

Photoabsorption in Sodium Clusters: First Principles Configuration Interaction Calculations

Pradip Kumar Priya, Deepak Kumar Rai, and
Alok Shukla

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

Abstract We present systematic and comprehensive correlated-electron calculations of the linear photoabsorption spectra of small neutral closed- and open-shell sodium clusters (Na_n , $n=2-6$), as well as closed-shell cation clusters (Na_n^+ , $n=3, 5$). We have employed the configuration interaction (CI) methodology at the full CI (FCI) and quadruple CI (QCI) levels to compute the ground, and the low-lying excited states of the clusters. For most clusters, besides the minimum energy structures, we also consider their energetically close isomers. The photoabsorption spectra were computed under the electric-dipole approximation, employing the dipole-matrix elements connecting the ground state with the excited states of each isomer. Our calculations were tested rigorously for convergence with respect to the basis set, as well as with respect to the size of the active orbital space employed in the CI calculations. These calculations reveal that as far as electron-correlation effects are concerned, core excitations play an important role in determining the optimized ground state geometries of various clusters, thereby requiring all-electron correlated calculations. But, when it comes to low-lying optical excitations, only valence electron correlation effects play an important role, and excellent agreement with the experimental results is obtained within the frozen-core approximation. For the case of Na_6 , the largest cluster studied in this work, we also discuss the possibility of occurrence of plasmonic resonance in the optical absorption spectrum.

1 Introduction

Clusters of atoms and molecules are considered to be strong candidates for developing future nanotechnological devices ranging from electron-transport based devices such as switches and transistors, to opto-electronic devices such as light-emitting diodes.[1] However, regardless of the nature of the application, in order to harness full potential of these atomic assemblies, it is important to achieve a deep theoretical understanding their electronic structure.[2,3,4,5] Clusters can be seen as an intermediate state of matter lying somewhere between the atomic state, and the bulk state. Therefore, they

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076, INDIA
e-mails: eccpradip@gmail.com, deepakrai@phy.iitb.ac.in, shukla@phy.iitb.ac.in

can provide us important insights into the evolution of the matter from the microscopic to the macroscopic length scales. Of particular interest are issues such as the nature of chemical bonding, low-lying excited states, and the geometrical structure of the clusters as their size grows. One would intuitively expect that clusters whose bulk states are insulating, or semiconducting, will exhibit localized electrons. Likewise, electrons in clusters of atoms whose bulk form is metallic, will be expected to be itinerant. Indeed, in cluster science, an important direction of research is to understand as to what are the signatures of metallicity in metal atom clusters.[2,3,4,5,6] If the electrons in the metal atom clusters are indeed metallic, can one use simple jellium model or some variant thereof, to describe their physics?[7,4] It is well known that the nature of low-lying optical excitation in bulk metals is plasmonic, that is collective. But what happens when we consider a metal atom cluster: is the nature of low-lying excited states for such systems molecular or collective?[7,4,8]

Alkali atoms have a rather simple electronic structure, with a single valence electron outside the closed shell. In the bulk form, alkali metals are considered to be a prototypical examples of free-electron gas formed by the one electron contributed by each atom. Therefore, alkali atom clusters, will be the simplest systems on which the issue of metallicity in finite sized systems can be probed. Perhaps because of this simplicity that a number of theoretical and experimental probes of alkali atom clusters have been performed over the years. Early description of the electronic structure of clusters was performed in terms of the free-electron gas based jellium model, perhaps due to its general nature, and inherent simplicity.[9,10,11] Jellium model was also employed to describe the photoabsorption in neutral and charged sodium clusters.[12] First principles methodologies were also used several years back to compute the optimized geometries, binding energies, and ionization potentials of small alkali metal clusters.[13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21] Rao and Jena used first principles Hartree-Fock (HF) and configuration-interaction (CI) methodology to study the electronic structure, geometry, and magnetic properties of lithium based homo-atomic and hetero-atomic clusters.[14,18] Martins *et al.* performed calculations employing first principles density-functional theory (DFT) within the local-spin-density approximation (LSDA) to study the ground state geometries and electronic structure of small sodium clusters.[15] First principles multi-reference configuration interaction (MRCI) approach was employed by Koutecký and coworkers to study the low-lying excited states and photoresponse of a number of lithium and sodium clusters.[16,17,19,22,20,13] Röthlisberger and Andreoni[23] used a first-principles molecular dynamics based approach to compute the structure and electronic properties of sodium clusters ranging from Na_2 to Na_{20} . Employing an *ab initio* DFT based all electron approach, Blundell *et al.*[24] reported calculations of static polarizabilities of several Na clusters. Balbás performed time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) based calculations of the photoabsorption spectra of relatively large sodium clusters Na_{20} and Na_{40} . [25] First principles approaches based upon HF, DFT, and second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) were employed by Solov'yov *et al.*[26] to determine the geometry and other ground state properties of a number of sodium clusters. DFT based calculations on the electronic structure and geometry of the ground state of several neutral and cationic clusters of sodium were performed by Kümmel and *et al.*[27] An *ab initio* time-dependent local-density approximation (TDLDA) based methodology was adopted by Pacheco and Martins[28] to study the photoresponse of lithium and sodium clusters. First principles TDLDA was also employed by Moseler *et al.*[29] to compute the optical absorption spectra of cationic clusters of sodium. Gutiérrez *et al.* employed a first principles DFT based

approach to compute the transport properties of small sodium clusters. Rubio and coworkers computed the optical absorption spectra of small sodium and silicon clusters using TDDFT based approaches.[30,31]TDDFT was also employed by Joswig *et al.*[32] to study the photoabsorption spectra of a large number of sodium clusters. Wang *et al.*[33] employed a TDDFT based approach to study plasmonic excitation in planar clusters of sodium. Recently, Pal *et al.*[34,35] employed a first principles linear-response theory based Bethe-Salpeter equation approach to compute the optical absorption spectra of a number of closed-shell neutral and cationic sodium clusters.

Experimental measurements of photoabsorption processes in alkali metal clusters has been performed for a long time. Early experiments of photoabsorption in sodium and potassium dimers were performed by Fredrickson and Watson.[36] For the sodium trimer, optical absorption measurements have been reported by Herrmann *et al.*,[37] Wang *et al.*,[38] and Broyer *et al.*[39] As far as the spectroscopy of Na_4 cluster is concerned, Wang *et al.* have again performed the measurements.[38,8] In a separate paper, the same group also reported the photoabsorption measurements in Na_4 , Na_5 , and Na_7 clusters.[40] Schmidt and Haberland[41] measured the photoabsorption cross-sections of sodium mono-cationic clusters ranging from Na_3^+ to Na_{64}^+ . Several measurements of photoabsorption cross-sections and static polarizabilities of sodium clusters have also been reported by de Heer and coworkers over the years.[42,43,44,45,6]

As reviewed above, most of the calculations of the electronic structure and related properties of alkali metal clusters have been performed either using the jellium model, or, more recently, using the DFT based methodologies. Except for the early works of Rao and Jena,[14,18] and those of Koutecký and coworkers,[16,17,19,22,20,13,21] we are not aware of studies of the low-lying excited states and optical properties of alkali metal clusters which employ wave function based methodologies such as the CI approach, or the coupled-cluster (CC) method. While DFT based methodologies are certainly computationally very efficient because they can, in principle, include electron-correlation effects at the mean-field level in the Kohn-Sham equations. However, the exact form of the exchange-correlation functional, which is supposed to incorporate these effects, is unknown. Therefore, the choice of the exchange-correlation functional (XCF) is a matter of speculation, and as a result a huge array of such functionals are available. It is a well-known fact that results of calculations depend critically on the choice of the functional, and sometimes, one has to use different functionals to describe different properties of the same system. Some functionals provide a good description of the ground state geometry, while, others provide a better description of the cohesive energies. As far as the description of excited states of extended systems is concerned, at present the method of choice is TDDFT, in which a number of strides have been made recent times.[46] Nevertheless, TDDFT also suffers from the same problems as DFT, *i.e.*, the results obtained are highly functional dependent. It also appears to be most effective in describing low-lying singly-excited states, while at higher energies, its accuracy appears to be questionable. For all these reasons we believe that it is very important to benchmark excited state results by performing accurate electron-correlated first-principles calculations using the Born-Oppenheimer Hamiltonian, and wave-function-based methodologies. Because the Hamiltonian, unlike the functional is well-known, the only problem which wave-function-based methods experience are related to the truncation of the many-particle wave function. However, by systematically expanding the wave-function in terms of the many-particle basis, this problem can be curtailed. It is with this philosophy in mind that we have undertaken system-

atic large-scale first-principles CI calculations of sodium clusters ranging from Na_2 to Na_6 , to compute their linear photoabsorption spectra, and carefully compare our results to the spectroscopic data where available. In addition to the neutral clusters, calculations have also been performed for the closed-shell cationic clusters Na_3^+ and Na_5^+ . For several clusters, in addition to the global minima, we have also considered various possible low-lying geometries, with the intention of probing structure-property relationship which can serve as fingerprint for detection of cluster geometries using photo-absorption experiments. The computational methodology we have employed for the purpose is the frozen-core configuration interaction (CI) method at the full-CI or quadruple-CI level, which has been extensively used in our group in earlier studies of the optical properties of a number of conjugated polymers,[47,48,49,50,51,52,53] and small boron and aluminum clusters.[54,55,56] We also compare our results to the experimental ones where available, and find excellent agreement.

2 Theoretical Background

In this work we have adopted a first-principles wave function based methodology in which the molecular orbitals (MOs) are expanded as a linear combination of Cartesian Gaussian basis functions. Calculations are initiated by performing either the restricted Hartree-Fock (RHF), or the restricted open-shell Hartree-Fock (ROHF) calculations depending upon whether the cluster under consideration is electronically closed shell, or open-shell type, so as to obtain the MO basis set. Subsequently, correlated calculations are performed using the configuration-interaction (CI) methodology, and the approach is employed at various approximation levels such as singles-CI (SCI), full-CI (FCI), or quadruple-CI (QCI). For performing the CI calculations, we employed a configuration state function (CSF) basis, in which the CSFs were eigenfunctions of both the total spin (S^2), as well as the z -component of the spin (S_z). Furthermore, wherever possible, point group symmetries at the level of D_{2h} and its subgroups were also used to reduce the computational effort. We have employed this wave-function-based first principles approach in several of our recent works on clusters,[55,57,56]and for performing these calculations we have used the MELD program package.[58]

As far as geometries of various isomers are concerned, in some cases they were obtained manually, by performing electron-correlated calculations for different geometries, while in other cases, automated geometry optimization at various levels of theory, as implemented in the Psi4 computer program,[59] was employed.[60,61] For a few isomers, we used the geometries reported by other authors, in previous papers.

Once the ground state geometry of an isomer is decided, ground and excited state calculations are performed on it using the CI methodology described in our previous works.[47,48,49,50,51,54,52,55,56,53] The level of the CI approach adopted in the calculations is based upon the size of the isomer, as also the number of active electrons and orbitals. For the smaller isomers frozen-core FCI method was used, while for the larger ones, the frozen-core QCI approach was employed. For the purpose of benchmarking the frozen-core approximation, we employed the SCI method both at the all-electron, as well as frozen-core levels. Using the many-body wave functions obtained from the CI calculations, optical absorption spectrum was computed in the electric-dipole approximation, assuming a Lorentzian line shape.

3 Calculations and Results

In this section, first we shall discuss the convergence of our calculations with respect to various approximations. Thereafter, the results of our calculations for various clusters will be presented with comprehensive discussion.

3.1 Convergence of Calculations

Here, we carefully examine the convergence of the calculated absorption spectra with respect to the size and quality of the basis set, along with various truncation schemes in the CI calculations.

3.1.1 Choice of the basis set

Because finite basis set is employed in electronic structure calculations, it is but natural that the results will depend upon the quality and the size of the basis set employed. Therefore, before comparing our results with the experiments, we want to explore the dependence of photo-absorption spectra on the basis set. It is obvious from the metallic nature of bulk sodium that its 3s valence electron exhibits delocalized behavior, and, therefore, the basis set used for calculations should have diffuse Gaussian basis functions. We calculated the absorption spectra of Na_2 employing basis sets 6-311++G(3df,3pd), 6-311++G(2d,2p), and also correlation-consistent basis sets aug-cc-pcVDZ and cc-pVTZ-DK, all of which have been taken from the EMSL Gaussian Basis Set Library. [60,61] Upon examining the calculated spectra presented in Fig. 1, the following trends emerge: the spectra computed by augmented basis sets 6-311++G(3df,3pd), 6-311++G(2d,2p) and aug-cc-pcVDZ are in very good agreement with each other in the energy range examined, while the one computed by the set cc-pVTZ-DK is a bit blue shifted as far as higher energy peaks are concerned. Considering good agreement among three basis sets, we choose to perform calculations for all the isomers (unless, indicated otherwise) of sodium clusters using the basis set 6-311++G(3df,3pd).

3.1.2 Orbital Truncation Schemes

It is a well-known fact that the computational effort in a CI calculation performed using N MOs scales as $\approx N^6$, which can become quite unfavorable for large values of N . For first principles calculations utilizing large basis sets, generally N tends to be large, and, therefore, it is very important to reduce the number of MOs used in the CI calculations. The number of occupied MOs is reduced by employing the so-called “frozen-core approximation” discussed earlier, while the number of unoccupied (virtual) states are truncated by ignoring high-energy MOs lying far from the Fermi level.

The influence of freezing the core orbitals on the optical absorption spectrum of Na_2 cluster is displayed in Fig. 2, while for Na_3 the results are presented in Fig. 3. It is obvious from the figures that it virtually makes no difference to the computed spectra when the core orbitals are frozen. The effect of removing the high-energy virtual orbitals on the absorption spectrum of clusters Na_2 and Na_4 are examined in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. From these figures it is obvious that if the virtual orbitals above the energy of 1 Hartree are removed from the list of active orbitals, the absorption




Fig. 1: Optical absorption in Na_2 calculated using various Gaussian contracted basis sets.




Fig. 2: (Color online) Comparison of the SCI level optical absorption spectrum of Na_2 computed at the all-electron level (in black), with that obtained using the frozen-core approximation (in red). Hardly any difference is visible between the two spectra.

spectrum stays unchanged. This is understandable on physical grounds because we are interested in computed absorption spectrum in the energy range much lower than 1 Hartree. Therefore, in rest of the calculations, all the core orbitals were frozen, and virtual orbitals with energies larger than this cutoff were not considered.

3.1.3 Size of the CI expansion

In CI calculations, greater numerical accuracy demands the inclusion of a rather large number of configurations which can make the calculations numerically intractable.




Fig. 3: (Color online) Same comparison as shown in Fig. 2, but for the case of Na_3 .




Fig. 4: (Color online) A comparison of all-electron SCI optical absorption spectrum of Na_2 (in black), with that obtained by removing the virtual orbitals with energies larger than 1.0 Hartree (in red), from the list of active orbitals. The differences between two sets of calculations are insignificant.

However, when it comes to the computation of optical properties, our focus is on calculating accurate energy differences between the ground state, and the excited states, rather than their absolute energies, which is possible even with moderately large CI expansions. In Table 1 we present the average total number of configurations (N_{total}) for different isomers, with the average computed across different irreducible representations in these symmetry adapted calculations. The fact that these calculations employ more than three million configurations for the largest cluster considered (Na_6), makes us confident that our results are fairly accurate.




Fig. 5: (Color online) Same comparison as shown in Fig. 4, but for the case of Na_4 .

Before we discuss the absorption spectrum for each isomer, we present the ground state energies along with the relative energies of each isomer in Table 2, from which it can be easily deduced as to which isomer of a given cluster corresponds to the minimum energy ground state geometry. Furthermore, symmetry of the ground state wave function of each isomer is also listed in the same table.

3.2 Calculated Absorption Spectra of Various Clusters

We have performed calculations on various low-lying isomers of neutral sodium clusters Na_n with $n = 2 - 6$, as well as on closed-shell cation clusters Na_3^+ and Na_5^+ . Optimized geometries of each of those isomers are depicted in Fig. 6.

Next we present and discuss the results of our calculations for different isomers.

3.2.1 Na_2

Geometry optimization of sodium dimer was performed at the CCSD(T) level, employing Gaussian basis set 6-311++G(3df,3pd), taken from EMSL basis set library,[60, 61] and the equilibrium bond length was obtained at 3.07 Å for the singlet ground state of symmetry $^1\Sigma_g$ (cf. Fig. 6(a)). Pal *et al.* reported an equilibrium bond length of 3.30 Å,[34] obtained at the HF level, which is about 0.23 Å longer than our value. Based upon *ab initio* MP2 calculations Solov'yov *et al.* reported the bond length to be 3.153 Å,[26] while Ozaki and Kino,[62] based upon first principles DFT calculations employing numerical orbitals obtained the value 3.175 Å. Martins *et al.*[15], reported somewhat shorter bond length of 2.91 Å. We note that our optimized bond length for

Table 1: Average number of total configurations (N_{total}) involved in frozen-core CI calculations of optical absorption spectra of various isomers of sodium clusters.

Cluster	Isomer	CI method	N_{total}
Na ₂	Linear ($D_{\infty h}$)	FCI	7056
Na ₃ ⁺	Triangular (D_{3h})	FCI	992250
Na ₃	Triangular (C_{2v})	FCI	992250
	Linear ($D_{\infty h}$)	FCI	992250
	Equilateral (D_{3h})	FCI	992250
Na ₄	Rhombus (D_{2h})	FCI	725903
	Square (D_{4h})	FCI	1153510
Na ₅ ⁺	D_{2d}	FCI	406456
	D_{2h}	FCI	762300
	D_{3h}	FCI	442176
	C_{2v}	FCI	192660
Na ₅	Planar (C_{2v})	QCI	3349740
	Bipyramid (C_{2v})	QCI	1463592
Na ₆	Planar (D_{3h})	QCI	3463230
	C_{5v}	QCI	3463230
	D_{4h}	QCI	3162995

the sodium dimer is closer to values reported by Solov'yov *et al.*,[26] and Ozaki and Kino,[62] than that of Pal *et al.* [34]

Table 3: Comparison of location of calculated peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na₂, with the work of other authors, and experiments. Our calculations were performed using the frozen core FCI approach.

Work	Method	Bond Length (Å)	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)				
			I($^1\Sigma_u$)	II($^1\Pi_u$)	III($^1\Pi_u$)	IV($^1\Pi_u$)	V($^1\Pi_u$)
This work	FCI	3.07	1.87	2.48	3.66	4.62	5.46
Theory (Ref.[34])	BSE	3.30	1.97	2.56	3.75		
Exp.(Ref.[36])	—	—	1.86	2.52	3.75		

Using this bond length (3.07 Å), we computed the optical absorption spectrum of sodium dimer employing the FCI approach within the frozen-core approximation, which is presented in Fig. 7. The general qualitative features of the calculated spectrum are: (a) in the given energy range, five peaks are observed, with most of the oscillator strength contained in the first two peaks, (b) the first peak corresponds to a state of $^1\Sigma_u$ symmetry, and is reached by a photon polarized along the molecular direction (longitudinal polarization), while the remaining peaks correspond to $^1\Pi_u$ states, accessible by photons polarized perpendicular to the molecular direction (transverse polarization). Next we discuss the quantitative aspects of the spectrum.

The experimental absorption spectrum of Na₂ measured by Fredrickson and Watson,[36] and reproduced in the paper of Pal *et al.*,[34] exhibits three peaks, whose intensity pro-

Table 2: Ground state (GS) energies (in Hartree) and relative energies (in eV) corresponding to the geometries of various isomers depicted in Fig. 6, and the symmetry of their wave function. For all the cases except Na₆ isomers, geometries were optimized using an all-electron CCSD(T) approach, as implemented in the Psi4 program,[59] employing the cc-PVTZ basis set.[61] For Na₆ isomers, CCSD method[59] was utilized for geometry optimization, coupled with the cc-pvdz basis set.[61] In a few cases, previously published geometries, cited in the text, were used.

Cluster	Isomer	Symmetry	GS energy (Ha)	Relative energy (eV)
Na ₂	Linear ($D_{\infty h}$)	$^1\Sigma_g$	-323.7694	0.0000
Na ₃ ⁺	Triangular (D_{3h})	$^1A'_1$	-485.5130	0.0000
Na ₃	Triangular (C_{2v})	2B_2	-485.6519	0.0000
	Equilateral (D_{3h})	$^2A'_1$	-485.6490	0.07891
	Linear ($D_{\infty h}$)	$^2\Sigma_u$	-485.6474	0.1225
Na ₄	Rhombus (D_{2h})	1A_g	-647.5553	0.0000
	Square (D_{4h})	$^1A_{1g}$	-647.5360	0.5252
Na ₅ ⁺	D_{2d}	1A_1	-809.3119	0.0000
	D_{2h}	1A_g	-809.3117	0.0054
	D_{3h}	$^1A'_1$	-809.3071	0.1306
	C_{2v}	1A_1	-809.2882	0.6449
Na ₅	Planar (C_{2v})	2A_1	-809.4505	0.0000
	Bipyramid (C_{2v})	2B_1	-809.4463	0.1143
Na ₆	C_{5v}	1A_1	-971.2509	0.0000
	Planar (D_{3h})	$^1A'_1$	-971.2476	0.0898
	D_{4h}	$^1A_{1g}$	-971.2441	0.1850

file is in excellent agreement with the first three peaks of our calculated spectrum (*cf.* Fig. 7). The first absorption peak in our calculated spectrum is located 1.87 eV, which is in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 1.86 eV,[36] at which the absorption begins. Pal *et al.*[34] computed this peak to be located at 1.97 eV, which somewhat overestimates the experimental value. Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 1 of Supporting Information, the many-particle wave function of the excited state corresponding to this peak is dominated by $|H \rightarrow L\rangle$ excitation, with $|H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle$ excitation also making a significant contribution. The strongest peak in our calculated spectrum is the second one, located at 2.48 eV, which is also in excellent agreement with experimental value 2.52 eV reported by Fredrickson and Watson.[36] Pal *et al.*[34] computed the location of this peak to be a bit higher at 2.56 eV. Wave function corresponding to this state exhibits strong configuration mixing with singly-excited configurations $|H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle$ and $|H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle$ (orbital $L + 2$ belongs to π_u irrep, and is doubly degenerate) making significant contributions. The third peak in the experimental absorption spectrum is much less intense as compared to the other peaks, and is located at 3.75 eV,[36,34] which is in good agreement with our calculated location of peak III at 3.66 eV. The excited state corresponding to this peak obtains its main contribution from the single excitation $|H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle$, $|H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle$, and

$|H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle$. Our calculations predict the existence of a couple of more faint peaks located at 4.62 eV, and 5.46, which have not been reported either in the experiment,[36] or in earlier theoretical works. The wave function of the corresponding excited states are a strong mixture of higher-energy single excitation, and a few doubly excited configurations. It will be interesting to see if future experiments on sodium dimer are able to detect these higher energy peaks, predicted in this work.

3.2.2 Na_3^+

Next, we discuss the optical absorption spectrum of cation Na_3^+ , which, under the frozen-core approximation, has only two active electrons similar to the case of sodium dimer. The geometry of the system is well known to be an equilateral triangle with point group D_{3h} , so that the electronic ground state corresponds to the symmetry $^1A'_1$, while optically active excited states belong to $^1E'$ symmetry, achievable by photons polarized in the plane of the molecule, and $^1A''_2$ achievable through photons polarized perpendicular to the plane of the triangle. When we optimized the geometry of this cluster by using the 6-311++G(3df,3pd) contracted Gaussian basis set at the full CI level, assuming frozen cores, the optimized bond lengths of the triangle were obtained to be 3.58 Å, which is smaller by 0.06 Å as compared to the bond length obtained by Pal *et al.*[34] Using that bond length, in our calculated spectrum, we obtain two dominant peaks at 2.52 eV ($^1E'$), and 3.15 eV ($^1A''_2$), which are in reasonable agreement with the experimentally reported peaks by Schmidt *et al.*[41] at 2.62 eV, and 3.33 eV, respectively. However, Pal *et al.*,[34] using their BSE based approach, report a much better agreement with the experiments with the computed locations of the peaks at 2.64, and 3.36 eV, respectively. But, previous theoretical work of Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[21] reports much smaller optimized bond length 3.393 Å for the ground state, therefore, we decided to probe the influence of geometry on optical absorption. We performed geometry optimization of the system by going beyond the frozen-core approximation, employing the CCSD(T) approach as implemented in the Psi4 code.[59] Furthermore, we also probed the influence of the basis set on the photoabsorption spectrum of this system, and the results of this investigation are summarized in Table 4. Our best results for the absorption spectrum correspond to peak locations 2.62 eV and 3.25 eV, and were obtained from frozen-core FCI calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and an optimized bond length of 3.394 Å obtained from CCSD(T) based optimization. We note that: (a) our optimized bond length is significantly shorter as compared to the value obtained by Pal *et al.*,[34] but is in excellent agreement with the value obtained by Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[21] and (b) our calculated location of the first peak is in perfect agreement with the experiments, while the second one is underestimated by 0.08 eV.

As far as the single-particle energy levels of the system are concerned, the HOMO of the cluster, denoted as H , is non-degenerate, while the LUMO levels, denoted L_1 and L_2 , exhibit a two-fold degeneracy. Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 2 of Supporting Information, many-particle wave functions of the states giving rise to the peaks observed in the photoabsorption spectrum are presented. From there it is obvious that the first peak, which corresponds to a doubly degenerate state, has strong contributions from singly excited configurations $|H \rightarrow L_1\rangle$ and $|H \rightarrow L_2\rangle$, while the wave function of the second one is dominated by $|H \rightarrow L+1\rangle$ single excitation. As compared to earlier studies, in our work, we observe two high-energy peaks (labeled III and IV in Fig. 8) near 5.5 eV. Peak III located at 5.45 eV belongs to an excited state

of ${}^1E'$ symmetry, while peak IV located at 5.59 is due to a state of symmetry ${}^1A_2''$. The many-particle wave functions of these states are dominated by single excitations from the HOMO to higher unoccupied orbitals (*cf.* Table 2 of Supporting Information). These higher energy peaks predicted by our calculations can be explored in future experiments performed on this cluster.

Table 4: Comparison of location of peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na_3^+ calculated using various basis sets and optimized geometries, with the theoretical works of other authors, and also with experimental results. All our calculations were performed using the frozen core FCI approach.

Work	Method	Bond Length (Å)	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)	
			I(${}^1E'$)	II(${}^1A_2''$)
This work ^a	FCI	3.580	2.52	3.15
This work ^b	FCI	3.435	2.60	3.23
This work ^c	FCI	3.394	2.60	3.23
This work ^d	FCI	3.394	2.62	3.25
Theory (Ref.[21])	FCI	3.393	2.65	3.37
Theory (Ref.[34])	BSE	3.640	2.64	3.36
Exp.(Ref.[41])	—	—	2.62	3.33

^aGeometry optimization performed using the frozen core FCI technique, and 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set for all calculations

^bGeometry optimization performed using the CCSD(T) method using the 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set employed for all calculations

^cGeometry optimization performed using the CCSD(T) method with CC-VTZ basis set employed for all calculations

^dGeometry optimization performed using the CCSD(T) method with CC-VTZ basis set, and excited state calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set.

3.2.3 Na_3

Next, we discuss optical absorption spectrum of Na_3 , which is an open-shell system, with three active electrons, under the frozen-core approximation. The cluster possesses a symmetry broken ground state of an isosceles triangle with point group C_{2v} , as against an equilateral triangular geometry of Na_3^+ cluster. In our calculations, the electronic ground state of the system was obtained to be 2B_2 in agreement with previous theoretical results.[17] As per dipole selection rules of C_{2v} point group, with the 2B_2 symmetry of the ground state, linear optical absorption can take place to the excited states of symmetries 2A_1 (polarized perpendicular to the plane), 2A_2 (in-plane, short-axis, polarized), and 2B_2 (in-plane, long-axis polarized). As far as bond lengths of the cluster are concerned, several values differing significantly from each other have been reported in the literature. For example, Martins *et al.*,[15] based upon first-principles molecular dynamics approach, obtained optimized bond lengths of 3.016 Å (equal arms) and 3.757 Å (base), while Calaminici *et al.*[63] using first principles DFT reported lengths 3.170 Å and 4.226 Å for the same bonds. Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[17,64] using first-principles Hartree-Fock approach, reported two different sets of bond lengths in two papers: (a) 3.42 Å and 4.79 Å,[17] and (b) 3.372 Å and 4.509

Å.[64] Therefore, we performed our own geometry optimization for this cluster employing CCSD method with 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and obtained values 3.249 Å and 4.285 Å, which are in reasonably good agreement with those reported by Calaminici *et al.*[63] In order to understand the influence of geometry on the photoabsorption spectrum, we decided to compute it for several of these geometries, and the results of the calculations performed using frozen-core FCI method and 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, are presented in Table 5. In the same table, we also present the location and symmetries of optical absorption peaks of Na₃ measured by Wang *et al.*,[38] using molecular beam photodepletion approach, while our absorption spectrum computed using the geometry of Calaminici *et al.*[63] is presented in Fig. 9.

In the measured spectrum of Na₃, Wang *et al.*[38] identified the symmetry of the ground state as the ²B₂, along with seven peaks due to linear optical absorption from the ground state, located at 1.67 eV (²A₁), 1.84 eV (²A₂), 2.03 eV (²A₁), 2.22 eV (²B₂), 2.40 eV (²B₂), 2.59 eV (²A₂), and 3.00 eV (²A₂), where, in the parenthesis the symmetry of the corresponding excited state is indicated. Upon comparing this with the results of our calculations presented in Table 5, we conclude: (a) peak locations predicted by our calculations are in very good agreement with the experimental values of Wang *et al.*[38], except for the peak of symmetry ²B₂ located at 1.84 eV, which is found in none of our calculations, (b) our calculations, as well as those of Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[64] predict two additional weak peaks of symmetry ²A₁ located near 0.5 eV and 1.1 eV (labeled I and II, respectively) which are not observed in the experiment, and (c) we obtain the best agreement with the experiments using the geometry reported by Calaminici *et al.*,[63] although results obtained using other geometries are also fairly close. As far as intensity profile is concerned, our best calculation predicts that the highest intensity peak (peak VII in Fig. 9) is located at 2.53 eV and corresponds to a state of symmetry ²A₂, which is in excellent agreement with the experimental results of Wang *et al.*[38] who also assign symmetry ²A₂ to their most intense peak, located at 2.59 eV. Therefore, we conclude that our calculated optical absorption spectrum of isosceles triangle shaped Na₃ cluster is in excellent agreement with the experimental results.[38] Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 3 of Supporting Information, peak I derives its main contribution *H* → *L* single excitation, and all the peaks are dominated by states which are mainly composed of singly excited configurations.

Table 5: Comparison of location of peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na₃ isosceles triangular cluster, calculated using various basis sets and optimized geometries, with experimental results. All photoabsorption calculations were performed using the frozen core FCI approach.

Work	Method	Bond Length (Å)	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)							
			I(² A ₁)	II(² A ₁)	III(² A ₁)	(² B ₂)	IV(² A ₁)	V(² B ₂)	VI(² B ₂)	VII(² A ₂)
This work ^a	FCI	3.170, 4.226	0.50	1.11	1.61		1.99	2.20	2.35	2.53
This work ^b	FCI	3.016, 3.757	0.33	1.18	1.57		2.04	2.25		2.55
This work ^c	FCI	3.249, 4.285	0.48	1.09	1.58		1.96	2.16	2.33	2.51
This work ^d	FCI	3.372, 4.509	00.51	1.05	1.56		1.93	2.11	2.29	2.48
Exp.(Ref.[38])	—	—			1.67	1.84	2.03	2.22	2.40	2.59

^aGeometry taken from Ref.[63], and excited state calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set.

^bGeometry taken from Ref.[15], and excited state calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set.

^cGeometry optimization performed using the CCSD method with 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and excited state calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set.

^dGeometry taken from Ref.[13], and excited state calculations performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set.

We have also computed the optical absorption spectrum of sodium trimer, with equilateral triangular geometry. Geometry optimization was performed by CCSD(T) method using the 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and the optimized bond length was obtained 3.38 Å. Photoabsorption spectrum computed using this optimized bond length, and the frozen-core FCI approach, is presented in Fig. 10, and exhibits six peaks located at 1.19 eV, 2.07 eV, 2.36 eV, 2.96 eV, 3.72 eV, and 3.96 eV. On comparing the photoabsorption spectra of isosceles and equilateral triangles presented in Figs. 9 and 10, we note significant qualitative and quantitative differences between them which should allow one to differentiate between the two geometries using absorption-based experiments. Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 4 of Supporting Information, we find that the first three peaks in the absorption spectrum of the equilateral triangular cluster correspond to photons polarized in plane of the molecule, in complete contrast with the results for the isosceles triangular cluster (*cf.* Table 3 of Supporting Information). As far as the wave functions of excited states contributing to the peaks are concerned (*cf.* Table 4 of Supporting Information), first four peaks consist of states whose wave functions are dominated by single excitations, while wave functions of those contributing to last two peaks derive main contributions from double excitations.

Apart from triangular geometries, we have also performed the optical absorption calculations for linear Na₃ for which the ground state has optimized bond length 3.41 Å with symmetry ²Σ_u, of symmetry group D_{∞h}. According to dipole selection rules of group D_{∞h}, optical absorption will take place to excited states of symmetries ²Σ_g, polarized along the length of the molecule, and doubly-degenerate ²Π_g states, polarized in a plane perpendicular to the molecular axis. Calculated photoabsorption spectrum shown in Fig. 11 exhibits three peaks located at 0.64 eV (²Σ_g), 1.34 eV (²Σ_g) and 2.43 eV (²Π_g), of which the first peak is a rather weak one. Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 5 of Supporting Information, we note that for the first two peaks, the wave functions are dominated by singly excited configurations, while the third peak is doubly degenerate and wave functions are dominated by both singly and doubly excited configurations. Strong configuration mixing exhibited by wave functions of excited states contributing to peak III, points to the importance of electron-correlation effects in the description of higher energy states.

3.2.4 Na₄

Geometry optimization for this cluster was performed using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) contracted Gaussian basis set, using the CCSD(T) approach implemented in Psi4 computer program.[59] The resultant rhombus-shaped equilibrium geometry shown in Fig. 6(f) is almost identical to those reported by Solovyov *et al.*,[26] and Pal *et al.*[34] The ground state belongs to the ¹A_g irreducible representation of the D_{2h} point group, so that the excited states visible in the linear optical absorption will correspond to the symmetries ¹B_{1u} (out of plane polarized), ¹B_{2u} (short-diagonal polarized) and ¹B_{3u} (long-diagonal polarized). Optical absorption calculations were performed at the frozen-core FCI level

using the same 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and the results are presented in Fig. 12. Wang *et al.*[38,8] measured the optical absorption spectrum of this cluster using the molecular beam photodepletion approach, and found eight absorption peaks located at: 1.63 eV (${}^1B_{2u}$), 1.80 eV (${}^1B_{3u}$), 1.98 eV (${}^1B_{3u}$), 2.18 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), 2.51 eV (${}^1B_{2u}$), 2.63-2.78 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), 2.85-3.15 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$, ${}^1B_{3u}$), 3.33 eV (${}^1B_{2u}$), out of which the ones at 1.80 eV and 2.51 eV are the most intense. Our calculated spectrum (*cf.* Fig. 12) shows several peaks out of which the locations and the symmetries of the first eight are: 1.71 eV (${}^1B_{3u}$), 2.09 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), 2.47 eV (${}^1B_{2u}$), 2.69 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), 3.01 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), 3.22/3.28 eV (${}^1B_{2u}/{}^1B_{1u}$), 3.90 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), and 4.01 eV (${}^1B_{1u}$), out of which the peaks 1.71 eV and 2.47 eV are the most intense. Thus, on comparing our theoretical results with the experimental ones,[38] we find that the location and symmetries of the two most intense peaks are reproduced excellently by our calculations. Furthermore, our weaker peaks located at 2.69 eV, 3.01 eV, 3.22 eV compare very well with experimental peaks at 2.63-2.78 eV, 2.85 eV, 3.33 eV, both in locations and symmetries. On three weak peaks there is disagreement between the theory and the experiments: (a) the experimental absorption starts with a weak peak at 1.63 eV (${}^1B_{2u}$) which is absent in our calculations, and (b) experimental spectrum observes couple of weak peaks corresponding to ${}^1B_{3u}$ symmetry states located at 1.98 eV and 3.15 eV, which are absent in our spectrum. Thus, out of eight experimental peaks,[38] our theoretical calculations are able to resolve five peaks quite well, as far as their energetic location, point group symmetries, and intensities are concerned. Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 6 of Supporting Information. From the table it is obvious that the wave functions of the excited states behind both the two intense peaks located at 1.71 eV and 2.47 eV are dominated by single excitation. The peak at 1.71 eV derives main contributions from the excitation $|H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle$ and $|H \rightarrow L + 10\rangle$, while the one at 2.47 eV is dominated by four configurations $|H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle$, $|H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle$, $|H \rightarrow L\rangle$, and $|H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle$.

We also performed the optical absorption calculations for square-shaped Na_4 cluster, employing the frozen-core FCI approach, and the results are presented in Fig. 13, along with the excited wave functions in Table 7 of Supporting Information. For these calculations, 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set was used, along with bond length 3.48 Å, which was obtained using all-electron CCSD(T) geometry optimization.[59] The ground state geometry corresponds to symmetry ${}^1A_{1g}$ of the D_{4h} point group, therefore, linear absorption, as per dipole selection rules, will be to excited states of symmetries 1E_u (in-plane polarized), and ${}^1A_{2u}$ (perpendicularly polarized). Wave functions and other information is presented in the Table 7 of Supporting Information, first four absorption peaks correspond to doubly degenerate 1E_u symmetry located at 1.16 eV, 2.01 eV, 2.17 eV, and 2.48 eV, while the fifth peak located at 2.75 eV corresponds to an excited state of symmetry ${}^1A_{2u}$. This result is qualitatively distinct as compared to the case of rhombus (*cf.* Fig. 12), for which, out of the first four absorption peaks, two were polarized perpendicular to the plane of the rhombus. Another interesting aspect of the first peak of square-shaped cluster is that the excited states giving rise to it derive significant contributions from double excitations (*cf.* Table 7 of Supporting Information), while for the rhombus cluster, the wave function of the first optically active state is dominated by single excitations (*cf.* Table 6 of Supporting Information). Furthermore, compared to the rhombus shaped cluster, optical absorption spectrum of the square-shaped cluster has relatively lesser number of features, and also different excitation energies for various peaks. Additionally, the first peak of the rhombus is the most intense one of its spectrum, while the first one of the square is the least intense

one of the corresponding absorption spectrum. Therefore, peak locations, along with the intensity profiles of the photoabsorption spectra of the two isomers can be used to distinguish them using optical spectroscopy.

3.2.5 Na_5^+

For Na_5^+ , we have considered four different isomers with symmetries D_{2d} , D_{2h} , D_{3h} , and C_{2v} , as shown in Figs. 6(h)—6(k), respectively. Various bond lengths corresponding to the D_{2d} , D_{2h} and D_{3h} isomers indicated in those figures were obtained by performing geometry optimization using all-electron CCSD(T) approach implemented in the Psi4 program,[59] while for the C_{2v} isomer we used the geometry reported by Pal *et al.*[34]. We note that Pal *et al.* considered a C_{4v} isomer instead of D_{2h} , however, our geometry optimization program led to the D_{2h} structure even when we initialized it with the C_{4v} geometry parameters of Pal *et al.* Although, our geometry optimization predicts the D_{2d} isomer to be the lowest energy structure, but the total CCSD(T) energies (*cf.* Table 2) of all the four isomers are very close to each other, with D_{2d} and D_{2h} energies being nearly degenerate, while energies of D_{3h} and C_{2v} isomers being just 0.13 eV, and 0.64 eV, respectively, higher than that of the D_{2d} isomer. Given the energetic proximities of these isomers, there is a high probability that in room temperature optical absorption experiments, absorption spectra of several of these isomers could be observed. Next, we discuss the calculated absorption spectra of each of these isomers.

In order to compute the optical absorption spectra, for all isomers, we used the 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set coupled with the frozen-core FCI approach, and results of these calculations are presented in Fig. 14. Schmidt *et al.* [41,65,66] performed experimental measurements of the optical absorption spectrum of this cluster, and found three absorption peaks located at: 2.2 eV, 2.7 eV and 3.3 eV. As far as the intensity pattern is concerned, the measured spectrum consists of three high intensity peaks, first one of which is the most intense, while the last one carries the least intensity of the three.[41] If we compare the intensity profiles of our theoretical spectra with the measured one, we find perfect agreement between computed spectra of isomers of D_{2d} and D_{2h} symmetries, and the experiment. In Table 6 we present the peak locations of the calculated spectra and the experimental one, and find that the excitation energies of three major peaks in the calculated spectrum of D_{2d} isomer are in excellent agreement with the experiments. We note that the first peak in the calculated spectrum of D_{2d} isomer located at 1.77 eV is an extremely weak one, and has not been observed in the experiments. Furthermore, the peak locations of the first and the third peaks in the calculated spectrum of the D_{2h} symmetry isomer are also in very good agreement with the experiments, while that of the second peak is underestimated by about 0.19 eV. Additionally, from Table 6 it is obvious that it is location of the second major peak which is sensitive to the geometry of the isomer, while locations of the first and third peaks for all the isomers are in reasonable agreement with the experiments. Because, for the D_{2d} isomer even the location of the second intense peak (2.67 eV) is in very good agreement with the experimental value (2.70 eV), we believe that the experimental result corresponds to the absorption spectrum of this isomer.

Detailed information about the excitation energies, symmetries, wave functions, polarizations, and oscillator strengths corresponding to various optical transitions of these isomers is presented in Tables 8–11 of the Supporting Information. We find that

wave functions of most of the optically active excited states are dominated by singly-excited configurations.

Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[21] and Pal *et al.*[34] also performed theoretical calculations of the optical absorption spectra of various isomers of Na_5^+ cluster, and in agreement with our work, they also obtained the lowest energy structure to be the isomer with D_{2d} symmetry. Various peak positions obtained by Pal *et al.*[34] are in very good quantitative agreement with our work. As far as CI method based calculations of Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[21] are concerned, we not only have good quantitative agreement on the peak locations, additionally, symmetries of the three intense peaks match perfectly with our work. Additionally, in their calculations,[21] the first peak is a faint one located below 2 eV, in agreement with our first weak peak of the D_{2d} isomer located at 1.77 eV.

Table 6: Comparison of location of peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na_5^+ cluster for different isomers, calculated using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set and optimized geometries, with experimental results. All photoabsorption calculations were performed using the frozen core FCI approach.

Work	Method	Isomers	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)				
			I	II	III	IV	V
This work	FCI	D_{2d}	1.77 (1E)	2.24 (1B_2)	2.67 (1E)	3.31 (1E)	3.96 (1E)
This work	FCI	D_{2h}	2.15 (${}^1B_{2u}$)	2.51 (${}^1B_{3u}$)	3.22 (${}^1B_{1u}$)	3.63 (${}^1B_{3u}$)	
This work	FCI	D_{3h}	2.22 (${}^1A'_2$)	3.16 (${}^1E'$)			
This work	FCI	C_{2v}	2.10 (1B_2)	2.40 (1A_1)	3.21 (1B_1)		
Exp.(Ref.[41,65,66])	—	—	2.20	2.70	3.30		

3.2.6 Na_5

For Na_5 , which is an open-shell system, we have considered two isomers, both with C_{2v} symmetry: a planar structure shown in Fig. 6(l), and a trigonal bipyramidal structure shown in Fig. 6(m). We performed geometry optimization for the planar isomer, by employing all-electron CCSD approach implemented in the Psi4 code,[59] and the geometrical parameters obtained are indicated in Fig. 6(l). For the bipyramid structure we used the geometry reported in paper by Bonačić-Koutecký *et al.*,[67] and we find the total energy of this structure to be only 0.11 eV higher than that of the planar one (*cf.* Table 2). Our calculated optical absorption spectra for both the isomers is presented in Fig. 15, and it shows several absorption peaks ranging from 1.15 eV to 2.76 eV, as summarized in Table 7. Out of these peaks, for the planar cluster, strong absorption peaks fall in the range 1.96–2.76 eV, while for the bipyramidal isomer, they lie in the range 2.02–2.44 eV. Experimental measurement of photoabsorption spectrum of Na_5 cluster by Wang *et al.*,[40] reports only one broad and intense absorption band centered at 2.05 eV, with peaks of weaker intensities at lower energies, and questionable data in the range 2.25–2.95 eV. Comparing these experimental results with our calculations, for the planar isomer, peak II located at 1.96 eV, which is also the most intense peak of the calculated spectrum, is a candidate for the experimentally observed band center. Similarly, for the case of bipyramidal isomer, peaks III (2.02 eV) and IV (2.14 eV) are candidates for the observed band center. If indeed that is the case, then the calculated lower energy low-intensity peaks will correspond to lower energy part of the observed

spectrum, which is also weaker in intensity. However, given the uncertainties associated with the experimental results on the photoabsorption spectrum of Na_5 cluster,[40] we hope that in future more accurate measurements will be performed on this cluster, in order to facilitate a better comparison between experiment and theory.

As far as comparison of our computed spectra for the case of two isomers with the theoretical calculations of Koutecký and coworkers[68] is concerned, there is good qualitative and quantitative agreement between two sets of calculations for the planar isomer. For example, the first major peak in the calculated spectrum of Koutecký and coworkers[68] for planar structure corresponds to two 2B_2 type states located at 1.92 and 1.97 eV, while in our spectrum it corresponds to a single 2B_2 type state, located at 1.96 eV.

Detailed information about the excited state wave functions, oscillator strengths, and photon polarizations corresponding to various optical transitions of the two isomers is presented in Tables 12 and 13 of the Supporting Information. On examining the excited state wave functions we find an interesting difference between the two isomers: for the planar structure, the wave functions of most of the optically active excited states are dominated by singly-excited configurations, while for the bipyramidal structure, they are dominated by double excitations.

Table 7: Comparison of location of peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na_5 cluster for different isomers, calculated using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set and optimized geometries, with experimental results. All photoabsorption calculations were performed using the frozen core QCI approach.

Work	Isomers	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)				
		I	II	III	IV	V
This work	C_{2v} -planar	1.15(2B_1)	1.96(2B_2)	2.31(2B_1)	2.76(2A_1)	
This work	C_{2v} -pyramidal	1.24(2B_1)	1.57(2A_1)	2.02(2A_1)	2.14(2A_1)	2.44 (2B_1)
Exp.(Ref.[40])	—	2.05				

3.2.7 Na_6

Here we have considered three different types of isomers with symmetries C_{5v} , D_{4h} , and planar (D_{3h}), as shown in Fig. 6. Out of these, we performed geometry optimization for C_{5v} and D_{4h} structures by employing the all-electron CCSD approach as implemented in the Psi4 code,[59] while for the planar (D_{3h}) isomer, we have used the geometry reported by Pal *et al.*[34] For the total energy calculations for all the three isomers, cc-PVDZ basis set was employed.[61] From Table 2 it is obvious that the ground state energies of all the three isomers are quite close to each other, with the C_{5v} capped pentagonal structure being the lowest in energy. Calculations for optical absorption spectra were performed using the frozen-core QCI approach employing 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set, and the results are presented in Fig. 16.

Before discussing our calculated spectra, we would like to briefly summarize the experimental results on the optical absorption of Na_6 cluster as reported by Wang *et al.*[40] They reported four features in their measurement whose locations are reported in Table 8. As far as the measured intensity profile of the absorption spectrum is concerned, peak I was reported to be very weak, carrying lowest intensity compared

to all other peaks, peak II was a very intense one with the highest intensity of the spectrum, peak III was also a very weak peak but with intensity higher than peak I, while peak IV was also intense, but with lower intensity as compared to peak II.[40] If we examine our calculated spectra presented in Figs. 16(a) and 16(b), we find that the computed spectra of C_{5v} and D_{3h} have exactly the same intensity pattern as observed in the experiment,[40] except that the location of peak I for the D_{3h} isomer is much smaller as compared to its experimental value. On the other hand, locations for all the peaks of the calculated spectra of C_{5v} and D_{4h} isomers are in very good agreement with the experiment, except that intensity profile of the D_{4h} does not match with the experimental one, because in the calculated spectrum peak III is the most intense one, as against peak II. Thus, considering both the intensity profile and the peak locations, we conclude that our calculated spectrum for the C_{5v} isomer is in the best agreement with the experiment. Thus, our conclusion disagrees with that of Wang *et al.*[40] who, based upon the theoretical calculations of Kouřecký and coworkers,[68] concluded that the measured spectrum of the Na_6 cluster was closest to that of the D_{3h} isomer. However, given the energetic proximity of all the three structures considered, it is certainly possible that the measured spectrum could be a mixed one, carrying features of the absorption spectra of all the isomers.

Wave functions, along with the polarization characteristics of the excited states contributing to the peaks in the calculated absorption spectra of C_{5v} , D_{3h} , and D_{4h} isomers are presented in Tables 14, 15, and 16 of the Supporting Information. Upon examination of these tables, following common features emerge: (a) all the isomers have doubly-degenerate HOMO orbitals, (b) first three peaks in the spectra for all the three isomers correspond to transitions polarized “in-plane”, while the fourth peak corresponds to “perpendicular” polarization, and (c) wave functions of the excited states contributing to these peaks for all the three isomers exhibit strong mixing of singly-excited configurations with almost similar weights. According to a criterion proposed by Kouřecký and coworkers,[69] excited states whose wave functions are a mixture of a large number of excited particle-hole configurations with almost identical weights, signify the emergence of collective plasmonic excitations. This suggests that the optical excitations in Na_6 cluster are plasmonic in nature, and, therefore, we investigate this possibility further. Deshpande *et al.* computed the optical absorption spectra of $\text{Na}_{8-x}\text{Li}_x$,[70] and bare aluminum clusters,[71] using first principles time-dependent local-density approximation (TDLDA). For the case of Al_n clusters they concluded that for $n \geq 6$, presence of broad absorption bands corresponds to the emergence of surface plasma resonances, with the increasing size.[71] For the case of $\text{Na}_{8-x}\text{Li}_x$ clusters, authors identified the most intense peak with the plasmon resonance,[70] which, for the case of Na_8 clusters, is located at ≈ 2.7 eV.[70] If we compare our computed absorption spectra of various Na_6 isomers (*cf.* Fig. 16), with that of Na_8 cluster reported by Deshpande *et al.*[70], we observe the following trends: (a) both Na_6 and Na_8 spectra are dominated by one high intensity peak, accompanied by several peaks of weaker intensities, (b) relative intensities of the weaker peaks for Na_6 is significantly larger than those for Na_8 . If we compare the peak locations of the most intense peaks for these clusters, for Na_6 they are located at 1.94 eV (D_{3h}), 2.15 eV (C_{5v}), 2.34 eV (D_{4h}), while for Na_8 it is located at 2.70 eV. Thus, most intense peaks in the absorption spectra of Na_6 isomers are at significantly lower energies as compared to that for Na_8 . However, if we allow for the possibility that the higher energy, lower intensity, peaks could also be due to plasmonic excitations, then we note that locations of peak IV in the spectra of the three isomers of Na_6 at 2.81 eV (D_{3h}), 2.79 eV (C_{5v}), 2.71 eV (D_{4h}), are rela-

tively independent of their geometrical structure, and also in good agreement with the plasmonic peak of Na_8 at 2.70 eV. Furthermore, the excited state wave functions of these peaks presented in the Supporting Information satisfy the configuration mixing criterion of Koutecký and coworkers,[69] mentioned above. To resolve this uncertainty regarding the location of surface plasmonic resonances in Na clusters, it will be useful to perform such calculations in larger clusters starting with Na_8 .

Table 8: Comparison of location of peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of Na_6 cluster for different isomers, calculated using 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set and optimized geometries, with experimental results. All photoabsorption calculations were performed using the frozen core QCI approach.

Work	Method	Isomers	Peak Energies (Symmetry) (eV)			
			I	II	III	IV
This work	QCI	D_{4h}	1.65 (1E_u)	1.90 (1E_u)	2.34 (1E_u)	2.79(${}^1A_{2u}$)
This work	QCI	D_{3h}	1.36 (${}^1E'$)	1.94 (${}^1E'$)	2.49 (${}^1E'$)	2.80 (${}^1A_2''$)
This work	QCI	C_{5v}	1.19(1E_1)	2.15 (1E_1)	2.52 (1E_1)	2.79 (1A_1)
Exp.(Ref.[40])	—	—	1.78	2.08	2.44	2.83

4 CONCLUSION

In this work we presented *ab initio* calculations of electronic structure and optical properties of small sodium clusters, utilizing configuration-interaction methodology based upon Gaussian type of basis functions. Several isomers of clusters ranging from Na_2 to Na_6 were considered, and the calculated spectra were compared with the experimental results, where available. In most cases, we obtained very good agreement with the experiments, thus validating our methodology. We also analyzed the wave functions of the excited states corresponding to various resonances in the spectra, and found them to be almost equal mixtures of several configurations suggesting the possibility that those states correspond to collective plasmonic excitations.[68] In light of the TDLDA calculations of optical absorption spectrum of Na_8 cluster by Deshpande *et al.*[70] reporting a plasmon peak located around 2.7 eV, in future we intend to perform similar calculations of optical absorption spectra of medium sized Na clusters starting with Na_8 aimed at exploring the location and nature of plasmons in these systems.

As far as geometry optimization of various clusters is concerned, we found that core excitations play an important role, and, therefore, all-electron correlated calculations are essential. But, all the photoabsorption calculations were performed using the frozen-core approximation, therefore, good agreement between our results, and the experiments, indicates that the models of sodium clusters assuming a single valence electron per atom, are well founded, when it comes to low-lying excitations. In future, we propose to perform similar studies on clusters composed of other metal atoms such as lithium and beryllium.

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Department of Science and Technology (DST), India, under the grant # SB/S2/CMP-066/2013. PKP acknowledges the paid leave granted to him to pursue his Ph.D. under the Faculty Improvement Program of University Grants Commission (UGC), India.

Author Contribution Statement

A.S. conceptualized the problem, explained the calculation procedure to P.K.P. and D.K.R., and wrote the paper. P.K.P. and D.K.R. performed the calculations, and analyzed the generated data.

References

1. Y. Kawazoe, T. Kondow, K. Ohno (eds.), *Clusters and Nanomaterials Theory and Experiment* (Springer, Berlin, 2002)
2. J.A. Alonso, *Structure and properties of atomic nanoclusters* (Imperial Coll., London, 2005)
3. J. Jellinek (ed.), *Theory of Atomic and Molecular Clusters With a Glimpse at Experiments* (Springer, Berlin, 1999)
4. W.A. de Heer, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **65**, 611 (1993). DOI 10.1103/RevModPhys.65.611
5. W. Ekardt (ed.), *Metal Clusters* (Wiley, New York, 1999)
6. J. Bowlan, A. Liang, W.A. de Heer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 043401 (2011). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.043401. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.043401>
7. M. Brack, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 677 (1993). DOI 10.1103/RevModPhys.65.677. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.65.677>
8. C. Wang, S. Pollack, M.M. Kappes, *Chemical Physics Letters* **166**(1), 26 (1990). DOI [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(90\)87044-R](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(90)87044-R). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000926149087044R>
9. K. Clemenger, *Phys. Rev. B* **32**, 1359 (1985). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.32.1359. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.1359>
10. D.E. Beck, *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 6935 (1984). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.30.6935. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.30.6935>
11. W. Ekardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1925 (1984). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1925. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1925>
12. C. Yannouleas, R.A. Broglia, M. Brack, P.F. Bortignon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 255 (1989). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.255. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.255>
13. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Gaus, M. Guest, L. Češpiva, J. Koutecký, *Chemical Physics Letters* **206**(5-6), 528 (1993). DOI [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(93\)80179-S](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(93)80179-S). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000926149380179S>
14. B.K. Rao, P. Jena, *Phys. Rev. B* **32**, 2058 (1985). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.32.2058. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.32.2058>
15. J.L. Martins, J. Buttet, R. Car, *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 1804 (1985). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.31.1804. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.1804>
16. I. Boustani, W. Pewestorf, P. Fantucci, V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Koutecký, *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 9437 (1987). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.35.9437. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.35.9437>
17. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 4369 (1988). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.37.4369. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.4369>
18. B.K. Rao, P. Jena, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 2867 (1988). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.37.2867. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.2867>
19. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **91**(6), 3794 (1989)
20. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *Chemical Reviews* **91**(5), 1035 (1991). DOI 10.1021/cr00005a016. URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/cr00005a016>
21. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Pittner, C. Fuchs, P. Fantucci, M. Guest, J. Koutecký, *The Journal of chemical physics* **104**(4), 1427 (1996)
22. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *Chemical Physics Letters* **166**(1), 32 (1990). DOI [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(90\)87045-S](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(90)87045-S). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000926149087045S>

23. U. Röhrlisberger, W. Andreoni, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **94**(12), 8129 (1991). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.460096>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/94/12/10.1063/1.460096>
24. S.A. Blundell, C. Guet, R.R. Zope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4826 (2000). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4826. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4826>
25. M.B. Torres, L.C. Balbás, *J. of Physics: Condensed Matter* **14**(23), 5795 (2002). URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/14/i=23/a=311>
26. I.A. Solov'yov, A.V. Solov'yov, W. Greiner, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 053203 (2002). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.65.053203. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.053203>
27. S. Kümmel, M. Brack, P.G. Reinhard, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 7602 (2000). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.62.7602. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.62.7602>
28. J.M. Pacheco, J.L. Martins, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **106**(14), 6039 (1997). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.473608>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/106/14/10.1063/1.473608>
29. M. Moseler, H. Häkkinen, U. Landman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 053401 (2001). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.053401. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.053401>
30. A. Rubio, J.A. Alonso, X. Blase, L.C. Balbás, S.G. Louie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 247 (1996). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.247. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.247>
31. M.A.L. Marques, A. Castro, A. Rubio, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **115**(7), 3006 (2001). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1385368>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/115/7/10.1063/1.1385368>
32. J.O. Joswig, L.O. Tunturivuori, R.M. Nieminen, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **128**(1), 014707 (2008). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2814161>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/128/1/10.1063/1.2814161>
33. B.J. Wang, Y. Xu, S.H. Ke, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **137**(5), 054101 (2012). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4739952>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/137/5/10.1063/1.4739952>
34. G. Pal, G. Lefkidis, H.C. Schneider, W. Hübner, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **133**(15), 154309 (2010). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3494093>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/133/15/10.1063/1.3494093>
35. G. Pal, Y. Pavlyukh, W. HäEbner, H.C. Schneider, *The European Physical Journal B* **79**(3), 327 (2011). DOI 10.1140/epjb/e2010-10033-1. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2010-10033-1>
36. W.R. Fredrickson, W.W. Watson, *Phys. Rev.* **30**, 429 (1927). DOI 10.1103/PhysRev.30.429. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.30.429>
37. A. Herrmann, M. Hofmann, S. Leutwyler, E. Schumacher, L. Wöste, *Chemical Physics Letters* **62**(2), 216 (1979). DOI [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(79\)80162-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(79)80162-1). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0009261479801621>
38. C.R. Chris Wang, S. Pollack, D. Cameron, M.M. Kappes, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **93**(6), 3787 (1990). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.458765>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/93/6/10.1063/1.458765>
39. M. Broyer, G. Delacrétaz, P. Labastie, R. Whetten, J. Wolf, L. Wöste, *Zeitschrift für Physik D Atoms, Molecules and Clusters* **3**(2), 131 (1986). DOI 10.1007/BF01384797. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01384797>
40. C.R.C. Wang, S. Pollack, T.A. Dahlseid, G.M. Koretsky, M.M. Kappes, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **96**(11), 7931 (1992). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.462345>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/96/11/10.1063/1.462345>
41. M. Schmidt, H. Haberland, *The European Physical Journal D - Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics* **6**(1), 109 (1999). DOI 10.1007/s100530050290. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100530050290>
42. W.D. Knight, K. Clemenger, W.A. de Heer, W.A. Saunders, *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 2539 (1985). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2539. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.2539>
43. W.A. de Heer, K. Selby, V. Kresin, J. Masui, M. Vollmer, A. Chatelain, W.D. Knight, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 1805 (1987). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1805. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1805>
44. K. Selby, M. Vollmer, J. Masui, V. Kresin, W.A. de Heer, W.D. Knight, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 5417 (1989). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.40.5417. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.5417>

45. K. Selby, V. Kresin, J. Masui, M. Vollmer, W.A. de Heer, A. Scheidemann, W.D. Knight, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 4565 (1991). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.43.4565. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.4565>
46. M.E. Casida, *Journal of Molecular Structure: {THEOCHEM}* **914**(1-3), 3 (2009). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2009.08.018>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166128009005363>. Time-dependent density-functional theory for molecules and molecular solids
47. A. Shukla, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 125204 (2002). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.65.125204. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.125204>
48. A. Shukla, *Chem. Phys.* **300**(13), 177 (2004). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2004.02.004>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030101040400076X>
49. A. Shukla, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 165218 (2004). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.69.165218. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.165218>
50. P. Sony, A. Shukla, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 155208 (2007). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.75.155208. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.155208>
51. P. Sony, A. Shukla, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 165204 (2005). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.71.165204. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.165204>
52. H. Chakraborty, A. Shukla, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **117**(51), 14220 (2013). DOI 10.1021/jp408535u. URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp408535u>
53. H. Chakraborty, A. Shukla, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **141**(16), 164301 (2014). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4897955>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/141/16/10.1063/1.4897955>
54. S. Sahu, A. Shukla, *Nanoscale Research Letters* **5**(4), 714 (2010). DOI 10.1007/s11671-010-9536-y. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11671-010-9536-y>
55. R. Shinde, A. Shukla, *Nano Life* **2**(02), 1240004 (2012). DOI 10.1142/S1793984411000529. URL <http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S1793984411000529>
56. R. Shinde, A. Shukla, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 20714 (2014). DOI 10.1039/C4CP02232G. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CP02232G>
57. R. Shinde, A. Shukla, *The European Physical Journal D* **67**(5), 98 (2013). DOI 10.1140/epjd/e2013-30546-3. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2013-30546-3>
58. L.E. McMurchie, S.T. Elbert, S.R. Langhoff, E.R. Davidson. MELD package from Indiana University. It has been modified by us to handle bigger systems.
59. J.M. Turney, A.C. Simmonett, R.M. Parrish, E.G. Hohenstein, F. Evangelista, J.T. Fermann, B.J. Mintz, L.A. Burns, J.J. Wilke, M.L. Abrams, N.J. Russ, M.L. Leininger, C.L. Janssen, E.T. Seidl, W.D. Allen, H.F. Schaefer, R.A. King, E.F. Valeev, C.D. Sherrill, T.D. Crawford, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2**, 556 (2012). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wcms.93>
60. K.L. Schuchardt, B.T. Didier, T. Elsethagen, L. Sun, V. Gurumoorthi, J. Chase, J. Li, T.L. Windus, *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* **47**(3), 1045 (2007). DOI 10.1021/ci600510j. URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ci600510j>. PMID: 17428029
61. D. Feller, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **17**(13), 1571 (1996). DOI 10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199610)17:13<1571::AID-JCC9>3.0.CO;2-P. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-987X\(199610\)17:13<1571::AID-JCC9>3.0.CO;2-P](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199610)17:13<1571::AID-JCC9>3.0.CO;2-P)
62. T. Ozaki, H. Kino, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 195113 (2004). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.69.195113. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.195113>
63. P. Calaminici, K. Jug, A.M. Köster, *The Journal of chemical physics* **111**(10), 4613 (1999)
64. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **93**(6), 3802 (1990). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.458766>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/93/6/10.1063/1.458766>
65. M. Schmidt, C. Ellert, W. Kronmüller, H. Haberland, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 10970 (1999). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.59.10970. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.10970>
66. C. Ellert, M. Schmidt, T. Reiners, H. Haberland, *Zeitschrift für Physik D Atoms, Molecules and Clusters* **39**(4), 317 (1997). DOI 10.1007/s004600050142. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004600050142>
67. V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, *Physical Review B* **37**(9), 4369 (1988)
68. Bonačić-Koutecký, V. and Pittner, J. and Scheuch, C. and Guest, M. F. and Koutecký, J., *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **96**(11), 7938 (1992). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.462346>. URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/96/11/10.1063/1.462346>

69. J. Blanc, V.B. Koutecký, M. Broyer, J. Chevalere, P. Dugourd, J. Koutecký, C. Scheuch, J.P. Wolf, L. Wöste, *J. Chem. Phys.* **96**(3), 1793 (1992). DOI DOI:10.1063/1.462846. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.462846>
70. M.D. Deshpande, D.G. Kanhere, P.V. Panat, I. Vasiliev, R.M. Martin, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 053204 (2002). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.65.053204. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.053204>
71. M.D. Deshpande, D.G. Kanhere, I. Vasiliev, R.M. Martin, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 035428 (2003). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.68.035428. URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.035428>




Fig. 6: Ground state geometries of different sodium clusters considered in this work, with their bond lengths (\AA) indicated in the figures.




Fig. 7: Optical absorption spectrum of Na₂ cluster computed using the frozen core FCI approach. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 8: Optical absorption spectrum of Na₃⁺ cluster computed using the frozen core full CI approach, and 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 9: Optical absorption spectrum of Na₃ isosceles triangular cluster computed using the frozen core full CI approach, and 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform line width of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 10: Optical absorption spectrum of Na₃ - equilateral atomic cluster with frozen core FCI. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 11: Optical absorption spectrum of linear isomer of Na₃ cluster, computed using the frozen core FCI approach. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 12: Optical absorption spectrum of rhombus shaped Na₄ cluster, performed using the frozen-core FCI approach. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform line width of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 13: Optical absorption spectrum of Na_4 - square-shaped atomic cluster computed using the frozen core FCI method. For plotting the spectrum, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 14: Optical absorption spectra of various Na_5^+ isomers with: (a) D_{2h} , D_{3h} , and C_{2v} symmetries, and (b) D_{2d} symmetry. These spectra were computed using the frozen core FCI approach, employing a 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set. For plotting the spectra, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 15: Optical absorption spectra of Na_5 isomers with: (a) C_{2v} planar structure, and (b) C_{2v} trigonal bipyramidal structure. These spectra were computed using the frozen core QCI approach, employing a 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set. For plotting the spectra, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.




Fig. 16: Optical absorption spectra of various Na_6 isomers with: (a) D_{3h} and C_{5v} symmetries, and (b) D_{4h} symmetry. These spectra were computed using the frozen core QCI approach, employing a 6-311++G(3df,3pd) basis set. For plotting the spectra, a uniform linewidth of 0.1 eV was used.

Supporting Information for Photoabsorption in Sodium Clusters: First Principles Configuration Interaction Calculations

Pradip Kumar Priya, Deepak Kumar Rai, and Alok Shukla*

*Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400076,
INDIA*

E-mail: emails:eccpradip@gmail.com,deepakrai@phy.iitb.ac.in,shukla@phy.iitb.ac.in

Excited State Wave Functions, Energies, and Transition Moments

In the following tables we present the excitation energies, the many-particle wave functions, and the transition dipole moments with respect to the ground state, of the excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na atomic clusters, discussed in the main text.

Table 1: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na₂ (*cf.* Fig. 7, in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. Polarization corresponding to the transition is denoted as \parallel , if it is along the molecule, and \perp , if it is perpendicular to it. In the wave function, the bracketed numbers are the CI coefficients of a given electronic configuration, and symbols H/L denote HOMO/LUMO orbitals.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.87	$^1\Sigma_u$	0.6241	\parallel	$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5829)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2567)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.1361)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.1292)$
II	2.48	$^1\Pi_u$	0.6411	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.5041)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1\rangle(0.4251)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.0848)$
	2.48	$^1\Pi_u$	0.6411	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_2; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.0601)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.5041)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1\rangle(0.4251)$
III	3.66	$^1\Pi_u$	0.1221	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.0848)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.0601)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1\rangle(0.4094)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3656)$
	3.66	$^1\Pi_u$	0.1221	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_2\rangle(0.2365)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.2130)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_2\rangle(0.4094)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3656)$
IV	4.62	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0268	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1\rangle(0.2365)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.2130)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_2\rangle(0.3805)$
	4.62	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0268	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_2\rangle(0.3805)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_1; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.2572)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 16)_2\rangle(0.2366)$
V	5.46	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0115	\perp	$ (L + 7)_1; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.2156)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_1\rangle(0.3805)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 7)_2; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.2572)$
	5.46	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0115	\perp	$ H \rightarrow (L + 16)_1\rangle(0.2366)$
					$ (L + 7)_2; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.2156)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_2\rangle(0.3608)$
5.46	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0115	\perp	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow (L + 9)_1\rangle(0.2150)$	
				$ (L + 7)_1; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.2109)$	
				$ (L + 2)_2; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.1816)$	
				$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_1\rangle(0.3608)$	
5.46	$^1\Pi_u$	0.0115	\perp	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow (L + 9)_2\rangle(0.2150)$	
				$ (L + 7)_2; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.2109)$	
					$ (L + 2)_1; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.1816)$

Table 2: Excitation energies (E), many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_3^+ (*cf.* Fig. 8, in main text), along with the oscillator strengths. “in-plane” polarization implies photons polarized in the plane of the triangle, while “perpendicular” polarization implies photons polarized perpendicular to the plane of the triangle. For the doubly degenerate ${}^1E'$ symmetry, wave functions of both the states are presented. Rest of the information is same as in the caption of Table 1.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function	
I	2.62	${}^1E'$	0.6254	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.5199)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.3951)$	
						$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1031)$
						$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.0784)$
	2.62	${}^1E'$	0.6254	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.5199)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.3951)$	
						$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1031)$
						$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.0784)$
II	3.25	${}^1A_2''$	0.6344	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.6537)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.1246)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.0792)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 17\rangle(0.0778)$	
III	5.45	${}^1E'$	0.0239	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow (L + 5)_2\rangle(0.3364)$	
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 5)_1\rangle(0.2852)$	
						$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_1\rangle(0.2626)$
						$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.2112)$
	5.45	${}^1E'$	0.0239	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow (L + 5)_1\rangle(0.3364)$	
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 5)_2\rangle(0.2852)$	
						$ H \rightarrow (L + 13)_2\rangle(0.2626)$
						$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.2112)$
IV	5.59	${}^1A_2''$	0.0349	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.4707)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3968)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2122)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1175)$	

Table 3: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_3 - isosceles triangular cluster (*cf.* Fig. 9, in main text), along with the oscillator strengths (f) of the transitions. Possible polarizations are: (a) in plane of the triangle along the perpendicular to the long arm (base) of the triangle (labeled: “in-plane, short axis”), (b) in plane of the triangle along the long arm (base) of the triangle (labeled “in-plane, long axis”), and (c) perpendicular to the plane of the triangle (labeled “perpendicular”). Rest of the notations are same as those in the previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	0.4997	2A_1	0.0065	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.7815)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.3322)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.2014)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 20\rangle(0.1985)$
II	1.1108	2A_1	0.0472	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.6154)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 14\rangle(0.4608)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2831)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.2079)$
III	1.6101	2A_1	0.3336	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.5273)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.4143)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 10\rangle(0.3208)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3053)$
IV	1.9944	2A_1	0.4487	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.4769)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.4698)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.3876)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.2437)$
V	2.2019	2B_2	0.3970	in-plane, long axis	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.4238)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.3707)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 15\rangle(0.3521)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2580)$
VI	2.3539	2B_2	0.2252	in-plane, long axis	$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.4592)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 19\rangle(0.4428)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.4043)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2602)$
VII	2.5314	2A_2	0.4157	in-plane, short axis	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.5306)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3960)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.3172)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 17\rangle(0.3119)$
VIII	2.9243	2A_2	0.2998	in-plane, short axis	$ H \rightarrow L + 2; H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.4590)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.3984)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3328)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.2538)$

Table 4: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_3 equilateral triangle-shaped cluster (*cf.* Fig. 10, in main text), along with the oscillator strengths f of the transitions. Possible polarizations are: (a) in plane of the triangle (labeled “in-plane”), and (b) perpendicular to the plane of the triangle (labeled “perpendicular”). Rest of the notations are same as those in the previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.1925	0.1755	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.5108)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 14\rangle(0.3805)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3394)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.3102)$
	1.2130	0.0250	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5475)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 15\rangle(0.5307)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3235)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.2604)$
II	2.0724	0.3470	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5838)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 15\rangle(0.3604)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3591)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2239)$
	2.0743	0.3254	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.4402)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.3985)$
				$ H \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3696)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3401)$
III	2.3622	0.4090	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.7926)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2389)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 19\rangle(0.1587)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.1571)$
IV	2.9634	0.4938	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.5975)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.4493)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.2695)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.2600)$
V	3.7223	0.0307	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.4445)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 4; H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3352)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 19\rangle(0.2945)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 20\rangle(0.2847)$
VI	3.9622	0.0634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.5908)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.2889)$
				$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2815)$
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 11\rangle(0.2295)$

Table 5: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the photoabsorption spectrum of the linear isomer of Na_3 (*cf.* Fig. 11, in main text), along with the oscillator strength (f) of the transitions. Polarization corresponding to the transition is denoted as \parallel , if it is along the length of the molecule, and \perp , if it is perpendicular to it. Rest of the notations are same as those in the previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	0.6414	${}^2\Sigma_g$	0.0287	\parallel	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.8136)$
					$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2675)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.2305)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.1569)$
II	1.3414	${}^2\Sigma_g$	0.8917	\parallel	$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.6016)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.4124)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.3893)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.1857)$
III	2.4268	${}^2\Pi_g$	0.6994	\perp	$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.4762)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.3944)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 11)_1\rangle(0.3595)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1; H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.3094)$
	2.4268	${}^2\Pi_g$	0.6994	\perp	$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.4762)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.3944)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 11)_2\rangle(0.3595)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2; H - 1 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.3094)$

Table 6: Excitation energies (E), and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of rhombus-shape Na_4 cluster (*cf.* Fig. 12, in main text), along with the oscillator strengths f of the transitions. The cluster is assumed to lie in the xy plane, with x and y axes along the short, and long the diagonals, respectively, while the z axis is assumed perpendicular to the plane of the molecule. Rest of the notations are same as in the previous tables.

Peak	State	$E(\text{eV})$	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	B_{3u}	1.712	1.1477	y	$ H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.7232)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 10\rangle(0.3832)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 20\rangle(0.1768)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.1720)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2; H \rightarrow L + 24\rangle(0.1330)$
II	B_{1u}	2.090	0.0857	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.4537)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.3546)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2771)$
III	B_{2u}	2.470	0.7719	x	$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.5839)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.3464)$
					$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3052)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3018)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.1852)$
IV	B_{1u}	2.699	0.6171	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.5504)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3739)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.3032)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 31\rangle(0.2119)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1626)$
V	B_{1u}	3.010	0.1093	z	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.4178)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2934)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8; H \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.2740)$
VI	B_{2u}	3.220	0.1641	x	$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.5619)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.4104)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3258)$
VI	B_{1u}	3.280	0.1371	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.3237)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3092)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 19\rangle(0.2290)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5; H \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.2049)$
VII	B_{1u}	3.900	0.0641	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 5; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1911)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 23\rangle(0.3563)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.2860)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 24; H \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.2348)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8; H \rightarrow L + 23\rangle(0.1733)$
VIII	B_{1u}	4.010	0.0532	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.1712)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.4309)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3721)$
IX	B_{1u}	4.670	0.0332	z	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.2459)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3437)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.3051)$
X	B_{1u}	4.912	0.0452	z	$ H \rightarrow L + 35\rangle(0.2758)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 35\rangle(0.3861)$

Table 7: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_4 , square-shaped cluster (*cf.* Fig. 13, in main text), along with the oscillator strengths of the transitions. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.164	1E_u	0.0107	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.4144)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.3638)$
	1.166	1E_u	0.0110	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3327)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.2510)$
II	2.005	1E_u	1.0985	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.4755)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.3948)$
	2.015	1E_u	1.0709	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3165)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.2633)$
III	2.175	1E_u	0.6775	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.4848)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 13\rangle(0.3791)$
	2.177	1E_u	0.6833	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.3777)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 18\rangle(0.2474)$
IV	2.482	1E_u	0.4637	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.5937)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2880)$
	2.485	1E_u	0.4375	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.2826)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.2122)$
V	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.4769)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.4122)$
	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3209)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 13\rangle(0.2071)$
V	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow (L + 5)\rangle(0.4283)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3461)$
	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.3302)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 9\rangle(0.2805)$
V	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.6015)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.3705)$
	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1639)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.1523)$
V	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.4970)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.3376)$
	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 22\rangle(0.2057)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.1761)$
V	2.752	A_{2u}	0.6634	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.4383)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.3968)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2655)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 23\rangle(0.2365)$

Table 8: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of $\text{Na}_5^+ D_{2d}$ structure (*cf.* Fig. 14(b), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. In our calculations, the two triangles of the structure are assumed to lie in the yz and xz planes, while z -axis is along the common perpendicular bisectors of the two triangles. Thus, xy -plane is perpendicular to the planes of both the triangles. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.770952	1E	0.0636	xy	$ H \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.6165)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.5691)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_1; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1363)$
	1.770952	1E	0.0636	xy	$ H \rightarrow L_2; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1258)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.6165)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.5691)$
II	2.243351	1B_2	1.3390	z	$ H \rightarrow (L + 1)\rangle(0.8954)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 3)\rangle(0.1582)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 1); H \rightarrow (L + 3)\rangle(0.1158)$
	2.6766435	1E	0.6144	xy	$ H \rightarrow (L + 8)\rangle(0.0905)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.4478)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.4014)$
III	2.6766435	1E	0.6144	xy	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.3116)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.2792)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.4478)$
	3.316498	1E	0.5247	xy	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.4014)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.3116)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.2792)$
IV	3.316498	1E	0.5247	xy	$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3950)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3233)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3050)$
	3.316498	1E	0.5247	xy	$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.2495)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3950)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3233)$
V	3.966769	1E	0.0250	xy	$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3050)$
					$ H \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.2495)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3039)$
	3.966769	1E	0.0250	xy	$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3025)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2164)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2154)$
3.966769	1E	0.0250	xy	$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_2\rangle(0.3039)$	
				$ H - 1 \rightarrow (L + 2)_1\rangle(0.3025)$	
				$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2164)$	
					$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.2154)$

Table 9: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_5^+ D_{2h} structure (*cf.* Fig. 14(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	2.149	${}^1B_{2u}$	1.3471	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.8855)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.1484)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.1148)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 13\rangle(0.0863)$
II	2.508	${}^1B_{3u}$	1.1284	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.6883)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.4827)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1960)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.1770)$
III	3.215	${}^1B_{1u}$	1.1321	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.6405)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.5829)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.1497)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 20\rangle(0.1264)$
IV	3.626	${}^1B_{3u}$	0.0826	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.3928)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 31\rangle(0.3100)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2732)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2664)$

Table 10: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_5^+ C_{2v} structure (*cf.* Fig. 14(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	2.096397	1B_2	1.0294	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.8189)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1855)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1769)$
					$ H \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.1612)$
II	2.400917	1A_1	1.3692	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.6908)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5297)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1551)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 2; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.1309)$
III	3.208789	1B_1	1.2917	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.6425)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 3\rangle(0.6185)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 20\rangle(0.1186)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.1026)$

Table 11: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum Na of Na_5^+ D_{3h} structure (*cf.* Fig. 14(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. In the wave function, the bracketed numbers are the CI coefficients of a given electronic configuration. Given the trigonal bipyramidal structure of the cluster (*cf.* Fig. 6(j)), and ${}^1A_1'$ symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of doubly degenerate ${}^1E'$ symmetry with polarization along the common base of the pyramids, and of ${}^1A_2''$ symmetry, with polarization perpendicular to the base. We refer to their polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	2.227	${}^1A_2''$	0.9568	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.7991)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.3394)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.1986)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.1332)$
II	3.163	${}^1E'$	1.0761	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.7281)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L_2\rangle(0.3847)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2311)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_2; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2020)$
	3.163	${}^1E'$	1.0761	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.7281)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L_1\rangle(0.3847)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.2311)$
					$ H \rightarrow L_1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2019)$

Table 12: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na₅- planar (C_{2v}) structure (*cf.* Fig. 15(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strengths f of the transitions. Given the ${}^2A'_1$ symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of 2B_1 and 2A_1 type with polarizations in plane of the cluster, and 2B_2 type, with polarization perpendicular to it. We refer to these polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.15	2B_1	0.0210	in-plane	$ H - 2 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.4052)$
					$ H \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2818)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2694)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 10\rangle(0.2577)$
II	1.96	2A_1	0.5896	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5565)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 21\rangle(0.2734)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.2634)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.1669)$
III	2.31	2B_1	0.7687	in-plane	$ H \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.3359)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3075)$
					$ H - 2 \rightarrow H\rangle(0.2867)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 14\rangle(0.2278)$
IV	2.76	2B_2	0.2170	perpendicular	$ H \rightarrow L + 7\rangle(0.3108)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.2691)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2060)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1; H \rightarrow L + 10\rangle(0.2016)$

Table 13: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na₅ bipyramid (C_{2v}) structure (*cf.* Fig. 15(b), in main text), along with the oscillator strengths f of the transitions. Given the trigonal bipyramidal structure of the cluster (*cf.* Fig. 6(m)), and 2B_1 symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of 2A_2 and 2B_1 symmetry with polarization along the common base of the pyramids, and of 2A_1 symmetry, with polarization perpendicular to the base. We refer to their polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.24	2B_1	0.0515	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.4700)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3747)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 2 \rightarrow H - 1\rangle(0.3036)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2931)$
II	1.57	2A_1	0.2306	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.5187)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.4365)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3958)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.4517)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 19\rangle(0.4123)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 2 \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.4070)$
III	2.02	2A_1	0.6558	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.4562)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.4040)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow L; H \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.2540)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.2391)$
IV	2.14	2A_1	0.6381	perpendicular	$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.4266)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 8\rangle(0.3772)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H \rightarrow L + 1\rangle(0.3019)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.2752)$
V	2.44	2B_1	0.5725	in-plane	$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 6\rangle(0.4432)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 16\rangle(0.3314)$
					$ H - 1 \rightarrow H; H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3175)$
					$ H \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2603)$

Table 14: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of $\text{Na}_6 C_{5v}$ structure (*cf.* Fig. 16(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strengths f of the transitions. Given the 1A_1 symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of doubly degenerate 1E_1 symmetry with polarization along the basal plane of the pyramid, and of 1A_1 symmetry, with the polarization perpendicular to it. We refer to these polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Because of the C_{5v} symmetry, the cluster has doubly-degenerate HOMOs indicated as H_1 and H_2 . Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.19	1E_1	0.0097	in-plane	$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.7419)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.3170)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2526)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.1383)$
	1.19	1E_1	0.0097	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.7420)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L + 5\rangle(0.3170)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.2526)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L + 12\rangle(0.1383)$
II	2.150	1E_1	1.4732	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_2\rangle(0.4811)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.4808)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3100)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 2)\rangle(0.3028)$
	2.150	1E_1	1.4732	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.4811)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 1)_2\rangle(0.4808)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3100)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 2)\rangle(0.3028)$
III	2.527	1E_1	0.2422	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 4)_3\rangle(0.4774)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L + 17\rangle(0.4643)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 4)_1\rangle(0.3869)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_2\rangle(0.1903)$
	2.527	1E_1	0.2422	in-plane	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 4)_3\rangle(0.4774)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow L + 17\rangle(0.4643)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 4)_1\rangle(0.3869)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.1903)$
IV	2.786	1A_1	0.4687	perpendicular	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.4135)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 3)_3\rangle(0.4133)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 4)_2\rangle(0.3280)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 4)_1\rangle(0.2549)$

Table 15: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of $\text{Na}_6 D_{3h}$ - planar structure (*cf.* Fig. 16(a), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. Given the ${}^1A'_1$ symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of doubly degenerate ${}^1E'$ symmetry with polarization in plane of the cluster, and of ${}^1A''_2$ symmetry, with polarization perpendicular to it. We refer to these polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Because of the D_{3h} symmetry, the cluster has doubly-degenerate HOMOs indicated as H_1 and H_2 . Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	State	E (eV)	Symmetry	Osci. Strength(f)	Polarization	Wave Function	
I		1.36	${}^1E'$	0.1326	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5247)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3580)$	
						$ H_1 \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.2071)$	
						$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.1855)$	
		1.36	${}^1E'$	0.1326			$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.5247)$
							$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3580)$
							$ H_2 \rightarrow L + 4\rangle(0.2071)$
							$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.1855)$
II		1.94	${}^1E'$	2.6326	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3499)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3055)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 1)_2\rangle(0.2989)$	
						$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.2989)$	
		1.94	${}^1E'$	2.6325			$ H_2 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3499)$
							$ H_1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.3055)$
							$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 1)_1\rangle(0.2989)$
							$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 1)_2\rangle(0.2989)$
III		2.49	${}^1E'$	0.0748	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.2471)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.2471)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.2028)$	
						$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.2028)$	
		2.49	${}^1E'$	0.0748	in-plane		$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.2471)$
							$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.2471)$
							$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 3)_2\rangle(0.2028)$
							$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 3)_1\rangle(0.2028)$
IV		2.81	${}^1A''_2$	0.8056	perpendicular	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 5)_2\rangle(0.4686)$	
						$ H_1 \rightarrow (L + 5)_1\rangle(0.4685)$	
						$ H - 1 \rightarrow L + 2\rangle(0.3190)$	
						$ H_2 \rightarrow (L + 17)_2\rangle(0.1671)$	

Table 16: Excitation energies, E , and many-particle wave functions of excited states corresponding to the peaks in the linear absorption spectrum of Na_6 , D_{4h} structure (*cf.* Fig. 16(b), in main text), along with the oscillator strength f of the transitions. Because of the D_{4h} symmetry, the cluster has doubly-degenerate HOMOs indicated as H_1 and H_2 . Given the bipyramidal structure of the cluster (*cf.* Fig. 6(p) of the main text) and ${}^1A_{1g}$ symmetry of the ground state, optically active excited states are of doubly degenerate 1E_u symmetry with polarization along the common base of the pyramids, and of ${}^1A_{2u}$ symmetry, with polarization perpendicular to the base. We refer to these polarizations as “in-plane”, and “perpendicular”, respectively. Rest of the information is same as in previous tables.

Peak	E (eV)	Symmetry	f	Polarization	Wave Function
I	1.647	1E_u	0.2786	in-plane	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+1)\rangle(0.4269)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+2)\rangle(0.3583)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+6)\rangle(0.3087)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+9)\rangle(0.2703)$
	1.647	1E_u	0.2786	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+1)\rangle(0.4269)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+2)\rangle(0.3583)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+6)\rangle(0.3087)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+9)\rangle(0.2703)$
II	1.90	1E_u	0.0421	in-plane	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.4200)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+15)\rangle(0.3930)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.2992)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+15)\rangle(0.2799)$
	1.90	1E_u	0.0421	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.4200)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+15)\rangle(0.3930)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.2992)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+15)\rangle(0.2799)$
III	2.336	1E_u	1.3035	in-plane	$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+6)\rangle(0.5922)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+2)\rangle(0.4008)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.2602)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+16)\rangle(0.2542)$
	2.336	1E_u	1.3035	in-plane	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+6)\rangle(0.5922)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+2)\rangle(0.4008)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+5)\rangle(0.2602)$
					$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+16)\rangle(0.2542)$
IV	2.71	${}^1A_{2u}$	0.7969	perpendicular	$ H_2 \rightarrow (L+7)_1\rangle(0.5801)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow (L+7)_2\rangle(0.5801)$
					$ H-1 \rightarrow L\rangle(0.2014)$
					$ H_1 \rightarrow L; H_2 \rightarrow (L+6)\rangle(0.1636)$