

# Many-body eigenstate thermalization from one-body quantum chaos: emergent arrow of time

Chushun Tian<sup>1</sup>, Kun Yang<sup>2,3</sup>, and Jiao Wang<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>2</sup>*National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics,  
Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>4</sup>*3Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics,  
Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China*

A profound quest of statistical mechanics is the origin of irreversibility – the arrow of time [1–10]. New stimulants have been provided, thanks to unprecedented degree of control reached in experiments with isolated quantum systems [7–11] and rapid theoretical developments [12] of many-body localization in disordered interacting systems [13]. The proposal of (many-body) eigenstate thermalization (ET) [14–16] for these systems reinforces the common belief that either interaction or extrinsic randomness is required for thermalization. Here, we unveil a quantum thermalization mechanism challenging this belief. We find that, provided one-body quantum chaos is present, as a pure many-body state evolves the arrow of time can emerge, even without interaction or randomness. In times much larger than the Ehrenfest time [17] that signals the breakdown of quantum-classical correspondence, quantum chaotic motion leads to thermal – Fermi-Dirac (FD) or Bose-Einstein (BE) – distributions and thermodynamics in individual eigenstates. Our findings lay dynamical foundation of statistical mechanics and thermodynamics of isolated quantum systems.

Isolated quantum systems, ranging from the universe to complex nuclei, exhibit a wealth of physical phenomena. For such systems the standard ensemble description is not applicable. Can statistical mechanics and thermodynamics emerge from unitary (pure state) evolution then? How does irreversibility reconcile with this reversible evolution? Studies of these problems were initiated by von Neumann soon after the birth of quantum mechanics [2], and have become urgently important recently [7–10]. The ET hypothesis [14–16] – that the expectation value of a physical observable in an eigenstate equals to its statistical mechanics ensemble average – lies at the heart of the renewal of interests in this old subject. The concept of ET is central to many-body localization [13] currently under intense investigations [12].

This hypothesis has received increasingly strong support from numerics [9, 16]. It stands to reason that it could significantly improve understandings of irreversibility, namely, the arrow of time. To pave the way to such advance a number of conceptual difficulties, however, have to be overcome. First of all, this hypothesis is mute to evolution, because it addresses the long-time average of observables, but not observables at long times. Most importantly, it determines neither under what circumstances ET occurs, nor whether genuine thermal equilibrium can be achieved, even if ET occurs. In fact, whether and how thermodynamics of entire isolated system (not subsystem as addressed in most literatures) emerges from unitary evolution is a largely unexplored realm. A common belief, conforming to the canonical paradigm in statistical mechanics [4], is that particle interaction [15] or randomness [14], managing to redistribute particle energies, is an essential ingredient giving rise to ET. In partic-

ular, in Ref. [15] it is shown analytically how interaction leads to thermal (Maxwell-Boltzmann, FD and BE) distributions for individual eigenstates, and the result was numerically found [18] to hold even for interacting systems as small as including only two particles.

This common belief has been challenged by the eigenstate typicality (ETp) very recently found for a remarkably simple system – a free Fermi gas put on a torus [19]. It was shown that, in a typical highly excited eigenstate, the reduced density matrix of subsystem may approach that of certain thermal ensemble. (Such a property resembles, but is stronger than the canonical typicality [20, 21].) So, even without interaction or randomness, the subsystem can be thermalized. This finding provides new insights to quantum thermalization in isolated systems and many questions arise. Notably, in isolated systems without interaction or randomness, can ET, which is much stronger than ETp (in the sense that ETp guarantees that all local physical observables have thermal expectation values, while ET requires not only local, but also non-local observables, to be thermal), be achieved? In such simple systems, can irreversibility and thermodynamics emerge from reversible evolution of pure states?

Here we study these problems analytically and unveil a new scenario of quantum thermalization. The scenario requires, counter-intuitively, neither interaction nor randomness, rather, the indistinguishability of identical particles – an intrinsic quantum many-body effect – and one-body quantum chaos. The latter has two-fold meanings. First, in the classical limit the single-particle motion is dynamically unstable or more precisely *mixing* [5], which leads to ergodicity and exponential decay of correlations in time. As shown in Fig. 1a (below  $t$ -axis, left), a small




FIG. 1. **Emergent arrow of time.** **a**, as time passes a Planck's cell is deformed due to instability of single-particle classical motion (below  $t$ -axis, left). This causes correlation functions (in the Wigner representation, with the value represented by different colors) to follow Liouville evolution up to  $t_E$ , and exhibit quantum structures in later times (above  $t$ -axis). Associated with the formation of these structures, an ideal gas (green solid circles) approaches ET, with thermodynamics established for individual eigenstates (below  $t$ -axis, right). **b**, the time profile of entropy (15) corresponds to the many-body pure state evolution in **a**.

deviation in initial conditions (e.g., velocity and position) is exponentially amplified in later times with a rate – the Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda$ . In the 1940s, Krylov demonstrated the importance of mixing to classical statistical mechanics, and showed that  $\lambda$  is order of the inverse scattering time [6]. Second, this chaoticity is *not* suppressed by quantum interference. (The quantization of a classical chaotic system is not necessarily chaotic [22].)

Figure 1a is a schematic representation of the new scenario of quantum thermalization. It shows that the two ingredients above, hand in hand, lead to thermalization at times much larger than the Ehrenfest time [17],

$$t_E \sim \lambda^{-1} \ln(A/\hbar). \quad (1)$$

Here  $\hbar$  is the Planck's constant and  $A$  a characteristic classical action, and we pay no attention to the overall numerical coefficient. Physically,  $t_E$  arises from dynamical instability (below  $t$ -axis, left). Indeed, the Planck's cell in phase space – a result of quantum uncertainty – is deformed in the course of time. At  $t_E$  this cell is macroscopically large in certain directions and a classical trajectory is thereby split. So,  $t_E$  is a hallmark of the breakdown of quantum-classical correspondence. We probe thermalization via physical observables whose expectation values can be expressed in terms of correlation

functions. Due to dynamical instability the correlation function follows the classical evolution in short times and relaxes to a thermal equilibrium value at times  $t \gg t_E$ . That value is found to follow the ET hypothesis. It is independent of the initial state as well as details of microscopic dynamics. Moreover, it is essentially an average with respect to the FD or BE distribution, implying that genuine thermal equilibrium (not microcanonical distribution as studied in most literatures [16]) is established (below  $t$ -axis, right). So, an arrow of time – the evolution towards ET and thermodynamics – is seen to emerge from reversible, deterministic evolution of many-body pure states. Surprisingly, neither interaction nor randomness is required. [We ignore quantum recurrence since this occurs at large times and practically is irrelevant, see Supplementary Material (SM) for discussions.] Importantly, we find that  $t_E$  is much larger than the time scale for thermalization [23] obtained based on typicality. Our findings lay dynamical foundation of thermalization of isolated quantum systems.

*Setup and analytical results.* We put large but finite  $N$  identical particles free of interaction in a  $d$ -dimensional cavity of volume  $V$  and size  $L$  (Fig. 2). The particle undergoes specular reflection on the boundary. For a cavity where one-body quantum chaos arises (Fig. 2a, left), the single-particle eigenenergy  $\varepsilon$  is the only good quantum number. The corresponding spectrum exhibits chaotic fluctuations (Fig. 2a, right), with a spectral density  $\rho(\varepsilon)$ . The eigenstate of an ideal gas confined in the cavity corresponds to a configuration of occupation number  $\mathbf{m} \equiv \{n_\nu\}$ , where  $\nu$  labels single-particle eigenstate.

We prepare a pure state  $F$  which is highly excited so that the expectation value of energy per particle,  $E/N$ , is much larger than the mean level spacing. This state is superposed by eigenstates  $\mathbf{m}$ , the corresponding eigenenergies of which are located in a narrow energy shell, with a width much smaller (larger) than  $E$  (mean level spacing). It evolves,  $F \rightarrow F(t)$ , following the Schrödinger equation. Noting that a one-body physical observable can be expressed in terms of the correlation function,  $M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}(t) \equiv \langle F(t) | a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | F(t) \rangle$ , between the spatial points  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  at time  $t$ , with  $a_{\mathbf{r}}$  ( $a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger$ ) being the annihilation (creation) operator at  $\mathbf{r}$ , we focus on this function below.

We find analytically that  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}(t)}$  relaxes to a genuine thermal value. Here the overline stands for the average with respect to  $\mathbf{q} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}'}{2}$  over several de Broglie wavelengths. This wavelength corresponds to the average single-particle energy  $E/N$  and is much smaller than  $L$ . The average accounts for finite resolution in realistic measurements. More precisely,

$$\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}(t)} \xrightarrow{t \gg t_E} \frac{1}{V} \int d\varepsilon \rho(\varepsilon) f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{\lambda_\varepsilon}\right) \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\varepsilon - \mu}{T}} \pm 1}. \quad (2)$$

The second factor in the integrand is given by

$$f(x) = \Gamma(d/2) (x/2)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} J_{\frac{d-2}{2}}(x), \quad x = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/\lambda_\varepsilon, \quad (3)$$




FIG. 2. **Ideal gas confined in cavities.** **a**, a chaotic cavity (left) confining ideal gas (green solid circles) and giving rise to one-body quantum chaos. For ensuing single-particle quantum motion energy is the only good quantum number, with a discrete, chaotic spectrum (right). **b**, for rectangular cavity the single-particle quantum motion is completely integrable, and the discrete momenta  $\mathbf{p}_\nu$  consist of a complete set of good quantum numbers. **c**, for an infinitely long quasi-one-dimensional cavity with rough surface, the motion is non-ergodic due to Anderson localization, and a dense point spectrum follows, with energy as the only good quantum number again. For Fermi gas, a typical excited eigenstate corresponds to a random occupation configuration in good quantum number space. The black solid (empty) circles implies that a single-particle eigenstate is (un)occupied.

where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function and  $J_\nu(x)$  the Bessel function, and the de Broglie wavelength  $\lambda_\epsilon \equiv \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m\epsilon}}$  ( $m$  the particle mass). The last factor in (2) is the FD (BE) distribution, where  $T$  is the temperature (the Boltzmann constant set to unity) and  $\mu$  the chemical potential. We further show that these two emergent quantities,  $T$  and  $\mu$ , and the fundamental thermodynamic relation,

$$TdS = dE - \mu dN, \quad (4)$$

with  $S$  being the emergent thermal entropy, all hold for individual eigenstates of ideal gas. Finally,  $\lambda \sim \sqrt{\frac{E/N}{mL^2}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{T}{mL^2}}$  and  $A \sim \sqrt{\frac{mEL^2}{N}} \sim \sqrt{mTL^2}$ . Combining with Eq. (1) they give  $t_E \gg \frac{\hbar}{T}$ , where  $\frac{\hbar}{T}$  is the thermalization time scale obtained based on typicality [23].

Equation (2) has transparent physical meanings. The left-hand side respects faithfully reversible, deterministic, unitary evolution; the right-hand side reflects ET and thermal equilibrium. They are connected via the arrow of time, and  $t \gg t_E$  indicates that thermalization is a result of the formation of phase space quantum structures (Fig. 1a). We further find an entropy  $S(t)$  characterizing the emerging of the arrow of time (Fig. 1b), which coincides with the thermal entropy in equilibrium.

In the absence of one-body quantum chaos, the results summarized above do not hold: neither does the arrow of time emerge, nor the thermal distribution. In Fig. 2 we give two examples. One is an ideal gas confined in a rectangular cavity (b) and the other in an infinitely long quasi-one-dimensional cavity with rough surface (c). The single-particle quantum motion in the former cavity is completely integrable, while in the latter exhibits Anderson localization.

*Analytic theory.* We pass to the Wigner representation,

$$M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}(t) \equiv \int d\mathbf{p} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\cdot\mathbf{p}} M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t). \quad (5)$$

In SM we show that the Wigner function defined above,  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$ , obeys

$$(\partial_t - \{H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}), \cdot\}_{\text{Moyal}}) M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Here  $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{q})$  is the classical Hamiltonian, with  $V(\mathbf{q})$  being the potential. The Moyal bracket [24]  $\{H, \cdot\}_{\text{Moyal}} \equiv -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sin(i\hbar\{H, \cdot\})$ , where the Poisson bracket  $\{H, \cdot\} \equiv (\partial_{\mathbf{q}}H \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{p}} - \partial_{\mathbf{p}}H \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{q}})(\cdot)$ . To make the Moyal bracket well defined we have assumed that  $V(\mathbf{q})$  is analytic. This assumption is technical and inessential to the physical results summarized above. This evolution is of one-body nature, with many-body aspects of  $M$  entering into the initial condition  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; 0)$ . Moreover, Eq. (6) is reversible, being invariant under the time reversal:  $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}, t \rightarrow -t$ , and deterministic. In the limit  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , the Moyal bracket reduces to the Poisson bracket and Eq. (6) to the Liouville equation.

We recall a fundamental property [5] of chaotic classical motion (Fig. 1a, below  $t$ -axis, left). Due to dynamical instability a volume element expands exponentially in unstable directions with a rate  $\lambda$ , and shrinks exponentially in stable directions with the same rate for compensation. Upon deforming and folding (due to the boundedness of phase space), the volume element develops fine structures at a scale  $\sim e^{-\lambda t}$  which decays exponentially in time. This classical dynamical property has far-reaching consequences on the quantum evolution (6) (Fig. 1a, above  $t$ -axis). Observing the structure of Moyal bracket,  $\{H, \cdot\}_{\text{Moyal}} = \{H, \cdot\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{2n} \partial_{\mathbf{q}}^{2n+1} V \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^{2n+1})$ , we find that, due to the shrinking of phase space volume in stable directions, the value of higher order derivative terms of  $\{H, \cdot\}_{\text{Moyal}}$  increase exponentially in time, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^{2n} \partial_{\mathbf{q}}^{2n+1} V \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^{2n+1}) = (\frac{\hbar\epsilon^{\lambda t}}{A})^{2n} \mathcal{O}(\partial_{\mathbf{q}} V \partial_{\mathbf{p}})$ . These terms become comparable to the Poisson bracket at  $t_E$ . As the time further increases,  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$  oscillates more and more rapidly in phase space coordinates, and the oscillation structure saturates eventually. When the time is reversed, the expansion and shrinking processes are exchanged as a result of reversibility, and fine structures are formed again in the course of time.

The observable  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  is governed by the coarse graining of  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$ . Indeed, the spatial average over

de Broglie oscillations introduces coarse graining of  $\mathbf{q}$ -coordinate. Besides, the exponential in Eq. (5) serves as a “low-pass filter”: fine structures of  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$  developed in momentum scales  $\ll \hbar/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$  do not contribute to the momentum integral. In other words, this exponential introduces coarse graining of  $\mathbf{p}$ -coordinate. Taking these into account, we can reduce Eq. (5) to

$$\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t) = \int d\mathbf{p} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \cdot \mathbf{p}} M_{c.g.}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t), \quad (7)$$

with  $M_{c.g.}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$  being the coarse graining of  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$ . We emphasize that the coarse graining, whose details are irrelevant and will be exemplified in SM, does *not* violate the unitarity of evolution. In SM we further show

$$M_{c.g.}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t) \xrightarrow{t \gg t_E} \text{function of } H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}). \quad (8)$$

It suggests that when quantum-classical correspondence breaks down  $M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$  is uniform in the phase space energy shell upon coarse graining. We also simulate quantum evolution (6) for a simplified system and confirm this result (see SM). The result (8) is by no means classical. On the contrary, it arises from that all quantum corrections cancel out and thereby is highly nonperturbative [in  $(\{H, \cdot\}_{\text{Moyal}} - \{H, \cdot\})$ ]. A similar phenomenon was found previously in a study of Ehrenfest time effects on level statistics of systems with unitary symmetry [25].

Equations (7) and (8) show that  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  relaxes at  $t \gg t_E$  and stays at equilibrium value in later times. (Recall that throughout we do not pay attention to quantum recurrence occurring at extremely long times.) Importantly, this result is regarding  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  at long times, rather than the long time average – as studied in most literatures of quantum thermalization [14–16] – of  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$ ! As shown in SM, Eq. (8) has a connection to the origin of classical irreversibility namely the Ruelle-Pollicott resonance [26, 27] in chaotic dynamics.

Because  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  is at equilibrium in long times, the equilibrium value is  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{T} \overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$ . To calculate this time average we substitute  $|F\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} C_{\mathbf{m}} |\mathbf{m}\rangle$  (with  $\sum_{\mathbf{m}} |C_{\mathbf{m}}|^2 = 1$ ), into the definition of  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$ , obtaining

$$\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t) \xrightarrow{t \gg t_E} \sum_{\mathbf{m}} |C_{\mathbf{m}}|^2 \overline{\langle \mathbf{m} | a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{m} \rangle}. \quad (9)$$

Equations (7)-(9) merely show that  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  relaxes when the system evolves for sufficiently long times ( $\gg t_E$ ). They do not indicate whether and to what extent the system can be thermalized. It is precisely at this point that the principle of indistinguishability of identical particles comes to play key roles. In the remainder we will show explicitly and analytically how this principle and Eq. (9), hand in hand, lead to ET and the thermal (FD or BE) distribution, justifying that thermodynamic notions such as the temperature and Boltzmann entropy are emergent objects of unitary evolution.

To calculate the right-hand side of (9) we start from an exact expression,  $\langle \mathbf{m} | a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{m} \rangle = \sum_{\nu} n_{\nu} C_{\nu}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{q})$ , where  $C_{\nu}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{q}) \equiv \psi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\nu}^*(\mathbf{r}')$  is the autocorrelation of single-particle eigenfunction  $\psi_{\nu}$ . Following Berry [28], in the presence of one-body quantum chaos, the Wigner transformation of  $\psi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r})$ , denoted as  $\Psi_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ , is given by

$$\overline{\Psi_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})} = \frac{\delta(\varepsilon - H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))}{\iint dq dp \delta(\varepsilon - H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))}. \quad (10)$$

Note that we have performed the averaging with respect to  $\mathbf{q}$  over several de Broglie wavelengths, and the microcanonical distribution (10) is defined on the single(!)-particle phase space. Physically, this distribution is a manifestation of ergodicity of single-particle motion. There is a correction to the distribution (10), which arises from the quantum scar [29]. Since  $\psi_{\nu}$  corresponds to single-particle eigenenergy  $\varepsilon$  much larger than the mean level spacing, effects of this correction on  $C_{\nu}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{q})$  are negligible (see SM). Using Eq. (10), we find

$$\overline{C_{\nu}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{q})} = \frac{\int d\Omega e^{-\frac{i}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} \Omega \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}}{V \int d\Omega} \equiv \frac{1}{V} f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right) \quad (11)$$

Here  $\Omega$  is the solid angle, and the integral over  $\Omega$  is calculated in SM giving Eq. (3) [28].  $f(x)$  has the same form for different single-particle eigenstates satisfying  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \ll L$ . It can be shown (see SM) that for two nearest  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ ,

$$f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right) \approx f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{\lambda_{\varepsilon'}}\right) \quad (12)$$

because of one-body quantum chaos (Fig. 3a). As shown below, combining with the indistinguishability of identical particles, it gives rise to ET and thermal distributions.

In general, most eigenstates contributing to the initial state are typical [19]. Each of such eigenstates corresponds to a random configuration  $\{n_{\nu}\}$  (cf. Fig. 2). Thanks to Eq. (12), we can follow Ref. [19] and introduce the coarse graining of the occupied single-particle eigenenergy space. Specifically, we divide it into a number of clusters (Fig. 3a), each of which, labeled by  $m$ , has a length  $\delta\varepsilon_m$  and includes  $g_m \gg 1$  single-particle eigenenergies. The  $m$ th cluster is occupied by  $N_m \gg 1$  particles. The configuration  $\{N_m\}$  defines a macrostate, constrained by  $\sum_m N_m = N$  and  $\sum_m N_m \varepsilon_m = E$ , where  $\varepsilon_m$  is the center energy of the  $m$ th cluster, and the eigenenergy of ideal gas can be approximated by  $E$ . Taking these and Eqs. (11) and (12) into account, we obtain

$$\overline{\langle \mathbf{m} | a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{m} \rangle} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_m g_m n(\varepsilon_m) f\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_m}}\right), \quad (13)$$

where  $n(\varepsilon)$  varying smoothly in  $\varepsilon$  (Fig. 3b) is the occupation number averaged locally over a large enough single-particle eigenenergy window. Equation (13) establishes the relation between the equilibrium value of correlation function and a macrostate. From this we see that many




FIG. 3. **Coarse graining of single-particle eigenenergy space.** **a**, a macrostate is an occupation configuration associated with the coarse grained single-particle eigenenergy space (blue clusters). This coarse graining is allowed because, given  $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ , the values of  $f$  are closed to each other for two nearest eigenenergies  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$  (inset). **b**, this macrostate is described by a smooth function  $n(\varepsilon)$ , namely, the occupation number averaged over the local single-particle eigenenergy spectrum.

microscopic states lead to the same equilibrium correlation function, and the typicality implies the proliferation of eigenstates with the same macroscopic features.

We now decide the configuration  $\{N_m\}$  in Eq. (13). From the typicality we expect it to be most probable, i.e.,  $\delta[\ln W(\{N_m\}) - \sum_m (\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_m) N_m] = 0$ . Here, the number of microstates  $W(\{N_m\})$  is  $\prod_m \frac{g_m!}{N_m!(g_m - N_m)!}$  for Fermi gas and  $\prod_m \frac{(N_m + g_m - 1)!}{N_m!(g_m - 1)!}$  for Bose gas.  $\alpha, \beta$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated with the constraints above. Then, the most probable  $\{N_m\}$  corresponds to

$$n(\varepsilon_m) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \varepsilon_m + \alpha} \pm 1}. \quad (14)$$

This gives the FD and BE distribution, respectively. The extremal value condition further gives  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \beta \equiv \frac{1}{T}$  and  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial N} = \alpha \equiv -\frac{\mu}{T}$ , with the thermal (Boltzmann) entropy  $S = \ln W$ . This justifies the thermodynamic relation (4). We emphasize that Eq. (14) results from the coarse grained single-particle eigenenergy space. If the condition (12) is not satisfied, this space does not exist and genuine thermal equilibrium (14) does not follow (see below for further discussions). This is very different from standard derivations of the FD and BE distribution [30], which are irrespective of system's dynamical properties.

Because the energy window is much narrower than  $E$ , the fluctuations in the (many-body) eigenenergy can be ignored. Combined with the above constraints on total particle number and eigenenergy this implies that, for different  $\mathbf{m}$ , the Lagrange multipliers take the same value, and so does  $n(\varepsilon_m)$ . Following Eq. (13) we find that the values of  $\langle \mathbf{m} | a_{\mathbf{r}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{m} \rangle$  are the same for different typical eigenstates  $\mathbf{m}$ . This justifies the ET hypothesis made in Refs. [14, 15] and confirmed numerically in Ref. [16]. Additionally, Eq. (4) shows that equilibrium thermody-

namics holds even for individual eigenstates. Furthermore, Eq. (9) reduces to  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t) \rightarrow \langle \mathbf{m} | a_{\mathbf{r}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{r}} | \mathbf{m} \rangle$  for  $t \gg t_E$ , with a negligible correction arising from atypical eigenstates. Therefore, the equilibrium value of  $\overline{M_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'}}(t)$  is independent of initial states, i.e.,  $C_{\mathbf{m}}$ .

We substitute Eq. (14) as well as  $g_m \approx \delta \varepsilon_m \rho(\varepsilon_m)$  into Eq. (13). Passing to the continuum limit, we reduce Eq. (13) to the right-hand side of Eq. (2). In combination with Eq. (9), we justify Eq. (2) completely.

Finally, we define an entropy  $[\tilde{n} \equiv (2\pi\hbar)^d M_{c.g.}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)]$ ,

$$S(t) \equiv - \iint \frac{d\mathbf{q}d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} (|\tilde{n}| \ln |\tilde{n}| \pm |1 \mp \tilde{n}| \ln |1 \mp \tilde{n}|). \quad (15)$$

In SM we show that its evolution can be represented schematically by Fig. 1b. The increasing process of  $S(t)$  for  $t \lesssim t_E$  corresponds to the emerging of the arrow of time. In equilibrium ( $t \gg t_E$ ),  $S(t)$  coincides with the thermal entropy  $S$ . As predicted by von Neumann [2] and numerically observed in Ref. [31], even in equilibrium stage, entropy can be suppressed from its thermal value from time to time (see SM for discussions). This may be attributed to quantum structures of certain eigenstates.

*Absence of one-body quantum chaos.* Our theory requires finite  $t_E$  (i.e.,  $\lambda > 0$ ) and the existence of  $n(\varepsilon)$ . None of them is present in the absence of one-body quantum chaos, which can be caused by two mechanisms as follows.

(i) the single-particle motion is non-chaotic, i.e.,  $\lambda = 0$ , already at the classical level (Fig. 2b). Inheriting from classical Liouville integrability the single-particle quantum motion is completely integrable. First, because of  $t_E \rightarrow \infty$  the expectation value of observable does not relax. Second, for this single-particle motion a good quantum number, i.e., the discrete momentum  $\mathbf{p}_\nu$ , results. Correspondingly,  $C_\nu(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{q}) \sim e^{i\mathbf{p}_\nu \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}$ . Because the distance between two nearest  $\mathbf{p}_\nu$  is  $\mathcal{O}(1/L)$ , and  $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' = \mathcal{O}(L)$ , for two nearest eigenstates the phase (i.e., the exponent of  $C_\nu$ ) difference is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . This leads to a significant change in the value of  $C_\nu$ , invalidating the coarse graining of single-particle eigenenergy space in Fig. 3 and making the function  $n(\varepsilon)$  ill defined.

(ii) classical chaos exists, i.e.,  $\lambda > 0$ , but is suppressed by quantum interference, as exemplified by motion in a sufficiently long quasi-one-dimensional cavity with rough surface (Fig. 2c). For single-particle motion in this cavity it has been known [32] that at  $t \gtrsim t_E$  the crossover from diffusion to Anderson localization takes place. For the latter the single-particle eigenenergies constitute a dense point spectrum and the eigenfunction exhibits exponential localization in the longitudinal direction. For two eigenenergies infinitesimally closed to each other, the eigenfunctions are well separated in space. As a result, the eigenfunction correlation between two given points  $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  changes substantially as the eigenenergy varies slightly. Therefore, the single-particle eigenenergy space cannot be coarse grained, and  $n(\varepsilon)$  is again ill defined.

*Discussion.* We have seen that the roles of one-body quantum chaos are two-fold. First, it gives rise to a coarse grained single-particle eigenenergy space or more precisely Eq. (13). This coarse graining, together with the indistinguishability of identical particles, leads to ET with the FD (BE) distribution as a key manifestation. Second, it leads to the emerging of the arrow of time from unitary evolution. Strikingly, neither interaction nor randomness is required. Our theory is established for one-body observables. Whether it can be generalized to many-body observables remains unclear.

Our findings are not restricted to ideal gas. They also provide new perspectives of quantum thermalization of isolated interacting systems. For example, upon applying the standard mean field approximation the single-particle orbital often emerges from interacting systems. This orbital – an effective single-particle quantum motion – is chaotic, provided the interaction is strong and the energy of orbital is high. In this case we expect our theory to be applicable. A realistic system composed of strongly interacting electrons is the gold ion  $\text{Au}^{25+}$ , where the emergence of the FD distribution has been seen numerically near the ionization threshold [33].

We would like to thank H.-H. Lai and J.-B. Gong for discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11535011) and the National Science Foundation (Grants No. DMR-1004545 and No. DMR-1442366).

- 
- [1] Boltzmann, L. Weitere Studien über das Wärmegleichgewicht unter Gasmolekülen. *Sitzungsberichte Akademie der Wissenschaften* **66**, 275-370 (1872).
- [2] von Neumann, J. Beweis des Ergodensatzes und des H-Theorems in der neuen Mechanik. *Z. Phys.* **57**, 30-70 (1929).
- [3] Bogoliubov, N. N. *Problemy Dinamicheskoi Teorii v Statisticheskoi Fizike* (Gostekhizdat, Moscow, 1946).
- [4] Prigogine, I. *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Wiley, New York, 1962).
- [5] Sinai, Ya. G. *Introduction to Ergodic Theory* (University Press, Princeton, 1976).
- [6] Krylov, N. S. *Works on the Foundations of Statistical Physics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1979).
- [7] Eisert, J., Friesdorf, M. & Gogolin, C. Quantum many-body systems out of equilibrium. *Nature Phys.* **11**, 124-130 (2015).
- [8] Gogolin, C. & Eisert, J. Equilibration, thermalisation, and the emergence of statistical mechanics in closed quantum systems - a review. arXiv:1503.07538.
- [9] D'Alessio, L., Kafri, Y., Polkovnikov, A. & Rigol, M. From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. arXiv:1509.06411.
- [10] Borgonovi, F., Izrailev, F. M., Santos, L. F. & Zelevinsky, V.G. Quantum chaos and thermalization in isolated systems of interacting particles. *Phys. Rep.* **626**, 1-58 (2016).
- [11] Reimann, P. Typical fast thermalization processes in closed many-body systems. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10821 (2016).
- [12] Nandkishore, R. & Huse, D. A. Many body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics. *Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys.* **6**, 15-38 (2015).
- [13] Basko, D., Aleiner, I. & Altshuler, B. Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states. *Ann. Phys.* **321**, 1126-1205 (2006).
- [14] Deutsch, J. M. Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046-2049 (1991).
- [15] Srednicki, M. Chaos and quantum thermalization. *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888-901 (1994).
- [16] Rigol, M., Dunjko, V. & Olshanii, M. Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems. *Nature* **452**, 854-858 (2008).
- [17] Larkin, A. I. & Ovchinnikov, Yu. N. Quasiclassical method in the theory of superconductivity. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **28**, 1200-1205 (1969).
- [18] Borgonovi, F., Guarneri, I., Izrailev, F. M. & Casati, G. Chaos and thermalization in a dynamical of two interacting particles. *Phys. Lett. A* **247**, 140-144 (1998).
- [19] Lai, H. H. & Yang, K. Entanglement entropy scaling laws and eigenstate typicality in free fermion systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 081110(R) (2015).
- [20] Goldstein, S., Lebowitz, J. L., Tumulka, R. & Zanghi, N. Canonical Typicality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 050403 (2006).
- [21] Popescu, S., Short, A. J. & Winter, A. Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics. *Nature Phys.* **2**, 754-758 (2006).
- [22] Casati, G., Chirikov, B. V., Ford, J. & Izrailev, F. M. Stochastic behavior of a quantum pendulum under a periodic perturbation. *Lecture Notes in Physics* **93**, 334-352 (1979).
- [23] Goldstein, S., Hara, T. & Tasaki, H. Extremely quick thermalization in a macroscopic quantum system for a typical nonequilibrium subspace. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 045002 (2015).
- [24] Moyal, J. E. Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **45**, 99-124 (1949).
- [25] Tian, C. & Larkin, A. I. Ehrenfest oscillations in the level statistics of chaotic quantum dots. *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 035305 (2004).
- [26] Ruelle, D. Resonances for Axiom A flows. *J. Diff. Geom.* **25**, 99-116 (1987).
- [27] Pollicott, M. On the rate of mixing of Axiom A flows. *Invent. Math.* **81**, 413-426 (1985).
- [28] Berry, M. V. Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions. *J. Phys.: Math. Gen. A* **10**, 2083-2091 (1977).
- [29] Heller, E. J. Bound-state eigenfunctions of classically chaotic Hamiltonian systems: scars of periodic orbits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1515-1518 (1984).
- [30] Landau, L. D. & Lifshitz, E. M. *Statistical Physics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1958).
- [31] Wang, J. Lai, C.-H. & Gu, Y. Ergodicity and scars of the quantum cat map in the semiclassical regime. *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 056208 (2001).
- [32] Aleiner, I. L. & Larkin, A. I. Divergence of classical trajectories and weak localization. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 14423-14444 (1996).
- [33] Gribakin, G. F., Gribakina, A. A. & Flambaum, V. V.

Quantum chaos in multicharged ions and statistical ap-

proach to the calculation of electron-ion resonant radiative recombination. *Aust. J. Phys.* **52**, 443-457 (1999).