ANALYSIS

Fig. 1 shows the basic measurement scheme for a NMR gyroscope. Ignoring the alkali magnetization and the quadruple effect, the equivalent total fields experienced by the first nuclear spin is as below [6], [12],

$$B_1 = \frac{w_1}{\gamma_{n1}} = B_y + \frac{\Omega_y}{\gamma_{n1}} \quad (1)$$

where B_1 is the equivalent magnetic field experienced by the first nuclear spin, B_y is magnetic field added using coils along y -axis, w_1 is the Larmor frequencies of the first nuclear spin, γ_{n1} is the gyromagnetic ratios of the first nuclear spin, and Ω_y is the frame rotation rate around y -axis. The Larmor precession w_1 is measured and servoed to the field coils to stabilize B_1 . Once B_1 is stabilized, equation (1) becomes

a compensation equation, which can be rewritten as $B_y = B_1 - \Omega_y/\gamma_{n1}$.

Signal before Lock-in amplifier two (LIA2 in Fig. 1) is

$$S_b = 2I_0\theta = I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_x \quad (2)$$

where I_0 is the amplitude of probe beam, n is the density of alkali atom, l is the optical path length, c is the light speed, r_e is the classical radius of the electron, f is the oscillator strength, $D(v) = \frac{v-v_0}{(v-v_0)^2 + (\Delta v/2)^2}$ is the absorption Lorentz function, P_x is the steady-state of the electron spin of alkali atom polarization projection in the probe direction as the Larmor precession of nuclear magnetization is adiabatical. As the reference frequency of LIA2 is ω_2 , we neglect the harmonic of ω_1 in the expression of P_x .

$$\begin{aligned} P_x &= P_0 \frac{\frac{1}{2} T_{2a} \gamma_a B_{xz} \sin(\omega_2 t + \psi) + B_{xz} \cos(\omega_2 t + \psi) B_y}{[B_{xz} \sin(\omega_2 t + \psi)]^2 + [B_{xz} \cos(\omega_2 t + \psi)]^2 + B_y^2 + \left(\frac{1}{T_{2a} \gamma_a}\right)^2} \\ &\approx P_0 \frac{B_{xz}}{B_y} \cos(\omega_2 t + \psi) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where T_{2a} is the transverse relaxation time of the electron spin of alkali atom polarization, γ_a is the gyromagnetic ratio of alkali atom, B_{xz} is the projection of the second nuclear spin magnetization sensed by alkali atoms in xz plane, ω_2 is the Larmor precession frequency of the second nuclear spin magnetization, $\omega_2 t + \psi$ is the angle between B_{xz} and x -axis, ψ is the initial phase of the nuclear Larmor precession, i.e. the phase difference between modulated current and the Larmor precession of the nuclear magnetization. The approximation in equation (3) is based on the assumption that B_y is much larger than B_{xz} and $1/T_{2a} \gamma_a$.

After Lock-in amplifier, the quadrature output of LIA2 is as below,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NMR} &= I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 \frac{B_{xz}}{B_y} \sin(\psi) \\ &\approx I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 \frac{B_{xz}}{B_y} \psi \\ &= I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 \frac{B_{xz}}{B_y} T_{2n2} [w_2^m - (B_y \gamma_{n2} + \Omega_y)] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where T_{2n2} is the transverse relaxation time of the second nuclear spin.

Insert the compensation equation (1) into the equation (4), set $w_2^m = B_1 \gamma_{n2}$, and use the optimization of $B_{xy} = \frac{B_{n2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{T_{2n2}}{T_{1n2}}}$ at zero detune, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NMR} &= I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 \frac{B_{xz}}{B_y} \left[T_{2n2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1 \right) \Omega_y \right] \\ &\approx I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 \frac{B_{n2}}{B_y} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{T_{2n2}}{T_{1n2}}} T_{2n2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1 \right) \Omega_y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{B_{n2}}{B_y} \sqrt{\frac{T_{2n2}}{T_{1n2}}} \cdot H \cdot T_{2n2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1 \right) \Omega_y \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where B_{n2} and T_{1n2} are the magnetization and the longitudinal relaxation time of the second nuclear spin, respectively, $H = I_0 n l c r_e f D(v)$.




Fig. 2. The measurement scheme for a SERF gyroscope. The polarization and magnetization of alkali and noble nuclear, as well as the compensation field in z direction are shown inset. PBS: polarizing beam splitter, PD: photodetector.

The approximations in equation (4) and (5) are under the assumption that the modulated frequency is close to the nuclear polarization Larmor precession frequency so that ψ is close to zero and $B_{xz} \approx B_x$.

From equation (5), we can see that there is no bias drift related to B_y in the output signal, while variations of B_y do lead to the scale factor instability. And Ω_y is enhanced by a factor of $\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1$.

III. SERF GYROSCOPE ANALYSIS

Fig. 2 is the basic measurement scheme for a SERF gyroscope. B_x and B_y are compensated to zero using magnetic shield and coils (not shown in the figure). In this way the polarization of alkali can be regarded as in z direction. Thus the spin-exchange pumping direction of the noble gas is also in z direction and the steady-state nuclear polarization projection in y -axis is,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ny} &= P_{n0} \frac{\frac{1}{T_{2n} \gamma_n} B_x + (B_y + \Omega_y/\gamma_n)(B_z - B_{az})}{B_x^2 + (B_y + \Omega_y/\gamma_n)^2 + (B_z - B_{az})^2 + \left(\frac{1}{T_{2n} \gamma_n}\right)^2} \\ &\approx P_{nz} \frac{B_y + \Omega_y/\gamma_n}{B_z - B_{az}} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where P_{n0} is the nuclear polarization, P_{nz} is the nuclear polarization projection in z -axis, T_{2n} and γ_n are the transverse relaxation time and the gyromagnetic ratio of the nuclear, respectively, B_z is added using coils to compensate nuclear magnetization B_{nz} so that the alkali works under SERF regime. Setting $B_z = B_{az} + B_{nz}$ and considering that $B_{nz} = k P_{nz}$, where k is the ratio between nuclear polarization and magnetization, we can obtain the compensation equation of SERF gyroscope from equation (6),

$$B_y = k P_{ny} - \Omega_y/\gamma_n \quad (7)$$

The differential polarimetry output signal in Fig. 2 is

$$S_{SERF} = 2I_0\theta = I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_x \quad (8)$$

where under the SERF regime, P_x can be obtained from the steady-state solution of the Bloch equation,

$$P_x \approx -P_0 T_{2a} \gamma_a B_{ay} \quad (9)$$

TABLE I
COMPARISON BETWEEN NMR AND SERF GYROSCOPE

	Compensation equation	Output Signal	Enhancement factor
NMR gyroscope	$B_y = B_1 - \Omega_y / \gamma_{n1}$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{B_{n2}}{B_y} \sqrt{\frac{T_{2n2}}{T_{1n2}}} \cdot H \cdot T_{2n2} \left(\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1 \right) \Omega_y$	$\frac{\gamma_{n2}}{\gamma_{n1}} - 1$
SERF gyroscope	$B_y = kP_{ny} - \Omega_y / \gamma_n$	$H \cdot T_{2a} \left(\frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_n} - 1 \right) \Omega_y$	$\frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_n} - 1$

where T_{2a} and γ_a are the transverse relaxation time and the gyromagnetic ratio of the alkali atoms, respectively, $B_{ay} = B_y + \Omega_y / \gamma_a - kP_{ny}$ is the effective field sensed by the alkali atoms.

Insert equation (9) into equation (8) and replace B_{ay} with $B_y + \Omega_y / \gamma_a - kP_{ny}$, we obtain,

$$S_{SERF} = I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 T_{2a} [\gamma_a k P_{ny} - (\gamma_a B_y + \Omega_y)] \quad (10)$$

Insert the compensation equation (7) into (10) equation, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{SERF} &= I_0 n l c r_e f D(v) P_0 T_{2a} \left(\frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_n} - 1 \right) \Omega_y \\ &= H \cdot T_{2a} \left(\frac{\gamma_a}{\gamma_n} - 1 \right) \Omega_y \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

IV. COMPARISON AND DISCUSSION

Table I compares NMR gyroscope and SERF gyroscope. Both kinds of gyroscope has a compensation equation with the same form. B_y is the bridge between two kinds of species. For the compensation specie there must be an equation between B_y and the equivalent field of rotation, which becomes a compensation equation once the extra term can be stabilized (B_1 in NMR) or eliminated (kP_{ny} in SERF). The output signals of both gyroscopes are also similar. There are no bias drifts corresponding to the magnetic field variations and both signals are enhanced by a factor decided by the ratio of gyromagnetic ratios of the coupled species.

The differences are that: Firstly, because the γ_a is usually three orders larger than γ_n , the enhancement factor of a SERF gyroscope is usually bigger than that of a NMR gyroscope. On the contrary, the longer transverse relaxation time of the nuclear spin polarization can increase the NMR signal to offset this shortage. Secondly, there is an extra terms in NMR gyroscope output signal, i.e. $\frac{1}{2} \frac{B_{n2}}{B_y} \sqrt{\frac{T_{2n2}}{T_{1n2}}}$, from which we can see that the signal is proportional to the ratio of B_{n2} and B_y and that although B_y in NMR gyroscope is eliminated from the bias drift after compensation, it still affects the scale factor in an inversely-proportional form.

To compare NMR and SERF gyroscope further, we use the typical parameters of Cs-Xe NMR and SERF gyroscopes (listed in Table II) and calculate the scale factor of both kinds of gyroscope using equation (5) and equation (11). In equation (11) B_{n2} is calculated by $B_{n2} = \frac{8\pi\kappa_0\mu_0}{3} \mu n_{Xe} P$, where κ_0 is the enhancement factor for CsXe, μ_0 is vacuum

TABLE II
TYPICAL PARAMETERS OF Cs-Xe ATOMIC ENSEMBLE

Parameter	Variable	unit
enhancement factor	κ_0	880 [15]
vacuum permeability	μ_0	$4\pi \cdot 10^{-7}$ Wb/A·m
129Xe magnetic moment	μ	$0.84 \cdot 10^{-26}$ J/T [16]
129Xe atom density	n_{Xe}	$9.38 \cdot 10^{22}$ m ⁻³ [8]
129Xe polarization	P	2% [17, 18]
coil field along y-axis	B_y	2000nT
longitudinal relaxation time of the second nuclear spin	T_{2n2}	16.4s [19]
transverse relaxation time of the second nuclear spin	T_{1n2}	60s [18]
amplitude of the probe beam after photodetector	I_0	0.6mA
Cs atom density at 120°C	n	$4.91 \cdot 10^9$
optical path length	l	1cm
speed of light	c	$3 \cdot 10^8$ m/s
classical radius of the electron	r_e	$2.82 \cdot 10^{-15}$ m [20]
oscillator strength	f	0.347
absorption linewidth	$\Delta\nu$	66.23MHz
129Xe gyromagnetic ratio	γ_{n2}	-11.86MHz/T [3]
131Xe gyromagnetic ratio	γ_{n1}	3.52MHz/T[3]
transverse relaxatiao time of Cs	T_{2a}	3.33ms[20]
Cs gyromagnetic ratio	γ_a	3500MHz/T[3]
129Xe gyromagnetic ratio	γ_n	-11.86MHz/T[3]

permeability, μ is atom magnetic moment, n_{Xe} is atom density of 129Xe and P is the polarization of 129Xe. For NMR gyroscope, 131Xe is used as the first nuclear spin species and 129Xe is used as the second nuclear spin species. For SERF gyroscope, 129Xe is used to generate the nuclear polarization. $H = I_0 n l c r_e f D(v)$ is reprinted here for reference where I_0 is calculated using probe optical power of 1mW and responsivity of 0.6mA/mW corresponding to Newfocus Model2307 photodetector. $D(v)$ is calculated at the half linewidth detune

and the linewidth $\Delta\nu$ is calculated according to the pressure broadening. The results show that the scale factor of NMR gyroscope is about $4.05 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mA $^\circ$ /h while that of SERF gyroscope is about $6.24 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mA $^\circ$ /h. Considering the technical 1/f noise from laser and photodiode [13], [14], the corresponding bias stabilities of NMR and SERF gyroscope are estimated to be 0.003 $^\circ$ /h and 0.002 $^\circ$ /h, respectively.

V. CONCLUSION

We analyze the commonality between two actively-developed nuclear-spin gyroscope schemes. The results show that both gyroscopes share similar compensation mechanism, which may be used in the further improvement of atomic gyroscope, such as the elimination of the alkali magnetization. The quantitative and direct comparison of the output signal provides a guidance for the scheme choosing and optimization of atomic gyroscope. And the analysis also gives a concise view how the self-compensation in SERF gyroscope works and how the main field B_y affects the scale factor in the NMR gyroscope even after compensation. The input-output relation can be used to analyze the contributions of different error sources.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Prof. Luo Jun, Dr. Zongmin Ma, Dr. Jun Tang and Dr. Hao Guo for beneficial discussion, which play an important role in the formation of the comparison idea. The authors also thank Dr. Morgan Hedges for his helpful modification and suggestion of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Wang, M. Zhao, and J. Yang, "A piezoelectric gyroscope with self-equilibrated coriolis force based on overtone thickness-shear modes of a lithium niobate plate with an inversion layer," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1794–1799, Mar. 2015.
- [2] M. Bulatowicz *et al.*, "Laboratory search for a long-range T -Odd, P -Odd interaction from axionlike particles using dual-species nuclear magnetic resonance with polarized ^{129}Xe and ^{131}Xe gas," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 111, p. 102001, Sep. 2013.
- [3] D. Budker and D. F. J. Kimball, *Optical Magnetometry*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013.
- [4] K. F. Woodman, P. W. Franks, and M. D. Richards, "The nuclear magnetic resonance gyroscope: A review," *J. Navigat.*, vol. 40, pp. 366–384, Apr. 1987.
- [5] T. G. Walker and W. Happer, "Spin-exchange optical pumping of noble-gas nuclei," *Rev. Modern Phys.*, vol. 69, pp. 629–642, Apr. 1997.
- [6] E. A. Donley, "Nuclear magnetic resonance gyroscopes," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 143, pp. 17–22, Oct. 2010.
- [7] X. Liu, C. Chen, T. Qu, K. Yang, and H. Luo, "Transverse spin relaxation and diffusion-constant measurements of spin-polarized ^{129}Xe nuclei in the presence of a magnetic field gradient," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, p. 24122, Apr. 2016.
- [8] D. Sheng, A. Kabcenell, and M. V. Romalis, "New classes of systematic effects in gas spin comagnetometers," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, p. 163002, Sep. 2014.
- [9] A. Korver, D. Thrasher, M. Bulatowicz, and T. G. Walker, "Synchronous spin-exchange optical pumping," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 115, p. 253001, Apr. 2015.

- [10] M. Limes, D. Sheng, and M. Romalis, "A ^3He - ^{129}Xe co-magnetometer with ^{87}Rb magnetometry," in *Proc. APS Division Atomic, Mol. Opt. Phys. Meeting Abstracts*, 2016.
- [11] T. W. Kornack, R. K. Ghosh, and M. V. Romalis, "Nuclear spin gyroscope based on an atomic comagnetometer," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 95, pp. 230801.1–230801.4, Apr. 2005.
- [12] J. H. Simpson, J. T. Fraser, and I. A. Greenwood, "An optically pumped nuclear magnetic resonance gyroscope," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1107–1110, Aug. 1963.
- [13] M. Fukuda, T. Hirono, T. Kurosaki, and F. Kano, "1/f noise behavior in semiconductor laser degradation," *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 1165–1167, Oct. 1993.
- [14] O. Llopis, S. Azaizia, K. Saleh, A. A. Slimane, and A. Fernandez, "Photodiode 1/f noise and other types of less known baseband noises in optical telecommunications devices," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Noise Fluctuations*, 2013, pp. 1–4.
- [15] T. G. Walker, "Estimates of spin-exchange parameters for alkali-metal-noble-gas pairs," *Phys. Rev. A, Gen. Phys.*, vol. 40, p. 4959, Oct. 1989.
- [16] W. Makulski, " ^{129}Xe and ^{131}Xe nuclear magnetic dipole moments from gas phase NMR spectra," *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 273–279, 2015.
- [17] T. W. Kornack, "A test of CPT and Lorentz symmetry using $\text{K-}^3\text{He}$ co-magnetometer," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Phys., Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, USA, 2005.
- [18] S. J. Seltzer, "Developments in alkali-metal atomic magnetometry," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Phys., Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, USA, 2008.
- [19] X. Liu, C. Chen, T. Qu, K. Yang, and H. Luo, "Transverse spin relaxation and diffusion-constant measurements of spin-polarized ^{129}Xe nuclei in the presence of a magnetic field gradient," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, p. 24122, Apr. 2016.
- [20] M. P. Ledbetter, I. M. Savukov, V. M. Acosta, D. Budker, and M. V. Romalis, "Spin-exchange-relaxation-free magnetometry with Cs vapor," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 77, no. 3, p. 033408, Mar. 2008.



Haifeng Dong was born in Shanxi, China, in 1973. He received the Ph.D. degree in microelectronics and solid electronics from Peking University, China, in 2005. He held a post-doctoral position with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA. He is currently a Visiting Professor with the Physics Department, Princeton University. He is currently an Associate Professor at Beihang University. He has presided over three National Natural Science Foundations of China and published papers in *Applied Physics Letters*, *Sensors and Actuators*, the *IEEE*

SENSORS JOURNAL, *Microsystem Technology*, *Optical Communications*, and the *European Physical Journals* as the first and/or corresponding author. His research interests include atomic sensors based on atom spin, micronano fabrication process, and MEMS devices.



Yang Gao was born in Shanxi, China, in 1992. He received the bachelor's degree in measurement and control technology and instrument from the Taiyuan University of Technology, China, in 2015. He is currently a Post-Graduate Student in precision instrument and machinery with Beihang University. His research interests include high sensitive atomic spin polarization sensing and its application.